a single pharmacist leader is responsible for improving antibiotic use [6].

We agree with Bland and Jones that given their consistent presence and existing role within ASPs, pharmacists could greatly expand the accessibility of inpatient penicillin allergy assessments [7]. In our study, just 6 sites (13%, 5 academic medical centers and 1 community hospital), indicated that pharmacists were performing PST. While scope of practice limitations may be limiting pharmacists from performing PST in some US states, pharmacists have led programs addressing penicillin allergies with a variety of activities beyond performing skin testing: allergy history reconciliation, screening for PST, patient/provider education about penicillin allergy, protocol development for test doses/ drug challenges, penicillin allergy delabeling, and penicillin allergy relabeling monitoring [3].

While there are still barriers to widespread pharmacist penicillin allergy assessments, such as lack of "full provider" status in many states and lack of standardized drug allergy education and credentialing [8, 9], we agree that pharmacists are ideal champions for inpatient  $\beta$ -lactam allergy programs and critical allies for allergists and infectious diseases doctors engaged in delabeling efforts [10].

# Note

Potential conflicts of interest. K. B. was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grant number K01AI125631); the Claflin Distinguished Scholar Award from Massachusetts General Hospital; and an award from the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology Foundation, during the present study; K. B. also reports a licensed clinical decision support tool for  $\beta$ -lactam allergy to Persistent Systems. All other authors report no potential conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Christian M. Mancini,<sup>12</sup> Kristi Kuper,<sup>3</sup> Lucas T. Schulz,<sup>4</sup> Tanaya Bhowmick,<sup>5</sup> Michael Postelnick,<sup>6</sup> Francesca Lee,<sup>7</sup> and Kimberly G. Blumenthal<sup>1,28,0</sup> <sup>1</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Allergy, and Immunology, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, <sup>2</sup>Mongan Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, <sup>3</sup>Vizient, Irving, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, <sup>5</sup>Division of Allergy, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA, <sup>6</sup>Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Department of Pharmacy, Chicago, Illinois, USA, <sup>7</sup>Department of Pathology and Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, UNiversity of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA, and <sup>8</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

#### References

- Blumenthal KG, Kuper K, Schulz LT, et al. Association between penicillin allergy documentation and antibiotic use [manuscript published online ahead of print 29 June 2020]. JAMA Intern Med 2020. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2227.
- Sacco KA, Bates A, Brigham TJ, Imam JS, Burton MC. Clinical outcomes following inpatient penicillin allergy testing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Allergy 2017; 72:1288–96.
- Wolfson AR, Huebner EM, Blumenthal KG. Acute care beta-lactam allergy pathways: approaches and outcomes. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2019; 123:16–34.
- Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, et al. Executive summary: implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 62:1197–202.
- Mancini CM, Fu X, Zhang Y, et al. Penicillin allergy evaluation access: a national survey. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71: 2972–5.
- Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. Hospital antibiotic stewardship. National Healthcare Safety Network. Available at: https:// arpsp.cdc.gov/profile/stewardship. Accessed 11 June 2020.
- Bland CM, Jones BM. Pharmacists filling the gap within penicillin allergy assessment and skin testing [manuscript published online ahead of print 14 July 2020]. Clin Infect Dis 2020. doi:10.1093/ cid/ciaa978.
- Gebhart F. On the road to provider status. 2019. Available at: https://www.drugtopics.com/latest/ road-provider-status. Accessed 11 June 2020.
- Kufel WD, Justo JA, Bookstaver PB, Avery LM. Penicillin allergy assessment and skin testing in the outpatient setting. Pharmacy (Basel) 2019; 7:136.
- Blumenthal KG, Shenoy ES, Wolfson AR, et al. Addressing inpatient beta-lactam allergies: a multihospital implementation. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2017; 5:616–25.e7.

Correspondence: K. G. Blumenthal, Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Medical Practice Evaluation Center, Mongan Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, 100 Cambridge St, 16th Floor, Boston, MA 02114 (kblumenthal@mgh.harvard.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases<sup>®</sup> 2021;72(10):1867–8 © The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa980

# Nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 Load at Hospital Admission as a Predictor of Mortality

TO THE EDITOR-We read with interest the article by Bhargava et al [1] that was recently published in the Journal, which significantly contributes to the definition of clinical risk factors for severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) manifestations. However, despite the comprehensive analysis of multiple clinical and laboratory parameters, the virus is still a poorly represented piece of this puzzle. During the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-1) epidemic, higher viral loads were strongly correlated with disease severity and death [2]. Similarly, the newly emerged severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) demonstrated a strikingly fast, and intense, replication kinetics, whose contribution to the clinical evolution of COVID-19 is starting to be investigated [3–6]. The nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 load expressed by cycle thresholds (Cts) of real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), the standard-of-care for COVID-19 molecular diagnosis [7, 8], is a widely available parameter to be correlated with the severity of COVID-19. To prove this hypothesis, we investigated the correlation between the initial nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 loads and 30-day in-hospital mortality in 206 consecutive adult patients with a laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, admitted to Niguarda Hospital (Milan, Italy) since 5 March, and who have either died or been discharged by 23 April 2020 (study protocol: 92-15032020). Dynamic ranges of categorized Ct values of viral RdRp, E, and N genes were assessed by quantitative droplet-digital PCR.

The median (interquartile range) time from symptom onset to hospital admission was 6 (4–9) days. By then, 188 of 206 (91.3%) patients presented an interstitial pneumonia with ground-glass opacities. Survivors (n = 153) and nonsurvivors (n = 53) significantly

differed in several anamnestic, clinical, virological, and laboratory characteristics (Supplementary Appendix). These included both the mean and the absolute Ct values of RdRp, N, and E genes that were significantly lower in nonsurvivors compared with survivors (P = .001), reflecting higher viral loads in the nasal/throat compartment of the former patients. Of note, 20.7% of nonsurvivors had initial Cts less than 20 (viral loads  $\geq 10^7$  copies/mL) versus only 5.3% of survivors (P < .001).

Kaplan-Meier curves showed a progressive increase in 30-day mortality, by increase in nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 load (Figure 1). Thirty days after disease onset, the survival rate dropped to 35.3% in patients with Cts less than 20 (viral loads  $\geq 10^7$  copies/mL) versus 81.0% in patients with Cts greater than 35 (viral loads <10<sup>3</sup> copies/mL) (P = .001). Notably, the 36.4% of patients with initial Cts of less than 20 died within 7 days versus 14.3% and 0.0% of patients with initial Cts of 20–24.9 and higher (Cts >25) (P = .006).

In Cox proportional hazards models (Supplementary Appendix), out of 19 variables analyzed, Cts less than 20 remained one of the strongest predictors of in-hospital death, both in univariate (hazard ratio [HR], 8.38; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.66–26.37; P = .00015) and in multivariate analysis (HR, 3.94; 95% CI: 1.75–8.87; P = .001) (along with presence of comorbidities, creatinine, D-dimers, and C-reactive protein).

Overall, we identified the high initial nasopharyngeal viral load as an independent risk factor for in-hospital mortality, and for a significantly faster worsening of clinical conditions towards death. These results strengthen the recently reported correlation between viral load and severe disease [5, 6] and provide initial evidence of a role for viral load in influencing the definitive outcome. As RT-PCR on nasopharyngeal swabs is used worldwide, clinically validated Ct cutoffs (ie, <20) represent a ready-touse prognostic marker to help stratify



**Figure 1.** Contribution of nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 shedding to in-hospital mortality. *A*, Kaplan-Meier estimates of mortality probability within the first 30 days from disease onset, according to nasopharyngeal Ct values at admission. *B*, Nasopharyngeal Ct values at admission against time of mortality from disease onset (days) in nonsurvivors. The Pearson correlation coefficient between Ct values and time of mortality is displayed in the top-right corner of the panel (r = +0.390). Abbreviations: Ct, cycle threshold; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

patients for risk of in-hospital death, and to consequently implement appropriate measures to contain fatalities.

# Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

# Notes

The SCoVA (Sequencing COronavirus and Variability Analysis) Study Group: Claudia Alteri, Maria Antonello, Chiara Baiguera, Alessandra Bielli, Maurizio Bottiroli, Paolo Brioschi, Daniela Campisi, Stefania Carta, Giorgia Casalicchio, Valeria Cento, Arturo Chieregato, Luna Colagrossi, Valentino Costabile, Jacopo Colombo, Federica Di Ruscio, Oscar Massimiliano Epis, Diana Fanti, Roberto Fumagalli, Thomas Langer, Elisa Matarazzo, Marco Merli, Alice Nava, Silvia Nerini Molteni, Carlo Federico Perno, Massimo Puoti, Silvia Renica, Livia Tartaglione, Nicola Ughi, Chiara Vismara.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the Collaborator Members of the Sequenicing COronavirus and Variability Analysis (SCoVA) Study Group. The authors also thank all of the staff of the Microbiology and Virology Laboratory of ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda for their outstanding technical support in processing swab samples and performing laboratory analyses and data management.

**Disclaimer.** The funding source had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Italian Ministero dell'Istruzione · Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR), Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN grant number 20179JKAMZ).

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

#### Claudia Alteri,<sup>1,a</sup> Valeria Cento,<sup>2,a</sup> Marta Vecchi,<sup>3</sup> Luna Colagrossi,<sup>4</sup> Diana Fanti,<sup>5</sup> Chiara Vismara,<sup>5</sup> Massimo Puoti,<sup>6</sup> and Carlo Federico Perno<sup>1,5</sup>; for the SCoVA Study Group

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oncology and Hemato-oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Residency in Microbiology and Virology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Residency in Infectious Diseases, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Department of Laboratories, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Chemical-Clinical and Microbiological Analyses, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda Milan Italy and <sup>6</sup>Infectious Diseases, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy

#### References

- 1. Bhargaya A, Fukushima EA, Levine M, et al. Predictors for severe COVID-19 infection. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71:1962-8.
- 2. Peiris JS, Chu CM, Cheng VC, et al; HKU/UCH SARS Study Group. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirusassociated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. Lancet 2003: 361:1767-72.
- 3. To KK, Tsang OT, Leung WS, et al. Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; 20:565-74.
- 4. Zheng S, Fan J, Yu F, et al. Viral load dynamics and disease severity in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, January-March 2020: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2020; 369:m1443.
- 5. Yu X, Sun S, Shi Y, Wang H, Zhao R, Sheng J. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in sputum correlates with risk of COVID-19 progression. Crit Care 2020; 24:170.
- 6. Liu Y, Yan LM, Wan L, et al. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; 20:656-7.
- 7. Hanson KE, Caliendo AM, Arias CA, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines on the diagnosis of COVID-19. Clin Infect Dis. 2020 Jun 16:ciaa760. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa760.

8. World Health Organization. Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in suspected human cases. Available at: https://www.who. int/publications-detail/laboratory-testing-for-2019-novel-coronavirus-in-suspected-humancases-20200117. Accessed 19 June 2020.

<sup>a</sup>C. A. and V. C. contributed equally to this work. Correspondence: V. Cento, University of Milan, Via Festa del Perdono 7, Milan 20122, Italy (valeria.cento@unimi.it).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2021;72(10):1868-70 © The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa956

# **Reply to Alteri et al**

TO THE EDITOR-We thank Alteri et al for recognizing our work regarding predictors for severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection [1]. We read with interest their work regarding initial nasopharyngeal viral load as measured by cycle thresholds of reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and correlation with 30-day in-hospital mortality. The endpoint of their study for severe COVID-19 infection was 30-day in-hospital mortality. In contrast, our study defined the need for mechanical ventilation as an endpoint for severe COVID-19 infection. Our study reported acute or pre-existing renal disease, supplemental oxygen at the time of hospitalization, and admission C-reactive protein (CRP) as independent predictors for the development of severe COVID-19

infections and did not examine predictors of mortality.

Although Alteri et al have shown that viral load is a predictor of inpatient mortality they have not demonstrated that this was a predictor of disease progression short of death. Furthermore, there needs to be some caution in predicting that high viral loads alone can serve as predictors of mortality based on this work as there were only 19 patients with the highest viral loads at presentation and only 2 observations made on day 30. The small numbers may be an accurate predictor of mortality; however, one would like to see more robust data to further support the conclusion of the value of high viral loads in predicting mortality.

# Note

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

#### Ashish Bhargava, Elisa Akagi Fukushima, Miriam Levine, Wei Zhao, Farah Tanveer, Susanna M. Szpunar, and Louis Saravolatz

Ascension St John Hospital, Detroit, Michigan, USA

#### Reference

1. Bhargava A, Fukushima EA, Levine M, et al. Predictors for severe COVID-19 infection. Clin Infect Dis. Published online May 30, 2020. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa674.

Correspondence: A. Bhargava, 19251 Mack Avenue, Suite 340, Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236 (ashish.bhargava@ascension org)

#### **Clinical Infectious Diseases**® 2021;72(10):1870

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa960