REFERENCES

- 1. Shapiro L, Platt N, Torres-Rodríguez VM. Idiopathic calcinosis of the scrotum. Arch Dermatol 1970;102:199-204.
- 2. Chiummariello S, Figus A, Menichini G, Bellezza G, Alfano C. Scrotal calcinosis: a very rare multiple clinical presen-

tation. Clin Exp Dermatol 2009;34:e795-e797.

- 3. Dubey S, Sharma R, Maheshwari V. Scrotal calcinosis: idiopathic or dystrophic? Dermatol Online J 2010;16:5.
- Dini M, Colafranceschi M. Should scrotal calcinosis still be termed idiopathic? Am J Dermatopathol 1998;20:399-402.

https://doi.org/10.5021/ad.2018.30.2.238



A Case-Control Study of Skin Cancer and Exposure of Toxic Heavy Metals

Tae-Hoon Kim, Jeong-Wook Seo¹, Young-Seoub Hong¹, Ki-Hoon Song

Department of Dermatology, College of Medicine, Dong-A University, ¹Department of Preventive Medicine and Dong-A University Heavy Metal Exposure Environmental Health Center, College of Medicine, Dong-A University, Busan, Korea

Dear Editor:

The prevalence of skin cancer is increasing in Korea¹. Although increased public awareness has contributed to this trend, other risk factors or mechanisms in addition to ultraviolet-exposure may be involved. It is known that exposure to heavy metals contributes to the development of skin cancers². The main threats to human health are associated with toxic heavy metals, such as arsenic, cadmium, chromium, and lead². However, there have been few quantitative analyses of the effects of toxic heavy metal exposure on the risk of skin cancer. Therefore, this study examined exposure levels to toxic heavy metals in skin cancer patients and community controls through analysis of serum and urine samples.

A total of 138 biopsy-proven skin cancer patients (84 with basal cell carcinoma, BCC; 30 with squamous cell carcinoma, SCC; 14 with malignant melanoma; and 10 with other cancers, including dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, eccrine porocarcinoma, and sebaceous carcinoma) and 142 community controls were included in the study.

Controls volunteered for this study, and were selected based on the sex- and age-distribution ratio in the skin cancer group; controls underwent health examinations at the Dong-A University Hospital. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Dong-A University Medical Center (IRB no. 16-075). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before participation. Peripheral blood and urine samples were collected before breakfast. We used 10 mg/L multi-element calibration standards (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to prepare 7 precalibration levels, from 0.05 ppb to 20 ppb. Then, a 1:100 dilution of the precalibrators was prepared in a basic diluent solution. Samples were prepared with a simple 1:10 dilution in this basic diluent. Rhodium (10 mg/L; Agilent Technologies) was used as an internal standard.

Heavy metal levels were measured using an inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (7700x; Agilent Technologies). To ensure accuracy, quality control was performed using standard samples (Whole Blood Metal

Received January 24, 2017, Revised March 22, 2017, Accepted for publication April 3, 2017

Corresponding author: Ki-Hoon Song, Department of Dermatology, College of Medicine, Dong-A University, 32 Daesingongwon-ro, Seo-gu, Busan 49201, Korea. Tel: 82-51-240-5435, Fax: 82-51-253-0787, E-mail: khsong@dau.ac.kr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © The Korean Dermatological Association and The Korean Society for Investigative Dermatology

Table 1. Gec	Table 1. Geometric mean of serum heavy metal concentration	eavy metal concentration						
	Skin cancer $(n = 133, \mu g/L)$	Control $(n = 142, \mu g/L)$	<i>p</i> -value	Control (n = 142)	Basal cell carcinoma (n=81)	<i>p</i> -value ^{Sc}	Squamous cell carcinoma p (n = 28)	<i>p</i> -value
Arsenic Chromium	$10.90 (9.50 \sim 12.50) \\ 0.44 (0.40 \sim 0.48) \\ 0.41 (0.60 \sim 0.28) \\ 0.01 $	$10.90 (9.69 \sim 12.26) \\ 0.41 (0.38 \sim 0.44) \\ 0.61 (0.38 \sim 0.44) \\ 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 \\ 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 \\ 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 \\ 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 \\ 0.61 $		$\begin{array}{c} 10.90 & (9.69 \sim 12.26) \\ 0.41 & (0.38 \sim 0.44) \\ \end{array}$	11.98 (10.08 \sim 14.24) 0.47 (0.42 \sim 0.52)	0.355 0.057		0.879 0.411
Lead Cadmium	$19.11 \ (18.04 \sim 20.85)$ $1.06 \ (0.96 \sim 1.16)$	$17.36 (16.34 \sim 18.73)$ 1.06 (0.99 ~ 1.15)	0.072 1 0.941	$17.36 (16.34 \sim 18.73)$ 1.06 (0.99 ~ 1.15)	$20.06 \ (18.07 \sim 22.27)$ 1.08 \ (0.96 \circ 1.21)	0.016 0.805	$18.58 (15.18 \sim 22.73)$ (11.11 (0.88 ~ 1.40) (1.11 (0.88 ~ 1.40)	0.436 0.617
Values are p Table 2. Geo	Values are presented as geometric mean (95% confidence interval). Table 2. Geometric mean of urinary heavy metal concentration	aan (95% confidence inte heavy metal concentratior	rval).					
	Skin cancer $(n = 138, \mu g/L)$	Control (n=142, μ g/L)	<i>p</i> -value	e Control (n=142)	Basal cell carcinoma (n=84)	84) <i>p</i> -value	e Squamous cell carcinoma (n=30)	<i>p</i> -value
Arsenic Chromium	$\begin{array}{l} 198.44 & (168.46 \sim 233.74) \\ 3.00 & (2.65 \sim 3.40) \end{array}$		8.56) 0.940 0.071	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 196.77 & (169.39 \sim 22) \\ 1 & 3.75 & (3.04 \sim 4.63) \end{array}$	28.56) 209.11 (169.95~25) 3) 3.12 (2.58~3.62)	(57.30) 0.629 2) 0.127	$196.77 (169.39 \sim 228.56) 0.940 196.77 (169.39 \sim 228.56) 209.11 (169.95 \sim 257.30) 0.629 184.96 (121.77 \sim 280.95) 0.737 0.375 (3.04 \sim 4.63) 3.12 (2.58 \sim 3.62) 0.127 3.34 (2.43 \sim 4.57) 0.522 $	0.737 0.522

0.256 0.418

 $3.01 \ (1.61 \sim 5.65)$

0.179

 $3.03 (1.83 \sim 4.87)$ 1.88 (1.73~2.08)

2.02 (1.47 ~ 2.77) $1.66 \ (1.44 \sim 1.90)$

0.164 0.082

2.02 (1.47 ~ 2.77) $1.66 (1.44 \sim 1.90)$

2.85 (1.98~4.11) $(1.93 (1.80 \sim 2.09))$

Lead

as geometric mean (95% confidence interval).

presented

Values are Cadmium

0.826

2.05 (1.83~2.35)

Control Level 1, 2, Seronorm; SERO AS, Billingstad, Norway). Urinary creatinine level was measured, and the analytic values of urine samples were adjusted for creatinine.

All data were analyzed using SAS (ver. 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Gender and age compositions of both groups were confirmed by independent χ^2 tests. The geometric mean (GM) and 95% confidence interval for each heavy metal concentration were presented as skewed distributions (skewness > 0). An independent sample t-test was performed by logarithm transformation to compare the concentrations. The patient group was stratified according to skin cancer types and compared with the control. The p < 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

There was no significant difference in the demographic characteristics of the patient and control groups. Because of 3 specimen contaminations and 2 sampling failures, 133 blood samples (81 BCC, 28 SCC, 14 MM, 10 other) and 138 urinary samples from the skin cancer group were analyzed.

The patient and control groups did not show significant differences in serum arsenic, chromium, lead, or cadmium concentration (Table 1). The GM of serum arsenic and cadmium levels in both groups showed no difference. Serum chromium and lead levels in the patient group tended to be higher, but did not reach statistical significance. In the analysis of heavy metal levels in the different types of skin cancer, serum lead concentrations in BCC patients were found to be significantly higher than those in the control group. The levels of arsenic, chromium, and cadmium were not significantly different among the various types of skin cancers.

There were no significant differences between the patient and control groups in urinary arsenic, chromium, lead, or cadmium concentration. In the analysis of levels among different types of skin cancer, the concentrations were not significantly different (Table 2).

Data from animal and human studies have suggested that exposure to arsenic and chromium may be associated with an increased risk for various skin cancers^{3,4}. The International Agency for Research on Cancer classified cadmium as a human carcinogen (group 1)⁵, based on sufficient evidence in both humans and animals, and lead as a possible human carcinogen (group 2B), on the basis of insufficient human data and sufficient animal data⁶.

The geographical and environmental features of Korea, including numerous abandoned mines, increase the risk of soil and underground water contamination; exposure to air pollution is due to dense vehicle smoke and fine dust from factory districts in Korea and bordering countries⁷. Moreover, a large proportion of the Korean diet includes Brief Report

fish, shellfish, seaweed, and kelp which often contain heavy metals. Therefore, an assessment of heavy metal exposure is required.

Interestingly, although arsenic is a well-known, heavy-metal skin carcinogen^{4,8}, our data showed no significant differences in exposure levels. Total arsenic includes various ions generated from all arsenic species. Generally, inorganic arsenic is considered more toxic than organic arsenic^{8,9}. Accordingly, the total arsenic level does not represent its toxicity or contribution to skin cancer. Alternatively, blood or urine arsenic levels may not represent past exposure, since arsenic is rapidly excreted in urine after causing cell damage⁸. Therefore, detailed analysis of specific arsenic species concentrations should be performed to examine the contribution to skin cancer.

The serum lead concentration was significantly higher in the BCC group than in the control group, in spite of the non-significant difference in urine lead concentration. These data represent short-term exposure to lead in the BCC group. We examined smoking history and herbal ingestion, but there were no significant differences. Further study is required to clarify the contribution of lead to the development of BCC.

This study had a limitation, in that the cause of skin cancer or source of exposure could not be determined; only the current extent of heavy metal exposure was evaluated. However, this is the first study to investigate toxic heavy metal exposure in Korean skin cancer patients, in comparison with that in community controls.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by research funds from Dong-A University.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Choi SH, Kim KH, Song KH. Clinical features of cutaneous premalignant lesions in Busan city and the Eastern Gyeongnam province, Korea: a retrospective review of 1,292 cases over 19 years (1995~2013). Ann Dermatol 2016;28:172-178.
- 2. Järup L. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. Br Med Bull 2003;68:167-182.
- Uddin AN, Burns FJ, Rossman TG, Chen H, Kluz T, Costa M. Dietary chromium and nickel enhance UV-carcinogenesis in skin of hairless mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2007;221: 329-338.
- Surdu S, Fitzgerald EF, Bloom MS, Boscoe FP, Carpenter DO, Haase RF, et al. Occupational exposure to arsenic and risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer in a multinational European study. Int J Cancer 2013;133:2182-2191.
- World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. Cadmium and cadmium compounds. In: World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Vol. 58. Beryllium, cadmium, mercury, and exposures in the glass manufacturing industry. Lyon: IARC Press, 1993:119-237.
- World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. Summary of data reported and evaluation.
 In: World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Vol. 87. Inorganic and organic lead compounds. Lyon: IARC Press, 2006:370-378.
- Kim YD, Eom SY, Yim DH, Kim IS, Won HK, Park CH, et al. Environmental exposure to arsenic, lead, and cadmium in people living near Janghang copper smelter in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2016;31:489-496.
- 8. Hong YS, Song KH, Chung JY. Health effects of chronic arsenic exposure. J Prev Med Public Health 2014;47:245-252.
- 9. Rossman TG. Mechanism of arsenic carcinogenesis: an integrated approach. Mutat Res 2003;533:37-65.