

FREE

RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON SCHOLTENS ET AL.

Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Follow-up Study (HAPO FUS): Maternal Glycemia and Childhood Glucose Metabolism. Diabetes Care 2019;42:381–392 Denise M. Scholtens and Boyd E. Metzger

Diabetes Care 2019;42:e128-e129 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0024

As described in our article (1), the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study and HAPO Follow-up Study (HAPO FUS) allowed examination of associations between maternal glucose levels during a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) at  $\sim$ 28 weeks' gestation, across the continuum, with child glucose metabolism at ages 10–14 years. The comment by Hulman (2) on our article (1) raises questions about exploratory trajectory analyses that complemented main regression analyses of these secondary predictors and outcomes.

First, regarding exploration of a cubic model, after the fasting blood draw, the HAPO protocol specified recording the number of minutes following glucose consumption for two subsequent blood draws: times ranged 50–70 min and 110– 130 min. Using times in minutes as reported, cubic fits were explored, along with linear and quadratic models that included both fixed and random effects. Cubic models in general did not converge and thus were not reported.

Second, regarding the reported quadratic models, the comment (2) and additional reports (3) describe a rise in glucose during a 2-h OGTT, followed by a decline. It is therefore surprising that reporting a quadratic fit, given the available data, would be considered problematic (2); certainly linearity would not suffice and cubic models did not converge. Model fit criteria (4,5) identified the reported quadratic model as the best fit, given those explored for the available HAPO data. We agree with Hulman (2) that four or more time points could facilitate more accurate polynomial or spline fits.

Third, Hulman (2) states that further investigation of clinical relevance of glucose response patterns is required before changing current guidelines. Perhaps our conclusion was misunderstood: we are not advocating immediate change in current guidelines based on exploratory trajectory analyses using limited time points in HAPO data. Rather, we pointed out that the pattern of maternal glucose response, in conjunction with individual analyses of fasting, 1-h, and 2-h maternal glucose values, indicate that the full range, and likely fluctuation, of maternal glucose measures are associated with offspring metabolic outcomes.

Fourth, regarding the direct comparison of child impaired glucose tolerance for trajectory groups B and C, this would certainly be straightforward analytically. Given the exploratory nature of these analyses, we simply chose to interpret associations relative to class A with largest membership that appeared to reflect normal maternal glucose response rather than perform pairwise comparisons for all classes.

Fifth, regarding Fig. 3 (1), we plotted lowess curves within estimated latent class groups to help confirm appropriateness of a quadratic shape for the available data. We acknowledge that plots of the estimated quadratic trajectories would also be informative.

Undoubtedly, maternal glucose response during an OGTT is more dynamic than captured by available HAPO data. Trajectory models were explored to complement analyses that emphasized individual associations of fasting, 1-h, and 2-h maternal glucose across the continuum with offspring metabolic outcomes. We agree that increased granularity of timed glucose measures in future studies would enhance physiological understanding and potential clinical utility of well-calibrated models of pregnancy OGTT data.

Funding. The HAPO FUS is funded by grant 1U01DK094830 from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The HAPO Study was funded by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01-HD-34242 and R01-HD-34243). HAPO FUS data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine. REDCap is supported at the Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine by the Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute, which is supported by the National Institutes of Health's National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, grant UL1TR001422.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL

Corresponding author: Boyd E. Metzger, bem@northwestern.edu

<sup>© 2019</sup> by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license.

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## References

1. Scholtens DM, Kuang A, Lowe LP, et al.; HAPO Follow-up Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Follow-up Study (HAPO FUS): maternal glycemia and childhood glucose metabolism. Diabetes Care 2019;42:381–392 2. Hulman A. Comment on Scholtens et al. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Follow-up Study (HAPO FUS): maternal glycemia and childhood glucose metabolism. Diabetes Care 2019;42:381–392 (Letter). Diabetes Care 2019;42:e127. DOI: 10.2337/dc19-0650

3. Hulman A, Witte DR, Vistisen D, et al. Pathophysiological characteristics underlying different glucose response curves: a latent class trajectory analysis from the prospective EGIR-RISC study. Diabetes Care 2018;41:1740– 1748

4. Nylund KL, Asparouhov T, Muthen BO. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class analysis and growth mixture modeling: a Monte Carlo simulation study. Struct Equ Modeling 2007;14:535–569

5. Yang CC. Evaluating latent class analysis models in qualitative phenotype identification. Comput Stat Data Anal 2006;50:1090–1104