# Relationship between serum homocysteine levels and long-term outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

Jin Si<sup>1</sup>, Xue-Wen Li<sup>2,3</sup>, Yang Wang<sup>4</sup>, Ying-Hua Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Qing-Qing Wu<sup>5</sup>, Lei-Min Zhang<sup>6</sup>, Xue-Bing Zuo<sup>1</sup>, Jing Gao<sup>1</sup>, Jing Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100053, China;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin 300070, China;

<sup>3</sup>Cadre's Ward, Characteristic Medical Center of Chinese People's Armed Police Force, Tianjin 300162, China;

<sup>4</sup>Medical Research & Biometrics Center, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Fuwai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100037, China;

<sup>5</sup>Department of Cardiology, Beijing Luhe Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 101149, China;

<sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiology, Qinghe Substation Hospital of Beijing Municipal Administration of Prisons, Tianjin 300481, China.

# Abstract

**Background:** The mortality of cardiovascular disease is constantly rising, and novel biomarkers help us predict residual risk. This study aimed to evaluate the predictive value of serum homocysteine (HCY) levels on prognosis in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

**Methods:** The 419 consecutive patients with STEMI, treated at one medical center, from March 2010 to December 2015 were retrospectively investigated. Peripheral blood samples were obtained within 24 h of admission and HCY concentrations were measured using an enzymatic cycling assay. The patients were divided into high HCY level (H-HCY) and low HCY level (L-HCY) groups. Short- and long-term outcomes were compared, as were age-based subgroups (patients aged 60 years and younger *vs.* those older than 60 years). Statistical analyses were mainly conducted by Student *t*-test, Chi-squared test, logistic regression, and Cox proportional-hazards regression.

**Results:** The H-HCY group had more males (84.6% *vs.* 75.4%, P=0.018), and a lower prevalence of diabetes (20.2% *vs.* 35.5%, P < 0.001), compared with the L-HCY group. During hospitalization, there were seven mortalities in the L-HCY group and 10 in the H-HCY group (3.3% *vs.* 4.8%, P=0.440). During the median follow-up period of 35.8 (26.9–46.1) months, 33 (16.2%) patients in the L-HCY group and 48 (24.2%) in the H-HCY group experienced major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) (P=0.120). History of hypertension (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.881, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.178–3.005, P=0.008) and higher Killip class (HR: 1.923, 95% CI: 1.419–2.607, P < 0.001), but not HCY levels (HR: 1.007, 95% CI: 0.987–1.027, P=0.507), were significantly associated with long-term outcomes. However, the subgroup analysis indicated that in older patients, HCY levels were significantly associated with long-term outcomes (HR: 1.036, 95% CI: 1.011–1.062, P=0.005). **Conclusion:** Serum HCY levels did not independently predict in-hospital or long-term outcomes in patients with STEMI; however, among elderly patients with STEMI, this study revealed a risk profile for late outcomes that incorporated HCY level. **Keywords:** Homocysteine; Acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; Percutaneous coronary intervention; Clinical

# Introduction

outcome

Cardiovascular diseases are currently the major causes of mortality worldwide.<sup>[1,2]</sup> In China, the prevalence and mortality of cardiovascular diseases are constantly rising. While traditional risk factors are the main therapeutic targets for risk stratification, novel biomarkers may further

Access this article online					
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.cmj.org				
	DOI: 10.1097/CM9.0000000000000159				

predict residual risk, and therefore improve the effectiveness of primary and secondary prevention.<sup>[3,4]</sup>

Homocysteine (HCY), a non-essential sulfur-containing amino acid, is an intermediate metabolite of methionine. Basic experiments indicated that HCY might be a risk factor for atherosclerosis.<sup>[5]</sup> Furthermore, there is clinical

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Jing Li, Department of Cardiology, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100053, China E-Mail: shpxbb@sina.com

Copyright © 2019 The Chinese Medical Association, produced by Wolters Kluwer, Inc. under the CC-BY-NC-ND license. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Chinese Medical Journal 2019;132(9)

Received: 18-11-2018 Edited by: Xin Chen

Jin Si and Xue-Wen Li contributed equally to this work.

evidence that higher HCY levels may be associated with poor outcomes in patients with stable angina, stroke, and peripheral artery disease.<sup>[6,7]</sup> Nevertheless, the predictive value of serum HCY levels in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), which is caused by acute coronary occlusion, remains uncertain. This study was to compare HCY levels and short- and long-term outcomes in patients with STEMI.

# Methods

# Ethical approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the *Declaration of Helsinki* and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University. Given the retrospective nature of this study, written informed consent was waived.

# Study population

The study cohort included 419 consecutive patients with STEMI who presented at Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University from March 2010 to December 2015. STEMI was defined according to the presence of at least two of the following three criteria: (1) periods of prolonged chest pain ( $\geq 20$  min); (2) ST-segment elevation  $\geq 2$  mm in at least two contiguous precordial leads, or ST-segment elevation  $\geq 1$  mm in at least two inferior leads, or new left bundle branch block<sup>[8]</sup>; and (3) elevation of a myocardial biomarker (cardiac troponin [cTn] or creatine kinase MB/isoenzyme of creatine kinase [CK-MB]) >99th percentile or two times the normal value. Patients were excluded if met the following criteria: (1) taking a folic acid supplement; (2) with a prior history of STEMI; (3) with a prior history of coronary revascularization; or (4) acute or chronic inflammatory disease.

Baseline information (clinical characteristics, laboratory results, and angiography) were collected by interviewing patients and medical record reviews. Patients were classified into two groups according to their serum HCY levels in relation to the median level (14.4  $\mu$ mol/L). For the subgroup analysis, patients were divided into two subgroups according to age ( $\leq 60$  years and > 60 years).

# Coronary angiography

Diagnostic coronary angiography was performed using 5 to 6 French Judkins catheters (Cordis Corp., Miami Lakes, Florida, USA) and either a radial or femoral approach.<sup>[9]</sup> Performance of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was determined by operators. All stenosis were evaluated visually and the Gensini score was determined.<sup>[10]</sup> Single vessel disease was defined as stenosis of at least 50% in one major epicardial coronary artery, while multi-vessel disease was defined as stenosis of at least 50% in  $\geq$  two major epicardial coronary arteries.

# **Biochemical measurements**

Peripheral blood samples were obtained within 24 h of hospital admission. After coagulation at room temperature

for 30 min, the samples were centrifuged at  $3108 \times g$  for 5 min and the serum was aspirated. Serum HCY concentrations were measured by enzymatic cycling assay according to the kit instructions (Maccura Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Chengdu, China) with the Hitachi Automatic Analyzer 7600–210 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Serum concentrations of creatinine, uric acid, hemoglobin glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, and low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol were assessed using standard laboratory methods.

# In-hospital death and long-term outcomes

The in-hospital mortality, defined as all-cause death, was compared between groups. Patients were followed up via telephone calls at six and 12 months, and then annually. The primary endpoint was defined as major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCE), including all-cause death, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, heart failure, and revascularization.

# Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and compared using a two-tailed Student *t*-test, while medians (Q1, Q3) and Mann–Whitney U tests were used for non-normally distributed variables. Categorical variables are shown as frequency (group percentage) and between-group comparisons were made using the Pearson Chi-square or Fisher exact test. Serum HCY was first considered as a categorical variable divided into two groups (H-HCY and L-HCY), and later as a continuous value to examine the relationship with end points. Bivariate correlations were analyzed using the Pearson correlation model. Binary logistic regression was used for multivariate analyses to determine predictors of in-hospital events. Kaplan-Meier estimates were used to estimate survival curves, and the log-rank test to test between-group differences. Cox proportional-hazards regression models were used to examine the relationship between HCY levels and different end points, after adjustment for multiple clinical and angiographic covariates significantly associated with each end point during univariate analysis. Hazard ratios (HRs) were reported with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The respective predictive cut-off values were constructed according to the receivers operating characteristic curve for HCY for discrimination between surviving and MACCE. The areas under the curve were compared using the Hanley and McNeil method. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-sided P < 0.05 was considered statistical significance.

#### Results

# **Baseline characteristics**

A total of 419 consecutive patients were enrolled. The mean age was  $62.0 \pm 12.2$  years and 336 (80.2%) were males. The baseline clinical and angiographic characteristics of the two groups are presented in Table 1. The

Table 1. Deceline	abarastaristics of	notionto with C	T commont cloudion	mucoordial information	according to UCV lovala
Table 1: baseline	characteristics of	Danems with 5	1-seoment elevation	mvocaronal innarcmon	accorono to mut levels.
	onaraotoriotico or	padonto man o	i ooginonit oloradon	ingoouralar innarouon	according to more lorolor

Characteristics	L-HCY group (n=211)	H-HCY group ( <i>n</i> =208)	Statistical values	, <u>P</u>	
General conditions					
Age (years)	$61.7 \pm 11.5$	$62.4 \pm 12.8$	-0.602*	0.548	
Male gender	159 (75.4)	176 (84.6)	5.603 <sup>†</sup>	0.018	
Hypertension	117 (55.5)	105 (50.5)	$1.038^{+}$	0.308	
Diabetes mellitus	75 (35.5)	42 (20.2)	$12.267^{\dagger}$	< 0.001	
Hypercholesterolemia	85 (40.3)	96 (46.2)	$1.471^{\dagger}$	0.225	
Current smoking	126 (59.7)	141 (67.8)	3.735 <sup>†</sup>	0.154	
Cerebrovascular disease	27 (12.8)	32 (15.4)	$0.580^{+}$	0.446	
Peripheral vascular disease	2 (1.0)	7 (3.3)	2.913 <sup>†</sup>	0.088	
Chest pain	174 (82.5)	168 (80.8)	$2.097^{\dagger}$	0.552	
Prior PCI	19 (9.0)	21 (10.1)	$0.144^{\dagger}$	0.704	
Emergency PCI	116 (55.0)	111 (53.4)	$0.109^{\dagger}$	0.741	
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	$25.25 \pm 3.28$	$25.37 \pm 3.41$	-0.325*	0.745	
HR (beat/min)	$76.73 \pm 14.82$	$77.65 \pm 16.27$	$-0.605^{*}$	0.546	
SBP (mmHg)	$131.76 \pm 22.01$	$133.68 \pm 23.58$	-0.862*	0.389	
DBP (mmHg)	$78.55 \pm 13.47$	$80.46 \pm 15.29$	$-1.352^{*}$	0.177	
LVEF (%)	$56.34 \pm 9.86$	$55.92 \pm 9.55$	$0.389^{*}$	0.697	
Killip class			$3.178^{\dagger}$	0.365	
I	99 (46.9)	95 (45.7)			
II	95 (45.0)	94 (45.2)			
III	15 (7.1)	12 (5.8)			
IV	2 (0.9)	7 (3.4)			
GRACE score	$152.01 \pm 29.77$	$153.51 \pm 35.64$	$-0.467^{*}$	0.641	
Laboratory findings	_	_			
WBC $(\times 10^{9}/L)$	$9.83 \pm 2.84$	$10.95 \pm 3.31$	-3.721*	< 0.001	
Homocysteine (µmol/L)	11.8 (10.0, 12.9)	20.7 (16.6, 25.8)			
Creatinine (mg/L)	660 (570, 780)	730 (630, 860)	- <b>4.</b> 280 <sup>‡</sup>	< 0.001	
Uric acid (µmol/L)	$311.58 \pm 92.42$	$363.34 \pm 139.83$	-3.654*	< 0.001	
HbA1c (%)	6.05(5.60, 7.20)	5.90(5.50, 6.80)	$-1.671^{\ddagger}$	0.095	
Total cholesterol (mg/L)	$44.3 \pm 9.4$	$46.1 \pm 12.1$	$-1.612^{*}$	0.108	
HDL cholesterol (mg/L)	13.2 (11.1, 14.9)	12.6 (10.0, 15.2)	-1.303 <sup>‡</sup>	0.193	
LDL cholesterol (mg/L)	$27.7 \pm 9.2$	$29.0 \pm 9.7$	$-1.345^{*}$	0.179	
Preadmission therapy					
Aspirin	36 (17.1)	41 (19.7)	$0.504^{+}$	0.478	
Statins	27 (12.8)	26 (12.5)	$0.003^{+}$	0.955	
β-blockers	30 (14.2)	37 (17.8)	$1.003^{\dagger}$	0.316	
ACE inhibitors	27 (12.8)	19 (9.1)	$1.439^{+}$	0.230	
Calcium channel blockers	59 (28.0)	35 (16.8)	$7.974^{+}$	0.005	
Extent of vessels (mm)	$2.08 \pm 0.83$	$1.92 \pm 0.88$	$1.713^{*}$	0.088	
Lesion location					
LM	8 (4.5)	13 (7.7)	$1.629^{\dagger}$	0.202	
LAD	139 (77.7)	117 (69.6)	$2.874^{\dagger}$	0.090	
LCX	82 (45.8)	68 (40.5)	$1.005^{\dagger}$	0.316	
RCA	103 (57.5)	82 (48.8)	$2.655^{\dagger}$	0.103	
Multivessel lesion	146 (69.2)	123 (59.1)	3.292 <sup>†</sup>	0.070	
IRA	(.,)		0.044 <sup>†</sup>	0.978	
LAD	103 (48.8)	100 (48.1)			
LCX	33 (15.6)	34 (16.3)			
RCA	75 (35.5)	74 (35.6)			
Gensini score	48.0 (32.0. 80.0)	40.5 (22.3. 80.0)	-0.883*	0.377	
Treatment strategy	,,			0.077	
Primary PCI	116 (55.0)	111 (53.4)	$0.109^{+}$	0.741	
-		· ·			

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD, median (Q1, Q3), or n (%). <sup>\*</sup>t value <sup>†</sup>Chi-square value. <sup>‡</sup>Z value. ACE: Angiotensin-converting enzyme; BMI: Body mass index; CABG: Coronary-artery bypass grafting; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; HDL: High density lipoprotein; HR: Heart rate; IRA: Infarction related artery; LAD: Left anterior descending; LCX: Left circumflex; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; LM: Left main; LVEF: Left ventricle eject fraction; PCI: Percutaneous coronary angiography; RCA: Right coronary artery; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; SD: Standard deviation; WBC: White blood cell.

H-HCY group had more male patients (84.6% *vs.* 75.4%, P=0.018) and fewer patients with diabetes (20.2% *vs.* 35.5%, P < 0.001), compared with the L-HCY group. The levels of white blood cell (WBC), creatinine, and uric acid (UA) were higher in the H-HCY group, compared with the L-HCY group (all P < 0.001). There were no significant differences in prior medication use between L-HCY and H-HCY groups, with the exception of calcium channel blockers (28.0% *vs.* 16.8%, P=0.005). The numbers of diseased vessels and Gensini scores were similar between the two groups.

#### Correlation between serum HCY and biochemistry indicators

Serum HCY levels were positively correlated with WBC count (r=0.146, P=0.003), creatinine (r=0.254, P<0.001) and UA level (r=0.278, P<0.001).

# In-hospital outcomes

Seven patients died in the L-HCY group and 10 in the H-HCY group (3.3% vs. 4.8%, P=0.440). After adjusting for general conditions and blood biochemistry indicators, serum HCY level (OR: 0.970, 95% CI: 0.905–1.039, P=0.387) was not significantly associated with mortality. Age (OR: 1.114, 95% CI: 1.045–1.188, P=0.001), Killip class (OR: 3.340, 95% CI: 1.693–6.591, P=0.001), WBC counts (OR: 1.376, 95% CI: 1.130–1.675, P=0.001) and creatinine levels (OR: 1.013, 95% CI: 1.000–1.026, P=0.044) were independent predictors for in-hospital mortality [Table 2].

#### Long-term outcomes

Follow-up information was available for 324 (80.6%) patients; 78 patients were lost to follow-up. Rates of lost follow-up were almost equal between L-HCY and H-HCY groups in overall population (21.6% *vs*. 17.2%, P=0.265) as well as elder group (15.9% *vs*. 15.0%, P=0.852). During the median follow-up period of 35.8 (26.9, 46.1) months,

81 (20.2%) patients experienced MACCE, including 33 in L-HCY group and 48 in H-HCY group. The unadjusted Kaplan–Meier estimates of MACCE at 7 years were comparable between the two groups (P=0.120) [Figure 1].

# Cox multivariate models for long-term outcomes

The independent predictive value of HCY level on longterm mortality (HR: 1.022, 95% CI: 0.992–1.053, P=0.153) and MACCE (HR: 1.007, 95% CI: 0.987– 1.027, P=0.507) was not statistically significant. Age (HR: 1.041, 95% CI: 1.005–1.079, P=0.025), history of hypertension (HR: 2.879, 95% CI: 1.109–7.475, P=0.030) and Killip class (HR: 2.365, 95% CI: 1.339– 4.177, P=0.003) retained statistical significance for longterm mortality [Table 2]. Meanwhile, hypertension (HR: 1.881, 95% CI: 1.178–3.005, P=0.008) and Killip class (HR: 1.923, 95% CI: 1.419–2.607, P<0.001) were independent predictors of long-term MACCE.

#### Subgroup analysis

All subjects were classified according to age as either younger (age  $\leq 60$  years) or older (age > 60 years) groups and their clinical characteristics were compared [Table 3]. The younger group included more males (93.2% vs. 69.0%, P < 0.001) and smokers (78.9% vs. 51.1%, P < 0.001). In contrast, the older group had a worse average Killip classification (66.8 vs. 37.9%, P < 0.001), higher mean GRACE score (128.34±22.52  $vs. 173.02\pm$ 25.31, P < 0.001) and more multivessel disease (70.3% vs.58.0%, P = 0.027). After following a similar treatment strategy, one patient died in the younger group while 16 patients died in older group during hospitalization (0.5% vs. 7.0%, P = 0.001). During follow-up, 31 patients in the younger group and 50 in the elder group suffered MACCE (16.4% vs. 24.5%, P = 0.044).

After adjustment for confounding factors in the multivariate Cox regression analysis, hypertension (HR: 2.424, 95% CI: 1.087–5.403, P=0.030) and WBC count (HR: 1.135, 95% CI: 1.022–1.260, P=0.0181) remained

Table 2: Multivariate logistic and Cox regression analyses on in-hospital mortality and long-term outcomes.

	In-hospital outcome			Long-term outcomes						
		Mortality	-		Mortality			MACCE		
Variable	OR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	
Age (years)	1.114	1.045-1.188	0.001	1.041	1.005-1.079	0.025	1.021	0.998-1.044	0.080	
Male gender	0.554	0.115-2.661	0.460	0.893	0.265-3.007	0.856	1.267	0.666-2.408	0.471	
Hypertension	2.765	0.659-11.599	0.165	2.879	1.109-7.475	0.030	1.881	1.178-3.005	0.008	
Diabetes mellitus	0.615	0.156-2.422	0.487	0.433	0.140-1.337	0.146	1.473	0.913-2.375	0.112	
Smoking	0.576	0.162-2.052	0.395	2.003	0.855-4.690	0.110	1.492	0.931-2.389	0.096	
Killip class	3.340	1.693-6.591	0.001	2.365	1.339-4.177	0.003	1.923	1.419-2.607	< 0.001	
WBC counts	1.376	1.130-1.675	0.001	1.057	0.905-1.234	0.484	1.056	0.976-1.142	0.173	
Creatinine levels	0.874	0.430-1.780	0.711	1.005	0.989-1.021	0.568	1,014	0.787-1.307	0.913	
LDL cholesterol levels	1.013	1.000-1.026	0.044	1.183	0.712-1.966	0.516	1.005	0.998-1.011	0.163	
HCY levels	0.970	0.905-1.039	0.387	1.022	0.992-1.053	0.153	1.007	0.987-1.027	0.507	

CI: Confidence interval; HCY: Homocysteine; HR: Hazard ratio; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; MACCE: Major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events; OR: Odds ratio; WBC: White blood cell.



**Figure 1:** Kaplan–Meier survival rate curve for MACCE. The unadjusted Kaplan–Meier estimates of MACCE at 7 years were comparable between two groups (P=0.120). H-HCY: High homocysteine level; L-HCY: Low homocysteine level; MACCE: Major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events.

independent predictors for the younger group, while Killip class (HR: 2.186, 95% CI: 1.441–3.316, P < 0.001), HCY level (HR: 1.036, 95% CI: 1.011–1.062, P = 0.005) and creatinine level (HR: 1.009, 95% CI: 1.002–1.016, P = 0.009) retained statistical significance for the older group [Table 4].

# Diagnostic power of HCY for long-term MACCE in the older group

The area under the receiver operating characteristics curve was 0.662 (0.579, 0.746, P < 0.001) [Figure 2]. The predictive cut-off value of HCY for MACCE was 14.05  $\mu$ mol/L (sensitivity: 0.740; specificity: 0.564).

# Discussion

There were no statistically significant associations between serum HCY levels and short- and long-term outcomes among all patients; however, among older patients, HCY independently predicted prognosis. Traditional risk factors, such as age, hypertension, and Killip class, remain the predominant predictors for outcomes in patients with STEMI.

HCY is formed during metabolism of methionine, and its recycling is mediated by vitamin  $B_6$ ,  $B_{12}$ , or folic acid. Dietary deficiency of the aforementioned vitamins, genetic abnormalities including CBS and MTHFR mutations, and kidney failure are the main causes of elevated serum HCY levels, termed homocysteinemia.

It is widely accepted that elevated plasma HCY levels are associated with increased adverse cardiovascular events, independent of other factors.<sup>[11,12]</sup> Evidence from

case-control and prospective studies suggested a graded and independent association between HCY levels and prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). Nygard *et al*<sup>[13]</sup> reported that HCY levels were strong predictors of long-term mortality in 587 patients with angiographically confirmed CAD, after a median follow-up period of 4.6 years.

Besides its heavy burden to health, there is inadequate or conflicting evidence of the prognostic value of HCY in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS).<sup>[14,15]</sup> Omland *et al*<sup>[16]</sup> firstly investigated the possible prognostic value of HCY on survival in 579 patients with acute coronary syndrome after a median follow-up of 628 days. While Foussas *et al*<sup>[17]</sup> suggested HCY levels on admission were not an independent predictor of long-term mortality in patients with ACS.

Meanwhile, elevated serum HCY level was accompanied by the prevalence of clinical and subclinical cerebral injury, as well as long-term overall mortality and stroke recurrence.<sup>[18]</sup> However, the efficiency of HCY lowing therapy in stroke prevention warrant further investigation.<sup>[19]</sup>

Nevertheless, due to the lack of relevant research, the possibility for HCY level in predicting outcomes of STEMI remains unclear.

Above all, the pathophysiological mechanism of serum HCY-mediated vascular dysfunction and STEMI procedure triggering was not in full accord.

STEMI is characterized as rupture of an atherosclerotic plaque, alteration in coronary vasomotor tone, platelet aggregation, and an active thrombotic procedure, which lead to interruption of blood flow and MI.<sup>[20]</sup> Pathological studies and intracoronary imaging showed that the occurrence of STEMI procedure directly associated with vulnerable plaques<sup>[21,22]</sup> and systemic low-grade inflammation.<sup>[23]</sup>

The mechanisms that elevated HCY impairs vascular function are not definitely known. Several potential mechanisms consist of endothelial dysfunction,<sup>[24]</sup> oxidative stress,<sup>[25]</sup> activation of inflammation,<sup>[26]</sup> proliferation of smooth-muscle, increased adhesion of monocytes to endothelial cells<sup>[27]</sup> and platelet dysfunction.<sup>[28,29]</sup>

During hospitalization, the critical risk factor of mortality was the infarct location and proportion. STEMI triggers a systemic acute-phase response, in which neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages attacks the infarcted myocardial.<sup>[23]</sup> Besides, systemic inflammation that manifested as elevated WBC count, is associated with impaired microvascular reperfusion after PCI. Elder age and worse Killip classification also symbolize poorer cardiac function. HCY might affect the infarction indirectly by activation of inflammation, but the effectiveness of which was too limited to be revealed.

As for long-term management, secondary prevention of CAD serves as the pivotal role. Before discharge, all patients were advised for regular medication (antihypertensive, antiplatelet, and lipid-lowing therapy), smoking

Table 2. R	acolino cha	aractoristics (	of notionte	with C1	[-commont	alovation	myocardial	inforction	according 1	ODC O
	ascille una	αιαυισποιιυο ι	א המוכוונס		I-SCUIIICIII	CIEVAUUII	IIIVUGalulai	IIIIaiGuui	according	U auc.

Characteristics	Age $\leq$ 60 years ( <i>n</i> =190)	Age > 60 years ( <i>n</i> =229)	Statistical values	Р	
General conditions					
Age (years)	$51.4 \pm 6.3$	$70.9 \pm 8.1$	-27.141*	< 0.001	
Male gender	177 (93.2)	158 (69.0)	37.823 <sup>†</sup>	< 0.001	
Hypertension	90 (47.4)	132 (57.6)	$4.400^{+}$	0.036	
Diabetes mellitus	46 (24.2)	71 (31.0)	$2.381^{+}$	0.123	
Hypercholesterolemia	85 (44.7)	96 (41.9)	$0.335^{+}$	0.562	
Current smoking	150 (78.9)	117 (51.1)	$35.137^{\dagger}$	< 0.001	
CVD	11 (5.8)	48 (21.0)	$19.756^{\dagger}$	< 0.001	
PAD	6 (3.2)	3 (1.3)	$1.687^{\dagger}$	0.311	
Chest pain	168 (88.4)	176 (76.9)	<b>9.4</b> 51 <sup>†</sup>	0.002	
Emergency PCI	110 (57.9)	117 (51.1)	$1.936^{\dagger}$	0.164	
Killip class			$37.176^{+}$	< 0.001	
I	118 (62.1)	76 (33.2)			
II	64 (33.7)	125 (54.6)			
III	7 (3.7)	20 (8.7)			
IV	1 (0.5)	8 (3.5)			
Killip class $\geq$ II, <i>n</i> (%)	72 (37.9)	153 (66.8)	34.925 <sup>†</sup>	< 0.001	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$25.82 \pm 3.27$	$24.91 \pm 3.35$	$2.568^{*}$	0.011	
HR (beat/min)	$76.46 \pm 14.32$	$77.79 \pm 16.49$	-0.873*	0.383	
SBP (mmHg)	$133.41 \pm 22.77$	$132.14 \pm 22.85$	0.568 <sup>*</sup>	0.571	
DBP (mmHg)	$82.89 \pm 15.39$	$76.68 \pm 12.94$	4.420*	< 0.001	
LVEF (%)	$56.92 \pm 9.57$	$55.38 \pm 9.76$	1.434	0.152	
GRACE score	$128.34 \pm 22.52$	$173.02 \pm 25.31$	-19.112*	< 0.001	
Laboratory findings					
WBC ( $\times 10^{9}$ /L)	$10.93 \pm 3.44$	$9.93 \pm 2.78$	3.245*	0.001	
Homocysteine (µmol/L)	14.7 (12.2, 20.2)	14.1 (11.5, 20.5)	-1.426 <sup>‡</sup>	0.154	
Creatinine (mg/L)	665 (590, 770)	710 (620, 895)	-3.762 <sup>‡</sup>	< 0.001	
Uric acid (µmol/L)	$346.75 \pm 136.14$	$329.41 \pm 106.53$	1.463*	0.144	
HbA1c (%)	5.90 (5.40, 6.95)	6.00 (5.60, 7.08)	-2.008	0.045	
Total cholesterol (mg/L)	$46.4 \pm 11.1$	$44.2 \pm 10.4$	$1.958^{*}$	0.051	
HDL cholesterol (mg/L)	11.7 (9.8, 14.3)	13.6 (11.7, 15.6)	-4.980 <sup>‡</sup>	< 0.001	
LDL cholesterol (mg/L)	$28.9 \pm 10.0$	$28.0 \pm 8.9$	1.121*	0.263	
Preadmission therapy					
Aspirin	23 (12.2)	52 (23.9)	9.194	0.002	
Statins	17 (9.0)	35 (16.1)	4.528	0.033	
β-blockers	25 (13.2)	42 (19.3)	2.684	0.101	
ACE inhibitors	18 (9.5)	28 (12.8)	1.113	0.291	
Calcium channel blockers	33 (17.6)	61 (27.9)	5.906*	0.015	
Lesion conditions					
Multivessel lesion (%)	83 (58.0)	111 (70.3)	4.885	0.027	
IRA			$0.162^{\dagger}$	0.922	
LAD	90 (47.4)	113 (49.3)			
LCX	31 (16.3)	36 (15.7)			
RCA	69 (36.3)	80 (34.9)			
Gensini score	40.00 (21.00, 80.00)	48.00 (32.00, 80.00)	-2.397‡	0.017	
Treatment strategy					
Primary PCI	110 (57.9)	117 (51.1)	$1.936^{\dagger}$	0.164	
Prognosis			<b>.</b>		
In-hospital mortality	1 (0.5)	16 (7.0)	11.135	0.001	
Long-term MACCE	31 (16.4)	50 (24.5)	4.078 <sup>+</sup>	0.044	

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD, median (Q1, Q3), or n (%). <sup>\*</sup>t value. <sup>†</sup>Chi-square value. <sup>‡</sup>Z value. ACE: Angiotensin-converting enzyme; BMI: Body mass index; CABG: Coronary-artery bypass grafting; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; HDL: High density lipoprotein; HR: Heart rate; IRA: Infarction related artery; LAD: Left anterior descending; LCX: Left circumflex; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; LM: Left main; LVEF: Left ventricle eject fraction; PCI: Percutaneous coronary angiography; RCA: Right coronary artery; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; WBC: White blood cell; SD: Standard deviation.

	Age $\leq$ 60 years		Age >60 years		
Variables	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	
Age (years)	1.081 (0.999–1.168)	0.051	1.001 (0.959–1.044)	0.971	
Male gender	0.359 (0.041-3.135)	0.354	1.806 (0.891-3.661)	0.101	
Hypertension	2.424 (1.087-5.403)	0.030	1.229 (0.663-2.276)	0.513	
Diabetes mellitus	1.657 (0.765-3.591)	0.201	1.441 (0.789-2.633)	0.234	
Current smoking	1.241 (0.429-3.587)	0.690	1.379 (0.794–2.393)	0.254	
Killip class	1.161 (0.659-2.046)	0.605	2.186 (1.441-3.316)	< 0.001	
WBC counts	1.135 (1.022-1.260)	0.018	1.020 (0.905-1.149)	0.748	
HCY levels	0.972 (0.927-1.019)	0.233	1.036 (1.011-1.062)	0.005	
LDL cholesterol levels	1.315 (0.868-1.990)	0.196	0.841 (0.611-1.158)	0.290	
Creatinine levels	0.993 (0.978-1.009)	0.396	1.009 (1.002-1.016)	0.009	

CI: Confidence interval; HR: Hazard ratio; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; HCY: Homocysteine; MACCE: Major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events; WBC: White blood cell.



**Figure 2:** The receivers operating characteristic curve for homocysteine in subgroup with age >60 years. Area under the receiver operating characteristics curve was 0.662 (0.579, 0.746; *P* < 0.001). The predictive cut-off value of homocysteine level for MACCE was 14.05  $\mu$ mol/L (sensitivity: 0.740 and specificity: 0.564). MACCE: Major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events.

cessation, body weight control, adequate exercise, and regular visit. High HCY level only leads to mild elevation of cardiovascular risk, which turns even inadequate after active secondary prevention was carried out.

During subgroup analysis, we drew different risk profiles for younger and older patients. Both groups shared similar HCY levels, but compared with younger patients, older patients exhibited higher creatinine levels and worse Killip risk stratification. Besides following similar treatment strategies, older patients exhibited poorer prognosis during hospitalization and follow-up. HCY level was retained as an independent prognostic factor in older patients, in addition to high Killip class and creatinine level. Betweengroup differences in clinical characteristics and pathophysiology led to risk stratification.

On the one hand, elevated HCY levels were previously related to congestive heart failure in patients with STEMI, which was defined as Killip II or higher.<sup>[30]</sup> The predictive significance of HCY on long-term mortality and MACCE emerged following addition of worse cardiac function as baseline in the older group. HCY is naturally broken down to be excreted in the urine. Thus, renal dysfunction might lead to elevation of serum HCY during long-term follow-up, by which elevated HCY revealed its prognostic value in patients with STEMI.

Current studies are testing the possible benefits of HCYlowering therapy. Currently, there is insufficient evidence to recommend treatment of elevated HCY levels with folic acid or other vitamins to prevent cardiovascular diseases, which indirectly supports our results. The NORVIT trial indicated that, despite effectively reducing HCY levels, folic acid and vitamin B did not lower the risk of MACCE in patients with acute MI; notably, this study actually showed a trend toward increased cardiovascular risk.<sup>[31]</sup> Folic acid supplementation as a primary prevention for MI also failed in a Chinese population.<sup>[32]</sup> In our previous research, HCY did not serve as an independent prognostic factor in a patient population with STEMI, not to mention its utility as a therapeutic target.

In the current study, age, a history of hypertension, higher Killip class, higher creatinine level, and WBC count were independently related with worse prognosis in patients with STEMI. Thus, risk stratification should be carried out according to these characteristics. Additionally, more attention should be paid to patients in treatment during hospitalization and long-term management.

This study provided important, complementary information to previous studies of the prognostic value of HCY levels in patients with stable coronary artery disease or among those without cardiac diseases. The usefulness of HCY might be overrated, and large, randomized, controlled trials are necessary to determine the prognostic value of serum HCY concentrations on risk profile modification for patients with STEMI.

Several limitations to the present study should be considered. This was a single-center, cohort study with unequal baseline characteristics, involving multiple operators. Meanwhile, secondary prevention medications, residual atherothrombotic burdens on non-culprit vessels, and cardiac rehabilitation are important determiners of longterm prognosis, and may emerge as confounders. Multivariate regression analysis might mitigate bias by adjusting confounding factors, but unmeasured indicators might leave room for residual bias. Secondly, our sample size and number of events was limited. Cohort studies with larger sample sizes and long-term follow-up will be much more valuable. Rate of lost follow-up in current study was relatively high, which might impact on reliability of results. to a certain extent. At the same time, a small sample size, low follow-up rate, and relatively small events might lead to over fitting. Moreover, serum HCY levels were measured once upon admission to the present study. Serum HCY concentrations were not obtained during follow-up; thus, we were not able to include this valuable information in our analysis. Finally, we did not include patients who took folic acid supplements, so the prognostic value of HCY level interventions remained unclear in the present study.

In conclusion, this study provided evidence that elevated HCY levels on admission did not indicate increased risk of hospital duration or long-term mortality and MACCE among all patients with STEMI we examined. For patients older than 60 years, HCY predicted long-term MACCE.

# Funding

This study was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81470491), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 7192078), and Open Foundation from Beijing Key Laboratory of Hypertension Research (No. 2017GXY-KFKT-04).

#### **Conflicts of interest**

None.

# References

- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2095–2128. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61728-0.
- Moran AE, Tzong KY, Forouzanfar MH, Rothy GA, Mensah GA, Ezzati M, *et al.* Variations in ischemic heart disease burden by age, country, and income: the global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors 2010 study. Glob Heart 2014;9:91–99. doi: 10.1016/j.gheart.2013.12.007.
- 3. Smith SC, Amsterdam E, Balady GJ, Bonow RO, Fletcher GF, Froelicher V, *et al.* Prevention conference V: beyond secondary prevention: identifying the high-risk patient for primary prevention. Circulation 2000;101:111–116. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.101.1.111.
- Wang TJ, Wollert KC, Larson MG, Coglianese E, McCabe EL, Cheng S, *et al.* Prognostic utility of novel biomarkers of cardiovascular stress: the Framingham heart study. Circulation 2012;126:1596– 1604. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.129437.
- 5. Stein JH, McBride PE. Hyperhomocysteinemia and atherosclerotic vascular disease: pathophysiology, screening, and treatment. Arch

Intern Med 1998;158:1301–1306. doi: 10.1001/archinte. 158.12.1301.

- 6. Eikelboom JW, Lonn E, Genest J, Hankey G, Yusuf S. Homocyst(e) ine and cardiovascular disease: a critical review of the epidemiologic evidence. Ann Intern Med 1999;131:363–375. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-131-5-199909070-00008.
- 7. Wald DS, Law M, Morris JK. Homocysteine and cardiovascular disease: evidence on causality from a meta-analysis. BMJ 2002;325:1202. doi: 10.1136/bmj.325.7374.1202.
- 8. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD, *et al.* Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2012;33:2551–2567. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs184.
- Lavi S, Rihal CS, Yang EH, Fassa AA, Elesber A, Lennon RJ, et al. The effect of drug eluting stents on cardiovascular events in patients with intermediate lesions and borderline fractional flow reserve. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2007;70:525–531. doi: 10.1002/ ccd.21154.
- Gensini GG. A more meaningful scoring system for determining the severity of coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol 1983;51:606. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9149(83)80105-2.
- Graham IM, Daly LE, Refsum HM, Robinson K, Brattström LE, Ueland PM, *et al.* Plasma homocysteine as a risk factor for vascular disease. The European Concerted Action Project. JAMA 1997;277:1775–1781. doi: 10.1001/jama.1997.03540460039030.
- Veeranna V, Zalawadiya SK, Niraj A, Pradhan J, Ference B, Burack RC, *et al.* Homocysteine and reclassification of cardiovascular disease risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:1025–1033. doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2011.05.028.
- Nygård O, Nordrehaug JE, Refsum H, Ueland PM, Farstad M, Vollset SE. Plasma homocysteine levels and mortality in patients with coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 1997;337:230–237. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199707243370403.
- 14. Matetzky S, Freimark D, Ben-Ami S, Goldenberg I, Leor J, Doolman R, *et al.* Association of elevated homocysteine levels with a higher risk of recurrent coronary events and mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:1933–1937. doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.16.1933.
- 15. Fácila L, Nuñez JE, Vicente Bertomeu G, Sanchis J, Bodi V, Chorro FJ, *et al.* Early determination of homocysteine levels in acute coronary syndromes, is it an independent prognostic factor? Int J Cardiol 2005;100:275–279. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2004.09.001.
- 16. Omland T, Samuelsson A, Hartford M, Herlitz J, Karlsson T, Christensen B, *et al.* Serum homocysteine concentration as an indicator of survival in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Arch Intern Med 2000;160:1834–1840. doi: 10.1001/archinte. 160.12.1834.
- 17. Foussas SG, Zairis MN, Makrygiannis SS, Manousakis SJ, Patsourakos NG, Adamopoulou EN, *et al.* The impact of circulating total homocysteine levels on long-term cardiovascular mortality in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Int J Cardiol 2008;124:312–318. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2007.02.011.
- Boysen G, Brander T, Christensen H, Gideon R, Truelsen T. Homocysteine and risk of recurrent stroke. Stroke 2003;34:1258– 1261. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000069017.78624.37.
- 19. Spence JD, Yi Q, Hankey GJ. B vitamins in stroke prevention: time to reconsider. Lancet Neurol 2017;16:750–760. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30180-1.
- 20. Ross R. Atherosclerosis-an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med 1999;340:115-126. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199901143400207.
- Corti R, Farkouh ME, Badimon JJ. The vulnerable plaque and acute coronary syndromes. Am J Med 2002;113:668–680. doi: 10.1016/ s0002-9343(02)01344-x.
- 22. Windecker S, Bax JJ, Myat A, Stone GW, Marber MS. Future treatment strategies in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Lancet 2013;382:644–657. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61452-X.
- 23. Groot HE, Karper JC, Lipsic E, van Veldhuisen DJ, van der Horst ICC, van der Harst P. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein and long term reperfusion success of primary percutaneous intervention in STelevation myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol 2017;248:51–56. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.08.027.
- 24. Woo KS, Chook P, Lolin YI, Cheung AS, Chan LT, Sun YY, *et al.* Hyperhomocyst(e)inemia is a risk factor for arterial endothelial dysfunction in humans. Circulation 1997;96:2542–2544. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.96.8.2542.
- 25. Upchurch GR, Welch GN, Fabian AJ, Freedman JE, Johnson JL, Keaney JF, *et al.* Homocyst(e)ine decreases bioavailable nitric oxide

by a mechanism involving glutathione peroxidase. J Biol Chem 1997;272:17012–17017. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.27.17012.

- 26. Leng YP, Ma YS, Li XG, Chen RF, Zeng PY, Li XH, et al. l-Homocysteine-induced cathepsin V mediates the vascular endothelial inflammation in hyperhomocysteinaemia. Br J Pharmacol 2018;175:1157–1172. doi: 10.1111/bph.13920.
- 27. Welch GN, Loscalzo J. Homocysteine and atherothrombosis. N Engl J Med 1998;338:1042–1050. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199804093381507.
- Dong M, Zheng N, Ren LJ, Zhou H, Liu J. Increased expression of STIM1/Orai1 in platelets of stroke patients predictive of poor outcomes. Eur J Neurol 2017;24:912–919. doi: 10.1111/ene.13304.
- 29. Ungvari Z, Sarkadi-Nagy E, Bagi Z, Szollar L, Koller A. Simultaneously increased TxA(2) activity in isolated arterioles and platelets of rats with hyperhomocysteinemia. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2000;20:1203–1208. doi: 10.1161/01.atv.20.5.1203.
- 30. Washio T, Nomoto K, Watanabe I, Tani S, Nagao K, Hirayama A. Relationship between plasma homocysteine levels and congestive

heart failure in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Homocysteine and congestive heart failure. Int Heart J 2011;52:224–228. doi: 10.1536/ihj.52.224.

- Bønaa KH, Njølstad I, Ueland PM, Schirmer H, Tverdal A, Steigen T, et al. Homocysteine lowering and cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1578–1588. doi: 10.1056/NEIMoa055227.
- 32. Huo Y, Li J, Qin X, Huang Y, Wang X, Gottesman RF, et al. Efficacy of folic acid therapy in primary prevention of stroke among adults with hypertension in China: the CSPPT randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015;313:1325–1335. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.2274.

How to cite this article: Si J, Li XW, Wang Y, Zhang YH, Wu QQ, Zhang LM, Zuo XB, Gao J, Li J. Relationship between serum homocysteine levels and long-term outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Chin Med J 2019;132:1028–1036. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000000159