Published on behalf of mencap and in association with IASSID

Journal of Intellectual Disability Research

doi: 10.1111/jir.12967

VOLUME 66 PART 10 pp 793-804 OCTOBER 2022

Cross-sectional study of the factors associated with the number of teeth in middle-aged and older persons with intellectual disabilities

T. Nonoyama,¹ K. Nonoyama^{1,2} & Y. Shimazaki¹ 🝺

I Department of Preventive Dentistry and Dental Public Health, School of Dentistry, Aichi Gakuin University, Nagoya, Japan

2 Nonoyama Dental Office, Togo, Japan

Abstract

Background We investigated the number of teeth in middle-aged and older individuals with intellectual disability and evaluated the related factors. Methods A questionnaire survey on oral health was administered to 604 persons over 40 years old with intellectual disabilities in Aichi Prefecture, Japan. The questionnaire designed for this study included items on diagnosis of disability, severity of intellectual disability and type of residence. As oral-health-related factors, the proxy-reported number of teeth, family dentist, regular dental check-ups, problems during dental treatment and tooth-brushing frequency were evaluated. A multinomial logistic regression analysis was performed using the proxy-reported number of teeth (\geq 20, 10–19 or 0–9) as the dependent variable, and the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of independent variables were calculated. In addition, linear regression analysis was performed using the proxy-reported number of teeth as the dependent variable.

Results In the multivariate multinomial logistic regression analysis, age, diagnosis of disability and

type of residence were significantly associated with having 10−19 versus ≥20 teeth. Participants with autism spectrum disorder had a significantly lower OR for 10-19 teeth compared with those with intellectual disability [OR (95% CI): 0.49 (0.22-1.08)]. Age, diagnosis of disability, regular dental check-ups and tooth-brushing frequency were significantly associated with having 0–9 versus ≥20teeth. Participants with Down syndrome had a significantly higher OR for 0-9 teeth compared with those with intellectual disability [OR (95% CI): 3.17 (1.09-9.23)]. The ORs for 0-9 teeth of participants who did not attend regular dental check-ups and who brushed their teeth I time/day compared with \geq_3 times/day were significantly high, and the OR (95% CI) was 2.37 (1.06-5.30) and 4.76 (1.09-20.77), respectively. [Corrections made on 22 August 2022, after first online publication: in the previous sentence, "who attend" has been changed to "who did not attend".] In the multivariate linear regression analysis, age, diagnosis of disability and regular dental check-ups were significantly associated with the proxy-reported number of teeth. The proxy-reported number of teeth was -0.42 less with each I-year increase in age. With autism spectrum disorder, the proxy-reported number of teeth was 0.74 more compared with intellectual disability. In Down syndrome, the proxy-reported number of teeth was -0.93 less compared with intellectual disability. The proxy-reported number of teeth

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Correspondence: Professor Yoshihiro Shimazaki, Department of Preventive Dentistry and Dental Public Health, School of Dentistry, Aichi Gakuin University, I-IOO Kusumoto-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8650, Japan (e-mail: shima@dpc.agu.ac.jp). Tel: +8I-52-75I-2561, Fax: +8I-52-75I-2566

^{© 2022} The Authors. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research published by MENCAP and International Association of the Scientific Study of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

was -2.12 less for those who did not have regular dental check-ups.

Conclusions The number of teeth in middle-aged and older individuals with intellectual disability was related to age and the type of disability. Regular dental visits may be effective at preventing tooth loss in middle-aged and older persons with intellectual disability.

Keywords Intellectual disability, Middle-aged and older persons, Number of teeth, Regular dental check-ups, Toothbrushing frequency

Introduction

Due to the ageing of its population, the proportion of older individuals among those with intellectual disability (ID) is increasing in Japan (Cabinet Office 2020). To maintain health, it is necessary to manage age-related diseases, together with any pre-existing disabilities and congenital diseases.

The number of teeth decreases with age (Ando et al. 2013; Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare 2016; Furuta et al. 2021). Especially in older persons, the number of teeth also affects nutritional status (Okamoto et al. 2019) and the risk of dementia (Oh et al. 2018; Saito et al. 2021), heart disease (Bokhari et al. 2012), pneumonia (Suma et al. 2018) and mortality (Aida et al. 2011; Hiratsuka et al. 2020). Similarly, among individuals with ID, tooth loss can affect their nutritional status and systemic health. However, as a result of the small proportion of the total older population with ID, there is little information on their oral-health status.

Retaining as many teeth as possible is important for maintaining systemic health in both normal persons and people with ID. The number of teeth is associated with various health conditions. For example, in a 15-year follow-up study, the risk of mortality was higher for those with 0-9 and 10-19 teeth compared with those with ≥ 20 teeth (Yu *et al.* 2021). People with 10–19 teeth were not as frail as those with 0-9 teeth (Yun *et al.* 2020). The incidence of social isolation was higher for those with 10-19 and 0-9 teeth compared with those with ≥ 20 teeth (Abbas *et al.* 2022). These studies imply that better information can be obtained by analysing the number of teeth not only as a continuous index but also as a category. It is important to analyse the state of tooth loss by type of ID.

Older people with ID are more likely to be edentulous than those without ID and many do not use dentures, even if they have no teeth (Mac Giolla Phadraig et al. 2015). Dental caries and periodontal disease are the main causes of tooth loss in Japanese individuals (8020 Promotion Foundation 2018). These diseases are the main causes of tooth loss among persons with ID (Gabre et al. 1999, 2001) and are associated with oral-health behaviours such as tooth-brushing habits (Lertpimonchai et al. 2017; Ishikawa et al. 2019; Edman et al. 2021) and regular dental visits (Drachev et al. 2017; Ishikawa et al. 2019). People with ID have poor oral conditions, including the presence of many untreated teeth (Petrovic et al. 2016). Among children and adults with ID, tooth-brushing habits and dental visits have been associated with oral-hygiene status (Waldron et al. 2019). However, there are few reports on the number of teeth and oral health behaviours of middle-aged and older individuals with ID, who generally experience a decrease in the number of teeth. Therefore, we investigated factors related to the number of teeth among persons with ID.

Methods

Participants

The Aichi Prefectural Association on Intellectual Disability was established in 1961, with the goal of promoting the welfare of persons with ID and improving the quality of member facilities in Aichi Prefecture. The facilities that belong to this association provide services to those with ID, mainly daily life support and vocational support for children and adults. In this study, a questionnaire survey was sent to 39 of the 132 member facilities (participation rate, 29.5%) that had agreed to participate in the survey. The questionnaires were mailed to the facilities in September 2019, and facility staff members asked the relatives of facility users or facility caregivers to respond. The questionnaire survey was conducted anonymously, such that the participants could not be identified. We indicated the purpose of this study in the questionnaire and judged that the respondents to the questionnaire had consented. Of the 1318 respondents, 841 were included in the study, excluding 477 under the age of 40 years old (Fig. I).



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study participants

Questionnaires

Participants responded to the questionnaire at each facility, although many facility users considered the questionnaire survey difficult to complete due to their disabilities. In such cases, the relatives of the facility users or facility caregivers answered the questions for the participants.

We created the study questionnaire, although many of the questions are general questions used in previous studies (Pradhan *et al.* 2009; Pini *et al.* 2016). The questionnaire survey inquired about basic characteristics, including age, sex, disability diagnosis, severity of ID and type of residence (living at home with family, residential care home or community group home). As oral-health-related factors, the proxy-reported number of teeth, family dentist (absence or presence), regular dental check-ups at least once a year (yes or no), problems during dental treatment (absence, presence or never treated) and tooth-brushing frequency ($I, 2 \text{ or } \ge 3$ times/days) were evaluated.

Diagnosis of disability

The questionnaire survey included an item on physician-diagnosed disability. All study participants were individuals with ID. Based on the diagnosis, the participants were identified as having autism spectrum disorder (ASD), Down syndrome (DS), cerebral palsy (CP) or other disability. Those without ASD, DS, CP or other disability were classified as ID. The severity of the ID was assessed using the government-issued Intellectual Disability Certificate (Ryoiku Techo) as severe [intelligence quotient (IQ) < 35], moderate (IQ 36–50) or mild (IQ 51–75).

Number of teeth

The questionnaire confirmed the proxy-reported number of teeth. As many people with ID have difficulty answering questions, we asked their relatives or facility caregivers to complete the questionnaire. The minimum number of teeth reported was zero, and 18 participants reported being edentulous. When analysing the number of teeth as a categorical variable, it was classified as 0–9, 10–19 or \geq 20 based on previous studies (Yun *et al.* 2020; Yu *et al.* 2021; Abbas *et al.* 2022).

Statistical analysis

To identify factors associated with proxy-reported number of teeth among persons with ID, the analysis included persons aged \geq 40 years.

In terms of participant characteristics, we evaluated sex according to age group (40–49, 50–59, 60–69 and \geq 70 years), disability diagnosis, severity of disability and type of residence. Regarding oral-health-related factors, we evaluated family dentist, regular dental check-ups, problems during dental treatment and tooth-brushing frequency according to sex. The participant characteristics and oral-health-related

Table I	Characteristics	and	oral	health	related	factors	of the	study
participa	nts							

	Male (n = 352)	Female (n = 252)	Р
Characteristics	n	(%)	
Age (years old)			
4049	177 (50.3)	85 (33.7)	<0.01
50–59	92 (26.1)	71 (28.2)	
60–69	52 (14.8)	48 (19.0)	
≥70	31 (8.8)	48 (19.0)	
Diagnosis of disability			
Intellectual disability	191 (54.3)	172 (68.3)	<0.01
Autism spectrum	103 (29.3)	33 (13.1)	
disorder			
Down syndrome	27 (7.7)	17 (6.7)	
Cerebral palsy	19 (5.4)	21 (8.3)	
Other diagnosis	12 (3.4)	9 (3.6)	
Severity level of intellectua	l disability		
Severe (IQ: ≥35)	287 (81.5)	193 (76.6)	0.14
Moderate (IQ: 36-50)	53 (15.1)	53 (21.0)	
Mild (IQ: 51-70)	12 (3.4)	6 (2.4)	
Type of residence			
Living at home with	66 (18.8)	48 (19.0)	0.06
family	. ,		
Residential care home	233 (66.2)	182 (72.2)	
Community group	53 (15.1)	22 (8.7)	
home	. ,	. ,	
Oral-health-related factors			
Family dentist			
Presence	323 (91.8)	239 (94.8)	0.14
Absence	29 (8.2)	13 (5.2)	
Regular dental check-ups (< year)		
Yes	298 (84.7)	235 (93.3)	<0.01
No	54 (15.3)	17 (6.7)	
Problems during dental tre	atment	(0)	
Absence	264 (75.0)	215 (85.3)	< 0.01
Presence	77 (21.9)	34 (13.5)	
Never treated	(1 (3 1))	3(12)	
Tooth-brushing frequency	(5.1)	5 (1.2)	
>3 times/day	236 (67.0)	182 (72 2)	0.22
2 times/day	104 (29 5)	66 (26 2)	0.22
L time/day	12 (3.4)	4 (1 6)	
i une/day	12 (3.1)	- (1.0)	

factors were analysed according to the proxy-reported number of teeth. Categorical variables were compared by chi-squared test.

A multinomial logistic regression analysis was performed using proxy-reported number of teeth (≥ 2 , o; 10-19, 1; 0-9, 2) as the dependent variable, and odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to examine the effect of each variable on the proxy-reported number of teeth as a continuous dependent variable, and the partial regression coefficient (B) and 95% CIs of independent variables were calculated. Variables associated with the proxy-reported number of teeth in a univariate analysis (P < 0.2) were entered as independent variables in the multivariate analysis. Spearman's rank correlation was used for multicollinearity among the explanatory variables, and variables with correlation coefficients ≥0.4 were excluded from the analysis. No variable in this study had a correlation coefficient ≥ 0.4 .

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Apple MacintoshTM (Ver. 23.0j, IBM Corp, Tokyo, Japan). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

Of the 841 participants aged \geq 40 years, 604 (352 males, 252 females) were analysed, excluding 139 participants who did not respond to the number of teeth and 98 who lacked data to analyse their association with the number of teeth (Fig. 1). The mean ± standard deviation age of the participants was 54.2 ± 11.0 years. Males averaged 52.8 ± 10.2 years and females 56.6 ± 11.7 years.

Table I shows the characteristics and oral-healthrelated factors of the participants according to sex. Among males, the proportion of those aged 40–49 years was higher than among females, whereas in females, the proportion of those aged ≥70 years was higher than in males. The proportion of ID was higher in females than among in males, and the proportion of ASD was higher in males than in females. Regarding oral-health-related factors, the rates of regular dental check-ups and dental treatment without problems were significantly higher in females than in males.

Table 2 shows the relationship of proxy-reported number of teeth according to participant characteristics and oral-health-related factors. Sex,

IQ, intelligence quotient.

 Table 2
 Associations between each variable and proxy-reported number of teeth

	Proxy-reported number of teeth				
	0–9 (n = 81)	10–19 (n = 91)	≥20 (n = 432)	Р	
		Average (±SD)			
Characteristics					
Age	65.4 (±10.2)	62.0 (±10.8) n (%)	50.5 (± 8.7)	<0.01	
Sex					
Male	40 (11.4)	42 (11.9)	270 (76.7)	<0.01	
Female	41 (16.3)	49 (19.4)	162 (64.3)		
Age (years old)					
40–49	5 (1.9)	13 (5.0)	244 (93.1)	<0.01	
50–59	14 (8.6)	28 (17.2)	121 (74.2)		
60–69	34 (34.0)	25 (25.0)	41 (41.0)		
≥70	28 (35.4)	25 (31.6)	26 (32.9)		
Diagnosis of disability					
Intellectual disability	60 (16.5)	67 (18.5)	236 (65.0)	<0.01	
Autism spectrum disorder	5 (3.7)	9 (6.6)	122 (89.7)		
Down syndrome	8 (18.2)	6 (13.6)	30 (68.2)		
Cerebral palsy	8 (20.0)	7 (17.5)	25 (62.5)		
Other diagnosis	0 (0.0)	2 (9.5)	19 (90.5)		
Severity level of intellectual disability					
Severe (IO: >35)	65 (13.5)	73 (15.2)	342 (71.3)	0.81	
Moderate (IO: 36–50)	14 (13.2)	17 (16.0)	75 (70.8)		
Mild (IO: 51–70)	2 (11.1)	(5.6)	15 (83.3)		
Type of residence	-()	. ()	()		
l iving at home with family	9 (7.9)	3 (2.6)	102 (89.5)	< 0.01	
Residential care home	66 (15 9)	80 (193)	269 (64.8)		
Community group home	6 (8 0)	8 (10.7)	61 (81 3)		
Oral health related factors	0 (0.0)	0 (10.7)	01 (01.5)		
Eamily dentist					
Presence	73 (13.0)	86 (15 3)	403 (71 7)	0.50	
Absence	8 (19.0)	5 (11.9)	29 (69 0)	0.50	
Regular dental check-ups (<1 year)	0 (17.0)	5 (11.7)	27 (07.0)		
Yos	45 (12 2)	85 (15 9)	383 (71.9)	0.03	
No	14(225)	6 (85)	49 (69 0)	0.05	
Problems during dental treatment	16 (22.5)	8 (8.5)	(07.0)		
Abaanaa	(7 (14 0)	72 (15 0)	240 (71.0)	0.02	
Absence	07 (14.0)	/2 (13.0)	340 (71.0)	0.63	
Fresence	12 (10.0)	10 (16.2)	ol (73.0)		
	2 (14.3)	1 (7.1)	11 (/8.6)		
i ootn-brusning irequency	(2)(14.0)				
≥3 times/day	62 (14.8)	/5 (1/.9)	281 (67.2)	<0.01	
2 times/day	15 (8.8)	16 (9.4)	139 (81.8)		
I time/day	4 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	12 (/5.0)		

IQ, intelligence quotient.

age, disability diagnosis and type of residence were significantly associated with the proxy-reported number of teeth. Regarding oral-health-related factors, regular dental check-ups and tooth-brushing frequency were significantly associated with the proxy-reported number of teeth. The results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis show the relationship between proxy-reported number of teeth and each variable (Table 3). The ORs for 10–19 teeth versus ≥20 teeth in the 50–59, 60–69 and ≥70 years' age groups relative to the 40–49 years' age group were significantly

 Table 3
 Associations between each variable and proxy-reported number of teeth using univariate and multivariate multinomial logistic regression analyses

	Depender	nt variat	ole: Proxy-repo	rted nu	mber of teeth (콜	<u>≥</u> 20 = 0,	10-19 = 1, 0-9 =	2)
	10–19 (n = 91) vs. ≥20 (n = 432)				0–9 (n = 81) vs. ≥20 (n = 432)			
Independent variable	Crude OR (95% CI)	Р	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Р	Crude OR (95% CI)	Р	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Р
Characteristics			(((
			Sex					
Male	I		I		I		I	
Female	1.94	<0.01	1.36	0.24	1.71	0.03	1.14	0.66
	(1.23–3.07)		(0.82-2.27)		(1.06–2.75)		(0.64–2.03)	
Age (years old)								
40-49	I		I		I		I	
50–59	4.34	<0.01	3.18	<0.01	5.65	<0.01	5.26	<0.01
	(2.17–8.69)		(1.55–6.51)		(1.99–16.04)		(1.77–15.65)	
60–69	11.45	<0.01	7.00	<0.01	40.47	<0.01	37.85	<0.01
	(5.42–24.17)		(3.20–15.31)		(14.96–109.49)		(12.74–112.43)	
≥70	18.05	<0.01	9.62	<0.01	52.55	<0.01	54.62	<0.01
	(8.25–39.48)		(4.15–22.31)		(18.69–147.78)		(16.94–176.18)	
Diagnosis of disability								
Intellectual disability	I		I		I		I	
Autism spectrum	0.26	<0.01	0.49	0.04	0.16	<0.01	0.41	0.09
disorder	(0.13–0.54)		(0.22-1.08)		(0.06–0.41)		(0.15–1.15)	
Down syndrome	0.70	0.45	1.90	0.26	1.05	0.91	3.17	0.03
	(0.28–1.76)		(0.68–5.29)		(0.46-2.41)		(1.09–9.23)	
Cerebral palsy	0.99	0.98	1.11	0.84	1.26	0.59	1.44	0.48
	(0.41–2.38)		(0.42–2.94)		(0.54–2.93)		(0.53–3.94)	
Other diagnosis	0.37	0.19	0.25	0.22	-	-	-	-
	(0.08–1.63)		(0.05–1.35)					
Severity level of intellectu	ial disability							
Severe (IQ: ≥35)	I				I			
Moderate	1.06	0.84			0.98	0.96		
(IQ: 36–50)	(0.59–1.90)				(0.52–1.84)			
Mild (IQ: 51–70)	0.31	0.26			0.70	0.64		
	(0.04–2.40)				(0.16–3.14)			
Type of residence								
Living at home with family	I		I		I		I	
Residential care	10.11	<0.01	5.38	<0.01	2.78	0.01	1.33	0.59
home	(3.12-32.74)		(1.55–18.67)		(1.34–5.79)		(0.48-3.67)	
Community group	4.46	0.03	3.89	0.06	1.12	0.84	0.76	0.68
home	(1.14–17.45)		(0.92–16.38)		(0.38–3.29)		(0.20-2.81)	
Oral health related factor	rs							
Family dentist								
Presence	I				I			
Absence	0.81	0.67			1.52	0.32		
	(0.30-2.15)				(0.67–3.46)			
Regular dental check-ups	(\leq I year)							
Yes	I		I		I		I	
No	0.55	0.19	0.73	0.53	1.92	0.04	2.37	0.04
	(0.23–1.33)		(0.28–1.95)		(1.03–3.59)		(1.06–5.30)	

798

Table	3.	(Continued)
-------	----	-------------

	Dep	endent var	iable: Proxy-rep	orted nur	mber of teeth (≥2	0 = 0, 10—1	9 = 1, 0–9 = 2)	
	10−19 (n = 91) vs. ≥20 (n = 432)			0–9 (n = 81) vs. ≥20 (n = 432)				
Problems during denta	l treatment							
Absence	I				I			
Presence	1.05	0.87			0.75	0.40		
	(0.59-1.86)				(0.39-1.46)			
Never treated	0.43	0.42			0.92	0.92		
	(0.06-3.38)				(0.20-4.26)			
Tooth-brushing freque	ncy							
≥3 times/day	í I		I		I.		I	
2 times/day	0.43	<0.01	0.58	0.10	0.49	0.02	0.79	0.53
,	(0.24-0.77)		(0.31-1.11)		(0.27-0.89)		(0.39-1.62)	
l time/day	-	-	-	-	Ì.5Î	0.49	4.76	0.04
,					(0.47–4.84)		(1.09–20.77)	
							(

Cl, confidence interval; IQ, intelligence quotient; OR, odds ratio.

higher, and the ORs (95% CIs) were 3.18 (1.55-6.51), 7.00 (3.20-15.31) and 9.62 (4.15-22.31), respectively. The OR for 10−19 teeth versus ≥20 teeth of the ASD group relative to the ID group was significantly lower, and the OR (95% CI) was 0.49 (0.22-1.08). The OR for 10−19 teeth versus ≥20 teeth of the residential care home group relative to the living at home with family group was significantly higher, and the OR (95% CI) was 5.38 (1.55-18.67). The ORs for 0-9 teeth versus \geq 20 teeth in the 50–59, 60–69 and \geq 70 years' age groups relative to the 40-49 years' age group were significantly higher, and the ORs (95% CIs) were 5.26 (1.77-15.65), 37.85 (12.74-112.43) and 54.62 (16.94-176.18), respectively. The OR for 0-9 versus ≥20 teeth of the DS group relative to the ID group was significantly higher, and the OR (95% CI) was 3.17 (1.09-9.23). The OR (95% CI) for 0-9 teeth versus ≥20 teeth of those who did not undergo regular dental check-ups, relative to those who did, was significantly higher, and the OR (95% CI) was 2.37 (1.06–5.30). The OR for 0–9 teeth versus \geq 20 teeth of those with a tooth-brushing frequency of I versus \geq_3 times/day was significantly higher, and the OR (95% CI) was 4.76 (1.09–20.77).

Table 4 shows the results of the linear regression analyses of the effect of each variable on the proxy-reported number of teeth. The number of teeth decreased by -0.42 as age increased by I year (P < 0.01). ASD had 0.74 more teeth than ID (P < 0.05), and DS had -0.93 fewer teeth than ID (P = 0.01). Those who did not undergo regular dental check-ups had -2.12 fewer teeth than those who did (P = 0.02).

Discussion

In this study, about 30% of those with ID aged \geq 40 years old had fewer than 20 teeth, and the percentage increased with age. The number of teeth was also significantly associated with the type of disability and regular dental check-ups.

According to the 2016 National Dental Survey of Japan, the rates of having ≥ 20 teeth were 98.9, 94.1, 79.6 and 59.1% among persons aged 40-49, 50-59, 60–69 and \geq 70 years, respectively (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare 2016). Individuals with ID may be at greater risk of losing many teeth compared with the general population, especially at ≥50 years of age. Tooth loss is reportedly associated with age-related pathological conditions, such as dementia (Takeuchi et al. 2017; Tsai et al. 2020) and frailty (Albani et al. 2021). If persons with ID lose a large number of teeth earlier than healthy individuals, they will likely also experience systemic effects of tooth loss earlier. Difficulty in chewing occurs when there are fewer than 20 teeth due to the loss of many teeth (Ueno et al. 2008). The loss of many teeth is associated with poorer nutritional status (Zelig

 Table 4
 Association between each variable and the proxy-reported number of teeth using univariable and multivariate linear regression analyses

	Dependent variable: Proxy-reported number of teeth						
	Crude		Adjusted				
	B (95% CI)	Р	B (95% CI)	Р			
Characteristics							
Age (years old)	-0.44 (-0.49, -0.39)	<0.01	-0.42 (-0.47, -0.36)	<0.01			
Sex							
Male	Reference		Reference				
Female	-2.20 (-3.57, -0.83)	<0.01	-0.41 (-1.57, -0.76)	0.50			
Diagnosis of disability							
Intellectual disability	Reference		Reference				
Autism spectrum disorder	2.46 (1.64, 3.27)	<0.01	0.74 (0.01, 1.47)	< 0.05			
Down syndrome	-0.00 (-0.87, 0.86)	0.99	-0.93 (-1.67, -0.19)	0.01			
Cerebral palsy	-0.24 (-0.92, 0.43)	0.48	-0.31 (-0.88, 0.26)	0.28			
Other diagnosis	0.60 (0.08, 1.12)	0.02	0.35 (-0.09, 0.79)	0.12			
Severity level of intellectual disability	. ,						
Severe (IQ: ≥35)	Reference						
Moderate (IQ: 36-50)	-0.14 (-1.04, 0.75)	0.75					
Mild (IQ: 51-70)	0.82 (-0.52, 2.15)	0.23					
Type of residence							
Living at home with family	Reference		Reference				
Residential care home	-1.99 (-2.85, -1.12)	<0.01	-0.26 (-1.07, 0.54)	0.52			
Community group home	-0.21 (-1.02, 0.60)	0.61	0.21 (-0.47, 0.90)	0.54			
Oral health related factors							
Family dentist							
Presence	Reference						
Absence	-0.74 (-3.41, 1.93)	0.59					
Regular dental check-ups (\leq I year)							
Yes	Reference		Reference				
No	-1.72 (-3.83, 0.39)	0.11	-2.12 (-3.86, -0.39)	0.02			
Problems during dental treatment							
Absence	Reference						
Presence	0.13 (-0.75, 1.01)	0.77					
Never treated	0.46 (-1.05, 1.98)	0.55					
Tooth-brushing frequency							
≥3 times/day	Reference		Reference				
2 times/day	1.41 (0.67, 2.17)	<0.01	-1.62 (-5.16, 1.91)	0.37			
l time/day	0.47 (-3.75, 4.68)	0.83	0.50 (-0.14, 1.15)	0.12			

B, partial regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval; IQ, intelligence quotient.

et al. 2020). Retaining as many teeth as possible can improve quality of life (Park *et al.* 2019). Persons with ID who have lost many teeth are less likely to be able to wear dentures than members of the general population (Mac Giolla Phadraig *et al.* 2015). Preventing tooth loss and retaining a large number of teeth would stabilise mastication, improve nutritional status and quality of life and promote maintenance of general health in persons with ID. Because tooth loss begins

earlier in individuals with ID than in the general adult population, measures to prevent tooth loss in the former group after middle age should be applied as early as possible.

Our participants with DS had significantly fewer teeth than those with ID. Individuals with ID, including DS, reportedly lose multiple teeth as a result of periodontal disease (Gabre *et al.* 2001). Persons with DS have a high prevalence of

© 2022 The Authors. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research published by MENCAP and International Association of the Scientific Study of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

800

periodontal disease and considerable attachment loss (López-Pérez et al. 2002; Khocht et al. 2010; Scalioni et al. 2018). The loss of many teeth among persons with DS may result from their high risk of periodontal disease. Oral-hygiene status influences the onset and progression of periodontal disease (Lertpimonchai et al. 2017), and individuals with DS reportedly have poor oral hygiene (Sakellari et al. 2005). Therefore, improving oral hygiene in adults with DS could prevent tooth loss. Our participants with ASD tended to have more teeth than those with ID. Adults with ASD have a lower caries rate than members of the general population and persons with other disabilities (Orellana et al. 2012). Also, children with ASD have a lower caries rate than those in the general population (Loo et al. 2008; Kuter and Guler 2019). The low caries prevalence in childhood and adulthood among individuals with ASD may be associated with a large number of teeth. However, persons with ASD require more treatments for oral disease requiring general anaesthesia compared with those with other ID (Loo et al. 2008), so measures to prevent the onset of oral disease are needed. In this study, the oral condition, in terms of dental caries and periodontal disease, for example, was unknown. Further studies should identify the causes of tooth loss among persons with ID via longitudinal analysis of their oral condition and dental treatments.

In this study, participants who attended regular dental check-ups had many teeth. Regular oral cleaning at a dental clinic attenuates the progression of periodontal disease in persons with DS (Yoshihara et al. 2005). In addition, regular dental check-ups by persons with ID were associated with the number of lost teeth (Pradhan et al. 2009). The finding that regular dental check-ups were associated with the loss of fewer teeth implies that professional dental care can prevent tooth loss. Compared with participants who brushed their teeth at least three times per day, those who brushed their teeth only once had fewer teeth. Because the participants had ID, daily tooth brushing was likely to be performed by relatives or caregivers. In any case, appropriate daily tooth brushing according to the severity of disability is important for preventing tooth loss. Therefore, it is necessary to provide information and health education to the relatives and caregivers of persons with ID.

Although the number of teeth is often analysed as a categorical variable (Yun *et al.* 2020; Yu *et al.* 2021;

Abbas *et al.* 2022), we analysed the number of teeth as both categorical and continuous variables to show the strength of the influence of factors on the number of teeth. Therefore, we analysed the categorical variables of the number of teeth using the age group category. For the 10-year age groups, the CI of the OR was large. This is thought to indicate that there is a large individual difference in the number of teeth within the same age groups. Because the relationship between the number of teeth and age is predominant, even when both are analysed as continuous values, it was shown that age has a significant effect on tooth loss, even in people with ID.

The two types of multivariate analysis showed that there were variables that had a common result in relation to the number of teeth, although tooth-brushing frequency did not show significant results in the linear regression analysis. Few people brushed their teeth once a day in this study, and the lack of information about the participant's actual oral status may have influenced the results. People who brush their teeth more frequently have been reported to have less tooth loss (Fatima Del Carmen *et al.* 2021; Al-Harthi *et al.* 2022). Because people with ID have poor oral hygiene (Petrovic *et al.* 2016), improving the oral hygiene of people with ID is considered important for preventing tooth loss.

This study had several limitations. Because they were obtained via a questionnaire survey, the data may have been biased. Some participants did not report how many teeth they had, and the accuracy of the proxy-reported number of teeth could not be confirmed. The number of teeth may have a misattribution bias caused by the subjectivity and circumstances of the person reporting. Although the self-reported number of teeth in adult population has previously shown reliability (Matsui et al. 2016; Margozzini et al. 2019), our proxy-reported data of persons with ID may be less valid than the simple self-reported data of the general population. The responses provided may have differed according to whether the respondent was a relative or a caregiver. The diagnosis of disability and tooth-brushing frequency may generate false positives due to the small number of people in the category. Because this was a cross-sectional study, we could not evaluate the causality of the relationships of the factors of interest with the number of teeth. It is difficult to generalise the results because of the selection bias caused by the

study being conducted in facilities for persons with ID in a single prefecture, as well as the low participation rate. Regarding tooth brushing, the relationship between the number of teeth and tooth-brushing frequency differed between the two different analyses; even with significant results, the CI was wide. We did not investigate the use of toothpaste when brushing teeth. Further research needs to examine the effect of tooth brushing on the number of teeth in people with ID. However, few studies have evaluated the factors related to the number of teeth in middle-aged and elderly persons with ID.

One strength of this study was the finding that persons with ID lost more teeth at an earlier age compared with members of the general population, which indicates that earlier intervention is needed to maintain oral health. Longitudinal studies of persons with ID are needed to identify factors associated with maintenance of the oral health of individuals with ID.

Conclusion

Middle-aged and older individuals with ID typically lose many teeth, the number of which is linked to the type of disability. Regular dental visits may be effective for preventing tooth loss in middle-aged and older persons with ID.

Acknowledgements

We thank Aichi Prefectural Association on Intellectual Disability and all those who participated in the survey.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict interest.

Source of funding

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17K17389.

Ethics statement

The purpose of the study was explained to the heads of the participating facilities for persons with intellectual disabilities, and only those facilities whose heads consented to participate were included in the survey. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the School of Dentistry of Aichi Gakuin University (approval number 539).

Data availability statement

Data are not shared.

References

- 8020 Promotion Foundation (2018) Reasons for tooth extractions in Japan: The second nationwide survey. Available at: https://www.8020zaidan.or.jp/pdf/Toothextraction_investigation-report-2nd.pdf (retrieved February 2022).
- Abbas H., Aida J., Cooray U., Ikeda T., Koyama S., Kondo K. et al. (2022) Does remaining teeth and dental prosthesis associate with social isolation? A six-year longitudinal study from the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES). Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12746
- Aida J., Kondo K., Yamamoto T., Hirai H., Nakade M., Osaka K. *et al.* (2011) Oral health and cancer, cardiovascular, and respiratory mortality of Japanese. *Journal of Dental Research* **90**, 1129–35.
- Albani V., Nishio K., Ito T., Kotronia E., Moynihan P., Robinson L. et al. (2021) Associations of poor oral health with frailty and physical functioning in the oldest old: results from two studies in England and Japan. BMC Geriatrics 21, 187. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12877-021-02081-5
- Al-Harthi S., Barbagallo G., Psaila A., d'Urso U. & Nibali L. (2022) Tooth loss and radiographic bone loss in patients without regular supportive care: a retrospective study. *Journal of Periodontology* **93**, 354–63.
- Ando A., Ohsawa M., Yaegashi Y., Sakata K., Tanno K., Onoda T. *et al.* (2013) Factors related to tooth loss among community-dwelling middle-aged and elderly Japanese men. *Journal of Epidemiology* 23, 301–6.
- Bokhari S. A., Khan A. A., Ansari J. A. & Alam R. (2012) Tooth loss in institutionalised coronary heart disease patients of Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore, Pakistan. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health* **2**, 51–6.
- Cabinet Office (2020) Annual report on government measures for persons with disabilities. Available at: https:// www8.cao.go.jp/shougai/whitepaper/ro3hakusho/zenbun/ pdf/ref2.pdf (retrieved February 2022).
- Drachev S. N., Brenn T. & Trovik T. A. (2017) Dental caries experience and determinants in young adults of the Northern State Medical University, Arkhangelsk, North-West Russia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health* **17**, 136. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12903-017-0426-x
- Edman K., Holmlund A. & Norderyd O. (2021) Caries disease among an elderly population-a 10-year

longitudinal study. International Journal of Dental Hygiene 19, 166–75.

- Fatima Del Carmen A. D., Aída B. S. & Javier F. H. (2021) Risk indicators of tooth loss among Mexican adult population: a cross-sectional study. *International Dental Journal* **71**, 414–9.
- Furuta M., Takeuchi K., Takeshita T., Shibata Y., Suma S., Kageyama S. *et al.* (2021) 10-year trend of tooth loss and associated factors in a Japanese population-based longitudinal study. *BMJ Open* **11**, e048114. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048114
- Gabre P., Martinsson T. & Gahnberg L. (1999) Incidence of, and reasons for, tooth mortality among mentally retarded adults during a 10-year period. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* **57**, 55–61.
- Gabre P., Martinsson T. & Gahnberg L. (2001) Longitudinal study of dental caries, tooth mortality and interproximal bone loss in adults with intellectual disability. *European Journal of Oral Sciences* **109**, 20–6.
- Hiratsuka T., Komiyama T., Ohi T., Tanji F., Tomata Y., Tsuji I. *et al.* (2020) Contribution of systemic inflammation and nutritional status to the relationship between tooth loss and mortality in a community-dwelling older Japanese population: a mediation analysis of data from the Tsurugaya project. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **24**, 2071–7.
- Ishikawa S., Konta T., Susa S., Kitabatake K., Ishizawa K., Togashi H. *et al.* (2019) Risk factors for tooth loss in community-dwelling Japanese aged 40 years and older: the Yamagata (Takahata) study. *Clinical Oral Investigations* 23, 1753–60.
- Khocht A., Janal M. & Turner B. (2010) Periodontal health in Down syndrome: contributions of mental disability, personal, and professional dental care. Special Care in Dentistry 30, 118–23.
- Kuter B. & Guler N. (2019) Caries experience, oral disorders, oral hygiene practices and socio-demographic characteristics of autistic children. *European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry* 20, 237–41.
- Lertpimonchai A., Rattanasiri S., Arj-Ong Vallibhakara S., Attia J. & Thakkinstian A. (2017) The association between oral hygiene and periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Dental Journal* **67**, 332–43.
- Loo C. Y., Graham R. M. & Hughes C. V. (2008) The caries experience and behavior of dental patients with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of the American Dental Association (1939)* **139**, 1518–24.
- López-Pérez R., Borges-Yáñez S. A., Jiménez-García G. & Maupomé G. (2002) Oral hygiene, gingivitis, and periodontitis in persons with Down syndrome. *Special Care in Dentistry* **22**, 214–20.
- Mac Giolla Phadraig C., McCallion P., Cleary E., McGlinchey E., Burke E., McCarron M. *et al.* (2015) Total tooth loss and complete denture use in older adults with intellectual disabilities in Ireland. *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* **75**, 101–8.

- Margozzini P., Berríos R., Cantarutti C., Veliz C. & Ortuno D. (2019) Validity of the self-reported number of teeth in Chilean adults. *BMC Oral Health* **19**, 99. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-019-0794-5
- Matsui D., Yamamoto T., Nishigaki M., Miyatani F., Watanabe I., Koyama T. *et al.* (2016) Validity of self-reported number of teeth and oral health variables. *BMC Oral Health* **17**, 17.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2016). Survey of dental diseases. Available at: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/list/dl/62-28-02.pdf (retrieved February 2022).
- Oh B., Han D. H., Han K. T., Liu X., Ukken J., Chang C. *et al.* (2018) Association between residual teeth number in later life and incidence of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatrics* **18**, 48.
- Okamoto N., Amano N., Nakamura T. & Yanagi M. (2019) Relationship between tooth loss, low masticatory ability, and nutritional indices in the elderly: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health* **19**, 110. Available at: https://doi. org/10.1186/s12903-019-0778-5
- Orellana L. M., Silvestre F. J., Martinez-Sanchis S., Martinez-Mihi V. & Bautista D. (2012) Oral manifestations in a group of adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugía Bucal* 17, e415–9.
- Park H. E., Song H. Y., Han K., Cho K. H. & Kim Y. H. (2019) Number of remaining teeth and health-related quality of life: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2010-2012. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 17, 5. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/ \$12955-019-1078-0
- Petrovic B. B., Peric T. O., Markovic D. L. J., Bajkin B. B., Petrovic D., Blagojevic D. B. *et al.* (2016) Unmet oral health needs among persons with intellectual disability. *Research in Developmental Disabilities* 59, 370–7.
- Pini D. M., Fröhlich P. C. & Rigo L. (2016) Oral health evaluation in special needs individuals. *Einstein (Sao Paulo)* 14, 501–7.
- Pradhan A., Slade G. D. & Spencer A. J. (2009) Factors influencing caries experience among adults with physical and intellectual disabilities. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* **37**, 143–54.
- Saito M., Shimazaki Y., Nonoyama T. & Ohsugi K. (2021) Association between oral health and the medical costs of dementia: a longitudinal study of older Japanese. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias* 36, 1533317521996142. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1533317521996142
- Sakellari D., Arapostathis K. N. & Konstantinidis A. (2005) Periodontal conditions and subgingival microflora in Down syndrome patients. A case-control study. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* **32**, 684–90.
- Scalioni F. A. R., Carrada C. F., Martins C. C., Ribeiro R. A. & Paiva S. M. (2018) Periodontal disease in patients with Down syndrome: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Dental Association (1939)* **149**, 628–39.e11.

- Suma S., Naito M., Wakai K., Naito T., Kojima M., Umemura O. *et al.* (2018) Tooth loss and pneumonia mortality: A cohort study of Japanese dentists. *PLoS ONE* 13, e0195813. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0195813
- Takeuchi K., Ohara T., Furuta M., Takeshita T., Shibata Y., Hata J. *et al.* (2017) Tooth Loss and Risk of Dementia in the Community: the Hisayama Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **65**, e95–100.
- Tsai Y. C., Wang H. J., Wang L. Y., Shaw C. K., Lee Y. P., Lin M. C. *et al.* (2020) Retrospective analysis of the association between tooth loss and dementia: a population-based matched case-control study. *Community Dental Health* **37**, 59–64.
- Ueno M., Yanagisawa T., Shinada K., Ohara S. & Kawaguchi Y. (2008) Masticatory ability and functional tooth units in Japanese adults. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* **35**, 337–44.
- Waldron C., Nunn J., Mac Giolla Phadraig C., Comiskey C., Guerin S., Theresa van Harten M. et al. (2019) Oral hygiene interventions for people with intellectual disabilities. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 5, Cdo12628. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. CD012628.pub2

- Yoshihara T., Morinushi T., Kinjyo S. & Yamasaki Y. (2005) Effect of periodic preventive care on the progression of periodontal disease in young adults with Down's syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 32, 556–60.
- Yu Y. H., Cheung W. S., Steffensen B. & Miller D. R. (2021) Number of teeth is associated with all-cause and disease-specific mortality. *BMC Oral Health* 21, 568. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01934-0
- Yun J. H., Ki S. K., Kim J., Chon D., Shin S. Y. & Lee Y. (2020) Relationships between cognitive function and frailty in older Korean adults: the moderating effect of the number of teeth. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 91, 104213. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger. 2020.104213
- Zelig R., Goldstein S., Touger-Decker R., Firestone E., Golden A., Johnson Z. *et al.* (2020) Tooth loss and nutritional status in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JDR Clinical & Translational Research* 2380084420981016. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/ 2380084420981016

Accepted 14 July 2022