

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Clinical Microbiology and Infection**



journal homepage: www.clinicalmicrobiologyandinfection.com

Letter to the Editor

# In search of viable SARS-CoV-2 in the tear film: a prospective clinical study in hospitalized symptomatic patients

Laura Leysen <sup>1</sup>, Heleen Delbeke <sup>1, 2, \*</sup>, Stefanie Desmet <sup>3</sup>, Pieter-Paul Schauwvlieghe <sup>1</sup>, Piet Maes <sup>4</sup>, Gauthier Blanckaert <sup>1</sup>, Emiel Matthys <sup>1</sup>, Marie Joossens <sup>5</sup>, Ingele Casteels <sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>2)</sup> KU Leuven, Biomedical Sciences Group, Department of Neurosciences, Research Group Ophthalmology, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>3)</sup> Department of Clinical Biology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>4)</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

<sup>5)</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 December 2021 Received in revised form 17 March 2022 Accepted 20 March 2022 Available online 31 March 2022

Editor: L Leibovici

## To the Editor,

SARS-CoV-2 RNA in tears has been described in patients with and without conjunctivitis [1], implying that this disease might be transmitted via this body fluid. Moreover, the corneal epithelium contains angiotensin 2 converting enzyme, as well as transmembrane serine protease 2 protein, both essential for the binding and entrance of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein [2].

We conducted a prospective study in 30 patients admitted to the non—intensive care COVID unit of the University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee Research UZ/KU Leuven, Belgium, in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. This project was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04799704).

First, we wanted to investigate the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the tear film by Reverse Transcription - quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT- qPCR). This is the most common used test to detect SARS-CoV-2 in clinical laboratories [3]. However, molecular tests such as RT-qPCR cannot distinguish between noninfectious residual viral RNA and replicating virus. To detect viable and replicating virus, subgenomic (sg) RNA testing and viral culture on

E-mail address: heleen.delbeke@uzleuven.be (H. Delbeke).

Vero E6 cells was performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive conjunctival samples at the Laboratory of Clinical and Epidemiological Virology (Rega Institute), Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. Viral culture is a well-known technique to prove active viral shedding. The main limitations of this technique are the low sensitivity, special infrastructure and expertise needed, the timely effort, and the required biosafety level 3 conditions. SgRNA, on the other hand, is an intermediate product produced during the process of active replication of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and a potential marker for active infection and viral replication [3,4].

Eighty percent of the included patients were over 50 years old  $(n = 24, \text{ mean } 65 \pm 16 \text{ years})$ , and 37% (n = 11) of patients were female. There was no significant difference between patients with SARS-CoV-2—positive and SARS-CoV-2—negative conjunctival swabs with regard to age and sex. A questionnaire was completed by 27 patients. Four (14.8%) reported ocular symptoms (red eye, irritation, pain, and/or epiphora), all in combination with nasal congestion; none had anosmia or ageusia. All but one patient developed their ocular symptoms within the first week of the presenting COVID-19 symptoms. These ocular symptoms occurred 1 to 4 weeks before hospitalization; none of these four patients required intensive care unit admission.

All patients underwent bilateral conjunctival swabbing at least once. A total of 176 swabs were collected; three patients did not proceed with the serial swabbing due to discomfort. In total, in 13 swabs (7%) from seven patients (23%), SARS-CoV-2 was detected by RT-qPCR (Table 1). Three were found to be strongly positive (5–7 log copies/mL), and 10 were weakly positive (<3 log copies per mL). Only two patients had consecutive positive conjunctival swabs, with the first being strongly positive and the consecutive ones only weakly positive. Interestingly, none of the patients with a positive conjunctival swab reported symptoms of conjunctivitis. Six of the 13 positive samples (46%) were also positive for sgRNA, and 2 of those 6 samples showed growth on viral cultures, confirming viability.

To summarize, we not only demonstrated the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in tears by RT-qPCR, but six samples (46%) also tested

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Heleen Delbeke, Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospitals Leuven, Flanders, Belgium.

Table 1

Overview of patients with a SARS-CoV-2-positive conjunctival swab
---

	Swab samples, N	Positive swab	RE/ LE	PCR	Viral culture	sgRNA	First COVID-19 symptoms reported (wk)
Patient 1	2	First	LE	Weak	Neg	Neg	2
Patient 2	8	First	RE	Weak	Neg	Neg	2
Patient 3	3	First	RE	Strong	Pos	Pos	1
		First	LE	Strong	Pos	Pos	1
		Second	LE	Weak	Neg	Neg	1
Patient 4	5	Second	RE	Weak	Neg	Neg	1
		Second	LE	Weak	Neg	Neg	1
Patient 5	3	First	LE	Strong	Neg	Neg	3
		Second	RE	Weak	Neg	Neg	3
		Second	LE	Weak	Neg	Pos	3
Patient 6	1	First	RE	Weak	Neg	Pos	1
		First	LE	Weak	Neg	Pos	1
Patient 7	9	First	LE	Weak	Neg	Pos	1

Strong positive results were 5–7 log copies/mL; weak positive results were <3 log copies per mL. LE, left eye; Neg, negative; Pos, positive; RE, right eye; sg, subgenomic.

positive for the presence of sgRNA and two of those samples showed growth after inoculation on viral culture (Table 1). The low sensitivity of viral culture may explain why some samples are positive for sgRNA and negative on viral culture. Of note, only samples strongly positive on RT-qPCR showed viral growth.

Four previously published papers described viral culture of conjunctival swabs of SARS-CoV-2—positive patients [5—8], only one case report noticed a cytopathic effect on Vero E6 cells [8]. Casagrande et al. found sgRNA in corneal discs of deceased patients with COVID-19, but they failed to isolate the virus [9].

The added value of our research project is the demonstration of the replication and shedding of the virus in the tear film by sgRNA assays and viral culture. This makes tears a potential route of viral transmission, especially in procedures such as pneumotonometry and excimer refractive laser surgery, both transforming tears to small droplets by the use of a jet of air or a laser beam [10,11]. Because none of the patients with positive conjunctival swabs reported signs of conjunctivitis, the presence and shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in the tear film should be considered in patients both with and without conjunctivitis.

The limitations of our study are the small sample size and the dependence on self-reported symptoms by using questionnaires. Furthermore, we only included patients with a nasopharyngeal swab positive for SARS-CoV-2 on PCR test. We cannot provide information on the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the tears in the case of a negative nasopharyngeal swab. The strength of this study lies in the repetitive and bilateral sampling approach and the exploration of the presence of SARS-CoV-2 through both sgRNA testing and viral cultures.

#### **Transparency declaration**

Our gratitude goes to the company Simovision for partially funding this project. Volunteers did not receive any financial compensation for participating in this study.

We have no conflict of interest to declare.

#### Author contributions

LL and HD share first author credit. LL: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing – original draft, formal

analysis. HD: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing – original draft, formal analysis, funding acquisition, supervision, role assignment. SD: writing – review and editing, formal analysis. GB: investigation, writing – review and editing. EM: investigation, writing – review and editing. PPS: conceptualization, methodology, writing – review and editing. MJ: writing – review and editing, formal analysis. IC: writing – review and editing, supervision.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank I. Vriens, our study nurse, for logistic and general support during this trial.

#### References

- Atum M, Boz AAE, Çakır B, Karabay O, Köroğlu M, Öğütlü A, et al. Evaluation of conjunctival swab PCR results in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Ocul Immunol Inflamm 2020;28:745–8.
- [2] Zhou L, Xu Z, Castiglione GM, Soiberman US, Eberhart CG, Duh EJ. ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are expressed on the human ocular surface, suggesting susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Ocul Surf 2020;18:537–44.
- [3] Binnicker MJ. Can testing predict SARS-CoV-2 infectivity? The potential for certain methods to be a surrogate for replication-competent virus. J Clin Microbiol 2021;59:e0046921.
- [4] Dimcheff DE, Valesano AL, Rumfelt KE, Fitzsimmons WJ, Blair C, Mirabelli C, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 total and subgenomic RNA viral load in hospitalized patients. J Infect Dis 2021;224:1287–93.
- [5] Lim LW, Tan GS, Yong V, Anderson DE, Lye DC, Young B, et al. Acute onset of bilateral follicular conjunctivitis in two patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections. Ocul Immunol Inflamm 2020;28:1280–4.
- [6] Seah IYJ, Anderson DE, Kang AEZ, Wang L, Rao P, Young BE, et al. Assessing viral shedding and infectivity of tears in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients. Ophthalmology 2020;127:977–9.
- [7] Xia J, Tong J, Liu M, Shen Y, Guo D. Evaluation of coronavirus in tears and conjunctival secretions of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Med Virol 2020;92:589–94.
- [8] Colavita F, Lapa D, Carletti F, Lalle E, Bordi L, Marsella P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 isolation from ocular secretions of a patient with COVID-19 in Italy with prolonged viral RNA detection. Ann Intern Med 2020;173:242–3.
- [9] Casagrande M, Fitzek A, Spitzer MS, Püschel K, Glatzel M, Krasemann S, et al. Presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the cornea of viremic patients with COVID-19. JAMA Ophthalmol 2021;139:383–8.
- [10] Moreira LB, Sanchez D, Trousdale MD, Stevenson D, Yarber F, McDonnell PJ. Aerosolization of infectious virus by excimer laser. Am J Ophthalmol 1997;123:297–302.
- [11] Britt JM, Clifton BC, Barnebey HS, Mills RP. Microaerosol formation in noncontact "air-puff" tonometry. Arch Ophthalmol 1991;109:225–8.