- The complex development of psoralen-interstrand crosslink resistance in 1
- Escherichia coli requires AcrR inactivation, retention of a marbox sequence, and one 2
- 3 of three MarA, SoxS, or Rob global regulators
- 4
- 5
- 6 Abstract

7 Crosslinking agents, such as psoralen and UVA radiation, can be effectively used 8 as antimicrobials and for treating several dysplastic conditions in humans, including some 9 cancers. Yet, both cancer cells and bacteria can become resistant to these compounds, 10 making it important to understand how resistance develops. Recently, several mutants were isolated that developed high-levels of resistance to these compounds through 11 upregulation of components of the AcrAB-TolC-efflux pump. Here, we characterized 12 13 these mutants and found that resistance specifically requires inactivating mutations of the acrR transcriptional repressor which also retain the marbox sequence found within this 14 coding region. In addition, the presence of any one of three global regulators, MarA, 15 SoxS, or Rob, is necessary and sufficient to bind to the *marbox* sequence and activate 16 17 resistance. Notably, although psoralen is a substrate for the efflux pump, these regulators are not naturally responsive to this stress as neither psoralen, UVA, nor crosslink 18 19 induction upregulates *acrAB* expression in the absence of mutation. 20

21

### Highlights 22

- Psoralen crosslink resistance requires AcrR inactivation and MarA/SoxS/Rob activation
- 23 Psoralen crosslink resistance is mediated by upregulating the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump •
- 24 • AcrAB-TolC can utilize psoralen as a substrate but not upregulated by this stress
- 25 • Acquiring resistance to DNA interstrand crosslinks requires mutation
- 26

27 Keywords: DNA interstrand crosslinks, psoralen-UVA, antimicrobial, cancer therapeutic

### **30 1. Introduction**

31 Psoralen in the presence of UVA irradiation forms DNA interstrand crosslinks 32 and is used in the treatment of psoriasis and vitiligo, as well as in the treatment of 33 cutaneous T-cell lymphoma [1, 2]. The potency of this treatment and similar therapeutics is attributed to its ability to form lethal lesions known as DNA interstrand crosslinks [3-34 35 6]. In E. coli, a single DNA interstrand crosslink in the genome is sufficient to inactivate 36 the cell [7, 8]. However, the use of psoralen-UVA and other crosslinking agents as 37 antimicrobials and chemotherapeutics can be compromised by the emergence of cells 38 resistant to these drugs [9, 10]. In *Escherichia coli*, several highly resistant mutants to 39 psoralen-UVA interstrand crosslinks have been isolated whose resistance is driven by increased expression of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump, which protects the DNA and 40 effectively prevents these lethal lesions from forming when psoralen is present in the 41 42 media [11].

AcrAB-TolC belongs to a highly conserved RND (Resistance-Nodulation-43 Division) efflux pump family found in Gram-negative bacteria [12–16]. The efflux pump 44 45 consists of a proton-driven transporter AcrB, a periplasmic adapter protein AcrA, and the 46 TolC transmembrane channel [17-20]. AcrAB-TolC is capable of effluxing a wide 47 variety of structurally dissimilar substrates, including many dyes, detergents, and 48 antibiotics [21–25], making it a primary driver of multiple-antibiotic resistance [23]. 49 The highly resistant mutants were each found to have mutations in in the 50 transcriptional regulator AcrR [11]. acrR encodes a TetR family transcriptional regulator 51 that is located immediately upstream of *acrAB* and is divergently transcribed [26]. Based 52 on *lacZ*-fusion and gel mobility shift assays, Ma et. al. demonstrated that AcrR functions 53 as a repressor of *acrAB* that releases upon binding a recognized substrate [27]. Consistent 54 with this, some substrates of the efflux pump, such as rhodamine, ethidium bromide, and 55 proflavine, bind to AcrR [28, 29] and this correlates with a loss of DNA binding activity

56 in vitro [30].

57 Surprisingly however, deletion of *acrR*'s coding region does not increase 58 resistance to psoralen interstrand crosslinks, suggesting a more complex mechanism of 59 regulation than a simple repressor function is involved [11]. We noted that the first 20 60 nucleotides of *acrR*'s coding region contains a *marbox*-binding sequence for three closely 61 related global stress regulators, MarA, SoxS and Rob [31]. These three regulators share approximately 50% sequence identity [32, 33] and regulate expression of approximately 62 63 50 genes, including *acrA* and *acrB*, in response to various environmental stressors and 64 toxins (Fig. 1 and [31–40]. Using a *lacZ*-reporter construct and gel mobility shift assays, 65 several groups demonstrated that protein binding to the marbox upstream of acrAB correlated with its expression [27, 40]. This led to a general model that these global 66 67 stress activators drive *acrAB* expression, with AcrR serving as a secondary repressive modulator. 68

Given the importance of DNA interstrand crosslinks in antimicrobrial and
chemotherapeutic therapies, here we sought to characterize the mechanism by which
resistance was achieved in these mutants acquired their resistance. We found that
although the pump confers resistance to crosslinks, it is not naturally responsive or
upregulated in their presence. Resistance relies on mutations that inactivate the AcrR
repressor but retain the *marbox* sequence within the gene's coding region. The resistance

can then be activated by the presence of any one of the three global activators, MarA

- 76 SoxS or Rob.
- 77

80

# 78 2. Materials and Methods

79 2.1 Bacterial Strains.

81 strain of the Keio collection [41], from which the marA, soxS, and rob deletion mutants were obtained. The *acrR* deletion mutant was originally obtained from the Keio 82 83 collection but was reconstructed by P1 phage transduction into wild-type BW25113. The 84 acrR(L34Q) mutant was constructed in our previous study [11]. The marA, soxS, and rob deletions were transduced into acrR(L34Q) using a standard PI phage transduction. The 85 marAsoxSrob triple mutant was constructed by using FLP recombinase expression from 86 the pCP20 plasmid to remove the  $kan^{R}$  cassette from the marA deletion mutant, 87 transducing the soxS deletion into the marA deletion mutant, and then repeating the above 88 process to also delete *rob*. This process was repeated in the *acrR*(L34Q) mutant to 89 90 generate the *acrR*(L34Q)*marAsoxSrob* quadruple mutant. The presence of all three 91 deletions was confirmed using PCR. Strains CL5415 - CL5422 were constructed by 92 transforming pBAD33, pBAD33-acrAB, pNN387, or pNN608 plasmids into 93 electrocompetent JW5249, JW4023, JW4359. For the deletion of *acrR* past the *marbox* 94 sequence, the Kan<sup>R</sup> cassette was recombineered into BW25113 using primers

All strains utilized in this study were derived from BW25113, which is the parent

- 95 5'AGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACATCCTCGATGTG
- 96 GCTCTACGTCTTTATGATTCCGGGGGATCCGTCGACC3' and 5'CAGG
- 97 AAAAATCCTGGAGTCAGATTCAGGGTTATTCGTTAGTGGCAGGATT
- 98 TGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG3'All strains used in this study are listed in Table 1.
- 99
- 100 2.2 Psoralen-UVA (PUVA) survival.

101 10-μL aliquots of 10-fold serial dilutions from overnight cultures were spotted
 102 onto LBthy plates containing 20 μg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen. Plates were then exposed to
 103 UVA irradiation at an incident dose of 6.5 J/m2/s for the indicated dose and incubated
 104 overnight at 37°C. Surviving colonies at each dose were then counted and compared to
 105 the non-exposed plates to calculate percent survival.

106 For overexpression of *acrAB* from expression vectors, 5 mL LB subcultures were 107 inoculated with 50  $\mu$ L of overnight cultures containing the expression plasmid, pBAD33-108 acrAB, or its parent vector, pBAD33, and grown in a 37°C shaking water bath to OD600 109 of 0.4. 1 mM L-arabinose was added to subcultures for the last 30 minutes of incubation 110 before proceeding with survival assays as described above.

- 112 *2.3 acrAB-lacZ expression.*
- 113 10-μL aliquots of 10-fold serial dilutions from overnight cultures containing pNN608
- 114 (*acrABp*-lacZ) or pNN387 (empty vector) were spotted onto LBthy plates supplemented
- 115 with 120  $\mu$ g/mL 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indolyl  $\beta$ -D-Galactopyranoside (X-Gal) either with
- 116 or without 20 µg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen. Two plates each of LB X-GaL and LB X-Gal +
- 117 20  $\mu$ g/mL 8-methoxypsoralen were then exposed to 3.8 kJ/m<sup>2</sup> UVA radiation as
- 118 described above for survival assays. Plates were then compared to unexposed plates and
- 119 photographed.

## 120

## 121 **3. Results**

3.1 Global Regulators MarA, SoxS, and Rob are required for full resistance to psoralen UVA.

124 In previous work, three mutations in the transcriptional repressor *acrR* were 125 isolated and found to confer high-level resistance to psoralen-UVA through the 126 upregulation of *acrA* and *-B*, encoding components of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump 127 (Fig. 2A and [11]). However, when we deleted the entire *acrR* coding region, we found 128 that unlike the other *acrR* mutations, no resistance was conferred (Fig. 2A). The 129 observation argues that the loss of the AcrR repressor is insufficient to confer resistance 130 to psoralen interstrand crosslinks and a more complex mechanism is involved in the acquisition of resistance. 131

132 Common to all three of the resistance-conferring *acrR* mutants that were islaoted is that they retain the initial third of *acrR*'s coding sequence but alter or remove the latter 133 two-thirds of the protein. The first third of the gene encodes the DNA-binding domain 134 135 for the AcrR regulator. However, we also noted this region also encodes a MarA, SoxS, 136 and Rob binding sequence, termed *marbox*, which has been reported to positively 137 regulate the *acrA* -*B* operon [27, 31]. Thus, it is possible that the mutations confer 138 psoralen resistance either through altering AcrR's DNA binding properties or through 139 activation of *acrAB* by MarA, SoxS, or Rob.

If the psoralen resistance is mediated through the *marbox*, then deletion of the 140 141 marA, soxS, and rob genes would be expected to impair resistance in these strains. To test 142 this possibility, we examined the ability of mutants deleted for these genes to survive psoralen-UVA treatment. Ten-fold serial dilutions of an overnight culture were spotted 143 144 on plates containing 20 µg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen and exposed to increasing doses of 145 UVA. Following overnight incubation at 37°C, surviving colonies were counted and compared to the unexposed plate to determine percent survival. Figure 2B shows that 146 deletion of either marA, soxS, or rob renders cells more sensitive than WT to psoralen-147 UVA irradiation, indicating that all three of these genes are important for psoralen-UVA 148 149 resistance. Notably, the contribution of each was not additive, as the absence of any 150 single regulator resulted in hypersensitivity that was similar to the marA soxS rob triple mutant (Fig. 2C). Given that MarA, SoxS, and Rob all share a single marbox binding 151 152 sequence within *acrR*, it is unexpected and remains unclear why deleting of any one of 153 these three proteins renders cells hypersensitive. However, the observation indicates that 154 all three proteins are required to maintain resistance to psoralen-UVA interstrand 155 crosslinks, despite sharing a single DNA binding sequence.

156

*3.2 MarA, SoxS, and Rob contribute to psoralen-UVA resistance primarily through upregulation of acrAB.*

159 MarA, SoxS, and Rob upregulate expression of approximately 50 genes in 160 response to various cellular stresses [31]. Thus, although the results of Fig. 2 indicate that 161 MarA, SoxS, and Rob are required for full resistance to psoralen, they do not establish if 162 this contribution can be attributed directly to the upregulation of *acrAB* or if resistance is 163 conferred by other *marbox*-regulated genes. To test this, we used an arabinose-inducible 164 *acrAB* plasmid to overexpress *acrAB* in the *marA*, *soxS*, *rob* deletion mutants, which

would result in upregulation of *acrAB*, but not any other *marbox*-regulated genes. 165 Actively growing cultures containing the plasmid were incubated with arabinose for 30 166 minutes to induce *acrAB* expression prior to psoralen-UVA treatment. Figure 3 shows 167 168 that plasmids containing the *acrAB* sequence increase resistance in *marA*, *soxS*, and *rob* mutants to near wild-type levels. By contrast, mutants containing an identical plasmid 169 170 lacking the *acrAB* sequence remain hypersensitive to psoralen-UVA treatment. The 171 results indicate that MarA, SoxS, and Rob contribute to psoralen-UVA resistance 172 primarily through upregulation of *acrAB* expression and that the idea that loss of this 173 upregulation in the *acrR* deletion mutant could be responsible for its inability to confer

- 174 resistance.
- 175

3.3 MarA, SoxS, and Rob activation and AcrR de-repression contribute additively to
 psoralen interstrand crosslink resistance

MarA, SoxS, and Rob-mediated upregulation of *acrAB* is required for full 178 179 resistance to psoralen-UVA (Fig. 2 and 3). Since the highly resistant acrR(L34O) mutant 180 retains the *marbox* sequence, it is possible that the high level of resistance requires activation by MarA, SoxS, or Rob. If true, we would expect that deletion of marA, soxS, 181 182 or *rob* would significantly reduce psoralen-UVA resistance in the *acrR*(L34Q) strain. As 183 shown in Fig. 4, *acrR*(L34Q) mutants remained resistant to psoralen-UVA, when either 184 marA, soxS, or rob was deleted. However, the loss of all three genes reduced the resistance of *acrR*(L34Q) mutants to levels similar to wild-type cells and the *acrR* 185 186 deletion mutant. Taken together with the previous observations, the results support the 187 idea that both de-repression by AcrR and activation by MarA, SoxS, or Rob are required to achieve resistance to psoralen interstrand crosslinks. 188

189 To confirm these requirements directly, we used recombineering to generate a 190 complete deletion of the *acrR* coding sequence with the exception of the first 21 191 nucleotides encoding the *marbox* sequence. Figure 5 shows that the *marbox* sequence 192 alone is sufficient to restore full resistance to *acrR* deletion mutants, mimicking the 193 resistance seen in the *acrR*(L34Q) mutant.

194

195 *3.4 acrAB expression is not induced by psoralen, UVA, or psoralen-UVA irradiation.* 

196 The results above demonstrate that the AcrR transcriptional regulator and activation by either MarA SoxS or Rob are required toupregulate acrAB and confer to 197 crosslink resistance. However, how acrAB is regulated in wild-type cells during 198 199 challenge with psoralen-UVA is unknown. Previous studies have shown that exposure to 200 others stressors and agents- including ethidium bromide, cadaverine, ethanol, or high 201 osmolarity, can induce expression of *acrAB* to increase resistance [27, 42]. To examine 202 if *acrAB* expression is responsive to psoralen-UVA treatments, we used a plasmid that 203 contained the *acrAB* promotor region fused to *lacZ*. The cloned promoter region contains 204 both the AcrR binding site as well as the first 102 nucleotides of *acrR* coding sequence 205 which contains the *marbox* binding site. To test if psoralen, UVA irradiation, or the 206 presence of interstrand crosslinks can serve to induce *acrAB* expression, cultures 207 containing the plasmid were spotted in  $10-\mu$ L serial dilutions on X-Gal plates that were 208 left untreated or exposed to either psoralen, UVA, or psoralen-UVA. As shown in Figure 209 6A, in the presence of the *acrABp-lacZ* reporter, the parental strain detectably expressed

the *acrAB* genes as indicated by the partially blue colonies, relative to the control plasmid. As controls, we also examined the *acrR*(L34Q) resistant mutant and the sensitive *marA* soxS rob deletion mutant. As expected, *acrAB* expression was elevated in *acrR*(L34Q) mutant as indicated by the intensely blue colonies, correlating with the increased expression of *acrAB* and resistance in this strain. Similarly, colonies were noticeably less blue in the *marA* soxS rob deletion background which correlates with reduced *acrAB* expression and hypersensitivity.

Notably however, expression did not increase in the presence of either psoralen,
UVA, or psoralen plus UVA treatments. The results imply that psoralen, UVA, or the
combination do not generate substrates that activate *acrAB* and suggest these regulators
are not normally responsive to this challenge, in the absence of mutation.

221

## 222 **4. Discussion**

The results demonstrate that all three of the related global regulators MarA, SoxS, 223 and Rob have a significant role in psoralen-UVA resistance. However, regulation by 224 225 these activators was found to be complex. Deletion of any single global effector gene in 226 wild-type cells had a similar impact on psoralen-UVA resistance as deleting all three 227 genes. This result is unexpected for several reasons. First, although rob is expressed 228 constitutively, marA and soxS are expressed at relatively low levels until a specific 229 stressor induces their expression [31, 32, 34, 35, 39, 43]. Additionally, the activity of Rob 230 has been shown to be responsive to its recognition of various substrates and its release 231 from sites of sequestration in the cell [40, 44]. Yet despite these regulators responding to 232 different stressors, Fig. 2 demonstrates that no single effector is responsible for initiating 233 the stress response to psoralen-UVA irradiation. Second, given the high level of homology between MarA, SoxS, and Rob, and their ability to bind the same marbox sites 234 235 across the genome, one might expect that loss of one regulator could be offset by the 236 presence of the other two [31, 32, 34, 35, 39, 45]. Yet this is not observed in wild-type 237 cells. On the other hand, if no redundancy existed, one might expect that deleting marA, 238 soxS, and rob would have an additive effect on psoralen-UVA sensitivity. This is also not 239 observed (Fig. 2). Thus, the apparent any-and-all requirement for MarA, SoxS, and Rob 240 could suggest that crosstalk between these activators is particularly important in psoralen-241 UVA resistance. Alternatively, it is possible that the sensitivity of this assay to distinguish phenotypic differences decreases as the limits of detectability are approached. 242

Irrespective of the crosstalk, the AcrAB-TolC transporter appears to be the causal
target for MarA, SoxS, and Rob generating psoralen crosslink resistance, since the
hypersensitive phenotype of these mutants can be suppressed by overexpression of
AcrAB alone and does not require any of the other approximately 50 genes under their
regulation [31–40]and Fig 3).

The results may also suggest a more complex mechanism of regulation by AcrR than that of a simple repressor. The acrR(L34Q) point mutation is resistant to psoralen-UVA treatment and retains the *marbox* activation sequence, yet a deletion of the *acrR* open reading frame that deletes the *marbox* activation sequence renders cells sensitive. If AcrR acts as a basic repressor, then the simplest model would be that acrR(L34Q) is a null mutation, and that upregulating acrAB expression enough to provide full resistance requires both removal of the AcrR repressor and activation by MarA, SoxS, and Rob. The

finding that removal of all three proteins renders the *acrR*(L34Q) mutant similar in 255 resistance to the *acrR* deletion supports this model (Figure 4D). In contrast to what was 256 seen in wild-type cells (Fig 2), deletion of marA, soxS, or rob individually was 257 insufficient to noticeably reduce resistance in acrR(L34Q). As mentioned above, one 258 259 possible explanation would be that the sensitivity of the survival assays used in this study 260 are insufficient to distinguish small differences between hypersensitive strains, as their 261 numbers approach the lower end of detectability. If true, increasing the background level 262 of resistance through de-repression of *acrAB* expression as in Figure 4 could make the 263 differences between individual marA, soxS, and rob deletion mutants and the 264 *marAsoxSrob* triple mutant more detectable.

265 The most prominent model for AcrR repressor function is that it releases upon binding a recognized substrate [27–29, 46, 47]. These initial studies used both LacZ 266 267 reporter constructs and gel-shift binding assays to provide strong evidence that AcrR can repress expression of *acrAB* when bound to its promoter [27]. However, other aspects of 268 this study also suggested more complexity in its function. Transcription of the *acrR* 269 270 repressor was also induced by the same stressors that upregulated *acrAB* transporter 271 expression, and *acrAB* upregulation during ethanol stress or growth phase occurred 272 independently of MarA and SoxS, similar to what we observe in the presence of psoralen 273 [27]. The repressor model of AcrR activity is based on its similarity to other TetR family 274 transcriptional regulators. It proposes that upon ligand binding, AcrR releases from DNA 275 to allow transcription. However the AcrAB-TolC transporter is active on a wide range of 276 structurally divergent substrates [42, 47], making it unclear how the protein could effectively recognize this diverse range of toxic substrates. The few substrates which 277 278 have been examined and shown to promote AcrR release from oligos in vitro have been DNA intercalators [28, 29, 46] which makes it difficult to determine if release is due to 279 280 ligand binding or changes to the DNA structure of the oligos used. Further, studies 281 looking for upregulation of AcrAB following treatments with known substrates of the 282 pump have seen modest to no effect [42, 47]. Thus, the mechanism of regulation and natural substrate for induction of the efflux pump remains unclear. 283

284 This ambiguity of the regulatory mechanism also holds true for resistance to 285 psoralen interstrand crosslinks. Although psoralen is clearly a substrate for the efflux 286 pump [11], AcrR does not appear to be naturally responsive to this stress, as neither psoralen, UVA, nor crosslink induction upregulates *acrAB* expression. This perhaps 287 makes sense given that the cell must acquire mutations that disrupt AcrR repression of 288 289 the efflux pump to achieve resistance. Importantly, we show here that full resistance is 290 only achieved when *acrR* null mutations preserve the *marbox* sequence (Figure 5), as in 291 acrR(L34O). This is particularly relevant to the emergence of multi-drug resistance in 292 Gram-negative bacteria, as mutations in *acrR* are known to drive multi-drug resistance 293 and are commonly found in clinical isolates [48–52]. Additionally, the original studies 294 that characterized AcrR used insertion mutants that disrupted the protein after the 295 *marbox*, while later studies used the complete deletion mutant of *acrR* from the Keio 296 collection [26, 27, 41, 42, 47]. Our results demonstrate that it will be important in the 297 future to consider the impact of *acrR* mutations on the *marbox* when assessing their 298 phenotypic effects. Finally, it is also notable that the resistance to psoralen interstrand 299 crosslinks is achieved by preventing this drug from forming this lesion. No mutations

- 300 upregulating repair pathways or proteins were observed in the initial screen, consistent
- 301 with previous studies that found cells lack effective repair mechanisms for this form of
- 302 damage [6–8, 53].
- 303
- **304 Competing interests:** None declared.
- 305
- **306 Ethical approval:** Not required.
- 307

# **308 Data Availability**.

- All data is contained within the manuscript. Data, strains, and plasmids used in this work are available upon request to the corresponding author.
- 311

# 312 Funding

- 313 This study was supported by grant MCB1916625 from the National Science Foundation,
- 314 R21ES034880 from the NIH National Institute of Environmental Health Science, and
- 315 R16GM14554 from the NIH National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

Strain	Relevant Genotype	Source or Construction
BW25113	lacIq rrnBT14 ΔlacZWJ16 hsdR514	[54]
	∆araBADAH33 ∆rhaBADLD78	
JW0453	acrR::FRT-minikan	[41]
JW5249	marA::FRT-minikan	[41]
JW4023	soxS::FRT-minikan	[41]
JW4359	<i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan	[41]
CL5312	marA::FRT	pCP20-mediated [55] removal of
		minikan from JW5249
CL5317	marA::FRT soxS::FRT-minikan	P1 transduction of <i>soxS</i> ::FRT-minikan from IW4023 into CI 5312
CL 5322	marA.FRT sorS.FRT	nCP20-mediated [55] removal of
013322		minikan from CL5317
CL5414	marA::FRT soxS::FRT rob::FRT-minikan	P1 transduction of <i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan
020111		from JW4359 into CL5322
CL5230	acrR(L34Q)	[11]
CL5323	acrR(L34Q) soxS::FRT-minikan	P1 transduction of <i>soxS</i> ::FRT-minikan
		from JW4023 into CL5230
CL5324	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT-minikan	P1 transduction of marA::FRT-minikan
	,	from JW5249 into CL5230
CL5325	acrR(L34Q) rob::FRT-minikan	P1 transduction of rob::FRT-minikan
		from JW4359 into CL5230
CL5433	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT	pCP20-mediated [55] removal of
		minikan from CL5324
CL5436	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT soxS::FRT-	P1 transduction of <i>soxS</i> ::FRT-minikan
	minikan	from JW4023 into CL5433
CL5438	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT soxS::FRT	pCP20-mediated [55] removal of minikan from CL5436
CL5440	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT soxS::FRT	P1 transduction of <i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan
	<i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan	from JW4359 into CL5438
CL5442	<i>acrR</i> (aa 7 – 215)::FRT-minikan	Recombineering to replace amino
		acids 7 – 215 of <i>acrR</i> in BW25113
		with FRT-minikan
CL5333	pBAD33	[11]
CL5334	pBAD33-acrAB	[11]
CL5415	<i>marA</i> ::FRT-minikan pBAD33	Transformation of pBAD33 [56] into JW5249
CL5416	marA::FRT-minikan pBAD33-acrAB	Transformation of pBAD33-acrAB
		[56] into JW5249
CL5417	soxS::FRT-minikan pBAD33	Transformation of pBAD33 [56] into JW4023
CL5418	soxS::FRT-minikan pBAD33-acrAB	Transformation of pBAD33-acrAB
	1	[56] into JW4023
CL5419	rob::FRT-minikan pBAD33	Transformation of pBAD33 [56] into
		JW4359
CL5420	rob::FRT-minikan pBAD33-acrAB	Transformation of pBAD33-acrAB
		[56] into JW4359
DH7169	pNN387	[57]
CR6000	pNN608	[27]
CL5402	BW25113 + pNN387	Transformation of pNN387 [57] into BW25113
CL5403	BW25113 + pNN608	Transformation of pNN608 [27] into

### **Table 1.** List of strains used in this study.

		BW25113
CL5421	acrR(L34Q) + pNN387	Transformation of pNN387 [57] into
		CL5230
CL5422	<i>acrR</i> (L34Q) + pNN608	Transformation of pNN608 [27] into
		CL5230
CL5530	marA::FRT soxS::FRT rob::FRT-minikan +	Transformation of pNN387 [57] into
	pNN387	CL5414
CL5531	<i>marA</i> ::FRT <i>soxS</i> ::FRT <i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan +	Transformation of pNN608 [27] into
	pNN608	CL5414
CL5532	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT soxS::FRT	Transformation of pNN387 [57] into
	<i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan +pNN387	CL5440
CL5533	acrR(L34Q) marA::FRT soxS::FRT	Transformation of pNN608 [27] into
	<i>rob</i> ::FRT-minikan +pNN608	CL5440

318

319 **References** 

320

321 322

# 323 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Current model of MarA, SoxS, and Rob global gene regulation. Green, MarA;
blue, SoxS; purple, Rob; red, AcrR; yellow, DNA binding sites; orange, Mar/Sox/Rob
binding site (*marbox*). Arrows indicate activation, while interruption of the end of a line

indicates repression (derived from models and data presented in [26, 31, 33, 36, 37, 45]).

328

**Figure 2.** *acrR*(L34Q), but not deletion of *acrR*, confers resistance to psoralen–UVA,

while deletion of *marA*, *soxS*, or *rob* renders cells hypersensitive. A) The survival of

331 wild-type cells (filled squares);  $\Delta acrR$  (filled circles), and acrR(L34Q) mutants (open

332 circles), B)  $\Delta marA$  (filled triangles),  $\Delta soxS$  mutant (filled inverted triangles),  $\Delta rob$ 

333 mutants (filled diamonds) and C)  $\Delta marA \Delta soxS \Delta rob$  mutants (open diamonds) is plotted

following UVA irradiation at the indicated doses in the presence of 20  $\mu$ g/mL 8-

methoxypsoralen. Plots represent the average of at least two independent experiments.

- Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Wild type is replotted in each graphfor comparison.
- 338

**Figure 3**. Overexpression of AcrAB alone is sufficient to restore psoralen-UVA

340 resistance in  $\Delta marA$ ,  $\Delta soxS$ , and  $\Delta rob$  mutants. The survival of wild type (squares),

341  $\Delta marA$  (triangles),  $\Delta soxS$  (inverted triangles), and  $\Delta rob$  (diamonds) containing either an

empty pBAD33 expression vector (filled symbols) or an AcrAB expression vector (open

symbols) is plotted following UVA irradiation at the indicated doses in the presence of 20

 $\mu g/mL$  8-methoxypsoralen. Plots represent the average of at least two independent

experiments. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Wild type is replotted ineach graph for comparison.

347

**Figure 4**. *acrR*(L34Q) psoralen-UVA resistance requires MarA, SoxS, and Rob

activation. The survival of wild-type cells (filled squares), *acrR*(L34Q) (open circles),

350  $\Delta marA$  (closed triangles),  $acrR(L34Q) \Delta marA$  (open triangles); (B)  $\Delta soxS$  (filled inverted

triangles),  $acrR(L34Q) \Delta soxS$  (open inverted triangles); (C)  $\Delta rob$  (filled diamonds), and

352 353	acrR acrR	$R(L34Q) \Delta rob$ (open diamonds); (D) $\Delta marA \Delta soxS \Delta rob$ mutants (open squares), $R(L34Q) \Delta marA\Delta soxS\Delta rob$ (filled circles) in the presence of 20 µg/mL 8-
354	metr	noxypsoralen at the indicated UVA doses is plotted. Plots represent the average of at
355	least	two independent experiments. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
356	Wild	type is replotted in each graph for comparison.
357		
358	Figu	<b>Ire 5.</b> The <i>marbox</i> sequence is necessary and sufficient to induce resistance to
359	psor	alen interstrand crosslinks in the absence of the AcrR repressor. The survival of wild-
360	type	cells (filled squares), $\Delta acrR$ (filled circles), $acrR$ (L34Q) (open circles), and $\Delta acrR$
361	(aa7	-215) (filled triangles) in the presence of 20 $\mu$ g/mL 8-methoxypsoralen at the
362	indic	cated UVA doses is plotted. Plots represent the average of at least two independent
363	expe	riments. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
364		
365	Figu	<b>Ire 6</b> . <i>acrAB</i> expression is not upregulated by psoralen, UVA, or psoralen-UVA. 10
366	μĽs	pots of 10 <sup>4</sup> cells of wild type, $acrR(L34Q)$ , or $\Delta marA \Delta soxS \Delta rob$ , mutants
367	cont	aining a LacZ reporter plasmid fused with the <i>acrAB</i> promoter region (p- <i>acrAB</i> - <i>lacZ</i> )
368	or no	p promoter region (empty vector) were plated on LB plates containing X-Gal. Plates
369	cont	ained 20 µg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen and were UVA irradiated with 3.8 kJ/m2 as
370	indi	cated. LacZ expression from the plasmids is indicated by blue color in colonies.
371	[1]	Huang Y, Li L. DNA crosslinking damage and cancer - a tale of friend and foe.
372	ι ]	Transl Cancer Res 2013:2:144-154.
373	[2]	Liszewski W. Navm DG. Biskup E. Gniadecki R. Psoralen with ultraviolet A-
374	ι ]	induced apoptosis of cutaneous lymphoma cell lines is augmented by type I
375		interferons via the JAK1-STAT1 pathway. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed
376		2017:33:164-171.
377	[3]	PATHAK MA. Mechanism of psoralen photosensitization and in vivo biological
378	r. 1	action spectrum of 8-methoxypsoralen. J Invest Dermatol 1961:37:397-407.
379	[4]	Cole RS. Light-induced cross-linking of DNA in the presence of a furocoumarin
380	Γ.]	(psoralen). Studies with phage lambda. Escherichia coli, and mouse leukemia cells.
381		Biochim Biophys Acta 1970:217:30-39.
382	[5]	Hearst JE. Psoralen photochemistry. Annu Rev Biophys Bioeng 1981:10:69-86.
383	[6]	Dall'Acqua F, Marciani S, Ciavatta L, Rodighiero G, Formation of inter-strand
384	Γ.]	cross-linkings in the photoreactions between furocoumarins and DNA. Z
385		Naturforsch B 1971:26:561-569.
386	[7]	SZYBALSKI W. IYER VN. CROSSLINKING OF DNA BY ENZYMATICALLY
387	Γ, ]	OR CHEMICALLY ACTIVATED MITOMYCINS AND PORFIROMYCINS.
388		BIFUNCTIONALLY "ALKYLATING" ANTIBIOTICS. Fed Proc 1964:23:946-
389		957
390	[8]	Cole IM Acott ID Courcelle CT Courcelle I Limited Canacity or Involvement of
391	[0]	Excision Renair Double-Strand Breaks or Translesion Synthesis for Psoralen
392		Cross-Link Repair in Genetics 2018:210:99-112
393	[9]	Johnson SW, Shen D, Pastan I, Gottesman MM, Hamilton TC, Cross-resistance
394	L∕]	cisplatin accumulation, and platinum-DNA adduct formation and removal in
395		cisplatin-sensitive and -resistant human henatoma cell lines Exp Cell Res
396		1996:226:133-139.
·		

397	[10]	Lengert AVH, Pereira LDNB, Cabral ERM, Gomes INF, Jesus LM, Gonçalves
398		MFS, Rocha AOD, Tassinari TA, Silva LSD, Laus AC, Vidal DO, Pinto MT, Reis
399		RM, Lopes LF. Potential New Therapeutic Approaches for Cisplatin-Resistant
400		Testicular Germ Cell Tumors. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 2022;27:245.
401	[11]	Worley TK, Weber EA, Acott JD, Shimpi RS, Cole JM, Courcelle CT, Courcelle J.
402		Mutations in AcrR and RNA Polymerase Confer High-Level Resistance to
403		Psoralen-UVA Irradiation. J Bacteriol 2023;205:e0012623.
404	[12]	Piddock LJ, White DG, Gensberg K, Pumbwe L, Griggs DJ. Evidence for an efflux
405		pump mediating multiple antibiotic resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar
406		Typhimurium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000;44:3118-3121.
407	[13]	Burse A, Weingart H, Ullrich MS. The phytoalexin-inducible multidrug efflux
408		pump AcrAB contributes to virulence in the fire blight pathogen, Erwinia
409		amylovora. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2004;17:43-54.
410	[14]	Li XZ, Poole K, Nikaido H. Contributions of MexAB-OprM and an EmrE homolog
411		to intrinsic resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to aminoglycosides and dyes.
412		Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:27-33.
413	[15]	Li XZ, Nikaido H. Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria. Drugs 2004;64:159-
414		204.
415	[16]	Nikaido H. Multidrug efflux pumps of gram-negative bacteria. J Bacteriol
416		1996;178:5853-5859.
417	[17]	Chen M, Shi X, Yu Z, Fan G, Serysheva II, Baker ML, Luisi BF, Ludtke SJ, Wang
418		Z. In situ structure of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump at subnanometer resolution.
419		Structure 2022;30:107-113.e3.
420	[18]	Nakamura H, Suganuma A. Membrane mutation associated with sensitivity to
421		acriflavine in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1972;110:329-335.
422	[19]	Ma D, Cook DN, Alberti M, Pon NG, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. Molecular cloning and
423		characterization of acrA and acrE genes of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol
424		1993;175:6299-6313.
425	[20]	Fralick JA. Evidence that TolC is required for functioning of the Mar/AcrAB efflux
426		pump of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1996;178:5803-5805.
427	[21]	Nakamura H. Gene-Controlled Resistance to Acriflavine and Other Basic Dyes in
428		Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1965;90:8-14.
429	[22]	Takatsuka Y, Chen C, Nikaido H. Mechanism of recognition of compounds of
430		diverse structures by the multidrug efflux pump AcrB of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl
431		Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:6559-6565.
432	[23]	Okusu H, Ma D, Nikaido H. AcrAB efflux pump plays a major role in the antibiotic
433		resistance phenotype of Escherichia coli multiple-antibiotic-resistance (Mar)
434		mutants. J Bacteriol 1996;178:306-308.
435	[24]	McMurry LM, Oethinger M, Levy SB. Overexpression of marA, soxS, or acrAB
436		produces resistance to triclosan in laboratory and clinical strains of Escherichia coli.
437		FEMS Microbiol Lett 1998;166:305-309.
438	[25]	Nikaido H, Takatsuka Y. Mechanisms of RND multidrug efflux pumps. Biochim
439		Biophys Acta 2009;1794:769-781.

440	[26]	Ma D, Cook DN, Alberti M, Pon NG, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. Genes acrA and acrB
441		encode a stress-induced efflux system of Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol
442		1995;16:45-55.
443	[27]	Ma D, Alberti M, Lynch C, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. The local repressor AcrR plays a
444		modulating role in the regulation of acrAB genes of Escherichia coli by global
445		stress signals. Mol Microbiol 1996;19:101-112.
446	[28]	Li M, Gu R, Su CC, Routh MD, Harris KC, Jewell ES, McDermott G, Yu EW.
447		Crystal structure of the transcriptional regulator AcrR from Escherichia coli. J Mol
448		Biol 2007;374:591-603.
449	[29]	Routh MD, Su CC, Zhang Q, Yu EW. Structures of AcrR and CmeR: insight into
450		the mechanisms of transcriptional repression and multi-drug recognition in the TetR
451		family of regulators. Biochim Biophys Acta 2009;1794:844-851.
452	[30]	Deng W, Li C, Xie J. The underling mechanism of bacterial TetR/AcrR family
453		transcriptional repressors. Cell Signal 2013;25:1608-1613.
454	[31]	Martin RG, Rosner JL. Genomics of the marA/soxS/rob regulon of Escherichia coli:
455		identification of directly activated promoters by application of molecular genetics
456		and informatics to microarray data. Mol Microbiol 2002;44:1611-1624.
457	[32]	Demple B. Regulation of bacterial oxidative stress genes. Annu Rev Genet
458		1991;25:315-337.
459	[33]	Skarstad K, Thöny B, Hwang DS, Kornberg A. A novel binding protein of the
460		origin of the Escherichia coli chromosome. J Biol Chem 1993;268:5365-5370.
461	[34]	Cohen SP, McMurry LM, Levy SB. marA locus causes decreased expression of
462		OmpF porin in multiple-antibiotic-resistant (Mar) mutants of Escherichia coli. J
463		Bacteriol 1988;170:5416-5422.
464	[35]	McMurry LM, George AM, Levy SB. Active efflux of chloramphenicol in
465		susceptible Escherichia coli strains and in multiple-antibiotic-resistant (Mar)
466		mutants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994;38:542-546.
467	[36]	Greenberg JT, Monach P, Chou JH, Josephy PD, Demple B. Positive control of a
468		global antioxidant defense regulon activated by superoxide-generating agents in
469		Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1990;87:6181-6185.
470	[37]	Nunoshiba T. Two-stage gene regulation of the superoxide stress response soxRS
471		system in Escherichia coli. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr 1996;6:377-389.
472	[38]	Wu J, Weiss B. Two-stage induction of the soxRS (superoxide response) regulon of
473		Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1992;174:3915-3920.
474	[39]	Ariza RR, Li Z, Ringstad N, Demple B. Activation of multiple antibiotic resistance
475		and binding of stress-inducible promoters by Escherichia coli Rob protein. J
476		Bacteriol 1995;177:1655-1661.
477	[40]	Rosenberg EY, Bertenthal D, Nilles ML, Bertrand KP, Nikaido H. Bile salts and
478		fatty acids induce the expression of Escherichia coli AcrAB multidrug efflux pump
479		through their interaction with Rob regulatory protein. Mol Microbiol 2003;48:1609-
480		1619.
481	[41]	Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita
482		M, Wanner BL, Mori H. Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-
483		gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2006;2:2006.0008.

484	[42]	Harmon DE, Ruiz C. The Multidrug Efflux Regulator AcrR of Escherichia coli
485		Responds to Exogenous and Endogenous Ligands To Regulate Efflux and
486		Detoxification. mSphere 2022;7:e0047422.
487	[43]	Griffith KL, Shah IM, Wolf RE. Proteolytic degradation of Escherichia coli
488		transcription activators SoxS and MarA as the mechanism for reversing the
489		induction of the superoxide (SoxRS) and multiple antibiotic resistance (Mar)
490		regulons. Mol Microbiol 2004;51:1801-1816.
491	[44]	Griffith KL, Fitzpatrick MM, Keen EF, Wolf RE. Two functions of the C-terminal
492		domain of Escherichia coli Rob: mediating "sequestration-dispersal" as a novel off-
493		on switch for regulating Rob's activity as a transcription activator and preventing
494		degradation of Rob by Lon protease. J Mol Biol 2009;388:415-430.
495	[45]	Martin RG, Gillette WK, Rosner JL. Promoter discrimination by the related
496		transcriptional activators MarA and SoxS: differential regulation by differential
497		binding. Mol Microbiol 2000;35:623-634.
498	[46]	Su CC, Rutherford DJ, Yu EW. Characterization of the multidrug efflux regulator
499		AcrR from Escherichia coli. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2007;361:85-90.
500	[47]	Ruiz C, Levy SB. Regulation of acrAB expression by cellular metabolites in
501		Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother 2014;69:390-399.
502	[48]	Xian-Zhi L, Patrick P, Hiroshi N. The Challenge of Efflux-Mediated Antibiotic
503		Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Clinical Microbiology Reviews
504		2015;28:337-418.
505	[49]	Wang H, Dzink-Fox JL, Chen M, Levy SB. Genetic characterization of highly
506		fluoroquinolone-resistant clinical Escherichia coli strains from China: role of acrR
507		mutations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45:1515-1521.
508	[50]	Schneiders T, Amyes SG, Levy SB. Role of AcrR and ramA in fluoroquinolone
509		resistance in clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from Singapore. Antimicrob
510		Agents Chemother 2003;47:2831-2837.
511	[51]	Webber MA, Piddock LJ. Absence of mutations in marRAB or soxRS in acrB-
512		overexpressing fluoroquinolone-resistant clinical and veterinary isolates of
513		Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45:1550-1552.
514	[52]	Komp Lindgren P, Karlsson A, Hughes D. Mutation rate and evolution of
515		fluoroquinolone resistance in Escherichia coli isolates from patients with urinary
516		tract infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:3222-3232.
517	[53]	Lin PF, Bardwell E, Howard-Flanders P. Initiation of genetic exchanges in lambda
518		phageprophage crosses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1977;74:291-295.
519	[54]	Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
520		Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
521		2000;97:6640-6645.
522	[55]	Cherepanov PP, Wackernagel W. Gene disruption in Escherichia coli: TcR and
523		KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance
524		determinant. Gene 1995;158:9-14.
525	[56]	Rajapaksha P, Ojo I, Yang L, Pandeya A, Abeywansha T, Wei Y. Insight into the
526		AcrAB-TolC Complex Assembly Process Learned from Competition Studies.
527		Antibiotics (Basel) 2021;10

528 [57] Elledge SJ, Davis RW. Position and density effects on repression by stationary and

529 mobile DNA-binding proteins. Genes Dev 1989;3:185-197.



**Figure 1.** Current model of MarA, SoxS, and Rob global gene regulation. Green, MarA; blue, SoxS; purple, Rob; red, AcrR; yellow, DNA binding sites; orange, Mar/Sox/Rob binding site (*marbox*). Arrows indicate activation, while interruption of the end of a line indicates repression (derived from models and data presented in {Ma et al., 1995; Martin et al., 2000; Martin and Rosner, 2002; Skarstad et al., 1993; Greenberg et al., 1990; Nunoshiba, 1996).





**Figure 3**. Overexpression of AcrAB alone is sufficient to restore psoralen-UVA resistance in  $\Delta marA$ ,  $\Delta soxS$ , and  $\Delta rob$  mutants. The survival of wild type (squares),  $\Delta marA$ (triangles),  $\Delta soxS$  (inverted triangles), and  $\Delta rob$  (diamonds) containing either an empty pBAD33 expression vector (filled symbols) or an AcrAB expression vector (open symbols) is plotted following UVA irradiation at the indicated doses in the presence of 20 µg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen. Plots represent the average of at least two independent experiments. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Wild type is replotted in each graph for comparison.



**Figure 4**. *acrR*(L34Q) is dependent on MarA, SoxS, and Rob for full confers psoralen-UVA resistance. independent of MarA, SoxS, and Rob activation. The survival of wild-type cells (filled squares), *acrR*(L34Q) (open circles),  $\Delta$ marA (closed triangles), *acrR*(L34Q)  $\Delta$ marA (open triangles); (B)  $\Delta$ soxS (filled inverted triangles), *acrR*(L34Q)  $\Delta$ soxS (open inverted triangles); (C)  $\Delta$ rob (filled diamonds), and *acrR*(L34Q)  $\Delta$ rob (open diamonds); (D)  $\Delta$ marA  $\Delta$ soxS  $\Delta$ rob mutants (open squares), *acrR*(L34Q)  $\Delta$ marA $\Delta$ soxS $\Delta$ rob (filled circles) in the presence of 20 µg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen at the indicated UVA doses is plotted. Plots represent the average of at least two independent experiments. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Wild type is replotted in each graph for comparison.



**Figure 5.** Only *acrR* null mutations that preserve the *marbox*, like *acrR*(L34Q), confer resistance to psoralen-UVA. The survival of wild-type cells (filled squares),  $\Delta acrR$  (filled circles), *acrR*(L34Q) (open circles), and  $\Delta acrR$  (aa7-215) (open triangles) in the presence of 20 µg/mL 8-methoxypsoralen at the indicated UVA doses is plotted. Plots represent the average of at least two independent experiments. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.



**Figure 6**. *acrAB* expression is not upregulated by psoralen, UVA, or psoralen-UVA. 10  $\mu$ L spots of 10<sup>4</sup> cells of wild type, *acrR*(L34Q), and  $\Delta marA \Delta soxS \Delta rob$ , mutants containing a LacZ reporter plasmid fused with the *acrAB* promoter region (p-*acrAB*-lacZ) or no promoter region (empty vector) were plated on LB plates containing X-Gal. Plates contained 20  $\mu$ g/mL 8-methoxypsoralen and were UVA irradiated with 3.8 kJ/m2 as indicated. LacZ expression from the plasmids is indicated by blue color in colonies.