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#### Abstract

The overexpression of the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptor (AR) in a number of cancer cell types makes it an attractive target for tumor diagnosis and therapy. Hence, in the search for new $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R$ ligands, a series of novel $2, \mathrm{~N}^{6}$-disubstituted adenosines (Ados) was synthesized and tested in radioligand binding and functional assays at ARs. Derivatives bearing a 2-phenethylamino group in the $N^{6}$-position were found to exert higher $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity than the corresponding $N^{6}$-(2,2diphenylethyl) analogues. 2-Chloro- $N^{6}$-phenylethylAdo (15) was found to be a potent full $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist with a Ki of 0.024 nM and an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of 14 nM , in a cAMP accumulation assay. Unlike 15 , the other ligands behaved as $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, which concentration-dependently reduced cell growth and exerted cytostatic activity on the prostate cancer cell line PC3, showing comparable and even more pronounced effects with respect to the ones elicited by the reference full agonist Cl-IB-MECA. In particular, the $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl)-2-phenylethynylAdo (12: $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=14 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{TGI}=29 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $\left.\mathrm{LC}_{50}=59 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ showed the highest activity proving to be a potential antitumor agent. The cytostatic effect of both $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist (Cl-IB-MECA) and antagonists ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ and other newly synthesized compounds) confirm previous observations according to which, in addition to the involvement of $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs, other cellular mechanisms are responsible for the anticancer effects of these ligands.
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## 1. Introduction

Ubiquitous nucleosides and nucleotides are involved in several biological functions and they play a crucial role in some mechanisms, such as cell growth, migration, differentiation, bacterial-induced inflammation and growth factor secretion [1-4]. The nucleoside adenosine (Ado, 1, Figure 1) performs its function by interacting with the four $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, $A_{2 B}$ and $A_{3}$ adenosine receptors (ARs) belonging to the superfamily of $G$ protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). The activation of $A_{1} A R$ and $A_{3} A R$ mainly produces a decrease of the intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) concentration, while stimulation of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ leads to an opposite effect.

In physiological conditions, this peculiar nucleoside is present in almost all cells and tissues in low concentrations ( nM range), while in stress conditions such as hypoxia, which characterizes tumors, its concentration increases ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range) [2]. $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs overexpression in various types of cancer cell has been reported in numerous studies [5] and has been demonstrated in lung, breast, melanoma, prostate, pancreatic, and liver cancers, as well as malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), glioblastoma, and lymphoma [2,6,7].




4: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$,
5: $\mathrm{R}==\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$

Figure 1. Structure of known $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR ligands: Ado (1), Cl-IBMECA (2), 3-5.
However, the particular issue is focused on the role of the $A_{3} A R$ in regulating cell proliferation and death, as this receptor acts differently depending on the type of tissue in which it is expressed [8]. On this basis, the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ is an attractive target for cancer diagnosis or for its ability to counteract the tumor grow, although there is evidence that, in some cancer types, activation of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ promotes cell proliferation and survival, while in others it activates cytostatic and apoptotic pathways $[9,10]$.

This dual behavior seems to be due to the different regulation/dysregulation of the Wnt pathway induced by $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R$ activation in different tumor types [10]. In this regard, the mechanisms that influence the cancer cells' proliferation or death could also be due to the modulation of Ado levels, which can be modified by affecting the pathways of Ado generation, degradation, and elimination [11,12]. Furthermore, $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR ligands conjugated with metallacarborans, containing iron and cobalt, protect cells from cross-resistance showed by anticancer drugs such as cisplatin, carboplatin, and doxorubicin [13,14].

The known full $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR agonist, 2-chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-(3-iodobenzyl)adenosine- $5^{\prime}$ - N -methyluronamide (Cl-IB-MECA, also called CF-102 or Namodenoson, 2, Figure 1), has been extensively studied on tumor cell proliferation and this compound has been reported to inhibit cancer cell proliferation in in vitro and in vivo tumor models [15,16]. In a randomized, placebocontrolled phase II trial for hepatocellular carcinoma and moderate hepatic dysfunction, Cl-IB-MECA did not meet its primary end-point even though it showed a favorable safety profile and preliminary efficacy [17].

Recently, we reported the ability of Cl-IB-MECA analogues and Ado derivatives to inhibit cell growth of human prostate (PC3), colon (Caco-2) and hepatocyte (Hep G2) carcinomas, using Cl-IB-MECA as the reference compound [18]. The results showed a good anticancer effect in all three tumor cell lines by Cl-IB-MECA, while its analogue $N^{6}$ -methyl-2-phenylethynyladenosine-5'-N-methyluronamide (3, Figure 1) was almost inactive. On the contrary, a very good antitumor activity, comparable to that of Cl-IB-MECA itself, was exerted by the two potent and selective $A_{3} A R$ ligands, $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl)-2hexynylAdo (4) and $N^{6}$-(2-phenylethyl)-2-(2-phenylethylamino)Ado (5), which are Ado derivatives, bearing steric hindering aromatic groups in $N^{6}$ - and 2-positions, the synthesis of which has not yet been published (Figure 1) [18].

It is worthwhile to note that compound 3 behaves as a full $A_{3} A R$ agonist endowed with higher $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR affinity and selectivity compared to Cl-IB-MECA $[18,19]$. The lack of anticancer activity of compound 3 indicated that the active compounds exerted their cytotoxic activity not only through the interaction with $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs and suggested the possible involvement of other cellular mechanisms. Indeed, further experiments led to hypothesize that the anticancer activity of compound 4 was due to its ability to induce apoptosis and to raise the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [18].

Starting from these observations, the purpose of this work was to identify new potential anticancer agents and to verify that the antitumor activity of these molecules is not closely associated with the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR binding affinity, confirming the possible intervention of other mechanisms. Hence, taking into account the structure of the two Ado derivatives

4 and 5, which showed cytotoxic activity in the three tumor cell lines mentioned above, in the present work, the synthesis of a new series of $2, N^{6}$ di-substituted Ado derivatives bearing the same substituents of 4 and 5 at the $N^{6}$-position and a chlorine atom, different alkynyl chains and a phenethylthio group at the 2-position, was undertaken (Figure 2).


Figure 2. General structure of synthesized compounds.
The new compounds were tested in radioligand binding and functional assays, to assess their affinity $\left(A_{1}, A_{2 A}\right.$, and $\left.A_{3} A R s\right)$ and potency $\left(A_{2 B} A R\right)$ at human ARs. Furthermore, they were tested in a PC3 prostate cell line, to establish their antiproliferative and cytotoxic activities, and in a functional cAMP assay to evaluate their ability to activate the $A_{3} A R$. Since the lack of sugar modification can lead to $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists [19], this last experiment was aimed at verifying whether these compounds are able or not to activate the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ and, therefore, if they behave like Cl-IB-MECA.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

Here, we report the synthesis of the newly nucleosides $10-13,15$, and 16 , together with that of the previously reported compounds 4 and 5 , which was carried out using a divergent approach starting from commercial guanosine (Schemes 1 and 2).

Commercial guanosine was converted in the protected 2-amino-6-chloropurineriboside 6, in two steps, as already described (Scheme 1) [20]. By a modification of the Sandmeyer reaction, consisting in a diazotization with isoamyl nitrite and diiodomethane, the 2iodo derivative 7 was prepared from 6, using dry THF as a solvent, without iodine as previously reported [21]. The 6 -chloro-2-iodopurineriboside 7 was then reacted with 2phenethylamine or 2,2-diphenylethylamine, at r . t , to selectively displace the 6 -chlorine atom. Then, methanolic ammonia was added at r. t. for the complete removal of protecting groups at the sugar moiety. The corresponding 2-iodo- $N^{6}$-substituted Ados 8 and 9 were obtained as white powders after chromatography, with very good yields (Scheme 1).

The reaction of 7 to give 2-phenethylamino- $N^{6}$-phenethylAdo (5) was performed in two steps. First, 7 was reacted with phenethylamine at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed vial, using DMF and potassium carbonate as a solvent and catalyst, respectively. Since these conditions led to the substitution of both the halogens in the 2- and 6-positions and the partial deprotection of the sugar moiety, the mixture, in a second step, was treated with methanolic ammonia at r. t. to have the complete sugar deprotection and to obtain 8 (Scheme 2).


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ONO}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$, THF, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $1 . \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, r.t., 16 h; $2 . \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, r.t. $30 \mathrm{~min}, 67 \%$ yield; or $1 . \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, r.t. 8 hs; 1. $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, r.t. $1 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$ yield; (c) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH},\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$, r.t, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 38-70 \%$ yield.; (d) 1. $\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h} ; 2 . \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, r.t. $30 \mathrm{~min}, 81 \%$ yield; (e) $\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SH}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ONO}, \mathrm{SbCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$ yield; (b) 1 . R-NH2, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 2 . \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MEOH}$, r.t., $5 \mathrm{~h} ; 47-67 \%$ yield.

Reaction of 2-iodo- $N^{6}$-phenylethylAdo (8) with phenylethylthiol, in dry DMF and in the presence of potassium carbonate at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in a steel vial, furnished the 2-thioderivative 13, with good yield after chromatography (Scheme 1).

The 2-alkynylderivatives $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 2}$ and 4 were prepared by reacting the intermediates 8 or 9 under Sonogashira cross-coupling conditions, using the suitable 1-alkyne and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium dichloride, copper iodide, and triethylamine as catalysts, in DMF dry as solvent (Scheme 1).

The 2-chloroadenosine derivatives 15 and 16 were synthesized starting from 6, which was reacted with isoamyl nitrite and antimony chloride in DCM , at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to get the 2,6dichloropurineriboside 14. This compound was, in turn, treated with 2-phenethylamine and 2,2-diphenylethylamine, using ethanol as solvent and potassium carbonate as catalyst, to obtain the desired nucleosides 15 and 16 (Scheme 2).

### 2.2. Binding Assay at $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$, and $A_{3}$ ARs and Functional Studies at $A_{2 B}$ ARs

The new compounds 10-13 and 15-16, together with the 2-iodo nucleoside intermediates 8 and 9 , were tested in radioligand binding assay at human recombinant ARs, expressed
in Chinese hamster ovary ( CHO ) cells, to evaluate their affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR subtypes. [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}$ ( 2 -chloro- $N^{6}$-cyclopentylAdo), $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA ( $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-ethylcarboxamidoAdo), and [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ HEMADO (2-hexynyl- $N^{6}$-methylAdo) were used as respective radioligands [22,23]. The results are reported as Ki values in nM ( $\pm$ standard errors) (Table 1). In the case of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, the potency of selected compounds was determined through a functional GloSensor cAMP assay [22]. Since their $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values resulted $>30 \mu \mathrm{M}$, these data are not shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Affinity ( $\mathrm{Ki}, \mathrm{nM}$ ) of compounds 4, 5, 8-13, 15, and 16 in radioligand binding assays at human $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs subtypes.

$5,8,10,11,13,15$


4, 9, 12, 16

| Cmp | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{nM}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{nM}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{h A}_{3} \mathbf{R}^{c} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{nM}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} / \mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl-IB-MECA [24] |  | 1240 | 5360 | 1.4 | 886 | 3829 |
| 5 [18] | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 357 | 1368 | 0.33 | 1082 | 4145 |
| 8 | I | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ \pm 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4119 \\ \pm 998 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \pm 1.2 \end{gathered}$ | 29 | 824 |
| 15 | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 1.64 \\ \pm 0.37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 660 \\ \pm 129 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.024 \\ \pm 0.005 \end{gathered}$ | 68 | 27,500 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 263 \\ \pm 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3359 \\ \pm 752 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \pm 7.1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 112 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129 \\ \pm 8.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ \pm 48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ \pm 0.3 \end{gathered}$ | 86 | 97 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ | $\begin{gathered} 809 \\ \pm 117 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2983 \\ \pm 271 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ \pm 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | 213 | 785 |
| 9 | I | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ \pm 25.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1243 \\ \pm 253.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \pm 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 113 |
| 16 | Cl | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76 \\ & \pm 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 266 \\ \pm 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ \pm 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 2046 |
| 4 [18] | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 984 | 153 | 27 | 36 | 6 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ | $\begin{gathered} 211 \\ \pm 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 450 \\ & \pm 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & \pm 25 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CCPA binding in membranes from CHO cells, stably transfected with human recombinant $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ AR. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA binding in membranes from CHO cells, stably transfected with human recombinant $A_{2 A} A R$. ${ }^{c}$ Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]HEMADO binding in membranes from CHO cells, stably transfected with human recombinant $A_{3}$ AR. Data ( $n=3-5$ ) are expressed as means $\pm$ standard errors.

Cl-IB-MECA and the already known $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR ligands 4 and 5 are reported as reference compounds. Cl-IB-MECA is an $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ full agonist endowed with high affinity ( $\mathrm{Ki}=1.4 \mathrm{nM}$ )
and a very good $A_{3} A R$ selectivity of 886 and 3829 fold vs. the $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ ARs, respectively. The newly synthesized compounds behave, in general, as $A_{3} A R$ ligands with high affinity ( Ki in the nM and sub-nM range) and various degrees of selectivity. In particular, the already reported 2-phenethylamino- $N^{6}$-phenethylAdo (5) possesses a very high $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity with a $\mathrm{KiA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}=0.33 \mathrm{nM}$ and a selectivity of thousands of times versus both the other AR subtypes. This compound was the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR ligand endowed with the most balanced affinity and selectivity values for the $A_{3} A R$, better also than the reference Cl-IB-MECA.

The replacement of the 2-phenethylamino group of 5 with halogens led to an increase of affinity compared to the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ AR subtype, but different results were found on the other subtypes. In fact, the 2-iodo derivative 8 showed a reduced affinity for both $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs, compared to 5 . On the contrary, for the 2-chloro derivative 15 there was an increase in affinity for these subtypes. It is worthwhile to note that the 2 -chloro- $N^{6}$-phenethylAdo (15) possesses an $A_{3} A R K i$ in the $p M$ range and a very high selectivity vs. the $A_{2 A}$ subtype $(27,500$ fold $)$, although it is less selective for the $A_{1} A R$ with respect to the parent compound 5 and Cl-IB-MECA.

Substitution of the phenethylamino group at the 2-position of 5 with different alkynyl chains, as in compounds 10 and 11, or with the isosteric phenethylthio group, as in compound 13, has resulted in derivatives that maintain high $A_{3} A R$ affinity, although with lower $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR selectivity. The presence of a bulky 2,2-diphenylethyl group in the $N^{6}$-position, as in compounds $\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{4}$, and $\mathbf{1 2}$, caused a general decrease in $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity (see 9 vs. 8,16 vs. 15,4 vs. 10, and 12 vs. 11). It should be noted that, also in this series of compounds, the 2-chloro derivative showed the highest $A_{3} A R$ affinity (16; $\left.\mathrm{KiA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}=0.13 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

### 2.3. Antiproliferative and Cytotoxic Assays

To assess the antitumor activity of all the synthesized compounds $\mathbf{4}, 5,8 \mathbf{1 3}, 15,16$, their antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects were evaluated in the PC3 cell line by the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay, according to the National Cancer Institute protocol [25]. The reference $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R$ agonist $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ was tested with the same protocol for comparison, in order. The compounds were used at concentrations of $1,10,25,50$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 48 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The antitumor activity was estimated by measurements of three parameters: Growth Inhibition $50\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$, the compound concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ required to inhibit $50 \%$ net of cell growth; Total Growth Inhibition (TGI), the compound concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) required to inhibit $100 \%$ of cell growth; Lethal Concentration $50\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50}\right)$, the compound concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ required to kill $50 \%$ of the initial cell number. The results are shown in Table 2 and Figure S1, along with $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity.

The compounds demonstrated to concentration-dependently reduce cell growth of the PC3 prostate cancer cell line. Most of them showed a significant inhibitory effect on cell proliferation and a pronounced cytotoxic activity comparable to the one elicited by Cl-IB-MECA, after 48 h exposure. Compounds $\mathbf{5 , 8} \mathbf{8} \mathbf{1 3}$, and $\mathbf{1 5}$, bearing a phenethyl chain at the $N^{6}$-position, exhibited a lower ability to inhibit cell proliferation and cell survival than Cl-IB-MECA, which showed a $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=18 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{TGI}=44 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{LC}_{50}=110 \mu \mathrm{M}$. On the contrary, compounds 10 and 11, bearing the same $N^{6}$-phenethyl group and an alkynyl chain at the 2-position, exhibited a higher cytostatic effect than Cl-IB-MECA (10: GI ${ }_{50}=13 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 11: $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $\left.\mathrm{TGI}=19 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Table 2. Cytostatic and cytotoxic activity of compounds 4,5,8-13,15, and 16 determined with the sulforhodamine B assay. The evaluated parameters $\mathrm{GI}_{50}, \mathrm{TGI}$, and $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ are reported in comparison of $A_{3}$ AR affinity of tested compounds.

$5,8,10,11,13,15$


4, 9, 12, 16

| Cpd | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GI}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TGI } \\ (\mu \mathbf{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{LC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR} \\ (\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl-IB-MECA |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ \pm 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \pm 3.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ \pm 8.4 \end{gathered}$ | 1.4 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 237 \\ \pm 9.4 \end{gathered}$ | >500 | >500 | 0.33 |
| 8 | I | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \pm 2.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ \pm 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 301 \\ \pm 13.4 \end{gathered}$ | 5.0 |
| 15 | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \pm 5.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 262 \\ \pm 8.3 \end{gathered}$ | >500 | 0.024 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \pm 4.2 \end{gathered}$ | >500 | >500 | 30 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \pm 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ \pm 2.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 452 \\ \pm 10.5 \end{gathered}$ | 1.5 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ \pm 0.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ \pm 6.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151 \\ \pm 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | 3.8 |
| 9 | I | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \pm 1.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \pm 3.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ \pm 3.5 \end{gathered}$ | 11 |
| 16 | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \pm 3.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ \pm 4.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 253 \\ \pm 11.5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \pm 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \pm 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \pm 9.8 \end{gathered}$ | 27 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ \pm 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \pm 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \pm 10.1 \end{gathered}$ | 106 |

$\mathrm{LC}_{50}, \mathrm{GI}_{50}$ and TGI values are shown as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of three different experiments calculated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

It should be noted that the $N^{6}$-phenethyl-2-phenylethynylAdo (11) is endowed with the best cytostatic activity, among all the tested derivatives. Furthermore, two of these compounds, 13 and 15, at a concentration of $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$, were not able to kill all the cells, in fact their $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ was higher than $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$. It should be noted that, also in the series of the $N^{6}-(2,2-$ diphenylethyl) derivatives $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 2}$, and 16 , the compounds bearing an alkynyl chain at the

2-position showed a pronounced cytostatic activity, being more active than Cl-IB-MECA. These two compounds were found to be more potent than the reference also for their cytotoxic effects ( 4 and 12: $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ of 80 and $59 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, compared to Cl-IB-MECA: $\left.\mathrm{LC}_{50}=110 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl)-2-phenylethynylAdo (12) resulted as the most active compound with a $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=14 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{TGI}=29 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{LC}_{50}=59 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The observation that the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ ligands $5(\mathrm{Ki}=0.33 \mathrm{nM}), \mathbf{1 5}(\mathrm{Ki}=0.024 \mathrm{nM})$, and $\mathbf{1 6}(\mathrm{Ki}=0.13 \mathrm{nM})$, were not those with the highest cytostatic and cytotoxic effects, despite their remarkable $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity, seems to demonstrate that the antitumor activity of the tested $2, N^{6}$-disubstitued Ados is not strictly correlated to their affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR subtype.

### 2.4. Functional Activity at Human $A_{3} A R$

Among the four AR subtypes, $A_{3} A R$ appears to be the most sensitive to small chemical changes in its ligands. Indeed, various Ado derivatives, which were previously claimed as full or partial $A_{3} A R$ agonists, behaved subsequently as $A_{3} A R$ antagonists [24]. In several papers we have reported that the MECA and NECA derivatives substituted in 2-position with alkynyl chains and bearing a methyl or a methoxy group at the $N^{6}$-position behave as full $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR agonists like Cl-IB-MECA $[19,26]$. Conversely, the corresponding Ado derivatives lose efficacy, proving to act as partial agonists or antagonists on this receptor subtype $[19,26]$. Since the here presented newly synthesized $A_{3} A R$ ligands possess an intact ribose moiety in their structure, a functional assay was performed to measure their ability to activate the $A_{3} A R$ subtype. Then, the intrinsic activity of the selected compounds $4,10-12$, and 15 , chosen on the basis of their very high $A_{3} A R$ affinity or antitumor activity, was evaluated. In particular, the ligands were analyzed in a functional experiment to assess their ability to inhibit forskolin-stimulated cAMP production through the human $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, compared to the full agonist Cl-IBMECA [22].

Results showed that the reference compound Cl-IB-MECA and the 2 -chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$ phenethylAdo (15) were able to completely counteract the stimulation of adenylyl cyclase induced by $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forskolin, so behaving as $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ full agonists. On the contrary, compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and 10-12 did not affect cAMP levels induced by forskolin, when tested alone, but were able, to a different extent, to lower the effect of the full agonist, demonstrating behavior as $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. For all the compounds, the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated and the results are reported in Table 3 and Figure S2. The EC 50 of Cl-IB-MECA was in a low nM range, according to the literature data [27], while the full agonist 15, which showed the best $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity $\left(\mathrm{KiA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}=0.024 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, exhibited an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of 14 nM . Among the four antagonists, $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=31 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1 1}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=79 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, bearing a phenethyl group at the $N^{6}$-position, showed a greater potency than $4\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=380 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1 2}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=153 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, which present a 2,2-diphenylethyl chain in the same position. This order of potency was in close agreement with the compounds' $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding affinities. These data confirm that the efficacy of the $A_{3} A R$ ligands is closely related to the nature of the substituents present at the different positions of the nucleoside structure [19]. It is worth noting that the presence of a chlorine atom in 2-position of $N^{6}$-phenethylAdo furnished the full $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist 15 like Cl-IB-MECA but, unlike the latter, $\mathbf{1 5}$ showed no cytotoxic activity at concentrations up to $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$. On the other hand, compounds 4 and $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 2}$, which behaved as $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, demonstrated comparable and even greater antitumor activity than Cl-IB-MECA in PC3 prostate cells, at least in the cAMP accumulation assay. These inconsistencies, due to the antitumor activity of both the full agonist Cl-IB-MECA and the $A_{3} A R$ antagonists 4 and $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 2}$ on the same tumor cell type, cannot be explained by the different role played by the $A_{3} A R$ in the regulation of cell proliferation and death, depending on the tissue type in which it is expressed, but they suggest the possible involvement of other cellular mechanisms, as we have previously hypothesized [18]. On the other hand, this is not the first report in which $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists have been found to exert anticancer activity $[28,29]$.

Table 3. Activity of $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 2}$, and $\mathbf{1 5}$, compared to Cl-IBMECA, in a cAMP accumulation assay at the human $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ stably transfected in CHO cells.

| Cpd | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ AR CHO Cells |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{E C}_{\mathbf{5 0}, \mathbf{n M}}$ | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}, \mathbf{n M}}$ |
| Cl-IB-MECA | $2.8 \pm 1.4$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $14 \pm 3.4$ | $31 \pm 6$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  | $79 \pm 15$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  | $380 \pm 80$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | $153 \pm 12$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |  |

The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are calculated from concentration-response curves fitted by a nonlinear regression with the Prism program (GraphPAD Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Each concentration was tested three-five times in duplicate and the values are given as the mean $\pm$ S.E.

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Chemical Synthesis

### 3.1.1. General Methods

Melting points were determined with a Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker Ascend 500 MHz spectrometer; $\delta$ values are in ppm , J values are in Hz . All exchangeable protons were confirmed by the addition of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Mass spectra were recorded on an HP 1100-MSD series instrument. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on pre-coated TLC plates with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Fluka). For column chromatography, silica gel 60 (Merck) was used. Elemental analyses were determined on Fisons Instruments Model EA 1108 CHNS-O model analyzer and are within $0.4 \%$ of theoretical values. Purity of the compounds is $\geq 98 \%$, according to elemental analysis data.

### 3.1.2. Synthesis of 6-Chloro-2-iodo-2', $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-tri-O-acetyladenosine (7)

Compound $6(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ), and diiodomethane ( $5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 70.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper iodide ( $935 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and isoamyl nitrite $(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was refluxed for 5 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the mixture was made into a slurry and purified by flash chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Hex}(70: 30)$ to obtain 7 as a white solid after recrystallization with MeOH. Yield: 79\%; m.p.: 181-183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d6) $\delta: 1.99$ (s, 3H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-5^{\prime}\right), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 5.60(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 3^{\prime}\right), 5.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 6.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-8). ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $539.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 561.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

### 3.1.3. Synthesis of 2-Iodo- $N^{6}$-(2-phenylethyl)adenosine (8)

2-phenylethylamine ( $0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 18.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $7(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(19 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was left to react for 16 h under nitrogen atmosphere at r.t. After that, methanol saturated by ammonia ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the mixture left under stirring for 30 min . After the removal of all volatiles under vacuum, the crude was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with DCM-MeOH ( $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $95: 5$ ) and recrystallization from $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{n}$-Hex mixture to obtain 8 as a white powder. Yield: $67 \%$, m.p.: $120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) 4.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}\right), 4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}\right), 4.44$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.71\left(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.9\left(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 5.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}), 8.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{N}^{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $598.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 520.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 3.1.4. Synthesis of 2-Iodo- $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl)adenosine (9)

Compound 7 was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 2,2-diphenylethylamine ( 0.62 mmol , $122 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, followed by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 312 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The solution was stirred at r . t. for $8 \mathrm{hrs} . \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture and stirred for 1 h at r. t . All volatiles were removed under vacuum and the crude was made into slurry, the mixture was chromatographed by flash column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(99: 1-96: 4)$ to obtain 9 as a white powder. Yield $98 \%$, m.p.: $170-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d6) $\delta: 3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HCH-5'), $3.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right) 4.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 4.55\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH})$, $5.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.17$ (m, 6H, H-Ph), 7.29 (m, 4H, H-Ph), 8.22 (s, 1H, H-8), 8.26 (t, 1H, J = 5.5 Hz, NH). ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $574.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 596.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 3.1.5. 2-Phenylethylamino- $N^{6}$-(2-phenylethyl)adenosine (5)

2-phenylethylamine ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(127.85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $7(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.185)$ in DMF in a sealed steel bomb, and set at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hrs . Then, the reaction was cooled to r.t. and $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added and the reaction left for 30 min under stirring. After the removal of volatiles, the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with DCM-MeOH ( $100 \%$ DCM to $96: 4$ ) and recrystallization from DCM/n-Hex to obtain 5 as a light-brown powder. Yield $81 \%$, m.p.: $135-137{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 2.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $3.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.87(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OH}), 5.36$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 6.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph})$, $7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{N}^{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive mode $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 490.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, $512.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 3.1.6. Synthesis of $N^{6}$-(2-Phenylethyl)-2-phenylethylthioadenosine (13)

A mixture of $8(395 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $552 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2-phenylethylthiol ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated in a sealed steel bomb at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After removal of volatiles, the crude was purified via flash column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(96: 4)$ to obtain 13 as a white solid. Yield: $75 \%$; m.p.: 126-128 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 2.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HCH}^{\prime}$ ), 3.61 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}$ ), $3.92\left(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right)$, $4.55\left(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 5.07(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.43$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ H-Ph), $8.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $507.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, $529.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 1037.0[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3.1.7. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Alkynyl- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-substituted Adenosines 10, 11, 4 , and 12

To a solution of 8 or 9 ( 0.37 mmol ), in dry DMF ( 2.0 mL ), triethylamine ( 1.5 mL , 11.1 mmol ), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium dichloride ( $5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0074 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), CuI ( $0.32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the suitable 1-alkyne ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred under nitrogen at r. t . for 16 h , then the mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue purified through silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with the suitable eluent.

## 2-Hexynyl- $N^{6}$-(2-phenylethyl)adenosine (10)

Compound 10 was prepared from 8 by reaction with 1-hexyne. The mixture residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (97:3) to acquire 10 as white solid. Yield: $38 \%$; m.p.: $164-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ $\delta: 0.90\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.42$
(m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right), 2.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HCH-5'), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}$ ), 3.93 ( $\left.\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OH}), 5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive mode $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $451.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 473.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 925.1[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Phenylethynyl- $N^{6}$-(2-phenethyl)adenosine (11)
Compound 11 was prepared from 8 by reaction with 1-phenylacetylene. The mixture residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ MeOH (96:4) to acquire 11 as white solid. Yield: $70 \%$; m.p.: $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 2.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCH}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HCH-5'), $3.95\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.53(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{OH}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-1'), 7.46 (m, 3H, H-Ph), 7.62 (m, 2H, H-Ph), 7.19 (m, 3H, H-Ph), 7.28 (m, 2H, H-Ph), 8.1 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{N}^{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $471.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+, 493.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+$, $965.0[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## 2-Hexynyl- $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl)adenosine (4)

Compound 4 was prepared from 9 by reaction with 1-hexyne. The mixture residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (97:3) to acquire 4 as white solid. Yield: $38 \%$; m.p.: $164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6) $\delta: 0.93$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right)$, 3.53 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}-5^{\prime}$ ), 3.62 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}-5^{\prime}$ ), 3.92 (brs, 1H, H-4'), 4.06 (m, 1H, CH-Ph $)^{\text {) , }}$ 4.09 (m, 1H, H-3'), 4.49 (m, 2H, CH2-NH), 4.55 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5,17 (m, 2H, 2 x $\mathrm{OH}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7,17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, H-Ph), 7.89 (app s, 1H, NH), 8.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ). ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $528.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, $549.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## $N^{6}$-(2,2-Diphenylethyl)-2-phenylethynyladenosine (12)

Compound 12 was obtained from 5 by reaction with phenylacetylene. The desired compound was obtained after purification through silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with DCM-MeOH (94: 6) as a yellow solid, yield: $51 \%$; m.p.: $121-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : (DMSO-d6) $\delta: 3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.97$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ ), $4.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 5.22(\mathrm{brt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 5.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ph}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive m/z $547.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 569.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3.1.8. Synthesis of 2,6-Dichloro-2', $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-tri-O-acetyl-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)purine (14)

Compound $6(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then, $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ $(746.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isoamyl nitrite $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the solution and it was left to react at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time, volatiles were removed under vacuum, the mixture was then extracted with DCM, the organic layer collected and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was filtered and evaporated and the mixture was made in to slurry and purified by flash chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (99.5:0.5). Compound 14 was obtained as a white solid after crystallization with MeOH . Yield: $73 \%$; m.p. $132-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6) \delta: 2.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-5^{\prime}\right), 4.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 5.63\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 5.91(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 6.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8)$. ESI-MS: positive mode $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 468.7[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3.1.9. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Chloro- $N^{6}$-substituted adenosines 15 and 16

Compound 14 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, in turn, to a solution of phenylethylamine ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ in absolute ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ or a solution of

2,2-diphenylethylamine ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ in absolute ethanol ( 10 mL ). The reaction was left under stirring 15 h at r . t ., then, $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction left under stirring 1 h . Volatiles were removed under vacuum, the mixture made into slurry and purified by flash chromatography eluting with the suitable solvent.

### 3.1.10. 2-Chloro- $N^{6}$-(2-phenylethyl)adenosine (15)

Compound 15 was obtained by reaction of 14 with 2-phenethylamine. The final compound was obtained after chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (97:3) and crystallization with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Hex} /$ diethyl ether as a white powder. Yield: $47 \%$; m.p.: $153-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d6) $\delta: 2.90$ (t, 2H, CH2 Ph ), 3.59 (m, 3H, H-5'), 3.94 (d, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right)$, $5.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.80$ (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz, N-H'), 7.32 (m, 5H, Ph), 8.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-8), 8.45$ (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, N-H). ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $427.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 3.1.11. 2-Chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl)-adenosine (16)

Compound 16 was obtained by reaction of 14 with 2,2-diphenylethylamine. The final compound was obtained after chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (95:5) and crystallization with ethyl acetate/n-Hex/diethyl ether as white powder. Yield: 67\%; m.p.: $110-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.94$ (t, 1H, H-4'), 3.96 (brs, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 4.48\left(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. ESI-MS: positive mode m/z $482.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, $504.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 3.2. Biological Assays at Human Adenosine Receptors

### 3.2.1. Cell Culture

Chinese hamster ovary ( CHO ) cells stably expressing human ARs were grown adherently and maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium with nutrient mixture F12 (DMEM/F12), supplemented with $10 \%$ Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin, 1 mM Sodium pyruvate and $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Geneticin (G418) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aerated with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}: 95 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

### 3.2.2. Membrane Preparation

All pharmacological methods followed the procedures as described earlier [22]. In brief, membranes for radioligand binding were prepared from CHO cells stably transfected with human adenosine receptor subtypes through two centrifugations at different speeds. The first low-speed $(1000 \mathrm{~g})$ centrifugation allowed the removing of cell fragments and nuclei, while the second, performed at high speed $(100,000 \mathrm{~g})$, allowed the precipitation of the crude membrane fractions.

The resulting membrane pellet was resuspended in the buffer used for the respective binding experiments, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in aliquots at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.2.3. Binding Assay

The binding affinity of the novel compounds was evaluated using radioligand competition experiments in CHO cells stably expressing $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ subtypes. The radioligands used were $1.0 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=1.1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for $\mathrm{hA} \mathrm{A}_{1}, 10 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=20 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $1.0 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ HEMADO $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=1.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptors. Results were expressed as $K_{i}$ values (dissociation constants), which were calculated with the program GraphPad (GraphPAD Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Each concentration was tested three-five times in duplicate and the values are given as the mean $\pm$ standard error (S.E.).

The potency of compounds at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor (expressed on CHO cells) was determined through GloSensor cAMP Assay.

### 3.2.4. Functional Agonism or Antagonism at $A_{2 B}$ or $A_{3}$ ARs in GloSensor cAMP Assay

CHO cells stable expressing $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs and the plasmid encoding the biosensor were used to study functional agonism and antagonism of understudy ligands. The desired cell number was incubated in equilibration medium containing a $3 \% v / v$ GloSensor cAMP reagent stock solution, $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ and $87 \%$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ independent media. After 2 h of incubation, cells were dispensed in wells of 384 well plate and when a steady-state basal signal was obtained the agonism profile was studied, adding to wells the reference agonist NECA or compounds at different concentrations. In the case of the $G_{i}$ coupled receptor $A_{3} A R$ Forskolin (FSK) $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was added 10 min after the agonists and various luminescence readings were performed at different incubation times.

The antagonist profile of the compounds was evaluated by assessing their ability to counteract an agonist-induced increase or decrease ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$, respectively) of cAMP accumulation [22]. The cells were incubated with different antagonist concentrations and then treated with a fixed dose of NECA ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ ). FSK $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was added 10 min after the agonists and various luminescence reads were performed at different incubation times.

Responses were expressed as percentage of the maximal relative luminescence units (RLU) and concentration-response curves were fitted by a nonlinear regression with the Prism program (GraphPAD Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The agonist or antagonist profile of compounds was expressed as $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, respectively [30]. Each concentration was tested three-five times in duplicate and the values are given as the mean $\pm$ S.E.

### 3.3. Biological Studies on Cancer Cell Line

### 3.3.1. Cell Lines

The PC3 prostate cancer cell line used is from Type Culture Collection, ATCC, (Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, $100 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ streptomycin at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified incubator containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

### 3.3.2. Reagents

DMSO (used as vehicle), sulforhodamine B (SRB), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), and acetic acid were from Sigma Aldrich (Sant Louis, MO, USA).

### 3.3.3. Cell Growth Inhibition Assay

To determine the effects of compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8} \mathbf{- 1 3}, \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 6}$, and Cl-IB-MECA on PC3 cell line, an SRB assay was performed. Cells were seeded at $4 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in a 96-well microplate. Twenty-four hours later, cultures were treated with increasing concentrations of compounds, $1,10,25,50,100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, for 48 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere and $95 \%$ relative humidity. At the same time ( $\mathrm{t}=0$ ), and after drug treatments, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%(w / v)$ TCA were added to each well, incubated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, washed with deionized water, and dried at room temperature. One hundred microliters of SRB solution were added to each well, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, rinsed four times with $1 \%(v / v)$ acetic acid, and allowed to dry at room temperature. Finally, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM Tris base solution ( pH 10.5 ) was added to each well, and the absorbance was measured at 515 nm in a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). The absorbance at $t=0$ was compared with the absorbance at the end of the experiment to determine cell growth in treated cells compared with control cells. The antitumor activity was estimated by measurements of three parameters: Growth Inhibition $50\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$, the drug concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ required to inhibit $50 \%$ net of cell growth; Total Growth Inhibition (TGI), the drug concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ required to inhibit $100 \%$ of cell growth; and Lethal Concentration $50\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50}\right)$, the drug concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ required to kill $50 \%$ of the initial cell number. $\mathrm{LC}_{50}, \mathrm{GI}_{50}$ and TGI values are shown as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of three different experiments calculated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

### 3.3.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical significance was determined by Student's $t$-test by using, as control, the reference compound 2-Cl-IB-MECA. ${ }^{*} p<0.05$.

## 4. Conclusions

Novel di-substituted Ado derivatives bearing a $N^{6}$-phenethyl or a more steric hindered $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl) group combined with halogens, alkynes or a phenylethylthio chain in 2-position were synthesized and tested in radioligand binding assays at $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $A_{3}$ ARs. Selected compounds were also tested in a functional assay at $A_{2 B}$ ARs and, in most cases, were found not able to activate the receptor at concentrations up to $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In the binding studies, all the compounds were found to be $A_{3} A R$ ligands with high affinity ( Ki in the nM and sub-nM range) and different degree of selectivity. In general, compounds bearing a 2-phenethylamino group in $N^{6}$-position resulted endowed with higher affinity and selectivity than the corresponding analogues bearing a more hindered 2,2-diphenylethyl group in the same position. Furthermore, in the PC3 prostate cancer cell line, all the compounds concentration-dependently reduced the cell growth and most of them showed a significant inhibitory effect on proliferation and a pronounced cytotoxic activity comparable to that of Cl-IB-MECA. In particular, the ligands with the best cytostatic properties were those bearing a $N^{6}$-(2,2-diphenylethyl) group, indicating that the antitumor activity is not closely related to the affinity for the $A_{3} A R$ subtype. Finally, functional cAMP assays demonstrated that compounds endowed with the best cytotoxic activity behave as $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, confirming the hypothesis that other cellular mechanisms are involved in the anticancer properties of these $A_{3} A R$ ligands. Therefore, further experiments will be needed to explain the precise pathways responsible for their anticancer effects.
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