Salter-Harris Type 2 Injury of the Proximal Tibial Epiphysis in a 15-yearold Athlete: A Rare Case Report

Vivek Kumar David¹, Atul Prakash¹, Shivanshu Mittal¹

Learning Point of the Article:

High index of suspicion and additional imaging is advocated to diagnose occult injuries in the adolescent age group.

Background: Proximal tibial epiphyseal injuries in adolescents are uncommon accounting to 0.5 to 3 % of all epiphyseal injuries. Although rare, the proximity of popliteal artery puts this region at an increased risk of vascular injury and compartment syndrome. Also, premature closure of the growth plate can cause restrictions in growth and angular malformations. The fractures may often be missed on routine X-rays. Frequent monitoring and further testing should be done to better diagnose these injuries.

Case History: We present a case of an adolescent football player (15 years old) who injured his left knee and was diagnosed to have Salter-Harris II proximal tibial epiphyseal injury. Routine radiographs revealed minimal widening in his epiphysis anteriorly when compared to his contralateral knee. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings revealed a fracture passing through the growth plate of proximal tibia exiting posteriorly into the tibial metaphysis. Management included closed manipulation and plaster cast immobilization with regular follow-ups. At the end of 1.5 years, the patient had full range of motion without any residual deformities.

Conclusions: High clinical suspicion is required to diagnose these rare injuries. When in doubt, MRI is advocated to better delineate the fracture as the potential complications can be limb threatening. Anatomical reduction and adequate immobilization should be done to prevent deformities and good functional outcome in the long term.

Keywords: Proximal tibial epiphyseal injuries, adolescents, Salter-Harris II.

Introduction

Epiphyseal injuries involving proximal tibia of adolescents are uncommon, accounting to 0.5 to 3% of all injuries affecting epiphysis with the majority of cases being males [1,2]. The rarity of this injury is due to its intrinsic anatomic stability. Although rare, the proximity of popliteal artery puts this region at an increased risk of vascular injury and compartment syndrome. Also, premature closure of the growth plate can cause restrictions in growth and angular malformations [3].

We present a case of an epiphyseal injury of proximal tibia, Salter-Harris II (SH-II) managed with closed manipulation and cast immobilization.

Case Report

An adolescent athlete was about 15-years-old presented to the emergency with a history of trauma to the left knee while practicing soccer. Patient left foot was plantar- flexed on the ground when he was hitting the ball with the other leg. Missing the ball, he twisted onto his left knee and experienced sudden pain followed by swelling. On presentation, there were swelling and ecchymosis around the knee and the proximal leg. Proximal tibia was tender to palpate. There was gross restriction of range of









¹Department of Joint Replacement and Orthopaedics, Tata Main Hospital, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand. India.

Dr. Shivanshu Mittal,

Department of Joint Replacement and Orthopaedics, Tata Main Hospital, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand. India.

Submitted: 12/07/2021; Review: 24/08/2021; Accepted: October 2021; Published: November 2021

DOI:10.13107/jocr.2021.v11.i11.2532

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncsa/4.0/, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms



DavidVKetal www.jocr.co.in

Figure 1: (a) The injured side with widening and displacement at the physeal plate. (b): Uninjured side.

motion (ROM) of knee. The tests for the cruciate ligaments and varus-valgus stability could not be performed due to severe pain and swelling. Radiographs suggested widening of the proximal epiphysis of the tibia anteriorly when compared to his contralateral knee (Fig. 1). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings confirmed a fracture passing through the growth plate of proximal tibia exiting posteriorly into the tibial metaphysis (Fig. 2). There was associated knee effusion with intact collateral and cruciate ligaments. The injury was treated with closed manipulation and a plaster of Paris cast in complete extension. The patient was kept in the hospital under orthopaedic care for monitoring his swelling, signs of compartment syndrome, and neurovascular status and discharged after 4 days.

The cast was cut at 6 weeks. Partial weight-bearing was initiated with knee range of movements using (ROM) brace. Serial check radiographs (Fig. 3) showed complete union and the patient regained near full movements by 4 months. Final follow-up at the end of 1.5 years showed complete remodeling and closure of physis. There was no residual deformity or limb length discrepancy and the patient had excellent final functional outcome (Fig. 4).



Figure 3: Final radiographs (post-physeal fusion).



Figure 2: Magnetic resonance imaging pictures revealing fracture through the growth plate extending into the metaphyseal bone.

Discussion

The specific anatomy of proximal tibia provides inherent stability to its epiphysis protecting it from direct injuries. The attachment of collateral ligaments more distally on the metaphysis prevents transmission of the varus-valgus forces onto the epiphysis [4,5].

In our case, the patient had sustained an indirect injury where the epiphysis was displaced by an avulsion force on the patella tendon which was being transmitted posteriorly through the physeal plate. The fracture line extended further posteriorly before exiting through the metaphysis. The injury pattern is attributed to the fact that the posterior metaphysis unites earlier in the adolescent age group, thus, making the anterior part more vulnerable [6,7,8].

Watson and Jones were the first to classify these fractures in 1955. It was modified by Ogden et al. [9]. According to the classification, type I was distal tip tibial tubercle avulsion fracture, type II was an incomplete fracture with the epiphysis being lifted cephalad, and type III was a fracture of base of the tubercle with propagation into the knee joint. Each group was sub classified into A or B on the basis of severity of dislocation



Figure 4: Final functional outcome.



and comminution. In subsequent years, Ryu and Debenham et al added a fourth group involving the disruption of epiphysis from anterior to posterior [8]. As per the Ryu et al and Debenham modification, the fracture pattern in our case can be classified as type 4B. Another broad classification system proposed by Mubarak at et. describes these injuries depending on mode of injury [10].

Various treatment options have been described across the literature with good to excellent outcomes. Closed reduction with cast immobilization, open reduction, and fixation with K-wire, screws/plates have been reported across various studies with usual immobilization of 6 to 8 weeks followed by active rehabilitation and gradual weight-bearing [4, 11, 12, 13].

Complications following a fracture to the proximal epiphysis of tibia are seldom seen although they can be potentially limb threatening [1, 2, 13, 14]. Burkhart and Peterson reported one case with vascular injury leading to compartment syndrome and subsequently a below- knee amputation [1]. Another case report by Clement and Goswami dealt with their experience at handling one such case which had vascular compromise along with compartment syndrome. The patient was successfully managed with percutaneous wire fixation and fasciotomies [2]. Other complications such as cruciate ligament injury, quadriceps tendon rupture, meniscal injury, and premature closure of epiphysis have also been reported across literature [9, 11, 12]. Gautier et al, in their six-patient series, described minor limb length inequalities and angular deformities. They attributed it to either growth acceleration or retardation at the tibial physis [15]. The most commonly encountered deformity was found to be recurvatum [15, 16].

There is a paucity of literature available on SH-II injuries of the proximal epiphysis of tibia in adolescents where less than 100 cases have been reported worldwide. A review of recent literature shows a good to excellent outcome with most of the Salter-Harris type 2 fractures where the injuries were diagnosed early and timely intervention was done (Table 1) [17, 18, 19, 20]. To the best of our knowledge and research, this is probably the first case report of a SH-II fracture of the proximal epiphysis of tibia from the Indian literature.

Conclusions

Fortunately, in our case, the fracture was minimally displaced and the patient's recovery was uneventful without any residual deformity or limb length discrepancy. This injury usually has a good prognosis and heals well without any severe complications if diagnosed properly and treated well in time. The importance of clinical examination, high index of suspicion, and a careful evaluation of radiographs are the key for the management of these fractures which might often be occult. When in doubt, do not hesitate to get an MRI scan for better evaluation of these injuries.

Clinical Message

Injuries to the epiphysis of proximal tibia are rare and can be missed on routine X-rays. The clinician should be aware of these rare injuries for its optimal management. Contralateral limb comparative radiographs and MRI are advocated for proper evaluation of such injuries.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient's parents have given their consent for patient images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient's parents understand that his names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of interest: Nil Source of support: None

References

- 1. Burkhart SS and Peterson HA. Fractures of the proximal tibial epiphysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1979;61:996-1002.
- Clement ND, Goswami A. Salter-Harris II injury of the proximal tibial epiphysis with both vascular compromise and compartment syndrome: A case report. J Orthop Surg Res 2009;4:23.
- 3. Aitken AP, Ingersoll RE. Fractures of the proximal tibial epiphyseal cartilage. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1956;38:787-96.
- 4. Aerts BR, Ten Brinke B, Jakma TS, Punt BJ. Classification of proximal tibial epiphysis fractures in children: Four clinical cases. Injury 2015;46:1680-3.
- 5. Israni P, Panat M. Proximal tibial epiphysis injury (flexion type, Salter-Harris Type 1). J Orthop Case Rep 2016;6:62-5.
- 6. Mudgal CS, Popovitz LE, Kasser JR. Flexion-type Salter-Harris I injury of the proximal tibial epiphysis. J Orthop Trauma

2000;14:302-5.

- 7. Blanks RH, Lester DK, Shaw BA. Flexion-type Salter II fracture of the proximal tibia. Proposed mechanism of injury and two case studies. Clin Orthop 1994;301:256-9.
- 8. Ryu RK, Debenham JO. An unusual avulsion fracture of the proximal tibial epiphysis. Case report and proposed addition to the Watson-Jones classification. Clin Orthop 1985;194:181-4.
- 9. Ogden JA, Tross RB, Murphy MJ. Fractures of the tibial tuberosity in adolescents. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1980;62:205-15.
- 10. Mubarak SJ, Kim JR, Edmonds EW, Pring ME, Bastrom TP. Classification of proximal tibial fractures in children. J Child Orthop 2009;3:191-7.
- 11. Frey S, Hosalkar H, Cameron DB, Heath A, Horn BD, Ganley TJ. Tibial tuberosity fractures in adolescents. J Child Orthop 2008;2:469-74.



David V Ketal www.jocr.co.in

- 12. Inoue G, Kuboyama K, Shido T. Avulsion fractures of the proximal tibial epiphysis. Br J Sports Med 1991;25:52-6.
- 13. Omar M, Petri M, Ettinger M, Decker S, Krettek C, Gaulke R. Simultaneous bilateral transitional fractures of the proximal tibia after minor sports trauma. Case Rep Orthop 2013;2013:724802.
- 14. Shelton WR, Canale ST. Fractures of the tibia through the proximal tibial epiphyseal cartilage. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1979;61:167-73.
- 15. Gautier E, Ziran BH, Egger B, Slongo T, Jakob RP. Growth disturbances after injuries of the proximal tibial epiphysis. Arch Orth Traum Surg 1998;118:37-41.
- 16. Nathan ST, Parikh SN. Genu recurvatum after tibial tuberosity fracture. Case Rep Orthop 2013;2013:952978.

- 17. Pires J, Oliveira S, Figueiredo P, Pinheiro JP. Rehabilitation of simultaneous bilateral epiphysial fracture of proximal tibia in adolescent. BMJ Case Rep 2018;2018:bcr2017223619.
- 18. Crimaldi S, Calderazzi F, Becherucci L, Faldini A. Upper tibial physeal fracture-a case report. Proposed mechanism of injury and classification. Acta Orthop Scand 2003;74:764-5.
- 19. Newman C, Musiienko D, Law S. Surgical fixation of bilateral simultaneous avulsion fractures of the proximal tibia in a 12-year-old with history of conservatively managed unilateral tibial avulsion fracture. Case Rep Orthop 2017;2017:5925421.
- 20. Stavrakakis IM, Katsoulis PE, Katsafarou MS. Proximal tibial epiphysis fracture in a 13-year-old male athlete. Case Rep Orthop 2017;2017:4823589.

Conflict of Interest: Nil Source of Support: Nil

Consent: The authors confirm that informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report

How to Cite this Article

David VK, Prakash A, Mittal S. Salter-Harris Type 2 Injury of the Proximal Tibial Epiphysis in a 15-year-old Athlete: A Rare Case Report. Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports 2021 November;11(11):95-98.

