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#### Abstract

Two novel series of RGD-MEKI conjugates derived from a MEK1/2 kinase inhibitor-PD0325901—have been developed for integrin receptor mediated anticancer therapy. The first series, alkoxylamine analog RGD-MEKI conjugates 9a-g showed anti-proliferation activity in melanoma A375 cells by the same mechanism as that of PD0325901. PEGylation increased the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $\mathbf{9 f}$ three-fold in the A375 assay, and the multi-cRGD peptide cargo significantly improved the receptor specific anti-proliferation activity of $\mathbf{9 g}$ in integrin-overexpressing U87 cells. In the second series, RGD-PD0325901 13 exhibited significantly increased antitumor properties compared to the alkoxylamine analogs by both inhibition of the ERK pathway activity and DNA replication of the cancer cells. Furthermore, $\mathbf{1 3}$ displayed more potent anti-proliferation activity in the U87 assay than PD0325901 in a dose-dependent manner. All these data demonstrate that RGD-MEKI conjugates with an ester bond linkage enhanced anticancer efficacy with improved targeting capability toward integrin-overexpressing tumor cells.
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#### Abstract

Abbreviations

MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal regulated kinase; ERK, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase; pERK, phosphor-ERK; HTRF, homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SRB, sulforhodamine B; PyBOP, benzotriazole-1-yl-oxy-tris-pyrrolidino-phosphonium hexafluoro phosphate; NHS, N-hydroxy succinimide; DCC, $N$, $N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexyl-carbodiimide; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, methylene chloride; FBS, fetal bovine serum; BCA, bicinchoninic acid; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PVDF membrane, polyvinylidenedifluoride membrane; PBST, phosphate buffered saline Tween-20.


## 1. Introduction

The RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling cascade plays an important role in normal cell biological process, and is activated in many human tumors to mediate tumor progression and metastasis [1,2]. MEK1/2 kinases are downstream effectors of the ERK pathway. These dual-specificity protein kinases are considered as the important targets for new cancer drug development and have attracted much attention of medicinal chemists over the years [3-6]. PD0325901 is an oral active and selective second generation non-ATP-competitive MEK inhibitor. In the past decade, PD0325901 has shown great promise to treat advanced malignancies, such as melanoma, breast, lung (NSCLC), colon cancer, and papillary thyroid cancer [7-9]. However, many clinical studies revealed unacceptable ocular and neurologic toxicities, and its use as second-line therapy was stopped. We hypothesized that tumor-targeted modification of PD0325901, aiming at improving the tumor uptake and accumulation of this inhibitor, would reduce the undesired systemic toxicity and improve its therapeutic effect.

Peptide-drug conjugation is one of the most promising strategies to overcome the undesired side effects of anti-cancer drugs by the selective delivery of drug conjugates to tumor cells [10]. These peptides recognize and bind to specific receptors with high-affinity, on the tumor-cell surfaces. With subsequent internalization (via receptor-mediated endocytosis) of the ligand-receptor complex, drugs covalently linked to the peptide ligands travel into the targeted tumor cells to exhibit inhibition activity. Among various types of targeting peptides, the ligands containing RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) amino acid sequences usually have a high affinity for integrin $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ receptors, membrane proteins abundant on several classes of cancer cells, widely used as carrying vehicles to deliver anti-cancer drugs [11]. In addition, these ligands also worked for integrin targeted delivery of gene therapeutics to tumors with overexpressing integrin receptors [12]. We herein report the conjugation of PD0325901 and its modified analogs with integrin $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ receptor specific peptides $c($ RGDyk ) for the MEK $1 / 2$ inhibition in integrin-overexpressing tumor.

Based on the reported X-ray co-crystal structure of a close analogue of PD0325901 with its kinase target, the halo-substituted diphenylamine core and hydroxamate oxygens show important H -bonding interactions with the residues in an allosteric pocket of the target kinase [13], while the dihydroxy propylhydroxamate side chain which showed the improved microsomal stability and solubility of PD0325901, is not directly involved in PD0325901's binding activity [14,15], and therefore, could be modified by peptide conjugation. In the first series of peptide-drug conjugates, an amine was introduced
into PD0325901derivatives (MEKIs, MEK inhibitors) to allow conjugation to the targeting moiety-cRGD peptide via an amide bonds. In order to evaluate the kinase inhibiting and receptor recognizing property of the RGD-MEKI conjugate, a group of MEKIs and RGD-MEKI conjugates with variable chain length were initially evaluated by the introduction of $2-5$ carbon linker between the hydroxamate group and the amide group (Figure 1).

Figure 1. The structures of two series of RGD-PD0325901 conjugates.


We also developed another type of RGD-PD0325901 conjugate with unmodified drug structure and an ester linkage. The hydroxyl group of dihydroxypropyl hydroxamate side chain was carboxylated with succinic anhydride for the tumor targeting peptide cRGD's conjugation. All the conjugates $\mathbf{9 a - h}$ and 13 were investigated for their biological properties, including inhibition to phosphorylated and unphosphorylated MEK1 kinase, ERK pathway inhibition, and anti-proliferation effects.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Development of the RGD-MEKI Conjugates with Alkoxylamine Analog MEKIs

### 2.1.1. Synthesis of the Alkoxylamine Analog Conjugates 9a-g

The synthesis of alkoxylamine analog MEKIs started with preparation of the side chains. As shown in Scheme 1, five side chains $\mathbf{5 a - e}$ were synthesized in three steps. After amine protection with ( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 2a [16], the hydroxyl group in 2-aminoethanol 3a was transformed into an 2-alkoxylamine through Mitsunobu reaction and the subsequent hydrazine reduction [17]. Using the same method, the five alkoxylamine analogues 5a-e were prepared (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 5a-e.


| 2a, $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ | 3a, 83\% | 4a, 68\% | 5a, 80\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b, $\mathrm{X}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-$ | 3b, $87 \%$ | 4b, 95\% | 5b, 70\% |
| 2c, $\mathrm{X}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ - | 3c, $96 \%$ | 4c, $60 \%$ | 5c, 91\% |
| 2d, $\mathrm{X}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ - | 3d, $95 \%$ | 4d, $75 \%$ | 5d, 80\% |
| 2e, $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - | 3e, 90\% | 4e, $70 \%$ | 5e, 72\% |

[^0]According to the previous procedure, coupling of the side chain 5a with 3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4iodophenyl)amino)benzoic acid (1) was then performed. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ and side chain $\mathbf{5 a}$ were treated with PyBOP in the presence of DIPEA in a mixture of THF and DCM, followed by the removal of the Boc group. PD0325901 analogue $7 \mathbf{a}$ was obtained in $68 \%$ yield (two steps) [15,18]. Derivation of the terminal amine group of 7awith succinic anhydride provided the hemisuccinate ester 8a in $85 \%$ yield [19]. The peptide conjugation reaction was initiated from the activation of $\mathbf{8 a}$ with PyBOP in the presence of DIPEA, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{c}($ RGDyk ) peptide, which afforded RGD-MEKI conjugate $\mathbf{9 a}$ in $45 \%$ yield. Other peptide conjugates $\mathbf{9 b - e}$ were similarly synthesized using the same synthetic route (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of conjugates 9a-e.


Reagents and conditions: (a) DIPEA, PyBOP, $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 10 h ; (b) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) Succinic anhydride, Pyridine, rt, 1 h ; (d) $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{PyBOP}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{RGDyK}), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$.

Based on a receptor-mediated endocytosis mechanism, peptide carriers with higher receptor-binding affinities should greatly promote the inhibitory activity and specificity of RGD-MEKI conjugates for tissue selective targeted cancer treatment. Multimeric cRGD peptides, such as $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{RGDyK})_{2}\right]$ (dimer-cRGD) and $\mathrm{E}\left\{\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{RGDyK})_{2}\right]_{2}\right\}$ (tetra-cRGD) showed much better tumor targeting capability with higher tumor uptake and longer tumor retention times by compared with their monomeric RGD peptide conjugates [20,21]. Moreover, the PEG linkers are often used in peptide conjugation to increase both the distance and the hydrophilicity of the conjugates. After brief screening of several synthetic methods, a two-step route was finally used for the synthesis of dimer-cRGD and cRGD-PEG4 conjugated RGD-MEKI analogs. As shown in Scheme 3, compound 8b was transformed into the MEKI-succinimydyl ester (MEKI-OSu) 10 in the condition of NHS ( $N$-hydroxy succinimide) and DCC in DMF, which then reacted with dimer-cRGD and cRGD-PEG 4 in base condition to give the corresponding conjugates $\mathbf{9 f}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$. In the previous direct activation synthetic method, the dimer-cRGD unit with two free carboxylic acids could be activated by the coupling reagents, leading to a complex reaction mixture. The bio-conjugating method using the activated ester of MEKI 10b avoided the side reactions and gave dimer-cRGD conjugate $\mathbf{9 f}$ in moderate yield.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of compounds 9 f (dimer-RGD conjugate), 9g (PEGylated RGD conjugate), and 9 h (scrambled peptide-RAD conjugate).


Reagents and conditions: (a) NHS, DCC, DMF, rt, 24 h ; (b) $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DMF}$, dimer-cRGD or cRGD-PEG4, rt, 2 h.

### 2.1.2. Kinase-Inhibiting Activity Study of Conjugates 9a-g

The kinase-inhibiting activity of RGD-MEKI conjugates $\mathbf{9 a - g}$ with alkoxylamine side chains were initially evaluated by Homogenous Time Resolved Fluorescence (HTRF) kinase assays as presented in Table 1. In HTRF phosphor-MEK1 assay, conjugates $9 \mathbf{9}-\mathbf{d}$ showed higher activity in inhibiting the phosphorylation and activation of inactive MEK1 than in inhibiting the activity of activated MEK1 in the HTRF phosphor-ERK2 assay. The order of inhibition values of $\mathbf{9 a - d}$ were $\mathbf{9 d}>\mathbf{9 c}>\mathbf{9 b}>\mathbf{9 a}$ in both assays, indicating that the kinase inhibitory property of the conjugates was only slightly affected by the spacer length between the cRGD vehicle and kinase inhibitor units. Although the activity of these conjugates was not as good as PD0325901 (PD0325901: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.6 \mathrm{nM}, 9 \mathrm{~b}$ : $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=47.9 \mathrm{nM}$, in BRAF-MEK1 assay), they worked as the same non-ATP-competitive inhibition fashion and also similar to the unconjugated compounds. Compared to the mono-cRGD conjugates $\mathbf{9 b}$, which has the same MEKIs part, the PEGylated conjugate 9 g exhibited increasing activity, and the dimer-cRGD conjugate $\mathbf{9 f}$ showed similar activity as $\mathbf{9 b}$ (Table 1).

Table 1. HTRF phosphor-MEK1 assay and HTRF phosphor-ERK2 assay results for PD0325901 and RGD-MEKI conjugates $\mathbf{9 a - d , ~ 9 f , ~ 9 g ~ a n d ~} \mathbf{1 3}$.

| Compound | BRAF-MEK1 assay (\%) $^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |  | MEK1-ERK2 assay (\%) $^{\mathbf{b}}$ |  | A375 cell $^{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | IC $_{50}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ |
| $\mathbf{P D 0 3 2 5 9 0 1}$ | $97.3 \pm 1.9$ | $95.0 \pm 1.4$ | $94.0 \pm 1.4$ | $100.6 \pm 0.4$ | $100.0 \pm 1.5$ | 0.00045 |
| $\mathbf{9 a}$ | $74.2 \pm 7.2$ | $30.0 \pm 4.7$ | $0.3 \pm 0.0$ | $88.4 \pm 1.4$ | $66.5 \pm 7.7$ | 4.4 |
| $\mathbf{9 b}$ | $77.6 \pm 7.5$ | $58.5 \pm 8.5$ | $6.7 \pm 8.5$ | $93.5 \pm 2.2$ | $69.9 \pm 3.2$ | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 c}$ | $91.5 \pm 0.7$ | $48.5 \pm 9.5$ | $38.9 \pm 10$ | $99.5 \pm 0.7$ | $63.8 \pm 2.9$ | 4.0 |
| $\mathbf{9 d}$ | $97.9 \pm 2.4$ | $46.0 \pm 12.5$ | $26.1 \pm 15$ | $99.9 \pm 1.4$ | $80.5 \pm 2.0$ | 5.6 |
| $\mathbf{8 b}$ | $57.5 \pm 1.7$ | $39.6 \pm 9.3$ | $12.5 \pm 3.2$ | $83.4 \pm 1.2$ | $29.1 \pm 12.0$ | 14.2 |
| $\mathbf{9 g}$ | $78.8 \pm 4.4$ | $39.0 \pm 7.2$ | $25.9 \pm 11$ | $91.8 \pm 2.5$ | $40.6 \pm 5.5$ | 0.65 |
| $\mathbf{9 f}$ | $80.5 \pm 2.9$ | $42.6 \pm 5.6$ | $39.0 \pm 7.4$ | $95.0 \pm 1.0$ | $49.1 \pm 7.8$ | 3.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $95.7 \pm 1.9$ | $95.1 \pm 0.9$ | $48.4 \pm 3.2$ | - | - | 0.0176 |

[^1]
### 2.1.3. In Vitro Anti-Proliferation Assay of Conjugates 9a-g

In vitro properties of RGD-MEKI conjugates 9a-d were evaluated against melanoma A375 cells by the SRB method. A375 cells which harbor activating BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ mutation (the valine 600 to glutamate mutation of BRAF kinase) with higher ERK pathway activity are exquisitely sensitive to PD0325901 treatment. All the conjugates exhibited moderate cytotoxicity in A375 cell-proliferation assay in which 9b exhibited a better inhibition activity (Table 1).Although increasing the space length between the modified PD0325901 and peptide cargo is beneficial to the kinase activity of alkoxylamine analog conjugates, we considered that a longer carbon chain would decrease the aqueous solubility of conjugates, and thus influence the conjugate's cell-based activity. In an effort to combine long chain length with better hydrophilicity, the RGD-MEKI conjugate $\mathbf{9 e}$ was designed by using an ethoxyethyl amine unit instead of the alkoxylamine structure. However, $9 \mathbf{e}$ showed much lower activity than its carbon chain homologues 9 d in cytotoxicity assay.

The cytotoxic potency and selectivity of conjugates $\mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 f}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$ were measured against three different tumor cell lines, including A375, U87 (glioblastoma) and A549 (NSCLC). Different from melanoma A375, U87 cells were found to be moderately sensitive to PD0325901, while A549 was the lowest. As shown in Figure 2, $\mathbf{9 f}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$ exhibited selective cytotoxicity against the tested carcinoma cell lines (A375 > U87 > A549) as the same order observed in PD0325901. As shown in Table 1 and Figure 2B, the hydrophilic $\mathrm{PEG}_{4}$ linker improved the cytotoxic potency of $\mathbf{9 g}$ with a sub- $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IC} 50$ value $(0.65 \pm 0.03 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in A375 proliferation assay, which is 3 -fold more potent than its homolog $9 \mathbf{b}$ $(2.1 \pm 0.8 \mu \mathrm{M})$. This indicated that longer and hydrophilic spacer between MEKI and peptide cargo was beneficial for the ligand-to-receptor-binding and inhibitor-kinase-interaction. However, in the less sensitive A549 proliferation assay $\mathbf{9 b}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$ were found to exhibit similar lower growth-inhibitory activity as PD0325901.

Although the dimer-cRGD conjugate $9 f\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.0 \pm 1.3 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was found to be less potent than the monomer conjugate analog $9 \mathbf{b}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.1 \pm 0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ in A375 proliferation assay, it showed the best U87 cell growth-inhibiting activity in all alkoxylamine analogs RGD-MEKIs, much better than mono- RGD conjugate ( ${ }^{*} p<0.05$ ). Especially, its activity was as good as that of PD0325901 at a high concentration $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. U-87 cells, human neuronal glioblastoma cells, expressed a high level of integrin $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ receptors on cell surface with a ratio of receptors/cell (cell receptor density) up to ( $1.28 \pm$ $0.46) \times 10^{5}$ [22]. We considered that the better receptor-binding affinity exhibited by the dimer-cRGD conjugate $\mathbf{9 f}$ could enhance its antitumor activity against the receptor overexpressed U87 cells. This specific receptor-mediated tumor inhibition activity could be blocked by the scramble peptide$\mathrm{c}($ RADyK ) conjugated MEKI-9h (Scheme 3). As shown in Figure 2, the A375 cell-growth inhibition was decreased from $81.4 \%(9 b)$ to $25.1 \%(9 h)(* * p<0.01)$, and the U 87 cell-growth inhibition was decreased from $21.3 \%$ ( 9 b) to $5.4 \%$ (9h), respectively.

Figure 2. (A) Cytotoxicity study of RGD-MEKI conjugates $\mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 f}-\mathbf{h}$, compared with the parent drug (PD0325901) and MEKI-8b on different tumor cell lines (melanoma-A375, glioblastoma-U87, NSCLC-A549) at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration by the SRB method. (B) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $\mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 f}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$ in A375 cells. The effect of cRGD peptide cargo on conjugates was analyzed by student's $t$-test, and (*) indicates $p$ value $<0.05,(* *)$ indicates $p$ value $<0.01$.
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### 2.1.4. Inhibition of ERK Pathway

Inhibition of the ERK phosphorylation has been proposed as a primary biomarker of MEK inhibition activity [23,24]. As exhibited in Figure 3A, western blotting analyses showed that up to $90 \%$ inhibition of the phosphorylation of ERK $1 / 2$ protein $(44 / 42 \mathrm{kDa})$ was observed in conjugate $\mathbf{9 b}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$-treated A375 cells, which is the same as the parent drug, while the cRGD peptides themselves exhibited no inhibitory activity (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Western blotting analyses of melanoma A375 cells lysates were performed for p-ERK1/2 after 2 h . B-actin served as loading control. Cells were treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of PD0325901, $\mathbf{c}$ (RGDyK) peptides, and RGD-MEKI conjugates $\mathbf{9 b}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$.


### 2.1.5. The Cell Cycle Analysis of Conjugates

Although these newly developed alkoxylamine analogs MEKIs conjugates did not show attractive tumor-inhibition properties, multivalent RGD ligands enhanced receptor-mediated drug endocytosis in receptor over-expressed tumor cells, as evidenced by $\mathbf{9 f}$, and PEGylation improved the cell-based antiproliferation properties of conjugate $\mathbf{9 g}$. Cell-cycle analysis revealed that A375 cell-growth reduction was associated with loss of cells in S and G2 phase (Figure 4). Cell proliferation is regulated during the first pause in the cell cycle at the G1 checkpoint.

Figure 4. RGD-MEKI induced cell cycle arrest in melanoma A375 cells.


Cells were treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of PD0325901 and RGD-MEKI for 24 h , stained with PI and subjected to FACS analysis.

We tried to improve the conjugate's hydrophilicity in $\mathbf{9 e}$ and $\mathbf{9 f}$, but $\mathbf{9 e}$ showed a decreased activity compared to its chain analog 9d. We suggest that 9e's poor performance might be attributed to the unfavored side-chain modification. As compared with the side-chain structure of the lead compound PD0325901, the hydroxyl methylene group in PD0325901 was replaced by an oxygen atom in conjugate $9 \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.5 \pm 0.16 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, in which, the former unit can act as the hydrogen-bond donor, while the latter one can only act as the hydrogen-bond acceptor. The relative lower biological activity of conjugate 9 e might be due to the modified electron density, or more specific to the inverted hydrogen bonding potential. Thus, we suggest that C2-hydroxy group of dihydroxypropyl side chain might be essential to PD0325901's biological activity, not only by increasing its aqueous solubility. Further exploration of structural requirements led to the synthesis of the RGD-PD0325901 direct conjugate 13, in which, the terminal hydroxyl group of PD0325901 was carboxylated with succinic anhydride for the tumor-targeting peptide cRGD's conjugation.

### 2.2. Development of the RGD-PD0325901 Direct Conjugates $\mathbf{1 3}$

### 2.2.1. Synthesis of Conjugate 13

Following the previous procedure, PD0325901 1 was transformed into the hemisuccinate ester 11 by reacting with succinic anhydride and pyridine. Subsequent activation of the free carboxylic acid with NHS ( $N$-hydroxy succinimide) and DCC in DMF, followed by the reaction with c (RGDyk) gave the corresponding conjugates $\mathbf{1 3}$ in a moderate yield (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Synthesis of the RGD-PD0325901 direct conjugate 13.


Reagents and conditions: (a) Succinic anhydride, pyridine, rt, 1 h; (b) NHS, DCC, DMF, rt, 24 h; (c) iPr${ }_{2}$ NEt, DMF, rt, 2 h.

### 2.2.2. In Vitro Biological Evaluation of Conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$

To our delight, this new RGD-MEKI conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ showed potent enzymatic activity in phosphor-MEK1 assay (Table 1), and also displayed comparable cellular activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=17.6 \mathrm{nM}$ ) to its parent drug-PD0325901 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.47 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ in the A375 proliferation assay (Figure 5A). Without the cRGD cargo, its analogue $\mathbf{1 1}$ lost almost 10 -fold antitumor efficacy ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 230 nM ) compared to $\mathbf{1 3}$, Furthermore, conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ exhibited higher anti-proliferative activity than PD0325901 in U87 glioblastoma cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $2.38 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while PD0325901 showed around $50 \%$ inhibitory activity at high concentrations $(>1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a concentration-independent manner(Figure 5B). All these results demonstrated that the RGD-PD0325901 conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ enters tumor cells through a receptor-mediated mechanism in integrin $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$-overexpressing cells.

Figure 5. Cytotoxicity study of conjugate 13, compared with parent drug (PD0325901) and MEKI (11) on both melanoma A375 (A, IC 50 $_{50}$ value) and glioblastoma-U87 (B) cells after 72h treatment by the SRB method.
A

B

(**) indicates significant deference between conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ and parent drug PD0325901 ( $p$ value $<0.01$ ). (\#\#) indicates $p$ value $<0.01$.

Consistent with its anti-proliferation effects, the conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ showed a dose-dependent $\mathrm{pERK} 1 / 2$ suppression in A375 cells (Figure 6A), with up to $90 \%$ inhibition of pERK1/2 protein at $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration. This result was in agreement with the inhibition manner of DNA replication. As shown in Figure 6B, conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$ inhibited DNA replication of A375 cells with the same efficiency as the parent drug PD0325901.

Figure 6. (A) Western blots analyses of melanoma A375 cells lysates were performed for p-ERK $1 / 2$ after $2 h^{*}$. (B) DNA replication study of $\mathbf{9 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ on A375 cells by EdU method *.


B

*A Total ERK1/2 served as loading control. Cells were treated with 1 uM of PD0325901 and different concentrations of conjugate 13. *B EdU (5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine) was stained cells (red) after 24 h drug treatment. The nuclei of total cells were stained with Hochest 33342 (blue).

In order to increase the therapeutic efficacy of PD0325901 while decreasing it systemic toxicity, the cleavable carboxylic acid ester bond was introduced into the RGD-MEKI conjugates. In our study, this conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ exhibited specific and potent activity in MEK enzyme inhibition, anti-cell-proliferation, p-ERK-1/2 inhibition, and DNA replication inhibition. All these data demonstrate that conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ was potential MEK $1 / 2$ kinase inhibitor with improved tumor targeting capability. The ester bond of molecules can be hydrolyzed by either blood or cellular esterases. How stable the conjugate is in blood circulation system and if it can be cleaved to release drug in target cancer cells are two important
properties of prodrugs. In the further research, we will focus on the study to answer these two questions as well as the pharmacological activity study of RGD-MEKI conjugate.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. General Experimental Conditions

All reactions were run under an inert atmosphere $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ with flame-dried glassware using standard techniques for manipulating air-sensitive compounds. Commercial reagents were used as supplied or purified by standard techniques where necessary. THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were used fresh distilled over sodium/benzophenone or calcium hydride respectively. The cyclic RGD peptides, such as $c$ (RGDyk), $\mathrm{c}($ RGDyk $)-\mathrm{PEG}_{4}$ (cRGD-PEG44), $\mathrm{c}($ RADyk $)$, and $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{c}(\text { RGDyK })_{2}\right]$ (dimer-cRGD) were purchased from GL Biochem (Shanghai) Ltd., with the purity higher than $95 \%$. Column chromatography was performed using 200-300 mesh silica with the proper solvent system according to TLC analysis using $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain and UV light to visualize the reaction components. Unless otherwise noted, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 400 MHz ), ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR spectra ( 376 MHz ) were recorded at ambient temperature using a BrukerAvance III 400 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhecity, Germany). NMR data were reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet and $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet), coupling constant in Hz and integration. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane. IR spectra were recorded on a FTIR spectrometer (IR Prestige-21, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) in KBr pellets and reported in reciprocal centimeters $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Low-resolution MS and HRMS data were obtained using ESI ionization (Bruker DALTONICS APEX IV 70e, Germeringcity, Germany). All melting points were taken with an X-6melting point apparatus (Beijing Focus Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and are reported without correction. Analytical as well as semi-preparative reversedphase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) were performed on a LC3000 Binary chromatography system with a UV3000 UV-VIS Detector (CXTH, Beijing Chuangxintongheng Science \& Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The semi-preparative HPLC was performed with a Daisogel C18 $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ 100A column ( 10 micron, $250 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The flow was $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, with the mobile phase starting from $95 \%$ solvent A $(0.1 \%$ TFA in water) and $5 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{B}(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ in acetonitrile) ( $0-5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to $5 \%$ solvent A and $95 \%$ solvent B at 45 min . Analytical HPLC was performed using the same gradient system, but with a Gemini $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C18 110A column ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and flow of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Ultraviolet (UV) absorbance was monitored at 254 nm .

### 3.2. Chemistry

### 3.2.1. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Tert-butyl-(2-hydroxyethyl)carbamate 3a and Its Derivatives (3b-e) [25-29]

To a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}$ (or $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{- e}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of dioxane ( 15 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirred at rt overnight, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was extracted from $10 \%$ citric acid with AcOEt, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvents gave the pure product 3a-e. 3a was obtained as a colorless oil $(2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$.
3.2.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Tert-butyl-(2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)oxy)ethyl) carbamate 4a and Its Derivatives (4b-e) [30-33]

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 a}$ ( or $\mathbf{3 b} \mathbf{e}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-hydroxy-phthalimide ( $1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenylphosphine ( $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was added diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD, $1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction was concentrated and purified by column chromatography to give the product $\mathbf{4 a - e} . \mathbf{4 a}$ was obtained as a white solid ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ).
3.2.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Tert-butyl-(2-(aminooxy)ethyl) carbamate 5a and Its Derivatives (5b-e) [32-34]

To a solution of $\mathbf{4 a}$ ( or $\mathbf{4 b}-\mathbf{e}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 10 mL ), was added hydrazine ( $187 \mathrm{uL}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in drop. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt. until the reaction was completed as judged by TLC. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography to give the product $\mathbf{5 a - e . ~ 5 a}$ was obtained as a white solid $(817 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$.
3.2.4. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Tert-butyl-(2-((3,4-difluoro-2-(2-fluoro-4-iodobenzyl) benzamido)oxy)ethyl)carbamate 6a and Its Derivatives ( $\mathbf{6 b - e}$ )

To a stirring mixture comprised of 3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzoic acid ( $755 \mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{5 a}$ (or $\mathbf{5 b} \mathbf{- e}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 40 mL of 1:1 ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) THF-DCM, was added directly the benzotriazole-1-yl-oxy-tris-pyrrolidino-phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP) $(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon atmosphere overnight. The mixture was concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The oil was partitioned between diethyl ether ( 60 mL ) and $10 \%$ aqueous hydrochloric acid ( 45 mL ). The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 40 mL ) and brine ( 45 mL ), and then dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified through column chromatography to give the product $\mathbf{6 a - e}$.

Tert-butyl-(2-((3,4-difluoro-2-(2-fluoro-4-iodobenzyl)benzamido)oxy)ethyl)carbamate (6a). 6a was obtained as a brown solid ( $592 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). Mp. $61.2-63.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1666,1602,1494,1288,1166$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.44(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-127.78,-129.53$, -142.35 ; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 552.0607$; found, 552.0601 .

Tert-butyl(3-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)propyl)carbamate (6b).
$\mathbf{6 b}$ was obtained as a white solid ( $82 \%$ yield); mp. $138.5-139.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1681,1602,1496,1276$, 1168; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.97(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.33-3.30 (m, 2H), 1.84-1.78 (m, 2H), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-127.99,-129.13$, $-142.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 566.0764$; found, 566.0745.

Tert-butyl(4-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)butyl)carbamate (6c). $\mathbf{6 c}$ was obtained as a brown solid; yield $70 \%$; mp. $125.1-127.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1683,1602,1498,1276$, $1170 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.74(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.94-3.92 (m, 2H), 3.15-3.13 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.54 (m, 4H), $1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-127.59,-130.39,-142.35 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 580.0920$; found, 580.0892 .

Tert-butyl(5-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4- iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)pentyl)carbamate (6d). $\mathbf{6 d}$ was obtained as a white solid ( $70 \%$ yield); mp. $133.1-135.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1681,1602,1496,1276$, $1168 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.17(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz , DMSO) $\delta-127.82,-130.17,-142.63$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$594.1077; found, 594.1037.

Tert-butyl(2-(2-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)ethoxy)ethyl) carbamate (6e). 6e was obtained as a brown solid ( $74 \%$ yield); mp. $78.5-79.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1681,1602,1496$, 1278,$1068 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.16(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (dd, $J=10.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}$, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.71 (t, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.54 (t, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (t, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-127.57,-130.74,-142.26$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 596.0869$; found, 596.0827.
3.2.5. General Procedure for the Synthesis of N-(2-aminoethoxy)-3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4iodophenyl)amino)benzamide 7a and Its Derivatives (7b-e)

To a solution of $\mathbf{6 a}$ ( or $\mathbf{6 b}-\mathbf{e}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trifluoroacetic acid ( 5 mL , $50 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \%$ ), and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and was then basified to $\mathrm{pH}>7.0$ by the addition of aqueous NaOH . The organic phase was then sequencely washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and brine, was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and was concentrated and purified by column chromatography to give the product $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e}$.

N-(2-aminoethoxy)-3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamide (7a). 7a was obtained as a white solid ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ); mp. 186.5-187.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1683, 1496, 1288, 1136, $1047 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO) $\delta-130.81,-136.27,-146.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 452.0083; found, 452.0065.

N-(3-aminopropoxy)-3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamide (7b). 7b was gave as a white solid ( $90 \%$ yield); mp. 197.5-198.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1602, 1496, 1327, 1122, 1045 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta-128.52,-132.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -144.53 (d, $J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 466.0239$; found, 466.0225.

N-(4-aminobutoxy)-3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamide (7c). 7c was obtained as a brown solid ( $95 \%$ yield); mp. 146.7-147.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1602, 1496, 1290, 1138, 1045; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz , DMSO) $\delta-131.47,-140.30,-146.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$480.0396; found, 480.0372.

N-((5-aminopentyl)oxy)-3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamide (7d). 7d was obtained as a white solid ( $72 \%$ yield); mp. $88.5-89.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1678,1496,1276,1203,1060 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 11.81$ (br, 1H), 8.71 (br, 1H), 7.73 (br, 1H), 7.59 (dd, $J=10.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.36$ (m, 2H), $7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta-129.61,-134.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}),-145.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 494.0552$; found, 494.0540 .

N-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4- difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamide (7e). 7e was obtained as a white solid ( $75 \%$ yield); mp. $197.5-198.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1598,1494,1354,1288,1124$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97 (t, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta-130.92,-136.75,-146.75$ (d, $J=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$496.0345; found, 496.0318.
3.2.6. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 4-((2-((3,4-Difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino) benzamido)oxy)ethyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoic Acid 8a and Its Derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 b}-\mathbf{e}$ )

A solution of $\mathbf{7 a}$ (or $\mathbf{7 b} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and succinic anhydride ( $470 \mathrm{mg}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 7 mL ) was stirred for 30 min . The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and then washed with 1 M HCl . The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and was concentrated and purified by column chromatography to give the product $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{e}$.

4-((2-((3,4-Difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)ethyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoic acid (8a). 8a was obtained as a white solid (168 mg, $85 \%$ ); mp. $96.5-98.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1714,1631 , $1604,1496,1278 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.51(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO) $\delta-129.02,-133.97,-145.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 552.0243; found, 552.0203.

4-((3-((3,4-Difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)propyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoic acid ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). 8b was obtained as a white solid ( $90 \%$ yield); mp. $161.5-162.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1726,1645,1604$, 1494, 1280; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.55(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
6.55-6.49 (m, 1H), 3.97-3.95 (m, 2H), 3.40-3.36(m, 2H), 2.61-2.53 (m, 4H), 1.76-1.73 (m, 2H); ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta-129.05,-134.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}),-145.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 566.0399$; found, 566.0377.

4-((4-((3,4-Difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)butyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoic acid ( $\mathbf{8 c}$ ). 8c was obtained as a white solid ( $92 \%$ yield); mp. $120.9-121.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1716,1647 , 1606, 1496, 1278; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 11.67$ (br, 1H), 8.71 (br, 1H), 7.83 (br, 1H), 7.57 $(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.70-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta-129.04,-134.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-145.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 19.2 Hz ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 580.0556$; found, 580.0530 .

4-((5-((3,4-Difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)pentyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoic acid (8d). 8d was obtainedas a white solid ( $95 \%$ yield); mp. $186.5-187.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1714 , 1651 , $1608,1496,1278 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O$ ) $\delta 11.76(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.50$ (m, 2H), 1.40-1.31 (m, 4H); ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\left.376 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) ~ \delta-129.07,-134.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-145.349(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$594.0712; found, 594.0695.

1-(3,4-Difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)phenyl)-1,10-dioxo-3,6-dioxa-2,9-diazatridecan-13oic acid (8e). 8e was obtainedas a white solid ( $90 \%$ yield); mp. 135.1-136.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 1714,1651 , $1608,1496,1280 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 11.87(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.69-6.64 (m, 1H), $3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta-129.10,-134.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-145.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 596.0505$; found, 596.0482.
3.2.7. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl4-((3-(3,4- difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenylamino)benzamido)propyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoate 10

To a solution of 4-((3-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino)benzamido)oxy)propyl) amino)-4-oxobutanoic acid $\mathbf{8 b}(282 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of (DMF) were added NHS $(0.126 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCC $(0.226 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h . The dicyclohexylurea (DCU) by-product was filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness under vacuum to give a crude product, which was then taken up in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The insoluble solid was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography to give the product 10 as a white solid ( 281 mg , yield $85 \%$ ); mp. $103.5-104.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $1737,1653,1590,1497,1496$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.24(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-128.49,-129.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -142.57 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IKN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+} 685.0173$; found, 685.0355 .

### 3.2.8. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Conjugate $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b - e}$

A solution of 8a ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), PyBOP ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), diisopropylethylamine ( $0.52 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was stirred for 30 min at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the cyclo(RGDyK) $(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the solution and stirred until the peptide was completely disappeared. The desired product was isolated by semipreparative HPLC. The collected fractions were combined and lyophilized to give a fluffy white powder 9a. The yield was $1.0 \mathrm{mg}(45 \%)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+} 1151.3059$; found, 1151.3623. The conjugate 9a was purified on a LC3000 HPLC system with a UV3000 UV-VIS Detector (CXTH) using a Daisogel C-18, $30 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 100 \AA$ column. Elution was performed by a linear gradient with an increase of $2.25 \%$ acetonitrile per minute in the presence of $0.1 \%$ TFA $(20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm})$. The purity of the conjugate $\mathbf{9 a}$ was confirmed by the same gradient system using a Gemini C-18, $10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 110 \AA$ column with a flow of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; \mathrm{Rt}=34.0 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=98.3 \%$.

9b was obtained in $50 \%$ yield by using the same method of 9a. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1167.3372$; found, 1167.04; The purity of the conjugate $\mathbf{9 b}$ was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.2 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=97.9 \%$.

9c was obtained in $52 \%$ yield by using the same method of $9 \mathbf{a}$. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{+} 1182.36$; found, 1182.15 ; The purity of the conjugate 9 c was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.5 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=95.7 \%$.

9d was obtained in $60 \%$ yield by using the same method of 9a. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{+} 1196.38$; found, 1196.11; The purity of the conjugate 9 d was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.4 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=95.4 \%$.
$\mathbf{9 e}$ was obtained in $42 \%$ yield by using the same method of $\mathbf{9 a}$. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 1196.3400$; found, 1196.7208; The purity of the conjugate $\mathbf{9 e}$ was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.2 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=97.5 \%$.

### 3.2.9. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Conjugates $\mathbf{9 f - h}$

To a solution of the 2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl4-((3-(3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino) benzamido)propyl)amino)-4-oxobutanoateactivated ester 10 ( $4.05 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added the cyclic RGD peptide dimer $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{RGDyK})]_{2}(4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The pH of the resulting mixture was adjusted to $8.5-9.0$ with diisopropylethyl amine (DIPEA). The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and the desired product was isolated by semi-preparative HPLC. The collected fractions were combined and lyophilized to give a fluffy white powder $\mathbf{9 f}(2.89 \mathrm{mg}$, yield $50 \%$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{79} \mathrm{H}_{105} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{22}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1897.6771$; found, 1897.8009; The purity of the conjugate $\mathbf{9 f}$ was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure of $\mathbf{9 a} ; \mathrm{Rt}=31.7 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=96.8 \%$.
$\mathbf{9 g}$ was obtained in $70 \%$ yield by using the same method of 9 f . HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IO}_{17}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$1414.49; found, 1414.38; The purity of the conjugate $9 \mathbf{g}$ was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.2 \mathrm{~min}$; purity $=98.5 \%$.

9h was obtained in $45 \%$ yield by using the same method of 9f. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1181.35$, found, 1181.51; The purity of the conjugate 9 h was confirmed by using the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.1 \mathrm{~min} ;$ purity $=98.3 \%$.
3.2.10. General Procedure for the Synthesis of (S)-4-(3-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl) amino)benzamido)oxy)-2-hydroxypropoxy)-4-oxobutanoic Acid 11

11 was obtained through the method similar to compound $8 \mathbf{8}$ as a white solid( $91 \%$ yield); mp . $64.1-66.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 2926, 1732, 1602, 1496, 1280, 1166, $831 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $16.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.56-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-127.91,-129.10$, -142.65 ; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 583.0189$; found, 583.0187 .
3.2.11. General Procedure for the Synthesis of (S)-3-((3,4-difluoro-2-((2-fluoro-4-iodophenyl)amino) benzamido)oxy)-2-hydroxypropyl (2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl) succinate $\mathbf{1 2}$

12 was obtained through the method similar to compound 10 as a white solid ( $35 \%$ yield); mp. $76.1-78.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) 2926, 1737, 1516, 1496, 1274, 1205, 1070, 763, $750 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.58-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-128.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-128.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}),-143.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 680.0353$; found, 680.0338 .

### 3.2.12. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Conjugate 13

13 was obtained through the method similar to compound 9 f as a white soild ( $40 \%$ yield); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{13}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1184.3162$; found, 1184.1226. The purity of the conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ was confirmed by the same HPLC procedure; $\mathrm{Rt}=34.2$ min; purity $=95.7 \%$.

### 3.3. General Procedure for the HTRF Phosphor-MEK1 and HTRF Phosphor-ERK2 Assays

The HTRF phosphor-MEK1 assay was used to test for capability of compounds to inhibit the phosphorylation reaction of inactive MEK1. The assay was performed under the following reaction conditions: a constitutively active BRAF was obtained from Carna Biosciences (Kobe, Japan) and it was tested at a concentration of 7 nM . The test compounds dissolved in DMSO at 10 mM were diluted in assay buffer ( 50 mM HEPES buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 0.5 mM or thovanadate, and $0.01 \% \mathrm{BSA}$ ) and added to 40 nMGST -labeled inactive MEK1, and the reaction was initiated with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP. Phosphorylated MEK1 was determined quantitatively by formation of a complex with specific Eu-labeled anti-phospho p44/42 MAPK (Thr202/Tyr204) antibody and anti-GST-XL665.After 3 h , time-resolved fluorescence was read using a FlexStation 3 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Excitation of europium (the donor) using a 314 nm excitation filter results in energy transfer to the fluorophore of anti-GST-XL665 which is detected by an increase in the fluorescence emission of anti-GST-XL665 at 668 nm and a decrease in the fluorescence emission of europium at 620 nm . The curve-fitting software GraphPad Prism 4.0 was used to generate the curves
and determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. The HTRF phosphor-ERK2assay was used to test for inhibition of compounds relative to active MEK1. The assay was performed under the following reaction conditions: A constitutively active MEK1 was obtained from Carna Biosciences and it was tested at a concentration of 5.72 nM with 40 nM inactive ERK2, $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATPand test compounds at a variety of concentrationsin assay buffer. After 2 h reaction at RT, the phosphop-ERK2 was terminated by the addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Anti-Phospho p44/42 MAPK (Thr202/Tyr204)-Cryptate(CisBiol) and 26 nM Anti-GST-XL665. The calculation method of HTRF ratio was the same with that in HTRF phosphor-MEK1 assay.

### 3.4. Cell-Based Assays

### 3.4.1. Cell Culture

A375, U87, A549 cell line, which were obtained from the National Platform of Experimental Cell Resources for Sci-Tech (Beijing, China), were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA), supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated FBS (Hyclone), $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in air at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.4.2. Anti-Proliferation Assay

The cytotoxicity of the RGD-MKE1 conjugates on A375 melanoma cells, ERK signal pathway hyper-activated cell line, was studied in vitro by using an SRB assay. A375 cells $\left(4.5 \times 10^{3}\right)$ or U87 cells $\left(5.0 \times 10^{3}\right)$ were plated in each well of a 96 -well tissue culture plate. Medium supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS was added, and cells were allowed to adhere for 24 h . Cells were then incubated with serial dilutions of PD0325901 and RGD-MKE1 conjugates for 72 h in triplicate. Then the medium was removed from the 96 -well plate, and $10 \%$ ice-cold trichloroacetic acid (TCA, $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added. The plate was kept at 4 for one hour after which was washed five times with deionized water, then stained with Sulforhodamine B (SRB, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) before air drying. After washing with $1 \%$ acetic acid, the bound dye was solubilized with Tris base A (Sigma) and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample were transferred into a 96 -well plate, and then absorbance was read in a 96 -well plate reader at 540 nm . Inhibitory ratio (\%) $=\left[\left(\mathrm{OD}_{\text {control }}-\mathrm{OD}_{\text {treated }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{OD}_{\text {control }}\right)\right] \times 100 \%$. Cytotoxicity was also expressed as the concentration of compounds inhibiting cell growth by $50 \%\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ value) which was calculated by the GraphPad Prism 5 software.

### 3.4.3. Western Blotting Assay

To evaluate the expression levels of ERK singal pathway after treated with conjugates, A375 cells were treated with compounds for 2 h respectively. Confluent cells were washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and treated with lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Suzhou, China) on ice for 1 h . Then the lysates were collected by scraping from the plates and then centrifuged at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The protein concentration was quantitated using a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) ona FlexStation 3 Benchtop MultiMode Microplate Reader at 562 nm (Molecular Devices). An equal amount of proteins ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per
lane) for each sample were analyzed by SDS-PAGE on a $10 \%$ gel. After electro-blotting to PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) at 400 mA for 1 h , membranes were blocked with $5 \%$ nonfat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature. Membrane were washed with $0.1 \%$ PBST three times and then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Antibodies against pERK and ERK (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) were utilized as the primary antibodies. After removal of the primary antibody, the membranes were washed with PBST and incubated for 3 h at room temperature with a secondary horseradish peroxidase-coupled IgG antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Finally, protein products were analyzedby Image Lab $^{\text {TM }}$ software(ChemiDoc ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ XRS System, BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA) with. Expression levels of pERK and ERK protein were normalized against $\beta$-actin protein expression levels.

### 3.4.4. Flow Cytometric Cell Cycle Analysis

The proportion of A375 cells in different phases were measured by flow cytometry analysis. A375 cells were seeded in 6-well plates ( $3 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/$ well) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 24 h . After 24 h incubation with compounds, the cells were collected and washed twice with PBS. Each group was fixed overnight in ice-cold $70 \%$ ethanol at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and re-suspended in PBS containing $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNase A and $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{mL}$ propidiumidodide (PI). After incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark, the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a FACS Calibur instrument (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Here the untreated cells were used as the control. The analysis of cell cycle distribution was subsequently performed using the Modfit software.

### 3.4.5. DNA Replication Study

Proliferating A375 cells were determined by the EdU In Vitro Imaging Kit (Ribobio, Guangzhou, China).EdU (5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine) is a nucleoside analog of thymidine that is incorporated into DNA during active DNA synthesis only by proliferating cells. After incorporation, a fluorescent molecule was added that reacted specifically with EdU, making possible fluorescent visualization of proliferating cells. According to the manufacturer's protocol, A375 cells were cultured in 96-well plates at $4.5 \times 10^{3}$ cells per well and left to grow at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} 24 \mathrm{~h}$. Cells were treated with the compounds of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . After that, cells were incubated with $50 \mu \mathrm{MEdU}$ for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then were fixated with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 15 min . The cells were stained by $1 \times$ Apollo staining fluid (included in the kit) before permeabilization with $0.5 \%$ TritonX-100. Cell nucleuses were stained with $1 \times$ Hoechst 33342 for 30 min . The cells were tested by the OLYMPUS IX81 inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA). The EdU ${ }^{+}$ cells were detected at the excitation of 550 nm . The EdU ${ }^{-}$cells were detected at the excitation of 350 nm .

## 4. Conclusions

We have designed, synthesized and biologically evaluated two novel series of RGD-MEKI conjugates based on the MEK1/2 inhibitor PD0325901 for specific integrin receptor-targeting anti-cancer therapy. The biological analysis showed that all the conjugates efficiently inhibited ERK pathway and arrested melanoma A375 cells at G1 phase with the same drug mechanism as the parent
drugPD0325901. The anti-proliferation activity of conjugates was inhibited by the scrambled peptide conjugate 9 h , which indicated that the RGD-MEKI conjugates underwent an integrin receptor mediated route. Compared to the alkoxylamine analog conjugates 9a-g, direct conjugate RGD-PD0325901 (13) exhibited excellent anti-tumor properties. Future reports will describe modifications of this conjugating drug candidate for optimization of in vivo properties. We believe that these preliminary studies lay a foundation that may be built upon for a new tumor targeting therapy based on small molecule targeted drugs.
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Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/18/11/13957/s1.
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[^0]:    Reagents and conditions: (a) $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaOH}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) N-hydroxyphthalimide, triphenylphosphine, DEAD, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 24 h ; (c) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ BRAF-MEK1 assay (HTRF phosphor-MEK1 assay) was used to determine the activity of RGD-MEKI conjugates to inhibit the phosphorylation of the inactive MEK1 kinase. Values are means of three experiments, standard deviation is given after them; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ MEK1-ERK2 assay (HTRF phosphor-ERK2 assay) was used to determine the activity of RGD-MEKI conjugates to inhibit the active MEK1 kinase to phosphorylate the inactive ERK2 protein. Values are means of three experiments; standard deviation is given after them; ${ }^{\text {c }}$ In vitro anti-proliferation assay on melanoma A375 cells by the SRB method.

