



Incidence of cetuximab-related infusion reaction in head and neck cancer patients: may we predict it?

Marco C Merlano, Ornella Garrone

To cite: Merlano MC, Garrone O. Incidence of cetuximab-related infusion reaction in head and neck cancer patients: may we predict it? *ESMO Open* 2018;3:e000404. doi:10.1136/esmooopen-2018-000404

Received 21 May 2018
Accepted 21 May 2018

The combination of cisplatin and fluorouracil with cetuximab is the only regimen showing survival benefit in first-line therapy of relapsed-metastatic head and neck cancer (R-M HNC) in the past 30 years.¹ Similarly, cetuximab is the only target agent significantly improves results of radiotherapy in locally advanced head and neck cancer (L-A HNC).²

Cetuximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody, can induce infusion reactions (IRs) that may be severe and life threatening.³ In clinical studies, severe IRs are uncommon.^{1,2} On the contrary, retrospective studies addressing this matter report higher incidence of severe IR.

We must take into account that patients recruited into clinical studies join strict inclusion criteria and so they might poorly represent the real world population.⁴

In this issue of *ESMO Open*, Coloma *et al*⁵ reports a large series of 428 patients treated in the real-world setting with either cetuximab in combination with radiotherapy for L-A HNC, or in combination with chemotherapy for R-M HNC.

The authors showed that 24 (5.4%) patients in this series experienced IR to cetuximab, and they were severe in 23. This last value was higher than that reported in the Extreme trial 2.7% (chemotherapy+cetuximab)¹ and in the Bonner study 3% (radiotherapy+cetuximab).²

Other authors reported high incidence of IR in small retrospective series of patients treated in the real world, as exhaustively reported by Coloma *et al*.⁵

The incidence of severe IR reported in these studies is confirmed by the European Summary of Products characteristics, reporting severe IR in 1%–10% of treated patients⁶ and by the Imclone product information, which reports an incidence of 2%–5%.⁷

Interestingly, some findings are similar among all these reports: (A) most IRs occur

during the first infusion of cetuximab; (B) the risk of grade 5 toxicity is very low if appropriate premedication and follow-up are carried on; (C) the risk of IR is higher in patients with head and neck cancer (HNC) compared with colon cancer patients; (D) most, but not all, of the studies recorded a relationship between a history of allergy and the development of IR; and (E) some authors observed a relationship between smoking or alcohol consumption and risk of IR, but not all the studies collected information on smoking or alcohol use.

The paper by Coloma *et al* reports the largest retrospective series of patients analysed to point out the incidence and risk factors associated to cetuximab IR.

The observed incidence of IR is in line with other literature data and with the product information published by Merck KGaA and ImClone Systems.

In the univariate analysis, allergy history, alcohol consumption and tobacco history were all significantly associated with the risk of developing IR.

The combination of tobacco and alcohol consumption and allergy history remained significantly associated with severe IR in the multivariate logistic regression models.

No other factors, such as site of primary, previous treatment or lymphocyte/eosinophil counts, correlate with occurrence of IR.

Although most of the previously published analyses report the correlation between alcohol and IR, smoking and IR and history of allergy and IR, this is the first paper showing the correlation among all these variables and IR in the same series. It could be due to the large number of the patients analysed.

The additional value of this paper is the observation that the combination of all these factors correlates with the strongest risk of IR development: 22% of the patients exhibiting all the three risk factors developed severe IR compared with 2.8% of patients with allergy

Oncology Department, S. Croce and Carle Teaching Hospital, Cuneo, Italy

Correspondence to
Dr Marco C Merlano;
mcmerlano@gmail.com

history alone, 6.7% of patients with alcohol and smoking history and 1% of patients without any of them.

The high incidence of smoking and alcohol history in patients with HNC may easily account for the higher number of IR observed compared with patients with colon cancer.

Although no definitive answer exists about the mechanism linking IR to smoking, alcohol and allergy history, many studies show a relationship between alcohol and smoking history and the risk of developing allergies.^{8–10}

The paper of Coloma *et al* is a retrospective analysis and suffers from the limitations of retrospective analyses. However, considering that most studies on this topic move on to the same direction, we should take into account the proposed risk factors in the daily clinical practice.

Contributors All authors contributed equally.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially,

and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© European Society for Medical Oncology (unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. Vermorken JB, Mesia R, Rivera F, *et al*. Platinum-based chemotherapy plus cetuximab in head and neck cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:1116–27.
2. Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, *et al*. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:567–78.
3. Hansel TT, Kropshofer H, Singer T, *et al*. The safety and side effects of monoclonal antibodies. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2010;9:325–38.
4. Zuidgest MGP, Goetz I, Groenwold RHH, *et al*. Series: pragmatic trials and real world evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2017;88:7–13.
5. Coloma P V, Bravo P, Lezghed N, *et al*. High incidence of cetuximab-related infusion reactions in head and neck patients. *ESMO open* 2018.
6. Merck KGaA. *Erbix (cetuximab) (summary of product characteristics)*. Darmstadt: Merck KGaA, 2011.
7. ImClone Systems. *Erbix (cetuximab) (prescribing information)*. New York, NY: ImClone Systems, 2011.
8. Kang JW, Baek SH, Rha MS, *et al*. The effects of alcohol consumption and smoking on allergy risk in Korean adults. *Am J Rhinol Allergy* 2014;28:e35–e39.
9. González-Quintela A, Gude F, Boquete O, *et al*. Association of alcohol consumption with total serum immunoglobulin E levels and allergic sensitization in an adult population-based survey. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2003;33:199–205.
10. Skaaby T, Taylor AE, Jacobsen RK, *et al*. Investigating the causal effect of smoking on hay fever and asthma: a Mendelian randomized meta-analysis in the CARTA consortium. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:2224.