© 2020 The Authors. Orthopaedic Surgery published by Chinese Orthopaedic Association and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

GUIDELINE AND CONSENSUS

Clinical Guideline for Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities

Kai Zheng, PhD¹, Xiuchun Yu, PhD¹, Yongcheng Hu, PhD², Yingze Zhang, PhD³, Zhen Wang, MD⁴, Sujia Wu, PhD⁵, Jingnan Shen, PhD⁶, Zhaoming Ye, PhD⁷, Chongqi Tu, PhD⁸, Yu Zhang, PhD⁹, Xing Wei, PhD¹⁰, Yong Hu, PhD¹¹, Xuquan Wang, PhD¹², Jiazhen Li, PhD¹³, Hong Duan, PhD⁸, Yuxian Wu, PhD¹⁴, Ming Xu, MD¹, Zhenchao Yuan, PhD¹⁵, Yongzhong Wei, PhD¹⁶, Bingyao Chen, PhD¹²

¹Department of Orthopedics, The 960th Hospital of the PLA Joint Logistice Support Force, Jinan, ²Department of Bone Oncology, Tianjin Hospital, Tianjin, ³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, The Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Orthopaedic Research Institute of Hebei Province, Shijiazhuang, ⁴Department of Bone Oncology, Xijing Hospital, Air Force Military Medical University, Xi'an, ⁵Department of Orthopedics, School of Medicine, Jinling Hospital, Nanjing University and ¹⁶Department of Orthopaedics, The First Affiliated Hospital With Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, ⁶Department of Bone Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat Sen University and ⁹Department of Orthopedics, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, ⁷Department of Orthopaedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, ⁸Department of Orthopedics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, ¹⁰Department of Orthopedics, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, ¹¹Department of Bone Disease and Bone Tumors Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, ¹²Department of Orthopedics, Guiqian International General Hospital, Guiyang, ¹³Department of Orthopaedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, ¹⁴Department of Bone Oncology, Qilu Hospital (Qingdao), Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Qingdao and ¹⁵Department of Bone and Soft Tissue Surgery, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, China

Microwave ablation has been used to treat bone tumors in extremities for more than 30 years. With improved recognition, updated microwave equipment, and expanded clinical application, microwave ablation has recently been widely used to treat bone tumors. To standardize the application of microwave ablation in the clinical treatment of bone tumors in the limbs, research results and clinical experience involving the use of microwave ablation to treat bone tumors in the limbs have been summarized, and a clinical guideline has been designed. This guideline is aimed at providing a reliable clinical basis for indications, preoperative evaluation and decision-making, perioperative treatment, complications, and other issues *via* evidence-based medicine. Two aspects are considered—percutaneous microwave ablation and intraoperative microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities. Ultimately, the guideline is intended to standardize treatment and improve the clinical efficacy of microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities.

Key words: Ablation Techniques; Bone Neoplasms; Extremities; Guideline; Microwave

Microwave ablation is a commonly used method in tumor ablation. The technique involves the application of the thermal effect of a microwave electromagnetic field to inactivate the tumor, including directly killing the tumor¹, inducing apoptosis^{2,3}, destroying tumor blood vessels⁴, and promoting immunity^{5–8}, among others. Microwave ablation has been used for many years in the treatment of solid organ tumors, which proves that this technique has a certain clinical application value⁹⁻¹¹. As hard tissue, the main components (collagen and inorganic salt) of the bone tissue can withstand elevated temperatures, and the maintenance of the biomechanical strength is enhanced. Thus, microwave ablation presents distinct advantages and characteristics for the treatment of bone tumors¹².

Address for correspondence Xiuchun Yu, PhD, Department of Orthopedics, The 960th Hospital of the PLA Joint Logistice Support Force, No.25 Shifan Road, Jinan, China, Tel: 86-0531-51666644; Fax: 86-0531-51666644; Email: 13969132190@163.com

Yongcheng Hu, PhD, Department of Bone Oncology, Tianjin Hospital, No.406 Jiefang South Road, Tianjin, China, Tel: 86-022-28334734; Fax: 86-022-28241184; Email: yongchenghu@126.com

Yingze Zhang, PhD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, The Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Orthopaedic Research Institute of Hebei Province, No.139 Ziqiang Road, Shijiazhuang, China, Tel: 86-311-88603818; Fax: 86-311-88603818; Email: yzling_liu@163.com Received 27 May 2020; accepted 18 June 2020

Orthopaedic Surgery 2020;12:1036-1044 • DOI: 10.1111/os.12749

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Microwave ablation has been used in the treatment of bone tumors for more than 30 years. In its development, progress mainly includes the following aspects. First, the equipment has been improved. Compared with the previous equipment, the microwave ablation radiator is more flexible and efficient, the temperature measurement system is more rapid and accurate, and the degree of automation is more intelligent. Second, the scope of application has expanded. From the initial stage of treating primary malignant bone tumors, the treatment has also been used to treat metastatic bone tumors, malignant soft tissue tumors, and benign bone tumors; moreover, the operation and operating technology have become increasingly standardized. Microwave ablation can be used as an independent percutaneous minimally invasive treatment for some benign bone tumors and bone metastases^{13,14}, or as an auxiliary treatment for hemostasis, tumor inactivation or improving the safety of tumor resection boundary^{15–19}.

The clinical application of microwave ablation in the treatment of bone tumors in extremities has gradually improved, and new research and application have successfully been reported. This guideline is formulated from evidence-based clinical data, experiences of Chinese experts, and the current situation in China. The clinical guideline for microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities includes recommendations 1-6 for percutaneous microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, 7-20 for intraoperative microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, and 21-22 for product use, including evaluation and selection before microwave ablation, operation during microwave ablation, complications of microwave ablation, and so on. This study is intended to provide a reliable clinical basis for microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, standardize treatment, and improve the therapeutic effect of the technique.

Recommendation 1: Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Osteoid Osteoma in Extremities is Effective

steoid osteoma is a common benign bone tumor in extremities with unknown etiology, often accompanied by nocturnal pain. The cortex of a long bone is prone to develop the tumor. Osteoid osteoma typically consists of tumor nests at the center and thickened bone around. Treatment of tumor nests is the fundamental method of treatment²⁰⁻²². A simple systematic literature review was conducted for percutaneous microwave ablation of an osteoid osteoma in extremities. The femur (41 cases) and tibia (20 cases) are the most common surgical sites, as determined from 76 patients with an average age of 20.6 years (range, 3-48 years). The average tumor size was 6.8 mm, and the average follow-up time was 17.9 months. Two patients reported postoperative recurrence. Moreover, six patients suffered operative complications (7.9%), including three cases of skin numbness, one case of puncture site infection, one case of radial nerve injury and two-stage skin burn, and one case of three-stage skin burn^{13,14,23-26}. More patients with osteoid osteoma in extremities have been treated by percutaneous radiofrequency ablation^{27,28}. The new view is that microwave ablation of osteoid osteoma provides fast heating and short ablation time, avoids skin burns caused by electrode pieces, and is not affected by pacemakers, among other factors. Owing to these characteristics, microwave ablation is a preferred choice for the percutaneous treatment of osteoid osteoma^{14,23}.

Percutaneous microwave ablation of osteoid osteoma has the advantages of high effective rate, low recurrence rate, and few complications. The risk of puncture and microwave ablation can be assessed by preoperative imaging, and the puncture route of an important tissue structure can be avoided. Microwave ablation can be performed again on patients with recurrence.

Recommendation 2: Microwave Ablation is a Choice for Patients with Bone Metastases in Extremities That is Resistant to Traditional Treatments

ong bones of extremities are common sites of bone L metastasis. Epidemiological studies have found that 48.9% of bone metastases occur in the long bones of the limbs²⁹. In addition to surgery, radiotherapy, and drug treatment, percutaneous microwave ablation can effectively relieve pain in the treatment of limb bone metastasis. Combined with bone cement filling, this method can enhance bone strength. Leakage of bone cement is a common complication³⁰⁻³². Evident pain symptoms of bone metastasis in extremities, localized metastasis lesions, and a safe puncture path are considered good indications for percutaneous microwave ablation³³. Percutaneous ablation of malignant bone tumors is also only suitable for tumors with slow growth and requires two typical characteristics: fewer than three tumor sites, with the largest tumor diameter being less than 3 cm^{34} .

Patients with bone metastases in extremities experience obvious pain, identify the exact location of pain, and cannot tolerate the routine operation. The risks and benefits of microwave ablation can be evaluated with the whole body and can consider the local bone strength of patients. Percutaneous microwave ablation can be used to treat bone metastases in extremities to alleviate the pain. After ablation, pathological fractures may occur. Ablation can be combined with percutaneous internal fixation if necessary.

Recommendation 3: Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities Should be Guided by Imaging

C omputer tomography (CT) is the most commonly used imaging method to guide percutaneous microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities^{13,14,23,25,26,30,31}. In a systematic literature analysis of 76 patients with an osteoid osteoma in extremities treated by imaging-guided percutaneous microwave ablation, 52 patients were treated with guidance of conventional CT, and the remaining 24 patients were treated by microwave ablation guided by cone-beam CT

combined with intraoperative navigation. No significant difference in treatment efficiency was determined between the two groups^{13,14,23-26}. No significant differences in technical success rate, clinical success rate, and complication rate were found between CT and cone-beam CT. The radiation dose received by the cone-beam CT group was significantly less than that received by the conventional CT Group³⁵. Positron emission tomography–computed tomography (PET-CT) is also used to guide puncture and percutaneous microwave ablation, which helps to improve puncture accuracy³⁶.

Percutaneous microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities requires imaging guidance, which can be provided by CT, cone-beam CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and PET-CT to varying levels. Intraoperative navigation technology and robot-assisted technology can improve puncture accuracy and safety.

Recommendation 4: Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Osteoid Osteoma in Extremities Should be Performed Under Appropriate Anesthesia

lthough percutaneous microwave ablation of tumors is A a minimally invasive operation, it releases high-energy thermal radiation during surgery³⁷, causing severe pain, particularly pain from osteoid osteoma tumor nest stimulation; local anesthesia typically fails to meet surgical requirements³⁸. In addition, high-energy heat treatment may induce increases in body temperature and basic metabolic rate, which need strict anesthesia management. Patients with poor coordination can choose general anesthesia³⁷, whereas patients with good coordination can choose regional block anesthesia and sedation¹³. Systematic literature analysis of 76 patients with an osteoid osteoma in extremities, 55 cases of general anesthesia, eight cases of epidural anesthesia, seven cases of epidural anesthesia combined with intravenous sedation and analgesia, five cases of local anesthesia combined with intravenous sedation and analgesia, and one case of local anesthesia only (the patient received insufficient ablation and suffered tumor recurrence because of the server operative pain)^{13,14,23-26}

Percutaneous microwave ablation of osteoid osteoma in extremities often induces severe pain in patients. Puncture and percutaneous microwave ablation should be performed under general anesthesia. During ablation, patients may exhibit pain reaction because of the depth of insufficient anesthesia, which may lead to a change in microwave needle position and thermal injuries in other tissues.

Recommendation 5: The Power and Time of Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities Should be Determined Based on Different Equipment Settings

The frequencies of medical microwave ablation include 15 and 2450 MHz. The 2450 MHz frequency has been widely used because of its high power, fast heat production, and good ablation form. The difference in microwave output power, loss, and microwave needle design can lead to a

difference in the range of microwave ablation³⁹. In the systematic literature review of percutaneous microwave ablation of osteoid osteoma in extremities, 76 patients received microwave ablation equipment from six companies for ablation. Despite variations in ablation parameters, good clinical efficacy was observed in all patients: seven patients received microwave ablation at 20 W for 2 min; 24 patients received microwave ablation at 30 W for 30 s pause (three cycles), and the target temperature was 90°C (otherwise, the number of cycles was increased); 13 patients received microwave ablation at 50–60 W for 1–2.5 min; 24 patients received 915 Hz microwave ablation at 16 W for 45–160 s, and the target temperature was 80°C; eight patients received a target temperature of 90°C and microwave ablation for 4–6 min^{13,14,23–26}.

In the clinical application, the specific working parameters of the equipment to be used should be referred to, aimed at the target lesions of different sizes and locations. Coagulative tumor necrosis should be considered as the ablation target.

Recommendation 6: Tumor Nest Ablation is the Target of Microwave Therapy for Osteoid Osteoma in Extremities, and Pain Improvement is the Evaluation Standard

Pain is the most common clinical symptom of osteoid osteoma in extremities, and less than 2% of patients show no pain²². Pain scoring is a common and simple method for evaluating the clinical effect of microwave ablation of osteoid osteoma^{13,14,24–26}. The change in MRI before and after microwave ablation is also regarded as the objective basis for the imaging evaluation of microwave ablation. Quantitative MRI perfusion analysis can objectively evaluate the therapeutic effect of percutaneous microwave ablation on osteoid osteoma. Plasma flow and volume distribution may be reliable indicators of successful thermal ablation^{23–25}.

Treatment of osteoid osteoma aims to eliminate pain by percutaneous microwave ablation. The effect of the treatment can be feasibly evaluated by improving pain. Changes in MRI before and after treatment can be used as an objective evaluation basis for percutaneous microwave ablation to treat osteoid osteoma in extremities.

Recommendation 7: The Clinical Effect of Intraoperative Microwave Ablation is Influenced by Tumor Size, Texture, Blood Supply, Surgeon Experience, and Other Factors

The thermal effect of microwave ablation is closely related to the type of substance in the tumor. The difference in water content in various substances can lead to differences in temperature rise and microwave absorption^{10,39}. Therefore, microwave ablation exerts an unpredictable effect on a tumor with a complex component structure and a large body. During microwave ablation of the thermal tumor, the tumor content constantly changes in uneven real time, such as coagulation and necrosis, resulting in a continuous change in

the structure of the microwave field in the tissue, which is difficult to accurately evaluate using a mathematical model⁴⁰. Intraoperative microwave ablation has been widely used in the treatment of benign and malignant tumors in extremities, including osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma, chondrosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma, giant cell tumor of bone, metastatic cancer of the bone, and so on^{18,41–45}.

The clinical effect of microwave ablation in the treatment of bone tumors in extremities can be effectively improved by comprehensively considering the tumor (benign and malignant), volume size, internal material composition, and site characteristics; flexibly arranging needles; and setting power to different intensities for microwave ablation to achieve complete ablation of tumors and retention of the bone structure.

Recommendation 8: *In Situ* Ablation is the First Choice for Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities

Microwave ablation of tumors varies from other ablation methods in that it changes tumor cells into heat sources by using the electromagnetic field, and thermal ablation starts from the inside of the tumor^{39,46}. In the clinical application, contrary to *in vitro* inactivation and replantation of bone tumor resection, microwave ablation can achieve tumor inactivation *via in situ* ablation after protecting the normal tissues around bone tumors of the limbs^{18,41}.

In situ microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities retains the natural continuity of bone tissue to the greatest extent, which can help reconstruct and remodel bone tissue in the long term and prevent the problem of osteotomy and bone healing that needs to be considered in tumor resection, inactivation, and replantation. The bone may also be cut off on one side to separate the tumor and ablate lesions.

Recommendation 9: Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities Under Appropriate Temperature and Time is Recommended

icrowave ablation of tumors needs to achieve a certain M dose of thermal injury, which is positively correlated to temperature and time. Different tumor cells exhibit different levels of sensitivity to hyperthermia¹. The results of a hyperthermia study indicate that osteosarcoma cells can be killed by heating at 50°C for 15 min⁶. Animal experiments indicate that heat treatment at 65°C for 30-120 min can inactivate cells and retain the osteogenic characteristics of bone tissue⁴⁷. A biomechanical study on a human normal cortical bone undergoing microwave ablation indicates that microwave ablation at 80°C for 30 min can significantly damage the toughness and plasticity of the cortical bone and increase the brittleness of bone tissue, leading to bone fracture⁴⁸. A biomechanical study of an animal bone that underwent microwave heating indicates a significant decrease in bone strength at 75°C for 30 min but only a slight reduction

at 60°C for 30 min⁴⁹. A retrospective study of clinical cases shows that microwave ablation can achieve satisfactory results in the treatment of malignant pelvic and limb bone tumors at 70–80°C ablation temperature for 30 min⁵⁰. Several studies have suggested that ablation *in vivo* is more complex than *in vitro*. Bone tissue protects cells to a certain dose, and some areas elude the effects of hyperthermia⁵¹. Tumor ablation temperatures exceeding 60°C reportedly achieve the treatment effect in clinical applications^{52,53}.

The desired effect of microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities is to completely kill tumor cells while preserving the biomechanical and biological properties of bone tissue. Regardless of the settings of the microwave ablation equipment, ablation temperature and duration are important factors to ensure the therapeutic effect. Generally, the temperature should be controlled at 60–80°C for 30 min.

Recommendation 10: For Large-Sized Bone Tumors in Extremities, Multiple-Antenna Microwave Ablation May Be Useful

Owing to high frequency, short wavelength, and shallow penetration depth, medical microwave is generally about 3 cm. To achieve complete ablation of large tumors, multiple-antenna microwave ablation is a safe and effective choice^{16,39,41,54-56}. Using microwave antenna arrays is regarded as a safe and effective approach to treating malignant or invasive bone tumors in the limb⁵⁴. Multiple-microwave antennas can also be used to ablate the tumor segment in the matrix mode, with an array spacing of 3 cm between microwave antennas and a spacing of 4 cm for each ablation depth⁵⁶.

When the limb bone has a large tumor body, complete ablation of the whole tumor body is difficult to accomplish with a single needle. Multiple punctures with a single needle may cause tumor cells to spill out and pollute the normal tissue. Therefore, multiple-needle ablation can be used. These microwave needles can be inserted into the tumor body at multiple angles to avoid the blind spot of ablation.

Recommendation 11: Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors with an Abundant Blood Supply Can Reduce Tumor Bleeding During Curettage

M icrowave ablation can lead to coagulative necrosis of the tumor tissue, including tumor blood vessels, which is beneficial to the exposure of the surgical field and reduction of bleeding^{42,57,58}. In clinical research, microwave ablation can reduce tumor bleeding and intraoperative blood transfusion before bone metastasis curettage and may more effectively occlude blood vessels, compared with radiofrequency treatment⁵⁷. In addition, microwave ablation can cause bone metastasis coagulation and necrosis, block blood vessels, and reduce bleeding to improve the safety of surgery⁴². Another study suggested that microwave ablation of periacetabular bone metastases could reduce intraoperative bleeding⁵⁸. Orthopaedic Surgery Volume 12 • Number 4 • August, 2020

For bone tumors with an abundant blood supply, a higher ablation temperature can be easily achieved by microwave ablation. Numerous tumor blood vessels are present in an aneurysmal bone cyst, a giant cell tumor of bone, bone metastasis from liver cancer, bone metastasis from kidney cancer, and other tumor lesions. Severe bleeding occurs during curettage of these tumors. Microwave ablation before curettage can significantly reduce tumor bleeding and improve surgical safety.

Recommendation 12: Microwave Ablation of Skip Metastasis in the Medullary Cavity of Osteosarcoma Helps Retain a Larger Bone Structure

Skip metastasis in the medullary cavity of osteosarcoma has an incidence ranging from 1.4% to 10%^{17,59-61}, which often indicates poor prognosis^{17,61}. After resection of osteosarcoma of the distal femur, a microwave ablation needle was placed into the medullary cavity to melt skip metastases in the medullary cavity, and then tumor curettage was performed. Moreover, tumor prosthesis reconstruction was conducted in four cases, and thigh amputation was performed in one case. No local recurrence was found in these five patients; three patients died of distant metastasis, and the remaining two patients survived. This technique prevents total femoral replacement and retains good limb function¹⁷.

The proximal femur is the most frequent site of osteosarcoma skip metastasis in the medullary cavity. Extensive removal of skip metastases can cause the loss of the long bone in the proximal femur or even the entire femur. Microwave ablation of osteosarcoma skip metastases in the medullary cavity is an alternative treatment with the advantage of BONE TUMOR MICROWAVE ABLATION GUIDELINE

retaining a larger portion of the bone in the proximal femur (Fig. 1).

Recommendation 13: Before Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities, Important Blood Vessels, Nerves, and Soft Tissues Should be Dissociated and Protected

copper mesh laid on the surrounding soft tissues has Abeen reported to prevent microwaves from passing through during microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities. However, the blood that accumulates between the tumor and the copper mesh, which can cause scalding of soft tissues, needs prompt removal⁴¹. The cooling bag is used to isolate the tumor and the surrounding soft tissue, and the cooling protection can be realized by allowing lowtemperature saline to flow through the cooling bag⁵⁴. Several studies have proposed that the fingers of surgeons should be placed on the surface of important structures to roughly detect the tissue temperature and to drip low-temperature saline on the tissue surface at any time to prevent overheating⁵⁵. A common and simple approach to isolating tumor and the surrounding soft tissue is to use a gauze soaked in cooling saline after tumor separation. Continuous use of hypothermic saline can also protect the surrounding soft tissue during ablation^{16,42,55,62}. When the tumor is adjacent to the articular surface, circulating cooling saline can be used to cool the articular cavity during microwave ablation avoid thermal injury of the articular surface to cartilage16,18,56.

Before microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities is conducted, the surrounding large blood vessels,



Fig 1 Microwave ablation was used to treat skip metastasis in the proximal femoral medullary cavity of osteosarcoma. (A) Adhere to the principle of limb salvage surgery of malignant tumors, the osteosarcoma in the distal femur was resected. (B) After resection of osteosarcoma in the distal femur, a microwave ablation needle was inserted into the skip metastasis percutaneous or *via* medullary cavity to melt skip metastases, and then tumor curettage was performed. (C) Normal mega-prosthesis was used to reconstruct the limb function without total femur replacement.

important nerves, and normal tissues need to be protected. During ablation, the target focus can meet the requirements of thermal ablation temperature and avoid scalding of surrounding normal tissues, which can effectively reduce complications related to microwave ablation.

Recommendation 14: During Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors In Extremities, Real-Time Multipoint Temperature Measurement on the Tumor Body, Tumor Side, and Surrounding Tissues Has to be Performed

uring microwave ablation of tumors, the temperatures of the tumor surface and of the surrounding normal tissue have to be measured to provide a reference for the evaluation of the effect of tumor ablation and the safety level for the protection of the surrounding tissue $^{63-65}$. In the microwave ablation of tumors, invasive thermometry includes thermocouple and thermistor thermometry, whereas noninvasive thermometry includes electrical impedance thermometry, microwave thermometry, and nuclear magnetic resonance thermometry^{64,65}. Invasive thermometry has the following advantages: high response rate, accuracy and reliability, small error ($\pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C), high resolution ($\leq 0.2^{\circ}$ C), and relatively small electromagnetic and thermal interference; its disadvantages include increased trauma during percutaneous use. Meanwhile, noninvasive thermometry fails to reflect the overall equilibrium temperature and exhibits inadequate accuracy^{64,65}.

Temperature measurement using a thermocouple or a thermistor can meet the accuracy requirements of microwave ablation but can only reflect the temperature of a specific measurement point. When the tumor is large, multiple thermometers and multiple-point thermometry can help find the possible blind spots of low-temperature ablation during microwave ablation, and real-time thermometry of the surrounding tissue can help promptly reduce the temperature of the surrounding tissue during surgery to avoid accidental scalding.

Recommendation 15: The Necrotic Tissue Around the Bone Structure Should be Cleaned After Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities

M icrowave ablation of bone tumors in extremities leads to the coagulation and necrosis of the tumor tissue. During surgery, the inactive tissue outside the bone contour needs to be removed, the natural shape of the bone has to be restored, and the necrotic tumor tissue in the bone tissue has to be thoroughly cleaned up by opening windows at the bone destruction site and/or the bone surface^{19,42,50,66}. Some studies have suggested that after microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, the soft tissue attached to the tumor bones should be removed and scraped off, and the sclerotic tumor bone tissue should be reserved for the reconstruction of functional limb^{41,56}. A report has identified that inadequate clearance of necrotic tissue is a potential cause of infection after microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities⁶⁶. BONE TUMOR MICROWAVE ABLATION GUIDELINE

After microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, the coagulative necrotic soft tissue attached outside the bone should be removed to expose the bony structure. The necrotic tumor tissue in the bone should be thoroughly cleaned up. The fragmentary tissue around the bony structure should also be cleaned up to restore the natural shape of the bone for reconstruction of the functional limb.

Recommendation 16: After Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities, Appropriate Reconstruction Should be Selected Depending on the Nature, Location, and Type of Bone Defects

fter microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, A bone defects usually require proper repair and reconstruction. In some studies, an autogenous fibula graft has been used to repair bone defects after microwave ablation of primary malignant bone tumors in extremities, and autogenous bone graft or allogeneic bone graft mixed with bone cement is used to repair and reconstruct the remaining cavities. This technique can achieve bone tissue regeneration and biological reconstruction^{18,54,67,68}. Biological reconstruction has to be focused on the repair time of bone tissue. Results of animal experiments indicate that bone revascularization and regeneration occur 6 months after microwave ablation, and the dead bone area still comprises more than 50% within 1 year after surgery⁶⁹. Histopathological examination of osteosarcoma in the distal femur showed that 43 months after microwave ablation, necrosis remained, revascularization was not completed, and risk of fracture was still present⁷⁰. Bone cement can be used to repair bone defects after microwave ablation of bone metastases in extremities. This method is simple and practical with satisfactory clinical effects⁴². The immediate stability of a tumor cavity filled with bone cement contributes to the early recovery of limb function, but bone cement filling adjacent to the articular surface may lead to painless arthritis⁷¹. In addition, compared with the dynamic process of bone graft reconstruction, the relatively static environment after bone cement filling is more conducive to postoperative imaging follow-up observation 71,72 .

In the reconstruction of bone defects after microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, appropriate methods should be selected depending on the type of bone defect in extremities. Repair of bone defects by bone cement filling is conducive to early weight-bearing and functional rehabilitation; however, long-term degeneration of adjacent bone joints is possible.

Recommendation 17: Appropriate Internal Fixation is Recommended After Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities

Microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities can inevitably reduce the biological activity and mechanical strength of the remaining bone^{48,49}. Revascularization and reconstruction of the bone tissue require a long time^{69,70}. ^{69,70}. Bone strength needs to be evaluated prior to surgery of bone tumors in extremities⁷³. Depending on the bone strength of extremities, extent of bone defect for repair and

reconstruction, and the specific situation during surgery, internal fixation may be necessary to strengthen the bone strength of the load-bearing bones in extremities. Some studies show that regardless of the presence of osteotomy after the completion of bone defect repair and reconstruction, microwave ablation of malignant bone tumors in extremities requires plate-and-screw internal fixation to strengthen the inactivated bone segment and prevent a pathologic fracture⁵⁰. Intramedullary fixation after microwave ablation can also prevent pathological fractures⁵⁶. Three-dimensional printing has also been recently used to produce a titanium plate with a personalized bone structure, which is fixed after microwave ablation of a tumor around the knee joint. Such customized plate fixation reportedly reduces the occurrence of a pathological fracture and improves the limb function of patients⁷⁴.

After microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities, using bone plates for internal fixation provides ease of operation and effective fixation. However, for bone ablation without osteotomy and bone defect, the intramedullary nail can also be used.

Recommendation 18: Pathological Fracture is a Common Complication of Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities

 γ omplications after microwave ablation of bone tumors \checkmark in extremities rarely occur, with a total incidence of 12.9%-73.3%. Pathological fracture is the most common complication with an incidence of 2.6%-13.3%^{18,41,56,66,75}. In a retrospective study of 89 patients with bone tumors in extremities that underwent microwave ablation, pathological fracture occurred in five patients with tumors in the lower femur after 16.8 months on average⁴¹. In a clinical study involving 15 patients with osteosarcoma in the lower extremities who received microwave ablation, pathological fracture occurred in six patients after 20.8 months on the average⁵⁶. Another report showed that out of 54 patients with bone tumors in extremities who underwent microwave ablation, four patients exhibited pathological fracture 6-12 months after surgery⁶⁶. Meanwhile, a pathological fracture was reported 8-16 months after surgery in five of 38 patients with malignant bone tumors in extremities⁷⁵.

Unlike the ablation of other organ tumors, microwave ablation of bone tumors needs to consider complete ablation of tumors and protection of the surrounding tissue, as well as the osteogenic ability and biological strength of bone preservation. A long period of bone reconstruction is needed after microwave ablation. Rigid internal fixation and extension of the unloading bearing time of the affected limb can help reduce the occurrence of pathological fracture.

Recommendation 19: Microwave Ablation of Primary Malignant High-Grade Bone Tumors in Extremities Requires the Inactivation of All Tumor Cells

 \mathbf{F}^{or} primary malignant high-grade bone tumors in extremities undergoing microwave ablation, the

recurrence rate is 7.9%-26.7%^{18,41,56,66,75}. In a retrospective study involving 469 patients with malignant bone tumors in extremities, 46 patients experienced recurrence-nine patients who underwent limb salvage surgery and 35 patients who underwent amputation¹⁸. A clinical report involving 81 patients with malignant bone tumors in extremities revealed recurrence originating from the soft tissue in eight patients, among which six underwent reoperation, as determined by postoperative pathological analysis⁴¹. Research on 15 patients who received microwave ablation of osteosarcoma in the lower extremities showed recurrence in four patients with metaphyseal osteosarcoma-three cases in the bone and one case in the soft tissue⁵⁶. Microwave ablation of 38 patients with malignant bone tumors in extremities resulted in recurrence in three patients, with one case related to inappropriate preoperative $biopsy^{75}$.

The recurrence rates of primary malignant high-grade bone tumors in extremities after microwave ablation vary, which is related to the lack of standardized operating procedures. The effect of ablation often depends on the personal experience of the surgeon and the irregular shape of tumors, impeding the complete inactivation of tumor cells. Complete inactivation of tumor cells should be prioritized in clinical applications.

Recommendation 20: Microwave Ablation Can be Used in Suspected Areas Outside Bone Tumors in Extremities

imb salvage surgery for malignant bone tumors in extremities should first ensure local control of the tumor, which is achieved with a safe surgical boundary as the most important factor^{76,77}. Limb salvage surgery for malignant bone tumors in extremities should adopt microwave ablation as an adjuvant therapy. Before the microwave ablation needle is inserted, the principle of tumor resection should be followed to thoroughly expose the local tumor and isolate the tumor from the surrounding normal soft tissue^{18,41,50,56,62,67}. Microwave ablation was conducted to treat suspected areas in 11 cases of malignant bone tumors in extremities that show good response to chemotherapy near the articular surface. No histopathological analysis of the ablation area was conducted; regardless, no local tumor recurrence was reported in the follow-up of more than 3 years after surgery. Microwave ablation can effectively enhance the safety of the operation boundary⁷⁸.

Microwave ablation of bone tumors in extremities is a limb salvage technique, which should adhere to the principle of limb salvage surgery of malignant tumors. The principle of tumor surgery should be followed in the process of exposure. For malignant bone tumors that respond well to chemotherapy, microwave ablation can be used to ablate the suspected area to preserve the important bone structure under special circumstances. Notably, the actual surgery is difficult, and skilled surgical techniques, rather than conventional techniques, are required for bone tumors.

Recommendation 21: Selection of Appropriate Microwave Ablation Equipment Can Facilitate Intraoperative Ablation of Bone Tumors

The medical microwave ablation equipment in China is designed as a needle structure, with round and pointed designs. Most equipment has a power of 2450 MHz, whereas few devices have a power of 915 MHz. The multiple-source host can be used by multiple microwave needles simultaneously.

With the Chinese microwave ablation needle as an example, bone tumor ablation can be conducted using a microwave cold circulation needle measuring 2.0–3.2 mm in diameter and 150–200 mm in length. The microwave antenna adopts a vertical slot antenna structure, with an ablation range of 54×42 mm, and the maximum parameters of a single-electrode bearing are 120 W and 15 min.

Recommendation 22: The Use of a Microwave Ablation Needle with Temperature Monitoring Can Reduce the Complications of Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Bone Tumors in Extremities

The development of a microwave needle has undergone three generations. The first generation of the microwave

BONE TUMOR MICROWAVE ABLATION GUIDELINE

ablation needle radiator is at the tip, which can be easily damaged during puncture. The radiator has no cooling device, resulting in a high needle temperature. The needle temperature can reach 60°C at most, which can easily burn the skin. In 2002, the second generation of the microwave ablation needle, referred to as the water-cooled microwave ablation needle, was developed in China. The built-in cooling system device can reduce the needle temperature when the microwave energy is converted in the tumor, reduce skin scalding and carbonization at the core of the ablation range, cause "trailing" to disappear, and improve the form of ablation. The third-generation intelligent monitoring microwave ablation needle provides big data real-time ablation data through the software system with the output power of a realtime monitoring ablation needle.

The needle has to penetrate the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and muscle tissue in percutaneous microwave ablation. Using a microwave ablation needle with temperature monitoring can improve the safety of operation and reduce the scalding of the soft tissue.

References

1. Hildebrandt B, Wust P, Ahlers O, et *al*. The cellular and molecular basis of hyperthermia. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol, 2002, 43: 33–56.

2. Hou CH, Lin FL, Hou SM, Liu JF. Hyperthermia induces apoptosis through endoplasmic reticulum and reactive oxygen species in human osteosarcoma cells. Int J Mol Sci, 2014, 15: 17380–17395.

3. Zhao J, Wang SZ, Tang XF, et al. Analysis of thermochemotherapy-induced apoptosis and the protein expressions of Bcl-2 and Bax in maxillofacial squamous cell carcinomas. Med Oncol, 2011, 28: S354–S359.

4. Roca C, Primo L, Valdembri D, *et al*. Hyperthermia inhibits angiogenesis by a plasminogen activator inhibitor 1-dependent mechanism. Cancer Res, 2003, 63: 1500–1507.

5. Takaki H, Imai N, Thomas CT, *et al.* Changes in peripheral blood T-cell balance after percutaneous tumor ablation. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol, 2017, 26: 331–337.

6. Hu Y, Lu S, Yuan M, *et al.* The Changes of HSP70 Expression in Osteosarcoma Pre and Post Hyperthemia Therapy. Zhong Hua Gu Ke Za Zhi, 2000. 20: 3–7.

7. Yu Z, Geng J, Zhang M, Zhou Y, Fan Q, Chen J. Treatment of osteosarcoma with microwave thermal ablation to induce immunogenic cell death. Oncotarget, 2014, 5: 6526–6539.

8. Yu Z, Wu J, Zhang M, et *al*. Mechanism of immunogenic cell death induced by microwave ablation in treatment of osteosarcoma. Zhong Hua Fang She Zhong Liu Xue Za Zhi, 2016, 25: 602–608.

9. Brace CL. Microwave ablation technology: what every user should know. Curr Probl Diagn Radiol, 2009, 38: 61–67.

10. Simon CJ, Dupuy DE, Mayo-Smith WW. Microwave ablation: principles and applications. Radiographics, 2005, 25: S69–S83.

11. Carrafiello G, Laganà D, Mangini M, *et al*. Microwave tumors ablation: principles, clinical applications and review of preliminary experiences. Int J Surg, 2008, 6: S65–S69.

12. Fan Q, Ma B, Guo A, *et al.* Surgical treatment of bone tumors in conjunction with microwave-induced hyperthermia and adjuvant immunotherapy. A preliminary report. Chin Med J, 1996, 109: 425–431.

13. Basile A, Failla G, Reforgiato A, *et al.* The use of microwaves ablation in the treatment of epiphyseal osteoid osteomas. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol, 2014, 37: 737–742.

14. Rinzler ES, Shivaram GM, Shaw DW, Monroe EJ, Koo KSH. Microwave ablation of osteoid osteoma: initial experience and efficacy. Pediatr Radiol, 2019, 49: 566–570.

15. Li J, Guo Z, Wang Z, Fan H, Fu J. Does microwave ablation of the tumor edge allow for joint-sparing surgery in patients with osteosarcoma of the proximal tibia? Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2015, 473: 3204–3211.

16. Han K, Dang P, Bian N, *et al.* Is limb salvage with microwave-induced hyperthermia better than amputation for osteosarcoma of the distal tibia? Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2017, 475: 1668–1677.

17. Li N, Wei X, Zhang Z, Zhang Y. Use of microwave thermal ablation in management of skip metastases in extremity osteosarcomas. Cancer Manag Res, 2019, 11: 9843–9848.

 Fan QY, Zhou Y, Zhang M, et al. Microwave ablation of malignant extremity bone tumors. Springerplus, 2016, 5: 1373.
 Fan QY, Ma BA, Zhou Y, Zhang MH, Hao XB. Bone tumors of the extremities

19. Fan QY, Ma BA, Zhou Y, Zhang MH, Hao XB. Bone tumors of the extremities or pelvis treated by microwave-induced hyperthermia. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2003. 406: 165–175.

20. Gitelis S, Schajowicz F. Osteoid osteoma and osteoblastoma. Orthop Clin North Am, 1989, 20: 313–325.

21. Toni A, Calderoni P. Intracapsular metaphyseal osteoid osteoma of the femoral neck. Ital J Orthop Traumatol, 1983, 9: 501–506.

22. Hakim DN, Pelly T, Kulendran M, Caris JA. Benign tumours of the bone: a review. J Bone Oncol, 2015, 4: 37–41.

23. Prud'homme C, Nueffer JP, Runge M, *et al.* Prospective pilot study of CT-guided microwave ablation in the treatment of osteoid osteomas. Skeletal Radiol, 2017, 46: 315–323.

24. Kostrzewa M, Diezler P, Michaely H, et al. Microwave ablation of osteoid osteomas using dynamic MR imaging for early treatment assessment: preliminary experience. J Vasc Interv Radiol, 2014, 25: 106–111.

25. Kostrzewa M, Henzler T, Schoenberg SO, *et al*. Clinical and quantitative mri perfusion analysis of osteoid osteomas before and after microwave ablation. Anticancer Res, 2019, 39: 3053–3057.

26. Ji J, Hu Y, Xia Q, *et al.* The Clinical Applications of CT-Guided Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Hip Osteoid Osteoma. Zhong Hua Gu Ke Za Zhi, 2010, 30: 935–940.

27. Sahin C, Oc Y, Ediz N, Altınay M, Bayrak AH. The safety and the efficacy of computed tomography guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of osteoid osteoma. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc, 2019, 53: 360–365.

28. Foster RC, Stavas JM. Bone and soft tissue ablation. Semin Intervent Radiol, 2014, 31: 167–179.

29. Zheng K, Yu X, Hu Y, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of bone metastases: 583 cases report. Zhong Guo Lao Nian Gu Ke Yu Kang Fu Dian Zi Za Zhi, 2017, 3: 129–135.

30. Pusceddu C, Sotgia B, Fele RM, Ballicu N, Melis L. Combined microwave ablation and cementoplasty in patients with painful bone metastases at high risk of fracture. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol, 2016, 39: 74–80.

31. Wei Z, Zhang K, Ye X, *et al.* Computed tomography-guided percutaneous microwave ablation combined with osteoplasty for palliative treatment of painful extraspinal bone metastases from lung cancer. Skeletal Radiol, 2015, 44: 1485–1490.

32. Kinczewski L. Microwave ablation for palliation of bone metastases. Clin J Oncol Nurs, 2016, 20: 249–252.

33. Kurup AN, Callstrom MR. Ablation of skeletal metastases: current status. J Vasc Interv Radiol, 2010, 21: S242–S250.

Orthopaedic Surgery Volume 12 • Number 4 • August, 2020

 Gangi A, Tsoumakidou G, Buy X, Quoix E. Quality improvement guidelines for bone tumour management. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol, 2010, 33: 706–713.
 Perry BC, Monroe EJ, McKay T, Kanal KM, Shivaram G. Pediatric percutaneous

osteoid osteoma ablation: cone-beam CT with fluoroscopic overlay versus conventional CT guidance. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol, 2017, 40: 1593–1599. **36.** Cazzato RL, Garnon J, Shaygi B, et al. PET/CT-guided interventions: indications, advantages, disadvantages and the state of the art. Minim Invasive

Ther Allied Technol, 2018, 27: 27–32. **37.** Ringe KI, Panzica M, von Falck C. Thermoablation of bone tumors. Rofo, 2016, 188: 539–550.

 Kastler A, Alnassan H, Pereira PL, et al. Analgesic effects of microwave ablation of bone and soft tissue tumors under local anesthesia. Pain Med, 2013, 14: 1873–1881.

39. Lubner MG, Brace CL, Hinshaw JL, Lee FT Jr. Microwave tumor ablation: mechanism of action, clinical results, and devices. J Vasc Interv Radiol, 2010, 21: 5192–5203.

40. Gersing E, Krüger W, Osypka M, *et al*. Problems involved in temperature measurements using EIT. Physiol Meas, 1995, 16: A153–A160.

41. Hu Y, Lu S, Wang J, *et al.* The technique of microwave heliotherapy for limb salvage in malignant bone tumor. Zhong Hua Gu Ke Za Zhi, 2000, 20: 410–415. **42.** Li Y, Ma K, Liu W, *et al.* In situ microwave ablation for bone metastases. Zhong Guo Gu Yu Guan Jie Za Zhi, 2014, 3: 277–281.

43. Ren G, Shi Y, Wei X, *et al.* Effect of repeated microwave hyperthermia inactivation on distal femur giant cell tumor. Jie Fang Jun Yi Xue Yuan Xue Bao, 2013, 705: 680–682.

44. Ke J, Chen L, Chen X, *et al.* Treatment of the extremity long bone giant cell tumors with pathologic fracture by microwave hyperthermia. Zhong Guo Gu Yu Guan Jie Za Zhi, 2018, 7: 37–41.

45. Sun H, Yang T, Zhang M, *et al.* Treating methods of giant cell tumor of long bone: microwave hyperthermia and self-ilium, allogeneic bone particles and bone cement repair. Xian Dai Sheng Wu Yi Xue Jin Zhan, 2011, 12: 120–123.

46. de Baere T, Deschamps F. New tumor ablation techniques for cancer treatment (microwave, electroporation). Diagn Interv Imaging, 2014, 95: 677–682.

47. Inokuchi T, Ninomiya H, Hironaka R, Yoshida S, Araki M, Sano K. Studies on heat treatment for immediate reimplantation of resected bone. J Craniomaxillofac Surg, 1991, 19: 31–39.

48. Ye J, Xu K, Cai H, *et al*. Biomechanics of human cortical bone treated by microwave induced hyperthermia. Zhong Guo Sheng Wu Yi Xue Gong Cheng Xue Bao, 2004, 023: 15–20.

49. Hu Y, Wang J. The effects of microwave heating on the biomechanics of osseous tissue. Zhong Hua Gu Ke Za Zhi, 1997, 17: 645–648.

50. Fan Q, Ma B, Zhou Y, *et al.* Applying microwave hyperthermia in limb salvage surgery for malignant bone tumor of extremities. Zhong Guo Jiao Xing Wai Ke Za Zhi, 2009, 17: 801–806.

51. Böhm P, Stihler J. Intraosseous temperature during autoclaving. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 1995, 77: 649–653.

52. Knavel EM, Brace CL. Tumor ablation: common modalities and general practices. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol, 2013, 16: 192–200.

53. Zheng L, Fan Q, Qiu X, *et al.* A preliminary experimental study on optimum hyperthermic temperature for the treatment of osteogenic sarcoma. Zhong Hua Gu Ke Za Zhi, 1999, 3: 164–166.

54. Fan Q, Ma B, Zhou Y, *et al.* Clinical use of microwave-induced hyperthermia in the management of malignant or aggressive bone tumors of extremities. Di Si Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao, 1999, 20: 1024–1028.

55. Fan Q, Ma B, Zhou Y, *et al.* Treatment of malignant or highly aggressive bone tumors of pelvis by microwave-induced hyperthermia. Zhong Guo Jiao Xing Wai Ke Za Zhi, 2009, 17: 961–964.

56. Chen B, Wei X, Li N, *et al.* Microwave ablation for osteosarcoma in the long bones of the lower extremity: 15 cases report. Zhong Guo Gu Yu Guan Jie Za Zhi, 2014, 3: 272–276.

57. Cornman-Homonoff J, Miller ZA, Smirniotopoulos J, *et al.* Preoperative percutaneous microwave ablation of long bone metastases using a parallel

BONE TUMOR MICROWAVE ABLATION GUIDELINE

medullary approach for reduction of operative blood loss. J Vasc Interv Radiol, 2017, 28: 1069–1071.

58. Li N, Wei X, Chen B. In situ microwave ablation in the treatment of periacetabular metastases. Zhong Guo Gu Yu Guan Jie Za Zhi, 2013, 2: 340–344.
59. Sajadi KR, Heck RK, Neel MD, *et al.* The incidence and prognosis of

osteosarcoma skip metastases. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2004, 426: 92–96. **60.** Kager L, Zoubek A, Kastner U, *et al.* Skip metastases in osteosarcoma:

experience of the cooperative osteosarcoma study group. J Clin Oncol, 2006, 24: 1535–1541.

61. Yang P, Gilg M, Evans S, *et al.* Survival of osteosarcoma patients following diagnosis of synchronous skip metastases. J Orthop, 2020, 18: 121–125. **62.** Zhang Y, Yang X, Ke J, *et al.* Comparison of the short-term effectiveness of

microwave ablation with prosthesis replacement for limb bone tumor. Shi Yong Yi Xue Za Zhi, 2013, 29: 3336–3338.

63. Wang H, Tang C, Fan X, *et al.* Research of high precision of temperature measurement in microwave hyperthermia therapy. Zhong Guo Yi Liao Qi Xie Za Zhi, 2002, 26: 332–334.

64. Ai H, Wu S, Zhao L, et al. Key technologies and hot issues in microwave hyperthermia. Zhong Guo Zu Zhi Gong Cheng Yan Jiu, 2009, 13: 731–734.
65. Wren J. Evaluation of three temperature measurement methods used during microwave thermotherapy of prostatic enlargement. Int J Hyperthermia, 2004, 20: 300–316.

66. Yang X, Zhang Y, Zhang T, et *al*. Prevention and control strategies of common post-operative complications of microwave ablation in situ in treatment of bone tumors. Zhong Guo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi, 2012, 26: 70–73.

67. Zhou Y, Fan Q, Ma B, *et al.* Evaluation the limb and joint function of hyperthermia induced by inserted microwave antenna arrays to treatment the limb osteosarcoma. Zhong Guo Zu Zhi Gong Cheng Yan Jiu, 2006, 6: 1750–1751.

68. Zhang Y, Xu L, Huang H, et *al*. The initial application of microwave ablation in situ in limb salvage surgery for soft tissue tumors with bone invasion. Zhong Guo Gu Ke Lin Chuang Yu Ji Chu Yan Jiu Za Zhi, 2011, 3: 25–28.

69. Liu Z, Fan Q, Ma B, *et al*. Revascularization of the femur after microwave hyperthermic devitalization in adult dogs. Zhong Hua Gu Ke Za Zhi, 1998, 18: 682–685.

70. Zhang Y, Yao Z, Ke J, *et al.* Pathological analysis of the microwave ablation treatment of osteosarcoma in situ: a 43-month follow-up report after the operation. Zhong Guo Gu Yu Guan Jie Za Zhi, 2014, 3: 147–151.

71. Zheng K, Yu XC, Hu YC, et *al*. How to fill the cavity after curettage of giant cell tumors around the knee? A multicenter analysis. Chin Med J, 2017, 130: 2541–2546.

72. Mjöberg B, Pettersson H, Rosenqvist R, Rydholm A. Bone cement, thermal injury and the radiolucent zone. Acta Orthop Scand, 1984, 55: 597–600.

73. Chen Y, Yu X. Efficacy of a modified scoring system to facilitate surgical decision-making for diaphyseal malignancies: when is devitalized tumor-bearing autograft of value? Orthop Surg, 2019, 11: 586–594.

74. Ma L, Zhou Y, Zhu Y, *et al.* 3D printed personalized titanium plates improve clinical outcome in microwave ablation of bone tumors around the knee. Sci Rep, 2017, 7: 7626.

75. Jiang P, Li J. The causes, prevention and treatment for complications in the limb salvage operations for malignant bone tumors. Lin Chuang Gu Ke Za Zhi, 2005, 8: 116–120.

76. Enneking WF, Spanier SS, Goodman MA. A system for the surgical staging of musculoskeletal sarcoma. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 1980, 153: 106–120.

77. Jeys LM, Thorne CJ, Parry M, Gaston CLL, Sumathi VP, Grimer JR. A novel system for the surgical staging of primary high-grade

osteosarcoma: the Birmingham classification. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2017, 475: 842–850.

78. Li J, Wang Z, Ji C, Chen G, Liu D, Zhu H. What are the oncologic and functional outcomes after joint salvage resections for juxtaarticular osteosarcoma about the knee? Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2017, 475: 2095–2104.