RESEARCH Open Access



Factors influencing international and U.S. dentists' interest in advanced periodontal education: a pilot study

Andre Paes B. da Silva^{1*}, Hazem Saqqal^{1,2}, Andrew Guirguis³ and Uma M. Irfan⁴

Abstract

Background: The enrollment of international periodontal students in U.S. dental schools has been increasing in recent years. Interest in applying to a periodontics specialty program may differ between U.S and international dental school graduates. The purpose of this study is to assess, from the perspective of periodontal residents, (1) factors that interest dental students to apply to periodontics programs and (2) differences in background and interest between U.S and international graduates.

Methods: A 20-question survey was sent out electronically to periodontics residents. The survey questions were designed to obtain information on the participants' backgrounds, factors that influenced them to specialize in periodontics, and their preferred features of graduate periodontics programs. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics for socio-demographic variables, a Wilcoxon two sample test to compare mean Likert scale scores, and Fisher's exact test for associations between comparison groups.

Results: Of the two hundred residents invited to participate, 28% responded. The majority of the respondents stated that interest in implantology, previous exposure to periodontal procedures, interest in improving periodontal surgery skills, a good relationship with periodontics faculty, the residency curriculum, advanced program and faculty reputation as influencing factors in selecting periodontics as specialization. The majority of international graduates have up to \$50,000 dollars in student debt; by comparison, half of the domestic graduates have a debt of over \$250,000 dollars ($p \le 0.05$). Working experience as a dentist was significantly greater among international residents (73%) in comparison to U.S graduates (32%). In contrast with international graduates, U.S graduates more frequent reported that good relationships with the periodontics predoctoral faculty contributed to their interest in periodontics ($p \le 0.05$). Program cost and location had a greater impact on the decision of U.S. graduates than international graduates ($p \le 0.05$).

Conclusions: Overall, factors associated with personal finance and predoctoral education have a greater impact on the decision of American graduates than international graduates to pursue an advanced education in periodontics, which may influence the increased enrollment of international students.

Keywords: Dental residency, Graduate education, Periodontal education

Background

Fifty-five university- and hospital-based graduate periodontics programs exist in the United States. Forty-five programs admit international students who do not have a U.S dental license. According to the American Dental Association (ADA)'s annual Survey of Advanced Dental

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third partial in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: axp460@case.edu

¹ CWRU Health Education Campus, Dental Clinic / 2nd Fl – 240, 9601 Chester Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

Education and the Council on Dental Education and Licensure (CDEL), the number of enrolled international periodontal students in U.S. dental schools increased from 2012 to 2018. In the graduating class of 2012, 27% of graduates from advanced programs in periodontics were international students, compared to 33% in 2020. Moreover, the number of programs accepting international students increased from 39 programs (2012) to 47 programs (2020). However, the percentage of international students who enrolled in other specialties such as endodontics and prosthodontics has remained almost stable [1].

In our previous study, from the periodontics department chairpersons' perspectives reported by Luke Hearty et al., specialty clinic rotations and elective courses appear to increase student interest in a periodontal residency program. Periodontal residencies that offer externships had a greater number of candidates. However, factors such as residency stipends and fellowships did not have great influence on dentist interest in a periodontal program [2]. Data from a survey on graduating dental students, suggested that mentoring influenced students interest in residencies, indicating the significance of exposure to the advanced program educators and residents [3]. Nevertheless, in another study in the U.S., it was reported that graduating dental students with a debt of at least \$100,000 were more likely to start practicing dentistry after graduation than students with lower debt, even after adjusting for the impact of individuals who were influential on students' career decisions [4].

Differences between dental students in terms of social, economic and cultural backgrounds are expected to influence their career plans. Surveyed dental students from different Middle Eastern nationalities in a Jordan Dental school agreed on most factors affecting their choice of a specialty, except for the reputation of the specialty [5]. Authors of a student survey conducted in one dental school in U.K. reported that "having a talent in the field" had the largest positive influence on pursuing a specialist career [6]. Saudi Arabia dental students reported that the influence of family members in the dental profession, and specific interest in patient population as significant factors in choosing a specialty. Other important factors were variety of non-clinical duties, and research opportunities [7].

Interest in applying to a periodontics specialty program may differ between U.S and international dental school graduates. The aim of this study is to assess the perspective of periodontal residents regarding (1) factors that attract dental students to apply to periodontics programs and (2) differences in background and interest between U.S and international graduates.

Methods

Participants

The research protocol for this study was approved by the Case Western Reserve University Institutional Review Board as exempt from oversight (IRB-2018-1242). The survey was carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. In 2018, a 20-question survey (Table 1) was sent out electronically to periodontics graduate program residents. The survey was placed on the Surveymonkey website and the link to participate in the survey was sent out to 200 residents, who were student members of the American Academy of Periodontology (AAP) and were enrolled in a residency program. The survey contained a cover letter explaining its purpose, how the data would be used, stated that the data would be anonymous. The request to participate in the survey was sent three times by e-mail in 30-day intervals. Respondents completed the survey anonymously and voluntarily.

Study questionnaire

The survey included questions that were dichotomous, had multiple choices, or had a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree). Some of the standardized survey questions were derived from previous investigations [3, 8], and others were created to address research objectives. The modified survey questionnaire was pilot tested among colleagues of the authors to validate questions before it was uploaded online.

The survey questions were framed to obtain information related to the participants' socio-demographic characteristics, factors in selecting periodontics as an area of specialization (interest in periodontal surgeries, interest in implantology, previous exposure to periodontal surgeries, previous positive interactions with periodontal faculty, periodontology courses in dental school, and previous externship/fellowship), and the preferred graduate periodontics program features (location, cost, curriculum, faculty reputation, and research opportunities). Previous experience before enrolment in the periodontics program and goals after completion of the program was also surveyed.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software version 27, with descriptive statistics on socio-demographic variables; Chi-square analysis and/or Fisher's exact test was performed to test associations between socio-demographic variables and previous experiences in dentistry and research among International and US graduates; and a non-parametric Wilcoxon two sample test was done to compare mean Likert scale scores on factors influencing advanced periodontal education and future prospects

Table 1 Survey guestionnaire

Please answer all questions to the best of your knowledge and ability

Respondent Id:

- 1. Age in years:
- 2. Gender: 1 = Male; 2 = Female
- 3. Are you a graduate from an International dental school? 1 = Yes; 0 = No
- 4. How much educational loan/debt did you have prior to starting your residency in Periodontics? (a) None (b) \$50,000—\$150,000 (c) \$150,000—\$250,000 (d) More than \$250,000
- 5. Have you practiced dentistry before applying to a Periodontics Advanced Training Program?
- 6. If yes, for how long have you practiced dentistry?
- 7. Did you experience any of the following before attending advanced periodontal education program? You may choose more than one choice
- a. Working as general dentist
- b. Advanced training in general dentistry
- c. Master's degree or PhD. In related field
- d Research
- e. None of the above
- 8. Have you done an externship/Fellowship in a Periodontics department prior to applying to the Advanced Periodontal Education Program?

Please respond to the statements below that represent preferred graduate program features associated with choosing advanced periodontal education; with a score on a scale of 1 to 5, [1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; and 5 = Strongly Agree]

- 9. I am familiar with the curriculum contents of this particular program that you are in currently
- 10. The program and faculty member reputation was important to me
- 11. Cost of attending the program was a significant factor to be considered for me
- 12. Location of the program counted for me
- 13. I look forward to Research opportunities in the program
- 14. I have special interest in Implantology
- 15. I had a good relationship with periodontal faculty while attending dental school
- 16. I want to improve my capabilities in performing periodontal surgeries
- 17. Advanced Periodontal education is one of the ways to obtain a license to work in USA
- 18. The exposure to periodontal procedures had built my interest in periodontics
- 19. I was interested in the Periodontology course in my dental school
- 20. What are your future plans after graduation? You may choose more than one choice
- a. Staying in the US
- b. Try for an Academic full time position
- c. Join a private practice
- d. Try for an Academic part-time position
- e. Apply for further education
- f. Practice in home country (International graduates only)

among US and International graduates. The statistical significance for all tests was set at p < 0.05.

Results

A total of 58 (28%) invited residents responded to the survey. About 50% of respondents were between 30 and 40 years of age and had less than \$50,000 in educational debts prior to their attendance in the residency program, and 78% were male with 65% having graduated from an accredited dental school in the U.S (Table 2).

The majority of international graduates' (60%) were between the ages of 30 and 35 years that was significantly ($p \le 0.05$) different with only 37% of the U.S graduates in this age category. The majority of the international graduates (70%) had no educational debt and in comparison, it was significantly different ($p \le 0.05$), with approximately 21% of US graduates had incurred an educational debt less than \$150,000, and 50% had a debt greater than \$150,000 dollars (Table 2).

The distribution of respondents' dental-associated experience prior to the periodontics residency included 19% with a Master's or PhD training, 24% with research experience, 22% with advanced training in general dentistry, 38% with an externship/fellowship, and approximately 45% had prior experience practicing dentistry. The response rates for working as a general dentist prior to residency enrollment was significantly ($p \le 0.05$) different between international (70%) and U.S. graduates (32%) (Table 3).

Survey responders answered questions on factors that may influence the selection of periodontics as an area of specialization. The majority of the respondents stated that interest in implantology, previous exposure to periodontal procedures, interest in improving periodontal surgery skills, and a good relationship with periodontics faculty as influencing factors in selecting periodontics as an area of specialization (Table 4). International students were significantly less frequent than U.S. graduates to

Paes B. da Silva et al. BMC Oral Health (2021) 21:363 Page 4 of 7

Table 2 Distribution of the Socio-demographic characteristics of International versus US Graduates

Socio-demographic variables	Dental graduates	<i>p</i> -value			
	AII N = 58 (100%)	International N = 20 (35%)	U.S N = 38 (65%)		
Age in years					
< 30	18 (31.0)	2 (10.0)	16 (42.1)	0.0163*	
30–35	26 (44.8)	12 (60.0)	14 (36.8)		
36–40	2 (3.5)	2 (10.0)	0 (0)		
>40	12 (20.7)	4 (20.0)	8 (21.1)		
Gender					
Female	13 (22.4)	5 (25.0)	8 (21.1)	0.4876	
Male	45 (77.6)	15 (75.0)	30 (78.9)		
Educational debt (US dollars) prior to residency in Periodontics: None	25 (43.1)	14 (70.0)	11 (28.9)	0.0053*	
< 50,000	5 (8.6)	2 (10.0)	3 (7.9)		
50,000150,000	7(12.1)	2 (10.0)	5 (13.2)		
150,000–250,000	3 (5.2)	1 (5.0)	2 (5.3)		
> 250,000	18 (31.0)	1 (5.0)	17 (44.7)		

Chi-square/Fisher's exact test

Table 3 Comparison between US and International Residents' Experience in dentistry and research prior to periodontal residency program

Experience	Dental Grad	p value			
in dentistry / research	AII N = 58 (100%)	International N = 20 (35%)	U.S N = 38 (65%)		
Worked as gen	eral dentist				
Yes	26 (44.8)	14 (70.0)	12 (31.6)	0.0056*	
No	32 (55.2)	6 (30.0)	26 (68.4)		
Advanced trair	ning in Genera	alDentistry:			
Yes	13 (22.4)	6 (30.0)	7 (18.4)	0.2473	
No	45 (77.6)	14 (70.0)	31 (81.6)		
Master's Degre	e / PhD. traini	ng in related field			
Yes	11 (18.9)	5 (25.0)	6 (15.8)	0.3037	
No	47 (81.1)	15 (75.0)	32 (84.2)		
Experience in r	esearch				
Yes	14 (24.1)	6 (30.0)	8 (21.1)	0.3274	
No	44 (75.9)	14 (70.0)	30 (78.9)		
	externship/fel ics residency	lowship in a period enrolment	ontics departme	ent prior	
Yes	22 (37.9)	9 (45.0)	13 (34.2)	0.2999	
No	36 (62.1)	11 (55.0)	25 (65.8)		
No previous ex	perience in d	entistry / research			
Yes	13 (22.4)	0 (0)	13 (34.2)	0.0017*	
No	45 (77.6)	20 (100)	25 (65.8)		

Chi-square/Fisher's Exact Test

report that the reason they chose the field of periodontics was due to a positive relationship with a periodontics faculty member ($p \le 0.05$). Moreover, unlike U.S. graduates, international graduates reported that the completion of a periodontics program was one way to obtain a dental license to practice in the U.S.; this influenced their interest in periodontics ($p \le 0.05$) (Table 4).

The majority of the respondents agreed on the importance of the influencing factors associated with the program characteristics, such as the curriculum, the program and faculty reputation. However, in selecting a residency, cost and location had a greater impact on the decision of U.S. graduates than international graduates $(p \le 0.05)$ (Table 5).

U.S. and international graduates had similar interests pertaining to their future plans. Yet, international graduates were more interested in holding a part-time (40%) academic position than were U.S. graduates (15%) ($p \le 0.05$). One-third of the international graduates reported that they intend to practice dentistry in their home country (Table 6).

Discussion

In the present study, from the perspective of periodontics residents, interest in undertaking a graduate periodontics program is shared among U.S and international graduates. Overall, the majority of respondents stated that interest in implantology, previous exposure to periodontal procedures, interest in improving periodontal surgery skills, a good relationship with periodontics

^{*} Significant at p < 0.05

^{*} Significant at p < 0.05

Paes B. da Silva *et al. BMC Oral Health* (2021) 21:363 Page 5 of 7

Table 4 Distribution of mean Likert Scale scores on factors influencing the choice of Periodontics as a Specialty Career among US and International Graduates

Influencing factors for choice of periodontics as specialty career	All graduates (n*)	US Graduates Mean Score ± sd (n*)	International graduates Mean Score ± SD (n*)	<i>p</i> value
Interest in Implantology	4.06 ± 1.27	4.00 ± 1.23	4.17 ± 1.38	0.3226
Good Relationship with Periodontal Faculty	3.49 ± 1.66	3.89 ± 1.41	2.38 ± 1.85	0.0149*
To improve Periodontal Surgery capabilities	4.80 ± 0.62	4.75 ± 0.73	4.89 ± 0.32	0.5243
One way to obtain License to work in the US	2.12 ± 1.56	1.38 ± 0.96	2.92 ± 1.73	0.0088*
Exposure to Periodontal Work has increased interest in the subject	4.50 ± 1.07	4.47 ± 1.05	4.56 ± 1.15	0.4977
Periodontal course in my school	3.06 ± 1.59	3.27 ± 1.48	2.63 ± 1.78	0.2242

Wilcoxon Two-Sample Test

Table 5 Distribution of Mean Likert Scale scores of Periodontal residents' responses on influencing factors for choosing Periodontal programs

Influencing factors for choosing periodontal programs	All Graduates (n*)	US Graduates International graduates Mean Score ± sd (n*) mean score ± SD (n*) 3.91 ± 1.06 4.05 ± 1.14		<i>p</i> value
Curriculum contents	3.91 ± 1.06	3.91 ± 1.06	4.05 ± 1.14	0.4802
Program and Faculty Member Reputation	3.93 ± 1.27	3.83 ± 1.34	4.11 ± 1.13	0.4464
Cost of Attendance	3.90 ± 1.36	4.23 ± 1.14	3.13 ± 1.55	0.0131*
Location of the Program	3.24 ± 1.63	3.56 ± 1.59	2.53 ± 1.50	0.0322*
Research Opportunities of the Program	2.64 ± 1.51	2.44 ± 1.56	3.07 ± 1.33	0.1612

Wilcoxon two-sample test

Table 6 Distribution of reported choices for Future Career Plans of US Dental Graduates versus International Dental Graduates

Reported future plans of dental graduates	All graduates (n = 58)		US dental graduates (n = 38)		International dental graduates (n = 20)		<i>p</i> -value
	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	
Staying in the US	30 (51.7)	28 (48.3)	22 (57.9)	16 (42.1)	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)	0.1539
Full time Academic Position	8 (13.8)	50 (86.2)	4 (10.5)	34 (89.5)	4 (20.0)	16 (80.0)	0.2706
Private Practice	42 (72.4)	16 (27.6)	27 (71.1)	11 (28.9)	15 (75.0)	5 (25.0)	0.5017
Part time Academic Position	14 (24.1)	44 (75.9)	6 (15.8)	32 (84.2)	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)	0.0441*
Further Education	6 (10.3)	52 (89.7)	3 (7.9)	35 (92.1)	3 (15.0)	17 (85.0)	0.3372
Practice in Home Country	7 (12.1)	51 (87.9)	1 (2.6)	37 (97.4)	6 (30.0)	14 (70.0)	0.0052*

Wilcoxon two-sample test

faculty, the residency curriculum, advanced program features and faculty reputation as factors that attract dental graduates in selecting an advanced periodontics program. One of the top influential factors was previous exposure to periodontal procedures. This result is in agreement with our previous study, which

was reported in the opinion of periodontics department chairmen that specialty clinic rotations and elective courses increase student interest in applying to advanced periodontal education [2]. However, there were differences between U.S. and international graduates in terms of background and factors that influenced them to commit to further education in periodontics,

^{*} Significant at p < 0.05. (n*) Number of respondents vary due to non-response

^{*} Significant at p < 0.05

 $⁽n^*)$ Number of respondents vary due to non-response

^{*} Significant at p < 0.05

Paes B. da Silva et al. BMC Oral Health (2021) 21:363

such as debt, dental work experience, a good relationship with predoctoral faculty, advance training cost, and location.

In the U.S., the average educational debt for a dental student was \$292,000 in 2019 [9]. This is in agreement with the present study, where a significantly higher proportion of U.S. dental graduates, had educational debts greater than \$150,000 as compared to international graduates. According to the present survey, in comparison to American graduates, international graduates tend to be older and have more work experience as a general dentist prior to attending a periodontics program, which may contribute to their having less debt. Furthermore, international graduates frequently have financial support from their home country's government for higher education. In agreement with the present study, student debt [3, 4, 8, 10], program cost [11] and location [12, 13] have been reported as significant factors that influenced the students' decision to specialize in dentistry. In the U.S., the average income for general dentists is lower than that of dental specialists [14]. However, American students may be drawn to this career choice by the ability to enter the workforce earlier. Interestingly, in our previous study, from the perspective of program chairmen, the offering of a stipend does not affect the number of applications to the periodontics programs [2]. Perhaps the increasing numbers of international students in dental higher education over time may be due to the fewer financial responsibilities compared to the U.S. graduates' financial burden.

In the present study, in comparison to international graduates, U.S. graduates reported that a good relationship with the pre-doctoral faculty had a positive impact on developing interest in a periodontics program. This result ties with a previous study wherein it was found that strong faculty student interaction tended to foster interest in a career in periodontics [15]. In agreement, prosthodontics residents reported that advice from predoctoral mentors was an important influential factor in choosing prosthodontics as a career [16]. However, in a Saudi Arabian study, students reported that the influence of family members in the dental profession are of high importance in a selection of a specialty [7]. This variance in opinions among international and American graduates may be influenced by differences in cultural backgrounds. For example, it has been reported that prestige is an important influential factor on choosing a specialty for Turkish, Saudi and Iranian dental graduates. However, it is not an essential factor for western dental graduates, such as British and Danish [6, 17-19]. Interestingly, in the present study, international graduates reported that completion of a periodontics program was one way to obtain a dental license to practice in the United States. Also, approximately 30% of international graduates had plans to practice in the United States. Completion of a CODA accredited specialty program is one of the three educational pathways in order to be eligible for licensing in the U.S. [20]. Perhaps, given the decreased amount of debt, international graduates may have more disposable revenue than domestic graduates, and may consider dental specialization in the U.S. as a financial opportunity to earn a higher income as a specialist.

There were limitations to this study. Not all residents in all U.S. programs could be reached, since their contact information was not accessible through the AAP directory website, which is meant to have residents' contact information. The low response rate (28%) could be partially explained by residents' main focus on completion of their residency program, by the workload needed to successfully fulfill all of a program's requirements, and by a potentially outdated list of e-mail addresses in the AAP directory. However, given the small sample, this study identified interesting and informative factors that describe the choice differences between U.S. and international graduates in selecting periodontal programs. A post-hoc power analysis revealed power ranging from 53.3 to 95.8% for dichotomous variables and 65.8% to 80.5% for continuous variables that were analyzed to detect differences between US and International graduates in this study. Studies with small sample size could potentially reveal important characteristics that could be expanded with larger studies and may be included in systematic reviews [21, 22]. Further studies with larger samples are required to increase the power to detect and better understand the differences in influencing factors between U.S. and international graduates' interests in advanced periodontal education.

Conclusion

This study found that a good relationship with predoctoral faculty had a greater influence on American graduates than international graduates when choosing periodontics as a career. Personal finances and program location had a greater negative impact on American graduates than international graduates when selecting an advanced periodontics program of choice. Hence, the increasing cost of dental education in the U.S. as it translates to educational debt incurred by US graduates; could explain the increased enrollment of international students in advanced periodontal education.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

AP, HS, and AG have made substantial contributions to the design of the study; AP and HS and AG contributed to the acquisition of data; AP, HS and UI contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data; AP and HS wrote

the manuscript. AP, HS, AG and UI reviewed the manuscript and AP did the final revisions. AP: Andre Paes Batista da Silva; HS: Hazem Saqqal; AG: Andrew Guirguis; UI: Uma M. Irfan. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. "The dataset(s) supporting the conclusions of this article is(are) included within the article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The research protocol for this study was approved by the Case Western Reserve University Institutional Review Board as exempt from oversight (IRB-2018-1242). The survey contained a cover letter explaining the study purpose; how the data will be use, and stated that the data would be anonymous. Our local IRB determined the protocol to be exempt under federal regulation (HHS regulations https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/guidance/faq/informed-consent/index.html) and therefore a formal consent form was not required. I confirm that that all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant quidelines and regulations.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹CWRU Health Education Campus, Dental Clinic / 2nd FI – 240, 9601 Chester Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA. ²Present Address: Associates in Periodontics, 1775 Williston Rd, South Burlington, VT 05403, USA. ³Private Dental Practice, 435 tutus point #1011, FL, Oviedo, USA. ⁴School of Dental Medicine, CWRU, 2124 Cornell Rd, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA.

Received: 4 February 2021 Accepted: 7 July 2021 Published online: 21 July 2021

References

- American Dental Association, Health Policy Institute. Survey of advanced dental education, years 2011–2020. Chicago: American Dental Association, 2013–2020. Available online: https://www.ada.org/en/science-resea rch/health-policy-institute/data-center/dental-education. Accessed 8 Sept 2020.
- Hearty L, Demko C, Bissada NF, Paes B. da Silva, A. Factors influencing dental students' interest in advanced periodontal education: perspectives of department chairs. J. Dent. Educ. 2017;81(6):691–5.
- Shin JH, Kinnunen TH, Zarchy M, Da Silva JD, Chang M, Wright RF. Factors influencing dental students' specialty choice: a survey of ten graduating classes at one institution. J Dent Educ. 2015;79(4):369–77.
- Nashleanas BM, McKernan SC, Kuthy RA, Qian F. Career influences among final year dental students who plan to enter private practice. BMC Oral Health. 2014;14:18. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-14-18.
- Alrashdan MS, Alazzam M, Alkhader M, Phillips C. Career perspectives of senior dental students from different backgrounds at a single Middle Eastern institution. BMC Med Educ. 2018;18:283. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12909-018-1386-9.
- Puryer J, Kostova V, Kouznetsova A. Final-year dental undergraduate attitudes towards specialisation. Dent J (Basel). 2016;4(3):26. https://doi. org/10.3390/dj4030026.

- Halawany HS, Binassfour AS, AlHassan WK, Alhejaily RA, Al Maflehi N, Jacob V, Abraham NB. Dental specialty, career preferences and their influencing factors among final year dental students in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Dent J. 2017;29(1):15–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2016.12. 001 (Epub 2017 Jan 9).
- Dhima M, Petropoulos VC, Han RK, Kinnunen T, Wright RF. Dental students' perceptions of dental specialties and factors influencing specialties and career choices. J Dent Educ. 2012;76(5):562–73.
- American Dental Education Association. ADEA Survey of Dental School. Seniors, 2019 Graduating Class Tables Report. Washington, DC. 2020. Available online: https://www.adea.org/ADEA_Survey_of_Dental_ School Seniors 2019 Tables Report.pdf. Accessed 26 Nov 2020.
- Altman DS, Alexander JL, Woldt JL, Hunsaker DS, Mathieson KM.
 Perceived influence of community oral health curriculum on graduates' dental practice choice and volunteerism. J Dent Educ. 2013;77(1):37–42.
- Zarchy M, Kinnunen T, Chang BM, Wright RF. Increasing predoctoral dental students' motivations to specialize in prosthodontics. J Dent Educ. 2011;75(9):1236–43.
- da Fonseca MA, Pollock M, Majewski R, Tootla R, Murdoch-Kinch CA. Factors influencing candidates' choice of a pediatric dental residency program. J Dent Educ. 2007;71(9):1194–202.
- Marciani RD, Smith TA, Heaton LJ. Applicants' opinions about the selection process for oral and maxillofacial surgery programs. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;61(5):608–14. https://doi.org/10.1053/joms.2003.50091.
- American Dental Association, Survey Center. Survey of dental practice: income from the private practice of dentistry years 2011–2018. Chicago: American Dental Association, 2019. Available online: https://www.ada. org/en/science-research/health-policy-institute/data-center/dental-pract ice. Accessed 8 Sept 2020.
- Lewis IE. Interest in pursuing the specialty of periodontology: a perspective from predoctoral periodontal directors and periodontics residents.
 Master's thesis, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 2010. Available online: https://cdr.lib.unc.edu/downloads/gm80hw439. Accessed 20 Nov 2020.
- Blissett R, Lee MC, Jimenez M, Sukotjo C. Differential factors that influence applicant selection of a prosthodontic residency program. J Prosthodont. 2009;18(3):283–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2008.00407.x (Epub 2008 Dec 29).
- Baharvand M, Moghaddam EJ, Pouretemad H, Alavi K. Attitudes of Iranian dental students toward their future careers: an exploratory study. J Dent Educ. 2011;75(11):1489–95.
- Al-Bitar ZB, Sonbol HN, Al-Omari IK. Reasons for choosing dentistry as a career by Arab dental students. Eur J Dent Educ. 2008;12(4):247–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0579.2008.00526.x.
- Vigild M, Schwarz E. Characteristics and study motivation of Danish dental students in a longitudinal perspective. Eur J Dent Educ. 2001;5(3):127–33. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0579.2001.050306.x.
- Kellesarian SV. Foreign-trained dentists in the United States: challenges and opportunities. Dent J (Basel). 2018;6(3):26. https://doi.org/10.3390/ dj6030026.
- Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Sample size calculations in randomized trials: mandatory and mystical. Lancet. 2005;365(9467):1348–53.
- 22. Guyatt GH, Mills EJ, Elbourne D. In the era of systematic reviews, does the size of an individual trial still matter? PLoS Med. 2008;5(1):3–5.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.