

Letter

High Magnetic Field Sensitivity in Ferromagnetic–Ferroelectric Composite with High Mechanical Quality Factor

Yong-Woo Lee ^{1,†}, Joon-Young Soh ^{2,†}, Il-Ryeol Yoo ¹, Jiung Cho ^{3,*}, Cheol-Woo Ahn ⁴, Jong-Jin Choi ⁴, Byung-Dong Hahn ⁴ and Kyung-Hoon Cho ^{1,*}

- ¹ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Kumoh National Institute of Technology, Gumi 39177, Korea; dyddnsub@kumoh.ac.kr (Y.-W.L.); yiy1204@kumoh.ac.kr (I.-R.Y.)
- ² New & Renewable Energy Lab., KEPCO Research Institute, Daejeon 34056, Korea; kepsoh@kepco.co.kr
- ³ Western Seoul Center, Korea Basic Science Institute, Seoul 03579, Korea
- ⁴ Korea Institute of Materials Science (KIMS), Changwon 51508, Korea; cheoruahn@kims.re.kr (C.-W.A.); finaljin@kims.re.kr (J.-J.C.); cera72@kims.re.kr (B.-D.H.)
- * Correspondence: jiung14@kbsi.re.kr (J.C.); khcho@kumoh.ac.kr (K.-H.C.); Tel.: +82-2-6908-6222 (J.C.); +82-54-478-7749 (K.-H.C.)
- + These authors equally contributed to this work.

Received: 30 October 2020; Accepted: 18 November 2020; Published: 19 November 2020



Abstract: In this study, composite devices were fabricated using ferromagnetic FeSiB-based alloys (Metglas) and ferroelectric ceramics, and their magnetic field sensitivity was evaluated. Sintered 0.95Pb(Zr_{0.52}Ti_{0.48})O₃-0.05Pb(Mn_{1/3}Sb_{2/3})O₃ (PZT-PMS) ceramic exhibited a very dense microstructure with a large piezoelectric voltage coefficient ($g_{31} = -16.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ VmN}^{-1}$) and mechanical quality factor ($Q_m > 1600$). Owing to these excellent electromechanical properties of the PZT-PMS, the laminate composite with a Metglas/PZT-PMS/Metglas sandwich structure exhibited large magnetoelectric voltage coefficients (α_{ME}) in both off-resonance and resonance modes. When the length-to-width aspect ratio (l/w) of the composite was controlled, α_{ME} slightly varied in the off-resonance mode, resulting in similar sensitivity values ranging from 129.9 to 146.81 VT⁻¹. Whereas in the resonance mode, the composite with small l/w exhibited a large reduction of $\alpha_{\rm ME}$ and sensitivity values. When controlling the thickness of the PZT-PMS (t), the α_{ME} of the composite showed the largest value when t was the smallest in the off-resonance mode, while α_{ME} was the largest when t is the largest in the resonance mode. The control of t slightly affected the sensitivity in the off-resonance mode, however, higher sensitivity was obtained as t increased in the resonance mode. The results demonstrate that the sensitivity, varying with the dimensional control of the composite, is related to the mechanical loss of the sensor. The composite sensor with the PZT-PMS layer exhibited excellent magnetic field sensitivity of 1.49×10^5 VT⁻¹ with a sub-nT sensing limit, indicating its potential for application in high-performance magnetoelectric sensor devices.

Keywords: magnetoelectric; ferroelectric; piezoelectric; magnetic field; composites

1. Introduction

Magnetoelectric (ME) composites comprising ferroelectric (FE) and ferromagnetic (FM) materials have the advantageous property of being capable of converting magnetic fields into electric fields and vice versa [1–3]. This field conversion, known as the ME effect, is possible through a strain coupling between the FE and FM constituents of the composites. For the direct ME effect, the FM material generates a mechanical strain when an external magnetic field varies (by magnetostriction, converse piezomagnetic effect), and the strain is transferred to the poled FE material at the FM/FE



interface, thus generating a potential difference (by a direct piezoelectric effect). Strain-mediated coupling in a composite depends on the interfacial coupling between the two ferroic phases of the composite and can be optimized via microstructural designs [4] as well as controlling the physical properties of interfacial bonding materials [5,6]. Based on the ME effect, various electronic device applications, such as magnetic field sensors, current sensors, gyrators, position sensors, energy harvesters, resonators, and filters, have been proposed [1,7–11].

Research on ME composites for magnetic field sensors is of technological importance because ME composites have a simple structure and require a facile fabrication process despite their good field conversion performance. The magnetic field to electric field conversion performance is usually evaluated via the *ME voltage coefficient* (α_{ME}), which can be given by the following expression:

$$\alpha_{\rm ME} = \frac{dE_{\rm AC}}{dH_{\rm AC}} = \frac{dV_{\rm AC}}{dH_{\rm AC}} \times \frac{1}{t_{\rm p}},\tag{1}$$

where E_{AC} is the output electric field, H_{AC} is the input magnetic field strength, V_{AC} is the output potential difference, and t_p is the distance between the two electrodes of the FE phase. The term dV_{AC}/dH_{AC} in Equation (1) determines the magnetic field sensitivity and reflects the precision with which a magnetic field change can be detected using a voltmeter. Therefore, it is important to enhance the magnitude of α_{ME} as much as possible to obtain a high magnetic field sensitivity.

As the ME effect in ME composites is a product property of the FM and FE phases, α_{ME} is represented by the following equation, which is based on the inherent material properties of the individual FM and FE phases [11–13]:

$$\alpha_{\rm ME} = \frac{nqg}{nS^{\rm E}(1-k^2) + (1-n)S^{\rm H}} \times \left[\exp\left(\tan \,\delta + \tan \,\theta + \frac{C-C_f}{C_f} \right) \right]^{-1},\tag{2}$$

where *n* is the volume fraction of the FM phase; *q* is the piezomagnetic coefficient of the FM phase; *g* and *k* are the piezoelectric voltage coefficient and electromechanical coupling coefficient of the FE phase, respectively; S^{E} and S^{H} are the elastic compliances of the FE and FM phases, respectively; $\tan \delta$ is the dielectric loss; $\tan \theta$ is the piezoelectric loss; *C* is the capacitance at a given frequency; C_{f} is the free capacitance. Therefore, the selection of an FE material with a large *g* value and small $\tan \delta$ value and an FM material having a large *q* value is favorable for realizing a large α_{ME} . Moreover, a high mechanical quality factor (Q_{m}) of the FE phase is important for enhancing the α_{ME} in the resonance mode of ME devices [14–16].

ME magnetic field sensors that comprise FM single crystals have been investigated owing to their excellent piezoelectric properties, demonstrating nT- to fT-level sensing limits [17–19]. These encouraging results demonstrate the feasibility of implementing small and efficient ME magnetic field sensor devices, which are comparable to conventional search coil and superconducting quantum interference device sensors [20] but do not require complicated fabrication processes or special equipment. However, the application of polycrystalline FE ceramics fabricated via an economical ceramic process would be more desirable from an industrial viewpoint, i.e., for mass production and to realize inexpensive sensor devices.

In this study, we employed polycrystalline FE materials to evaluate the magnetic field sensing performance of an ME composite comprising polycrystalline FE materials. A 0.25 wt% PbO-added 0.95Pb($Zr_{0.52}Ti_{0.48}$)O₃-0.05Pb($Mn_{1/3}Sb_{2/3}$)O₃ (PZT-PMS) ceramic was synthesized, and a commercial PZT5A ceramic was prepared for comparison. An FeSiB-based amorphous alloy (Metglas) was selected as the FM material. First, the electromechanical characteristics of the FE materials and the α_{ME} values of the FM/FE/FM laminate composites were measured and analyzed. The magnetic field sensitivity and sensing limit of the ME composites were then evaluated. We demonstrate that the sensitivity is related to the piezoelectric voltage coefficient of the FE layer in the off-resonance mode, while the sensitivity of the resonance mode is predominantly affected by the mechanical quality factor of the

composite sensor. Furthermore, we discuss the effect of dimensional control of the FE layer on the magnetic field sensing performance of the PZT-PMS sensors.

2. Materials and Methods

Reagent-grade raw powders of PbO, ZrO₂, TiO₂, MnO₂, and Sb₂O₃ (all from Kojundo Chemical Lab. Co., Sakado, Japan) were mixed in accordance with the stoichiometric composition of the PZT-PMS and ball-milled with ethyl alcohol and yttria-stabilized zirconia balls in a polyethylene jar for 24 h. The powder mixture was dried at 80 °C for 24 h and subsequently calcined at 850 °C for 2 h in air. The calcined PZT-PMS powder was ball-milled again for 24 h with 0.25 wt% PbO powder and dried at 80 °C for 24 h. The dried powder was unidirectionally pressed into a rectangular-shaped compact under 100 MPa, and the powder compact was sintered at 1240 °C for 8 h in air. The sintered PZT-PMS samples were cut into 31-mode rectangular plates of (10.5, 12.0, 13.5 mm) (l) \times 3.0 mm $(w) \times (0.5, 1.0, 1.5 \text{ mm})$ (t). A silver electrode was deposited at the top and bottom surfaces of the PZT-PMS plates and the poling process was performed by applying an electric field of 3 kVmm⁻¹ for 5 min at 120 °C in silicone oil. For performance comparison, a commercial PZT5A (PSI-5A4E, Piezo Systems, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA) ceramic plate of 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t) was prepared. The microstructure and phase formation of the sintered PZT-PMS sample were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JSM-6500F, JEOL, Ltd., Akishima, Tokyo, Japan), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (XFlash 630, Bruker Nano GmbH, Berlin, Germany), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) (D-MAX/2500, Rigak Co., Tokyo, Japan). The bulk density of the polished 31-mode samples was measured employing the Archimedes method. The impedance and phase angle spectra and piezoelectric and dielectric properties of the pooled samples were measured using an impedance analyzer (IM3570, Hioki EE Co., Nagano, Japan).

The FM/FE/FM laminate composites were fabricated by attaching 90 µm-thick Metglas sheets (2605SA1, Metglas Inc., Conway, SC, USA) at the top and bottom surfaces of the poled PZT-PMS plates and PZT5A plate using an epoxy adhesive (DP460, 3M Company, St. Paul, MN, USA). The ME voltage coefficient as a function of the DC magnetic field strength (H_{DC}) and H_{AC} frequency of the laminate composites were measured using a Helmholtz coil, electromagnet, and lock-in amplifier (SR860, Stanford Research Systems, Sunny-vale, USA) [13]. To evaluate the magnetic field sensitivity and sensing limit of the laminate composites, the output voltage was monitored as a function of the H_{AC} . The H_{AC} was controlled by the Helmholtz coil, and the output voltage from the laminate composite was measured using a lock-in amplifier.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1a confirms that a perovskite phase was well-formed (JCPDS 01-070-4265) without secondary phases in a 0.25 wt% PbO-added PZT-PMS ceramic sample sintered at 1240 °C for 8 h in air. The sintered PZT-PMS sample exhibited a very dense microstructure comprising faceted grains with an average grain size of 4 μ m, as presented in the inset image of Figure 1. The bulk density of the PZT-PMS sample was measured as 7.931 gcm⁻³, which is almost 99% of the theoretical density. The EDS result in Figure 1b shows that the elemental composition of the sintered PZT-PMS is closed to the nominal stoichiometric composition.



Figure 1. (a) XRD pattern of PZT-PMS ceramic sample sintered at 1240 °C for 8 h. Inset image presents an SEM microstructure image of the sintered PZT-PMS sample. (b) Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) result of the sintered PZT-PMS ceramic sample.

Figure 2 presents the impedance and phase angle spectra of the poled PZT-PMS and PZT5A samples with 31-mode dimensions (13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)). The elastic moduli of the PZT-PMS and PZT5A samples were calculated as 100 and 65 GPa, respectively, which resulted in a higher resonance frequency range for the PZT-PMS despite the same dimensions of the two samples. The piezoelectric charge coefficient (d_{31}), electromechanical coupling coefficient (k_{31}), and dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$) of the PZT5A were greater than those of the PZT-PMS, as shown in Table 1, however, the piezoelectric voltage coefficient (g_{31}) was larger in the PZT-PMS owing to much smaller $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ value of the PZT-PMS. As observed from Figure 2, the peaks for the *resonance frequency* (f_{r}) and anti-resonance frequency (f_{a}) of PZT-PMS are much sharper than those of PZT5A, and the slope of the *phase angle change* at f_{r} and f_{a} is greater in PZT-PMS. This indicates that the mechanical loss by power consumption is greater in PZT5A than in the case of PZT-PMS. Accordingly, the mechanical quality factors (Q_{m} , the inverse of mechanical loss) obtained at both f_{r} and f_{a} demonstrated a large difference between the two samples, as shown in Table 1.



Figure 2. Impedance spectra of 31-mode piezoelectric samples: (**a**) PZT-PMS and (**b**) PZT5A. Phase angle spectra of 31-mode piezoelectric samples: (**c**) PZT-PMS and (**d**) PZT5A.

Table 1. Piezoelectric charge coefficient (d_{31}), piezoelectric voltage coefficient (g_{31}), electromechanical coupling coefficient (k_{31}), mechanical quality factors at the resonance frequency (Q_m at f_r) and anti-resonance frequency (Q_m at f_a), dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_0$), and dissipation factor (tan δ) of 31-mode PZT-PMS and PZT5A ceramics.

	<i>d</i> ₃₁ (pCN ⁻¹)	$(\times 10^{-3} \text{ VmN}^{-1})$	k ₃₁	$Q_{\rm m}$ (at $f_{\rm r}$)	$Q_{\rm m}$ (at $f_{\rm a}$)	ε ₃₃ ^T /ε ₀ (at 1 kHz)	tan δ (at 1 kHz)
PZT-PMS	-65.7	-16.8	0.33	1668	1783	442	0.002
PZT5A	-185.6	-11.0	0.37	71	101	1905	0.015

In the direct ME effect, the α_{ME} value is enhanced at f_a of the laminate composite system, and the degree of enhancement is dependent on the Q_m value of the system [14,15,21]. The f_a obtained from the composite system is close to that obtained from the FE material when the thickness fraction of the FE layer is sufficiently large [15]. From Equation (2) and the data in Table 1 (g_{31} , Q_m at f_a , and tan δ), it was clearly expected that the α_{ME} value of the laminate composite with PZT-PMS (PZT-PMS sensor) would be superior to that of the laminate composite with PZT5A (PZT5A sensor) both in the resonance and off-resonance modes. As shown in Figure 3a, the composite sensor devices (FE dimensions: 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)) exhibited typical α_{ME} versus H_{DC} curves at a 1 kHz H_{AC} , with maximum α_{ME} values of 0.147 and 0.096 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹ for the PZT-PMS and PZT5A sensors, respectively. When the H_{AC} frequency was tuned to the anti-resonance frequency of the sensors, α_{ME} was significantly enhanced, as shown in Figure 3b. For the PZT5A sensor, an α_{ME} of 30.1 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹ (314 times greater than that at 1 kHz) was obtained. The PZT-PMS sensor exhibited a large α_{ME} of 87.6 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹, which is 596 times greater than that at 1 kHz.



Figure 3. (a) Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient of PZT-PMS and PZT5A sensors measured at 1 kHz as a function of H_{DC} . (b) Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient spectra of PZT-PMS and PZT5A sensors as a function of H_{AC} frequency. (FE dimensions: 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)).

The ME laminate composite can be used as a DC magnetic field sensor if the section in which α_{ME} changes sensitively and linearly according to the change in H_{DC} (e.g., the regions indicated in red in Figure 3a) is well defined. The length-to-width aspect ratio (l/w) of the FM layers can be controlled to adjust the H_{DC} of the maximum α_{ME} [22], i.e., to adjust the slope of the linear section and the magnetic field range to be detected. Furthermore, the ME laminate composite generates a voltage (V_{AC}) under the H_{AC} (Equation (1)), and the V_{AC} is proportional to the H_{AC} (i.e., linearly varies with the proportional constant $t_p \alpha_{ME}$). Therefore, the ME laminate composite can be used as an AC magnetic field sensor as well. The H_{AC} frequency of the sensor at which the enhanced α_{ME} is observed can be controlled by simply adjusting the length l of the sensor (i.e., adjusting the f_a of the sensor), and thereby, a highly sensitive AC field sensor for the desired frequencies can be implemented.

Figure 4 presents the V_{AC} versus H_{AC} curves of the PZT-PMS and PZT5A sensors (FE dimensions: 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)) obtained at 1 kHz and f_a of the sensors for evaluating the performance of the AC magnetic field sensors in the off-resonance and resonance modes, respectively. At 1 kHz, the PZT-PMS sensor displayed a linear relationship between the V_{AC} and H_{AC} at a H_{AC} greater than 390 nT, as shown in Figure 4a. At a H_{AC} less than 390 nT, the V_{AC} fluctuated so severely that it could not be measured as a constant value, indicating that the sensing limit of the PZT-PMS sensor was approximately 390 nT. The sensing limit of the PZT5A sensor was slightly larger than that of the PZT-PMS sensor. The magnetic field sensitivity (dV/dH, the slope of the linear section) of the PZT-PMS sensor (146.81 VT⁻¹) was 1.5 times greater than that of the PZT5A sensor mainly owing to the greater g_{31} of the PZT-PMS. Meanwhile, in the resonance mode in Figure 4b, the sensing limit of the sensors was greatly improved: approximately 0.387 and 6.11 nT for the PZT-PMS and PZT5A sensor, respectively. Furthermore, the PZT-PMS sensor exhibited a significantly large sensitivity of 8.76×10^4 VT⁻¹, which is 2.9 times greater than that of the PZT5A sensor. This large difference in sensitivity values emphasizes the importance of the Q_m of the FE material when the sensor is operated in the resonance mode.



Figure 4. Magnetoelectric voltage output as a function of H_{AC} of PZT-PMS and PZT5A sensors (FE dimensions: 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)): (**a**) measured at 1 kHz and (**b**) measured at an anti-resonance frequency of the sensors. Slope values and dashed lines indicate magnetic field sensitivities and observed sensing limits, respectively.

As mentioned earlier, the length-to-width aspect ratio (l/w) of the FM layers can be controlled to adjust the H_{DC} of the maximum α_{ME} . Figure 5a shows α_{ME} versus H_{DC} curves for the PZT-PMS sensors with various lengths and fixed width and thickness ((i.e., different l/w) measured at 1 kHz H_{AC} . The H_{DC} of the maximum α_{ME} gradually increased with decreasing sensor length, resulting in a decrease in the slope of the linear section. The maximum α_{ME} also decreased slightly with decreasing sensor length, indicating that magnetostriction in the longitudinal direction of the FM layers became difficult due to the reduced l/w. In the resonance mode, the H_{AC} frequency of the maximum α_{ME} increased with decreasing sensor length, accompanied by a large reduction of the maximum α_{ME} , as shown in Figure 5b. From these large reductions of the maximum α_{ME} in the resonance mode, it can be deduced that a small l/w causes a large mechanical loss in the FE layer.



Figure 5. (a) Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient of PZT-PMS sensors with different lengths measured at 1 kHz as a function of H_{DC} . (b) Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient spectra of the PZT-PMS sensors as a function of H_{AC} frequency. (FE cross-sectional dimensions: 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)).

The magnetic field sensitivity and sensing limit of the PZT-PMS sensors with different sensor lengths are displayed in Figure 6. Both in the off-resonance (1 kHz) and resonance (f_a) modes, magnetic field sensitivity exhibited the same trend as the maximum α_{ME} observed in Figure 5, and the sensing limit was slightly increased with decreasing sensor length. Therefore, it is clear that a larger l/w is advantageous to obtain higher sensitivity and lower sensing limit under the fixed condition of the sensor thickness.



Figure 6. Magnetoelectric voltage output as a function of H_{AC} of PZT-PMS sensors with different lengths (FE cross-sectional dimensions: 3.0 mm (w) × 1.0 mm (t)): (**a**) measured at 1 kHz and (**b**) measured at an anti-resonance frequency of the sensors. Slope values and dashed lines indicate magnetic field sensitivities and observed sensing limits, respectively.

Next, we investigated the effect of FE layer thickness (*t*) on the ME characteristics and magnetic field sensing performance. Figure 7a shows α_{ME} versus H_{DC} curves of the PZT-PMS sensors with various FE layer thicknesses, under fixed *l* and *w* conditions, measured at 1 kHz H_{AC} . The Metglas FM layers of identical dimensions were applied to the PZT-PMS sensors; therefore, the α_{ME} values of the sensors were maximized at similar H_{DC} values. The maximum α_{ME} was greatly enhanced with decreasing *t*, exhibiting 0.236 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹ when t = 0.5 mm, while it decreased to 0.084 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹ as *t* increased to 1.5 mm. However, the maximum α_{ME} in the resonance mode exhibited a tendency opposite to that in the off-resonant mode, as shown in Figure 7b. The largest α_{ME} of 99.2 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹ was obtained when t = 1.5 mm, and the sensor with t = 0.5 mm showed a reduced α_{ME} of 65.5 Vcm⁻¹Oe⁻¹.



Figure 7. (a) Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient of PZT-PMS sensors with different FE layer thicknesses measured at 1 kHz as a function of H_{DC} . (b) Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient spectra of the PZT-PMS sensors as a function of H_{AC} frequency. (FE areal dimensions: 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w)).

The results in Figure 7 signify that the high thickness fraction of the FM layers are advantageous in the off-resonance mode, but is disadvantageous in the resonance mode for achieving high α_{ME} . When *t* is small, magnetostriction of the FM layers can be readily generated, and the strain is effectively transferred to the FE layer. However, the electromechanical resonance of the FE layer is affected by structural damping, i.e., the resonance is attenuated if the motion of the FE layer is disturbed by the FM layers. Thus, a small *t* (or high thickness fraction of the FM layers) could lead to a large mechanical loss of the FE layer in the resonance mode.

Although the maximum α_{ME} values differed greatly as the *t* of the PZT-PMS sensors was changed (Figure 7a), sensors exhibited no significant difference in magnetic field sensitivity and sensing limit in the off-resonance mode, as shown in Figure 8a. When voltage monitoring is the basic sensing process of the magnetic field sensor system, the change in output voltage, not the α_{ME} value, should be high to increase the sensitivity. If magnetostriction strain of the same magnitude is transferred to the FE layer, the FE layer with larger *t* should generate a higher output voltage. However, the sensors with different *t* values exhibited similar sensitivities (or similar output voltages), implying that the magnetostriction of the FM layers decreased as *t* increased.



Figure 8. Magnetoelectric voltage output as a function of H_{AC} of PZT-PMS sensors with different FE layer thicknesses (FE areal dimensions: 13.5 mm (l) × 3.0 mm (w)): (**a**) measured at 1 kHz and (**b**) measured at an anti-resonance frequency of the sensors. Slope values and dashed lines indicate magnetic field sensitivities and observed sensing limits, respectively.

Unlike the off-resonance case, sensitivity showed a remarkable difference depending on the *t* of the sensor in the resonance mode, as shown in Figure 8b. Despite the smallest magnetostriction of the

FM layers, the sensor with t = 1.5 mm exhibited the highest sensitivity of 1.49×10^5 VT⁻¹, whereas the sensitivity of the sensor with t = 0.5 mm, which is believed to generate the highest magnetostriction, was 4.5 times lower than t = 1.5 mm case. This result confirms that the $Q_{\rm m}$ at f_a ($Q_{\rm m,fa}$) of the sensor system predominates the magnetic field sensing performance in the resonance mode. The $Q_{\rm m,fa}$ values of the PZT-PMS sensors with t = 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 mm were measured to be 71.4, 190.5, and 259.6, respectively. Even the $Q_{\rm m,fa}$ of the sensor with t = 1.5 mm was much smaller than that of the PZT-PMS material itself ($Q_{\rm m,fa} = 1783$), indicating a large mechanical loss in the FE layer caused by the FM and bonding layers. This inevitable mechanical loss can be more serious when the sensor size is reduced, thus, the $Q_{\rm m}$ of the FE material should be as high as possible to achieve high sensitivity in the resonance mode. The PZT-PMS sensors with different t values exhibited very low sensing limits ranging from 0.387 to 0.816 nT at their f_a .

The α_{ME} , magnetic field sensitivity and sensing limit values of the ME composite sensors are summarized in Table 2. The data in Table 2 were obtained in the air at room temperature without using special shielding instruments. Nevertheless, the simple laminate composite with polycrystalline PZT-PMS ceramics exhibited a high sensitivity of 10^5 VT⁻¹ with a sub-nT sensing limit, thus demonstrating its feasibility as a small and cost-effective magnetic field sensor device.

FE Material	FE Material	$\alpha_{\rm ME}$ (Vcm ⁻¹ Oe ⁻¹)		Sensitivity (VT ⁻¹)		Sensing Limit (nT)	
	$(l \times w \times t, mm)$	@ 1 kHz	@ <i>f</i> a	@ 1 kHz	$@f_a$	@ 1 kHz	$@f_a$
PZT5A	$13.5 \times 3 \times 1$	0.096	30.1	95.72	$3.02 imes 10^4$	502	6.11
	$13.5 \times 3 \times 1$	0.147	87.6	146.81	8.76×10^4	390	0.387
	$12.0 \times 3 \times 1$	0.136	67.0	135.66	$6.70 imes 10^4$	613	0.466
PZT-PMS	$10.5 \times 3 \times 1$	0.131	33.4	129.90	3.34×10^{4}	641	0.514
	$13.5 \times 3 \times 1.5$	0.084	99.2	126.35	1.49×10^{5}	334	0.816
	$13.5 \times 3 \times 0.5$	0.236	65.5	118.21	$3.28 imes 10^4$	307	0.504

Table 2. Magnetoelectric voltage coefficient (α_{ME}), magnetic field sensitivity, and sensing limit of PZT5A and PZT-PMS sensors.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the magnetic field sensing performances of FM/FE/FM laminate composites fabricated using PZT5A and PZT-PMS polycrystalline FE ceramics were evaluated and compared. The g_{31} of the FE layer affected the ME and magnetic field sensing performances of the laminate composites in the off-resonance mode. More importantly, the laminate composite sensor comprising the FE material with a high Q_m exhibited a large α_{ME} in the resonance regime, which resulted in a large magnetic field sensitivity in the sub-nT-level field range. The large length-to-width aspect ratio of the composite sensor was found to be desirable to reduce the mechanical loss in the FE layer. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the higher the thickness fraction of the FE layer, the less mechanical loss of the sensor. The results of this study provide design guidelines for implementing high-sensitivity ME sensor devices from a material and device structure perspective.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.-H.C. and J.-Y.S.; methodology, Y.-W.L. and I.-R.Y.; validation, Y.-W.L., J.-Y.S., and I.-R.Y.; writing—original draft preparation, K.-H.C. and J.C.; writing—review and editing, C.-W.A., J.-J.C., B.-D.H., and K.-H.C.; supervision, K.-H.C. and J.C.; funding acquisition, K.-H.C. and J.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2019R1I1A3A01058105 and NRF-2018R1A6A1A03025761), the Fundamental Research Program of the Korea Institute of Materials Science (PNK6030), and the Grand Information Technology Research Center Program through the Institute of Information & Communications Technology and Planning & Evaluation funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) of Korea (IITP-2020-2020-0-01612).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Nan, C.-W.; Bichurin, M.I.; Dong, S.; Viehland, D.; Srinivasan, G. Multiferroic magnetoelectric composites: Historical perspective, status, and future directions. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *103*, 031101.
- 2. Srinivasan, G. Magnetoelectric composites. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2010**, *40*, 153–178.
- 3. Fiebig, M. Revival of the magnetoelectric effect. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 2005, 38, R123–R152.
- 4. Sarkar, T.; Muscas, G.; Barucca, G.; Locardi, F.; Varvaro, G.; Peddis, D.; Mathieu, R. Tunable single-phase magnetic behavior in chemically synthesized AFeO₃–MFe₂O₄ (A = Bi or La, M = Co or Ni) nanocomposites. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 22990. [PubMed]
- 5. Wang, Y.; Gray, D.; Berry, D.; Li, M.; Gao, J.; Li, J.; Viehland, D. Influence of interfacial bonding condition on magnetoelectric properties in piezofiber/Metglas heterostructures. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2012**, *513*, 242–244.
- 6. Cho, K.-H.; Yan, Y.; Folgar, C.; Priya, S. Zigzag-shaped piezoelectric based high performance magnetoelectric laminate composite. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *104*, 222901.
- 7. Pavel, R.; Alois, T. (Eds.) Modern Sensors Handbook; ISTE Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2007; 518p.
- 8. Ortega, N.; Kumar, A.; Scott, J.F.; Katiyar, R.S. Multifunctional magnetoelectric materials for device applications. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2015**, *27*, 504002.
- 9. Paluszek, M.; Avirovik, D.; Zhou, Y.; Kundu, S.; Chopra, A.; Montague, R.; Priya, S. Magnetoelectric composites for medical application. In *Composite Magnetoelectrics*; Srinivasan, G., Priya, S., Sun, N.X., Eds.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2015; pp. 297–327.
- 10. Petrov, R.; Leontiev, V.; Sokolov, O.; Bichurin, M.; Bozhkov, S.; Milenov, I.; Bozhkov, P. A Magnetoelectric Automotive Crankshaft Position Sensor. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 5494.
- 11. Annapureddy, V.; Kim, M.; Palneedi, H.; Lee, H.-Y.; Choi, S.-Y.; Yoon, W.-H.; Park, D.-S.; Choi, J.-J.; Hahn, B.-D.; Ahn, C.-W.; et al. Low-Loss piezoelectric single-crystal fibers for enhanced magnetic energy harvesting with magnetoelectric composite. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6*, 1601244.
- 12. Cho, K.-H.; Park, C.S.; Priya, S. Effect of intensive and extensive loss factors on the dynamic response of magnetoelectric laminates. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *97*, 182902.
- 13. Yoo, I.-R.; Ahn, C.-W.; Cho, K.-H. 15-Mode piezoelectric composite and its application in a magnetoelectric laminate structure. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2018**, *767*, 61–67.
- 14. Cho, K.-H.; Priya, S. Direct and converse effect in magnetoelectric laminate composites. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2011**, *98*, 232904.
- 15. Cho, K.-H. Effect of structural control on the magnetoelectric characteristics of piezoelectric–magnetostrictive laminate composite in resonance and off-resonance modes. *Electron. Mater. Lett.* **2019**, *15*, 555–561.
- 16. Bichurin, M.I.; Petrov, V.M. Magnetoelectric effect in magnetostriction-piezoelectric multiferroics. *Low Temp. Phys.* **2010**, *36*, 544–549.
- 17. Wang, Y.J.; Gray, D.; Berry, D.; Gao, J.Q.; Li, M.H.; Li, J.F.; Viehland, D. An extremely low equivalent magnetic noise magnetoelectric sensor. *Adv. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 4111–4114. [PubMed]
- 18. Park, S.; Peddigari, M.; Hwang, G.-T.; Yoon, W.-H.; Kumar, A.; Ryu, J. Face-shear 36-mode magnetoelectric composites with piezoelectric single crystal and Metglas Laminate. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2019**, *115*, 102901.
- 19. Turutin, A.V.; Vidal, J.V.; Kubasov, I.V.; Kislyuk, A.M.; Malinkovich, M.D.; Parkhomenko, Y.N.; Kobeleva, S.P.; Pakhomov, O.V.; Kholkin, A.L.; Sobolev, N.A. Magnetoelectric metglas/bidomain y+140°-cut lithium niobate composite for sensing fT magnetic fields. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2018**, *112*, 262906.
- 20. Djamal, M.; Ramli, R. Giant magnetoresistance sensors based on ferrite material and its applications. In *Magnetic Sensors: Development Trends and Applications*; Asfour, A., Ed.; IntechOpen, Ltd.: London, UK, 2017.
- 21. Deka, B.; Lee, Y.-W.; Yoo, I.-R.; Gwak, D.-W.; Cho, J.; Song, H.-C.; Choi, J.-J.; Hahn, B.-D.; Ahn, C.-W.; Cho, K.-H. Designing ferroelectric/ferromagnetic composite with giant self-biased magnetoelectric effect. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2019**, *115*, 192901.
- 22. Cho, K.-H. Effect of dimension control of piezoelectric layer on the performance of magnetoelectric laminate composite. *Korean J. Mater. Res.* **2018**, *28*, 611–614.

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).