

Research Article

Controlling the regioselectivity and stereospecificity of FAD-dependent polyamine oxidases with the use of amine-attached guide molecules as conformational modulators

Tuomo A. Keinänen¹, Nikolay Grigorenko³, Alex R. Khomutov⁴, Qingqiu Huang², Anne Uimari⁵, Leena Alhonen¹, Mervi T. Hyvönen¹ and Jouko Vepsäläinen¹

¹School of Pharmacy, Biocenter Kuopio, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio Campus, P.O. Box 1627, Kuopio FI-70211, Finland; ²MacCHESS at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source, Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853-8001, U.S.A.; ³BASF Schweiz AG, Dispersions and Pigments Division, Klybeckstrasse 141, P.O. Box CH 4002, Basel, Switzerland; ⁴Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vavilov St 32, Moscow 119991, Russia; ⁵Natural Resources Institute Finland, Natural Resources Division, Neulaniementie 5, Kuopio FI-70210, Finland

Correspondence: Tuomo A. Keinänen (tuomo.keinanen@uef.fi)



Enzymes generally display strict stereospecificity and regioselectivity for their substrates. Here by using FAD-dependent human acetyl polyamine oxidase (APAO), human spermine (Spm) oxidase (SMOX) and yeast polyamine oxidase (Fms1), we demonstrate that these fundamental properties of the enzymes may be regulated using simple guide molecules, being either covalently attached to polyamines or used as a supplement to the substrate mixtures. APAO, which naturally metabolizes achiral *N*¹-acetylated polyamines, displays aldehyde-controllable stereospecificity with chiral 1-methylated polyamines, like (*R*)- and (*S*)-1-methylspermidine (1,8-diamino-5-azanonane) (1-MeSpd). Among the novel *N*¹-acyl derivatives of MeSpd, isonicotinic acid (P4) or benzoic acid (Bz) with (*R*)-MeSpd had K_m of $3.6 \pm 0.6/1.2 \pm 0.7 \mu\text{M}$ and k_{cat} of $5.2 \pm 0.6/4.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ respectively, while *N*¹-AcSpd had K_m $8.2 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{M}$ and k_{cat} $2.7 \pm 0.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$. On the contrary, corresponding (*S*)-MeSpd amides were practically inactive ($k_{\text{cat}} < 0.03 \text{ s}^{-1}$) but they retained micromole level K_m for APAO. SMOX did not metabolize any of the tested compounds ($k_{\text{cat}} < 0.05 \text{ s}^{-1}$) that acted as non-competitive inhibitors having $K_i \geq 155 \mu\text{M}$ for SMOX. In addition, we tested (*R,R*)-1,12-bis-methylspermine (2,13-diamino-5,10-diazatetradecane) (*R,R*)-(Me₂Spm) and (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm as substrates for Fms1. Fms1 preferred (*S,S*)- to (*R,R*)-diastereoisomer, but with notably lower k_{cat} in comparison with spermine. Interestingly, Fms1 was prone to aldehyde supplementation in its regioselectivity, i.e. the cleavage site of spermidine. Thus, aldehyde supplementation to generate aldimines or *N*-terminal substituents in polyamines, i.e. attachment of guide molecule, generates novel ligands with altered charge distribution changing the binding and catalytic properties with polyamine oxidases. This provides means for exploiting hidden capabilities of polyamine oxidases for controlling their regioselectivity and stereospecificity.

Received: 25 March 2018
Revised: 03 July 2018
Accepted: 05 July 2018

Accepted Manuscript Online:
13 July 2018
Version of Record published:
29 August 2018

Introduction

The polyamines spermidine (Spd) and spermine (Spm) and their diamine precursor putrescine (Put) are essential cellular constituents in eukaryotic organisms [1] (Figure 1A). Their intracellular levels are strictly




Figure 1. Chemical structures of the reference and tested compounds

Structures of **(A)** 1,3-Diaminopropane (DAP), natural polyamines and dimethylated analogues of Spm. **(B)** 1-Methylated spermidine analogues and their *N*¹-acetylated derivatives. **(C)** Guide molecule-derivatives of (*R*)-MeSpd and (*S*)-MeSpd. Abbreviation: MeSpd, 1-methylspermidine (1,8-diamino-5-azanonane).

regulated by *de novo* synthesis, active transport, excretion and catabolism by a complex cellular regulatory network [2,3]. Interconversion of Spm into Spd is enzymatically regulated by FAD-dependent spermine oxidase (SMOX; EC 1.5.3.16) or by consequent actions of Spd/Spm-*N*¹-acetyltransferase (SSAT; EC 2.3.1.57) and acetylpolyamine oxidase (APAO; EC 1.5.3.13) [4,5]. Recent studies clearly show that polyamine metabolism is disturbed in a variety of diseases or medical disorders, such as cancer, brain insult and diabetes [6,7]. Furthermore, polyamine metabolism differs between parasites, microbes and the host, which could be used for developing novel therapies [8].

Oxidative catabolism of polyamines generates acrolein and reactive oxygen species (ROS) like hydrogen peroxide, which in excess are harmful to cells. Dysregulation of SMOX and activated Spm catabolism are associated with

inflammation-mediated development of cancer [9]. There is direct evidence that the induction of SMOX during neoplastic transformation leads to the development of colon and gastric cancer. Furthermore, in cancer cells APAO has been shown to detoxify *N*-alkylated polyamine analogues [10], while induction of SMOX is responsible for the toxic effects of *N*-alkylated polyamine analogues [11]. Thus, APAO and SMOX sometimes play opposite roles in determining drug sensitivity of cancer cells. So far, determinations of crystal structure of native APAO and SMOX have been unsuccessful, although recently several crystal structures of slightly mutated murine APAO were reported [12]. The latter data in combination with the available yeast polyamine oxidase (Fms1) and maize PAO crystal structures, computer modelling and experiments with targeted point mutations into recombinant proteins have been used to study the possible structure-activity determinants of APAO and SMOX [13-16]. All the previous enzymes are available as recombinant proteins and their structure-activity properties *in vitro* have been relatively well characterized. Unfortunately, obtaining highly selective small-molecule inhibition of either APAO or SMOX has been unsuccessful, leaving gene silencing as the only viable option to investigate the physiological functions of these enzymes [17].

α -Methylation is an efficient chemical modification to protect amine-based drugs against degradation by cellular mono- and diamine oxidases and to modulate drug ADME properties [18,19]. Some of the α -methylated drug derivatives have proved to be efficient inhibitors of parent oxidases that catabolize biogenic amines [18]. Racemic α -methylated polyamines 1-methylspermidine (1,8-diamino-5-azanonane) (MeSpd), MeSpm and 1,12-bis-methylspermine (2,13-diamino-5,10-diazatetradecane) (Me₂Spm) were synthesized by Lakanen et al. [20] (Figure 1A/B). They were shown to be metabolically stable, i.e. not acetylated by SSAT with the exception of MeSpm, and were able to substitute natural polyamines in supporting cell growth under natural polyamine deprivation [20,21]. MeSpd and Me₂Spm are not so readily metabolized *in vivo* as Spd and Spm, and *in vitro* they are not catabolized to toxic compounds by serum amine oxidases [20,22]. Thus, they seem to be ideal candidates for *in vivo* use [23,24]. Although natural polyamines are achiral, we have discovered the hidden stereospecificity of APAO, SMOX and deoxyhypusine synthase (DHS; 2.5.1.46) [24-26]. APAO preferably oxidizes the (*R*)-enantiomer of *N*¹-Ac-MeSpd [24]. (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm is a substrate of SMOX while (*R,R*)-Me₂Spm is not metabolized by the enzyme [25], and (*S*)-MeSpd is a source of aminobutyl fragment in DHS reaction [26]. Furthermore, we have recently shown that polyamine transport system and the key enzymes of polyamine metabolism, namely ornithine decarboxylase (ODC), *S*-adenosyl-*L*-methionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC) and SSAT are divergently regulated by chiral *C*-methylated polyamine analogues [27,28]. Our earlier findings indicate that the stereospecificity of FAD-dependent human APAO can be altered with the aid of simple guide molecules [29]. Guide effects of aromatic aldehydes in APAO reaction using racemic MeSpd as a substrate were very clear and unexpected. Benzaldehyde stimulated the splitting of (*R*)-MeSpd, pyridoxal—splitting of (*S*)-MeSpd, while 4-pyridinealdehyde was not able to induce stereospecificity [29]. All above prompted us to synthesize a set of earlier unknown *N*¹-benzylated (Bn) or *N*¹-acylated, i.e. isonicotinic acid (P4) and benzoic acid (Bz) amide derivatives of (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd to further explore characteristics of FAD-dependent amino oxidoreductases (Figure 1C).

Here we studied the substrate specificities of SMOX and APAO for *N*¹-alkylated or *N*¹-acylated derivatives of (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd and the effects of supplemented aldehydes on Fms1, that readily catalyses the oxidation of *N*¹-acetylated Spd and Spm. We also used (*R,R*)-Me₂Spm and (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm to gain insight into how 1,12-bis-methylation of Spm and configuration of chiral centres affects the substrate properties and binding to the active centre of Fms1 (Figure 1A). *N*¹-Acetylated derivatives of 1-MeSpd were synthesized to complete the series of analogues, tested with the Fms1 and to compare the results with the known stereospecificity of APAO (Figure 1B). Obtained data demonstrate for the first time that stereospecificity and regioselectivity of FAD-dependent polyamine oxidases could be controlled with the conformationally restricted ligands exploiting existing conformational landscapes in enzyme without protein engineering.

Experimental procedures

Materials

All the commercially available chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. (*R,R*)-Me₂Spm, (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm and racemic Me₂Spm, (*R*)-MeSpd and (*S*)-MeSpd enantiomers and their covalently modified guide molecule derivatives were synthesized essentially as described in [24].

Production of recombinant enzymes and enzyme tests

The production of human recombinant APAO, SMOX and yeast Fms1 has been described earlier [16,22]. Substrate and aldehyde supplement concentrations and experimental conditions are described in Figures and Tables captions. HPLC with post-column *o*-phthalaldehyde-derivatization was used to determine the concentrations of the reaction




Figure 2. Simplified sketch showing chemical principle for using aldehyde supplementation to generate *in situ* aldimines mimicking the charges of *N*-acetylated Spd species

In aqueous solution, equilibrium is strongly favouring free Spd and aldehyde species. However, by increasing aldehyde concentration it is possible to increase aldimine pool concentration, e.g. Table 4 and accelerate Fms1-mediated degradation of Spd pool.

products Put and 1,3-diaminopropane (DAP) or butane-1,3-diamine respectively as described in [30]. Fms1 activity was determined essentially as described for human recombinant APAO, but reactions were carried out in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH buffer at pH 9.0 in a water bath at +25°C [29,30]. Reactions for kinetic value determinations were carried out at pH 9.0 in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH in triplicates by using 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, 400 and 600 μM substrate concentrations for Spm and for Me₂Spm but 600 μM concentration was replaced with 1 mM concentration in Me₂Spm series. Kinetic values were determined by using Michaelis–Menten equation and non-linear regression by using GraphPad Prism software 5.03 with enzyme kinetic template. Fms1 activity compared with pH was determined by using 1 mM Spm with 0.1 μg of Fms1 in 170 mM Bis/Tris buffer at pH 7.4, 8.0, 8.25, 8.5, 8.75, 9.0, 9.25 and 9.5 incubated 4 min at 25°C. *k*_{cat} values were determined using an *M*_r of 55382 for human recombinant APAO, *M*_r 62000 for SMOX and for Fms1 using *M*_r of 58833 [31].

*K*_i values for covalently modified MeSpd derivatives for SMOX were determined as triplicates using at least four inhibitor concentrations (25, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000 or 2000 μM) in the presence of 25, 50 or 100 μM Spm. Reaction mixtures contained 40 units/ml horseradish peroxidase (Roche), 1 mM homovanillic acid in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH at pH 9.0 supplemented with 40 ng of SMOX. The reaction kinetics were monitored at 37°C using excitation at 315 nm and emission at 420 nm using Envision spectrofluorometer (PerkinElmer). Dilutions of fresh H₂O₂ were used as standard. GraphPad Prism 5.03 software using non-competitive non-linear Michaelis–Menten fitting was used to determine *K*_i values.

Preparation of rat liver extract

A Wistar rat liver was frozen in liquid nitrogen. The liver was homogenized (1+3 w/v) with Teflon potter in buffer containing 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT and 0.1 mM EDTA. Resulting homogenate was centrifuged at 12000 × *g* for 30 min at +4°C. Supernatant was divided into two portions and treated as follows: (A) incubated for 5 min at +37°C in a water bath, (B) supplemented with 20 μM MDL 72527 and incubated for 5 min at +37°C in a water bath to inactivate APAO and SMOX. A 20-μl aliquot of supernatant A or B was added in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH pH 9.5, 5 mM DTT with or without 100 μM of studied drug in a total volume of 180 μl. After 10-min incubation at +37°C, 20 μl of 50% sulphosalicylic acid (SSA) containing 100 μM diaminoheptane (DAH) was added to the reaction mixture. The samples were assayed with HPLC as described in [30].

Results and discussion

N-acetylated and *N*-alkylated derivatives of (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd as substrates of human recombinant APAO

*N*¹-acetylated derivatives of Spd and Spm are natural substrates of APAO and it has been shown that in the presence of aromatic aldehydes APAO efficiently metabolizes non-acetylated Spm and Spd. We have shown that the stimulatory effect of aldehydes on the APAO-catalysed oxidation of the polyamines is based on the *in situ* formation of comparatively unstable Schiff base between the primary amino group of the polyamine and the aldehyde, i.e. an aldimine mimicking the charge distribution of *N*-acetylated polyamines (Figure 2) [29,32]. Here we synthesized a set of novel chemically stable analogues of *N*¹-AcSpd mimicking *in situ* formed Schiff base derivatives of 1-MeSpd enantiomers (Figure 1C) and tested them as substrates of APAO. As shown in Table 1, the (*R*)-enantiomers of these derivatives served as excellent substrates for recombinant human APAO. P4-(*R*)-MeSpd and Bz-(*R*)-MeSpd displayed enhanced catalytic velocity over the natural substrate *N*¹-AcSpd. Interestingly, the respective (*S*)-enantiomers, P4-(*S*)-MeSpd

Table 1 Kinetic values of guide molecule-containing derivatives of MeSpds' with human recombinant APAO

Polyamine	K_m (μM)	V_{max} ($\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$)	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_m ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)
N^1 -AcSpd ¹	8.2 ± 0.4	2.97 ± 0.02	2.7 ± 0.0	$(330 \pm 16) \times 10^3$
Bz-(<i>R</i>)-MeSpd ²	1.2 ± 0.7	5.02 ± 0.74	4.6 ± 0.7	$(3800 \pm 230) \times 10^3$
Bz-(<i>S</i>)-MeSpd ²	0.2 ± 0.2	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	$(150 \pm 150) \times 10^3$
P4-(<i>R</i>)-MeSpd ³	3.6 ± 0.6	5.59 ± 0.60	5.2 ± 0.6	$(1400 \pm 300) \times 10^3$
P4-(<i>S</i>)-MeSpd ³	0.8 ± 0.2	0.02 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	$(18 \pm 3.1) \times 10^3$
Bn-(<i>R</i>)-MeSpd ⁴	2.0 ± 0.1	0.15 ± 0.00	0.14 ± 0.00	$(71 \pm 3.5) \times 10^3$
Bn-(<i>S</i>)-MeSpd ⁴	1.6 ± 0.6	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	$(18 \pm 7.1) \times 10^3$

Reactions were carried out three times in duplicates in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH at pH 9.5 supplemented with 5 mM DTT. Kinetic values were determined using GraphPad Prism 4.03 software using Michaelis–Menten equation with non-linear fitting (Supplementary Material 2). k_{cat} values were determined using an M_r of 55.382 for human recombinant APAO.

¹10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 200 μM concentrations were used.

²2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 25 μM concentrations were used.

³2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 25, 100 μM concentrations were used.

⁴5.0, 7.5, 10, and 25 μM concentrations were used.

Table 2 Degradation of N^1 -AcSpd and (*R*)- and (*S*)-enantiomers of N^1 -substituted MeSpd in rat liver supernatant

Sample	Formation of polyamine (pmol/mg protein)		
	Put	Spd	Spm
0 min	ND	3024 ± 89	2875 ± 94
10 min	ND	2964 ± 36	2793 ± 34
N^1 -AcSpd 0 min	ND	3594 ± 18	3172 ± 30
N^1 -AcSpd 10 min	4175 ± 278	3480 ± 12	3049 ± 23
N^1 -AcSpd + MDL72527 10 min	ND	3436 ± 42	2984 ± 27
Bz-(<i>R</i>)-MeSpd 10 min*	5585 ± 288	2988 ± 2	3024 ± 18
P4-(<i>R</i>)-MeSpd 10 min*	8882 ± 66	2737 ± 78	3004 ± 74
Bn-(<i>R</i>)-MeSpd 10 min*	637 ± 13	3031 ± 72	2880 ± 234

Compounds were tested at 100 μM , which equalled 23000 pmol of the compound/mg of protein in the beginning of the reaction. Data are average of three individual reaction mixtures \pm S.D. No detectable degradation of any of the tested compounds was found in the presence of MDL72527 (preincubation for 5 min before addition of the compound). Protein content of obtained liver homogenate was 39.2 $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$. Abbreviation: ND, not detectable.

*(*S*)-enantiomer derivatives were not degraded by rat liver homogenate under the experimental conditions used.

and Bz-(*S*)-MeSpd, retained low K_m for APAO but practically lost their substrate properties, which renders them efficient competitive inhibitors. Amide derivatives P4-(*R*)-MeSpd and Bz-(*R*)-MeSpd were catalytically superior to Bn-(*R*)-MeSpd. Both Bn-(*R*)-MeSpd and Bn-(*S*)-MeSpd retained good affinity for APAO and the (*R*)-enantiomer displayed only five-fold higher k_{cat} than the (*S*)-enantiomer.

We and others have shown earlier that the resistance of racemic 1-MeSpd for APAO-mediated degradation is due to the fact that SSAT is incapable of N^1 -acetylating it [20,24]. This was confirmed by using chemically synthesized N^1 -Ac-(*R*)-MeSpd ($K_m = 95 \mu\text{M}$, $k_{\text{cat}} = 9 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and N^1 -Ac-(*S*)-MeSpd ($K_m = 170 \mu\text{M}$, $k_{\text{cat}} = 1.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$)—the former (*R*)-enantiomer is preferably metabolized by APAO [24]. Comparisons of their specificity constants, i.e. k_{cat}/K_m of N^1 -Ac-(*R*)-MeSpd ($94737 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and N^1 -Ac-(*S*)-MeSpd ($7059 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) for APAO with P4-(*R*)-MeSpd and P4-(*S*)-MeSpd having bulkier substituents show that the specificity constant ratio of N^1 -Ac-(*R*)-MeSpd/ N^1 -Ac-(*S*)-MeSpd is only 13 in comparison with 116 with P4-(*R*)-MeSpd/P4-(*S*)-MeSpd derivatives. This explains why Schiff base formed by bulky aldehydes, like pyridoxal and benzaldehyde, allows almost complete catalytic activation of either (*S*)- or (*R*)-MeSpd respectively [29]. Surprisingly, the specificity constant ratio with Bn-(*R*)-MeSpd and Bn-(*S*)-MeSpd was only four in comparison with earlier determined eight for benzaldehyde Schiff base derivatives of (*R*)-MeSpd and (*S*)-MeSpd for APAO (Table 1) [29]. Importantly, among the amide derivatives, i.e. P4-MeSpd and Bz-MeSpd, we found only (*R*)-enantiomer-activating guide molecules showing specificity constant ratios of 116 and 25 respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Our present data show that in the case of MeSpd it is possible to regulate the substrate properties of APAO by changing the stereoconfiguration of chiral centre in combination with the structure of an attached *N*-acyl/*N*-alkyl substituent. These features could be exploited in drug design by generating *N*-alkylated polyamine analogues that are resistant against APAO/SMOX-mediated degradation. Furthermore, specific inhibitors or substrates for enzymatic assays for APAO could be prepared accordingly.

***N*-alkylated and amide derivatives of (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd as substrates of human recombinant SMOX**

SMOX was cloned in 2001 [5,33] and was soon shown to be a distinct enzyme from the earlier characterized APAO [34]. SMOX has several splice variants among which at least two are catalytically active, one being cytosolic and the other showing cytosolic/nuclear localization [35,36]. Interestingly, many *N*-alkylated polyamine analogues induce SMOX, and induction of SMOX has been attributed to analogue-mediated growth inhibition and cytotoxicity [11]. Moreover, recent data clearly show that SMOX induction is associated with the development of gastric, prostate and colon cancers [37-39]. Thus, developing specific inhibitors of SMOX is of crucial importance [40]. In addition, the use of specific substrates for SMOX and APAO would enable distinguishing between APAO and SMOX enzyme activities *in vivo*. All the tested amide analogues had K_m over 100 μM and k_{cat} below 0.05 s^{-1} . The K_i values for SMOX were $589 \pm 58 \mu\text{M}$ for Bz-(*R*)-MeSpd, $846 \pm 82 \mu\text{M}$ for Bz-(*S*)-MeSpd, $1277 \pm 111 \mu\text{M}$ for P4-(*R*)-MeSpd and $1016 \pm 79 \mu\text{M}$ for P4-(*S*)-MeSpd. Bn-(*S*)-MeSpd had K_i of $155 \pm 13 \mu\text{M}$ and Bn-(*R*)-MeSpd K_i of $441 \pm 32 \mu\text{M}$, thus not being substrates of SMOX. The data on the interaction of acyl derivatives of (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd, i.e. (Bz) and (P4) derivatives as well as alkyl (Bn) derivatives of MeSpd with APAO in comparison with SMOX clearly demonstrate that the tested compounds were differently recognized by these polyamine oxidases.

***N*-alkylated and amide derivatives of (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd as substrates of amine oxidases in rat liver homogenates**

Hölttä [32] originally purified APAO from the rat liver which is a good source for the enzyme. There are not much data available about the tissue distribution of APAO and SMOX in animals or humans, but the available data show that liver has the second highest APAO activity among the 13 studied organs in rat [34,41,42]. APAO prefers the N^1 -Ac-(*R*)-MeSpd over to respective (*S*)-enantiomer [24]. The similar strong (*R*)-preference was true with bulky P4-, Bz- and Bn-MeSpd when rat liver supernatant was used as an enzyme source (Table 2). All the corresponding (*S*)-enantiomer derivatives were not degraded under the same experimental conditions. Complete inhibition of analogue degradation in the presence of MDL72527, an irreversible inhibitor of APAO and SMOX, clearly suggest that their degradation is mediated by APAO and/or SMOX. More importantly, human recombinant SMOX displayed very low k_{cat} and high K_i for the studied Spd derivatives (see above paragraph), thus clearly pointing to APAO as the degrading enzyme. These data indicate that (*S*)-1-methylation renders Spd analogue derivatives stable and could therefore be used to stabilize previously developed *N*-alkylated polyamine analogues for *in vivo* use. Furthermore, introduction of 1-methyl group could also alter biological response in comparison with parent compound [19,43].

Substrate properties of Fms1 and the pH dependency of reaction using Spm as a substrate

Fms1 was originally characterized in yeast as a high-copy suppressor of the antifungal drug fenpropimorph. Its cloning and production as recombinant enzyme facilitated the characterization of its substrate specificity in 2003 [31]. The enzyme has been crystallized with several ligands and their structural data are available [16]. APAO, SMOX and Fms1 share many common features but their substrate specificities differ interestingly. SMOX prefers Spm over N^1 -AcSpm, and other polyamines or their acetylated derivatives are not substrates [40]. APAO prefers N^1 -AcSpm, N^1, N^{12} -DiAcSpm and N^1 -AcSpd while N^8 -AcSpd is an efficient inhibitor for the enzyme [29,44]. Fms1 cleaves at the exo- N^4 -site of N^1 -AcSpm > Spm > N^1 -AcSpd >> and endo- N^4 -site of N^8 -AcSpd [31]. Recent kinetic data of Fms1 by Adachi et al. [45] sets Spm ($k_{\text{cat}} = 39.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$) > N^1 -AcSpm. ($k_{\text{cat}} = 15.1 \pm 0.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$). APAO and SMOX cleave substrates at exo- N^4 -site, thus differentiating them from the maize PAO. Fms1 has the highest k_{cat} values for Spm in comparison with APAO or SMOX [25,29,31,45].

Here we used recombinant Fms1 having the activity of $30.9 \pm 0.45 \mu\text{mol}/\text{mg}/\text{min}$ ($k_{\text{cat}} = 30.3 \pm 0.44 \text{ s}^{-1}$) in Glycine-NaOH buffer at pH 9.0 and with 1 mM Spm as a substrate (Table 3). The reaction velocity was slightly enhanced in 100 mM Tris/HCl or 170 mM Bis/Tris buffers at pH 9.0 reaching $36.1 \pm 0.24 \mu\text{mol}/\text{mg}/\text{min}$. The use of HPLC for detecting reaction products allowed a reliable determination of reaction velocity compared with pH which could be hampered in peroxidase-coupled assay systems [30]. Reaction velocity was the highest at pH 9.25 and was retarded to 60% at pH 8.5 and to ~15% at pH 8.0 in comparison with reaction rate at the optimum pH (Supplementary Material 1, Figure S1). Determined pH dependency correlated with the data obtained by Adachi et al. [45]. The pH dependency of the reaction velocity was similar to that of APAO and SMOX [32,45-47]. The kinetic values of Fms1 for racemic Me₂Spm, (*R,R*)-Me₂Spm, (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm and Spm are shown in Table 3. Despite 1,12-bis-methylation, the affinities of analogues for Fms1 were retained but the catalytic velocities dropped to less than one tenth in comparison with Spm. Thus, Fms1 tolerated 1,12-bis-methyl substituents in spite of their stereoconfiguration in Spm poorly in

Table 3 Kinetic values for Spm and its 1,12-bis-methylated analogues as substrates of Fms1

Polyamine	K_m (μM)	V_{max} ($\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$)	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_m ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)
Spm ¹	77 ± 8	31.7 ± 1.0	31.1 ± 0.98	(400 ± 38) × 10 ³
Racemic Me ₂ Spm ²	54 ± 7	1.51 ± 0.05	1.48 ± 0.05	(27 ± 3.7) × 10 ³
(<i>R,R</i>)-Me ₂ Spm ³	98 ± 12	0.79 ± 0.03	0.77 ± 0.03	(7.9 ± 1.1) × 10 ³
(<i>S,S</i>)-Me ₂ Spm ³	61 ± 7	1.89 ± 0.05	1.85 ± 0.05	(30 ± 3.6) × 10 ³

Reactions were carried out in triplicates in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH buffer at pH 9.0 and analysed for reaction products as described in 'Experimental procedures' section. Turnover number (k_{cat}) has been calculated by using M_r of 58833 for Fms1 monomer.

¹25, 50, 75, 100, 200, 400 and 600 μM concentrations were used.

²25, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 1000 μM concentrations were used.

³25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 600 and 1000 μM concentrations were used.

comparison with APAO and SMOX. In the case of APAO catalytic velocity using (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm was slightly enhanced in comparison with Spm. Specificity constant ratios in using (*S,S*)-Me₂Spm as a reference substrate between these polyamine oxidases are SMOX (SS/RR 454; SS/Spm 2.1) >>> APAO (SS/RR 28; SS/Spm 7.1) > Fms1 (SS/RR 3.9; SS/Spm 0.07) [25,29].

Control of regioselectivity of Fms1 for Spd with aldehydes

Aldehyde supplementation has been successfully used to mimic N^1 -acetylation of Spd in APAO catalysis, since N^1 -AcSpd is a substrate of Fms1. We studied the effects of different aldehydes on substrate properties of Spd for Fms1 [29,31]. First, we found that Fms1 slowly degraded Spd and the K_m value for Spd was expectedly much higher than that for Spm and N^1 -AcSpd. The reaction was expected to yield Put and 3-aminopropanal, yet our HPLC analyses indicated that DAP was also produced (Table 4). This implies the presence of two cleavage sites, at *exo*- and at *endo*- N^4 -sites of Spd as reported earlier for N^1 - and N^8 -AcSpd respectively [31]. Table 4 shows the effects of various aldehydes (mimicking N^1 -AcSpd, N^8 -AcSpd and N^1,N^8 -DiAcSpd) on the Fms1-catalysed reaction with Spd as the substrate. Unlike the human APAO reaction, where the aldehydes mainly increased V_{max} values, in the Fms1 reaction the aldehydes most profoundly decreased the K_m values. Table 4 also shows the two distinct cleavage sites, cleavage at E1 yielding Put and at E2 yielding DAP. In the absence of the aldehydes, the E1 route was strongly preferred. Most of the aldehydes enhanced the cleavage at E1, yet three of them (A6, A18 and A4) shifted the balance towards E2 cleavage site (Table 4). The aldehydes increased the ratio of the cleavage pathways (E1/E2) up to 5-fold (A7) and decreased it up to 12-fold (A4) at best. In most cases, the supplemented aldehydes brought about a dramatic increase in the enzyme efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) at both cleavage sites. However, with the tested aldehydes the maximal reaction velocities of 1/10 of k_{cat} for E1 (N^1 -AcSpd) cleavage and approximately one-third for E2 (N^8 -AcSpd) cleavage were reached respectively. Thus, in the case of Fms1 using Spd as a substrate the supplementation of aromatic aldehydes to reaction mixture gives a possibility to control the regioselectivity of the reaction.

N^1 -AcMeSpd and its (*R*)- and (*S*)-enantiomers as substrates of Fms1













The human recombinant APAO readily catalysed oxidation of N^1 -Ac-(*R*)-MeSpd and Schiff bases of MeSpd with aromatic aldehydes [24,29]. Unexpectedly, Fms1 did not metabolize neither of (*R*)- and (*S*)-enantiomers of N^1 -Ac-MeSpds (Supplementary Material 1, Table S1). Accordingly, (*R*)- and (*S*)-MeSpd had similar ($K_m > 500 \mu\text{M}$) as Spd (Supplementary Material 1, Table S2). Above applies to both of the tested aldehydes A12 and A13 (50 and 500 μM) with 1 or 4 mM (*R*-) or (*S*)-MeSpd (Supplementary Material 1, Table S3).

Conclusion

The obtained data clearly demonstrate that Fms1 and APAO (both using achiral natural polyamines as substrates) appear to be representative examples of enzymes, whose stereospecificity and regioselectivity can be modulated by small guide molecules. Having established that Spd in Fms1 reaction has two cleavage sites, i.e. *exo*- N^4 -site (E_1) and *endo*- N^4 -site (E_2), it turned out to be possible to induce predominant cleavage at either (E_1) or (E_2) site by minor changes of the structure of supplemented aromatic aldehyde needed to form *in situ* a novel substrate—Schiff base with Spd. The same 'aldehyde approach' in the case of APAO and chiral 1-MeSpds' provided a unique possibility to induce cleavage of either (*R*)- or (*S*)-isomer depending on the structure of used aromatic aldehyde. Fms1 like APAO exhibits hidden stereospecificity and prefers (*S,S*)- to (*R,R*)-Me₂Spm diastereoisomer with notably lower k_{cat} in comparison with Spm. The present data together with earlier accumulated knowledge of polyamine analogue structure–bioactivity

relationships allow deriving novel chemico-biological applications to modulate cell physiology and generation of specific substrates or inhibitors for polyamine metabolizing enzymes.

Table 4 Kinetic values of *N*¹-AcSpd, *N*⁶-AcSpd, and Spd in the presence or absence of different aldehydes, for Fms1

Substrate and/or supplementary aldehyde	Ratio of E ₁ /E ₂	E ₁ cleavage kinetic values (Put)			E ₂ cleavage kinetic values (DAP)		
		<i>K</i> _m (μM)	<i>k</i> _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	<i>k</i> _{cat} / <i>K</i> _m (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	<i>K</i> _m (μM)	<i>k</i> _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	<i>k</i> _{cat} / <i>K</i> _m (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
							
<i>N</i> ¹ -AcSpd	NA	42 ± 8	65 ± 2	(1600 ± 300) × 10 ³	NA	NA	NA
<i>N</i> ⁶ -AcSpd	NA	NA	NA	NA	122 ± 18	1.4 ± 0.1	(12 ± 1.8) × 10 ³
Spd	7.5	534 ± 36	0.34 ± 0.01	640 ± 47	643 ± 52	0.05 ± 0.00	86 ± 7
A5 	5.2	25 ± 3	0.54 ± 0.01	(22 ± 2.6) × 10 ³	18 ± 3	0.08 ± 0.00	(4.2 ± 0.8) × 10 ³
A6 	0.22	32 ± 3	0.31 ± 0.01	(0.97 ± 0.10) × 10 ³	107 ± 6	0.47 ± 0.01	(4.4 ± 0.3) × 10 ³
A16 	118	1.3 ± 1.0	0.11 ± 0.00	(85 ± 65) × 10 ³	42 ± 10	0.03 ± 0.00	(0.72 ± 0.17) × 10 ³
A13 	12.9	139 ± 9	7.4 ± 0.2	(53 ± 3.8) × 10 ³	47 ± 6	0.19 ± 0.01	(4.1 ± 0.6) × 10 ³
A12 	8.0	138 ± 8	5.5 ± 0.1	(40 ± 2.4) × 10 ³	96 ± 7	0.48 ± 0.01	(5.0 ± 0.4) × 10 ³
A18 	2.2	25 ± 2	0.49 ± 0.01	(19 ± 1.6) × 10 ³	55 ± 4	0.49 ± 0.01	(8.8 ± 0.7) × 10 ³
PL 	NA	33 ± 3	1.13 ± 0.03	(34 ± 3.2) × 10 ³	NA	NA	NA
A4 	NA	NA	0.13 ± 0.00	NA	217 ± 10	0.22 ± 0.00	(1.0 ± 0.05) × 10 ³
A3 	5.4	185 ± 16	1.41 ± 0.04	(7.6 ± 0.7) × 10 ³	296 ± 16	0.43 ± 0.01	(1.4 ± 0.09) × 10 ³
A7 	NA	16 ± 3	1.06 ± 0.04	(66 ± 13) × 10 ³	NA	0.03 ± 0.00	NA
A11 	6.4	5.3 ± 0.9	0.37 ± 0.00	(70 ± 12) × 10 ³	4.7 ± 0.8	0.05 ± 0.00	(11 ± 1.8) × 10 ³

The reactions were carried out in triplicate at pH 9.0 in 100 mM Glycine-NaOH at +25°C with the fixed 1 mM Spd supplemented with increasing concentrations (25, 50, 75, 100, 250, 500 and 1000 μM) of tested aldehyde (Figure 2). Kinetic values for Spd were determined by using substrate concentrations of 50, 100, 200, 400, 600, 1000 and 4000 μM. Recombinant Fms1 was 1–2 μg/reaction and the incubation time from 5 to 30 min. Linearity of reaction was monitored by using T_{1/2} controls, i.e. samples that have been incubated for 2.5–15 min (half of the reaction time of an ordinary sample). *N*¹AcSpd 50, 100, 300, 600 and 1000 μM 0.05 μg of Fms1 at 25°C 1 min. *N*⁶AcSpd 50, 100, 200 and 600 μM 0.59 μg of Fms1 at 25°C 10 min. Reaction mixtures without the enzyme supplement were used to control purity of the reagents and to exclude non-enzymatic degradation of the compounds. E₁ cleavage was monitored by HPLC by measuring Put formation and E₂ cleavage by determining DAP content. *k*_{cat} values have been calculated assuming *M*_r of 58833 for monomer with one catalytically active centre.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms Tuula Reponen for HPLC analysis and technical assistance, Ms Anne Karppinen and Ms Arja Korhonen for technical assistance and Ms Maritta Salminkoski for the purification of aldehydes used for the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Author contribution

L.A. and T.A.K. planned the experiments and constructed the study. T.A.K. and M.T.H. carried out the enzyme kinetics experiments and calculated the results. N.G., A.R.K. and J.V. synthesized the polyamine analogues and analysed their purity. Q.H. provided the recombinant Fms1 enzyme protein and the expression vector of Fms1 for recombinant protein production. A.U. prepared the recombinant APAO and SMOX. All the authors took part in data analysis and in writing the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland [grant numbers 266196, 315487]; the University of Eastern Finland Strategic Spearhead Funding [grant number Dnro;197.02.05.02.11]; the Russian Science Foundation [grant number #17-74-20049 (to A.R.K.)]; and the Program of Fundamental Research for State Academies for years 2013–2020 [grant number #01201363818].

Abbreviations

APAO, acetyl polyamine oxidase; DAP, 1,3-diaminopropane; DHS, deoxyhypusine synthase; Fms1, yeast polyamine oxidase; MeSpd, 1-methylspermidine (1,8-diamino-5-azanonane); Me₂Spm, 1,12-bis-methylspermine (2,13-diamino-5,10-diazatetradecane); Put, putrescine; SMOX, spermine oxidase; Spd, spermidine; Spm, spermine; SSAT, Spd/Spm-N¹-acetyltransferase.

References

- 1 Tabor, C.W. and Tabor, H. (1984) Polyamines. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **53**, 749–790, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.53.070184.003533>
- 2 Wallace, H.M., Fraser, A.V. and Hughes, A. (2003) A perspective of polyamine metabolism. *Biochem. J.* **376**, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20031327>
- 3 Palmer, A.J. and Wallace, H.M. (2010) The polyamine transport system as a target for anticancer drug development. *Amino Acids* **38**, 415–422, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-009-0400-2>
- 4 Seiler, N. (1987) Functions of polyamine acetylation. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **65**, 2024–2035, <https://doi.org/10.1139/y87-317>
- 5 Vujcic, S., Diegelman, P., Bacchi, C.J., Kramer, D.L. and Porter, C.W. (2002) Identification and characterization of a novel flavin-containing spermine oxidase of mammalian cell origin. *Biochem. J.* **367**, 665–675, <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20020720>
- 6 Casero, R.A. and Pegg, A.E. (2009) Polyamine catabolism and disease. *Biochem. J.* **421**, 323–338, <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20090598>
- 7 Murray-Stewart, T.R., Woster, P.M. and Casero, Jr, R.A. (2016) Targeting polyamine metabolism for cancer therapy and prevention. *Biochem. J.* **473**, 2937–2953, <https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160383>
- 8 Birkholtz, L.M., Williams, M., Niemand, J., Louw, A.L., Persson, L. and Heby, O. (2011) Polyamine homeostasis as a drug target in pathogenic protozoa: peculiarities and possibilities. *Biochem. J.* **438**, 229–244, <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20110362>
- 9 Babbar, N., Murray-Stewart, T. and Casero, Jr, R.A. (2007) Inflammation and polyamine catabolism: the good, the bad and the ugly. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **35**, 300–304, <https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0350300>
- 10 Lawson, K.R., Marek, S., Linehan, J.A., Woster, P.M., Casero, Jr, R.A., Payne, C.M. et al. (2002) Detoxification of the polyamine analogue N¹-ethyl-N¹¹-[(cycloheptyl)methyl]-4,8-diazaundecane (CHENSpm) by polyamine oxidase. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **8**, 1241–1247
- 11 Pledgie, A., Huang, Y., Hacker, A., Zhang, Z., Woster, P.M., Davidson, N.E. et al. (2005) Spermine oxidase SMO(PAOh1), Not N¹-acetyl polyamine oxidase PAO, is the primary source of cytotoxic H₂O₂ in polyamine analogue-treated human breast cancer cell lines. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 39843–39851, <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M508177200>
- 12 Sjogren, T., Wassvik, C.M., Snijder, A., Aagaard, A., Kumanomidou, T., Barlund, L. et al. (2017) The structure of murine N(1)-acetyl spermine oxidase reveals molecular details of vertebrate polyamine catabolism. *Biochemistry* **56**, 458–467, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.6b01140>
- 13 Tavladoraki, P., Cervelli, M., Antonangeli, F., Minervini, G., Stano, P., Federico, R. et al. (2011) Probing mammalian spermine oxidase enzyme-substrate complex through molecular modeling, site-directed mutagenesis and biochemical characterization. *Amino Acids* **40**, 1115–1126, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0735-8>
- 14 Tormos, J.R., Henderson Pozzi, M. and Fitzpatrick, P.F. (2012) Mechanistic studies of the role of a conserved histidine in a mammalian polyamine oxidase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **528**, 45–49, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2012.08.007>
- 15 Binda, C., Angelini, R., Federico, R., Ascenzi, P. and Mattevi, A. (2001) Structural bases for inhibitor binding and catalysis in polyamine oxidase. *Biochemistry* **40**, 2766–2776, <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi002751j>
- 16 Huang, Q., Liu, Q. and Hao, Q. (2005) Crystal structures of Fms1 and its complex with spermine reveal substrate specificity. *J. Mol. Biol.* **348**, 951–959, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.03.008>

- 17 Moriya, S.S., Miura, T., Takao, K., Sugita, Y., Samejima, K., Hiramatsu, K. et al. (2014) Development of irreversible inactivators of spermine oxidase and N¹-acetylpolymine oxidase. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **37**, 475–480, <https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.b13-00913>
- 18 Blaschko, H. (1952) Amine oxidase and amine metabolism. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **4**, 415–458
- 19 Barreiro, E.J., Kummerle, A.E. and Fraga, C.A. (2011) The methylation effect in medicinal chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **111**, 5215–5246, <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200060g>
- 20 Lakanen, J.R., Coward, J.K. and Pegg, A.E. (1992) alpha-Methyl polyamines: metabolically stable spermidine and spermine mimics capable of supporting growth in cells depleted of polyamines. *J. Med. Chem.* **35**, 724–734, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm00082a013>
- 21 Byers, T.L., Lakanen, J.R., Coward, J.K. and Pegg, A.E. (1994) The role of hypusine depletion in cytostasis induced by S-adenosyl-L-methionine decarboxylase inhibition: new evidence provided by 1- methylspermidine and 1,12-dimethylspermine. *Biochem. J.* **303**, 363–368, <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3030363>
- 22 Järvinen, A., Grigorenko, N., Khomutov, A.R., Hyvönen, M.T., Uimari, A., Vepsäläinen, J. et al. (2005) Metabolic stability of alpha-methylated polyamine derivatives and their use as substitutes for the natural polyamines. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 6595–6601, <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M412788200>
- 23 Keinänen, T.A., Järvinen, A., Uimari, A., Vepsäläinen, J., Khomutov, A.R., Grigorenko, N.A. et al. (2007) Alpha-methylated polyamines as potential drugs and experimental tools in enzymology. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* **7**, 813–820, <https://doi.org/10.2174/138955707781387867>
- 24 Järvinen, A.J., Cerrada-Gimenez, M., Grigorenko, N.A., Khomutov, A.R., Vepsäläinen, J.J., Sinervirta, R.M. et al. (2006) Alpha-Methyl polyamines: Efficient synthesis and tolerance studies *in vivo* and *in vitro*. First evidence for dormant stereospecificity of polyamine oxidase. *J. Med. Chem.* **49**, 399–406
- 25 Hyvönen, M.T., Keinänen, T.A., Cerrada-Gimenez, M., Sinervirta, R., Grigorenko, N., Khomutov, A.R. et al. (2007) Role of hypusinated eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A in polyamine depletion-induced cytostasis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 34700–34706, <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M704282200>
- 26 Hyvönen, M.T., Keinänen, T.A., Khomutov, M., Simonian, A., Vepsäläinen, J., Park, J.H. et al. (2012) Effects of novel C-methylated spermidine analogs on cell growth via hypusination of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A. *Amino Acids* **42**, 685–695, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-011-0984-1>
- 27 Hyvönen, M.T., Howard, M.T., Anderson, C.B., Grigorenko, N., Khomutov, A.R., Vepsäläinen, J. et al. (2009) Divergent regulation of the key enzymes of polyamine metabolism by chiral alpha-methylated polyamine analogues. *Biochem. J.* **422**, 321–328, <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20090737>
- 28 Hyvönen, M.T., Khomutov, M., Petit, M., Weisell, J., Kochetkov, S.N., Alhonen, L. et al. (2015) Enantiomers of 3-methylspermidine selectively modulate deoxyhypusine synthesis and reveal important determinants for spermidine transport. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **10**, 1417–1424, <https://doi.org/10.1021/cb500938e>
- 29 Järvinen, A., Keinänen, T.A., Grigorenko, N.A., Khomutov, A.R., Uimari, A., Vepsäläinen, J. et al. (2006) Guide molecule-driven stereospecific degradation of alpha-methylpolyamines by polyamine oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 4589–4595, <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M509959200>
- 30 Hyvönen, T., Keinänen, T.A., Khomutov, A.R., Khomutov, R.M. and Eloranta, T.O. (1992) Monitoring of the uptake and metabolism of aminoxy analogues of polyamines in cultured cells by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr.* **574**, 17–21, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4347\(92\)80093-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4347(92)80093-6)
- 31 Landry, J. and Sternglanz, R. (2003) Yeast Fms1 is a FAD-utilizing polyamine oxidase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **303**, 771–776, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X\(03\)00416-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(03)00416-9)
- 32 Hölttä, E. (1977) Oxidation of spermidine and spermine in rat liver: purification and properties of polyamine oxidase. *Biochemistry* **16**, 91–100, <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00620a015>
- 33 Wang, Y., Devereux, W., Woster, P.M., Stewart, T.M., Hacker, A. and Casero, Jr, R.A. (2001) Cloning and characterization of a human polyamine oxidase that is inducible by polyamine analogue exposure. *Cancer Res.* **61**, 5370–5373
- 34 Vujcic, S., Liang, P., Diegelman, P., Kramer, D.L. and Porter, C.W. (2003) Genomic identification and biochemical characterization of the mammalian polyamine oxidase involved in polyamine back-conversion. *Biochem. J.* **370**, 19–28, <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20021779>
- 35 Cervelli, M., Bellini, A., Bianchi, M., Marcocci, L., Nocera, S., Polticelli, F. et al. (2004) Mouse spermine oxidase gene splice variants. Nuclear subcellular localization of a novel active isoform. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **271**, 760–770, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.2004.03979.x>
- 36 Murray-Stewart, T., Wang, Y., Goodwin, A., Hacker, A., Meeker, A. and Casero, Jr, R.A. (2008) Nuclear localization of human spermine oxidase isoforms - possible implications in drug response and disease etiology. *FEBS J.* **275**, 2795–2806, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06419.x>
- 37 Chaturvedi, R., Asim, M., Romero-Gallo, J., Barry, D.P., Hoge, S., de Sablet, T. et al. (2011) Spermine oxidase mediates the gastric cancer risk associated with *Helicobacter pylori* CagA. *Gastroenterology* **141**, 1696–1708.e1691-1692, <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2011.07.045>
- 38 Goodwin, A.C., Jadallah, S., Toubaji, A., Lecksell, K., Hicks, J.L., Kowalski, J. et al. (2008) Increased spermine oxidase expression in human prostate cancer and prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia tissues. *Prostate* **68**, 766–772, <https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.20735>
- 39 Goodwin, A.C., Destefano Shields, C.E., Wu, S., Huso, D.L., Wu, X., Murray-Stewart, T.R. et al. (2011) Polyamine catabolism contributes to enterotoxigenic *Bacteroides fragilis*-induced colon tumorigenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 15354–15359, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010203108>
- 40 Wang, Y., Murray-Stewart, T., Devereux, W., Hacker, A., Frydman, B., Woster, P.M. et al. (2003) Properties of purified recombinant human polyamine oxidase, PAOh1/SMO. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **304**, 605–611, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X\(03\)00636-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(03)00636-3)
- 41 Seiler, N., Bolkenius, F.N., Knödgen, B. and Mamont, P. (1980) Polyamine oxidase in rat tissues. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **615**, 480–488, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2744\(80\)90514-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2744(80)90514-8)
- 42 Suzuki, O., Matsumoto, T. and Katsumata, Y. (1984) Determination of polyamine oxidase activities in human tissues. *Experientia* **40**, 838–839, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01951981>
- 43 Keinänen, T.A., Hyvönen, M.T., Alhonen, L., Vepsäläinen, J. and Khomutov, A.R. (2014) Selective regulation of polyamine metabolism with methylated polyamine analogues. *Amino Acids* **46**, 605–620, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-013-1587-9>
- 44 Bolkenius, F.N. and Seiler, N. (1981) Acetyl derivatives as intermediates in polyamine catabolism. *Int. J. Biochem.* **13**, 287–292, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-711X\(81\)90080-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-711X(81)90080-X)

- 45 Adachi, M.S., Torres, J.M. and Fitzpatrick, P.F. (2010) Mechanistic studies of the yeast polyamine oxidase Fms1: kinetic mechanism, substrate specificity, and pH dependence. *Biochemistry* **49**, 10440–10448, <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi1016099>
- 46 Henderson Pozzi, M., Gawandi, V. and Fitzpatrick, P.F. (2009) pH dependence of a mammalian polyamine oxidase: insights into substrate specificity and the role of lysine 315. *Biochemistry* **48**, 1508–1516, <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi802227m>
- 47 Häkkinen, M.R., Hyvönen, M.T., Auriola, S., Casero, Jr, R.A., Vepsäläinen, J., Khomutov, A.R. et al. (2010) Metabolism of N-alkylated spermine analogues by polyamine and spermine oxidases. *Amino Acids* **38**, 369–381, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-009-0429-2>