

Letter to the Editor

Letter to the Editor: “Glucocorticoid Resistance in Premature Adrenarche and PCOS: From Childhood to Adulthood”

Robert L. Rosenfield^{1,2}

¹The University of Chicago, Pritzker School of Medicine, Departments of Medicine and Pediatrics, Section of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Diabetes, Chicago, Illinois 60637-1470; and ²The University of California, San Francisco, Pediatric Endocrinology, San Francisco, California 94143

ORCID number: 0000-0001-8043-5693.

Abbreviations: GR, glucocorticoid resistance; DST, dexamethasone suppression test; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome.

Received: 18 September 2020; Editorial Decision: 2 October 2020; First Published Online: 7 November 2020; Corrected and Typeset: 18 December 2020.

Abstract

The conclusion of Panayiotopoulos *et al.* that glucocorticoid resistance accounted for 57% to 67% of their premature adrenarche and polycystic ovary syndrome cases cannot be accepted from the data presented. This is because proper validation of their method for determining glucocorticoid sensitivity is not presented. Furthermore, the method seems insensitive to physiologic glucocorticoid concentrations.

The conclusion of Panayiotopoulos *et al.* that glucocorticoid resistance (GR) accounted for 57% to 67% of their premature adrenarche and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) cases [1] cannot be accepted from the data presented. This is because proper validation of their method for determining glucocorticoid sensitivity is not presented.

The foundations on which all assays stand are accuracy, specificity, precision, and sensitivity. This paper fails to present most of these validating criteria.

- (1) Demonstration of assay accuracy requires comparing a new assay with a gold standard assay. This is not done: rather than comparing assays, they dismiss all existing assays as “requir(ing) the use of radioactive agents, ... more time consuming and expensive”.
- (2) Demonstration of specificity might be demonstrated by determining whether their “GR”

patients had mutated glucocorticoid receptors or defects in glucocorticoid signaling. Specificity should have been sought by performing dexamethasone suppression tests (DSTs) to determine whether their GR groups were significantly less dexamethasone suppressible than normal. Serum cortisol of children with premature adrenarche is typically normally suppressible by dexamethasone, including doses as low as 1 mg/m² at bedtime [2, 3]. PCOS cortisol levels are normally suppressible by dexamethasone 0.25 mg/m² midday [4]. Their excuse for not testing for *in vivo* GR by DST is because it gives misleading results in rare putative adrenal hyperplasia patients. Demonstration of clinically significant GR should be essential for the diagnosis. Such data are lacking. Their only

supporting evidence for specificity is that their GR premature adrenarche group—and not the PCOS group—had significant, slight increases in serum cortisol (within normal limits) and adrenocorticotropin. However, no evidence is presented that diurnal variation was controlled for.

- (3) Precision (9.6%) seems good since the normal glucocorticoid sensitivity index was 325 ± 30.6 (standard deviation) and “reproducible when measured on different days with (peripheral blood monocytes) from the same or different control donors” (the determination of reproducibility of the standard curves used to generate the glucocorticoid sensitivity index data is what the authors term “accuracy”). However, there are no specific data on interassay or intraindividual variation.
- (4) The test sensitivity (limit of detection) is poor: it seems to be ≥ 800 nM fluorescein-labeled dexamethasone (F-dex), judging from the overlap of standard curve standard deviations with the 0 point.

Furthermore, a physiologic point about test sensitivity. The F-dex binding curve standards range from 400 to 6400 nM F-dex. For comparison, a 1.0 mg overnight DST yields an average plasma dexamethasone concentration of about 10 nM [5]. Furthermore, since dexamethasone’s gram molecular weight is 392.5, their standards range from 157 000 to 2 512 000 ng/L (=157-2512 $\mu\text{g/L}$) dexamethasone. Consider that dexamethasone 157 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in a 70 kg adult represents 10 990 μg (10.9 mg) total body dexamethasone, assuming whole-body dexamethasone volume of distribution [6]. Thus, the assay is conducted with unphysiological glucocorticoid doses unlikely to be pertinent to glucocorticoid potency.

In conclusion, the data are based on a low-sensitivity assay of unvalidated accuracy and specificity, so do not justify the conclusion that most patients with premature adrenarche and PCOS have GR.

Additional Information

Correspondence: Robert L. Rosenfield, MD, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637-1470, USA; or University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. E-mail: robros@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu.

Disclosure Summary: No conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

1. Panayiotopoulos A, Bhangoo A, Khurana D, Ten S, Michl J, Ghanny S. Glucocorticoid resistance in premature adrenarche and PCOS: from childhood to adulthood. *J Endocr Soc.* 2020;4(9):bvaa111.
2. Rich BH, Rosenfield RL, Lucky AW, Helke JC, Otto P. Adrenarche: changing adrenal response to adrenocorticotropin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1981;52(6):1129-1136.
3. Ibáñez L, Dimartino-Nardi J, Potau N, Saenger P. Premature adrenarche—normal variant or forerunner of adult disease? *Endocr Rev.* 2000;21(6):671-696.
4. Rosenfield RL, Mortensen M, Wroblewski K, Littlejohn E, Ehrmann DA. Determination of the source of androgen excess in functionally atypical polycystic ovary syndrome by a short dexamethasone androgen-suppression test and a low-dose ACTH test. *Hum Reprod.* 2011;26(11):3138-3146.
5. Asvold BO, Grill V, Thorstensen K, Bjørgaas MR. Association between posttest dexamethasone and cortisol concentrations in the 1mg overnight dexamethasone suppression test. *Endocr Connect.* 2012;1(2):62-67.
6. Brady ME, Sartiano GP, Rosenblum SL, Zaglama NE, Bauguess CT. The pharmacokinetics of single high doses of dexamethasone in cancer patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1987;32(6):593-596.