#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tiziana Cappello, University of Messina, Italy

REVIEWED BY Mohamed Banni, University of Sousse, Tunisia Imad Krikech, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco

\*CORRESPONDENCE Mohamed Emam, melsayedemam@mun.ca Matthew L. Rise, mrise@mun.ca

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### <sup>‡</sup>PRESENT ADDRESS

Evandro Kleber Lorenz, Departamento de Zootecnia, Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil; Navaneethaiyer Umasuthan, AquaBounty Canada, Inc., Souris, PE, Canada

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Metabolomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

RECEIVED 29 April 2022 ACCEPTED 12 August 2022 PUBLISHED 21 September 2022

#### CITATION

Emam M, Eslamloo K, Caballero-Solares A, Lorenz EK, Xue X, Umasuthan N, Gnanagobal H, Santander J, Taylor RG, Balder R, Parrish CC and Rise ML (2022), Nutritional immunomodulation of Atlantic salmon response to *Renibacterium salmoninarum* bacterin. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 9:931548. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.931548

## Nutritional immunomodulation of Atlantic salmon response to *Renibacterium salmoninarum* bacterin

Mohamed Emam<sup>1</sup>\*, Khalil Eslamloo<sup>1</sup>, Albert Caballero-Solares<sup>1†</sup>, Evandro Kleber Lorenz<sup>1†‡</sup>, Xi Xue<sup>1</sup>, Navaneethaiyer Umasuthan<sup>1‡</sup>, Hajarooba Gnanagobal<sup>2</sup>, Javier Santander<sup>2</sup>, Richard G. Taylor<sup>3</sup>, Rachel Balder<sup>3</sup>, Christopher C. Parrish<sup>1</sup> and Matthew L. Rise<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ocean Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Marine Microbial Pathogenesis and Vaccinology Laboratory, Department of Ocean Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Cargill Animal Nutrition and Health, Minneapolis, MN, United States

We investigated the immunomodulatory effect of varying levels of dietary  $\omega 6/$  $\omega$ 3 fatty acids (FA) on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) antibacterial response. Two groups were fed either high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 or high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 FA diets for 8 weeks, and a third group was fed for 4 weeks on the high- $18:2\omega 6$  diet followed by 4 weeks on the high- $18:3\omega 3$  diet and termed "switched-diet". Following the second 4 weeks of feeding (i.e., at 8 weeks), head kidney tissues from all groups were sampled for FA analysis. Fish were then intraperitoneally injected with either a formalinkilled Renibacterium salmoninarum bacterin ( $5 \times 10^7$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) or phosphatebuffered saline (PBS control), and head kidney tissues for gene expression analysis were sampled at 24 h post-injection. FA analysis showed that the head kidney profile reflected the dietary FA, especially for  $C_{18}$  FAs. The qPCR analyses of twenty-three genes showed that both the high- $\omega$ 6 and high- $\omega$ 3 groups had significant bacterin-dependent induction of some transcripts involved in lipid metabolism (ch25ha and lipe), pathogen recognition (clec12b and tlr5), and immune effectors (znrf1 and cish). In contrast, these transcripts did not significantly respond to the bacterin in the "switched-diet" group. Concurrently, biomarkers encoding proteins with putative roles in biotic inflammatory response (tnfrsf6b) and dendritic cell maturation (ccl13) were upregulated, and a chemokine receptor (cxcr1) was downregulated with the bacterin injection regardless of the experimental diets. On the other hand, an inflammatory regulator biomarker, bcl3, was only significantly upregulated in the high- $\omega$ 3 fed group, and a C-type lectin family member (*clec3a*) was only significantly downregulated in the switched-diet group with the bacterin injection (compared with diet-matched PBS-injected controls). Transcript fold-change (FC: bacterin/PBS) showed that tlr5 was significantly over 2-fold higher in the high-18:2w6 diet group compared with other diet groups. FC and FA associations highlighted the role of DGLA (20:3 $\omega$ 6; anti-inflammatory) and/ or EPA (20:5ω3; anti-inflammatory) vs. ARA (20:4ω6; pro-inflammatory) as representative of the anti-inflammatory/pro-inflammatory balance between eicosanoid precursors. Also, the correlations revealed associations of FA proportions (% total FA) and FA ratios with several eicosanoid and immune receptor biomarkers (e.g., DGLA/ARA significant positive correlation with *pgds*, *5loxa*, *5loxb*, *tlr5*, and *cxcr1*). In summary, dietary FA profiles and/or regimens modulated the expression of some immune-relevant genes in Atlantic salmon injected with *R. salmoninarum* bacterin. The modulation of Atlantic salmon responses to bacterial pathogens and their associated antigens using high- $\omega$ 6/ high- $\omega$ 3 diets warrants further investigation.

#### KEYWORDS

Salmo salar, bacterial kidney disease, formalin-killed Renibacterium salmoninarum bacterin, qPCR, molecular biomarker,  $\omega$ 3 and  $\omega$ 6 dietary fatty acids

### 1 Introduction

Aquaculture is the fastest-growing food sector and one of the main contributors to the 2030 agenda for global nutrition security based on a recent report of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (Hambrey, 2017; Stankus, 2021), notably considering the growing gap between animal protein production and increasing protein demand due to world population growth (Henchion et al., 2017). Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) is one of the most economically important marine aquaculture species that shares in filling the gap between supply and human food demand (Asche et al., 2013). However, several nutritional and disease challenges threaten the Atlantic salmon aquaculture industry. There is growing evidence that nutritional modulations may be employed to improve Atlantic salmon's immune response to the pathogens impacting its health and welfare (Caballero-Solares et al., 2017; Martin and Król, 2017; Katan et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2020).

Several studies have investigated the effects of dietary longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFA), for example, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) + docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), levels on Atlantic salmon immune responses (Martinez-Rubio et al., 2013, 2014; Arnemo et al., 2017; Bou et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2020). Also, dietary EPA and DHA levels modulated the transcript expression of genes with putative functions in innate immune response and oxidation-reduction homeostasis (Xue et al., 2020). In addition, EPA and DHA enhanced the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines and suppressed the expression levels of pro-inflammatory genes [e.g., interleukin1b (il1b)] in zebrafish (Danio rerio) (Cheng et al., 2015). However, the global limitation of fish oil (FO; currently the main EPA and DHA source for the aquafeed industry) resources remains a major challenge to increasing aquaculture production (Shepherd and Bachis, 2014; Sprague et al., 2016; Tocher et al., 2019). Terrestrial oil sources that have low EPA + DHA content are some of the current solutions for FO scarcity in the aquaculture industry (Sprague et al., 2017; Napier et al., 2020). However, the wide variety of vegetable oil (VO) fatty acid (FA) profiles, ranging from high-w3 (e.g., 18:3w3 as in linseed oil) to high-w6 (e.g., 18:  $2\omega 6$  as in soybean oil), with low EPA + DHA, adds another layer of challenge to incorporating those oil sources into aquaculture diets. The essential FAs that are prevalent in terrestrial oils (i.e., 18:3w3 and 18:2w6) and their elongation products play major structural and functional roles (e.g., membrane order, immune function, and lymphoid tissue development) in animals (Harbige, 2003; Arnemo et al., 2017; Bou et al., 2020; Hundal et al., 2020). Also, these FAs, and their desaturation and elongation products [e.g., ARA (20:4w6; arachidonic acid), DGLA (20:3w6; dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid), EPA, and DHA], are precursors for several eicosanoids mediating various inflammatory pathways (Bell et al., 1993, 1996). ARA (18:2w6 desaturation and elongation product)-derived eicosanoids have pro-inflammatory roles (Calder, 2010), whereas DGLA (another desaturation and elongation product of 18:2w6)-derived eicosanoids are considered antiinflammatory (Kapoor and Huang, 2006; Baker et al., 2020). In addition, EPA and DHA-derived resolvins exhibit antiinflammatory effects and can induce changes in gene expression to protect stimulated macrophages from excessive inflammation (Calder, 2010; Allam-Ndoul et al., 2017). Preponderantly, cells involved in inflammatory responses are rich in  $\omega$ 6-FA (e.g., ARA) (Calder, 2010); however, these FA profiles can be changed through dietary manipulation (Calder, 2010; Eslamloo et al., 2017). Thus, dietary FAs and consequently their derived eicosanoids are essential in regulating fish physiological and pathophysiological conditions.

Bacterial kidney disease (BKD) is one of the most widespread infectious diseases globally that can cause substantial economic losses for the salmon aquaculture industry (Rozas-Serri et al., 2020). *Renibacterium salmoninarum*, a fastidious Gram-positive bacterium and a member of the Micrococcaceae family (Fryer and Lannan, 1993), is the cause of BKD in salmonids. This bacterium can infect various salmonid species [e.g., sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) and Atlantic salmon] in both fresh and marine water (Austin and Austin, 2016; Eslamloo et al., 2020a). Also, *R. salmoninarum* can be both horizontally and vertically transmitted (Hall et al., 2014). Antibiotic treatments are not fully effective for *R. salmoninarum* and can raise the antibiotic resistance threat (Austin, 1985; Rhodes et al., 2008; Fetherman et al., 2020). Also, vaccine efficacy to prevent BKD has been poor as the pathogen can survive for long periods in head kidney macrophages before being attacked by the immune system (Gu $\partial$ mundsdóttir et al., 2000; Grayson et al., 2002; Alcorn et al., 2005). Therefore, it is necessary to develop sustainable strategies such as dietary-based immunomodulation that can improve the Atlantic salmon's resistance to this pathogen. In the present study, we tested if different diet formulations and regimens, hypothesized to be immunomodulatory, could change the response of Atlantic salmon to *R. salmoninarum*-derived antigens.

Providing low dietary EPA + DHA [0.3% used in (Emam et al., 2020) and 0.4% in the current study] alongside either high C18-w3 (representing precursors of anti-inflammatory mediators) or high C18-w6 (representing precursors of ARA as pro-inflammatory mediators) might promote selective elongation to the required LC-PUFA (e.g., DGLA, EPA, and DHA) from the dietary C<sub>18</sub> precursors (Emam et al., 2020). Salmonids have the ability to elongate and desaturate FAs to fulfill their physiological needs (e.g., balance the proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory FA profile in the membranes) using the available dietary FAs ( $\omega$ 3 or  $\omega$ 6) (Leaver et al., 2008; Castro et al., 2012; Colombo et al., 2021). During immune stimulation (e.g., infection), a balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses of the host is required to minimize cellular damage caused by immune responses (Cicchese et al., 2018). Herein, we examined the effects of two diets formulated with 0.4% EPA + DHA and either high- $\omega$ 3 or high- $\omega$ 6 fed to salmon for 8 weeks, and a third group that was fed the high- $\omega$ 6 diet for 4 weeks followed by 4 weeks of the high- $\omega$ 3 diet (i.e., the "switched-diet" group) on the head kidney immune response. We used R. salmoninarum bacterin stimulation and previously microarray-identified, realtime quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)-confirmed R. salmoninarum bacterin-responsive biomarkers (Eslamloo et al., 2020b), together with other immune-relevant genes, to evaluate the antibacterial response in the head kidney of Atlantic salmon in the different dietary treatments.

### 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 *R. salmoninarum* strain and bacterin preparation

*R. salmoninarum* ATCC33209 was cultured in KDM-2 [1.0% (w/v) peptone (Difco), 0.05% (w/v) yeast (Difco), 0.05% (w/v) L-cysteine HCl (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States), 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, United States), and 1.5% (v/v) *R. salmoninarum*-conditioned metabolite] (Evelyn et al., 1990) at 15°C under aerobic conditions. To prepare the bacterin, 1 L of KDM-2 was inoculated with 1 ml of fresh *R. salmoninarum* culture and grown at 15°C with aeration (180 rpm) for 10 days. The bacterial cells were harvested at an optical density (O.D. 600 nm)

TABLE 1 Formulation and fatty acid composition of the experimental diets fed to Atlantic salmon.

Ingredient (%) <sup>a</sup>	High-18:2w6	High-18:3ω3
Fish meal	19.86	19.86
Plant protein <sup>b</sup>	49.45	49.45
Premix <sup>c</sup>	2.79	2.79
Fish oil	0.24	0.12
Soybean oil	21.00	-
Linseed oil	-	13.50
Poultry fat	6.64	14.26
Proximate composition (% as fed basis)		
Dry matter	96.57	96.86
Organic matter	94.00	93.94
Ash	5.80	5.87
Carbon	52.97	51.96
Hydrogen	8.78	8.69
Nitrogen	7.90	7.78
Fatty acid composition (% total FAs) <sup>d</sup>		
16:0	12.00	13.00
18:0	4.70	3.90
18:1w9	22.00	23.00
18:2w6; LNA	42.00	21.00
18:3ω3; ALA	5.00	27.00
20:5ω3; ΕΡΑ	1.08	0.87
22:6ω3; DHA	1.40	1.20

<sup>a</sup>Experimental feeds were produced at the pilot plant at Cargill Innovation Center Dirdal, Norway.

<sup>b</sup>Plant protein: soy protein concentrate, wheat gluten, fava bean meal, pea protein concentrate, and raw wheat. For confidentiality, the nature and proportions of these plant products are not provided.

<sup>c</sup>Premix includes amino acids, vitamins, and pigment. Composition in micronutrients of the premix is proprietary information to Cargill, Inc.

<sup>d</sup>Only FAs >1.0% in at least one of the diets are included in the table.

DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid.

of 0.8 ( $\sim 1 \times 10^8$  colony forming units ml<sup>-1</sup>) by centrifugation at  $4,200 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C, washed three times with phosphatebuffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2, Gibco), and then inactivated using 6% formaldehyde (formalin; Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, ON, Canada) with gentle agitation for 3 days at room temperature. Formalin was removed by centrifugation  $4,200 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C. The bacterin was re-suspended and dialyzed in Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassettes (20 K MWCO, 12 ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) in PBS for 3 days at 6°C. Cell counting was performed using the Bacteria Counting Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) by flow cytometry (BD FACS Aria II flow cytometer, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, United States; using BD FACS Diva v7.0 software) following the manufacturer's instructions. After confirming the bacterin inactivation using a subculture, the bacterin was stored at 4°C at a concentration of 108 cells ml-1 and then diluted to a final concentration of  $5 \times 10^7$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup> using PBS (Gibco; also used for the negative control injection) on the day of the immune challenge.

# 2.2 Feeding trial, immune challenge, and fish sampling

Two experimental diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous, isoenergetic (one with a high 18:3w3 and the other with a high  $18:2\omega 6$ ; Table 1), and to meet the requirements of salmonids (NRC, 2011), with 0.4% dietary levels of EPA + DHA in both. Atlantic salmon smolts (initial body weight =  $542.8 \pm 122.65$  g, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) were obtained from Cape d'Or Sustainable Seafood Inc. (Advocate Harbour, NS, Canada) and transferred to 38,000 L tanks at the Dr. Joe Brown Aquatic Research Building (Ocean Sciences Centre, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada). Fish were PIT (passive integrated transponder)-tagged and fed a standard commercial diet (EWOS Dynamic S, 5 mm, EWOS Canada, Surrey, BC, Canada) using automatic feeders (AVF6 Vibratory Feeder; Pentair Aquatic Eco-Systems, Inc., Nanaimo, BC, Canada) at a daily ration of 1% body weight. Feed rations were adjusted daily based on the number of uneaten pellets remaining from the previous feeding. In preparation for the feeding trial, smolts were randomly distributed into nine 620 L tanks (i.e., 26-27 fish per tank) and acclimated for 34 days. All tanks were connected to a flow-through filtered seawater system (12 L min<sup>-1</sup>;  $\sim$ 12°C), and the photoperiod was 24 h light throughout the acclimation and experimental diettesting periods.

At the beginning of the trial, three tanks were assigned to each dietary group [i.e., high-18:3w3, high-18:2w6, and switched-diet group]. The first group was fed a high 18: 2w6 diet [hypothesized to be a pro-inflammatory diet (Innes and Calder, 2018)], hereafter referred to as high-18:  $2\omega 6$ , for 8 weeks. The second group was fed a high  $18:3\omega 3$  diet [hypothesized to be an anti-inflammatory diet (Calder, 2010; Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Wen et al., 2019; Durkin et al., 2021)], hereafter referred to as high-18:3w3, for 8 weeks. The third treatment (hereafter referred to as switched-diet) was fed for 4 weeks with high  $18:2\omega6$  (same diet as high- $18:2\omega6$ ) followed by 4 weeks with high  $18:3\omega3$  FA (same diet as high- $18:3\omega3$ ) (Figure 1). At the end of the feeding trial, fish were fasted for 24 h and euthanized using an overdose of MS-222 (400 mg  $L^{-1}$ , Syndel Laboratories, Vancouver, BC, Canada). Then, fish fork length and body weight were recorded for 14-15 fish per tank for evaluating fish growth across the group as performance and health indicators. Head kidney samples (~500 mg) were collected in 15-ml tubes containing 2 ml of chloroform and kept at -20°C for lipid analysis at 4 weeks in the switched-diet group and at 8 weeks for all groups. For the immune challenge, 6 fish per tank were lightly anesthetized (MS-222, 50 mg  $L^{-1}$ ), and three individuals per tank were subjected to intraperitoneal injection of *R. salmoninarum* bacterin (5 ×  $10^7$  cells kg<sup>-1</sup>; 1 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> wet mass) as in the study by Eslamloo et al. (2020a). The remaining three fish in each tank were injected with PBS (1 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> wet mass) as a sham-injection control. The injected fish were returned to the same tank, and anesthesia recovery was determined by observing active swimming. Fish were euthanized using MS-222 (400 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) 24 h post-injection, and head kidney samples were collected, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for RNA-based studies. All procedures involving live fish were performed following the Canadian Council of Animal Care guidelines (Memorial University of Newfoundland Animal Care Protocol #18–04-MR).

#### 2.3 Growth performance

Both condition factor (CF = weight/length<sup>3</sup>) and specific growth rate (SGR (% day<sup>-1</sup>) =  $100 \times [\ln (\text{final body weight})-\ln (\text{initial body weight})]/days)$  were used as performance and general health indicators (Morton and Routledge, 2006).

# 2.4 RNA extraction, purification, and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from the head kidney tissues using TRIzol (Invitrogen/Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States) following manufacturer instructions. The head kidney samples were lysed in TRIzol using RNase-Free Disposable Pellet Pestles (Thermo Fisher Scientific) before RNA extraction. The RNA samples (40 µg of each) were treated with 6.8 Kunitz units of DNase (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada) for 10 min at room temperature following the manufacturer's instructions. The DNase-treated RNAs were then purified using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen) based on manufacturer recommendations (Xue et al., 2015; Caballero-Solares et al., 2017). The integrity and purity of purified RNA were checked using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop spectrophotometry (NSW-1000), respectively. The RNA samples used in this study showed high purity (i.e., A260/280 and A260/230 ratios above 1.9 and 2.0, respectively) and integrity (tight 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA bands). One microgram of purified RNA was used for cDNA synthesis in a 20 µl reaction using random primers (250 ng; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), M-MLV reverse transcriptase (200 U; Invitrogen/Life Technologies), first-strand buffer  $(1 \times \text{ final concentration}), \text{ dNTPs } (0.5 \text{ mM})$ final concentration), and DTT (10 mM final concentration) at 37°C for 50 min (Xue et al., 2015).



# 2.5 Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis

The qPCR assays were performed using 384-well plates and a ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Each qPCR reaction (13 µl in total) consisted of 1 × Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 50 nM of each forward and reverse primer, and the indicated amount of cDNA (see below). All qPCR assays were carried out in triplicate in this study. The qPCR program (for all targeted genes) consisted of 1 cycle of 50°C for 2 min, 1 cycle of 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 1 min, with fluorescence detection at the end of each 60°C step. The dissociation curve analysis was performed for each gene of interest (GOI) and normalizer gene (i.e., each primer pair) and showed a single product (i.e., a single peak). The primers used were either adopted from previously published studies (Caballero-Solares et al., 2017; Eslamloo et al., 2020b, 2020a) or newly designed to target bacteria recognition, lipid metabolism, and eicosanoid-relevant biomarker genes (Table 2). Primer design was performed using the online PrimerQuest® tool (https://www.idtdna.com/Primerquest/Home/Index). Two separate pools of PBS and bacterin-injected groups were generated using the cDNA of the individuals  $[1\,\mu g$  of input total RNA for each individual in the pool (i.e., equal representation of each individual in a given pool)] used in the qPCR study for primer quality control (QC) of the studied genes. Amplification efficiencies (Pfaffl, 2001) of the GOIs and normalizers were generated using a three-fold serial dilution (five-point) of a given cDNA (with a cDNA template representing 10 ng of input total RNA). To select the normalizer genes, seven candidate normalizers were tested using all samples from all the experimental groups, and the cycle threshold  $(C_T)$  values were analyzed by geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Both eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit D [eif3d, (Caballero-Solares et al., 2017)] and 60S ribosomal protein 32 [rpl32, (Xue et al., 2015)] showed the most stable expression across all the samples and groups (M-value < 0.21). The mRNA levels of the GOIs

were measured using a cDNA template representing 5 ng of input total RNA for each qPCR reaction. All GOIs and normalizers were tested using nine fish per condition (i.e., PBS and bacterin-injected fish in each dietary group) for the three treatments as well as a notemplate control. The relative quantity (RQ) of each GOI was calculated using the qBase relative quantification framework for  $\Delta\Delta C_{T}$  analysis (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). This was performed using the C<sub>T</sub> values for GOIs, normalized to both *eif3d* and *rpl32*, and incorporating the amplification efficiencies (Table 2). For each GOI, RQ values were calibrated to the individual with the lowest normalized transcript expression (i.e., RQ = 1.0) and presented as mean ± standard error (S.E). The RQ data were used to statistically compare the bacterin-injected with the PBS-injected within each diet group, including biological variability of transcript expression for both immune-stimulated and control fish. The fold-change (FC) data were used to determine trends across dietary treatments and associations with FAs.

# 2.6 Fatty acid analysis of head kidney tissues

We aimed to explore the FA profile of the head kidney tissues as one of the main immune organs and the same organ explored in our gene expression study. Lipid extraction and FA derivatization were performed as described (Katan et al., 2019; Emam et al., 2020). Briefly, all utensils were lipidcleaned (three times with methanol followed by three times with chloroform or burned in the muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 h); the samples were kept on ice during the homogenization process, and they were covered with nitrogen after all steps. Chloroform: methanol: water (8: 4: 3) mixtures were used for the extraction process. The Hilditch reagent (1.5 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>: 98.5 anhydrous MeOH) was used to derivatize FAs in 50 µl of the lipid extracts for 1 h at 100°C. Transesterified samples were analyzed in an HP 6890 gas chromatograph on a Zebron ZB-WAX plus<sup>TM</sup> TABLE 2 Primers used for qPCR.

Gene name	Gene symbol	GenBank accession number	F and Rª	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Efficiency (%)	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference	
arachidonate 12- lipoxygenase	12lox	BT072280.1	F	GGGTCAGGACAGAGT TTAGGA	91	142	b	
			R	TGGGCAGTAGGAAGA GGTAAG				
15-lipoxygenase b-like	15lox	XM_014124184.2	F	CCACAATGGGAGCCA GAATAC	94	96	b	
			R	GGGTTACAGCCGTTC AAACA				
arachidonate 5- lipoxygenase a	5loxa	NM_001139832.1	F	CTGCTCACCATGCTG CTGTC	95	93	b	
			R	GTGTGGGGAGGAGGCTTCC				
arachidonate 5- lipoxygenase b	5loxb	CX354498	F	ACTGCTGTGGGGTTTC CCAAG	103	98	b	
			R	GACAGCAGCGTGATG TGCAG				
b-cell lymphoma 3 protein-like	bcl3	EG843167.1	F	CACACCAACATCCCT TACCC	92	112	b	
			R	CTTTGCTCGTGATGG AGACA				
cc motif chemokine 13	ccl13	BT048088	F	ACTCCTCCTGGGACT GCTCT	95	109	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	CCTCTTTGGGTGGAA CTTCA				
cholesterol 25- hydroxylase-like	ch25ha	BT046542	F	TAGAGCTGTGATGCTAGT TTAC	100	106	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
protein a			R	ACCCAGTAGCACTGA GAAGTC				
cytokine-inducible sh2- containing protein	cish	BT057484	F	TGGAGCCACGTCAGA CATAA	108	153	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	GCACCATGTGTTTTC CAGTG				
c-type lectin domain family 12-member b	clec12b	EG842232	F	GGGTATTGGATCGGT TTGAC	106	109	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	TCCCTCCATTTCGAC TGTTC				
c-type lectin domain family 3-member a	clec3a	EL698766.1	F	CCAACCGTTACTGGA GCACT	97	174	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	GGCTCCCCTTAACCC AGATA				
cyclooxygenase 1	cox1	BT045745	F	CTCATGAGGGTGGTC CTCAC	98	135	Caballero-Solares et al. (2017)	
			R	AGGCACAGGGGGTAG GATAC				
c-x-c chemokine receptor type 1-like	cxcr1	CX355704	F	ATGCTGATTCCCCCT ACTCC	97	103	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	ACACTGCTCAAGCCC AAGAT				
interferon regulatory factor 1	irf1	BT048538	F	GCAATGAAGTAGGCA CAGCA	94	100	Eslamloo et al. (2020a)	
			R	CGCAGCTCTATTTCC GTTTC				

(Continued on following page)

#### TABLE 2 (Continued) Primers used for qPCR.

Gene name	Gene symbol	GenBank accession number	F and Rª	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Efficiency (%)	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference	
lipase e, hormone- sensitive	lipe	NM_001140535	F R	ACCCAACTTTCCACG TCAAG CAGTAGATCCCCGAT GTCGT	95	137	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
leukotriene a-4 hydrolase-like	lta4h	XM_014152853	F	TGACAACGGAGGTGG AACT	97	80	b	
			R	ATGGCAATGTCCGCT TTAGG				
nf-kappa-b inhibitor alpha	nfkbia	BT058522.1	F	TGGACCTTCATCGAA CGAGAA	94	97	b	
			R	CGCTCTTCATTGAAAGAT TTAAC				
lipocalin-type prostaglandin d synthase	pgds	BT048787	F	GGTGCTCAACAAGCT CTACA	92	114	b	
			R	GCAGGAAAGCGATGT TGTCA				
toll-like receptor 2	tlr2	XM_045721418	F	CACCCGTCTGGACAAACT AATC	109	102	b	
			R	ATGTTGAGGTGAGTC AGGGT				
toll-like receptor 5	tlr5	AY628755	F	ATCGCCCTGCAGATT TTATG	102	101	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	GAGCCCTCAGCGAGT TAAAG				
toll-like receptor 9	tlr9	NM_001123653	F	AGACTCCAGTGTGGT GAACT	91	109	b	
			R	TACCTGCTGAATCCCGGT ATAG				
tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily	tnfr11b	BT049358	F	CTGTCCTCAGGGGTA CGTGT	99	154	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
member 11b			R	CTGACCAGCTTCCTC AGCTT				
tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily	tnfrsf6b	EG881931	F	CCCAGGTCGCACCAC TATAC	96	112	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
member 6b			R	CATCAACTCCCCATC ACAGA				
e3 ubiquitin-protein ligase znrf1	znrf1	EG922586	F	CAGCACGTCATCGTT GTAGG	92	103	Eslamloo et al. (2020b)	
			R	CAAGTGTCCTGTCTG CTCCA				
60s ribosomal protein 32	rpl32	BT043656	F	AGGCGGTTTAAGGGT CAGAT	93	119	Xue et al. (2015)	
			R	TCGAGCTCCTTGATG TTGTG				
eukaryotic translation initiation factor	eif3d	GE777139	F	CTCCTCCTCCTCGTC CTCTT	92	105	Caballero-Solares et al. (2017)	
3 subunit d			R	GACCCCAACAAGCAA GTGAT				

<sup>a</sup>Forward (F) and Reverse (R) Primers.

<sup>b</sup>Primers designed in the current study.

 $(30~m\times0.32~mm\times0.25~\mu m)$  column (Zebron, Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany). Derivatized samples were injected at 65°C, and the temperature was increased at a rate of

 $40^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> to 195°C and then increased to 220°C at a rate of 2°C min<sup>-1</sup>. The hydrogen carrier gas flow was 2 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, and the starting temperature of the injector was 150°C with an

increase of 120°C min<sup>-1</sup> to 250°C. The detector temperature was kept at 260°C. The obtained peaks were compared to those obtained using standards from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, United States): 37 component FA methyl ester (FAME) mix (Product number 47885-U), PUFA 3 (product number 47085-U), and PUFA 1 (product number 47033-U). Chromatograms were integrated using Chromatography Data Systems Open Laboratory CDS, and the FA data were calculated as an area percent of FAME.

#### 2.7 Statistical analysis

All residuals were examined for normality and homoscedasticity (i.e., Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests, respectively). The t-test or Mann-Whitney test was used to analyze the bacterin injection effects (i.e., bacterin vs. PBS using RQs) within each dietary treatment independently for data with normal or non-normal distribution, respectively (Figures 2-4). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey posthoc tests was used to identify the difference between all groups (injection/diet; i.e., six groups) for normally distributed data (i.e., RQs and FC), whereas the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to determine significant differences among injection-matched dietary groups with non-normal distribution (Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S1, respectively). Two-way ANOVA was used to explore the overall diet and the injection effects, and the interaction between them, using RQs. One-way ANOVA and t-test were used to compare FAs percent between groups at 8 weeks and comparing switched diet at 4 weeks vs. 8 weeks, respectively. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 25, Armonk, NY, United States) and SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA, United States). Tank means were used to explore associations between FAs and gene expression. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA), permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA), and SIMPER (similarity percentage) analysis were conducted for the standardized tank means of the targeted transcript FC (individual RQ of the bacterin-stimulated individual/ average RQ of the PBS-injected fish for each group) and FAs (at more than 1% of total) using PRIMER 7 (PRIMER-E Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand) with r = 0.2. Also, tank means were used to explore the correlation between FAs and FC for each GOI using IBM SPSS. The transcript FC associations were explored with Pearson's correlation in the "corrplot" package in R; the significance level was adjusted to  $p \le 0.05$ . All the RQs were standardized and then subjected to PCoA, PERMANOVA, and SIMPER, and then the results were plotted and summarized in Supplementary Figure S2. Also, the FAs were subjected to PCoA analysis using the individual fish (Supplementary Figures S3A, S3B).

## **3** Results

#### 3.1 Growth performance

Dietary treatments did not significantly affect either the CF or SGR (high-18:3 $\omega$ 3: 1.19 ± 0.02 and 0.77 ± 0.03; switched-diet: 1.19 ± 0.02 and 0.77 ± 0.04; high-18:2 $\omega$ 6: 1.27 ± 0.04 and 0.79 ± 0.05, means ± standard errors, *p*-values = 0.15 and 0.86, respectively).

# 3.2 Gene expression of Atlantic salmon head kidney in response to *R. salmoninarum* bacterin

The qPCR results showed that the bacterin IP injection significantly upregulated the transcript levels of cholesterol 25hydroxylase-like protein a (ch25ha) and lipase e, hormonesensitive (lipe) when compared with the PBS-injected fish in both the high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6 groups but not in the switched-diet group (Figures 2A, B; Supplementary Table S1). The transcript levels of arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase b (5loxb) were higher in the PBS-injected high-18:3w3 group than in the PBS-injected high-18:2w6 group, but no significant differences in this gene were seen among diet groups for bacterin-stimulated fish (Supplementary Table S1; one-way ANOVA). Also, there was significant downregulation of 5loxb with the bacterin injection in both the high-18:3w3 and the switched-diet groups when compared with the diet-matched PBS-injected group (Figure 2C). The factor "injection" was significant using two-way ANOVA (shown above each bar plot) for two genes upregulated by bacterin (ch25ha and lipe) and two genes downregulated by bacterin (5loxa and 5loxb) (Figures 2A-D). There was no significant interaction between diet and injection across the investigated genes. The levels of cyclooxygenase 1 (cox1), leukotriene A-4 hydrolase-like (lta4h), lipocalin-type prostaglandin d synthase (pgds), arachidonate 12-lipoxygenase (12lox), and 15-lipoxygenase B-like (15lox) did not show significant differences across the experimental groups (Figures 2E–I).

As shown in Figure 3 (i.e., immune receptor-related transcripts), the levels of *tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 6b* (*tnfrsf6b*) were significantly higher in the bacterin-injected groups than in diet-matched PBS controls for all three diet regimens; however, the induction in the switched-diet group (1.9-fold) was somewhat lower than that in the other two diet groups (2.6–2.7-fold), with a difference in the significance level as well (Figure 3A). The bacterin injection significantly upregulated the expression of *C-type lectin domain family 12-member b* (*clec12b*) and *toll-like receptor 5* (*tlr5*) when compared with the PBS-injected fish in the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 groups but not in the switched-diet group (Figures 3B, C). The transcript expression



threshold was adjusted to  $p \le 0.05$ . (A) cholesterol 25-hydroxylase-like protein a; (B) lipase e, hormone-sensitive; (C) arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase b; (D) arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase a; (E) cyclooxygenase 1; (F) leukotriene a-4 hydrolase-like; (G) lipocalin-type prostaglandin d synthase; (H) 15-lipoxygenase b-like; (I) arachidonate 12-lipoxygenase.

of tlr5 was significantly higher in bacterin-stimulated fish fed the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 diet than in bacterin-stimulated fish fed the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 diet; also, tlr5 upregulation by the bacterin was over two-fold significantly higher in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 diet fed fish (i.e., 6.7-fold) than in the other two diet groups (i.e., 2.2–2.5-fold) compared with diet-matched PBS controls (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S1). Although *c-x-c chemokine receptor type 1-like* (*cxcr1*) was significantly downregulated by the bacterin in all dietary treatments (Figure 3D), the bacterin-dependent suppression of *c-type lectin domain family 3-member a* (*clec3a*) only occurred in the switched-diet group (Figure 3E). The two-

09



receptor superfamily member 11b; (G) toll-like receptor 2; (H) toll-like receptor 9.

way ANOVA showed the factor "injection" was significant for three genes upregulated by bacterin stimulation (*tnfrsf6b*, *clec12b*, and *tlr5*) and one gene downregulated by bacterin (*cxcr1*) (Figures 3A–D). There was no significant interaction between diet and injection across the investigated genes. The levels of *tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 11b* (*tnfr11b*), *toll-like receptor 2* (*tlr2*), and *toll-like receptor 9* (*tlr9*) were not different across groups (Figures 3F–H). As shown in Figure 4 (immune effectors and transcription factors), the transcript levels of *CC motif chemokine 13 (ccl13)* were significantly higher in the bacterin-injected fish than in the PBS-injected fish in all three dietary groups; however, the induction in the switched-diet group (~5.9-fold) was somewhat lower, and with a lower significance level, than that in the other two diet groups (~8.4–8.6-fold) (Figure 4A). Also, the bacterin IP injection significantly upregulated the



transcript levels of cytokine-inducible sh2-containing protein (cish) and E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (znrf1 alias zinc/RING finger protein 1) when compared with the PBS-injected fish in both high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6 diet-fed groups but not in the switched-diet group (Figures 4B, C). The bacterin significantly upregulated b-cell lymphoma injection 3 protein-like (bcl3) only in the high-18:3w3 group when compared with the diet-matched PBS-injected controls (Figure 4D). The two-way ANOVA indicated the factor "injection" was significant for four genes upregulated by the bacterin injection: ccl13, cish, znrf1, and bcl3 (Figures 4A-D). There was no significant interaction between diet and injection across the investigated genes. The mRNA levels of interferon regulatory factor 1 (irf1) and NF-kappa-B inhibitor alpha (nfkbia) were not different among groups (Figures 3E, F).

The diet-matched bacterin vs. PBS control FC results are shown in Supplementary Figure S1; *tlr5* FC was significantly higher in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 group than in the switched-diet and high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 groups. *Clec3a* FC was significantly higher in the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 group than in the switched-diet group, while *cish* FC was higher in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 than in the switched-diet group (Supplementary Figure S1). The bacterin vs. PBS control FC values of all the remaining targeted transcripts were not significantly different between diet groups.

#### 3.3 Head kidney fatty acid profiles

At week 8 (see Figure 1 for experimental design), the head kidney FA profiles of salmon fed with high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18:

TABLE 3 Fatty acid profiles (% total FAs<sup>a</sup>) of the head kidney tissues of Atlantic salmon after 8 weeks of the experimental diet regimens.

FA composition (% total FAs)	High-18:3ω3	Switched-diet	High-18:2w6	<i>p</i> -value
14:0	$1.44 \pm 0.08$	1.43 ± 0.13	1.41 ± 0.09	0.975
16:0	$14.27 \pm 0.43$	15.5 ± 1.37	$13.8 \pm 0.31$	0.360
18:0	$4.58 \pm 0.14$	$5.1 \pm 0.44$	$4.81 \pm 0.14$	0.437
18:2ω6	$13.2 \pm 0.42^{b}$	$13.75 \pm 0.81^{b}$	$20.42 \pm 0.69^{a}$	p < 0.001
20:2ω6	$0.86 \pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.84 \pm 0.06^{\rm b}$	$1.28 \pm 0.07^{a}$	p < 0.001
20:3ω6 (DGLA)	$0.96 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	$1.16 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$2.14 \pm 0.21^{a}$	p < 0.001
20:4w6 (ARA)	$1.45 \pm 0.14$	$2.01 \pm 0.29$	$2.41 \pm 0.35$	0.065
18:3w3	$9.6 \pm 0.58^{a}$	$6.39 \pm 0.56^{\rm b}$	$2.19 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	p < 0.001
18:4ω3	$1.66 \pm 0.09^{a}$	$1.35 \pm 0.16^{a}$	$0.82 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	p < 0.001
20:4ω3	$1.3 \pm 0.07^{a}$	$1.11 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.66 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	p < 0.001
20:5ω3 (EPA)	$3.01 \pm 0.28$	$3.38 \pm 0.39$	$2.62 \pm 0.26$	0.252
22:6ω3 (DHA)	$8.36 \pm 0.85$	$10.06 \pm 1.45$	$10.28 \pm 1.14$	0.460
$\Sigma$ SFA <sup>b</sup>	$21.27 \pm 0.65$	$23.12 \pm 1.8$	$21.11 \pm 0.36$	0.385
ΣMUFA <sup>c</sup>	$35.08 \pm 1.2$	$33.57 \pm 1.93$	32.74 ± 1.63	0.593
$\Sigma PUFA^d$	$43.49 \pm 1.34$	$43.2 \pm 3.3$	$46.03 \pm 1.38$	0.614
P/S	$2.06 \pm 0.09$	$1.98 \pm 0.19$	$2.18 \pm 0.05$	0.551
Σω3	$25.67 \pm 1.23^{a}$	$23.93 \pm 2.48^{a,b}$	$17.7 \pm 1.32^{\rm b}$	p < 0.001
DHA/EPA	$2.81 \pm 0.15^{b}$	$2.93 \pm 0.16^{\rm b}$	$3.9 \pm 0.21^{a}$	p < 0.001
Σω6	$17.17 \pm 0.36^{b}$	$18.56 \pm 1.01^{\rm b}$	$27.58 \pm 0.46^{a}$	p < 0.001
ω6/ω3	$0.68 \pm 0.03^{\rm b}$	$0.88 \pm 0.13^{\rm b}$	$1.62 \pm 0.11^{a}$	p < 0.001
EPA/ARA	$2.09 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$1.79 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.16\pm0.08^{\rm b}$	p < 0.001
DHA/ARA	$5.79 \pm 0.22^{a}$	$5.05 \pm 0.39^{a,b}$	$4.42 \pm 0.17^{\rm b}$	p < 0.001
DGLA/ARA	$0.68 \pm 0.03^{\rm b}$	$0.65 \pm 0.07^{a,b}$	$0.95 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	p < 0.001
EPA + DGLA/ARA	3.69 ± 0.25	$4.03 \pm 0.34$	3.57 ± 0.22	0.489

<sup>a</sup>Mean  $\pm$  s.e. (n = 9).

<sup>b</sup>Sum saturated fatty acids.

<sup>c</sup>Sum monounsaturated fatty acids.

<sup>d</sup>Sum polyunsaturated fatty acids.

 $2\omega 6$  diets reflected the dietary composition (Table 3). Those fed the switched-diet showed some FA similarity to those fed the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 diet (e.g., 18:2 $\omega$ 6 and 20:4 $\omega$ 3); however, they were intermediate between high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 groups for some FAs such as 18:3 $\omega$ 3 (Table 3). Both 18:2 $\omega$ 6 and DGLA (20:  $3\omega$ 6) were significantly higher in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 than in the other groups. The levels of ARA were not significantly different across the groups. The levels of 18:3 $\omega$ 3 were significantly higher in high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 than in the other groups, intermediate in the switched-diet group, and lowest in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 fed fish. The levels of EPA and DHA were not different across dietary treatments (Table 3).

At week 8,  $\Sigma$ SFA,  $\Sigma$ MUFA, and  $\Sigma$ PUFA were not different across dietary treatments, while  $\Sigma\omega3$  was significantly higher in high-18:3 $\omega3$  than in high-18:2 $\omega6$  fed fish and intermediate (and not significantly different from the other diet groups) in the switcheddiet group (Table 3).  $\Sigma\omega6$  and  $\omega6/\omega3$  ratios were significantly higher in high-18:2 $\omega6$  than those in the other groups, while EPA/ARA and DHA/ARA ratios were significantly higher in high-18:3 $\omega3$  than those in high-18:2 $\omega6$  (Table 3). DGLA/ARA was significantly higher in high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 than in high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 but showed no significant difference between the switched-diet and the other diet groups. EPA + DGLA/ARA was not significantly different among the dietary treatments (Table 3).

By comparing week 4 (fed the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6) with week 8 FA profiles of the switched-diet group (Supplementary Table S2), both 18:2 $\omega$ 6 and 20:3 $\omega$ 6 significantly decreased after the second 4 weeks (i.e., feeding the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 diet; week 8). Also, 18: 3 $\omega$ 3 significantly increased in week 8 compared with week 4 in the switched-diet group. EPA, DHA, and ARA were not significantly different between the two sampling time points (i.e., week 4 vs. week 8 for the switched-diet group; Supplementary Table S2).  $\Sigma\omega$ 6 was significantly higher at week 4 than at week 8 in the switched-diet group (Supplementary Table S2).

#### 3.4 Multivariate and correlation analyses

The FC [FC: individual RQ of the bacterin-stimulated fish/ average RQs of the PBS-injected fish for each diet group]



Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) matrix for the fold-change of the targeted transcripts. FCs were calculated using individual RQ (n = 9) of the bacterin-stimulated individual/average RQs of the PBS-injected fish for each group. Created using the "corrplot" R-software package. Significant correlations with  $p \le 0.05$  are indicated by an asterisk (\*) on the r values in the cells (blue for positive correlations and red for negative correlations).

transcript-to-transcript correlation is shown in Figure 5 with significance, *p*-value < 0.05, indicated with asterisks. The FCs of several transcripts classified as biomarkers involved in lipid metabolism and inflammation response were correlated positively together. For example, *ch25ha* was correlated to both *lipe* and *lta4h*. Other examples are the *lipe* positive correlation to *cox1*, *lta4h*, *pgds*, and *12lox*; the *cox1* positive correlation to *lta4h*, *12lox*, *5loxa*, and *5loxb*. Furthermore, the following lipid and inflammation-relevant gene expression correlations were observed: *pgds* positively correlated with *5loxa* and *5loxb*; *12lox* positively correlated with one another (Figure 5).

Significant correlations between lipid metabolism/ inflammation-relevant genes and immune-relevant genes were also observed. For example, *ch25ha* and *lipe* FCs were positively correlated with FCs of immune receptor-relevant transcripts (i.e., *clec12b* and *tnfrsf6b*) and immune effector-relevant transcripts (i.e., *bcl3* and *znrf1*). Also, *cox1* (lipid metabolism and inflammation) correlated positively with immune regulatorrelevant biomarkers (i.e., *ccl13*, *cish*, and *irf1*). The FC levels of *lta4h* and *pgds* were correlated positively with *clec12b* and *nfkbia*. As well, both *5loxa* and *5loxb* were positively correlated with *cxcr1*, *irf1*, and *nfkbia* (Figure 5).

Several transcripts encoding proteins classified as immune receptors were correlated to immune regulator-relevant transcripts (e.g., FCs of *clec12b*, *tnfrsf6b*, and *tlr5* correlated positively with *cish* and *znrf1*; *tlr5*, *cxcr1*, *tlr2*, and *tlr9* correlated positively with *nfkbia*). Additional immunoregulator transcripts were correlated positively to lipid metabolism and immune receptor biomarkers, for example, the FCs of *znrf1* were correlated with *ch25ha*, *lipe*, *lta4h*, *12lox*, *clec12b*, *tnfrsf6b*, *tlr5*, *bcl3*, *ccl13*, and *cish*. Also, *irf1* correlated positively with *cox1*, *pgds*, *5loxa* and *5loxb*, *tnfrsf6b*, *tlr5*, *bcl3*, and *ccl13*.

As shown in Figure 6A, the PCoA revealed a clear separation between the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 group (negatively loaded on PCO1) and the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and switched-diet groups (positively loaded on PCO1). PCO1 explained 47.8% of the variation, while PCO2 explained 20.0% of the

$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}$	Α	10 -		Re	esemblance: S17 Bi	av-Curtis simila	rity	В	5				
K Switched-diet   18.0   SEA   0.001     V High-18.206   0.887   0.005     0   0   0.005   0.005     0   0   0.001   15.0   0.001     13.206   0.002   0.004   0.01   18:306   0.734   0.024     0   13.206   0.22.506   22.503   22.503   22.503   0.049   18:303   0.008   0.037     0   13.206   0.011   11.002   12.2506   22.503   0.004   18:303   0.008   0.037     0   0.026   0.011   18:303   0.008   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.034   22.506   0.035   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   110   1			A High-18:3ω3				*			Gene	FAs	r	p-value
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			* Switched-diet	/							18:2w6	0.887	0.001
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			<b>▼</b> High-18:2ω6		18:0						Σω6	0.834	0.005
$ \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & $					S	SFA	*				ω6/ω3	0.796	0.01
$ \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} \begin{array}{c} & \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{} \end{array}{}$	Ê	5-			17.0	10.0	\ \				18:3ω6	0.734	0.024
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}$	atio		ARA	<i>t</i> ],		15.0	$\backslash$			tlr5	DGLA/ARA	0.68	0.044
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	aria		22:5ω6 2	2:6w3	24:0 (FPA   DCI A) APA						DHA/ARA	-0.669	0.049
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	> le		DGLA/@6/@3 DHA/CPA								18:3 <b>w</b> 3	-0.698	0.037
18:2:06 12:2:5:03 22:5:03 20:4:03 0:809 0:008   00 18:2:06 00:13 mt 10:14 10:12 mt 20:4:03 20:4:03 0:809 0:008   00 -5 00:13 mt 0:13 mt	tota		$\Sigma\omega 6 tlr9$			5104			$\Sigma \omega 3$	-0.704	0.034		
$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	of	0-	18:2w6		22	2:5w3					20·4m3	-0.809	0.008
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	%0		tlr5irf1	ULA	14:0	Σ10χ Σ	ω3		ors	cxcrl	DGLA/ARA	0.729	0.026
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -5\\ \\ -10 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} -10\\ \end{array} \\ $	5		DGLA ARA Sloxa	P/S		IUFA	$20:4\omega 3$ $22:4\omega 3$		cept		PUFA	0.674	0.046
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	õ		JIOXD	00113		lta4h	$20:3\omega_{3}$ 18:4 $\omega_{3}$		rec	tlr2	14.0	-0.671	0.048
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	РО	-5-	pgds	cish zn	arf1 bcl3	D	EPA/ARA		oune	-	PLIFA	0.883	0.002
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			nfkbia	lipe	cox1 tnfr1	16					P/S	0.868	0.002
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				tnfrsf	6b clec3a		н		22:506	0.818	0.002		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		clec12b clec3a									DHA/EPA	0.782	0.007
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $												0.735	0.013
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-10-	Г Т		1	Т	1			+1-0	DGLA	0.755	0.024
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	-15 -10	DO	-5	0	5	10	)	1119	DUA	0.71	0.032
Average dissimilarityHigh-18:3o3 vs Switched-diet 13.89%High-18:3o3 vs High-18:2o6 18.79%Switched-diet vs High-18:2o6 18.15%MUFA $0.003$ $0.039$ $0.039$ $mfr1lb$ 8.0022:4w35.53 $tr5$ 5.6215:0 $-0.881$ $0.002$ $clec3a$ 4.92 $20:3w3$ 5.5222:05.1515:0 $-0.748$ $0.002$ $22:4w3$ 3.89 $trf5$ 4.83 $w6(w3$ 3.31 $18:3w3$ $3.65$ $15:0$ $-0.748$ $0.02$ $22:4w3$ 3.89 $trf5$ 4.83 $w6(w3$ 3.25 $16:0$ $-0.748$ $0.02$ $22:4w3$ 3.29 $w6/w3$ $3.87$ $DGLA$ $3.25$ $16:0$ $-0.789$ $0.01$ $22:0$ $3.27$ $22:0$ $3.87$ $DGLA$ $3.25$ $16:0$ $-0.859$ $0.003$ $20:3w3$ $3.15$ $DGLA$ $3.63$ $22:4w6$ $3.12$ $pgds$ $DGLA/ARA$ $0.715$ $0.03$ $24:0$ 2.50 $18:4w3$ $2.76$ $ch25ha$ $2.35$ $ccl13$ $2.22$ $0.011$ $15lox$ $EPA$ $0.722$ $0.011$ $15lox$ $2.50$ $20:4w3$ $2.76$ $ch25ha$ $2.37$ $20:2w6$ $2.11$ $pfr<$ $18:2w6$ $0.671$ $0.048$ $mfrs/fb$ $2.26$ $2.2:4w6$ $2.37$ $20:2w6$ $2.10$ $18:2w6$ $0.671$ $0.048$ $11s:2w6$ $2.07$ $w64$ $2.00$ $18:2w6$ $0.685$ $0.042$ $18:3w6$ $0.685$ $0.042$	С	C PCO1 (47.8% of total variation									DHA	0.703	0.035
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Aver	rage	High-18:3ω3 vs Switc	hed-diet	High-18:3ω3 vs	High-18:2ω6	Switched-diet vs	High-18:2@6				0.095	0.039
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dissimi	ilarity	y 13.89% 18.79%		18.15	%			MUFA	-0.688	0.041		
$\sum_{\substack{cleclad}{cleclad}} 4.92 = 203603 5.52 = 22:0 5.15 \\ ch25ha 4.57 = 18:303 5.32 = 20:303 3.65 \\ ch25ha 4.57 = 18:303 5.32 = 20:303 3.65 \\ ccll3 3.29 = 06/03 3.87 = DGLA 3.25 \\ ccll3 3.29 = 06/03 3.87 = DGLA 3.25 \\ clecl2b 2.94 = 18:306 3.58 = 18:306 3.02 \\ 24:0 2.50 = 3.27 = 22:0 3.8 = 22:406 3.12 \\ 24:0 2.50 = 18:403 2.87 = mfr1lb 2.59 \\ 24:0 2.50 = 18:403 2.87 = mfr1lb 2.59 \\ clecl2b 2.94 = 18:306 3.58 = 18:306 3.02 \\ 15lox 2.50 = 20:403 2.76 = ch25ha 2.35 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 22:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 22:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 22:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 22:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 22:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 22:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 20:403 2.10 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 20:406 2.37 = 20:206 2.11 \\ mfr5fb 2.26 = 0.07 = 0.048 \\ mfr3fb 2.07 \\ mfr3fb 2.07 = 0.048 \\ mfr3fb 2.07 \\ mfr3fb 2.07$	_ <i>p</i> (pe	erm)	0.0035 tnfr11b 8	00	<u> </u>	5 53		5.62			EPA/ARA	-0.702	0.035
$\sum_{\substack{ch25ha}{}} \frac{4.57}{18:3\omega3} + \frac{18:3\omega3}{3.89} + \frac{thr5}{thr5} + \frac{4.83}{4.83} + \frac{06(\omega3}{3.31} + \frac{5}{3.25} + \frac{18:0}{16:0} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{16:0} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{18:0}{0.01} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{18:0}{0.01} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{18:0}{0.01} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{18:0}{0.02} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{16:0}{0.01} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{16:0}{0.01} + \frac{-0.748}{0.02} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{16:0}{0.01} + \frac{0.02}{0.01} + \frac{0.02}{0.$			clec3a 4.	92	20:3\omega3	5.52	22:0	5.15			15:0	-0.871	0.002
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<i>ch25ha</i> 4.	57	18:3ω3	5.32	20:3ω3	3.65	une atoı	nfkbia	18:0	-0.748	0.02
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>	2	22:403 3. 18:303 3	89 36	tlr5	4.83	06/03 18:303	3.31	gul		SFA	-0.799	0.01
1 22:0 3.27 22:0 3.8 22:4∞6 3.12 pgds DGLA/ARA 0.715 0.03   20:3∞3 3.15 DGLA 3.63 22:4∞3 3.09 18:3∞6 3.02 19 15/∞ EPA 0.792 0.011   24:0 2.50 18:4∞3 2.87 mfr1/b 2.59 15/∞ 0.672 0.011   15/∞ 15/∞ 2.50 20:4∞3 2.76 ch25/a 2.35 012 0011   15/∞ 15/∞ 2.50 20:4∞3 2.76 ch25/a 2.35 0.012 0.672 0.048   15/∞ 15/∞ 2.26 2.24∞6 2.37 20:4∞3 2.10 0.11 18:2∞6 0.671 0.048   1tr2 2.13 0.66 2.03 18:2∞6 0.671 0.048 18:3∞6 0.685 0.042   162 A/ARA 2.07 0.06 2.00 18:3∞6 0.685 0.042   18:2∞6 0.01 18:3∞6 0.682 0.043 0.043	larit		ccl13 3.	29	ω6/ω3	3.87	DGLA	3.25			16:0	-0.859	0.003
ST 20:3\overline{3} 3.15 DGLA 3.63 22:4\overline{3} 3.09 EPA 0.792 0.011   10 24:0 2.50 18:3\overline{6} 3.58 18:3\overline{6} 3.02 15lox 15lox 0.792 0.011   15 24:0 2.50 18:4\overline{3} 2.87 1nfr1lb 2.59 15lox 0.012   15 15 2.33 EPA/ARA 2.59 ccl13 2.22 0.011 0.792 0.011   16 15 2.33 EPA/ARA 2.59 ccl13 2.22 0.011 0.011 0.011   17 2.13 2.26 2.2:4\overline{6} 2.37 20:4\overline{3} 2.10 0.011 5loxa 0.672 0.048   18:2\overline{6} 2.01 0.6 2.03 11 18:2\overline{6} 0.671 0.048   18:2\overline{6} 2.01 0.01 18:2\overline{6} 0.042 18:3\overline{6} 0.685 0.042   101 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	ini		22:0 3.	27	22:0	3.8	22:4 <del>0</del> 6	3.12	-	pgds 15lox 5loxa	DGLA/ARA	0.715	0.03
1 1	diss	CC17	$20:3\omega 3$ 3.	15 04	DGLA	3.63	22:4w3	3.09	ano		EPA	0.792	0.011
15 lox 2.50 20:4ω3 2.76 ch25ha 2.35 Definition   thr5 2.33 EPA/ARA 2.59 ccl13 2.22 DGLA/ARA 0.794 0.011   thr5 2.33 EPA/ARA 2.59 ccl13 2.22 5loxa w6/w3 0.672 0.048   thr2 2.13 20:4ω3 2.10 pr 18:2w6 0.671 0.048   cish 2.07 w66 2.03 1 18:3w6 0.685 0.042   DGLA/ARA 0.048 0.011 0.048 0.011 0.011 0.048	the	20	24:0 2.	50	18:4w3	2.87	tnfr11b	2.59	ism tion		(EPA+DGLA)/ARA	0.785	0.012
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	of	10.0	15lox 2.	50	20:4ω3	2.76	ch25ha	2.35	mat		DGLA/ARA	0.794	0.011
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50%	2	tlr5 2.	33	EPA/ARA 22:4@6	2.59	cc113 20:2006	2.22	neta lam		ω6/ω3	0.672	0.048
$\begin{array}{cccc} cish & 2.07 & 0.6 & 2.03 & \hline 1 & 18:306 & 0.685 & 0.042 \\ 18:206 & 2.01 & 5loxb & DGLA/ARA & 0.682 & 0.043 \end{array}$			<i>tlr2</i> 2.	13	22.400	2.37	20:4w3	2.11	infl infl		18:2ω6	0.671	0.048
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			cish 2.07	07		ω6	2.03	Lip	5loxb	18:3@6	0.685	0.042	
							18:2@6 DGLA/ARA	2.01			DGLA/ARA	0.682	0.043

FIGURE 6

(A) Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) for head kidney FAs and fold-change (FC) of each targeted transcript using tank mean (tank numbers = 3 for nine individual fish). (B) Significant correlation between tank means of gene expression FCs and FAs. (C) *p* (perm) is the statistical significance value of the pairwise comparison using permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA), and a list of contributing variables (top 50%) that were obtained through similarity of percentage analysis (SIMPER).

variation. The vectors representing FAs showed a dietary reflection for both high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 and high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 groups. The switched-diet and high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 individuals were plotted closely together on PCO1, but these groups were separated on PCO2 (Figure 6A). Notably, the switched-diet individuals plotted closer to the (EPA + DGLA)/ARA ratio. The DGLA/ARA vector was plotted closer to the high-18:  $2\omega$ 6 group. The vectors DHA/ARA and EPA/ARA were associated with the high-18: $3\omega$ 3 group. The FC vectors of *15lox*, *12lox*, and *lta4h* were loaded closer to the switched-diet and high-18: $3\omega$ 3 individuals. The FC vectors of *tlr2* and *tlr9*, *irf1*, *5loxa* and *5loxb*, *cxcr1*, *pgds*, and *nfkbia* loaded closer to the high-18: $2\omega$ 6 individuals, while the vector representing *15lox* FC loaded closer to the switched-diet individuals and the (EPA + DGLA)/ARA vector.

Figure 6B shows all significant (*p*-value <0.05) correlations between FAs and expression of immune/inflammation and lipid metabolism-relevant transcripts. For example, DGLA/ARA was positively correlated with *pgds*, *5loxa*, *5loxb*, *tlr5*, and *cxcr1* FCs. As another example, the  $\omega 6/\omega 3$  ratio was positively correlated with *5loxa* and *tlr5*. Both EPA and (EPA + DGLA)/ARA were correlated positively with *15lox* FC (Figure 6B). The FCs of *5loxa* and *5loxb* were positively correlated with 18:2 $\omega 6$  and 18:3 $\omega 6$ , respectively. Furthermore, the FC of transcripts encoding two pattern recognition receptors (PRR; i.e., *tlr5* and *tlr9*) had the highest number of significant correlations to FAs, and they were correlated with  $\omega 6/\omega 3$  and two  $\omega 6$ -FAs (i.e., 18:2 $\omega 6$  and 18:3 $\omega 6$ ) and negatively correlated with  $\Sigma \omega 3$ , DHA/ARA, and two  $\omega 3$ -FAs (i.e., 18:3 $\omega 3$  and 20:4 $\omega 3$ ). FC values of *tlr9* were positively correlated with PUFA, polyunsaturated/saturated FAs (P/S), 22: 5 $\omega$ 6, DHA/EPA, ARA, DGLA, DHA, and  $\Sigma \omega$ 6. Also, *thr9* was negatively correlated with MUFA, EPA/ARA, and 15:0. FCs of *tlr2*, another PRR, were positively correlated with PUFA and negatively correlated with 14:0. The FCs of *nfkbia* were negatively correlated with 18:0, SFA, and 16:0 (Figure 6B).

In Figure 6C, the pairwise comparison using PERMANOVA showed highly significant differences between all groups. The similarity of percentage analysis (SIMPER) showed that the highest average dissimilarity (18.8%) was observed between high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6; top contributors to this dissimilarity were 22:4w3, 20:3w3, 18:3w3, tlr5, and tnfr11b (percent of contribution listed next to each variable in Figure 6C). The average dissimilarity between switched-diet and high-18:2w6 was 18.2%, and the top contributors to this dissimilarity were tlr5, 22:0, 20:3ω3, ω6/ω3, DGLA, and 18:3ω3. The lowest average dissimilarity (i.e., 13.9%) was observed between high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and switched-diet groups; the top contributors to this dissimilarity were tnfr11b, clec3a, ch25ha, 22:4 $\omega$ 3, and 18:3 $\omega$ 3 (Figure 6C). Across the three comparisons, tnfr11b, tlr5, 22:4w3, 20:3w3, and 18:3w3 were within the top contributors to dissimilarities (Figure 6C).

In Supplementary Figure S2A, the PCoA of the RQs showed some overlap between the PBS-injected groups across different dietary treatments and the bacterin-injected group fed the switched-diet regimen. The PERMANOVA results showed a highly significant (*p*-value <0.01) difference between the bacterin-injected groups and the PBS-injected group for the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 groups but not for the switched-diet group (*p*-value = 0.065) (Supplementary Figure S2B). Both *ccl13* and *ch25ha* were within the top three contributors to the dissimilarities between the bacterin and PBS-injected groups in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 and high-18:  $3\omega$ 3 dietary treatments. In Supplementary Figure S2C, the PERMANOVA results showed that the bacterin-injected groups were not significantly different from each other.

The PCoA biplot (Supplementary Figures S2A–S2B) of head kidney FA proportions and ratios reflected the diets fed, with switched-diet individuals plotting closer to the high-18:  $3\omega$ 3 individuals. The latter was associated with the DHA/ARA vector, while the former was associated with the EPA + DGLA/ARA vector. High-18: $3\omega$ 6 individuals were associated with  $\omega$ 6 FA proportions and the DGLA/ARA vector.

#### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Head kidney gene expression

# 4.1.1 Lipid metabolism and inflammation response

In the current study, some lipid metabolism-related genes (e.g., *lipe* and *ch25ha*) were significantly upregulated in response

to bacterin in both high-18:2w6 and high-18:3w3 groups, with no significant response in the switched-diet group (Figures 2A,B). Some inflammatory eicosanoid-relevant biomarkers (i.e., 5loxb and 5loxa) were significantly downregulated in response to R. salmoninarum bacterin (Figures 2C,D). Lipids, besides having roles in membranes, also serve as a source of energy and as signaling molecules during infection and inflammation (Teng et al., 2017). Furthermore, host lipid metabolism manipulation is part of the infection mechanisms of some pathogens (Helms, 2006; Wenk, 2006; Wymann and Schneiter, 2008; Zhou et al., 2021). Therefore, the regulation of lipid metabolism might affect susceptibility to disease and the outcome of infections. Infection and inflammation lead to an array of physiological changes known as the acute phase response, resulting in the modulation of lipid and lipoprotein metabolism (Jahangiri, 2010). Lipid metabolism changes such as increased levels of total cholesterol, free cholesterol, and phospholipid were observed in the inflammatory responses of mice to wounds, lipopolysaccharides (LPS), and killed Bordetella pertussis, suggesting serum lipids as a sensitive index for the acute inflammatory phase (Kitagawa et al., 1992). Furthermore, changes in dietary lipids modulated the response of different teleost fish [e.g., Atlantic salmon, common carp (Cyprinus carpio)] to viral and bacterial challenges, respectively (Martinez-Rubio et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2021). Also, lipid metabolism is regulated differently in M1 and M2 macrophages to promote their primary functions: pro-inflammatory and antiinflammatory, respectively (Batista-Gonzalez et al., 2020). Collectively, these studies suggest that the dietary FA profile influences the host immune response. The current study's results help to elucidate the role of lipid metabolism in the regulation of immune response in immune-challenged Atlantic salmon by the integrative analysis and interpretation of gene expression and FA composition data.

Both ch25ha and lipe were significantly upregulated in the bacterin immune-stimulated high-18:2w6 and high-18: 3ω3 groups but not the switched-diet group (Figures 2A, B). Furthermore, ch25ha was one of the top contributors to the dissimilarities between the switched-diet group and both the high-18:2w6 and high-18:3w3 groups (Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure S2C). CH25H is a membrane-associated enzyme that catalyzes the formation of 25-hydroxycholesterol from cholesterol (Xu et al., 2020). Furthermore, CH25H showed an interferon-independent antiviral and immunoregulatory activity in teleosts [e.g., zebrafish and Chinese tongue sole (Cynoglossus semilaevis)] (Pereiro et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020). Also, ch25ha was significantly upregulated by Piscirickettsia salmonis infection in the head kidneys of Atlantic salmon parr (Xue et al., 2021). CH25H is suggested as an inflammatory signaling amplifier (Gold et al., 2014) and was found to be induced by dietary ARA (pro-inflammatory FA) in the brains of Chinese tongue sole (Xu et al., 2020). Also, ch25ha was previously microarray-identified and qPCR-confirmed as

induced in the head kidneys of Atlantic salmon responses to formalin-killed (i.e.,  $5 \times 10^7$  cells/kg; similar to the current study) and live R. salmoninarum (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a). Another lipid metabolism-relevant enzyme, LIPE, is a ratelimiting enzyme for mobilizing adipose tissue-derived free FAs and can target intracellular triacylglycerol to ensure consistent energy supply (Watt and Steinberg, 2008). Macrophages use free FAs released from intracellular triacylglycerol as energy substrates, mediators in cell signaling, and in membrane synthesis (Ghosh, 2011; Radovic et al., 2012). Furthermore, mammalian macrophage peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-beta could be activated by low-density lipoprotein, and it was hypothesized that the absence of triglyceride lipase in macrophages could limit PPAR signaling (Lee et al., 2006; Radovic et al., 2012). In mouse macrophages, lipase deficiency attenuated the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (i.e., chemokine ligand 1 and IL-6) and increased the secretion of the anti-inflammatory IL-10 (Aflaki et al., 2011; Lammers et al., 2011; Radovic et al., 2012). Also, lipe was microarray-identified and qPCR-confirmed as induced in response to R. salmoninarum bacterin in the head kidneys of Atlantic salmon (Eslamloo et al., 2020b). While the immunerelated functions of ch25ha and lipe have yet to be fully characterized in Atlantic salmon, the bacterin-triggered upregulation of ch25ha and lipe in the high-18:2w6 and high- $18:3\omega3$  groups in the current study further confirms the involvement of these transcripts in the immune response of Atlantic salmon to R. salmoninarum. The attenuated responses of ch25ha and lipe in the switched-diet group may suggest suppressive effects on the inflammatory response to the bacterin. It is worth mentioning that dietary switching in mice, from obesogenic to normal diet (vs. continued obesogenic diet), decreased systemic blood concentration of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1a) and increased that of anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-4) (Sun et al., 2021). The impact of abrupt intake differences in dietary FAs (i.e., dietary switching) on teleost fish immune/inflammatory response warrants further investigation.

Although *5loxb* and *5loxa* were significantly downregulated overall by bacterin injection (Figures 2C,D), the response was muted for high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 fish. 5LOX is responsible for the biosynthesis of LTA4, that is, an inducing factor for proinflammatory cytokines (Fiorucci et al., 2001). The PCoA showed that *5loxa* and *5loxb* vectors were loaded closer to the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 individuals (Figure 6A). This is further supported by the significant positive correlation of both *5loxa* and *5loxb* with DGLA/ARA (Figure 6B). Furthermore, *5loxa* was negatively correlated with EPA/ARA in the liver of Atlantic salmon (Caballero-Solares et al., 2020). As well, *5loxa* and *5loxb* were correlated positively with EPA and DHA in the head kidney of Atlantic salmon fed with different EPA and DHA levels alongside either high- $\omega$ 6 or high- $\omega$ 3 C<sub>18</sub> FAs (Katan et al., 2020). Atlantic salmon fed with microbial oil upregulated *5loxa* in the muscle

tissue when compared with those fed with FO (Osmond et al., 2021). Altogether, the current study results further suggest the involvement of LOX in regulating the inflammatory process, as previously suggested in the study of Dennis and Norris (2015), with or without direct immune system stimulators. This avenue of study could be explored in the future to develop therapeutic strategies for modulating the inflammatory response during infection (e.g., R. salmoninarum). 5loxa, 5loxb, 12lox, and 15lox were not previously identified as responsive to R. salmoninarum (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a); rather, these qPCR assays were developed for the current study to explore the interaction of dietary FAs with eicosanoid pathways during the bacterin challenge. Although *cox1* (investigated in the current study) did not show a significant response to the bacterin across the diets, the FC was significantly correlated with 5loxa and 5loxb. Also, 5loxa and 5loxb were positively correlated to wellknown inflammatory and immune-relevant biomarkers (i.e., cxcr1, irf1, and nfkbia) (Bonelli et al., 2019; Mattos et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020) (Figure 5). The inter-relationship between cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase pathways requires further study in teleosts, notably for developing anti-inflammatory therapeutics and diets, especially with the observed higher magnitude of downregulation in both high-18:3w3 and switched-diet fed groups.

#### 4.1.2 Immune receptors

The transcript levels of *tnfrsf6b* were significantly induced (~1.9-2.7-fold) by the bacterin in all dietary treatments (Figure 3A), however, with higher FC and significance levels in the high-18:2w6 and high-18:3w3 groups than in the switcheddiet group. Also, tnfrsf6b was one of the top biomarkers contributing to the dissimilarities between the bacterin and PBS-injected groups with different dietary treatments (Supplementary Figure S2B). TNFRSF6B was suggested as a biomarker for pathogen-derived inflammation, as it plays an important role in sepsis pathogenicity in humans (Kim et al., 2012). Also, tnfrsf6b was found upregulated for both viral and bacterial pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) in Atlantic salmon mononuclear phagocytes (Iliev et al., 2019). Similarly, in the Atlantic salmon head kidney, tnfrsf6b was induced in response to live and formalin-killed R. salmoninarum (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a). The findings of our current and previous studies (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a) indicate the importance of this receptor for the responses of Atlantic salmon to R. salmoninarum or its derived antigens and suggest it as a potential candidate for developing molecular diagnostic tools specific for pathogen-associated inflammation [as previously suggested in the study by Kim et al. (2012)].

The transcript levels of *clec12b* were significantly upregulated by the bacterin injection in the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18: 2 $\omega$ 6 groups (compared with diet-matched PBS controls) but

not in the switched-diet group (Figure 3B). Furthermore, *clec12b* was one of the key genes contributing to the dissimilarity between high-18:3ω3 and switched-diet groups (Figure 6C). Clec12b was found upregulated in the head kidney of Atlantic salmon with *R*. salmoninarum bacterin challenge (Eslamloo et al., 2020b). Although still poorly understood, mammalian CLEC12B has been proposed as an inhibitory receptor with roles in preventing immune cell hyperactivation through its possible interaction with caveolin-1 (CAV1) (Hoffmann et al., 2007; Tone et al., 2019). CAV1 forms the subdomain of lipid rafts (Lajoie and Nabi, 2010), and lipid rafts are membrane microdomains involved in the formation and amplification of cell signaling and coalescence of receptors (Gagliardi et al., 2021). It was previously suggested that the disruption of membrane raft formation blocks infection and intracellular entry of virulence factors (Gagliardi et al., 2021). Assuming this Atlantic salmon CLEC12B has a similar function as its mammalian putative ortholog, the lack of response of *clec12b* to the bacterin in the switched-diet salmon may suggest a lesser need for compensatory immunosuppressive mechanisms; however, further research is required to investigate the potential involvement of lipid rafts in the observed attenuated responses in the switched-diet group. Another member of the C-type lectin family studied herein, clec3a, was downregulated by the bacterin only in the switcheddiet group (Figure 3D), and *clec3a* FC was significantly lower in the switched-diet group than in the high-18:3ω3. Furthermore, *clec3a* was one of the top genes contributing to the dissimilarity between fish injected with bacterin and PBS in the switched-diet group (Supplementary Figure S2B) and the second most influential gene contributing to the dissimilarity between high-18:3ω3 and switched-diet groups (Figure 6C). Mammalian CLEC3A has been reported to have antimicrobial activity (Elezagic et al., 2019). In our previous study, clec3a levels were suppressed in the high dose  $(5 \times 10^7 \text{ cells kg}^{-1}; \text{ that is,}$ the same dose used in the current study) R. salmoninarum bacterin-injected group than in the pre-injection group (Eslamloo et al., 2020b) but not when compared with the PBS-injected controls. The observed downregulation of clec3a might be part of the switched-diet-associated immunomodulation response to the bacterin. The regulation of clec12b and clec3a anti-bacterin responses (i.e., suppression of *clec12b* induction in the switched-diet group and downregulation of *clec3a* only in the switched-diet group) supports their involvement in regulating immune responses (Hoffmann et al., 2007; Tone et al., 2019). Also, these genes may be useful molecular biomarkers in future studies of dietary influence on antibacterial responses (e.g., the development of diets that manipulate antibacterial resistance and the associated pro-inflammatory responses).

The downregulation of *cxcr1* upon *R. salmoninarum* bacterin challenge was in alignment with our previous findings (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a), and it was observed across all the dietary treatments (Figure 3F). Also, this transcript showed a close

association with high-18:2w6 individuals based on our PCoA and was positively correlated with DGLA/ARA (Figures 6A,B). Furthermore, it was significantly and positively correlated with 12lox, 5loxa, and 5loxb (Figure 5). CXCR1 is a chemokine receptor involved in neutrophil recruitment through activation of chemotaxis signaling cascades (Ha et al., 2017). In mammals, CXCR3 of T-lymphocytes was upregulated with a saturated FAenriched diet (Mauro et al., 2017; Radzikowska et al., 2019). The consistency of cxcr1 response across studies (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a) and diets to the bacterin (in the current study) suggests neutrophil recruitment may be suppressed in bacterinexposed fish and might shed light on the immune-suppressive properties of R. salmoninarum (previously described in the study of Grayson et al. (2002)). Also, it may suggest *cxcr1* as a candidate molecular biomarker in evaluating vaccination strategies for BKD. The CXCR1 interaction with FAs (based on the reported correlation with DGLA/ARA and eicosanoid-relevant biomarkers, for example, 12lox and 5loxa) requires further investigation in teleost fish, especially with higher dietary ω6 FAs.

Atlantic salmon *tlr5* was significantly induced by the bacterin in both the high-18:2\u00fc6 and high-18:3\u00fc3 groups (Figure 3); nevertheless, tlr5 FC was significantly higher in the high-18: 2w6 group than both other groups (Supplementary Figure S1). Mammalian TLR5 was found to have several innate immune response-related functions, including stimulation of the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, in addition to its well-known role in the recognition of flagellated bacteria (Tallant et al., 2004; Feuillet et al., 2006; Porte et al., 2015; Yang and Yan, 2017). In addition to the membrane TLR5, soluble TLR5 has been identified in different teleost species (Tsoi et al., 2006; Tsujita et al., 2006; Wangkahart et al., 2019). Soluble TLR5 (encoded by the transcript targeted in the current study based on Tsoi et al. (2006)) was suggested as an acute-phase protein with a flagellinrecognition activity that could amplify NFKB activation in rainbow trout (Tsukada et al., 2005). R. salmoninarum is an intracellular, non-motile bacterium (Delghandi et al., 2020); thus, R. salmoninarum bacterin-dependent induction of Atlantic salmon tlr5 seen herein may be associated with its function beyond pathogen recognition [e.g., inflammatory signaling amplification as suggested in Tsujita et al. (2006) and Chamberlain et al. (2012)]. Our results indicate the experimental dietary treatments significantly influenced the tlr5 response to the R. salmoninarum bacterin. First, tlr5 mRNA levels were higher in the bacterin-stimulated high-18: 2w6 salmon than in the bacterin-stimulated high-18:3w3 salmon (Supplementary Table S1). Concurrently, the FC induction of *tlr5* by the bacterin was significantly higher in the fish fed with the high-18:2w6 diet (~6.7-FC) than in those fed with the high-18: 3w3 diet (~2.5-FC) or switched-diet (~2.2-FC) (Supplementary Figure S1). In the multivariate analyses (Figure 6A), tlr5 was found to be one of the top contributors to the dissimilarity between the switched-diet and high-18:2w6 groups and between the high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6 groups (Figure 6C). The tlr5

vector was plotted near the high-18:2w6 salmon and loaded close to the ARA vector. ARA (one of the C18 w6 desaturation and elongation products) is a pro-inflammatory FA with roles in modulating the function of several receptors, ion channels, and enzymes (Tallima and El Ridi, 2017). Furthermore, tlr5 was positively correlated with some w6 FAs (e.g., 18:2w6) and DGLA/ARA, and it was negatively correlated with  $\Sigma\omega$ 3 FAs (Figure 6B). Our findings suggest that *tlr5* induction upon *R*. salmoninarum bacterin stimulation is strengthened under a dietary and tissue FA profile typically regarded as proinflammatory (i.e., high w6 diet and high ARA levels in the tissue). Interestingly, *tlr9* did not respond significantly to the *R*. salmoninarum bacterin but showed a positive correlation with ARA and  $\Sigma\omega 6$  and a negative correlation with DHA/ARA and EPA/ARA (Figure 6B). There is growing evidence supporting the influence of lipid contents on membrane receptor functions (Sunshine and Iruela-Arispe, 2017), and it was previously reported that FAs may activate (e.g., saturated FAs) or inhibit ( $\omega$ 3-FAs) Toll-like receptor signaling (Fessler et al., 2009). It is noteworthy that the anti-inflammatory effects of  $\omega$ 3 FAs may be mediated through the activation of PPAR-gamma, interfering with TLR4-associated NF<sub>K</sub>B signaling (Calder, 2013). The PRR-FA interaction was previously reported in a murine cell model (i.e., RAW 264.7; a monocyte/macrophage-like cell line) (Lee et al., 2001). However, PRR-FA interactions in teleost species are not well understood.

#### 4.1.3 Immune effectors and transcription factors

In the present study, ccl13 showed consistent upregulation (Figure 4A) by the bacterin stimulation, regardless of the diet [~5.9 FC for the switched diet (with the lowest level of significance), ~8.4 FC for the high-18:2w6, and ~8.6 FC for the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3]. Also, it was consistently one of the top contributors to dissimilarities between the bacterin and PBSinjected groups across all diets (Supplementary Figure S2B). Ccl13 was previously found to be upregulated in the head kidney of Atlantic salmon following R. salmoninarum bacterin challenge and with live R. salmoninarum infection (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a). Mammalian CCL13, as well, showed antibacterial activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Cossio-Ayala et al., 2017). In both Atlantic salmon and Atlantic cod macrophages, ccl13 was found to be induced by polyinosinic: polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)] stimulation (Eslamloo et al., 2016, 2017). CCL13 acts as a ligand to CCR2, suggesting its role in dendritic cell (DC) maturation and function (Chiu et al., 2004; Mendez-Enriquez and García-Zepeda, 2013). The consistent response of ccl13 to the bacterin across diets further suggests CCL13 as a possible target for anti-inflammatory therapeutics (Mendez-Enriquez and García-Zepeda, 2013) and in evaluating vaccination efficacy, regardless of the dietary treatment.

*Cish* showed significant upregulation with the bacterin only in the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 groups (Figure 4B), with significant FC induction in the high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 when compared to switched-diet (Supplementary Figure S1). Cish was found to be upregulated in response to a R. salmoninarum bacterin challenge in the head kidney of Atlantic salmon (Eslamloo et al., 2020b). CISH belongs to a suppressor of cytokine signaling family, as it negatively regulates further cytokine induction (Takeshima et al., 2019). Also, in humans, it plays a role in the regulation of inflammatory response through the IL2 signaling pathway and suppresses immune response by interaction with cytokine receptors (Yoshimura et al., 2018). Furthermore, human CISH seems to be critical for T-cell proliferation and survival during the response to infection (e.g., tuberculosis and severe malaria) (Leonard, 2001; Khor et al., 2010). Cish was found upregulated in the head kidney of rainbow trout challenged with Yersinia ruckeri for 24 h (Maehr et al., 2014). The lack of upregulation of *cish* to the bacterin in the switched-diet group aligns with the clec12b expression profile and further supports the notion that the switched-diet treatment reduced the need for compensatory immunosuppressive mechanism to limit the immune response to the bacterin, based on an assumption that salmon CISH has a similar function to the mammalian CISH.

Membrane FAs and consequent lipid mediators may function as initiators of leukocyte recruitment, which attract the first leukocytes to an inflammation site (Sadik and Luster, 2012); thereafter, the first responding immune cells recruit other immune cells through chemoattraction (Sadik and Luster, 2012). Prostaglandins can be generated from ARA through the COX pathway (Sadik and Luster, 2012). In Atlantic salmon, *pgds* was found to be downregulated by *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection in the head kidney (Xue et al., 2021), and lower levels of hepatic EPA/ARA were correlated with higher levels of *pgds* transcript in the liver (Caballero-Solares et al., 2020). The importance of eicosanoids and the chemoattractant cascades is highlighted herein by the significant positive correlation of *pgds* to cytokine-relevant biomarkers, *ccl13* and *cish*.

In the current study, *znrf1* showed significant upregulation with the bacterin only in the high-18:3w3 and high-18: 2w6 groups (Figure 4C). Also, it was one of the top contributors to the dissimilarity between the bacterin-injected groups fed with high-18:3w3 diet and switched-diet (Supplementary Figure S2C). Znrf1 was found upregulated with R. salmoninarum bacterin stimulation (i.e.,  $5 \times 10^7$ cells/kg) and live bacterium infection (Eslamloo et al., 2020b; 2020a). Mouse ZNRF1 interacts with CAV1 (the major constituent of caveolae/lipid rafts) and mediates its ubiquitination and degradation (Lee et al., 2017) in response to LPS. The ZNRF1-CAV1 axis enhances the production of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$ ) and inhibits the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-10) (Lee et al., 2017). Also, ZNRF1 deletion led to an increase in the resistance to endotoxic and microbial septic shock and an attenuated inflammatory response in mouse hematopoietic cells (Lee et al., 2017). Furthermore, it was previously reported that mouse ZNRF1 might regulate TLR4 activation

10.3389/fmolb.2022.931548

and consequently the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Lee et al., 2017). The latter seems to be in agreement with the significant positive correlation between *znrf1* and *tlr5* in the present study (Figure 5). The unresponsiveness of *znrf1* in the switched-diet group supports the hypothesis that switching from a high 18:2 $\omega$ 6 diet to a high 18:3 $\omega$ 3 diet attenuated some of the Atlantic salmon's innate immune response to the *R. salmoninarum* bacterin. However, the potential involvement of the lipid rafts (through CAV1) in the observed attenuated response in the switched-diet group requires further research. Our results revealed *clec12b*, *tlr5*, *znrf1*, and *cish* as suitable biomarkers for assessing dietary modulation of antibacterial immune responses in Atlantic salmon.

The bacterin-triggered upregulation of bcl3 was only seen for the high-18:3w3 group (Figure 4D). Bcl3 was microarrayidentified as upregulated with R. salmoninarum bacterin challenge in the head kidney of Atlantic salmon (Eslamloo et al., 2020b). In channel catfish gill, bcl3 was found upregulated with columnaris disease (Flavobacterium columnare) and that was associated with suppression in NF<sub>K</sub>B signaling (Sun et al., 2012). Also, bcl3 was found upregulated in the spleen of meagre (Argyrosomus regius) with LPS after 4 h post-stimulation (Monteiro et al., 2022). Overexpression of mammalian bcl3 decreased NFKB activity, inflammatory response, and cell death of cortical tubule cells (Poveda et al., 2017). Mouse BCL3 mediates LPS tolerance and cytokine production susceptibility to the bacterial pathogen Klebsiella pneumoniae (Pène et al., 2011). Based on these collective results, we hypothesize that the observed induction of bcl3 (only in the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 group) may point to altered NF<sub>K</sub>B signaling, suggesting *bcl3* is associated with the  $\omega$ 3 FA-specific anti-inflammatory effect.

#### 4.2 Head kidney fatty acid profile

EPA, DHA, and ARA were not different across the dietary treatments. However, the C18 FAs reflected the diet, especially in both the high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6 groups. This suggests higher retention of longer chain FAs than the C18 FAs. In Atlantic salmon, muscle EPA + DHA was increasingly retained with a gradual decrease in dietary EPA + DHA (Bou et al., 2017). Also, muscle DHA and ARA (% of total FAs) of Atlantic salmon were not different between dietary treatments fed with graded levels of EPA + DHA (0.3% vs. 1%) and with different precursors (i.e., 18:2w6 vs. 18:3w3) (Emam et al., 2020). EPA plotted closer to the switched-diet and the high-18:3w3 groups on PCO1 (explaining 47.8% of the variability; Figure 6A). This relates to the higher EPA in both the switched-diet and the high-18: 3ω3 groups. DGLA (which is a precursor to an antiinflammatory mediator (Santoli and Zurier, 1989; Gallagher et al., 2019)) was significantly higher in the high-18:2w6 group than in the other groups, and it was associated with the high-18:

2w6 individuals. It was previously reported that salmon fed high 18:2w6 diets elongated 18:2w6 to higher amounts of DGLA when compared to ARA in muscle tissue (Emam et al., 2020). This result together with the current study further suggests the ability of salmon to balance FA profiles between inflammatory and less inflammatory FAs, even when only fed high 18:2w6 diets.

By comparing the week 4 profile (fed high-18:2 $\omega$ 6) to week 8 (fed high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 and then high-18:3 $\omega$ 3) in the switched-diet group, salmon reflected the dietary C<sub>18</sub> FAs (i.e., 18:2 $\omega$ 6 and 18:3 $\omega$ 3) in the head kidney FA profile in only 4 weeks. This highlights the role of dietary FA precursors in defining the FA profile of an immune-relevant organ (i.e., the head kidney). Interestingly, DGLA was significantly lower at week 8 than at week 4 in the switched-diet group, underlining the association of head kidney DGLA with a high  $\omega$ 6 diet. Concurrently, both EPA and DHA in the head kidney were not significantly different across groups, suggesting increased retention when fed lower levels (Bou et al., 2017; Emam et al., 2020).

# 4.3 Head kidney fatty acid ratios as indicators of inflammatory balance and their relevance to gene expression results

Since the fish head kidney is a main hematopoietic and lymphoid site, consisting of large populations of immune cells, its FA profiles may reflect these cells' membrane composition, which influences various immune functions (e.g., antigen presentation and eicosanoid production) (Bell et al., 1996; Petrie-Hanson and Ainsworth, 2001; Yaqoob, 2003; Feng et al., 2009; Martinez-Rubio et al., 2013). Considering the activity of the derived eicosanoids (Allam-Ndoul et al., 2017; Gallagher et al., 2019), the DGLA/ARA, EPA/ARA, and (EPA + DGLA)/ARA ratios may be used as markers of the balance between anti-inflammatory (i.e., EPA and DGLA) and proinflammatory (i.e., ARA) FAs.

The vector representing DGLA/ARA was plotted closer to the high-18:2w6 individuals in the multivariate space (Figure 6A). DGLA/ARA also showed a significant correlation with transcripts encoding eicosanoid-synthesizing enzymes (i.e., pgds, 5loxa, and 5loxb; Figure 6B), which further connects DGLA and ARA to the production of eicosanoids. DGLA (i.e., the w6 anti-inflammatory FA in "DGLA/ARA") attenuated the migration of chemokine-driven monocytes and the expression of cytokine-induced pro-atherogenic biomarkers in human macrophages (Gallagher et al., 2019); also, DGLA competes with ARA for COX and LOX enzymes (Wang et al., 2012). The observed significantly higher DGLA/ARA in the high-18:2w6 group than in the high-18:3w3 group (Table 3), together with the aforementioned correlations, suggest that individuals in the high-18:2w6 group promoted elongation of 18:2w6 to DGLA to prevent an excessive accumulation of pro-inflammatory ARA in the head kidney. This assumption might explain the observed

10.3389/fmolb.2022.931548

comparable response to bacterin in most of the targeted biomarkers (e.g., *ch25ha*, *clec12b*, *cish*, and *znrf1*) in the high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 and high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 groups in the current study. However, *tlr5* showed significantly higher levels in the bacterin-injected high-18:2 $\omega$ 6 group than in the bacterin-injected high-18:3 $\omega$ 3 group, shedding light on the possible applications of high- $\omega$ 6 diets to amplify pro-inflammatory signals, and high- $\omega$ 3 diets to suppress inflammation.

On the other hand, the vectors EPA/ARA and DHA/ ARA were plotted closer to the high-18:3w3 group (Figure 6A). EPA and DHA [i.e., the anti-inflammatory FAs from the w3 in "EPA/ARA" and "DHA/ARA"] induced changes in genes relevant to immune response, cell cycle, and apoptosis that may protect human THP-1 macrophages from an excessive inflammatory response (Allam-Ndoul et al., 2017). Both EPA/ARA and DHA/ ARA were higher in the high-18:3w3 group than in the high-18:2w6 group (Table 3), which suggests a role for EPA and DHA (i.e., as 18:3w3 elongation products and anti-inflammatory FAs) to possibly balance ARA in the high-18:3ω3 group for achieving homeostasis. Furthermore, *bcl3* (encoding protein with a putative role in inhibiting NF<sub>K</sub>B-mediated immune/inflammatory responses) was only induced within the high-18: 3ω3 group, which might further indicate the specific antiinflammatory effect of this high-w3 diet.

It is noteworthy to mention that EPA/ARA and DGLA/ ARA in the head kidney of the switched-diet salmon were not different from the high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6 groups (Table 3), respectively. Also, (EPA + DGLA)/ARA and 15lox loaded close to the switched-diet group in the PCoA (Figure 6A). Furthermore, 15lox was one of the top contributors to dissimilarities between the high-18:  $3\omega 3$  group and the switched-diet group (Figure 6C). Likewise, (EPA + DGLA)/ARA correlated positively with 15lox, which is responsible for the production of specialized mediators that help resolve inflammation, as reviewed in the study of Snodgrass and Brüne (2019) (Figure 6B). A challenge in animal diets would be to formulate them with the benefit of the  $\omega$ 3-FAs (e.g., high EPA diets or promoting elongation from its w3 precursor) without losing the beneficial effect generated by w6 FAs (e.g., DGLA) (Nagy and Tiuca, 2017). It was previously reported that  $\omega$ 3-PUFA and  $\omega$ 6 gamma-linolenic acid may have synergistic anti-inflammatory effects (Balić et al., 2020). The fish of the switched-diet group may have benefited from the anti-inflammatory effects of DGLA and EPA to balance ARA. This was shown by the attenuated response of inflammatory relevant biomarkers, e.g., ch25ha and znrf1 (Figures 2A, 4C), and a potentially reduced need for compensatory immunosuppressive processes as suggested by clec12b and cish (Figures 3B, 4B). The  $\omega$ 3 (i.e., EPA) and  $\omega$ 6 (i.e., DGLA) elongation products, during the change of tissue FA profile (evidenced in the switched-diet), might carry a possible solution to similar inflammatory conditions (e.g., proinflammatory responses associated with infection). There is growing evidence that some infection is associated with excessive pro-inflammatory responses that affect the normal function of several organs (Fajgenbaum and June, 2020). The present results (e.g., DGLA/ARA) suggest that the salmon elongated the available dietary FA precursors (e.g., 18:2w6 in those fed the high-18:2w6) in an attempt to balance the pro- and anti-inflammatory tissue FA profiles (e.g., DGLA in those fed the high-18:2w6 group). However, the authors acknowledge the limitation of the current study to test the proposed hypothesis and recommend examining phospholipid FAs and quantifying the eicosanoids derived from those FAs in future studies.

### 5 Conclusion

Several of the R. salmoninarum bacterin-responsive biomarkers studied here did not show a significant response in the switched-diet group. While some transcripts with a putative role in the innate immunity antibacterial response (i.e., ch25ha, clec12b, tlr5, cish, and znrf1) were significantly induced by the bacterin only in the groups fed with high-18:3w3 and high-18:2w6 diets, other immune-relevant transcripts (i.e., tnfrs6b, cish, and ccl13) showed an antibacterial response in all dietary groups. This suggests a gene and pathway-specific effect of the tested immunomodulatory regimens. Fish in the high-18: 2w6 (a diet designed to be pro-inflammatory) and high-18: 3w3 (a diet designed to be anti-inflammatory) treatments showed a comparable antibacterial response overall, which suggests a compensatory mechanism for balancing immune responses through lipid metabolism (e.g., DGLA in those fed high-w6) or negative feedback loops (e.g., *clec12b* and *cish* responses to the bacterin in high-18:2w6 and high-18:3w3) in these groups. DGLA and EPA (desaturation and elongation products of 18:2w6 and 18:3w3, respectively) may play major roles in balancing the ARA-derived pro-inflammatory effects. The switched-diet group exhibited an immunomodulatory effect through suppression of some components of the Atlantic salmon's innate antibacterial response. The switched-diet approach may be suggested as a strategy for modulating Atlantic salmon antibacterial response and its associated pro-inflammatory status and minimizing immune response-related damage (in tissues) where needed. However, we acknowledge that the present results reflect the dietary FA-dependent response of Atlantic salmon to pathogen-derived antigens, and further investigations using live pathogens will be needed to determine if these immunomodulatory diets can enhance disease resistance in Atlantic salmon. Furthermore, we recommend that future research combine transcriptomics, lipidomics, and proteomics analyses to acquire a more complete picture of the molecular pathways and mechanisms involved in the dietary modulation of the anti-bacterin response.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ Supplementary Material.

#### **Ethics statement**

All procedures involving live fish were performed following the Canadian Council of Animal Care guidelines (Memorial University of Newfoundland Animal Care Protocol #18-04-MR).

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: ME, MLR, CCP, KE, AC-S, RB, and RT. qPCR analysis: ME, XX, and MLR. Fatty acid analysis: EL. Bacterin preparation: HG and JS. Data interpretation: ME, KE, CCP, XX, AC-S, NU, and MLR. Sample collection: KE, AC-S, XX, ME, and NU. Writing: ME and KE. Manuscript reviewing and editing: ME, KE, MLR, CCP, AC-S, RB, XX, and NU. Figure preparation: ME, KE, AC-S, CCP, and MLR. Supervision: MLR. All authors contributed to the article and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

The funding for the present study was provided by the Ocean Frontier Institute (OFI), through an award from the Canada First Research Excellence Fund. The trial was part of Genomic Applications Partnership Program project [GAPP #6607: Integrated Pathogen Management of Co-infection in Atlantic salmon (IPMC) project] funded by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and Genome Atlantic, as well as EWOS Innovation (now part of Cargill, Incorporated) to MLR. The IPMC project was also funded by the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador through the Department of Tourism, Culture, Arts and Recreation. Also, we are grateful for additional support from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grants (341304-2012 and 2020-04519) to MLR.

#### Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Dr. Joe Brown Aquatic Research Building (JBARB) staff for fish husbandry. We are also thankful to Dr. Dominic A. Nanton, Cargill Aqua Nutrition, for his help in the diet formulation. Also, we would like to thank Jeanette Wells for the training and the dietary fatty acid analysis. We are grateful to Dr. Jennifer Hall for her contribution in primer design and qPCR assay development. As well, we are grateful to Tasha Harrold and Cara Kirkpatrick for their help as Program Managers within OFI and IPMC, respectively. Finally, we would like to thank Kira N. J. Randall, DVM for her comments on this manuscript.

### Conflict of interest

RT and RB are former and current employees of Cargill Inc., respectively, but did not participate in the qPCR study design, fatty acid analysis, the result interpretation, and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. NU participated in this study as a postdoctoral fellow at Memorial University of Newfoundland, but he is currently employed by Aquabounty Canada Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb. 2022.931548/full#supplementary-material

### References

Aflaki, E., Balenga, N. A. B., Luschnig-Schratl, P., Wolinski, H., Povoden, S., Chandak, P. G., et al. (2011). Impaired Rho GTPase activation abrogates cell polarization and migration in macrophages with defective lipolysis. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 68, 3933–3947. doi:10.1007/s00018-011-0688-4

Alcorn, S., Murray, A., Pascho, R., and Varney, J. (2005). A cohabitation challenge to compare the efficacies of vaccines for bacterial kidney disease (BKD) in chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 63, 151–160. doi:10.3354/ da0063151

Allam-Ndoul, B., Guénard, F., Barbier, O., and Vohl, M.-C. (2017). A study of the differential effects of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) on gene expression profiles of stimulated thp-1 macrophages. *Nutrients* 9, 424. doi:10.3390/nu9050424

Arnemo, M., Kavaliauskis, A., Andresen, A. M. S., Bou, M., Berge, G. M., Ruyter, B., et al. (2017). Effects of dietary n-3 fatty acids on Toll-like receptor activation in primary leucocytes from Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Fish. Physiol. Biochem.* 43, 1065–1080. doi:10.1007/s10695-017-0353-4

Asche, F., Roll, K. H., Sandvold, H. N., Sørvig, A., and Zhang, D. (2013). Salmon aquaculture: Larger companies and increased production. *Aquac. Econ. Manag.* 17, 322–339. doi:10.1080/13657305.2013.812156

Austin, B., and Austin, D. A. (2016). "Aerobic gram-positive rods and cocci," in *Bacterial fish pathogens: Disease of farmed and wild fish*. Editors B. Austin and D. A. Austin (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 83–160. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-32674-0\_3

Austin, B. (1985). Evaluation of antimicrobial compounds for the control of bacterial kidney disease in rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. J. Fish. Dis. 8, 209–220. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2761.1985.tb01216.x

Baker, E. J., Valenzuela, C. A., van Dooremalen, W. T. M., Martínez-Fernández, L., Yaqoob, P., Miles, E. A., et al. (2020). Gamma-linolenic and pinolenic acids exert anti-inflammatory effects in cultured human endothelial cells through their elongation products. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 64, 2000382. doi:10.1002/mnfr. 202000382

Balić, A., Vlašić, D., Žužul, K., Marinović, B., and Mokos, Z. B. (2020). Omega-3 versus omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the prevention and treatment of inflammatory skin diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, E741. doi:10.3390/ ijms21030741

Batista-Gonzalez, A., Vidal, R., Criollo, A., and Carreño, L. J. (2020). New insights on the role of lipid metabolism in the metabolic reprogramming of macrophages. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 2993. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02993

Bell, J. G., Ashton, I., Secombes, C. J., Weitzel, B. R., Dick, J. R., and Sargent, J. R. (1996). Dietary lipid affects phospholipid fatty acid compositions, eicosanoid production and immune function in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Prostagl. Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids* 54, 173–182. doi:10.1016/S0952-3278(96)90013-7

Bell, J. G., Dick, J. R., McVicar, A. H., Sargent, J. R., and Thompson, K. D. (1993). Dietary sunflower, linseed and fish oils affect phospholipid fatty acid composition, development of cardiac lesions, phospholipase activity and eicosanoid production in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Prostagl. Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids* 49, 665–673. doi:10.1016/0952-3278(93)90075-8

Bonelli, M., Dalwigk, K., Platzer, A., Olmos Calvo, I., Hayer, S., Niederreiter, B., et al. (2019). IRF1 is critical for the TNF-driven interferon response in rheumatoid fibroblast-like synoviocytes : JAKinibs suppress the interferon response in RA-FLSs. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 51, 75–11. doi:10.1038/s12276-019-0267-6

Bou, M., Berge, G. M., Baeverfjord, G., Sigholt, T., Østbye, T.-K., Romarheim, O. H., et al. (2017). Requirements of *n* -3 very long-chain PUFA in atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L*): Effects of different dietary levels of EPA and DHA on fish performance and tissue composition and integrity. *Br. J. Nutr.* 117, 30–47. doi:10.1017/S0007114516004396

Bou, M., Torgersen, J. S., Østbye, T.-K. K., Ruyter, B., Wang, X., Škugor, S., et al. (2020). DHA modulates immune response and mitochondrial function of atlantic salmon adipocytes after LPS treatment. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 4101. doi:10.3390/ ijms21114101

Caballero-Solares, A., Hall, J. . R., Xue, X., Eslamloo, K., Taylor, R. G., Parrish, C. C., et al. (2017). The dietary replacement of marine ingredients by terrestrial animal and plant alternatives modulates the antiviral immune response of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Fish. Shellfish Immunol.* 64, 24–38. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2017.02.040

Caballero-Solares, A., Xue, X., Cleveland, B. M., Foroutani, M. B., Parrish, C. C., Taylor, R. G., et al. (2020). Diet-induced physiological responses in the liver of atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) inferred using multiplex PCR platforms. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 22, 511–525. doi:10.1007/s10126-020-09972-5

Calder, P. C. (2013). n-3 Fatty acids, inflammation and immunity: new mechanisms to explain old actions. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 72, 326–336. doi:10.1017/S0029665113001031

Calder, P. C. (2010). Omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes. *Nutrients* 2, 355–374. doi:10.3390/nu2030355

Castro, L. F. C., Monroig, Ó., Leaver, M. J., Wilson, J., Cunha, I., and Tocher, D. R. (2012). Functional desaturase Fads1 ( $\Delta$ 5) and Fads2 ( $\Delta$ 6) orthologues evolved before the origin of jawed vertebrates. *PLOS ONE* 7, e31950. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0031950

Chamberlain, N. D., Vila, O. M., Volin, M. V., Volkov, S., Pope, R. M., Swedler, W., et al. (2012). TLR5; a novel and unidentified inflammatory mediator in Rheumatoid Arthritis that correlates with disease activity score and joint TNF- $\alpha$  levels. J. Immunol. 189, 475–483. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1102977

Cheng, C.-L., Huang, S.-J., Wu, C.-L., Gong, H.-Y., Ken, C.-F., Hu, S.-Y., et al. (2015). Transgenic expression of omega-3 PUFA synthesis genes improves zebrafish survival during *Vibrio vulnificus* infection. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 22, 103. doi:10.1186/s12929-015-0208-1

Chiu, B.-C., Freeman, C. M., Stolberg, V. R., Hu, J. S., Zeibecoglou, K., Lu, B., et al. (2004). Impaired lung dendritic cell activation in CCR2 knockout mice. Am. J. Pathol. 165, 1199–1209. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63380-9

Cicchese, J. M., Evans, S., Hult, C., Joslyn, L. R., Wessler, T., Millar, J. A., et al. (2018). Dynamic balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory signals controls disease and limits pathology. *Immunol. Rev.* 285, 147–167. doi:10.1111/imr.12671

Colombo, S. M., Emam, M., Peterson, B. C., Hall, J. R., Burr, G., Zhang, Z., et al. (2021). Freshwater, landlocked grand lake strain of atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) as a potential genetic source of long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids synthesis. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 8, 771. doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.641824

Cossio-Ayala, M., Domínguez-López, M., Mendez-Enriquez, E., Portillo-Téllez, M. del C., and García-Hernández, E. (2017). *In vitro* and *in vivo* antimicrobial activity of a synthetic peptide derived from the C-terminal region of human chemokine CCL13 against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Peptides* 94, 49–55. doi:10. 1016/j.peptides.2017.06.006

Delghandi, M. R., El-Matbouli, M., and Menanteau-Ledouble, S. (2020). *Renibacterium salmoninarum*—the causative agent of bacterial kidney disease in salmonid fish. *Pathogens* 9, 845. doi:10.3390/pathogens9100845

Dennis, E. A., and Norris, P. C. (2015). Eicosanoid storm in infection and inflammation. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 15, 511-523. doi:10.1038/nri3859

Durkin, L. A., Childs, C. E., and Calder, P. C. (2021). Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and the intestinal epithelium—a review. *Foods* 10, 199. doi:10.3390/foods10010199

Elezagic, D., Mörgelin, M., Hermes, G., Hamprecht, A., Sengle, G., Lau, D., et al. (2019). Antimicrobial peptides derived from the cartilage.-specific C-type Lectin Domain Family 3 Member A (CLEC3A) – potential in the prevention and treatment of septic arthritis. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 27, 1564–1573. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2019.06.007

Emam, M., Katan, T., Caballero-Solares, A., Taylor, R. G., Parrish, K. S., Rise, M. L., et al. (2020). Interaction between  $\omega 6$  and  $\omega 3$  fatty acids of different chain lengths regulates Atlantic salmon hepatic gene expression and muscle fatty acid profiles. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 375, 20190648. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0648

Eslamloo, K., Caballero-Solares, A., Inkpen, S. M., Emam, M., Kumar, S., Bouniot, C., et al. (2020a). Transcriptomic profiling of the adaptive and innate immune responses of atlantic salmon to *Renibacterium salmoninarum* infection. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 567838. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.567838

Eslamloo, K., Kumar, S., Caballero-Solares, A., Gnanagobal, H., Santander, J., and Rise, M. L. (2020b). Profiling the transcriptome response of Atlantic salmon head kidney to formalin-killed *Renibacterium salmoninarum*. *Fish. Shellfish Immunol*. 98, 937–949. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.11.057

Eslamloo, K., Xue, X., Booman, M., Smith, N. C., and Rise, M. L. (2016). Transcriptome profiling of the antiviral immune response in Atlantic cod macrophages. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 63, 187–205. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2016.05.021

Eslamloo, K., Xue, X., Hall, J. R., Smith, N. C., Caballero-Solares, A., Parrish, C. C., et al. (2017). Transcriptome profiling of antiviral immune and dietary fatty acid dependent responses of Atlantic salmon macrophage-like cells. *BMC Genomics* 18, 706. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-4099-2

Fajgenbaum, D. C., and June, C. H. (2020). Cytokine storm. N. Engl. J. Med. 383, 2255-2273. doi:10.1056/NEJMra2026131

Feng, C. Y., Johnson, S. C., Hori, T. S., Rise, M., Hall, J. R., Gamperl, A. K., et al. (2009). Identification and analysis of differentially expressed genes in immune tissues of Atlantic cod stimulated with formalin-killed, atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida*. *Physiol. Genomics* 37, 149–163. doi:10.1152/physiolgenomics.90373.2008

Fessler, M. B., Rudel, L. L., and Brown, J. M. (2009). Toll-like receptor signaling links dietary fatty acids to the metabolic syndrome. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 20, 379–385. doi:10.1097/MOL.0b013e32832fa5c4

Fetherman, E. R., Neuschwanger, B., Davis, T., Wells, C. L., and Kraft, A. (2020). Efficacy of erymicin 200 injections for reducing *Renibacterium salmoninarum* and controlling vertical transmission in an inland rainbow trout brood stock. *Pathogens* 9, 547. doi:10.3390/pathogens9070547

Feuillet, V., Medjane, S., Mondor, I., Demaria, O., Pagni, P. P., Galán, J. E., et al. (2006). Involvement of Toll-like receptor 5 in the recognition of flagellated bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 12487–12492. doi:10.1073/pnas.0605200103

Fiorucci, S., Meli, R., Bucci, M., and Cirino, G. (2001). Dual inhibitors of cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase. A new avenue in anti-inflammatory therapy?. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 62, 1433–1438. doi:10.1016/S0006-2952(01) 00747-X

Fryer, J. L., and Lannan, C. N. (1993). The history and current status of *Renibacterium salmoninarum*, the causative agent of bacterial kidney disease in Pacific salmon. *Fish. Res.* 17, 15–33. doi:10.1016/0165-7836(93)90004-Q

Gagliardi, M. C., Iwabuchi, K., and Lai, C.-H. (2021). Editorial: Role of lipid rafts in anti-microbial immune response. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 654776. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2021.654776

Gallagher, H., Williams, J. O., Ferekidis, N., Ismail, A., Chan, Y.-H., Michael, D. R., et al. (2019). Dihomo- $\gamma$ -linolenic acid inhibits several key cellular processes associated with atherosclerosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Mol. Basis Dis.* 1865, 2538–2550. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.06.011

Ghosh, S. (2011). Macrophage cholesterol homeostasis and metabolic diseases: Critical role of cholesteryl ester mobilization. *Expert Rev. Cardiovasc. Ther.* 9, 329–340. doi:10.1586/erc.11.16

Gold, E. S., Diercks, A. H., Podolsky, I., Podyminogin, R. L., Askovich, P. S., Treuting, P. M., et al. (2014). 25-Hydroxycholesterol acts as an amplifier of inflammatory signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 10666–10671. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1404271111

Grayson, T. H., Cooper, L. F., Wrathmell, A. B., Roper, J., Evenden, A. J., and Gilpin, M. L. (2002). Host responses to *Renibacterium salmoninarum* and specific components of the pathogen reveal the mechanisms of immune suppression and activation. *Immunology* 106, 273–283. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2567.2002.01420.x

Gutiérrez, S., Svahn, S. L., and Johansson, M. E. (2019). Effects of omega-3 fatty acids on immune cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5028. doi:10.3390/ijms20205028

Guðmundsdóttir, S., Helgason, S., Sigurjónsdóttir, H., Matthi asdóttir, S., Jónsdóttir, H., Laxdal, B., et al. (2000). Measures applied to control *Renibacterium salmoninarum* infection in Atlantic salmon: A retrospective study of two sea ranches in Iceland. *Aquaculture* 186, 193–203. doi:10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00375-0

Ha, H., Debnath, B., and Neamati, N. (2017). Role of the CXCL8-CXCR1/2 Axis in cancer and inflammatory diseases. *Theranostics* 7, 1543–1588. doi:10.7150/thno. 15625

Hall, M., Soje, J., Kilburn, R., Maguire, S., and Murray, A. G. (2014). Costeffectiveness of alternative disease management policies for Bacterial kidney disease in Atlantic salmon aquaculture. *Aquaculture* 434, 88–92. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2014.07.023

Hambrey, J. (2017). "The 2030 agenda and the sustainable development goals: The challenge for aquaculture development and management," in *FAO fisheries and aquaculture circular* (Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 1–62.

Harbige, L. S. (2003). Fatty acids, the immune response, and autoimmunity: A question of n-6 essentiality and the balance between n-6 and n-3. *Lipids* 38, 323–341. doi:10.1007/s11745-003-1067-z

Helms, B. (2006). Host-Pathogen interactions: Lipids grease the way. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 108, 895–897. doi:10.1002/ejlt.200600194

Henchion, M., Hayes, M., Mullen, A. M., Fenelon, M., and Tiwari, B. (2017). Future protein supply and demand: Strategies and factors influencing a sustainable equilibrium. *Foods* 6, E53. doi:10.3390/foods6070053

Hoffmann, S. C., Schellack, C., Textor, S., Konold, S., Schmitz, D., Cerwenka, A., et al. (2007). Identification of CLEC12B, an inhibitory receptor on myeloid cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 22370–22375. doi:10.1074/jbc.M704250200

Hundal, B. K., Liland, N. S., Rosenlund, G., Bou, M., Stubhaug, I., and Sissener, N. H. (2020). Increasing dietary n-6 fatty acids while keeping n-3 fatty acids stable decreases EPA in polar lipids of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Br. J. Nutr.* 125, 10–25. doi:10.1017/S0007114520002494

Iliev, D. B., Lagos, L., Thim, H. L., Jørgensen, S. M., Krasnov, A., and Jørgensen, J. B. (2019). CpGs induce differentiation of Atlantic salmon mononuclear phagocytes into cells with dendritic morphology and a proinflammatory transcriptional profile but an exhausted allostimulatory activity. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 378. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.00378

Innes, J. K., and Calder, P. C. (2018). Omega-6 fatty acids and inflammation. Prostagl. Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids 132, 41-48. doi:10.1016/j.plefa.2018.03.004 Jahangiri, A. (2010). High-density lipoprotein and the acute phase response. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* 17, 156–160. doi:10.1097/MED. 0b013e328337278b

Kapoor, R., and Huang, Y.-S. (2006). Gamma linolenic acid: An antiinflammatory omega-6 fatty acid. Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol. 7, 531–534. doi:10.2174/ 138920106779116874

Katan, T., Caballero-Solares, A., Taylor, R. G., Rise, M. L., and Parrish, C. C. (2019). Effect of plant-based diets with varying ratios of  $\omega 6$  to  $\omega 3$  fatty acids on growth performance, tissue composition, fatty acid biosynthesis and lipid-related gene expression in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D. Genomics Proteomics* 30, 290–304. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2019.03.004

Katan, T., Xue, X., Caballero-Solares, A., Taylor, R. G., Rise, M. L., and Parrish, C. C. (2020). Influence of dietary long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and ω6 to ω3 ratios on head kidney lipid composition and expression of fatty acid and eicosanoid metabolism genes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 602587.

Khor, C. C., Vannberg, F. O., Chapman, S. J., Guo, H., Wong, S. H., Walley, A. J., et al. (2010). CISH and susceptibility to infectious diseases. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 362, 2092–2101. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0905606

Kim, S., Mi, L., and Zhang, L. (2012). Specific elevation of DcR3 in sera of sepsis patients and its potential role as a clinically important biomarker of sepsis. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 73, 312–317. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.04.008

Kitagawa, S., Yamaguchi, Y., Imaizumi, N., Kunitomo, M., and Fujiwara, M. (1992). A uniform alteration in serum lipid metabolism occurring during inflammation in mice. *Jpn. J. Pharmacol.* 58, 37–46. doi:10.1254/jjp.58.37

Lajoie, P., and Nabi, I. R. (2010). Lipid rafts, caveolae, and their endocytosis. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 282, 135–163. doi:10.1016/S1937-6448(10)82003-9

Lammers, B., Chandak, P. G., Aflaki, E., Van Puijvelde, G. H. M., Radovic, B., Hildebrand, R. B., et al. (2011). Macrophage adipose triglyceride lipase deficiency attenuates atherosclerotic lesion development in low-density lipoprotein receptor knockout mice. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 31, 67–73. doi:10.1161/ ATVBAHA.110.215814

Leaver, M. J., Bautista, J. M., Björnsson, B. T., Jönsson, E., Krey, G., Tocher, D. R., et al. (2008). Towards fish lipid nutrigenomics: Current state and prospects for finfish aquaculture. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 16, 73–94. doi:10.1080/10641260802325278

Lee, C.-H., Kang, K., Mehl, I. R., Nofsinger, R., Alaynick, W. A., Chong, L.-W., et al. (2006). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta promotes very low-density lipoprotein-derived fatty acid catabolism in the macrophage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 2434–2439. doi:10.1073/pnas.0510815103

Lee, C.-Y., Lai, T.-Y., Tsai, M.-K., Chang, Y.-C., Ho, Y.-H., Yu, I.-S., et al. (2017). The ubiquitin ligase ZNRF1 promotes caveolin-1 ubiquitination and degradation to modulate inflammation. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15502. doi:10.1038/ncomms15502

Lee, J. Y., Sohn, K. H., Rhee, S. H., and Hwang, D. (2001). Saturated fatty acids, but not unsaturated fatty acids, induce the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 mediated through toll-like receptor 4. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 16683–16689. doi:10.1074/jbc. M011695200

Leonard, W. J. (2001). Cytokines and immunodeficiency diseases. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 1, 200-208. doi:10.1038/35105066

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25, 402–408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Maehr, T., Vecino, J. L. G., Wadsworth, S., Wang, T., and Secombes, C. J. (2014). Four CISH paralogues are present in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*: Differential expression and modulation during immune responses and development. *Mol. Immunol.* 62, 186–198. doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2014.06.021

Martin, S. A. M., and Król, E. (2017). Nutrigenomics and immune function in fish: New insights from omics technologies. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 75, 86–98. doi:10. 1016/j.dci.2017.02.024

Martinez-Rubio, L., Evensen, Ø., Krasnov, A., Jørgensen, S. M., Wadsworth, S., Ruohonen, K., et al. (2014). Effects of functional feeds on the lipid composition, transcriptomic responses and pathology in heart of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) before and after experimental challenge with Piscine Myocarditis Virus (PMCV). *BMC Genomics* 15, 462. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-462

Martinez-Rubio, L., Morais, S., Evensen, Ø., Wadsworth, S., Ruohonen, K., Vecino, J. L. G., et al. (2012). Functional feeds reduce heart inflammation and pathology in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar L.*) following experimental challenge with Atlantic salmon reovirus (ASRV). *PLoS One* 7, e40266. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0040266

Martinez-Rubio, L., Morais, S., Evensen, Ø., Wadsworth, S., Vecino, J. G., Ruohonen, K., et al. (2013). Effect of functional feeds on fatty acid and eicosanoid metabolism in liver and head kidney of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*  L.) with experimentally induced heart and skeletal muscle inflammation. Fish. Shellfish Immunol. 34, 1533–1545. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2013.03.363

Mattos, M. S., Ferrero, M. R., Kraemer, L., Lopes, G. A. O., Reis, D. C., Cassali, G. D., et al. (2020). CXCR1 and CXCR2 inhibition by ladarixin improves neutrophildependent airway inflammation in mice. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 566953. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2020.566953

Mauro, C., Smith, J., Cucchi, D., Coe, D., Fu, H., Bonacina, F., et al. (2017). Obesity-induced metabolic stress leads to biased effector memory CD4+ T cell differentiation via PI3K p1108-akt-mediated signals. *Cell Metab.* 25, 593–609. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.01.008

Mendez-Enriquez, E., and García-Zepeda, E. A. (2013). The multiple faces of CCL13 in immunity and inflammation. *Inflammopharmacology* 21, 397–406. doi:10.1007/s10787-013-0177-5

Monteiro, M., Perdiguero, P., Couto, A., Serra, C. R., Pereiro, P., Novoa, B., et al. (2022). Comprehensive transcriptome profiling and functional analysis of the meagre (*Argyrosomus regius*) immune system. *Fish. Shellfish Immunol.* 123, 506–520. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2022.03.033

Morton, A., and Routledge, R. D. (2006). Fulton's condition factor: Is it a valid measure of sea lice impact on juvenile salmon? *North Am. J. Fish. Manag.* 26, 56–62. doi:10.1577/M05-068.1

Nagy, K., and Tiuca, L. D. (2017). "Importance of fatty acids in physiopathology of human body," in *Fatty acids* (Rijeka: IntechOpen). doi:10.5772/67407

Napier, J. A., Haslam, R. P., Olsen, R.-E., Tocher, D. R., and Betancor, M. B. (2020). Agriculture can help aquaculture become greener. *Nat. Food* 1, 680–683. doi:10.1038/s43016-020-00182-9

Nguyen, T. M., Mandiki, S. N. M., Salomon, J. M. A. J., Baruti, J. B., Thi, N. T. T., Nguyen, T. H., et al. (2021). Pro- and anti-inflammatory responses of common carp *Cyprinus carpio* head kidney leukocytes to *E. coli* LPS as modified by different dietary plant oils. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 114, 103828. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2020.103828

Osmond, A. T. Y., Arts, M. T., Hall, J. R., Rise, M. L., Bazinet, R. P., Armenta, R. E., et al. (2021). Schizochytrium sp. (T18) oil as a fish oil replacement in diets for juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): Effects on growth performance, tissue fatty acid content, and lipid-related transcript expression. *Animals*. 11, 1185. doi:10.3390/ani11041185

Pène, F., Paun, A., Sønder, S. U., Rikhi, N., Wang, H., Claudio, E., et al. (2011). The IκB family member Bcl-3 coordinates the pulmonary defense against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. *J. Immunol.* 186, 2412–2421. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1001331

Pereiro, P., Forn-Cuní, G., Dios, S., Coll, J., Figueras, A., and Novoa, B. (2017). Interferon-independent antiviral activity of 25-hydroxycholesterol in a teleost fish. *Antivir. Res.* 145, 146–159. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.08.003

Petrie-Hanson, L., and Ainsworth, A. J. (2001). Ontogeny of channel catfish lymphoid organs. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 81, 113–127. doi:10.1016/S0165-2427(01)00331-2

Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 45e–445. doi:10.1093/nar/29.9.e45

Porte, R., Fougeron, D., Muñoz-Wolf, N., Tabareau, J., Georgel, A.-F., Wallet, F., et al. (2015). A toll-like receptor 5 agonist improves the efficacy of antibiotics in treatment of primary and influenza virus-associated pneumococcal mouse infections. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 6064–6072. doi:10.1128/AAC.01210-15

Poveda, J., Sanz, A. B., Carrasco, S., Ruiz-Ortega, M., Cannata-Ortiz, P., Sanchez-Niño, M. D., et al. (2017). Bcl3: A regulator of NF-κB inducible by TWEAK in acute kidney injury with anti-inflammatory and antiapoptotic properties in tubular cells. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 49, e352. doi:10.1038/emm.2017.89

Radovic, B., Aflaki, E., and Kratky, D. (2012). Adipose triglyceride lipase in immune response, inflammation, and atherosclerosis. *Biol. Chem.* 393, 1005–1011. doi:10.1515/hsz-2012-0192

Radzikowska, U., Rinaldi, A. O., Çelebi Sözener, Z., Karaguzel, D., Wojcik, M., Cypryk, K., et al. (2019). The influence of dietary fatty acids on immune responses. *Nutrients* 11, 2990. doi:10.3390/nu11122990

Rhodes, L. D., Nguyen, O. T., Deinhard, R. K., White, T. M., Harrell, L. W., and Roberts, M. C. (2008). Characterization of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* with reduced susceptibility to macrolide antibiotics by a standardized antibiotic susceptibility test. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 80, 173–180. doi:10.3354/da001959

Rozas-Serri, M., Lobos, C., Correa, R., Ildefonso, R., Vásquez, J., Muñoz, A., et al. (2020). Atlantic salmon pre-smolt survivors of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* infection show inhibited cell-mediated adaptive immune response and a higher risk of death during the late stage of infection at lower water temperatures. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 1378. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01378

Sadik, C. D., and Luster, A. D. (2012). Lipid-cytokine-chemokine cascades orchestrate leukocyte recruitment in inflammation. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 91, 207–215. doi:10.1189/jlb.0811402

Santoli, D., and Zurier, R. B. (1989). Prostaglandin E precursor fatty acids inhibit human IL-2 production by a prostaglandin E-independent mechanism. *J. Immunol.* 143, 1303–1309.

Shepherd, J., and Bachis, E. (2014). The contours of control. Philos. Stud. 18, 395-411. doi:10.1007/s11098-013-0236-1

Snodgrass, R. G., and Brüne, B. (2019). Regulation and functions of 15lipoxygenases in human macrophages. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10, 719. doi:10.3389/ fphar.2019.00719

Sprague, M., Betancor, M. B., and Tocher, D. R. (2017). Microbial and genetically engineered oils as replacements for fish oil in aquaculture feeds. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 39, 1599–1609. doi:10.1007/s10529-017-2402-6

Sprague, M., Dick, J. R., and Tocher, D. R. (2016). Impact of sustainable feeds on omega-3 long-chain fatty acid levels in farmed Atlantic salmon, 2006–2015. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 21892. doi:10.1038/srep21892

Stankus, A. (2021). State of world aquaculture 2020 and regional reviews: FAO webinar series. Rome: FAO Aquaculture Newsletter, 17–18.

Sun, A. R., Wu, X., Crawford, R., Li, H., Mei, L., Luo, Y., et al. (2021). Effects of diet induced weight reduction on cartilage pathology and inflammatory mediators in the joint tissues. *Front. Med.* 8, 628843. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.628843

Sun, F., Peatman, E., Li, C., Liu, S., Jiang, Y., Zhou, Z., et al. (2012). Transcriptomic signatures of attachment, NF- $\kappa$ B suppression and IFN stimulation in the catfish gill following columnaris bacterial infection. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 38, 169–180. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2012.05.006

Sunshine, H., and Iruela-Arispe, M. L. (2017). Membrane lipids and cell signaling. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 28, 408–413. doi:10.1097/MOL.00000000000443

Takeshima, H., Horie, M., Mikami, Y., Makita, K., Miyashita, N., Matsuzaki, H., et al. (2019). CISH is a negative regulator of IL-13-induced CCL26 production in lung fibroblasts. *Allergol. Int.* 68, 101-109. doi:10.1016/j.alit.2018.08.005

Tallant, T., Deb, A., Kar, N., Lupica, J., de Veer, M. J., and DiDonato, J. A. (2004). Flagellin acting via TLR5 is the major activator of key signaling pathways leading to NF-kappa B and proinflammatory gene program activation in intestinal epithelial cells. *BMC Microbiol.* 4, 33. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-4-33

Tallima, H., and El Ridi, R. (2017). Arachidonic acid: Physiological roles and potential health benefits - a review. J. Adv. Res. 11, 33-41. doi:10.1016/j.jare.2017.11.004

Tan, E. E. K., Hopkins, R. A., Lim, C. K., Jamuar, S. S., Ong, C., Thoon, K. C., et al. (2020). Dominant-negative NFKBIA mutation promotes IL-1 $\beta$  production causing hepatic disease with severe immunodeficiency. *J. Clin. Invest.* 130, 5817–5832. doi:10.1172/JCI98882

Teng, O., Ang, C. K. E., and Guan, X. L. (2017). Macrophage-bacteria interactions—a lipid-centric relationship. *Front. Immunol.* 8, 1836. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01836

Tocher, D. R., Betancor, M. B., Sprague, M., Olsen, R. E., and Napier, J. A. (2019). Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, EPA and DHA: Bridging the gap between supply and demand. *Nutrients* 11, 89. doi:10.3390/nu11010089

Tone, K., Stappers, M. H. T., Willment, J. A., and Brown, G. D. (2019). C-type lectin receptors of the Dectin-1 cluster: Physiological roles and involvement in disease. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 49, 2127–2133. doi:10.1002/eji.201847536

Tsoi, S., Park, K. C., Kay, H. H., O'Brien, T. J., Podor, E., Sun, G., et al. (2006). Identification of a transcript encoding a soluble form of toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5) in Atlantic salmon during *Aeromonas salmonicida* infection. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 109, 183–187. doi:10.1016/j.vetimm.2005.05.013

Tsujita, T., Ishii, A., Tsukada, H., Matsumoto, M., Che, F.-S., and Seya, T. (2006). Fish soluble Toll-like receptor (TLR)5 amplifies human TLR5 response via physical binding to flagellin. *Vaccine* 24, 2193–2199. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.11.003

Tsukada, H., Fukui, A., Tsujita, T., Matsumoto, M., Iida, T., and Seya, T. (2005). Fish soluble Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5S) is an acute-phase protein with integral flagellin-recognition activity. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 15, 519–525. doi:10.3892/ijmm.15. 3.519

Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., et al. (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3, 34. doi:10. 1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034

Wang, X., Lin, H., and Gu, Y. (2012). Multiple roles of dihomo- $\gamma$ -linolenic acid against proliferation diseases. *Lipids Health Dis.* 11, 25. doi:10.1186/1476-511X-11-25

Wangkahart, E., Secombes, C. J., and Wang, T. (2019). Studies on the use of flagellin as an immunostimulant and vaccine adjuvant in fish aquaculture. *Front. Immunol.* 9. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.03054

Watt, M. J., and Steinberg, G. R. (2008). Regulation and function of triacylglycerol lipases in cellular metabolism. *Biochem. J.* 414, 313–325. doi:10.1042/BJ20080305

Wen, J., Khan, I., Li, A., Chen, X., Yang, P., Song, P., et al. (2019). Alpha-linolenic acid given as an anti-inflammatory agent in a mouse model of colonic inflammation. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 7, 3873–3882. doi:10.1002/fsn3.1225

Wenk, M. R. (2006). Lipidomics of host-pathogen interactions. FEBS Lett. 580, 5541-5551. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2006.07.007

Wymann, M. P., and Schneiter, R. (2008). Lipid signalling in disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 162-176. doi:10.1038/nrm2335

Xu, H., Sun, B., Jia, L., Wei, Y., Liao, Z., and Liang, M. (2020). Cloning and characterization of cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (ch25h) from a marine teleost, Chinese tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*), and its gene expressions in response to dietary arachidonic acid. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6. doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00800

Xue, X., Caballero-Solares, A., Hall, J. R., Umasuthan, N., Kumar, S., Jakob, E., et al. (2021). Transcriptome profiling of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) parr with higher and lower pathogen loads following *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 789465. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.789465

Xue, X., Hall, J. R., Caballero-Solares, A., Eslamloo, K., Taylor, R. G., Parrish, C. C., et al. (2020). Liver transcriptome profiling reveals that dietary DHA and EPA

levels influence suites of genes involved in metabolism, redox homeostasis, and immune function in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Mar. Biotechnol.* 22, 263–284. doi:10.1007/s10126-020-09950-x

Xue, X., Hixson, S. M., Hori, T. S., Booman, M., Parrish, C. C., Anderson, D. M., et al. (2015). Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) liver transcriptome response to diets containing *Camelina sativa* products. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D. Genomics Proteomics* 14, 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2015.01.005

Yang, J., and Yan, H. (2017). TLR5: Beyond the recognition of flagellin. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 14, 1017–1019. doi:10.1038/cmi.2017.122

Yaqoob, P. (2003). Fatty acids as gatekeepers of immune cell regulation. *Trends Immunol.* 24, 639–645. doi:10.1016/j.it.2003.10.002

Yoshimura, A., Ito, M., Chikuma, S., Akanuma, T., and Nakatsukasa, H. (2018). Negative regulation of cytokine signaling in immunity. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 10, a028571. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a028571

Zhou, Y., Pu, J., and Wu, Y. (2021). The role of lipid metabolism in influenza A virus infection. *Pathogens* 10, 303. doi:10.3390/pathogens10030303