Comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT and contrast-enhanced CT in localisation of tumours in ectopic ACTH syndrome

M R Goroshi et al.

68Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT in

ectopic ACTH syndrome

Majunath R Goroshi¹, Swati S Jadhav¹, Anurag R Lila¹, Rajeev Kasaliwal¹, Shruti Khare¹, Chaitanya G Yerawar¹, Priya Hira², Uday Phadke³, Hina Shah⁴, Vikram R Lele⁴, Gaurav Malhotra⁵, Tushar Bandgar¹ and Nalini S Shah¹

¹Department of Endocrinology, Seth G S Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India ²Department of Radiology, Seth G S Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India ³Ruby Hall Clinic, Pune, Maharashtra, India

⁴Department of Nuclear Medicine and Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography, Jaslok Hospital and Research Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

⁵Radiation Medicine Centre, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Tata Memorial Centre, Annexe, Parel, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Background: Localising ectopic adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) syndrome (EAS) tumour source is challenging. Somatostatin receptor-based PET imaging has shown promising results, but the data is limited to case reports and small case series. We reviewed here the performance of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) and contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) in our cohort of 12 consecutive EAS patients. *Materials and methods*: Retrospective data analysis of 12 consecutive patients of EAS presenting to a single tertiary care centre in a period between January 2013 and December 2014 was done. CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT were reported (blinded) by an experienced radiologist and a nuclear medicine physician, respectively. The performance of CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT was compared.

Results: Tumours could be localised in 11 out of 12 patients at initial presentation (overt cases), whereas in one patient, tumour remained occult. Thirteen lesions were identified in 11 patients as EAS source (true positives). CECT localised 12 out of these 13 lesions (sensitivity 92.3%) and identified five false-positive lesions (positive predictive value (PPV) 70.5%). Compared with false-positive lesions, true-positive lesions had greater mean contrast enhancement at 60s (33.2 vs 5.6 Hounsfield units (HU)). ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT was able to identify 9 out of 13 lesions (sensitivity 69.2%) and reported no false-positive lesions (PPV 100%). *Conclusion*: CECT remains the first-line investigation in localisation of EAS. The contrast enhancement pattern on CECT can further aid in characterisation of the lesions. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC

PET/CT can be added to CECT, to enhance positive prediction of the suggestive lesions.

Key Words

Correspondence

to M R Goroshi

Email

com

should be addressed

manjunath.r.goroshi@gmail.

- ► EAS
- ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT
- CECT
- Cushing's syndrome
- Iung carcinoid
- pulmonary paraganglioma
- DIPNECH

Endocrine Connections (2016) **5**, 83–91

Introduction

Ectopic adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) syndrome (EAS) is a rare disorder, accounting for 5–15% cases of endogenous Cushing's syndrome (CS) (1, 2). Although

© 2016 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

CC

initially construed to be caused by malignant tumours (such as small-cell carcinoma of lung), majority of cases of EAS are now reported to be caused by neuroendocrine



83-91

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010

⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT in ectopic ACTH syndrome

5:84

tumours (NETs) that include carcinoid tumours of bronchopulmonary system, thymus, and gastrointestinal tract; pancreatic NETs; medullary thyroid carcinoma and pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma (1, 3). Localisation of these tumoral sources of ectopic ACTH secretion is a challenging task. With time, the localisation strategies have evolved from chest X-rays to advanced anatomical imaging such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) followed by functional imaging modalities (4). The functional imaging modalities that have been studied in EAS include metaiodobenzylguanidine scans in earlier days, followed by single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)-based octreotide (¹²³I-Tyr-3-octreotide ¹¹¹In-DTPAscintigraphy and pentetreotide) and more recently PET-based imaging such as ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scan and ⁶⁸Ga-based somatostatin receptor (SSTR) positron emission tomography (PET)/CT scans (2, 4). Despite these advances, ectopic source remains occult in 9-27% of cases (2), even as high as in 50% in some series (5, 6, 7). Although of proven value in NETs (8, 9), the literature for the newer PET-based imaging, especially ⁶⁸Ga SSTR scans in EAS is limited to few case reports/series (10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16). We hereby report our experience regarding the performance of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT and contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) in 12 consecutive EAS patients managed at our centre.

Patients and methods

Medical records of 48 consecutive patients of ACTH-dependent CS treated at the Department of Endocrinology, KEM Hospital, Mumbai, India, between January 2013 and December 2014 were reviewed retrospectively. Twelve patients diagnosed as EAS were included in the study (none of these patients had been a part of our previously published cohort) (17). The diagnosis of EAS was established after a stepwise evaluation as described previously (17). All EAS cases (negative/equivocal pituitary MRI and a peripheral ACTH gradient on corticotrophin-releasing hormone-stimulated inferior petrosal sinus sampling) have initially undergone CECT scan of the neck, chest, abdomen, and pelvis for localisation of the ectopic source. Helical CT was obtained with section collimation of 1-3 mm by the Philips Brilliance (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) 64-slice CT scanner. The contrast enhancement was obtained with 80mL of iodinated material (Accupaque 300) injected with a mechanical power injector at a rate of 2.7 mL/s. Scanning from the neck to the pelvis was performed at baseline and 60s after initiation of contrast infusion. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT scan was an additional imaging test for all patients. ⁶⁸Ga was obtained from in-house ⁶⁸Ge-⁶⁸Ga generator. This was then labelled with DOTA-conjugated peptide (DOTANOC), which is a somatostatin analogue in the automated synthetic module. Whole-body (head to toe) scans were obtained with acquisition post 1-1.5h of intravenous injection of 3-5 mCi ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC. PET scan was performed after CT scan acquisition. Scans were acquired on dedicated PET/CT scanner (STE-16, BGO crystal, 16-slice CT scanner, GE Healthcare). Vertex-to-mid-thigh acquisitions in hands above the head position were obtained. PET scan was acquired in 7-8 min overlapped body position with 3 min acquisition per body position. CT data were used for attenuation correction and fusion imaging. The images were reconstructed in the standard display consisting of trans-axial, sagittal and coronal projections. CT-guided biopsy of the suspected EAS lesion was done before surgical resection. Final diagnosis of tumoural source of EAS (true-positive lesions) was confirmed on the basis of histopathologically proven NETs with ACTH immunohistochemistry positivity and/or demonstration of significant reduction in hypercortisolemia, after resection of the suggested lesion.

For the purpose of this study, CECT images were retrospectively reported by an experienced radiologist who was blinded for the final outcomes of the patients. Reporting was done in a predefined format, which included common sites of EAS such as the thyroid, thymus, lungs, pancreas and adrenals. The lesions were reported as 'suggestive lesions' if their CT characteristics were suggestive of tumoural origin and provoked specific diagnostic action like biopsy. The other lesions, such as atelectasis, fibrotic nodule and calcified lymph nodes, that did not warrant any action were regarded as 'nonspecific lesions'. Although these non-specific lesions were recorded, they were excluded from the analysis of diagnostic accuracy of CT. The CT characteristics of truepositive and false-positive lesions were compared in terms of morphological features and contrast enhancement (difference between postcontrast Hounsfield units (HU) at 60s and baseline HU).

Similarly, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT images were retrospectively reviewed by a nuclear medicine physician who was blinded to patient outcomes. Any area of uptake with intensity greater than background that could not be identified as physiological activity (pituitary gland, spleen, liver, adrenal glands, and uncinate process of pancreas, thyroid, and urinary tract) was considered to be positive. The sensitivity and PPV were compared with CECT.



http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010

^{© 2016} The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

Endocrine Connections

| Case noAge/sex As/FTrue positive (TP)145/F2.5 cm nodule in the left inferio region of the lung (Fig. 1A1 at 2.5 cm nodule in the apical seg the middle lobe of the lung (fig. 1.1 and B2)228/F0.8 cm nodule in the apical seg right lower lobe of the lung (fig. C2)456/M2.6 cm nodule in the apical seg right lower lobe of the lung (fig. C2)523/M1.cm nodule in the apical seg right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 2.5 m nodule in the apical seg the right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 2.6 cm nodule in the apical seg the right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 2.6 cm nodule in the apical seg the right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 33/F734/F1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg the right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 33/F833/F1.2 cm in the upper lobe of lung (taken as a single lesion) and G2)937/F1.4 cm in the upper lobe of lung (taken as a single lesion) and G2)1022/M3 cm nodule in the lower lobe of the lung (fig. 31 and I2)1136/M(a) 1.9 cm nodule in the lower lobe of the ling (fig. 311 and I2)1222/M3 cm nodule in the lower lobe of lung (fig. 3K1, K2 and K31229/MNo definite lesion identified* | | | CECT | | 68Ga-DOT- ANOC PET/CT | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Case noAge/sexTrue positive (TP)145/F2.5 cm nodule in the left inferio228/F0.8 cm nodule in the medial seg228/F0.8 cm nodule in the medial seg341/F0.9 cm nodule in the apical seg456/M0.9 cm nodule in the apical seg456/M0.9 cm nodule in the apical seg523/M0.9 cm nodule in the apical seg643/F0.9 cm nodule in the apical seg734/F1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg734/F1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg833/F1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg937/F1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg1022/M1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg1136/M1.9 cm nodule in the upper lobe of the lung (taken as a single lesion)833/F1 cm nodule in the apical seg1022/M3 cm mass in the body of pancn and 22)1136/M1.9 cm nodule in the nedial seg1229/M1.9 cm nodule in the lung (the lung (tig. 3K1, K2 and K3)1229/M1.9 cm nodule in the lower lobe of the lung (teft ung (tig. 3K1, K2 and K3) | | Suggesti | ve lesions | | Number of | Plasma | LDDS | | Final | |
| 1 45/F 2.5cm nodule in the left inferior region of the lung (Fig. 1A1 and 2) 2 28/F 0.8 cm nodule in the medial seg the middle lobe of the lung (fig. 1B1 and B2) 3 41/F 0.9 cm nodule in the apical segr right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 1B1 and D2) 5 0.8 cm nodule in the apical segr right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 26) 6 43/F 0.5 cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the lung (fig. 261 and D2) 7 34/F 1.2 cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the lig. 261 and D2) 8 33/F 1.2 cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the lig. 261 and E2) 9 33/F 1.2 cm nodule in the apical seg the lig. 271 and E2) 9 33/F 1.2 cm nodule in the apical segminand G2) 9 33/F 1.2 cm nodule in the apical segminand G2) 9 33/F 1.0 cover lobe of the light lower lobe of the light light lower lobe of the light light lower lobe of the light lower lobe of light lower lobe of the light lower lobe | | True positive [*] F | alse positive | Non-specific lesions | lesions (SUV max) | ACTH (pg/mL) | cortisol (βg/dL) | ACTH Staining | histopa- thology | Outcome |
| 2 28/F 0.8 cm nodule in the medial seg the middle lobe of the right lung (Fig. 1B1 and B2) 3 41/F 0.9 cm nodule in the apical segr right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 26) 5 23/M 1 cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the right lower lobe of the lung (fig. 251 and C2) 6 43/F 1.2 cm nodule in the medial seg the right middle lobe of the li (Fig. 251 and C2) 7 34/F Multiple confluent solid nodule 1-1.5 cm in the upper lobe of the lung (taken as a single lesion) 8 33/F 1.2 cm in the upper lobe of the lung (raken as a single lesion) 9 37/F Tom nodule in the apical segment in the right lower lobe of the lung (H2) 9 37/F 100 cm lower lobe of the lung (H2) 10 22/M 3 cm mass in the body of pancrand 12) 11 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the nedial the right middle lobe of the lung (Fig. 311 and 12) 12 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | e left inferior hilar g (Fig. 1A1 and A2) | - | (7 mm liver nodule) | 1 (LN) | 1 (TP) (3.4) | 203 | 8.81 | + | BPC | Remission |
| 3 41/F 0.9cm nodule in the apical segrright lower lobe of the lung (FC2) 4 56/M 2.6cm nodule in the superior setter right lower lobe of the lung (FC) 5 23/M 1cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the lung (FF) 6 43/F 1.2cm nodule in the medial segret the right middle lobe of the lung (FF) 7 34/F 1.2cm in the upper lobe of the lung (FF) 7 34/F 1.2cm in the upper lobe of the lung (FF) 8 33/F 1.2cm in the upper lobe of the lung (fF) 8 33/F 1.2cm in the upper lobe of the lung (raken as a single lesion) and G2) 9 37/F Two 5.8 and 1 cm retroperitone (FF) 10 22/M 3cm mass in the body of pancranal 1.2 11 36/M (a) 1.9cm nodule in the lower lobe of the lung (ret lung (FI) 2.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 2.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 3CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.9 CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.9 CM module in the lower lobe of the lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM Module in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM Module in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM Module in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in the lower lobe left lung (FI) 3.2/M 1.2 CM MOLUE in th | e medial segment of of the right lung | 1 | | 0 | 1 (TP) (2.4) | 252 | 13.4 | + | BPC | Remission |
| 4 56/M 2.6 cm nodule in the superior se the right lower lobe of the lur and D2) 5 23/M 1 cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the lit (Fig. 2E1 and E2) 6 43/F 1.2 cm nodule in the medial seg the right middle lobe of the lur (Fig. 2F1 and F2) 7 34/F Multiple confluent solid nodule in the undule lobe of the lung (taken as a single lesion) and G2) 8 33/F 1 cm nodule in the apical segmining tright lower lobe of the lung (H2) 9 37/F Two 5.8 and 1 cm retroperitone (Fig. 311 and I2) 10 22/M 3 cm mass in the body of pancr and J2) 11 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the lower lobe of the lung (ter und le lung (ter and L2) | e apical segment of the of the lung (Fig. 1C1 and | 1 | | 0 | 1 (TP) (1.5) | 304 | 29.4 | + | Bronchial paragan- glioma | Remission |
| 23/M 1cm nodule in the apicoposteri of the lc (Fig. 2E1 and E2) 43/F 1.2 cm nodule in the medial seg the right middle lobe of the lu (Fig. 2F1 and F2) 34/F Multiple confluent solid nodule 1–1.5 cm in the upper lobe of lung (taken as a single lesion) and G2) 33/F 1cm nodule in the apical segmi right lower lobe of the lung (H2) 37/F Two 5.8 and 1cm retroperitone (Fig. 311 and 12) 10 22/M 3cm mass in the body of pancr and J2) 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the nedial the right lung (Fig. 3K1, K2 and K3) | e superior segment of be of the lung (Fig. 1D1 | - | (thyroid nodule) | 2 (LN, FL) | 1 (TP) (4.4) | 314 | 34.5 | NA | AN | Partial remission afte RFA |
| 6 43/F 1.2cm nodule in the medial seg the right middle lobe of the l. (Fig. 2F1 and F2) 7 34/F Multiple confluent solid nodule 1-1.5cm in the upper lobe of lung (taken as a single lesion) and G2) 8 33/F 1cm nodule in the apical segmetright lower lobe of the lung (1 H2) 9 37/F Two 5.8 and 1cm retroperitone (Fig. 311 and 12) 10 22/M 3cm mass in the body of pancra and J2) 11 36/M (a) 1.9cm nodule in the lower lobe of the lung (the right lung (fig. 3K1, K2 and K3) 12 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | apicoposterior segment lobe of the lung | - | _ | 1 (LN) | 0 | 98.6 | 15.46 | + | BPC | Remission |
| 34/F Multiple confluent solid nodule 1–1.5 cm in the upper lobe of lung (taken as a single lesion) and G2) 33/F 1 cm nodule in the apical segme right lower lobe of the lung (1 H2) 37/F Two 5.8 and 1 cm retroperitone (Fig. 311 and 12) 22/M 3 cm mass in the body of pancra and J2) 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the medial the right middle lobe of the lung (1 0.7 cm nodule in the lower lot left lung (Fig. 3K1, K2 and K3 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | e medial segment of obe of the lung | - | _ | 2 (atelactasis, adrenal adenoma) | 0 | 72.9 | 23.19 | + | BPC | Remission |
| 33/F 1 cm nodule in the apical segme right lower lobe of the lung (I H2) 37/F Two 5.8 and 1 cm retroperitone (Fig. 311 and 12) 22/M 3 cm mass in the body of pancra and J2) 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the medial the right middle lobe of the lung (Fig. 3K1, K2 and K3) 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | solid nodules of pper lobe of the right ingle lesion) (Fig. 2G1 | - | _ | 2 (LN, FL) | 0 | 244 | 16.5 | + | DIPNECH | Remission |
| 37/F Two 5.8 and 1cm retroperitone (Fig. 311 and 12) 22/M 3 cm mass in the body of pancre and J2) 11 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the medial the right middle lobe of the lung (Fig. 3K1, K2 and K3) 12 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | apical segment of the of the lung (Fig. 2H1 and | 1 | | 1 (fibrocavita- tory lesion) | 0 | 864 | 52.4 | + | BPC | Remission |
| 22/M 3 cm mass in the body of pancre and J2) 36/M (a) 1.9 cm nodule in the medial the right middle lobe of the lt 0.7 cm nodule in the lower lot left lung (Fig. 3K1, K2 and K3) 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | etroperitoneal masses | 2 | _ | 0 | 2 (TP) (19.4, 19) | 1250 | 58.4 | + | RPC | Remission |
| 36/M (a) 1.9cm nodule in the medial the right middle lobe of the lt 0.7cm nodule in the lower lot left lung (Fig. 3K1, K2 and K3) 29/M No definite lesion identified[#] | ody of pancreas (Fig. 3J1 | 1 | | 1 (FL) | 1 (TP) (3.2) | 314 | 31 | + | PNET | Remission |
| 12 29/M No definite lesion identified [#] | the medial segment of obe of the lung (b) the lower lobe of the , K2 and K3) | 1 (TP a) C | _ | 1 (LN) | 2 (TP a and b) (2, 3.5) | 319 | 65.8 | + | SCLC | Bilateral adrenalectorr and chemotherap |
| | dentified [#] | 0 | (lung nodules)** | 0 | 0 | 107 | 53 | AN | I | Bilateral adrenalectom |

ACTH, adrenocorticotrophic hormone; LDDS, low-dose dexamethasone suppression; BPC, bronchopulmonary carcinoid; DIPNECH, diffuse idiopathic pulmonary neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia; FL, fibrotic lesion; LN, calcified mediastinal lymph node; NA, not available; PNET, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor; RPC, retroperitoneal carcinoid; SCLC, small-cell lung carcinoma; SUV max,

standardized uptake value maximum. *The true positive lesions are the same as the true positives described in the adjacent column; **Lesions were non-enhancing and disappeared after the course of antibiotics; #Patient with occult disease at last follow-up.

DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010

Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

5:86

86-91

Results

In our series, the mean age at presentation was 35.5 years (range 22–45 years) with five males and seven females (Table 1). Out of 12 patients, EAS source could be localised in 11 patients at the first evaluation (overt cases), whereas 1 patient remained occult till last follow-up (18 months). In these 11 patients, a total of 13 lesions (10 intra-thoracic, 3 intra-abdominal) were found to be true-positive EAS source (Figs 1, 2 and 3). Two patients had two lesions each, resulting in 13 lesions in 11 patients (patient 11 had small-cell carcinoma in the middle lobe of the right lung, with metastasis to the left lung, and patient 9 was reported to have two distinct adjacent lesions on both CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT, which was later confirmed as a single retroperitoneal carcinoid intra-operatively).

CECT

The radiologist reported 28 lesions in total, with 17 as 'suggestive lesions' and 11 as 'non-specific' ones (Table 1). Compared with the final outcome, 12 of these 17 suggestive lesions were true positive, and the remaining 5 were false positive. The false-positive lesions included three infective pulmonary nodules in patient 12 (which cleared on repeat imaging after antibiotic therapy), one thyroid nodule in

patient 5 (which was cytologically Bethesda category 2) and one 7 mm liver nodule in patient 1 (which remained static even after patient achieved remission post-resection of bronchial carcinoid). Thus, CECT has sensitivity of 92.3% (12/13) for overt cases, and positive predictive value (PPV) of 70.5% (12/17) for 'suggestive' lesions (Table 2). However, the PPV dropped down to 42.8% (12/28) with inclusion of non-specific lesions. Difference between post-contrast HU at 60s and baseline HU was 33.2HU (range 26.0–62.5) for true-positive lesions and 5.6HU (range 2–8) for false-positive lesions.

⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT

The nuclear medicine physician reported 9 lesions (out of 13 true positive lesions) in seven patients. Thus, PPV of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT per lesion was 100% (Table 2). It failed to identify four lesions (one lesion each in patients 5, 6, 7 and 8; Fig. 2), thus making a sensitivity of 69.2%. The mean standardised uptake value maximum in the positive lesions was 5.79 (range 1.5–19.4).

Management and outcome

The management strategies included resection of the suspected lesions in nine patients, radiofrequency



Figure 1

Representative CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT images for patients 1 (A1 and A2), 2 (B1 and B2), 3 (C1 and C2) and 4 (D1 and D2). All four patients had bronchopulmonary carcinoids (BPC) with corresponding uptake on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT scans. Details given in Table 1.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010 © 2016 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



M R Goroshi et al.

⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT in ectopic ACTH syndrome

5:87



Figure 2

Representative CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT images for Case 5: BPC showed on CECT (E1) with no uptake on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET (E2) Case 6: BPC showed on CECT (F1) with no uptake on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET (F2) Case 7: DIPNECH showed on CECT (G1) with no uptake on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET (G2) Case 8: BPC showed on CECT (H1) with no uptake on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET (H2) Details given in Table 1.

ablation of lung nodule (patient 4, high surgical risk) and chemotherapy for metastatic small-cell lung carcinoma (patient 11). Patient 12 underwent bilateral adrenalectomy to control hypercortisolism, because tumour remained occult at last follow-up (18 months). Details of outcome are shown in Table 1.

Histopathology

Histopathology was available in all patients except one (patient 4). Patient 4 underwent CT-guided biopsy of suspected lung lesion at the time of radiofrequency ablation (RFA), but the biopsied specimen was inadequate



Figure 3

Representative CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT images for Case 9: Retroperitoneal carcinoid positive on both scans (I1 and I2) Case 10: NET at the body of pancreas with atrophic tail positive on both scans (J1 and J2) Case 11: Small cell carcinoma of right lung (K1 and K2) with metastasis to opposite lung (K3) Details given in Table 1.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010 © 2016 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



5:88

88-91

Table 2Sensitivity and PPV of CECT and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOCPET/CT (total true-positive lesions = 13).

| | True positive | False positive | False negative | Sensitiv- ity (%) | PPV (%) |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| CECT | 12 | 5 | 1 | 92.3 | 70.5 |
| ⁶⁸ Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT | 9 | 0 | 4 | 69.2 | 100 |

for histopathological diagnosis. However, there was significant reduction in hypercortisolaemia post-RFA; hence, it was considered as the true EAS source. All other patients (n=10) had histopathologically confirmed NET with positive immunostaining for synaptophysin, chromogranin and ACTH. The histopathological diagnosis included bronchopulmonary carcinoids (n=5), diffuse idiopathic pulmonary neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia (n=1), pulmonary paraganglioma (n=1), small-cell carcinoma lung (n=1), gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (GEP-NET) (n=1)and retroperitoneal carcinoid (n=1).

Discussion

The localisation of tumours causing EAS has always been a challenge. In an editorial review, de Herder and coworkers (18) have suggested that no single imaging modality is of sufficient accuracy to allow singular use, and various modalities especially anatomical and functional imaging have to be used in combination. This older review is still held true by recent systemic analyses (2). Among the functional imaging modalities, maximum data are on SPECT-based octreotide scintigraphy (10). In general, PET-based imaging offers a better modality over SPECTbased imaging (19). SSTR-based PET imaging has shown promising results in localisation of EAS. However, the data on this modality is limited to case reports and some case series (11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16). We reviewed here the performance of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT imaging in detecting the source of ectopic ACTH secretion in 12 consecutive patients of EAS presented to our centre between 2013 and 2014. Given the inherent bias of reporting only positive cases in case reports, our study is important as it is the largest report of use of this modality in consecutive patients of EAS and its comparison with CECT.

68Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT

In our study, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT localised 9 out of 13 lesions with overall sensitivity of 69.2%; site-specific

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010 © 2016 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd sensitivity was 60% (6/10) for lung lesions and 100% (3/3) for GEP-NET. As there are no similar series for comparison, we compared the performance of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT in our study with a recent individual patient-based systematic review done by Isidori and coworkers (2). They analysed studies on EAS localisation, which have included at least one conventional and one nuclear medicine investigation. In this systematic review, data on ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR PET/CT use was available for EAS patients (*n*=23). They reported similar CECT sensitivity of 81.8% (18/22) for histopathologically proven lesions, 77.8% (7/9) for lung lesions and 100% (4/4) for GEP NET. Better performance of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT is well reported in GEP-NET (sensitivity approaching up to 95%) (20).

Additionally, in our study, no false-positive lesions were reported in ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT, making its PPV 100%. This is comparable with the lower false-positive rate (4.3%) reported by Isidori and coworkers (2). Our finding of high PPV of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT is particularly important as it may consolidate the findings of CECT (which, though more sensitive, has lower PPV). This substantiates the suggested role of functional imaging to back up the findings of anatomical imaging and facilitate therapeutic decision making (18, 21). We suggest that a convincing uptake on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT in suspected lesions might obviate the need for preoperative biopsy to establish ACTH source, although this needs to be studied in a larger prospective cohort.

CECT

In our study, CECT has sensitivity of 92.3% in overt cases and PPV of 70.5% for 'suggestive' lesions. Various series have reported the sensitivity of CECT ranging from 66 and 93% (2, 3, 21). The probable reasons for better sensitivity observed by us (92.3%) might be a small sample size, technical differences in the CT scanning, referral bias for severe cases and the lower number of occult cases in our cohort. As the tumours responsible for EAS are often small, the resolution of CT scan as determined by the slice thickness of CT acquisition is an important determinant. Whenever specified, different series have used variable slice thicknesses ranging from 1 to 10 mm (3, 21). We have used thin sections of 1-3 mm, which might partly explain the better sensitivity observed in our study. Another important confounding factor is the proportion of occult cases in the studied cohort. This is evident in a study by Zemskova and coworkers (21) in which they did a comprehensive prospective analysis of imaging modalities in 41 EAS patients. They reported that



5:89

89-91

the sensitivity of CT reduced from 93% (when restricted to tumour-found group) to 63% (when occult cases were included in the analysis).

In our study, CECT had a PPV of 70.5% (with a falsepositive rate of 29.5%), which is similar to that reported by Zemskova and coworkers (66%) (21). However, the false-positive rate is considerably higher than that reported by Isidori and coworkers (a false-positive rate of only 3.7%) (4). Although the reason for this disparity remains unknown, one notable difference is the higher sensitivity of CECT (92.3%) in our study compared with that by Isidori and coworkers (66.2% overall and 81.8% for histologically confirmed cases). Given the fact that high sensitivity often comes at a cost of high false-positive rate, use of sensitive acquisition parameters of thin CT sections (1-3 mm) at our centre might have contributed to higher false-positive rate. Notably, we have excluded the non-specific lesions (which did not evoke any diagnostic action like biopsy) from our analysis. This factor has an important bearing on the PPV analysis. As predicted, the PPV of CECT reduced to 42.8% after inclusion of such lesions. Because NETs are highly vascular structures and have good contrast enhancement (23), we analysed the contrast enhancement characteristics of our true-positive lesions. We found that true-positive lesions had better enhancement compared with false-positive lesions. We suggest that consideration of this characteristic may enhance the predicitivity of suggestive lesions on CECT.

Finally, all our patients with positive functional imaging had a corresponding lesion well evident on conventional CT scans. Tabarin and coworkers (24) have questioned the additional utility of SSTR-based functional imaging, arguing that in most positive reported cases, the source of ectopic ACTH was already evident on conventional CT/MRI scans. They have shown the limited utility of SSTR imaging (octreotide-based SPECT imaging) over CECT in a carefully selected cohort of 12 patients with occult EAS on conventional imaging. Given that the functional imaging scans have limited availability, this objection seems pertinent. The sensitivity of CT scans will always be higher than that of functional scans because it is not biologically plausible to have a tracer uptake on the functional scan without a corresponding anatomical substrate on CT/MRI. However, for clinical relevance, suggestive lesions on CT should not only escape an overlook but also be convincing enough to provoke therapeutic intervention. Reports of initially overlooked lesions on CECT, which were retrospectively confirmed after a positive SSTR scan, substantiate the former concern (5). Notably patient 2, (Fig. 1B) had a 0.8cm nodule in the

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010

middle lobe of the right lung lying along the course of pulmonary vessels. The difficulty encountered in detecting such lesions is well described (25). Although it was reported as probable lesion by the radiologists at our centre, her scans were repeatedly reported negative at the referring centre. The attempts at biopsy failed due to difficult location. The convincing uptake shown on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT consolidated the diagnosis and facilitated the decision of surgery. Similar facilitation with a positive functional scan was reported by More and coworkers (26) in a patient with severe bronchiectasis that defied CECT localisation of two bronchial carcinoids. Additionally, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT has helped to change the management strategy in one of our patients. In patient 11 (Fig. 3), identification of an additional focus on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT changed the stage of the disease (from localised disease to metastatic) and treatment (from surgery to palliative chemotherapy).

Given the limited availability of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT, the commonly used functional imaging modality is octreoscan (¹²³I-Tyr-3-octreotide and ¹¹¹In-DTPApentetreotide scans). However, there are no studies of direct comparison of these octreoscans with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC PET/CT in a cohort of EAS patients. Technically, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT has better sensitivity and image quality than SPECT-based octreoscan due to improved spatial resolution and better signal-to-noise ratios attributable to PET-based acquisition parameters (19). In their review, Isidori and coworkers reported lower sensitivity of octreoscan (48.9%) compared with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT (81.8%) in the overall cohort (2).

The limitations of our study include small sample size and lower number of occult cases. Also, majority of our tumours were intra-thoracic. This may influence the sensitivity analysis because tumour site-specific differences in sensitivity of imaging modalities are well described (2). We emphasise the need for a larger prospective study on ⁶⁸Ga-based SSTR PET/CT in EAS patients with varied tumour types. Also, our sample may not be representative of general population, because ours being an established referral centre for CS, the possibility of preferential reference of difficult patients with CS who were not localised by the routine workup could not be refuted, and this may account for higher proportion of ectopic CS cases in our total CS cohort.

In sum, based on our retrospective experience, our current approach to localisation of EAS is to initiate with a thin-slice (1–3 mm) conventional CECT scan from the neck to the pelvis (owing to its higher sensitivity) followed by ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT scan for confirmation of positive lesions (due to its higher PPV). In case of unavailability



^{© 2016} The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

90-91

of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT scans, we suggest a careful study of contrast enhancement pattern on CECT to characterise the lesions and guide better targeting of lesions for biopsy (with ACTH staining). Conversely, positive ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT scans may obviate the need for preoperative biopsy.

To conclude, in the current era of functional imaging, conventional imaging with thin-section CECT still holds promise in EAS localisation. The need for experienced radiology services cannot be overemphasised. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC PET/CT images help to consolidate the CT localisation findings.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This work did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

References

- Wajchenberg BLEO, Mendonca BB, Liberman B, Pereira MAA, Carneiro PC, Wakamatsu A & Kirschner MA. Ectopic Adrenocorticotropic hormone syndrome. *Endocrine Reviews* 1994 15 752–787. (doi:10.1210/edrv-15-6-752)
- 2 Isidori AM, Sbardella E, Zatelli MC, Boschetti M, Vitale G, Colao A, Pivonello R & ABC Study Group. Conventional and nuclear medicine imaging in ectopic Cushing's syndrome: a systematic review. *Journal* of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2015 **100** 3231–3244. (doi:10.1210/JC.2015-1589)
- 3 Ilias I, Torpy DJ, Pacak K, Mullen N, Wesley RA & Nieman LK. Cushing's syndrome due to ectopic corticotropin secretion: twenty years' experience at the National Institutes of Health. *Journal* of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2005 **90** 4955–4962. (doi:10.1210/jc.2004-2527)
- 4 Isidori AM, Kaltsas GA, Pozza C, Frajese V, Newell-Price J, Reznek RH, Jenkins PJ, Monson JP, Grossman AB & Besser GM. The ectopic adrenocorticotropin syndrome: clinical features, diagnosis, management, and long-term follow-up. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2006 **91** 371–377. (doi:10.1210/ jc.2005-1542)
- 5 Özkan ZG, Kuyumcu S, Balköse D, Ozkan B, Aksakal N, Yilmaz E, Sanli Y, Türkmen C, Aral F & Adalet I. The value of somatostatin receptor imaging with In-111 Octreotide and/or Ga-68 DOTATATE in localizing Ectopic ACTH producing tumours. *Molecular Imaging and Radionuclide Therapy* 2013 **22** 49–55. (doi:10.4274/MIRT)
- 6 Hernández I, Espinosa-de-los-Monteros AL, Mendoza V, Cheng S, Molina M, Sosa E & Mercado M. Ectopic ACTH-secreting syndrome: a single center experience report with a high prevalence of occult tumour. *Archives of Medical Research* 2006 **37** 976–980. (doi:10.1016/ j.arcmed.2006.05.015)
- 7 Torpy DJ, Chen CC, Mullen N, Doppman JL, Carrasquillo JA, Chrousos GP & Nieman LK. Lack of utility of 1111n-pentetreotide scintigraphy in localizing ectopic ACTH producing tumours: follow-up of 18 patients. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1999 84 1186–1192. (doi:10.1210/jcem.84.4.5576)

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010 © 2016 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

- 8 Jensen RT, Cadiot G, Brandi ML, De Herder WW, Kaltsas G, Komminoth P, Scoazec JY, Salazar R, Sauvanet A & Kianmanesh R. ENETS consensus guidelines for the management of patients with digestive neuroendocrine neoplasms: functional pancreatic endocrine tumour syndromes. *Neuroendocrinology* 2012 **95** 98–119. (doi:10.1159/000335591)
- 9 Phan AT, Oberg K, Choi J, Harrison LH Jr, Hassan MM, Strosberg JR, Krenning EP, Kocha W, Woltering EA, Maples WJ & North American Neuroendocrine Tumour Society (NANETS). NANETS consensus guideline for the diagnosis and management of neuroendocrine tumours: well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumours of the thorax (includes lung and thymus). *Pancreas* 2010 **39** 784–798. (doi:10.1097/ MPA.0b013e3181ec1380)
- 10 Santhanam P, Taieb D, Giovanella L & Treglia G. PET imaging in ectopic Cushing syndrome: a systematic review. *Endocrine* 2015 **50** 297–305. (doi:10.1007/s12020-015-0689-4)
- 11 Thomas T, Zender S, Terkamp C, Jaeckel E & Manns MP. Hypercortisolaemia due to ectopic adrenocorticotropic hormone secretion by a nasal paraganglioma: a case report and review of the literature. *BMC Research Notes* 2013 **6** 331. (doi:10.1186/ 1756-0500-6-331)
- 12 Treglia G, Salomone E, Petrone G, Giaccari A, Rindi G & Rufini V. A rare case of ectopic adrenocorticotropic hormone syndrome caused by a metastatic neuroendocrine tumour of the pancreas detected by 68Ga-DOTANOC and 18F-FDG PET/CT. *Clinical Nuclear Medicine* 2013 **38** e306–e308. (doi:10.1097/RLU.0b013e318279ec68)
- 13 Willhauck MJ, Pöpperl G, Rachinger W, Giese A, Auernhammer CJ & Spitzweg C. An unusual case of ectopic ACTH syndrome. *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes* 2012 **120** 63–67. (doi:10.1055/s-0031-1297967)
- 14 Venkitaraman B, Karunanithi S, Kumar A, Bal C, Ammini AC & Kumar R. 68Ga-DOTATOC PET-CT in the localization of source of ectopic ACTH in patients with ectopic ACTH-dependent Cushing's syndrome. *Clinical Imaging* 2014 **38** 208–211. (doi:10.1016/j. clinimag.2013.10.007)
- 15 Gilardi L, Colandrea M, Fracassi SL, Sansovini M & Paganelli G. (68) Ga- DOTA(0) -Tyr(3) octreotide (DOTATOC) positron emission tomography (PET)/CT in five cases of ectopic adrenocorticotropinsecreting tumours. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2014 **81** 152–153. (doi:10.1111/cen.12313)
- 16 Karageorgiadis AS, Papadakis GZ, Biro J, Keil MF, Lyssikatos C, Quezado MM, Merino M, Schrump DS, Kebebew E, Patronas NJ, *et al.* Ectopic adrenocorticotropic hormone and corticotropin-releasing hormone co-secreting tumours in children and adolescents causing cushing syndrome: a diagnostic dilemma and how to solve it. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2015 **100** 141–148. (doi:10.1210/jc.2014-2945)
- 17 Kakade HR, Kasaliwal R, Jagtap VS, Bukan A, Budyal SR, Khare S, Lila AR, Bandgar T, Menon PS & Shah NS. Ectopic ACTH-secreting syndrome: a single-center experience. *Endocrine Practice* 2013 **19** 1007–1014. (doi:10.4158/EP13171.OR)
- 18 de Herder WW & Lamberts SW. Tumour localization the ectopic ACTH syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1999 84 1184–1185. (doi:10.1210/jcem.84.4.5690)
- 19 Rahmin A & Zaidi H. PET versus SPECT: strengths, limitations and challenges. *Nuclear Medicine Communication* 2008 **29** 193–207. (doi:10.1097/MNM.0b013e3282f3a515)
- 20 Treglia G, Castaldi P, Rindi G, Giordano A & Rufini V. Diagnostic performance of Gallium-68 somatostatin receptor PET and PET/CT in patients with thoracic and gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours: a meta-analysis. *Endocrine* 2012 **42** 80–87. (doi:10.1007/ s12020-012-9631-1)
- 21 Zemskova MS, Gundabolu B, Sinaii N, Chen CC, Carrasquillo JA, Whatley M, Chowdhury I, Gharib AM & Nieman LK. Utility of various functional and anatomic imaging modalities for detection of ectopic adrenocorticotropin-secreting tumours. *Journal of Clinical*



91–91

Endocrinology and Metabolism 2010 **95** 1207–1219. (doi:10.1210/jc.2009-2282)

- 22 Pacak K, Ilias I, Chen CC, Carrasquillo JA, Whatley M & Nieman LK. The role of [(18)F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography and [(111)In]-diethylenetriaminepentaacetate-D-Phe-pentetreotide scintigraphy in the localization of ectopic adrenocorticotropinsecreting tumours causing Cushing's syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2004 **89** 2214–2221. (doi:10.1210/ jc.2003-031812)
- 23 Chong S, Lee KS, Kim BT, Choi JY, Yi CA, Chung MJ, Oh DK & Lee JY. Integrated PET/CT of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours: diagnostic and prognostic implications. *AJR American Journal of Roentgenology* 2007 **188** 1223–1231. (doi:10.2214/ AJR.06.0503)
- 24 Tabarin A, Valli N, Chanson P, Bachelot Y, Rohmer V, Bex-Bachellerie V, Catargi B, Roger P & Laurent F. Usefulness of somatostatin receptor scintigraphy in patients with occult ectopic adrenocorticotropin syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1999 **84** 1193–1202. (doi:10.1210/jcem.84.4.5583)
- 25 Doppman JL, Pass HI, Nieman LK, Findling JW, Dwyer AJ, Feuerstein IM, Ling A, Travis WD, Cutler GB Jr, Chrousos GP, et al. Detection of ACTH-producing bronchial carcinoid tumours: MR imaging vs CT. AJR American Journal of Roentgenology 1991 156 39–43. (doi:10.2214/ajr.156.1.1845787)
- 26 More J, Young J, Reznik Y, Raverot G, Borson-Chazot F, Rohmer V, Baudin E, Coutant R & Tabarin A. Ectopic ACTH syndrome in children and adolescents. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2011 **96** 1213–1222. (doi:10.1210/jc.2010-2276)

Received in final form 7 March 2016 Accepted 22 March 2016

http://www.endocrineconnections.org DOI: 10.1530/EC-16-0010 © 2016 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

