# Review

# The Role of GJD2(Cx36) in Refractive Error Development

Emilie van der Sande,<sup>1–3</sup> Annechien E. G. Haarman,<sup>1,2</sup> Wim H. Quint,<sup>1,2</sup> Kirke C. D. Tadema,<sup>1,2</sup> Magda A. Meester-Smoor,<sup>1,2</sup> Maarten Kamermans,<sup>3,4</sup> Chris I. De Zeeuw,<sup>3,5</sup> Caroline C. W. Klaver,<sup>1,2,6,7</sup> Beerend H. J. Winkelman,<sup>1–3,5</sup> and Adriana I. Iglesias<sup>1,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience (NIN), Royal Dutch Academy of Art & Science (KNAW), Amsterdam,

The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biomedical Physics and Biomedical Photonics, Amsterdam University Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Institute of Molecular and Clinical Ophthalmology, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>8</sup>Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence: Adriana I. Iglesias, Department of Ophthalmology, Erasmus Medical Center, Molewaterplein 40, 3015, GD, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; a.iglesiasgonzalez@erasmusmc.nl.

AEGH and WHQ contributed equally to this work.

Received: December 24, 2021 Accepted: February 16, 2022 Published: March 9, 2022

Citation: van der Sande E, Haarman AEG, Quint WH, et al. The role of *GJD2*(Cx36) in refractive error development. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2022;63(3):5.

https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.63.3.5

Refractive errors are common eye disorders characterized by a mismatch between the focal power of the eye and its axial length. An increased axial length is a common cause of the refractive error myopia (nearsightedness). The substantial increase in myopia prevalence over the last decades has raised public health concerns because myopia can lead to severe ocular complications later in life. Genomewide association studies (GWAS) have made considerable contributions to the understanding of the genetic architecture of refractive errors. Among the hundreds of genetic variants identified, common variants near the gap junction delta-2 (*GJD2*) gene have consistently been reported as one of the top hits. *GJD2* encodes the connexin 36 (Cx36) protein, which forms gap junction channels and is highly expressed in the neural retina. In this review, we provide current evidence that links *GJD2*(Cx36) to the development of *Myopia*. We summarize the gap junctional communication in the eye and the specific role of *GJD2*(Cx36) in retinal processing of visual signals. Finally, we discuss the pathways involving dopamine and gap junction phosphorylation and coupling as potential mechanisms that may explain the role of *GJD2*(Cx36) in refractive error development.

Keywords: gap-junction delta-2, myopia, connexin 36, single nucleotide polymorphism, refractive error

M yopia (nearsightedness) is the refractive error in which light focuses in front of the retina, resulting in blurred distant vision. This mismatch of the refractive power of the eyes is predominantly caused by an increase in ocular axial length. The prevalence of myopia has increased rapidly in the past few decades, up to 90% in East Asia and up to 42% in Europe at the age of 13 to 19 years.<sup>1</sup> Although optical devices can correct myopic refractions, myopia can cause severe ocular complications, such as myopic macular degeneration, retinal detachment, and glaucoma.<sup>2</sup> Particularly high myopia increases the risk of visual loss as one-third of those with severe myopic refractive errors develop irreversible visual impairment or blindness.<sup>3,4</sup>

Refractive errors, including myopia, originate from complex interactions between environmental and genetic risk factors. Low outdoor exposure levels and a high amount of near work are associated with myopia development.<sup>5</sup> Genomewide association studies (GWAS) identified many common genetic variants for refractive error. In 2018, a meta-analysis of GWAS for refractive error included 160,420 participants and identified 161 independent genetic loci annotated to 233 candidate genes. Pathway analyses of these genomic hits suggested that, in particular, light processing in the retina is important for the development of refractive errors.<sup>6</sup> The most recent meta-analysis of GWAS included even more participants (n = 542,934) and found an additional 336 loci. These findings elucidated the involvement of virtually all anatomic tissues of the eyes in refractive error development; and suggested a wide range of potential mechanisms (e.g. eye structure, ocular development, eye physiology, intraocular pressure, and circadian rhythm).<sup>7</sup>

The gap junction delta-2 (*GJD2*) gene is located in one of the first and most replicated myopia-associated loci found in independent study cohorts and ethnicities.<sup>6–19</sup> SNPs near the *GJD2* gene have been associated with other myopia-related phenotypes, including ocular axial length, axial length/corneal radius ratio, and age of onset of myopia.<sup>11,13,20,21</sup> Even though the identified singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are not located inside the

Copyright 2022 The Authors iovs.arvojournals.org | ISSN: 1552-5783

Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science



1

actual gene, GJD2 is hypothesized to be the most biologically plausible gene in the locus.<sup>15,18</sup>

*GJD2* encodes connexin 36 (Cx36), a transmembrane protein that forms gap junction channels that play a role in intra- and intercellular communication by enabling the diffusion of ions and small molecules.<sup>20</sup> Two different systems are in use for the nomenclature of this multigene family. *GJD2* is a combination of gap junction (GJ), its subclass based on sequence homology (D) and an Arabic numeral according to its order of discovery (2). Cx36 is based on its molecular weight predicted from the cDNA: approximately 36 kDa.<sup>22</sup> Because both nomenclature systems are alternately used in the literature, we here refer to the gene/protein as a combination of both systems: *GJD2*(Cx36).

According to the human protein atlas, GJD2(Cx36) expression is enhanced (i.e. expressed at least 4 times the mean of other tissues) in the adrenal gland, pancreas, pituitary gland, and retina.<sup>23</sup> GJD2(Cx36) containing gap junctions in the central nervous system facilitate electrical coupling between neurons and are present in various regions in the brain, predominantly in the inferior olive, olfactory bulb, and hippocampus.<sup>24,25</sup> In the retina, GJD2(Cx36) containing gap junctions are present in photoreceptors (predominantly in cones), bipolar cells, amacrine cells, and ganglion cells.<sup>26–32</sup>

In the retina, GJD2(Cx36) plays an essential role in visual processing as it modulates signal-to-noise ratio by averaging noise through photoreceptor coupling and also contributes to night vision by transmitting rod-mediated visual signals.<sup>33-36</sup> However, its role in emmetropization is still unresolved. Understanding the role of the myopiaassociated SNPs found close to GJD2(Cx36), the function of GID2(Cx36) and its role in visual processing is a starting point for disentangling the putative role of GID2(Cx36) in refractive error development. In this review, we (1) summarize the genetic evidence for a role of GID2(Cx36) in refractive error; (2) provide an overview about its structure, function, expression, and role in visual processing; (3) explore its conservation across species and discuss animal models which study GJD2(Cx36) in the context of myopia; (4) elaborate on the potential mechanisms by which GJD2(Cx36) might contribute to the pathogenesis of myopia; and (5) suggest future research directions.

# GJD2(Cx36) – Lessons Learned from Studies in Humans

In 2010, the first genetic locus identified in GWAS associated with refractive error was found at chromosome 15q14 (rs634990). This intergenic SNP is located 39 kb away from the 3' end of *GJD2*(Cx36). Even though this SNP is also close to the *ACTC1* gene (74 kb from its 3' end) and the *GOLGA8B* gene (180 kb from its 5' end), *GJD2*(Cx36) was considered the most plausible candidate gene due to its expression in eye tissue (Table 1) and its role in the visual pathway (in the section: Expression of *GJD2*(Cx36) in the retina and the various functions in visual processing).<sup>10,15</sup>

After this first finding, another SNP in the same locus was identified; rs524952 (minor allele frequency [MAF] 0.46).<sup>17</sup> Both rs634990 and rs524952 are in high linkage disequilibrium ( $r^2$  and D' = 1) and have been consistently replicated in multiple GWAS of refractive error (Table 2, Supplementary Table S1).<sup>6,7,9–11,13,15–19,21,37,38</sup> Rs524952 was reported as the most significant SNP in the latest meta-analysis of

refractive error GWAS.7 Until now, all SNPs identified at the 15q14 locus are intergenic, whereas coding variants in the GJD2(Cx36) gene itself have not been associated with refractive error. This suggests that regulatory variants rather than coding variants in GJD2(Cx36) play a role.<sup>15</sup> This notion is further supported by data from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database, a public resource that examines human tissue-specific gene expression and regulation, which reports that both rs634990 and rs524952 influence the expression of GJD2(Cx36) in the pancreas and pituitary.<sup>39</sup> The minor alleles rs634990\_C and rs524952\_A are associated with lower expression levels of GJD2(Cx36) in these tissues. Following this line of thought, one can hypothesize that downregulation of GJD2(Cx36) leads to an increased risk of myopia. However, it is worth noting that the GTEx database does not include eve tissue, therefore, limiting the interpretation of the results described in pancreas and pituitary.

To further explore the potential regulatory role of the SNPs identified at 15q14, we examined whether variants in moderate linkage disequilibrium (LD;  $R^2 > 0.2$ ) with the first associated SNP, rs634990, overlapped with regulatory elements of the ENCODE data. Moreover, we retrieved their RegulomeDB score, which is a score that assesses the evidence of a SNP for regulatory potential. We assessed a total of 102 SNPs (see Supplementary Table S1) of which 15 have been identified in refractive error GWAS and 14 of them were replicated in at least one other study.<sup>6,7,9-11,13-21,37,38,40</sup> Twelve SNPs out of 102 showed moderate evidence of a location in a regulatory region (Table 3). These 12 SNPs have a RegulomeDB score of 3a, which provides evidence for a localization of the SNP in a transcription factor binding site, in any motif, or a DNase peak; none of the SNPs showed high evidence to be a regulatory variant (i.e. RegulomeDB score 1a-f and 2a-c). In total, 44% (45/102) of the SNPs overlapped with at least three regulatory elements of the ENCODE database (i.e. promoter or enhancer histone marks, DNase I hypersensitive sites, transcription factor, or other protein-binding sites, and expression quantitative trait loci [eQTLs]; see Supplementary Table S1). This finding supports the hypothesis that SNPs associated with refractive error at the GJD2(Cx36) locus may influence the phenotype through gene regulation. However, as described for the GTEx database, the ENCODE data does not include eye tissues or retinal cells, therefore, we are cautious in drawing strong conclusions from this dataset.

Besides refractive error, the 15q14 locus, including the GJD2(Cx36) gene, has been associated with other myopiarelated proxies, including axial length and "age of first spectacle wear." The Blue Mountain Eye study reported an association with axial length.<sup>13</sup> Subsequently, GJD2(Cx36) was replicated in a GWAS of axial length, including both European and Asian populations<sup>20</sup> and in a Japanese study.<sup>40</sup> Refractive error GWAS generally use spherical equivalent as the outcome, a calculated value in which the spherical value and half the cylindrical value are summed. Both spherical equivalent and ocular axial length are highly correlated, explaining the shared genetic association with the 15q14 locus for these traits.<sup>41</sup> In another study, GID2(Cx36) was identified using the survival analysis parameter "age of first spectacle wear" as a proxy for myopia.<sup>11</sup> Because a younger age of onset generally leads to higher degrees of myopia, it is not surprising that GJD2(Cx36) was also identified in this study.11,46-49

#### GJD2(Cx36) and Refractive Error Development

TABLE 1. Expression of GJD2(Cx36) in Human Tissue

				Based	
Database	Tissue	GJD2 Expression Mean (std)	Unit	On (N)	Method
GTEx	Pituitary	10.51	TPM	283	RNA seq
	Brain-frontal cortex	2.75		209	-
IOWA	Retina	49.11	PLIER score	6	RNA expression chip
	Trabecular meshwork	44.15		6	
	Ciliary body	41.50		6	
	Optic nerve	39.05		6	
	Choroid RPE	32.54		6	
	Sclera	31.18		6	
	Lens	24.77		6	
	Iris	22.60		6	
	Optic nerve head	20.99		6	
	Cornea	11.54		6	
Fantom5	Pituitary	33.20	Scaled tags per million	1	RNA seq
	Retina	12.50	0.	Mixed	•
HPA Atlas	Adrenal gland	9.90	pTPM	3	RNA seq
	Cerebral cortex	2.40	•	3	
	Pancreas	1.00		2	
Booij et al. 2009 <sup>42</sup>	RPE	30.00 (9.30)	percentiles	6	RNA expression chip
,	Photoreceptors	29.60 (3.40)		6	
	Choroid	35.80 (10.30)		6	
Young et al. 2013 <sup>43</sup>	Adults optic nerve	-0.57	Avg signal	6	RNA expression chip
0	Fetal optic nerve	-2.05	0 0	15	
	Adult cornea	-0.82		6	
	Fetal cornea	-0.40		15	
	Adult retina	8.42		6	
	24 week retina/RPE	11.10		15	
	12 week Ret/ RPE/Chr	13.84		15	
Li et al. 2014 <sup>44</sup>	Macular retina	22.54	FPKM	8	RNA expression chip
	Macular retinal pigment epithelium/choroid/sclera	0.00		8	
	Peripheral retina	22.17		8	
	Peripheral RPE/Chr/sclera	0.00		8	
Cowan et al. 202045	Rods	per = 0.00513%, fov = 0.00353%	NTP	3	single cell RNA seq
	Cones	per = 0.02973%, fov = 0.01649%	)	3	
	Horizontal cells	per = 0.00047%, fov = 0.00020%	)	3	
	On BCs	per = 0.00165%, fov = 0.00219%	)	3	
	OFF BCs	per = 0.00321%, fov = 0.00139%		3	
	Acs	per = 0.01546%, fov = 0.02133%	)	3	
	GCs	per = 0.00094%, fov = 0.00023%		3	
	Glycinergic Acs	per = 0.00046%, fov = 0.00014%		3	
	RPE	per = 0.00010%, for $= 0.00005%$	)	3	

RNA expression data from expression chips (IOWA, Bergen et al., Young et al., and Stambolian et al.) and RNAseq data (GTEx, Fantom5, HPA atlas, and Cowan et al.). Data from Bergen AAB et al., is shown in percentiles. In Young TL et al., a strong signal is defined as >40. These data include microarray data from gene expression chips. Data from Stambolian DE et al., presents fragments per kilobase of gene per million mapped reads. In Cowan et al., gene expression is shown as a percentage of normalized transcripts.

Abbreviations: GTEx, Genotype-Tissue Expression; IOWA, the ocular tissue database; accessed via https://genome.uiowa.edu/otdb/; HPA, Human Protein Atlas; TPM, transcripts per million; pTPM, protein-coding transcripts per million; Avg signal, average values for each tissue type from raw, un-normalized data; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of gene per million mapped reads; NTP, normalized transcript percentages; Ret, retina; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium; Chr, choroid; BCs, bipolar cells; Acs, amacrine cells; GCs, ganglion cells; per, peripheral; fov, foveal.

Contrary to several other candidate genes associated with refractive error, mutations in GID2(Cx36) have not been reported to cause a human Mendelian disorder. One could speculate that GJD2(Cx36) is either a crucial gene for embryogenesis, or, on the other side of the spectrum, a gene tolerant to genetic variation (low constraint). Genes involved in dominant Mendelian disorders are known to be highly intolerant to variation (high constraint). Databases, such as gnomAD, facilitate the interpretation of variants and indicate how intolerant a gene is to variation by providing constraint metrics.<sup>50</sup> These metrics include the probability of loss-of-function intolerance (pLI) and the loss-of-function o/e upper bound fraction (LOEUF). A pLI of 1 and a LOEUF <0.35 have been widely used as a threshold to indicate high intolerance to variation. According to gnomAD, G/D2(Cx36) shows a pLI = 2 and a LOEUF = 0.7, this indicates that G/D2(Cx36) is moderately tolerant.

# *GJD2*(Cx36)

## Physical Structure of the GJD2(Cx36)

Cx36 is a membrane protein containing one cytoplasmic N-terminus, four transmembrane helices, two extracellular loops, one cytoplasmic loop, and one C-terminal tail (Fig. 1A). To date, 21 genes in the human genome have been identified to encode distinct but structurally related isoforms of gap junction proteins.<sup>51</sup>

Six gap junction proteins assemble into hexameric channels, called connexons or hemichannels. At the plasma membrane, two hemichannels from two adjacent cells connect and form a gap junction. Distinct gap junction proteins can be co-expressed in the same cell. If the hemichannel consists of only one subtype of gap junction protein (e.g. 6 *GJD2*(Cx36)), it is called a homomeric hemichannel, contrary to a heteromeric hemichannel, which contains different gap junction proteins.

g
Ĕ
Ð
<u>.</u>
Ś
5
S
5
~
00
ő
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$
Ĕ
2
ŧ
5
õ
8
÷
<u>J</u> a
. <u>⊖</u> ′
S
é
2
_

TABLE 2.	Summary (	of the S	tudies and Stu-	dy Design in Study	Which r	s634990 and rs524952 Hav	ve Been Associated With	Myopia or Related Phen	totypes	
Variant	Pos (hg38)	Ref Al	t Study	Design	Outcome	Cohort (N)	Replicated in	Study Design	Trait	Study Cohorts (N)
rs634990	34713872	T	Solouki <i>et al.</i> (2010) <sup>15</sup>	GWAS	MSE	RS-I (5,328) and RS-2 and 3, Erasmus Ruchpen Family Study an Twins UK (replication, 10,280).	Stambolian et al. (2013) <sup>16</sup>	GWAS meta-analysis	MSE	AREDS; KORA; FES; OGP-Talana, the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (7,280 [26,953 replication])
						•	Hysi et al. $(2010)^{10}$ Verhoeven et al. $(2012)^{17}$ Schache et al. $(2013)^{13}$	GWAS GWAS meta-analysis Genetic association study	Refractive error MSE Refractive error	TwinUK (4270) 31 studies from CREAM (49363) BMES (1571)
rs524952	34713685	ТА	Verhoeven et al. (2012) <sup>17</sup>	GWAS meta- analysis	MSE	31 studies from CREAM (49363)	scnache et al. (2015) <sup>-2</sup> Stambolian et al. (2013) <sup>16</sup>	GWAS GWAS meta-analysis	Axial lengtn MSE	BMES (15/1) AREDS; KORA; FES; OGP-Talana, the Multiethnic Study of
										Atherosclerosis (7,280 [26,953 replication])
							Schache et al. $(2013)^{13}$ Simpson et al. $(2013)^{38}$	Genetic association study GWAS	Refractive error Refractive error	BMES (1571) AREDS (2000)
							Verhoeven et al. $(2013)^{18}$ Etiefer et al. $(2013)^{11}$	GWAS meta-analysis	MSE Age of first	32 studies from CREAM (45,758)
									spectacle wear	
							Hayashi et al. (2011) <sup>9</sup>	Case-control design	(25 and me) High myopia	Japanese (1125 vs. 366 [cataract] or
							Tideman et al. (2016) <sup>21</sup>	Meta-analysis of linear	AL/CR ratio	929 [healthy]) 18 cohorts from CREAM (26,764)
							Yoshikawa et al. (2014) <sup>19</sup>	regression GWAS	MSE	The Nagahama Study (3712)
							Fan et al. (2016) <sup>37</sup>	GxE: meta-analysis of	MSE	34 studies from CREAM (50,351)
								linear regression MSE and educational attainment		
							Tedja et al. (2018) <sup>6</sup>	GWAS	MSE	37 studies from CREAM and two
							Hysi et al. $(2020)^7$	GWAS meta-analysis	MSE	from 23andMe (discovery 160,420 and replication 95,505) UK Biobank, GERA, 23andMe, and
										CREAM CONSORTIUM STUDIES (542,934)

Abbreviations: RS, Rotterdam Study; MSE, mean spherical equivalent; AREDS, Age-Related Eye Disease Study; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; FES, Framingham Eye Study; OGP-Talana, the Ogliastra Genetic Park-Talana; CREAM, Consortium for refractive error and myopia; BMES, Blue Mountains Eye Study; AL/CR, axial length corneal radius ratio; GERA, Genetic Epidemiology Research on Adult Health and Aging.

Science
Visual
ø
Ophthalmology
Investigative

TABLE 3. Variants in LD With rs634990

	Regu- lome DB v. Regu- lome DB_ Score	Ś	Ч	<b>3a</b>	Ś	4	r
	Query SNP over- laps with ENC- ODE Data (≥ 3 Elem- ents)±	yes	yes	yes	ou	yes	ou
	Query SNP Over- laps With ENG- ODE Data (≥ 2 Elem- ents)±	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
	dbSNP Functional Annota- tion	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic
tion	Ref Seq Genes	39kb 3' of GJD2	39kb 3' of GJD2	36kb 3' of GJD2	46kb 3' of GJD2	44kb 3' of GJD2	50kb 3' of GJD2
Annota	eQTL Results	2 hits	2 hits	2 hits	2 hits	2 hits	2 hits
Version 4.1	Motifs Changed	6 altered motifs	AFP1, SIX5	5 altered motifs		Msx-1	HNF1
HaploReg.	Proteins Bound					CTCF, GATA1	
	DNA se	KID			BLD,BRN	15 tissues	
	Enha- ncer Histone Marks (Road- map)	HRT	HRT	LIV, PANC, MUS		7 tissues	
	Prom- oter Histone Marks (Roa- dmap)					BRN, HRT, PANC	
	GERP Cons	yes					yes
e to be	LD (D', in Rela- tion to rs634- y90)	NA	-	0.99	0.84	0.84	0.83
Evidenc	LD (r2, in Rela- tion to rs634- rs634	NA	-	0.86	0.6	0.6	0.58
'ing ] nts	Alt	C	¥	G	¥	Α	A
Show Varia	Ref	Н	H	¥	U	G	C
rs634990 egulatory	Pos (hg38)	34713872	34713685	34716134	34706674	34708741	34702905
Ps in LD With R	Identified in (PMID)	20835239, 23474815, 20835236, 22665138, 23131718	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913, 24227913, 24227913, 23396134, 23396134, 23396134, 23468642, 23468642, 23468642, 23468642, 2336978, 253359778, 25335978, 25335978, 253359778, 25335978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 25355978, 253559778, 2535597778, 25355977778, 25355977778, 25355977778, 253559777778, 253559777778, 25355977777778, 2535597777777777777777777777777777777777	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913
SNI	Variant	rs634990	rs524952	rs685352	rs688220	rs560766	rs619788

cience
Ñ
Visual
ω δ
Ophthalmology
Investigative

TABLE 3. Continued

	Regu- lome DB v. Regu- lome DB_ Score	Ś	За	Ś	Ś	7	7	9	Ś
	Query SNP over- laps with ENC- ODE Data (≥ 3 Elem- ents)±	yes	yes	ou	yes	yes	yes	yes	ou
	Query SNP Over- laps With ENC- ODE Data (≥ 2 Elem- ents)±	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
	dbSNP Functional Annota- tion	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic	intergenic
tion	Ref Seq Genes	46kb 3' of GJD2	51kb 3' of GJD2	45kb 3' of GJD2	50kb 3' of GJD2	55kb 3' of GJD2	55kb 3' of GJD2	55kb 3' of GJD2	54kb 3' of GJD2
Annota	eQTL Results	2 hits	1 hit	1 hit	1 hit	1 hit	1 hit	1 hit	1 hit
Version 4.1	Motifs Changed	CACD,GR	4 altered motifs	20 altered motifs	8 altered motifs	CHD2	GR	10 altered motifs	Arid5b, HDAC2, Nanog
HaploReg.	Proteins Bound		CEBPB						
	DNA se	BLD,BRN	5 tissues						
	Enha- ncer Histone Marks (Road- map)		5 tissues		BRN	ESC, IPSC, BLD	ESC, IPSC, BLD	IPSC, BLD	
	Prom- oter Histone Marks (Roa- dmap)								
	GERP Cons								
to be	LD (D', in Rela- tion to rs634- 990)	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.82
vidence	LD (r2, in Rela- tion to rs634- 990)	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.56	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54
ing E its	Alt	V	Г	H	C	F	A	A	v
howi ariar	Ref	G	С	C	×	Ċ	H	C	U
rs634990 S egulatory V	Pos (hg38)	34706628	34701123	34707278	34702364	34697425	34697473	34697650	34698373
s in LD With R	Identified in (PMID)	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913		22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913, 24144296, 25823570	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913
SNP	Variant	rs580839	rs9920099	rs7176510	rs4924134	rs11073058	rs11073059	rs11073060	rs7163001

I Science-
Visua
Š
Ophthalmology
Investigative

TABLE 3. Continued

Variant	Identified in (PMID)	Pos (hg38)	Ref	Alt	LD (r2, in Rela- tion to rs634- 990)	LD (D', in Rela- tion to rs634- 990)	GERP	Prom- oter Histone Marks (Roa- dmap)	Enha- ncer Histone Marks (Road- map)	DNA se	Proteins Bound	Motifs Changed	eQTL Results	Ref Seq Genes	dbSNP Functional Annota- tion	Query SNP Over- laps With ENC- ODE Data ( $\geq$ Data ( $\geq$ 2 Elem- ents) $\pm$	Query SNP over- laps with ENC- ODE Data ( $\geq$ 3 Elem- ents) $\pm$	Regu- lome DB v. Regu- lome DB_ Score
rs678510		34711108	Т	С	0.51	0.99			ESDR			CCNT2, GATA, TATA	2 hits	41kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	yes	yes	3a
rs652158		34719259	V	G	0.49	0.79			10 tissues	HRT, KID, CRVX		4 altered motifs	2 hits	33kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	yes	yes	<b>3a</b>
rs684374		34716391	IJ	C	0.47	0.99		MUS	6 tissues	6 tissues	GR	GR, Myf, TCF12	2 hits	36kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	yes	yes	<b>3a</b>
rs513587		34709645	H	C	0.46	0.99			8 tissues			10 altered motifs	1 hit	43kb 3' of GID2	intergenic	yes	yes	<b>3</b> a
rs8032019	22665138, 23474815, 23131718, 24227913	34699289	A	G	0.44	0.85						13 altered motifs		53kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	ои	ou	3b
rs3932344		34708040	H	C	0.44	0.86						6 altered motifs		44kb 3' of GID2	intergenic	ou	ou	<b>3</b> a
rs4924135		34702599	V	C	0.43	0.85						4 altered motifs		50kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	ou	ou	3a
rs6495707		34691197	ს	A	0.34	0.82			6 tissues	11 tissues	STAT3	Smad3, VDR		61kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	yes	yes	<b>3</b> a
rs56062557		34692394	Т	G	0.34	0.82			BLD			GR, NF- kappaB		60kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	yes	ou	<b>3a</b>
rs17237002		34692429	C	G	0.34	0.82			BLD			Nanog, Sox		60kb 3' of GID2	intergenic	yes	no	<b>3a</b>
rs1370156	25233373	34692682	G	C	0.21	0.67			BLD			Pax-5	1 hit	60kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	yes	yes	Ś
rs649782		34712867	V	С	0.21	0.63						10 altered motifs		40kb 3' of GJD2	intergenic	ou	ou	<b>3</b> a



**FIGURE 1.** Structure and conservation of *GJD2*(Cx36). Panel (A) shows the *GJD2*(Cx36) protein consisting of four transmembrane domains (TMD1-4), alternated by two extracellular loops (EL1-2), with the phosphorylation sites on the intracellular loop (IL; Ser110) and on the C-terminus (COOH; Ser293, Ser276 for zebrafish). Panel (B) shows the conservation of *GJD2*(Cx36) throughout commonly used species for myopia research. The phylogenetic tree in vertebrate lineages of reptiles and birds shows two subfamilies, *GJD2*(Cx35/Cx36) and *GJD1*(Cx34). The latter paralog is not present in mammals, whereas in teleost fish four functional orthologs have been identified (gjd1a(Cx34.1), gjd1b(Cx34.7), gjd2b(Cx35/Cx36) protein is conserved relative to the human protein. Intergenic variation is mainly located in the intracellular loop (red trace) and in the C-terminus (final black trace), whereas the two phosphorylation sites are conserved throughout all species \*P).

Similarly, if two adjacent cells have a distinct composition of the hemichannels, then the cell-to-cell gap junction channel is referred to as heterotypic. An example of this is the GJD2(Cx36)-containing hemichannel in an AII amacrine cell together with GJA7(Cx45)-containing hemichannel in an ON cone bipolar cell.<sup>52</sup> Moreover, gap junctional plaques, containing multiple gap junction channels, can be composed of a random mixture of homomeric channels, heteromeric channels, or a combination of both.<sup>51</sup>

## **Functions of Gap Junction Proteins**

Functions of the gap junction proteins can be divided into three categories.<sup>53</sup> The first and most well-known is gap junction intercellular communication, which can be either ionic or biochemical. Ionic communication refers to the passive diffusion of cytoplasmic (cat)ions (e.g. Na+, K+, and Ca2+), contributing to essential functions ranging from the contraction of cardiac myocytes to the propagation of action potentials via electrical synapses.<sup>54</sup> In contrast, the exchange of small molecules and metabolites (e.g. cAMP) up to 1000 Dalton in size, referred to as biochemical transport, play a role in cellular homeostatic processes.<sup>55</sup>

Second, gap junction proteins perform essential roles as hemichannels. After oligomerization of the six gap junction proteins, hemichannels are transported to and inserted into the plasma membrane, where they may remain uncoupled. They are involved in various functions during cell life (i.e. proliferation, development, survival, and death), controlled all by both intracellular and extracellular factors.<sup>56,57</sup> In the retina, feedback from horizontal cells to photoreceptors depends strongly on gap junction hemichannels.<sup>58,59</sup> There is evidence that *GJD2*(Cx36) forms functional hemichannels in the pancreas and neuronal cell cultures. However, it is unclear whether *GJD2*(Cx36) hemichannels play a role in visual processing in the retina.<sup>60,61</sup>

Third, various studies have shown that connexins can function independent of their gap junction- and hemichannel-forming properties. Although their mechanistic aspects remain largely unknown, recent findings suggest that connexins interact with other proteins, including tight junction proteins, ZO-1, occludin, claudins, N-cadherin, and the cytoskeletons, microtubules, actin, and catenins.<sup>62–66</sup> In this way, they are capable to modulate gene expression indirectly by inducing secondary effects.<sup>67–70</sup>

It is worth noting that modulation of gap junction permeability is essential for normal physiological processes. Gap junction permeability is determined by multiple factors, including channel composition (i.e. heterotypic versus heteromeric), modulation of gap junction protein expression and post-translational modifications (i.e. phosphorylation).<sup>22,71-73</sup> Phosphorylation of *GJD2*(Cx36) is further discussed in the section: Regulation of expression and phosphorylation of *GJD2*(Cx36).

# Expression of *GJD2*(Cx36) in the Retina and the Various Functions in Visual Processing

*GJD2*(Cx36) is expressed in a number of retinal cell types and plays a role in signal transmission in the retina. Here, we discuss the current evidence of expression of connexins per cell type (see Table 1) and the specific roles of *GJD2*(Cx36) in visual processing.

Before elaborating on the role of *GJD2*(Cx36), we first summarize the successive steps in visual processing. Light is converted into a neuronal signal by photoreceptors, which can be classified into two types; rods for scotopic vision and cones for photopic vision. The photoreceptor synapses onto bipolar cells, which are interneurons classified based upon the source of the signal: rod bipolar cells receive input from rods and cone bipolar cells from cones. Cone bipolar cells can be distinguished in ON and OFF types. ON bipolar cells depolarize upon increasing light stimulation, whereas OFF bipolar cells respond with a hyperpolarization and vice versa. Subsequently, the signal is transmitted from cone bipolar cells to the retinal ganglion cells. In addition, horizontal cells and amacrine cells provide lateral connections between neurons (e.g. connecting one bipolar cell to another bipolar cell), modulating the signal. Rod bipolar cells do not synapse directly to ganglion cells. Instead, they synapse to AII amacrine cells, which in turn signal to ganglion cells and cone bipolar cells (primary rod pathway). The signal exits the retina via the axons of the ganglion cells, the retinal nerve fiber layer, and the optic nerve for further processing in the brain.

Figure 2 provides an overview of the current evidence of the expression of GJD2(Cx36) and other gap junction proteins in the retina (see Fig. 2A) and the localization of homo- and heterotypic GJD2(Cx36)-containing gap junctions between various cell types in the retina (see Figs. 2B, 2C).

*GJD2 in Photoreceptors and Bipolar Cells. GJD2*(Cx36) is present between cones and between rods and cones, predominantly identified on the cone side (see Fig. 2).<sup>27,30,74–76</sup> However, one study reported *GJD2*(Cx36) expression in rod photoreceptors.<sup>26</sup> In addition, *Gjd2*(Cx36)containing gap junctions are located between the dendrites of (ON/OFF) cone bipolar cells, close to the cone pedicles.<sup>27,74,77</sup> This finding has been confirmed in human tissue (see Table 1).<sup>32,42–45,78</sup> *GJD2*(Cx36)-containing gap junctions between cones are considered to improve signal-to-noiseratio by averaging out noise generated by sources intrinsic to the photoreceptors, whereas the signal evoked by a uniform stimulus is not affected.<sup>34–36</sup>

*GJD2 in Ganglion Cells and Amacrine Cells. GJD2*(Cx36) forms homotypic dendrodendritic gap junctions between alpha ganglion cells and between AII amacrine cells (see Fig. 2).<sup>31,79–81</sup> Additionally, alpha ganglion cells and AII amacrine cells are also connected to each other by *GJD2*(Cx36) gap junctions<sup>31,82</sup> (not depicted in Fig. 2).

AII amacrine cells are the central nodes in the primary rod pathway. They relay the input received from rod bipolar cells to ON cone bipolar cells via GID2(Cx36) gap junctions and to OFF cone bipolar cells via glycinergic inhibition. The secondary rod pathway, which depends on GJD2(Cx36) gap junctions between rods and cones, also relays rod signals to the cone pathway.<sup>26,27,33,35,37</sup> The known rod pathways differ in light sensitivity, with the primary rod pathway being the most sensitive, followed by the secondary rod pathway.<sup>26,85</sup> As such, GJD2(CX36) has an important role in rod signaling under dim light conditions. In line with this, scotopic electroretinograms (ERGs) of Gjd2(Cx36) knockout mice showed a reduction of the b-wave, which represents the ON cone bipolar response.<sup>26,86,87</sup> The presence of a residual b-wave indicates that night vision's ON component is not entirely dependent on GJD2(Cx36), as was further substantiated by the finding that optokinetic reflexes could still be elicited in Cx36 knockout mice.88

*GJD2*(Cx36) dependent rod-pathways also contribute to dopamine release from dopaminergic amacrine cells (DACs) through excitatory ON cone bipolar cell input.<sup>89</sup> DACs provide negative feedback via inhibitory projections to ON cone bipolar cells<sup>90</sup> and synapse onto AII as well as A17 amacrine cells in the rod pathway. Light-evoked responses of DACs are modulated by inhibitory synaptic input from glycinergic and GABAergic amacrine cells,<sup>91,92</sup> which are driven by OFF cone bipolar cells that receive glycinergic inhibition from AII amacrine cells. Although DACs receive excitatory ON inputs from all photoreceptor types, the *GJD2*(Cx36) dependent rod-pathway dominates the input



**FIGURE 2.** Gap junction proteins in the human retina and their coupling with *GJD2*(Cx36). Panel (A) shows the gap junction proteins present in the different retinal layers. Panels (B) and (C) show the gap junction coupling that contains *GJD2*(Cx36). Dot colors define the different gap junction proteins. Numbers represent the species for which the gap junction locations have been described. Gap junctions are detected at the protein level, when additionally detected on RNA (including cDNA) level, color dots are marked with an asterisk. Homotypic

#### GJD2(Cx36) and Refractive Error Development

GJD2(Cx36)-containing gap junctions are present between dendrites of alpha ganglion cells, between dendrites of AII amacrine cells, between (ON/OFF) cone bipolar cells, between cones, and between rods and cones. For the latter homotypic configuration, most evidence localizes *GJD2*(Cx36) on the cone side. *GJA7*(Cx45) is the only gap junction protein forming channels with *GJD2*(Cx36). Heterotypic channels are present between subtypes of amacrine cells (providing *GJD2*(Cx36)) and (ON/OFF) cone bipolar cells (providing *GJA7*(Cx45)). *GJA1*(Cx43), *GJA4*(Cx37), and *GJA10* (hCx62, mCx57, and pCx60) are the remaining gap junction proteins in the retina, localized between horizontal cells, but these do not colocalize with *GJD2*(Cx36). In addition to the gap junctions visualized in the figure, *GJD2*(Cx36) gap junctions have been reported between amacrine cells and alpha ganglion cells. Abbreviations: A, amacrine cells BC, bipolar cells; C, cone photoreceptor cell; GC, ganglion cell layer; H, horizontal cell; ILM, inner limiting membrane; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; IS, inner segment; RF, optic nerve fiber layer; OLM, outer limiting membrane; ONL, outer nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; OS, outer segment; R, rod photoreceptor cell; RPE, retinal pigmented epithelium.

during dim light conditions.<sup>89</sup> Underscoring the tight convolution of the rod pathways with the dopaminergic system, rod pathway deficiency negatively affects DAC numbers and retinal dopamine/DOPAC levels, as well as the myopic shift in response to form deprivation in mice.<sup>93–96</sup>

The rod pathway and dopaminergic system are closely involved in the regulation of circadian clocks in the eyes, which have a probable role in myopia development.<sup>97-101</sup> Remarkably, rod photoreceptors can drive circadian photoentrainment across a wide range of light intensities.<sup>102</sup> *GJD2*(Cx36) dependent rod pathways play a particular role in entrainment of the retinal circadian clock, enabling the induction of phase-shifts of the retinal clock by short-duration light pulses in the visible part of the spectrum.<sup>103</sup>

**Type of** *GJD2*(**Cx36**) **Gap Junction Connections.** In the retina, *GJD2*(Cx36) forms homotypic as well as heterotypic gap junctions, the latter exclusively with *GJA7*(Cx45). These heterotypic gap junctions are formed by amacrine cells expressing *GJD2*(Cx36) and by ON cone bipolar cells expressing *GJA7*(Cx45) (see Fig. 2C).<sup>28,31,82,87,104-106</sup> Besides AII amacrine cells, also subtype A8 amacrine cells appear to be connected through heteromeric junctions.<sup>107</sup> Although some reports contradict a heterotypic connection between amacrine and bipolar cells, the general notion is that the type of connection depends on the specific function of the bipolar subtype.<sup>26,87,105</sup>

#### Other Connexins in the Eyes

Aside from *GJD2*(Cx36), many other connexins are present in the retina. Apart from its ability to form heterotypic connection, *GJA7*(Cx45) also forms homotypic gap junctions between ganglion cells.<sup>86,108</sup> *GJA10*(Cx62, mCx57), *GJA1*(Cx43), and *GJA4*(Cx37) are other connexins expressed in the retina.<sup>32,86,109–113</sup> *GJA10*(hCx62) has been detected in the human retina and its mouse homolog, *Gja10*(mCx57), has been exclusively localized in homomeric gap junctions between horizontal cells in adult mice (see Fig. 2).<sup>32,111,114,115</sup> *GJA1*(Cx43) may occur around Muller glia in the nerve fiber layer, partly around blood vessels in the ganglion cell layer and as hemichannels in the retinal pigmented epithelium.<sup>49,86,116–118</sup> *GJA4*(Cx37) has been identified in endothelial cells of blood vessels in the ganglion cell layer.<sup>86</sup>

Even more gap junction proteins than mentioned above are present in other parts of the eyes (Fig. 3). Of all the connexins, *GJA1*(Cx43) is most widely expressed in all ocular components. In the cornea of humans and other species, at least nine gap junction proteins are expressed, mediating intercellular communication to maintain corneal homeostasis.<sup>119,120</sup> Within both the ciliary body and trabecular meshwork a variety of gap junction proteins are identified, which seem to be essential for the regulation of the intraocular pressure.<sup>121–123</sup> Gap junction proteins in the lens are required for its transparency, as has been demonstrated in multiple species.<sup>124–132</sup> Although *Gja8*(Cx50) has been implicated in ocular growth, no evidence points toward a potential contribution to refractive error.<sup>133</sup> Interestingly, *GJA1*(Cx43) is the only gap junction protein present in the choroid, optic nerve, and sclera.<sup>110,112</sup> *GJD2*(Cx36) is exclusively identified in the retina.

# Regulation of Expression and Phosphorylation of *GJD2*(Cx36)

The phosphorylation state of the gap junction protein Cx36 determines its coupling strength. Two phosphorylation sites have been identified: Ser110, on the intracellular loop, and Ser276 (Ser293 in mammals), on the carboxyl terminus.<sup>134–136</sup> Regulation of phosphorylation is complex, differs between neuronal subtypes, and often depends on a cascade of signaling proteins. In photoreceptors (zebrafish), protein kinase A (PKA) activity can directly act on the two regulatory phosphorylation sites. PKA activation leads to phosphorylation of both residues on GJD2(Cx36) and subsequently causes increased gap junction coupling.<sup>137</sup> In AII amacrine cells (rabbits), PKA has an indirect and opposite effect; PKA activates protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) and ultimately dephosphorylates Ser293 on GID2(Cx36). Protein phosphatase 1 can subsequently counteract this phosphorylation by inhibiting PP2A.<sup>138</sup> Despite the difference in initiation, phosphorylation of GJD2(Cx36) is strongly correlated to intercellular coupling thereby increasing gap junction function in both photoreceptors and AII amacrine cells.137-139

GJD2(Cx36)-mediated coupling is influenced by the circadian rhythm and light exposure and is modulated by dopaminergic signaling. Again, this differs between neuronal subtypes; in AII amacrine cells, dopamine D1-like receptor (includes subtypes D1R and D5R) activation reduces coupling by increased PKA activity, via the before mentioned cascade.<sup>138,140,141</sup> The AII amacrine network in mice is relatively uncoupled under scotopic illumination but is increasingly coupled by shifting to mesopic illumination and then uncoupled again under photopic conditions.98,142,143 This coupling modulation affects the size of receptive fields and improves the signal-to-noise ratio of the AII amacrine network by averaging the uncorrelated noise.98,142,143 In both ganglion and photoreceptor cells, dopamine D2-like receptor (includes subtypes D2R and D4R) activation uncouples the cells.<sup>76,144,145</sup> During the day and under light exposure, increased dopamine release activates D2-like receptors, which subsequently suppresses activity of adenylyl cyclase, lowers cAMP levels, and PKA activity, ultimately uncoupling the photoreceptors.<sup>139</sup> During the night, decreased D2-like receptor activation results in increased



**FIGURE 3. Gap junction proteins (connexins) in the eye.** Color dots define the different gap junction proteins. Numbers represent the species for which the gap junction locations have been described. Gap junctions are detected at the protein level, when additionally detected on RNA (including cDNA) level color dots are marked with an asterisk. *GJD2*(Cx36) is expressed in the retina exclusively. *GJA1*(Cx43) is widely expressed throughout the eyes and is identified in all ocular segments.

photoreceptor coupling, allowing cones to receive dim light signals from rods, which facilitates the detection of large dim objects.<sup>145</sup>

Apart from dopamine, adenosine is also an important modulator of coupling but works opposite from dopamine. Adenosine achieves high levels during night under scotopic conditions and achieves low levels during day under photopic conditions.<sup>146</sup> Elevated adenosine levels activate adenosine A2a receptors, which highly increases photoreceptor coupling during this phase. Additionally, A1 receptors with a higher affinity for adenosine activated by low day levels, suppress adenylyl cyclase and reinforce D4 receptors to uncouple photoreceptors during the day.<sup>135,139,145,147</sup>

In addition to phosphorylation, GJD2(Cx36) transcript and protein expression are also affecting coupling strength and are under circadian control. Circadian control of GJD2(Cx36) protein expression is dependent on melatonin, whereas the circadian regulation of GJD2(Cx36) transcript expression may be controlled directly by the circadian clock.<sup>148</sup> Because the SNPs associated with refractive error at the GJD2(Cx36) locus are intergenic and most likely influencing regulation of expression (section: GJD2(Cx36)- Lessons learned from studies in Humans), it could be possible that these SNPs affect the regulation of GJD2(Cx36)expression and transcription, which in turn could influence visual processing during different times of the day.

# GJD2(Cx36) IN ANIMAL MODELS

To uncover the biological mechanisms that lie at the basis of the GWAS findings, functional studies are warranted. In order to assess a functional role of GJD2(Cx36) in myopia in animal models, it is relevant to investigate the degree of conservation across species. In this section, we selected the most commonly used species for myopia research and performed a phylogenetic and conservation assessment of GJD2(Cx36) (see Fig. 1). In this section, we particularly focus on mice and zebrafish because of their amenability to genetic manipulation and discuss the advantages and limitations of these models.

#### Conservation of GJD2(Cx36) Across Species

The phylogenetic tree in vertebrate lineages of reptiles and birds (see Fig. 1B) shows two subfamilies, *GJD2*(Cx35/Cx36) and *GJD1*(Cx34), as a result of a partial genome duplication. In mammals, the *GJD1*(Cx34) family is not present, whereas in teleost fish (e.g. zebrafish [*Danio rerio*]) up to four functional orthologs have been identified, caused by an additional duplication event.<sup>149–151</sup>

The GJD2(Cx35/Cx36) protein, relative to the human protein, is conserved for 98% to 100% in mammalian species and for 70% to 83% in zebrafish (see Fig. 1C). Most varia-



**FIGURE 4. Overview of potential mechanisms for GJD2(Cx36) (marked red) causing myopia.** Green arrows indicate a positive (i.e. stimulatory) effect; red arrows a negative (i.e. inhibiting) effect. Dotted lines indicate hypothesized mechanisms not fully advocated yet by the literature but mentioned in the current manuscript as possible mechanisms. Conditions highlighted with black triangles are frequently linked in the literature with decreased myopia/axial elongation, whereas white triangles are associated with increased myopia/axial elongation.

tion is found in the intracellular loop and in the C-terminus, whereas the two phosphorylation sites are conserved in all investigated species (see Figs. 1A, 1C). We explored the conservation of the region in which the two most replicated SNPs, rs634990 and rs524952, were identified. Relative to the human region, we found an identity score of 81.1% for the rs524952 region in mice, but no match for rs524952. We found no conservation of the two SNP regions in zebrafish. Higher conservation levels were only identified in monkeys (up to 94.8%), limiting the external validity of lower mammals and vertebrates as a model for studying the functionality of these SNPs (Supplementary Table S2). Tissue- and cell-type specific *GJD2*(Cx36) knockout models, on the other hand, can be used to study entire gene effects at the cell-cell interaction level.

#### Why use Mouse and Zebrafish Models?

Both mouse and zebrafish models can help unravel the effect of functional proteins on postnatal ocular development. These species have highly conserved Gjd2(Cx36)/gjd1a(cx34.1)/gjd1b(cx34.7)/gjd2a(cx35.5)/gjd2b(cx35.1) proteins (mouse 98%, zebrafish 70–83%; see Fig. 1) and are well-established animal models for genetic diseases. Apart from the availability of complete gene knockouts, mice and zebrafish exhibit some practical advantages, such as rapid breeding, easy housing, and an extensive toolbox for manipulating their genome.<sup>150,152</sup>

Compared to mice, zebrafish are able to produce a large number of offspring multiple times a week and their functional visual system develops fast. Nevertheless, given the additional duplication of the zebrafish genome and the existence of various orthologs for some genes, it may be required to investigate multiple knockout models. Challenges applicable to both animal models include absence of a fovea, the limited visual acuity, the lack of accommodative reflex, and the small eye size. Even though hyperopic refractions have been reported for both mice and zebrafish,<sup>153,154</sup> studying the relative differences between wildtype and knockout animals will provide an indication of the relation between axial length changes and refractive error. Therefore, assessment of ocular biometry (i.e. axial length and vitreous chamber depth) could be considered as the most relevant outcome when studying myopia in these models. Mice are nocturnal animals, which complicates translation of findings regarding circadian rhythm to humans. In contrast, zebrafish are diurnal species with cone-dominant vision, similar to humans.<sup>155,156</sup> As a sequel of this research, we have recently explored the role of *GJD2*(Cx36) in zebrafish. Depletion of *gjd2a*(cx35.5) leads to hyperopia and electrophysiological changes in the retina and a lack of *gjd2b*(cx35.1) leads to nuclear cataract and triggered axial elongation.<sup>154</sup>

# POTENTIAL MECHANISMS BY WHICH GJD2(Cx36)Contributes to Myopia

Various lines of reasoning, as discussed in the section GJD2(Cx36), suggest that the association of the GJD2 locus in refractive error development points toward altered regulation of GJD2(Cx36) expression (see Table 3, Supplementary TableS1). In this section, we discuss and describe potential mechanisms by which GJD2(Cx36) may contribute to the pathogenesis of refractive error (see Fig. 4 for an overview of the potential mechanisms).

## GJD2(Cx36) Coupling and Myopia

A limited number of studies have directly linked phosphorylation of *GJD2*(Cx36) and thus, *GJD2*(cx36)-mediated intercellular coupling with myopia. A study investigating formdeprivation myopia (FDM) in chicks found that a nonspecific gap junction blocker (meclofenamic acid) diminished myopia.<sup>157</sup> An FDM study in mice demonstrated increased phosphorylation of *GJD2*(Cx36)-containing gap junctions between AII amacrine cells after myopia induction. The authors suggested that the increase of phosphorylation is a compensatory effect of the defocused image. Although this fits the hypothesis, more verification is needed.<sup>158</sup>

Above, we mentioned the opposite coupling effects of dopamine and adenosine in response to light conditions (section: Regulation of expression and phosphorylation of GJD2(Cx36)).<sup>76,132,138,140,141,144,145</sup> An established environmental risk factor is time spent outdoors, which offers protection against childhood myopia most likely because of increased light intensities of broad spectrum.<sup>5</sup> This relation is supported by animal experiments which showed that high intensity lighting can reduce FDM in chicks and monkeys<sup>159–161</sup> and LIM in mice.<sup>162</sup> Proof that dopamine can be a mediator in this relationship comes from experiments in chickens showing that dopamine blockers abolish the protection by light.<sup>163,164</sup>

Correspondingly, pharmacological stimulation of dopamine signaling (via e.g. nonspecific dopamine receptor agonist apomorphine) protects against FDM in a wide range of species including mice,<sup>165–167</sup> primates,<sup>168</sup> chicks,<sup>169,170</sup> guinea pigs,<sup>171,172</sup> and rabbits.<sup>173</sup> Conversely, dopamine receptor antagonists facilitate myopia development induced by FDM. Antagonists, per se, are not sufficient to induce myopia without external triggers.<sup>174,175</sup> The FDM-protective effect of dopamine agonist (apomorphine) is nullified by simultaneous administration of D2R antagonist in chickens.<sup>169</sup> Interestingly, adenosine antagonists appear to be protective against childhood and experimental myopia.176-179 Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that the protective effect of outdoor exposure against myopia arises from increased dopamine levels and decreased adenosine levels, which may lead to GID2(Cx36) dephosphorylation and subsequent uncoupling of retinal neurons.

When elucidating the role of dopamine in myopia, it is essential to make a distinction between dopamine D1like (subtypes D1R and D5R) and D2-like receptor (D2R and D4R) activation. Zhou et al. described opposing results on myopia development when activating and inactivating D1-like and D2-like receptors separately.<sup>180</sup> They propose that emmetropization is a homeostatic process controlled by opposing effects of D1-like and D2-like receptors; pharmacological activation of D1-like receptors results in hyperopia, whereas pharmacological activation of D2-like receptors results in myopia.<sup>180</sup> Interestingly, D1-like receptor activation uncouples AII amacrine cells, whereas D2-like receptor activation uncouples ganglion cells and photoreceptors (section: Regulation of expression and phosphorylation of GJD2(Cx36)). The disbalance between coupled AII amacrine cells versus coupled ganglion cells and photoreceptors and their relation to myopia is intriguing and may be solved by future studies.

### **Receptive Field**

The size of the receptive field of photoreceptors can be changed by altering the extent of the gap junction coupling.<sup>145,181</sup> In line with the mechanism described earlier, *GJD2*(Cx36) mediated coupling between photoreceptors increases during the night, thus leading to larger receptive fields.<sup>145,181</sup> In myopes, an increased receptive field size has also been demonstrated.<sup>182</sup> Although this finding needs to be proven, this increase is likely the result of increased coupling between photoreceptors, linking coupling to myopiagenesis.<sup>182</sup>

## **ON and OFF Signaling Pathway**

*GJD2*(Cx36)-containing gap junctions connect AII amacrine cells to ON cone bipolar cells and thereby provide a signaling pathway from rods feeding into the cone pathway via rod bipolar cells (section: Expression of *GJD2*(Cx36) in the retina and the various functions in visual processing) and AII amacrine cells to ON/OFF cone bipolar cells. This *GJD2*(Cx36)-connection enables the ON pathway to cross-inhibit the OFF pathway, involving OFF bipolar and OFF ganglion cells,<sup>183</sup> which could improve the efficiency of contrast encoding.<sup>184</sup>

Experimental settings in which elements of this pathway are disrupted provide further insights into the role of *GJD2*(Cx36) in myopia development. ERG of *GJD2*(Cx36) knockout mice showed a reduced scotopic b-wave, suggesting deficits of the rod signal pathway.<sup>26,86,87</sup> In addition, patients with congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB1), who also develop high myopia, exhibit defects in the ON pathway. Imbalance of the ON and OFF pathway in causing myopia is confirmed by studies showing that ON pathway deficiency triggers myopia in mice and chickens and OFF pathway deficiency inhibits myopia in chickens, whereas a mouse study did not support this converse effect of OFFpathway deficiency.<sup>153,185–187</sup>

In human subjects, overstimulated OFF pathways (1 hour of either reading black text on a white background or exposing to dynamic OFF stimuli) results in a thinner choroid and overstimulated ON pathways (1 hour of either reading white text on a black background or exposing to dynamic ON stimuli) leads to a thicker choroid.<sup>188,189</sup> Chicken experiments showed similar results and demonstrated increased dopamine release during ON stimulation.<sup>189</sup> Because thinner choroids are associated with myopia development and thicker choroids are associated with myopia inhibition,<sup>190–194</sup> dopamine, *GJD2*(Cx36), ON and OFF pathway, and choroidal thickening seem to be tightly linked in myopia development.<sup>195</sup>

#### Insulin and Glucagon

*GJD2*(Cx36) is expressed in the islets of Langerhans in the pancreas (as shown in Table 1) and provides electrical and metabolic coupling between beta-cells in these islets. When glucose levels are high, *GJD2*(Cx36) coordinates the synchronization of electrical activity throughout the islet, which results in pulsed secretion of insulin from beta-cells and conversely for low glucose levels.<sup>196</sup> Insulin release is in anti-phase with glucagon secretion from pancreatic alpha-cells.<sup>197</sup> A human exonic variant of *GJD2*(Cx36) exhibits postnatal reduction of *GJD2*(Cx36) islet levels and beta cell survival, resulting in glucose intolerance in transgenic mice.<sup>198</sup>

Several studies have identified an association between metabolic control of glucose (insulin/glucagon pathways) and myopia in humans.<sup>199–202</sup> Interestingly, insulin and glucagon show opposing effects on eye growth in chickens, with glucagon mostly increasing choroidal thickness (associated with myopia inhibition) and insulin mostly increasing ocular elongation, proposed to be controlled by glucagon-positive amacrine cells.<sup>203–206</sup>

Together, these findings indicate a link between GJD2(Cx36) and metabolic control of glucose levels via insulin and glucagon, which have a causal effect on eye growth in chickens. Future studies exploring the effect

of common variants annotated to GJD2(Cx36) on insulin/ glucagon levels and the subsequent potential impact on myopia development may help to elucidate this potential mechanism. Furthermore, given that the glucagon-positive amacrine cells are up to now only found in the avian retina, there might be an equivalent cellular mechanism present in humans that is closely related to the glucagon sensitive system found in chickens.

## **FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

GWASs have provided insights into the genetic architecture of refractive error. However, to further elucidate the biology underlying GWAS results, follow-up studies are required. These studies should include exploring the effect of the associated variants at the 15q14 locus on gene expression levels in the retina and other regulatory mechanisms like methylation. The variants may not be directly causally involved but could also change the function of an intergenic regulatory region. It is worth noting that current insights (see Fig. 4) point to an upregulation (either at protein level or phosphorylation state) of *GJD2*(Cx36) or an effect on the circadian regulation of *GJD2*(Cx36) expression as potential mechanisms contributing to myopia.

Another next step is to functionally explore the role of GJD2(Cx36) in myopia development. As outlined in the section Why use mouse and zebrafish models?, mice and zebrafish are suitable models due to the availability of an extensive toolbox that allows genetic manipulation and their suitability to study the visual system. In addition to the study of GJD2(Cx36) knockout on the phenotype, single cell-RNA sequencing can help dissect the differential transcriptomic profile of retinal cells and thereby allow a better understanding of the visual pathway and of myopia. Limitations of animal models include the low conservation of the regulatory sequence (section: Conservation of GJD2(Cx36)across species). However, cell culture models or the upcoming organoids of human tissue may be useful tools to test the regulatory function of the identified variants.

In conclusion, GJD2(Cx36) is a major candidate gene for non-syndromic myopia. As summarized and discussed in this review, it is involved in various processes that could potentially influence the risk of myopia. Future studies focusing on disentangling the myriad functions of GJD2(Cx36) in the described systems might be challenging, but at the same time they are critical to shed light on the mechanisms leading to myopia. Unraveling these mechanisms may potentially generate new targets for intervention and stop the global myopia boom.

## LITERATURE SEARCH

We searched the PubMed database for articles without any date restrictions using the following search terms separately or in combination: "gap junction delta-2," "connexin36," "gap junctions," "connexins," "myopia," "refractive error," "emmetropization," "retina," and "ocular tissue." In addition, a manual search was based on references from retrieved articles. Articles were excluded if they were not peer-reviewed.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Rob Willemsen for sharing his expertise on zebrafish, Cameron Cowan for sharing his data and expertise

on ocular single cell expression and Melanie Hoevenaars for her assistance in gene and protein alignments.

Supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant 648268), the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO; grant 91815655 to C.C.W. Klaver), UitZicht (project 2018-29) and Henkes Foundation.

Disclosure: E. van der Sande, None; A.E.G. Haarman, None; W.H. Quint, None; K.C.D. Tadema, None; M.A. Meester-Smoor, None; M. Kamermans, None; C.I. De Zeeuw, None; C.C.W. Klaver, None; B.H.J. Winkelman, None; A.I. Iglesias, None

#### References

- Németh J, Tapasztó B, Aclimandos WA, et al. Update and guidance on management of myopia. European Society of Ophthalmology in cooperation with International Myopia Institute. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* Published online March 5, 2021:1120672121998960.
- 2. Haarman AEG, Enthoven CA, Tideman JWL, Tedja MS, Verhoeven VJM, Klaver CCW. The complications of myopia: A review and meta-analysis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(4):49.
- Tideman JWL, Snabel MCC, Tedja MS, et al. Association of Axial Length With Risk of Uncorrectable Visual Impairment for Europeans With Myopia. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2016;134(12):1355–1363.
- Verhoeven VJM, Wong KT, Buitendijk GHS, Hofman A, Vingerling JR, Klaver CCW. Visual consequences of refractive errors in the general population. *Ophtbalmology*. 2015;122(1):101–109.
- 5. Grzybowski A, Kanclerz P, Tsubota K, Lanca C, Saw S-M. A review on the epidemiology of myopia in school children worldwide. *BMC Ophthalmol*. 2020;20(1):27.
- Tedja MS, Wojciechowski R, Hysi PG, et al. Genomewide association meta-analysis highlights light-induced signaling as a driver for refractive error. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(6):834–848.
- Hysi PG, Choquet H, Khawaja AP, et al. Meta-analysis of 542,934 subjects of European ancestry identifies new genes and mechanisms predisposing to refractive error and myopia. *Nat Genet*. 2020;52(4):401–407.
- 8. Fan Q, Wojciechowski R, Kamran Ikram M, et al. Education influences the association between genetic variants and refractive error: a meta-analysis of five Singapore studies. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2014;23(2):546–554.
- 9. Hayashi H, Yamashiro K, Nakanishi H, et al. Association of 15q14 and 15q25 with high myopia in Japanese. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52(7):4853–4858.
- Hysi PG, Young TL, Mackey DA, et al. A genome-wide association study for myopia and refractive error identifies a susceptibility locus at 15q25. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42(10):902– 905.
- 11. Kiefer AK, Tung JY, Do CB, et al. Genome-wide analysis points to roles for extracellular matrix remodeling, the visual cycle, and neuronal development in myopia. *PLoS Genet.* 2013;9(2):e1003299.
- 12. Kunceviciene E, Sriubiene M, Liutkeviciene R, Miceikiene IT, Smalinskiene A. Heritability of myopia and its relation with GDJ2 and RASGRF1 genes in Lithuania. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2018;18(1):124.
- Schache M, Richardson AJ, Mitchell P, et al. Genetic association of refractive error and axial length with 15q14 but not 15q25 in the Blue Mountains Eye Study cohort. *Ophthalmology*. 2013;120(2):292–297.

- 14. Simpson CL, Wojciechowski R, Oexle K, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of myopia and hyperopia provides evidence for replication of 11 loci. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(9):e107110.
- 15. Solouki AM, Verhoeven VJM, van Duijn CM, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies a susceptibility locus for refractive errors and myopia at 15q14. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42(10):897–901.
- 16. Stambolian D, Wojciechowski R, Oexle K, et al. Metaanalysis of genome-wide association studies in five cohorts reveals common variants in RBFOX1, a regulator of tissuespecific splicing, associated with refractive error. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2013;22(13):2754–2764.
- 17. Verhoeven VJM, Hysi PG, Saw S-M, et al. Large scale international replication and meta-analysis study confirms association of the 15q14 locus with myopia. The CREAM consortium. *Hum Genet*. 2012;131(9):1467–1480.
- Verhoeven VJM, Hysi PG, Wojciechowski R, et al. Genomewide meta-analyses of multiancestry cohorts identify multiple new susceptibility loci for refractive error and myopia. *Nat Genet.* 2013;45(3):314–318.
- 19. Yoshikawa M, Yamashiro K, Miyake M, et al. Comprehensive replication of the relationship between myopia-related genes and refractive errors in a large Japanese cohort. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(11):7343–7354.
- Cheng C-Y, Schache M, Ikram MK, et al. Nine loci for ocular axial length identified through genome-wide association studies, including shared loci with refractive error. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2013;93(2):264–277.
- 21. Tideman JWL, Fan Q, Polling JR, et al. When do myopia genes have their effect? Comparison of genetic risks between children and adults. *Genet Epidemiol*. 2016;40(8):756–766.
- 22. Kumar NM, Gilula NB. The gap junction communication channel. *Cell.* 1996;84(3):381–388.
- 23. Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, et al. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science*. 2015;347(6220):1260419.
- 24. Belluardo N, Mudò G, Trovato-Salinaro A, et al. Expression of connexin36 in the adult and developing rat brain. *Brain Res.* 2000;865(1):121–138.
- 25. Belluardo N, Trovato-Salinaro A, Mudò G, Hurd YL, Condorelli DF. Structure, chromosomal localization, and brain expression of human Cx36 gene. *J Neurosci Res.* 1999;57(5):740–752.
- Deans MR, Volgyi B, Goodenough DA, Bloomfield SA, Paul DL. Connexin36 is essential for transmission of rodmediated visual signals in the mammalian retina. *Neuron*. 2002;36(4):703–712.
- 27. Feigenspan A, Janssen-Bienhold U, Hormuzdi S, et al. Expression of connexin36 in cone pedicles and OFF-cone bipolar cells of the mouse retina. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24(13):3325–3334.
- Feigenspan A, Teubner B, Willecke K, Weiler R. Expression of neuronal connexin36 in AII amacrine cells of the mammalian retina. *J Neurosci*. 2001;21(1):230–239.
- 29. Kántor O, Varga A, Nitschke R, et al. Bipolar cell gap junctions serve major signaling pathways in the human retina. *Brain Struct Funct.* 2017;222(6):2603–2624.
- O'Brien JJ, Chen X, Macleish PR, O'Brien J, Massey SC. Photoreceptor coupling mediated by connexin36 in the primate retina. *J Neurosci*. 2012;32(13):4675–4687.
- Pan F, Paul DL, Bloomfield SA, Völgyi B. Connexin36 is required for gap junctional coupling of most ganglion cell subtypes in the mouse retina. *J Comp Neurol.* 2010;518(6):911–927.

- Söhl G, Joussen A, Kociok N, Willecke K. Expression of connexin genes in the human retina. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2010;10:27.
- Demb JB, Pugh EN. Connexin36 forms synapses essential for night vision. *Neuron*. 2002;36(4):551–553.
- DeVries SH, Qi X, Smith R, Makous W, Sterling P. Electrical coupling between mammalian cones. *Curr Biol.* 2002;12(22):1900–1907.
- Lamb TD, Simon EJ. The relation between intercellular coupling and electrical noise in turtle photoreceptors. J Physiol. 1976;263(2):257–286.
- 36. Tessier-Lavigne M, Attwell D. The effect of photoreceptor coupling and synapse nonlinearity on signal:noise ratio in early visual processing. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1988;234(1275):171–197.
- 37. Fan Q, Verhoeven VJM, Wojciechowski R, et al. Metaanalysis of gene-environment-wide association scans accounting for education level identifies additional loci for refractive error. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:11008.
- Simpson CL, Wojciechowski R, Yee SS, Soni P, Bailey-Wilson JE, Stambolian D. Regional replication of association with refractive error on 15q14 and 15q25 in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study cohort. *Mol Vis.* 2013;19:2173– 2186.
- 39. GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(6):580–585.
- 40. Miyake M, Yamashiro K, Tabara Y, et al. Identification of myopia-associated WNT7B polymorphisms provides insights into the mechanism underlying the development of myopia. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:6689.
- 41. Dirani M, Shekar SN, Baird PN. Evidence of shared genes in refraction and axial length: the Genes in Myopia (GEM) twin study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008;49(10):4336– 4339.
- Booij JC, van Soest S, Swagemakers SMA, et al. Functional annotation of the human retinal pigment epithelium transcriptome. *BMC Genomics*. 2009;10:164.
- 43. Young TL, Hawthorne F, Feng S, et al. Whole genome expression profiling of normal human fetal and adult ocular tissues. *Exp Eye Res.* 2013;116:265–278.
- 44. Li M, Jia C, Kazmierkiewicz KL, et al. Comprehensive analysis of gene expression in human retina and supporting tissues. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2014;23(15):4001–4014.
- 45. Cowan CS, Renner M, De Gennaro M, et al. Cell Types of the Human Retina and Its Organoids at Single-Cell Resolution. *Cell*. 2020;182(6):1623–1640.e34.
- 46. Fledelius HC. Myopia of adult onset. Can analyses be based on patient memory? Acta Ophthalmol Scand. 1995;73(5):394–396.
- Iribarren R, Cerrella MR, Armesto A, Iribarren G, Fornaciari A. Age of lens use onset in a myopic sample of officeworkers. *Curr Eye Res.* 2004;28(3):175–180.
- Iribarren R, Cortinez MF, Chiappe JP. Age of first distance prescription and final myopic refractive error. *Ophtbalmic Epidemiol.* 2009;16(2):84–89.
- 49. Janssen-Bienhold U, Dermietzel R, Weiler R. Distribution of connexin43 immunoreactivity in the retinas of different vertebrates. *J Comp Neurol.* 1998;396(3):310–321.
- 50. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 2020;581(7809):434–443.
- 51. Laird DW. Life cycle of connexins in health and disease. *Biochem J.* 2006;394(Pt 3):527–543.
- Aasen T, Mesnil M, Naus CC, Lampe PD, Laird DW. Gap junctions and cancer: communicating for 50 years. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2016;16(12):775–788.

- 53. Dbouk HA, Mroue RM, El-Sabban ME, Talhouk RS. Connexins: a myriad of functions extending beyond assembly of gap junction channels. *Cell Commun Signal*. 2009;7:4.
- 54. Bruzzone R, White TW, Paul DL. Connections with connexins: the molecular basis of direct intercellular signaling. *Eur J Biochem.* 1996;238(1):1–27.
- 55. Evans WH, Martin PEM. Gap junctions: structure and function (Review). *Mol Membr Biol*. 2002;19(2):121–136.
- Evans WH, De Vuyst E, Leybaert L. The gap junction cellular internet: connexin hemichannels enter the signalling limelight. *Biochem J.* 2006;397(1):1–14.
- Sáez JC, Retamal MA, Basilio D, Bukauskas FF, Bennett MVL. Connexin-based gap junction hemichannels: gating mechanisms. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2005;1711(2):215– 224.
- Kamermans M, Fahrenfort I, Schultz K, Janssen-Bienhold U, Sjoerdsma T, Weiler R. Hemichannel-mediated inhibition in the outer retina. *Science*. 2001;292(5519):1178– 1180.
- Klaassen LJ, de Graaff W, van Asselt JB, Klooster J, Kamermans M. Specific connectivity between photoreceptors and horizontal cells in the zebrafish retina. *J Neurophysiol*. 2016;116(6):2799–2814.
- 60. Pizarro-Delgado J, Fasciani I, Temperan A, et al. Inhibition of connexin 36 hemichannels by glucose contributes to the stimulation of insulin secretion. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;306(12):E1354–E1366.
- 61. Schock SC, Leblanc D, Hakim AM, Thompson CS. ATP release by way of connexin 36 hemichannels mediates ischemic tolerance in vitro. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2008;368(1):138–144.
- 62. Duffy HS, Delmar M, Spray DC. Formation of the gap junction nexus: binding partners for connexins. *J Physiol Paris*. 2002;96(3-4):243–249.
- 63. Giepmans BN, Verlaan I, Hengeveld T, et al. Gap junction protein connexin-43 interacts directly with microtubules. *Curr Biol.* 2001;11(17):1364–1368.
- 64. Theiss C, Meller K. Microinjected anti-actin antibodies decrease gap junctional intercellular communication in cultured astrocytes. *Exp Cell Res.* 2002;281(2):197–204.
- 65. Wu J-C, Tsai R-Y, Chung T-H. Role of catenins in the development of gap junctions in rat cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Biochem.* 2003;88(4):823–835.
- 66. Xu X, Li WE, Huang GY, et al. Modulation of mouse neural crest cell motility by N-cadherin and connexin 43 gap junctions. *J Cell Biol.* 2001;154(1):217–230.
- Iacobas DA, Scemes E, Spray DC. Gene expression alterations in connexin null mice extend beyond the gap junction. *Neurochem Int.* 2004;45(2-3):243–250.
- 68. Jiang JX, Gu S. Gap junction- and hemichannelindependent actions of connexins. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2005;1711(2):208–214.
- 69. Kalra J, Shao Q, Qin H, Thomas T, Alaoui-Jamali MA, Laird DW. Cx26 inhibits breast MDA-MB-435 cell tumorigenic properties by a gap junctional intercellular communication-independent mechanism. *Carcinogenesis*. 2006;27(12):2528–2537.
- Naus CC, Bond SL, Bechberger JF, Rushlow W. Identification of genes differentially expressed in C6 glioma cells transfected with connexin43. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev.* 2000;32(1):259–266.
- 71. Brissette JL, Kumar NM, Gilula NB, Hall JE, Dotto GP. Switch in gap junction protein expression is associated with selective changes in junctional permeability during keratinocyte differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA. 1994;91(14):6453–6457.
- 72. Elfgang C, Eckert R, Lichtenberg-Fraté H, et al. Specific permeability and selective formation of gap junction

channels in connexin-transfected HeLa cells. J Cell Biol. 1995;129(3):805-817.

- 73. Steinberg TH, Civitelli R, Geist ST, et al. Connexin43 and connexin45 form gap junctions with different molecular permeabilities in osteoblastic cells. *EMBO J*. 1994;13(4):744–750.
- 74. Degen J, Meier C, Van Der Giessen RS, et al. Expression pattern of lacZ reporter gene representing connexin36 in transgenic mice. *J Comp Neurol.* 2004;473(4):511– 525.
- Lee E-J, Han J-W, Kim H-J, et al. The immunocytochemical localization of connexin 36 at rod and cone gap junctions in the guinea pig retina. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2003;18(11):2925– 2934.
- 76. O'Brien J, Nguyen HB, Mills SL. Cone photoreceptors in bass retina use two connexins to mediate electrical coupling. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24(24):5632–5642.
- 77. Han Y, Massey SC. Electrical synapses in retinal ON cone bipolar cells: subtype-specific expression of connexins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005;102(37):13313–13318.
- Kántor O, Benkő Z, Énzsöly A, et al. Characterization of connexin36 gap junctions in the human outer retina. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2016;221(6):2963–2984.
- 79. Bloomfield SA, Völgyi B. The diverse functional roles and regulation of neuronal gap junctions in the retina. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2009;10(7):495–506.
- Hidaka S, Akahori Y, Kurosawa Y. Dendrodendritic electrical synapses between mammalian retinal ganglion cells. J *Neurosci.* 2004;24(46):10553–10567.
- Hidaka S, Kato T, Miyachi E-I. Expression of gap junction connexin36 in adult rat retinal ganglion cells. *J Integr Neurosci.* 2002;1(1):3–22.
- 82. Schubert T, Degen J, Willecke K, Hormuzdi SG, Monyer H, Weiler R. Connexin36 mediates gap junctional coupling of alpha-ganglion cells in mouse retina. *J Comp Neurol.* 2005;485(3):191–201.
- Dang L, Pulukuri S, Mears AJ, Swaroop A, Reese BE, Sitaramayya A. Connexin 36 in photoreceptor cells: studies on transgenic rod-less and cone-less mouse retinas. *Mol Vis.* 2004;10:323–327.
- Deans MR, Paul DL. Mouse horizontal cells do not express connexin26 or connexin36. *Cell Commun Adhes*. 2001;8(4-6):361–366.
- 85. Völgyi B, Deans MR, Paul DL, Bloomfield SA. Convergence and segregation of the multiple rod pathways in mammalian retina. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24(49):11182–11192.
- Güldenagel M, Söhl G, Plum A, et al. Expression patterns of connexin genes in mouse retina. *J Comp Neurol*. 2000;425(2):193–201.
- 87. Maxeiner S, Dedek K, Janssen-Bienhold U, et al. Deletion of connexin45 in mouse retinal neurons disrupts the rod/cone signaling pathway between AII amacrine and ON cone bipolar cells and leads to impaired visual transmission. *J Neurosci*. 2005;25(3):566–576.
- Cowan CS, Abd-El-Barr M, van der Heijden M, et al. Connexin 36 and rod bipolar cell independent rod pathways drive retinal ganglion cells and optokinetic reflexes. *Vision Res.* 2016;119:99–109.
- 89. Zhao X, Wong KY, Zhang D-Q. Mapping physiological inputs from multiple photoreceptor systems to dopaminergic amacrine cells in the mouse retina. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):7920.
- 90. Contini M, Lin B, Kobayashi K, Okano H, Masland RH, Raviola E. Synaptic input of ON-bipolar cells onto the dopaminergic neurons of the mouse retina. *J Comp Neurol*. 2010;518(11):2035–2050.
- 91. Newkirk GS, Hoon M, Wong RO, Detwiler PB. Inhibitory inputs tune the light response properties of dopaminergic

amacrine cells in mouse retina. J Neurophysiol. 2013; 110(2):536–552.

- 92. Qiao S-N, Zhang Z, Ribelayga CP, Zhong Y-M, Zhang D-Q. Multiple cone pathways are involved in photic regulation of retinal dopamine. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:28916.
- 93. Cameron MA, Pozdeyev N, Vugler AA, Cooper H, Iuvone PM, Lucas RJ. Light regulation of retinal dopamine that is independent of melanopsin phototransduction. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2009;29(4):761–767.
- 94. Munteanu T, Noronha KJ, Leung AC, Pan S, Lucas JA, Schmidt TM. Light-dependent pathways for dopaminergic amacrine cell development and function. *Elife.* 2018;7, doi:10.7554/eLife.39866.
- 95. na Park H, SB Jabbar, Tan CC, et al. Visually-driven ocular growth in mice requires functional rod photoreceptors. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(10):6272–6279.
- Pérez-Fernández V, Milosavljevic N, Allen AE, et al. Rod photoreceptor activation alone defines the release of dopamine in the retina. *Curr Biol.* 2019;29(5):763–774.e5.
- Besharse JC, McMahon DG. The retina and other lightsensitive ocular clocks. J Biol Rhythms. 2016;31(3):223– 243.
- Bloomfield SA, Völgyi B. Function and plasticity of homologous coupling between AII amacrine cells. *Vision Res.* 2004;44(28):3297–3306.
- 99. McMahon DG, Iuvone PM, Tosini G. Circadian organization of the mammalian retina: from gene regulation to physiology and diseases. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2014;39:58–76.
- 100. Stone RA, McGlinn AM, Chakraborty R, et al. Altered ocular parameters from circadian clock gene disruptions. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(6):e0217111.
- 101. Stone RA, Pardue MT, Iuvone PM, Khurana TS. Pharmacology of myopia and potential role for intrinsic retinal circadian rhythms. *Exp Eye Res.* 2013;114:35–47.
- 102. Altimus CM, Güler AD, Alam NM, et al. Rod photoreceptors drive circadian photoentrainment across a wide range of light intensities. *Nat Neurosci*. 2010;13(9):1107–1112.
- 103. Calligaro H, Coutanson C, Najjar RP, et al. Rods contribute to the light-induced phase shift of the retinal clock in mammals. *PLoS Biol.* 2019;17(3):e2006211.
- 104. Dedek K, Schultz K, Pieper M, et al. Localization of heterotypic gap junctions composed of connexin45 and connexin36 in the rod pathway of the mouse retina. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2006;24(6):1675–1686.
- 105. Lin B, Jakobs TC, Masland RH. Different functional types of bipolar cells use different gap-junctional proteins. *J Neurosci.* 2005;25(28):6696–6701.
- 106. Mills SL, O'Brien JJ, Li W, O'Brien J, Massey SC. Rod pathways in the mammalian retina use connexin 36. J Comp Neurol. 2001;436(3):336–350.
- 107. Yadav SC, Tetenborg S, Dedek K. Gap Junctions in A8 Amacrine Cells Are Made of Connexin36 but Are Differently Regulated Than Gap Junctions in AII Amacrine Cells. *Front Mol Neurosci.* 2019;12:99.
- 108. Schubert T, Maxeiner S, Krüger O, Willecke K, Weiler R. Connexin45 mediates gap junctional coupling of bistratified ganglion cells in the mouse retina. *J Comp Neurol*. 2005;490(1):29–39.
- 109. Akanuma S-I, Higashi H, Maruyama S, et al. Expression and function of connexin 43 protein in mouse and human retinal pigment epithelial cells as hemichannels and gap junction proteins. *Exp Eye Res.* 2018;168:128–137.
- Guo CX, Tran H, Green CR, Danesh-Meyer HV, Acosta ML. Gap junction proteins in the light-damaged albino rat. *Mol Vis.* 2014;20:670–682.
- 111. Hombach S, Janssen-Bienhold U, Söhl G, et al. Functional expression of connexin57 in horizontal cells of the mouse retina. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2004;19(10):2633–2640.

- 112. Kerr NM, Johnson CS, de Souza CF, et al. Immunolocalization of gap junction protein connexin43 (GJA1) in the human retina and optic nerve. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2010;51(8):4028–4034.
- 113. Pearson RA, Catsicas M, Becker DL, Bayley P, Lüneborg NL, Mobbs P. Ca(2+) signalling and gap junction coupling within and between pigment epithelium and neural retina in the developing chick. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2004;19(9):2435–2445.
- 114. Ciolofan C, Lynn BD, Wellershaus K, Willecke K, Nagy JI. Spatial relationships of connexin36, connexin57 and zonula occludens-1 in the outer plexiform layer of mouse retina. *Neuroscience*. 2007;148(2):473–488.
- 115. Massey SC, O'Brien JJ, Trexler EB, et al. Multiple neuronal connexins in the mammalian retina. *Cell Commun Adhes*. 2003;10(4-6):425–430.
- 116. Johansson K, Bruun A, Ehinger B. Gap junction protein connexin43 is heterogeneously expressed among glial cells in the adult rabbit retina. *J Comp Neurol*. 1999;407(3):395–403.
- Kihara AH, Mantovani de Castro L, Belmonte MA, Yan CYI, Moriscot AS, Hamassaki DE. Expression of connexins 36, 43, and 45 during postnatal development of the mouse retina. *J Neurobiol.* 2006;66(13):1397–1410.
- 118. Pearson RA, Dale N, Llaudet E, Mobbs P. ATP released via gap junction hemichannels from the pigment epithelium regulates neural retinal progenitor proliferation. *Neuron*. 2005;46(5):731–744.
- 119. Shurman DL, Glazewski L, Gumpert A, Zieske JD, Richard G. In vivo and in vitro expression of connexins in the human corneal epithelium. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46(6):1957–1965.
- 120. Zhai J, Wang Q, Tao L. Connexin expression patterns in diseased human corneas. *Exp Ther Med.* 2014;7(4):791–798.
- 121. Calera MR, Topley HL, Liao Y, Duling BR, Paul DL, Goodenough DA. Connexin43 is required for production of the aqueous humor in the murine eye. *J Cell Sci*. 2006;119(Pt 21):4510–4519.
- 122. Calera MR, Wang Z, Sanchez-Olea R, Paul DL, Civan MM, Goodenough DA. Depression of intraocular pressure following inactivation of connexin43 in the nonpigmented epithelium of the ciliary body. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50(5):2185–2193.
- 123. Yu H, Miao Y, Chen W, et al. Expressional and functional involvement of gap junctions in aqueous humor outflow into the ocular trabecular meshwork of the anterior chamber. *Mol Vis.* 2019;25:255–265.
- 124. Berry V, Mackay D, Khaliq S, et al. Connexin 50 mutation in a family with congenital "zonular nuclear" pulverulent cataract of Pakistani origin. *Hum Genet*. 1999;105(1-2):168–170.
- 125. Church RL, Wang JH, Steele E. The human lens intrinsic membrane protein MP70 (Cx50) gene: clonal analysis and chromosome mapping. *Curr Eye Res.* 1995;14(3):215–221.
- 126. Gong X, Baldo GJ, Kumar NM, Gilula NB, Mathias RT. Gap junctional coupling in lenses lacking alpha3 connexin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998;95(26):15303–15308.
- 127. Mackay D, Ionides A, Kibar Z, et al. Connexin46 mutations in autosomal dominant congenital cataract. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1999;64(5):1357–1364.
- 128. Paznekas WA, Boyadjiev SA, Shapiro RE, et al. Connexin 43 (GJA1) mutations cause the pleiotropic phenotype of oculodentodigital dysplasia. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2003;72(2):408–418.
- 129. Renwick JH, Lawler SD. Probable linkage between a congenital cataract locus and the Duffy blood group locus. *Ann Hum Genet*. 1963;27:67–84.

- 130. Rong P, Wang X, Niesman I, et al. Disruption of Gja8 (alpha8 connexin) in mice leads to microphthalmia associated with retardation of lens growth and lens fiber maturation. *Development*. 2002;129(1):167–174.
- 131. Shiels A, Mackay D, Ionides A, Berry V, Moore A, Bhattacharya S. A missense mutation in the human connexin50 gene (GJA8) underlies autosomal dominant "zonular pulverulent" cataract, on chromosome 1q. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1998;62(3):526–532.
- 132. White TW, Goodenough DA, Paul DL. Targeted ablation of connexin50 in mice results in microphthalmia and zonular pulverulent cataracts. *J Cell Biol.* 1998;143(3):815–825.
- 133. White TW. Unique and redundant connexin contributions to lens development. *Science*. 2002;295(5553):319–320.
- 134. Kothmann WW, Li X, Burr GS, O'Brien J. Connexin 35/36 is phosphorylated at regulatory sites in the retina. *Vis Neurosci.* 2007;24(3):363–375.
- 135. Li H, Chuang AZ, O'Brien J. Regulation of photoreceptor gap junction phosphorylation by adenosine in zebrafish retina. *Vis Neurosci*. 2014;31(3):237–243.
- 136. Ouyang X, Winbow VM, Patel LS, Burr GS, Mitchell CK, O'Brien J. Protein kinase A mediates regulation of gap junctions containing connexin35 through a complex pathway. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res.* 2005;135(1-2):1–11.
- 137. Li H, Chuang AZ, O'Brien J. Photoreceptor coupling is controlled by connexin 35 phosphorylation in zebrafish retina. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(48):15178–15186.
- 138. Kothmann WW, Massey SC, O'Brien J. Dopaminestimulated dephosphorylation of connexin 36 mediates AII amacrine cell uncoupling. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(47):14903– 14911.
- 139. Li H, Zhang Z, Blackburn MR, Wang SW, Ribelayga CP, O'Brien J. Adenosine and dopamine receptors coregulate photoreceptor coupling via gap junction phosphorylation in mouse retina. *J Neurosci.* 2013;33(7):3135–3150.
- 140. Hampson EC, Vaney DI, Weiler R. Dopaminergic modulation of gap junction permeability between amacrine cells in mammalian retina. *J Neurosci*. 1992;12(12):4911–4922.
- 141. Mills SL, Massey SC. Differential properties of two gap junctional pathways made by AII amacrine cells. *Nature*. 1995;377(6551):734–737.
- 142. Dunn FA, Doan T, Sampath AP, Rieke F. Controlling the gain of rod-mediated signals in the Mammalian retina. *J Neurosci.* 2006;26(15):3959–3970.
- 143. Vardi N, Smith RG. The AII amacrine network: coupling can increase correlated activity. *Vision Res.* 1996;36(23):3743– 3757.
- 144. Mills SL, Xia X-B, Hoshi H, et al. Dopaminergic modulation of tracer coupling in a ganglion-amacrine cell network. *Vis Neurosci*. 2007;24(4):593–608.
- 145. Ribelayga C, Cao Y, Mangel SC. The circadian clock in the retina controls rod-cone coupling. *Neuron*. 2008;59(5):790–801.
- 146. Ribelayga C, Mangel SC. A circadian clock and light/dark adaptation differentially regulate adenosine in the mammalian retina. *J Neurosci.* 2005;25(1):215–222.
- 147. Zhang Z, Li H, Liu X, O'Brien J, Ribelayga CP. Circadian clock control of connexin36 phosphorylation in retinal photoreceptors of the CBA/CaJ mouse strain. *Vis Neurosci*. 2015;32:E009.
- 148. Katti C, Butler R, Sekaran S. Diurnal and circadian regulation of connexin 36 transcript and protein in the mammalian retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54(1):821–829.
- 149. Eastman SD, Chen TH-P, Falk MM, Mendelson TC, Iovine MK. Phylogenetic analysis of three complete gap junction gene families reveals lineage-specific duplications and highly supported gene classes. *Genomics*. 2006;87(2):265–274.

- 150. Miller AC, Whitebirch AC, Shah AN, et al. A genetic basis for molecular asymmetry at vertebrate electrical synapses. *Elife.* 2017;6, doi:10.7554/eLife.25364.
- 151. Postlethwait J, Amores A, Cresko W, Singer A, Yan Y-L. Subfunction partitioning, the teleost radiation and the annotation of the human genome. *Trends Genet*. 2004;20(10):481–490.
- 152. Güldenagel M, Ammermüller J, Feigenspan A, et al. Visual transmission deficits in mice with targeted disruption of the gap junction gene connexin36. *J Neurosci*. 2001;21(16):6036–6044.
- 153. Pardue MT, Faulkner AE, Fernandes A, et al. High susceptibility to experimental myopia in a mouse model with a retinal on pathway defect. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008;49(2):706–712.
- 154. Quint WH, Tadema KCD, de Vrieze E, et al. Loss of Gap Junction Delta-2 (GJD2) gene orthologs leads to refractive error in zebrafish. *Commun Biol.* 2021;4(1), doi:10.1038/ s42003-021-02185-z.
- 155. Chhetri J, Jacobson G, Gueven N. Zebrafish–on the move towards ophthalmological research. *Eye* . 2014;28(4):367– 380.
- 156. Schaeffel F, Feldkaemper M. Animal models in myopia research. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2015;98(6):507–517.
- 157. Teves M, Shi Q, Stell WK, Eng D. The role of cell-cell coupling in myopia development and light adaptation. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(13):3036–3036.
- 158. Banerjee S, Wang Q, Zhao F, et al. Increased Connexin36 Phosphorylation in AII Amacrine Cell Coupling of the Mouse Myopic Retina. *Front Cell Neurosci*. 2020;14:124.
- 159. Ashby R, Ohlendorf A, Schaeffel F. The effect of ambient illuminance on the development of deprivation myopia in chicks. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50(11):5348–5354.
- 160. Karouta C, Ashby RS. Correlation between light levels and the development of deprivation myopia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;56(1):299–309.
- 161. Smith EL, Hung L-F, Huang J. Protective Effects of High Ambient Lighting on the Development of Form-Deprivation Myopia in Rhesus Monkeys. *Invest Ophtbalmol Vis Sci.* 2012;53(1):421, doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8652.
- 162. Landis EG, Park HN, Chrenek M, et al. Ambient light regulates retinal dopamine signaling and myopia susceptibility. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2021;62(1):28.
- 163. Ashby RS, Schaeffel F. The effect of bright light on lens compensation in chicks. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;51(10):5247–5253.
- 164. McCarthy CS, Megaw P, Devadas M, Morgan IG. Dopaminergic agents affect the ability of brief periods of normal vision to prevent form-deprivation myopia. *Exp Eye Res.* 2007;84(1):100–107.
- 165. Landis E, Park H, Chakraborty R, Sidhu C, Iuvone PM, Pardue MT. Ascorbic acid, and not L-DOPA, protects against form-deprivation myopia in retinal degeneration mouse models. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2016;57(12).
- 166. Landis EG, Chrenek MA, Chakraborty R, et al. Increased endogenous dopamine prevents myopia in mice. *Exp Eye Res.* 2020;193(107956):107956.
- 167. Yan T, Xiong W, Huang F, et al. Daily Injection But Not Continuous Infusion of Apomorphine Inhibits Form-Deprivation Myopia in Mice. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2015;56(4):2475-2485.
- 168. Iuvone PM, Tigges M, Stone RA, Lambert S, Laties AM. Effects of apomorphine, a dopamine receptor agonist, on ocular refraction and axial elongation in a primate model of myopia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1991;32(5):1674–1677.
- 169. Rohrer B, Spira AW, Stell WK. Apomorphine blocks form-deprivation myopia in chickens by a dopamine

D2-receptor mechanism acting in retina or pigmented epithelium. *Vis Neurosci*. 1993;10(3):447–453.

- 170. Schmid KL, Wildsoet CF. Inhibitory effects of apomorphine and atropine and their combination on myopia in chicks. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2004;81(2):137–147.
- 171. Dong F, Zhi Z, Pan M, et al. Inhibition of experimental myopia by a dopamine agonist: different effectiveness between form deprivation and hyperopic defocus in guinea pigs. *Mol Vis.* 2011;17:2824–2834.
- 172. Junfeng M, Shuangzhen L, Wenjuan Q, Fengyun L, Xiaoying W, Qian T. Levodopa Inhibits the Development of Form-Deprivation Myopia in Guinea Pigs. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2010;87(1):53.
- 173. Gao Q, Liu Q, Ma P, Zhong X, Wu J, Ge J. Effects of direct intravitreal dopamine injections on the development of lidsuture induced myopia in rabbits. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2006;244(10):1329–1335.
- 174. Huang F, Yan T, Shi F, et al. Activation of dopamine D2 receptor is critical for the development of form-deprivation myopia in the C57BL/6 mouse. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(9):5537–5544.
- 175. Schaeffel F, Bartmann M, Hagel G, Zrenner E. Studies on the role of the retinal dopamine/melatonin system in experimental refractive errors in chickens. *Vision Res.* 1995;35(9):1247–1264.
- 176. Cui D, Trier K, Zeng J, et al. Effects of 7-methylxanthine on the sclera in form deprivation myopia in guinea pigs. *Acta Ophthalmologica*. 2011;89(4):328–334, doi:10.1111/j. 1755-3768.2009.01688.x.
- 177. Hung L-F, Arumugam B, Ostrin L, et al. The Adenosine Receptor Antagonist, 7-Methylxanthine, Alters Emmetropizing Responses in Infant Macaques. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2018;59(1):472–486.
- 178. Nie H-H, Huo L-J, Yang X, et al. Effects of 7-methylxanthine on form-deprivation myopia in pigmented rabbits. *Int J Ophthalmol.* 2012;5(2):133–137.
- 179. Trier K, Munk Ribel-Madsen S, Cui D, Brøgger Christensen S. Systemic 7-methylxanthine in retarding axial eye growth and myopia progression: a 36-month pilot study. *J Ocul Biol Dis Infor*. 2008;1(2-4):85–93.
- 180. Zhou X, Pardue MT, Iuvone PM, Qu J. Dopamine signaling and myopia development: What are the key challenges. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2017;61:60–71.
- 181. Gao F, Pang J-J, Wu SM. Sign-preserving and signinverting synaptic interactions between rod and cone photoreceptors in the dark-adapted retina. *J Physiol.* 2013;591(22):5711–5726.
- 182. Jaworski A, Gentle A, Zele AJ, Vingrys AJ, McBrien NA. Altered visual sensitivity in axial high myopia: a local postreceptoral phenomenon? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2006;47(8):3695–3702.
- 183. Schiller PH. Parallel information processing channels created in the retina. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010;107(40):17087–17094.
- 184. Liang Z, Freed MA. Cross inhibition from ON to OFF pathway improves the efficiency of contrast encoding in the mammalian retina. *J Neurophysiol*. 2012;108(10):2679–2688.
- 185. Chakraborty R, Park HN, Aung MH, et al. Comparison of refractive development and retinal dopamine in OFF pathway mutant and C57BL/6J wild-type mice. *Mol Vis.* 2014;20:1318–1327.
- 186. Chakraborty R, Park HN, Hanif AM, Sidhu CS, Iuvone PM, Pardue MT. ON pathway mutations increase susceptibility to form-deprivation myopia. *Exp Eye Res.* 2015;137:79–83.

- Crewther SG, Crewther DP. Inhibition of retinal ON/OFF systems differentially affects refractive compensation to defocus. *Neuroreport*. 2003;14(9):1233–1237.
- 188. Aleman AC, Wang M, Schaeffel F. Reading and Myopia: Contrast polarity matters. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):10840.
- 189. Wang M, Aleman AC, Schaeffel F. Probing the potency of artificial dynamic ON or OFF stimuli to inhibit myopia development. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2019;60(7):2599–2611.
- 190. Hung LF, Wallman J, Smith EL, 3rd. Vision-dependent changes in the choroidal thickness of macaque monkeys. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41(6):1259–1269.
- 191. Sander BP, Collins MJ, Read SA. The effect of topical adrenergic and anticholinergic agents on the choroidal thickness of young healthy adults. *Exp Eye Res.* 2014;128:181–189.
- 192. Troilo D, Nickla DL, Wildsoet CF. Choroidal thickness changes during altered eye growth and refractive state in a primate. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41(6):1249–1258.
- 193. Wallman J, Wildsoet C, Xu A, et al. Moving the retina: choroidal modulation of refractive state. *Vision Res.* 1995;35(1):37–50.
- 194. Wang D, Chun RKM, Liu M, et al. Optical Defocus Rapidly Changes Choroidal Thickness in Schoolchildren. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(8):e0161535.
- 195. Nickla DL, Totonelly K, Dhillon B. Dopaminergic agonists that result in ocular growth inhibition also elicit transient increases in choroidal thickness in chicks. *Exp Eye Res.* 2010;91(5):715–720.
- 196. Farnsworth NL, Benninger RKP. New insights into the role of connexins in pancreatic islet function and diabetes. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;588(8):1278–1287.
- 197. Watts M, Ha J, Kimchi O, Sherman A. Paracrine regulation of glucagon secretion: the  $\beta/\alpha/\delta$  model. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;310(8):E597–E611.
- 198. Cigliola V, Populaire C, Pierri CL, et al. A Variant of GJD2, Encoding for Connexin 36, Alters the Function of Insulin Producing β-Cells. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(3):e0150880.
- 199. Cordain L, Eaton SB, Brand Miller J, Lindeberg S, Jensen C. An evolutionary analysis of the aetiology and pathogenesis of juvenile-onset myopia. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand*. 2002;80(2):125–135.
- 200. Gwinup G, Villarreal A. Relationship of serum glucose concentration to changes in refraction. *Diabetes*. 1976;25(1):29–31.
- 201. Liu X, Wang P, Qu C, et al. Genetic association study between INSULIN pathway related genes and high myopia in a Han Chinese population. *Mol Biol Rep.* 2015;42(1):303– 310.
- 202. Zhuang W, Yang P, Li Z, et al. Association of insulin-like growth factor-1 polymorphisms with high myopia in the Chinese population. *Mol Vis.* 2012;18:634–644.
- 203. Feldkaemper MP, Schaeffel F. Evidence for a potential role of glucagon during eye growth regulation in chicks. *Vis Neurosci.* 2002;19(6):755–766.
- 204. Sheng C, Zhu X, Wallman J. In vitro effects of insulin and RPE on choroidal and scleral components of eye growth in chicks. *Exp Eye Res.* 2013;116:439–448.
- 205. Tang R-H, Tan J, Deng Z-H, Zhao S-Z, Miao Y-B, Zhang W-J. Insulin-like growth factor-2 antisense oligonucleotides inhibits myopia by expression blocking of retinal insulinlike growth factor-2 in guinea pig. *Clin Experiment Ophtbalmol.* 2012;40(5):503–511.
- 206. Zhu X, Wallman J. Opposite effects of glucagon and insulin on compensation for spectacle lenses in chicks. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50(1):24–36.