



The PqsE-RhIR Interaction Regulates RhIR DNA Binding to Control Virulence Factor Production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Kayla A. Simanek,^a Isabelle R. Taylor,^b Erica K. Richael,^c Erica Lasek-Nesselquist,^c DBonnie L. Bassler,^{b,d} Don E. Paczkowski^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Biomedical Sciences, School of Public Health, University at Albany, Albany, New York, USA ^bDepartment of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA ^cDivision of Genetics, Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York, USA ^dHoward Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, Maryland, USA

ABSTRACT *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen that causes disease in immunocompromised individuals and individuals with underlying pulmonary disorders. *P. aeruginosa* virulence is controlled by quorum sensing (QS), a bacterial cell-cell communication mechanism that underpins transitions between individual and group behaviors. In *P. aeruginosa*, the PqsE enzyme and the QS receptor RhIR directly interact to control the expression of genes involved in virulence. Here, we show that three surface-exposed arginine residues on PqsE comprise the site required for interaction with RhIR. We show that a noninteracting PqsE variant [PqsE(NI)] possesses catalytic activity, but is incapable of promoting virulence phenotypes, indicating that interaction with RhIR, and not catalysis, drives these PqsE-dependent behaviors. Biochemical characterization of the PqsE-RhIR interaction coupled with RNA-seq analyses demonstrates that the PqsE-RhIR complex increases the affinity of RhIR for DNA, enabling enhanced expression of genes encoding key virulence factors. These findings provide the mechanism for PqsE-dependent regulation of RhIR and identify a unique regulatory feature of *P. aeruginosa* QS and its connection to virulence.

IMPORTANCE Bacteria use a cell-cell communication process called quorum sensing (QS) to orchestrate collective behaviors. QS relies on the group-wide detection of molecules called autoinducers (AI). QS is required for virulence in the human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which can cause fatal infections in patients with underlying pulmonary disorders. In this study, we determine the molecular basis for the physical interaction between two virulence-driving QS components, PqsE and RhIR. We find that the ability of PqsE to bind RhIR correlates with virulence factor production. Since current antimicrobial therapies exacerbate the growing antibiotic resistance problem because they target bacterial growth, we suggest that the PqsE-RhIR interface discovered here represents a new candidate for targeting with small molecule inhibition. Therapeutics that disrupt the PqsE-RhIR interaction should suppress virulence. Targeting bacterial behaviors such as QS, rather than bacterial growth, represents an attractive alternative for exploration because such therapies could potentially minimize the development of resistance.

KEYWORDS protein-protein interactions, quorum sensing, transcriptional regulation, virulence factors

The opportunistic human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infects immunocompromised individuals and those with underlying pulmonary disorders. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* spp., collectively known as ESKAPE pathogens, represent a significant threat to human health because they are pathogenic and commonly multidrug resistant (1). Therefore, new effective treatments are urgently needed. In the case of *P. aeruginosa*, virulence is driven by quorum sensing (QS), a cell-to-cell communication process that relies on the production, release, accumulation, and detection of **Editor** Christopher N. LaRock, Emory University School of Medicine

Copyright © 2022 Simanek et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Jon E. Paczkowski, jon.paczkowski@health.ny.gov.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 1 November 2021 Accepted 19 December 2021 Published 12 January 2022 extracellular signal molecules called autoinducers (AI) (2–7). QS facilitates synchronous, population-wide alterations in the expression of genes that underpin collective behaviors, such as biofilm formation and virulence factor production (8, 9).

Two LuxR/Luxl-type receptor/synthase pairs, LasR/Lasl and RhlR/Rhll, are central to *P. aeruginosa* QS. LasR/Lasl resides at the top of the hierarchy (10–13). Lasl synthesizes the Al *N*-3-oxo-dodecanoyl-L-homoserine lactone ($3OC_{12}HSL$), which binds to LasR (7, 14). Binding of $3OC_{12}HSL$ stabilizes and activates LasR, which is a transcription factor (15, 16). Thus, ligand binding promotes LasR DNA binding and the activation of transcription of the genes in its regulon, among which are *rhll* and *rhlR* (12, 17). Rhll synthesizes the Al *N*-butyryl-homoserine lactone (C_4HSL), which binds to its partner receptor RhlR (18, 19). RhlR, like LasR, is a transcription factor, and the RhlR:C₄HSL complex launches a second wave of QS target gene expression (20). Each ligand-bound receptor activates the expression of the gene encoding its respective synthase. These so-called autoinduction feedback loops ramp up Al production and, since newly made Al further activates the partner receptor, these loops increase target gene expression (14, 21, 22).

The third *P. aeruginosa* QS circuit, and a focus of the present work, is called the *Pseudomonas* quinolone signaling (PQS) system and is comprised of the *pqsABCDE* operon, *pqsH*, and *pqsR* (23–26). PqsABCD are responsible for biosynthesis of a molecule called HHQ (4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinolone) and PqsH is required to convert HHQ into the AI called PQS (2-heptyl-3-hydroxy-4-quinolone) (27). PqsR, the PQS receptor, is activated upon binding either HHQ or PQS, with PQS understood to be the primary ligand (23, 28). The PqsR:PQS complex controls transcription of genes involved in virulence factor production and biofilm formation (29). Analogous to the above, there is an autoinduction feedback loop: the PqsR: PQS complex activates transcription of *pqsABCDE*, promoting increased PQS synthesis, increased PQS-mediated activation of PqsR, and increased transcription of target genes. Additionally, expression of *pqsABCDE*, *pqsH*, and *pqsR* is regulated by both the Las and Rhl QS systems (30).

The role PqsE plays in PQS QS is mysterious (24, 28, 31–35). *pqsE* is the final gene in an operon with genes that are required for PQS biosynthesis. Curiously, however, a $\Delta pqsE P.$ *aeruginosa* mutant produces wild-type (WT) levels of PQS (33, 36). *In vitro*, PqsE converts 2-aminobenzoylacetyl-CoA (2-ABA-CoA) to 2-aminobenzoyl acetate (2-ABA) (31). If, in *P. aeruginosa*, this reaction is on the pathway to PQS production, some other thioesterase(s) must perform this catalytic step in the $\Delta pqsE$ mutant. Also puzzling is that a $\Delta pqsE$ mutant does not produce the QS-controlled virulence factor called pyocyanin (28). However, supplementation of this mutant with PQS precursors or the PQS AI does not complement the defect. Finally, *pqsE* is essential for *P. aeruginosa* virulence in animal models, demonstrating that PqsE performs a required pathogenicity function (32). Together, the above findings suggest that the role PqsE plays in *P. aeruginosa* virulence is distinct from its function as an enzyme.

We recently demonstrated that pyocyanin production is controlled through a physical interaction between RhIR and PgsE (33, 36–38). Specifically, we showed that PgsE variants which mimic the inhibitor-bound state of PqsE disrupt the interaction with RhIR and attenuate pyocyanin production. In the earlier work, we hypothesized that PgsE interaction with RhIR enhances RhIR affinity for DNA. Here, we determined the surface residues on PgsE responsible for interaction with RhIR and characterized the role of the PqsE-RhIR complex in P. aeruginosa QS. Using structure-guided mutagenesis, we generated a triple-variant PgsE protein (R243A/R246A/R247A) which abolished the PgsE-RhIR interaction. We showed that introduction of this variant into P. aeruginosa eliminates pyocyanin production while the purified PqsE variant possesses catalytic activity in vitro. Thus, the mutations establish a putative binding site for RhIR that is distinct from the catalytic site, separating the two apparent PqsE functions. We used DNA gel shift analyses to demonstrate that PqsE binding to RhIR increases RhIR affinity for promoter DNA. RNA-seq analyses of strains harboring PqsE variants with differing abilities to interact with RhIR showed that levels of PgsE-RhIR complex formation in vitro correlate with the ability of P. aeruginosa to properly regulate RhIR-dependent genes in vivo. We conclude that binding of PqsE to RhIR is primarily through an α -helix



FIG 1 Mutational analysis of PqsE reveals the PqsE-RhIR interface. (a) Left: structure of PqsE (gray) bound to 2-ABA (cyan) coordinated by two Fe²⁺ ions (red) (PDB: 5HIO). Center inset: close-up view of the catalytic pocket highlighting residues identified as being important for catalysis (D73; blue), and to mimic inhibitor binding (S285 and E182; green). Right: surface representation (red = negative charge, blue = positive charge) overlay on the structure of PqsE (gray), highlighting α -helix 5 containing residues R243, R246, and R247 (orange). (b) SDS-PAGE of cell lysates before (Input) and after (Elution) affinity purification on Ni-NTA resin. Shown are WT and variant 6x-His-PqsE-containing lysates which had been combined with lysate containing (+) or lacking (-) RhIR:mBTL. In all affinity purification experiments, RhIR does not carry any tag. (c) Bioluminescence output from *E. coli* carrying *rhIA*-*luxCDABE*, and the designated *pqsE* alleles on pACYC184 in the presence of 500 nM C₄HSL, with bioluminescence normalized to the OD₆₀₀ of the cultures. Bars represent 2 biological replicates performed in technical triplicate. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means of biological replicates. Unpaired *t* tests compared the light produced by each strain to that produced by the strain with WT PqsE. *P* values: ns, ≥ 0.05 ; ***, <0.001.

containing R243/R246/R247. It is the PqsE-RhIR interaction, and not PqsE-driven catalysis, that underpins the regulation of RhIR by PqsE and, in turn, controls the production of pyocyanin and other important *P. aeruginosa* virulence factors.

RESULTS

An arginine-rich surface-exposed α -helix is required for PqsE interaction with RhIR. We showed previously that when PqsE residue E182 or E182 together with S285 are substituted with tryptophan residues, the PqsE protein mimics the catalytically inhibited state and, moreover, the ability of PqsE to interact with RhIR is disrupted (37). E182 and S285 are in the PqsE catalytic pocket along with the D73 residue which is essential for catalysis (Fig. 1a; E182 and S285 are shown in green, D73 is shown in blue). The PgsE structure shows that while E182 and S285 are buried, they abut a surface exposed arginine-rich α -helix, (α -helix 5) which contains residues 227 to 255 (Fig. 1a; the surface exposed α -helix 5 harboring R243, R246, and R247 is shown in orange). We hypothesized that the argininerich α -helix could directly interact with RhIR and that perhaps this helix is perturbed in the PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W) mutants. To test this possibility, we mutated R243, R246, and R247 to alanine residues and performed affinity purification analyses to assess interaction with RhIR. In this assay, PqsE is 6x-His-tagged and used as bait in the affinity purification, and RhIR is bound to the synthetic ligand meta-bromo-thiolactone (mBTL), which we have previously used to activate, solubilize, and purify RhIR (34). We call this complex RhIR:mBTL. As a control, we used PqsE(D73A), which, while lacking catalytic activity, interacts like WT PqsE with RhIR:mBTL (Fig. 1b). Throughout this work, all purified PqsE proteins contain N-terminal 6x-His tags. To simplify the nomenclature, we do not explicitly write this throughout the main text. Consistent with our recent findings, PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W) both showed impaired binding to RhIR:mBTL (Fig. 1b). Strikingly, PqsE(R243A/R246A/R247A) showed a complete lack of interaction with RhIR:mBTL (Fig. 1b). In Fig. 1b and from here forward, we refer to PqsE(R243A/R246A/R247A) as PqsE (NI) for "PqsE Non-Interacting." Our results with PqsE(NI) suggest that we have pinpointed the binding interface between PqsE and RhIR. Indeed, interaction of PqsE(D73A), PqsE (E182W), and PqsE(E182W/S285W) with RhIR:mBTL was abolished when the PqsE(NI) amino acid substitutions were introduced (Fig. 1b, PqsE D73A/NI, PqsE E182W/NI and PqsE E182W/S285W/NI). These findings are consistent with a model in which the PqsE catalytic pocket can allosterically influence the α -helix 5-mediated interface between RhIR and PqsE.

To probe the consequences of RhIR-PqsE complex formation on RhIR-dependent activation of gene expression, we used a recombinant *Escherichia coli* system in which rhlR expression is driven by the pBAD promoter and pqsE is constitutively expressed from the *lac* promoter (37, 39). The natural AI for RhIR, C_4 HSL is supplied exogenously to activate RhIR. We call this complex RhIR:C₄HSL. Transcriptional output is assessed by the production of light from *luxCDABE* (luciferase) driven by the RhIR:C₄HSL-controlled *rhIA* promoter. Inclusion of PqsE in this assay is known to enhance RhIR:C₄HSL activation of *rhIA* expression (33, 37). Indeed, in the presence of 500 nM C₄HSL, light production was 42-fold higher in the strain carrying RhIR:C₄HSL and PqsE compared to the strain lacking PqsE (Fig. 1c). Light output correlated with the ability of the PqsE variants to interact with RhIR. Specifically, compared to the strain lacking PqsE, introduction of PqsE(D73A) increased light production to nearly the same level as when WT PqsE was present. This result is consistent with the ability of PgsE(D73A) to interact with RhIR similarly to WT PgsE (Fig. 1b). PgsE(E182W) and PgsE (E182W/S285W) each drove \sim 11-fold higher light production than that from the no-PgsE control strain, again, consistent with the diminished ability of these variants to interact with RhIR compared to WT PqsE and PqsE(D73A), as shown in Fig. 1b. In contrast, the presence of the PqsE(NI) variant failed to increase light production above that of the control strain lacking PgsE (i.e., within 2-fold, Fig. 1c). All PgsE variants were produced to similar levels in the E. coli recombinant strain (Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). These results indicate that PqsE and RhIR:C₄HSL interact to activate transcription and, given that these are the only P. aeruginosa components present in our E. coli system, suggest that PqsE likely enhances the affinity of RhIR:C₄HSL for promoter DNA. We return to this point below.

PqsE enzyme activity is not affected by interaction with RhIR. The three arginine residues that are critical for PqsE to interact with RhIR reside on the surface of PqsE, a location distant from the buried active site. Therefore, these three residues are not predicted to play a direct role in PqsE catalytic function. To verify this notion, we used the synthetic substrate, 4-methylumbelliferyl butyrate (MU-butyrate), to quantify PqsE(NI) enzyme activity. As controls, we assayed WT PqsE and the catalytically inactive PqsE(D73A) variant. We compared these activities to the two inhibitor mimetic PqsE variants, PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W). WT PqsE readily hydrolyzed MU-butyrate, PqsE(D73A) had no measurable enzyme activity, and the inhibitor mimetic variants were severely impaired, exhibiting less than 5% of WT activity (Fig. 2a). The PqsE(NI) protein, by contrast, displayed \sim 40% of the activity of WT PqsE. In this case, somewhat reduced hydrolytic capacity is not entirely surprising given the lower stability of the PqsE(NI) protein [*T_m* of PqsE(NI) = 62.3°C] compared to that of WT PqsE (*T_m* of WT PqsE = 67.6°C; Fig. S2a).

Our next goal was to determine whether interaction with RhIR affects PqsE catalytic function. We purified WT PqsE and WT PqsE in complex with RhIR:mBTL. The concentrations of PqsE were normalized according to SDS-PAGE analysis (Figure S2b) and the MU-butyrate substrate was used to measure hydrolytic activity. PqsE in complex with RhIR:mBTL exhibited nearly identical enzyme kinetics as PqsE alone (Fig. 2b). Thus, binding to RhIR does not affect PqsE catalytic activity.

The PqsE-RhIR interaction controls pyocyanin production. To understand what role the PqsE-RhIR interaction plays *in vivo* in *P. aeruginosa*, we assayed the ability of each of



FIG 2 RhIR binding to PqsE does not affect PqsE catalytic function. (a) Catalytic activity of the designated purified PqsE proteins measured in terms of hydrolysis of MU-butyrate. Rate of hydrolysis by each protein is reported as percent activity compared to that of WT PqsE. Bars represent 3 independent experiments performed in technical triplicate. Error bars depict standard deviations of the means for each independent experiment. Unpaired *t* tests compared initial rates obtained for each PqsE variant to that for WT PqsE. *P* values: ns, \geq 0.05; **, <0.01; ****, <0.0001. (b) Catalytic activity of PqsE and PqsE-RhIR:mBTL was measured for the designated concentrations of the MU-butyrate substrate, and Lineweaver-Burk plots were generated to determine kinetic parameters for PqsE alone (solid line) and for PqsE:RhIR:mBTL (dashed line).

the PqsE variants to promote the production of the virulence factor pyocyanin. Both PqsE and RhIR are required for pyocyanin production (28, 32). WT *pqsE* and the *pqsE* mutants were cloned onto pUCP18 under the *lac* promoter. We introduced each construct into $\Delta pqsE$ *P. aeruginosa*. The strains carrying WT PqsE and PqsE(D73A) produced nearly the same amount of pyocyanin. In contrast, all of the strains harboring PqsE variants that exhibited impaired interaction with RhIR *in vitro* failed to produce pyocyanin *in vivo* (Fig. 3a). Thus, the interaction between PqsE and RhIR appears to be critical for pyocyanin production. To verify our strategy, we introduced each of the *pqsE* mutant genes onto the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome at the native site. All of the PqsE variant proteins were produced to similar levels as in WT PqsE (Fig. S3a) and their pyocyanin production profiles mirrored those in which the *pqsE* alleles were expressed from a plasmid (compare data in Fig. S3b to that in Fig. 3a). Thus, important for the work presented below, we can use plasmid-expressed *pqsE* to investigate the PqsE-RhIR complex in *P. aeruginosa*.

Distinguishing the individual functions of PqsE and the Rhll AI synthase in driving RhIR activity is complicated by the need for the RhII-produced C₄HSL molecule to stabilize and activate RhIR in vivo. RhIR* is a ligand-independent, constitutively active RhIR variant which contains three stabilizing hydrophobic amino acid substitutions in the ligand binding pocket (34). We hypothesized that we could exploit RhIR* to disentangle the role PqsE plays from that played by Rhll and C₄HSL in RhlR activation of *in vivo* gene expression, again using pyocyanin production as our measure. Thus, we assessed pyocyanin production in $\Delta pqsE$ Δ *rhll* and Δ *pqsE* Δ *rhll rhlR** strains (Fig. 3b and c). None of the PqsE variants, including WT PqsE, enabled pyocyanin production in the $\Delta pqsE \Delta rhll$ strain, presumably because in vivo, RhIR is inactive in the absence of the C₄HSL ligand (Fig. 3b). In the context of the RhIR* allele, the strains carrying WT PqsE and PqsE(D73A) produced pyocyanin, while the strains harboring the three PqsE variants that were defective in interacting with RhIR did not (Fig. 3c). The ability of RhIR* to physically interact with WT PqsE and the different PqsE variants was indistinguishable from that of WT RhIR:mBTL (compare results in Fig. S4a to those in Fig. 1b). Together, these data show that in vivo pyocyanin production relies on the RhIR interaction with PqsE, and that the role of Rhll is to produce the C₄HSL ligand required to activate the RhIR protein.

To determine if, in the absence of other key *P. aeruginosa* QS components, the PqsE-RhIR: C_4HSL interaction is sufficient to promote pyocyanin production, we performed the pyocyanin assay in a strain in which we had deleted *lasR*, *lasl*, *rhIR*, *rhII*, and *pqsE*. We reintroduced *rhIR* under the control of the pBAD promoter, supplied exogenous C_4HSL to activate RhIR, and expressed either WT *pqsE* or a *pqsE* mutant from pUCP18. Figure 3d shows that, in the





FIG 3 Strains containing PqsE variants that disrupt the PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL interface exhibit attenuated pyocyanin production. Pyocyanin production from *P. aeruginosa* strains carrying plasmid-produced PqsE variants, normalized to strains carrying plasmid-produced WT PqsE in (a) $\Delta pqsE$, (b) $\Delta pqsE \Delta rhII$, (c) $\Delta pqsE \Delta rhII$ *thIR** (*rhIR** encodes a C₄HSL-independent RhIR variant expressed from its native promoter), and (d) $\Delta pqsE \Delta lasl \Delta lasR \Delta rhII$ *chIR* with *rhIR* expressed from the pBAD promoter. C₄HSL was supplied where indicated (+), and (-) designates that C₄HSL was not added. Bars represent 3 biological replicates. Two technical replicates were performed and averaged for each biological replicates. Unpaired *t* tests compared pyocyanin production from each strain to that produced by the strain with WT PqsE, as shown in each graph. *P* values: ns, ≥ 0.05 ; *, <0.05; **, <0.01; ***, <0.001; ****, <0.001.

presence of RhIR and C_{a} HSL, WT PgsE and PgsE(D73A) enabled robust pyocyanin production, PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W) promoted lower-level pyocyanin production, and PqsE (NI) did not drive production of pyocyanin. We note especially our findings with PgsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W), shown in Fig. 3d; significantly higher levels of pyocyanin were produced in this context than from the strains shown in Fig. 3b. The key difference is that *rhlR* was expressed from its native promoter in Fig. 3b, while it was overexpressed from the pBAD promoter in Fig. 3d. The latter enabled high-level rhlR expression in the absence of the upstream LasR/LasI regulators (see Introduction and also Fig. S3b,c). We hypothesize that impairment in the PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL interaction can be overridden in the case of PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W) by increasing the concentration of RhIR:C₄HSL. However, proper interaction with PqsE α -helix 5 is required. Thus, overexpression of RhIR:C₄HSL does not restore pyocyanin production when the PqsE(NI) variant is present (Fig. 3d). To confirm this supposition, we performed the RhIR-PqsE affinity purification assessment under varying concentrations of RhIR:mBTL. Indeed, increasing the concentration of RhIR:mBTL promoted increased complex formation with WT PqsE, PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W), but not with PqsE (NI) (Fig. S4b). Collectively, these results indicate that the inhibitor mimetic mutations weaken the PqsE-RhIR interaction, while the NI alteration entirely blocks PqsE interaction with RhIR.



FIG 4 PqsE enhances RhIR:mBTL affinity for promoter DNA. EMSA of the *rhIA* promoter with purified PqsE (left), RhIR:mBTL (middle), and PqsE-RhIR:mBTL (right). "Ub" signifies DNA probe unbound by protein and "b" signifies DNA probe bound by protein. SDS-PAGE showing protein levels in the samples is provided below the corresponding EMSA results.

Furthermore, the PqsE-RhlR interaction, relying on the α -helix 5 of PqsE, drives pyocyanin production *in vivo*.

PqsE enhances the affinity of RhIR for promoter DNA. Given that PqsE can increase RhIR:C₄HSL-dependent transcription in the *E. coli* reporter system and that PqsE-RhIR: C₄HSL complex formation correlates with pyocyanin production levels *in vivo*, as mentioned, we hypothesized that the mechanism underlying PqsE-dependent regulation of RhIR:C₄HSL is through the ability of PqsE to alter the affinity of RhIR:C₄HSL for promoter DNA. To test this supposition, we used electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) to assess the DNAbinding affinity of RhIR:mBTL compared to that of RhIR:mBTL in complex with PqsE. In the EMSAs, we used a *rhIA* promoter fragment identical to the one we employed above in the *E. coli prhIA-luxCDABE* reporter assays. PqsE does not possess a DNA-binding motif and we can find no evidence for PqsE binding to DNA in the absence of RhIR:mBTL (Fig. 4). When RhIR: mBTL was bound to PqsE, its affinity for *rhIA* promoter DNA increased ~5-fold compared to that of RhIR:mBTL alone (Fig. 4). These results support our hypothesis that PqsE enhances holo-RhIR binding to promoter DNA.

RNA-seq analyses of strains harboring variant PqsE proteins reveal distinct regulons responsive to the PqsE enzymatic and RhIR-interaction functions. To determine the consequences of PqsE binding to RhIR:C₄HSL on the regulation of gene expression, we performed RNA-seq analyses using P. aeruginosa strains harboring WT pqsE, pqsE(D73A), pqsE (E182W), pqsE(E182W/S285W), and pqsE(NI) inserted at the native pqsE locus. As controls, we performed the same analyses on $\Delta rhIR$, $\Delta rhII$, and $\Delta past P$. aeruginosa strains. This set of control strains allowed us to verify the entire RhIR regulon, and moreover, assess the reliance of RhIR-activated target genes on RhII and on PqsE. Comparison of the output from WT P. aeruginosa to that of strains harboring PqsE variants defective in interaction with RhIR revealed that this interaction is crucial for proper control of gene expression in vivo. Indeed, we expected a largely shared regulon among the PgsE variants because PgsE-RhIR complex formation is disrupted to different extents by the different variants. Furthermore, comparing the output from the strain carrying the catalytically defective PqsE(D73A) variant with that from the strain carrying the noninteracting PgsE(NI) variant revealed the subset of RhIR-controlled genes which depend on PqsE catalysis. The strain harboring PqsE(D73A) served as an important control because this PqsE variant interacts with RhIR similarly to WT PqsE. Thus, PqsE (D73A) functions similarly to WT PqsE in its regulation of RhIR. We lay out the findings supporting these assertions here:

(i) The PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL interaction regulon. Overall, the RNA-seq revealed that a largely shared regulon is controlled by RhIR, RhII, PqsE, PqsE(E182W), PqsE(E182W/S285W), and PqsE(NI). Figure 5a shows select data, the full data set is in Table S1. There are some key differences: *P. aeruginosa* harboring PqsE variants that impair complex formation with RhIR: C₄HSL displayed altered transcriptional regulation of RhIR:C₄HSL-dependent genes, the magnitudes of which correlated with the severity of their defects in PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL complex formation. To represent the differences, we describe the results for the RhIR:C₄HSL-regulated *phzB1* gene which encodes a protein involved in phenazine biosynthesis. Figure 5a shows



FIG 5 Genes regulated by the PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL interaction and PqsE catalytic function reveal dual roles for PqsE in virulence factor production. (a) RNA-seq heat map of virulence factor gene expression in strains lacking *rhIR*, *rhII*, or *pqsE*, or expressing *pqsE* mutants from the chromosome, compared to expression levels from WT *P. aeruginosa*, shown as log_2 fold-change; 0 = no change, <0 = downregulated genes (colored red), >0 = upregulated genes (colored blue). Data are representative of 2 independent RNA-seq experiments. For the full data set, see Table S1 in the supplemental material. (b) Confirmation of the regulation of the target genes *prbB1* (black) and *hcnA* (white) from strains in panel a using qRT-PCR. The dotted line represents the output of the strain carrying WT PqsE. Bars represent 3 biological replicates. Two technical replicates were performed and averaged for each gene in each biological replicate. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means of biological replicates. (c) Relative *pqsA* transcript levels in the designated *P. aeruginosa* strains. The dotted line represents the output of the strain carrying WT PqsE. Data are representative of 2 independent RNA-seq experiments. Unpaired *t* tests compared expression levels from each strain to that from the strain carrying WT PqsE. *P* values: ns, ≥ 0.05 ; *, <0.01; ****, <0.001; ****, <0.0001. (d) A model showing the putative role of the PqsE-RhIR complex in *P. aeruginosa* along with major QS regulators and key target genes. Positive and negative regulation are shown with black arrows and red bars, respectively. As shown here, PqsE binding to RhIR enhances RhIR binding to DNA and increases positive regulation of target genes. RhIR repressor function is also enhanced by the interaction with PqsE. PqsE-dependent regulatory function that does not require interaction with PqsE.

that deletion of rhlR, rhll, and pqsE resulted in 597-, 29-, and 47-fold decreases in phzB1 expression, respectively. In contrast, the strain harboring PgsE(D73A) showed almost no change in phzB1 expression compared to the WT. PqsE(D73A) interacts like WT PqsE with RhIR, indicating that PgsE catalytic activity is dispensable for proper control of phzB1. P. aeruginosa strains carrying PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W) exhibited decreased levels of phzB1 expression compared to the strain with WT PqsE (8- and 4-fold reductions, respectively), tracking with their impaired but not abolished interactions with RhIR:mBTL in vitro. Finally, P. aeruginosa carrying PqsE(NI) displayed a 32-fold decrease in phzB1 expression compared to the WT; indeed, an expression level similar to the strain lacking pase. These trends were consistent across all RhIR-dependent targets (Fig. 5a) and were confirmed by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) for phzB1 and hcnA, the latter of which encodes hydrogen cyanide synthase, another virulence factor (Fig. 5b). We highlight the results for other well-studied virulence genes in Fig. 5a and provide the complete RNA-seq data set in Table S1. Collectively, the results indicate that the PqsE effect on RhIR/ Rhll-dependent transcriptional regulation is related to the ability of PqsE to bind to RhlR: C₄HSL and enhance its affinity for promoter DNA.

(ii) The PqsE catalytic activity regulon. P. aeruginosa strains carrying PqsE(D73A) showed no or only modest changes in RhIR:C4HSL-regulated target genes compared to P. aeruginosa carrying WT PqsE (Fig. 5a and b). Thus, PqsE catalytic activity is not a major requirement for proper control of genes by RhIR:C₄HSL. However, several transcripts were notably dysregulated in the strain with PqsE(D73A) compared to the strains with our other PqsE variants. We highlight pqsA as our representative in Fig. 5c and include the complete data set in Table S1. These analyses allow us to distinguish the role of PqsE catalysis from that of PqsE interaction with RhIR:C4HSL in controlling virulence genes and possibly other processes. Regarding pgsA, as anticipated, compared to WT P. aeruginosa, increased expression occurred in the $\Delta rhlR$ and $\Delta rhll$ strains, consistent with RhlR:C₄HSL repression of pqsA transcription (Fig. 5c, 30). In contrast, the presence of the PgsE(D73A) variant caused a reduction in pgsA expression, indicating that PgsE-directed catalysis is required for activation of pgsA expression (Fig. 5c). The strains with the PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W) variants showed no differences in pqsA expression compared to the strain with WT PqsE, while the $\Delta pqsE$ strain and the strain with PqsE(NI) showed modest increases in expression (Fig. 5c). The $\Delta pqsE$ strain is fully defective in both catalysis and interaction, the strains with PgsE(E182W) and PgsE(E182W/ S285W) are partially defective in both functions, and the strain with PqsE(NI) is partially defective in catalysis and fully defective in interaction. We interpret the respective PgsE effects on pgsA expression to be products of differences in the balance between the loss of PgsE-RhIR: C₄HSL-mediated repression and the loss of PqsE-catalysis-mediated activation. For example, in the strain containing PqsE(D73A), pqsA expression is skewed toward repression due to interaction of PqsE(D73A) with RhIR:C₄HSL. In contrast, in the strain containing PqsE(NI), pqsA expression is skewed toward activation due to the partial catalytic activity displayed by the PqsE(NI) variant. We expect that if we had a PqsE(NI) variant that possessed WT catalytic activity, it would exhibit a phenotype identical to that of the $\Delta rhlR$ mutant; i.e., maximal pqsA expression because expression would be subject to full PqsE-catalysis-mediated activation, but there would be no repression from PqsE interaction with RhIR:C₄HSL. Finally, Fig. 5c shows that PgsE-RhIR:C₄HSL-dependent repression of pgsA largely masks pgsA activation due to PqsE-mediated catalysis. We therefore infer that the dominant role of PqsE in regulation of *pqsA* expression stems from its interaction with RhIR:C₄HSL (Fig. 5d).

DISCUSSION

PqsE and RhIR, core components of the *P. aeruginosa* QS system, coregulate many genes, including those involved in the production of the virulence factor pyocyanin. It was previously shown that PqsE, an enzyme, binds to RhIR to regulate RhIR function as a transcription factor (37). Here, using a set of *P. aeruginosa* strains containing catalytic, inhibitor mimetic, and non-RhIR-interacting PqsE variants, we characterized the distinct roles PqsE plays in regulating RhIR DNA binding, RhIR-driven pyocyanin production, and RhIR-controlled gene expression. We find that PqsE control of RhIR function is

independent of its enzymatic activity, since the PqsE(D73A) variant, which is catalytically inactive, interacted like WT PqsE with RhIR *in vitro* (Fig. 1b). Likewise, *P. aeruginosa* strains harboring the PqsE(D73A) variant produced pyocyanin at levels similar to WT *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 3a to d). However, *P. aeruginosa* strains harboring PqsE variants which mimic the inhibitor-bound state of PqsE, PqsE(E182W) and PqsE(E182W/S285W), do not produce pyocyanin (Fig. 3a to d); moreover, these PqsE variants exhibit impaired interaction with RhIR *in vitro* (Fig. 1b). These results indicate that interaction between PqsE and RhIR *in vivo* is required to activate the expression of genes involved in pyocyanin production (Fig. 5d). Interestingly, PqsE residues E182W and S285W are buried in the catalytic pocket of PqsE and therefore cannot be part of the interaction interface. In contrast, the PqsE variant, PqsE(NI), which has three surface arginine residues altered to alanines, possesses catalytic activity, does not enable production of pyocyanin, and does not interact with RhIR *in vitro*. Thus, we suspect that PqsE residues R243, R246, and R247 are involved in forming the surface by which PqsE interacts with RhIR.

RhIR is a ligand-dependent, LuxR-type receptor, and the mechanism of PqsE-dependent regulation of RhIR is apparently unique among this family of receptors. To the best of our knowledge, PqsE is the first protein identified as a binding partner which enhances the affinity of a LuxR-type receptor for DNA (Fig. 4). Existing structures of PgsE guided the mutagenesis approach outlined in the present work. However, no structure of RhIR exists and we have not yet identified RhIR variants which disrupt the interaction with PqsE. Furthermore, the conformational changes RhIR undergoes upon binding to PqsE have not been defined and will be key to understanding the molecular basis for PqsE-enhancement of RhIR recognition of promoter DNA. Structural studies of catalytically inactive PqsE that can fully or partially interact with RhIR, alone and in complex with RhIR, would be informative for discovering how changes in the catalytic pocket can affect the PqsE-RhIR interface. We are attempting to obtain such structures now. Comparisons of structures of other LuxR-type receptors, without DNA and bound to DNA, have revealed that the C-terminal DNA-binding domains (DBD) can adopt multiple conformations relative to the N-terminal ligand-binding domains (LBD) via a flexible linker region, and such rearrangement is key to DNA binding (40-43). The inhibitor-bound state of one particular LuxR-type receptor, CviR from Chromobacterium violaceum, showed that the DBD adopted a "closed" conformation, such that the helices responsible for making contact with DNA were situated in a configuration that made DNA binding impossible (44). This structure also pointed to flexibility in the linker region connecting the LBD to the DBD as driving receptor affinity for DNA. We hypothesize that the DBD of RhIR:C₄HSL, when not bound to PqsE, adopts different conformations in solution, but is biased toward a "closed" non-DNA-binding confirmation. Such a scenario would track with the known low affinity RhIR:mBTL exhibits for DNA in vitro. For example, RhIR:mBTL has a K_d (dissociation constant) = 30 nM for the so-called *rhl* box sequence, whereas LasR: $3OC_{12}HSL$ binds the analogous las box with a K_d of 11 pM (16, 34). Perhaps, upon binding to PqsE in vivo, RhIR:C4HSL adopts the "open" conformation and becomes capable of higher-affinity DNA binding. It is interesting that RhIR possesses a mechanism to increase its intrinsic affinity for promoter DNA. By interacting with PqsE at some promoters but not others, RhIR could expand the range of levels with which it activates target gene expression. We anticipate that additional regulatory mechanisms could control PgsE-RhIR:C₄HSL complex formation to further modulate RhIR-dependent gene expression.

In addition to establishing the role of PqsE in regulating RhIR-dependent transcription, our RNA-seq analyses provided initial insights into the role PqsE-driven catalysis plays in gene regulation, presumably through its proposed function of converting 2-ABA-CoA to 2-ABA. Indeed, our data (Fig. 5c) show that we can uncouple the enzymatic and nonenzymatic functions of PqsE. As shown in the results, *pqsA* expression is activated by PqsE catalytic activity and repressed by PqsE interaction with RhIR:C₄HSL (Fig. 5d). To reconcile these findings, we hypothesize that PQS biosynthesis is enhanced as a consequence of PqsE enzyme function, despite PqsE not being absolutely required for PQS synthesis. Once PQS is made, it binds to PqsR, which launches the autoinduction feedback loop that boosts *pqsABCDE* expression. Thus, autoinduction increases PqsE production and drives increased PqsE-RhIR:

C₄HSL complex formation, assuming that RhIR:C₄HSL is not limiting. PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL represses *pqsABCDE* expression, an activity that relies on the nonenzymatic function of PqsE (Fig. 5d). It is possible that PqsR:PQS and PqsE-RhIR:C₄HSL compete for binding to the *pqsABCDE* promoter. If so, the outcome of this competition would be dictated by the amount and affinity of substrate available to PqsE for catalysis to funnel precursors into the PQS biosynthetic pathway versus the amount and affinity of RhIR:C₄HSL for PqsE to bind. Dual and opposing regulation of *pqsABCDE* by the two distinct PqsE functions could control the timing and the strength of expression of the RhI- and PqsR-controlled regulons in the *P. aeruginosa* QS hierarchy.

Our characterization of the PqsE-RhlR interface provides the molecular basis for regulation of RhlR by PqsE; moreover, it demonstrates that PqsE-RhlR:C₄HSL complex formation, not PqsE-directed catalysis, is primarily responsible for the transcriptional activation of genes involved in pyocyanin production and other traits important for pathogenesis. Thus, the PqsE-RhlR interface discovered here represents a new candidate for targeting with small molecule modulation. Compounds that disrupt the PqsE-RhlR interaction should suppress virulence. Additionally, the PqsE-RhlR interaction is not required for growth, as strains harboring PqsE(NI) did not exhibit growth defects. Thus, potential inhibitors of the PqsE-RhlR interaction should not be as vulnerable to resistance-promoting mutations as targets of traditional antibiotics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strain and plasmid construction. Standard cloning and molecular biology techniques were used to generate *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* overexpression plasmids. Introduction of genes encoding PqsE variants onto the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome was achieved using previously published protocols (34, 45). In brief, the entire *pqsE* coding sequence, in addition to 500 bp of upstream and downstream DNA, were amplified, digested, ligated into the pEXG2 suicide vector, transformed into *E. coli* SM10 λpir , and conjugated into the appropriate *P. aeruginosa* strain. All strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in Table S2. Primers are shown in Table S3 in the supplemental material.

Affinity purification pulldown. Overnight cultures of *E. coli* strains carrying overexpression vectors for producing variant 6x-His-PqsE proteins or RhIR were diluted 1:100 and grown at 37°C with shaking to an OD₆₀₀ = 1.0. Protein production was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl- β -o-thiogalactopyranoside IPTG. Ten μ M mBTL was added to the strain producing RhIR. Identical conditions were used for production of RhIR* in *E. coli* except that mBTL was omitted. After 4 h, cells were pelleted by centrifugation and the pellets frozen until lysis. Lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl [pH 8.0]) was added in proportion to the pellet size (100 μ L/5 mL culture). Resuspended pellets were transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and lysed via sonication, followed by centrifugation at 15,000 × g at 4°C for 20 min. Equal amounts of supernatant fractions from PqsE- and RhIR-containing cells were combined, and 10 μ L was saved for input assessment. Invitrogen MagneHis Ni-Particle beads (20 μ L per sample) were washed with lysis buffer and resuspended in lysis buffer at 100 μ L/sample, followed by mixing with the above protein samples for 1 h at 4°C with inversion. Samples were subjected to brief centrifugation at 250 × g, placed on a magnetic rack, and the clarified supernatants aspirated. Samples were washed three times with lysis buffer and fox-His-protein was eluted with two washes of 20 μ L of 1 M imidazole. Eluted protein was mixed with 2× sample buffer and loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels. Gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue and imaged on a Bio-Rad EZ-Doc gel imager.

PqsE-RhIR coupled prhIA-luxCDABE assay. pqsE and rhIR were expressed from the *lac* promoter and the pBAD promoter, respectively, in an *E. coli* strain containing the prhIA-luxCDADE fusion. C₄HSL (Cayman Chemical) was supplied at 500 nM. The protocol has been described previously (37).

Enzyme assay measuring MU-butyrate hydrolysis. PqsE enzymatic activity was measured as described previously, with modifications (37). Briefly, PqsE proteins were incubated at 125 nM with 2 μ M 4-methylumbelliferyl butyrate (MU-butyrate) in assay buffer (50 mM Tricine, 0.01% Triton X-100 [pH 8.5]). Fluorescence (ex: 360 nm, em: 450 nm) was immediately measured in a plate reader (BioTek) at 30 s intervals for 30 min. The hydrolysis rate was calculated over the initial 3 min of the reaction.

PqsE and PqsE-RhIR enzyme kinetics. Samples of PqsE and PqsE in complex with RhIR:mBTL were prepared via pulldown on Ni-NTA resin as described previously (37). The eluted samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE along with a dilution series of purified PqsE protein to determine the concentration of PqsE in each sample (Fig. S2b). The PqsE and PqsE-RhIR:mBTL samples were diluted to a final assay concentration of 125 nM PqsE and placed in wells of an opaque 384-well plate (Corning); subsequently, MU-butyrate (Sigma) was added at 10 μ M and a series of 2-fold dilutions was made. Fluorescence was immediately measured at 30 s intervals for 20 min, and initial hydrolysis rates were determined over the first 3 min of the assay. Results are reported as RFU/min (RFU, Relative Fluorescence Units). The *K*_m was determined for PqsE and for the PqsE-RhIR:mBTL complex using Prism 9.0 software. The melting temperature (*T*_m) of each purified PqsE protein was determined as described previously (37).

Pyocyanin assay. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in 3 mL LB containing 400 μ g/mL carbenicillin in the cases of strains harboring plasmid-borne *pqsE* genes on pUCP18. Dilutions were into 3 mL LB in cases of strains harboring *pqsE* alleles carried on the chromosome. All strains were grown and pyocyanin was measured as previously described (34). *P. aeruginosa rhlR* overexpression. Overnight cultures of *P. aeruginosa* carrying pBAD-*rhlR* were diluted 1:100 in LB with carbenicillin (400 μg/mL) and grown at 37°C with shaking for 2 h, until OD₆₀₀ = 1.0. *rhlR* expression was induced by the addition of 0.1% arabinose and 10 μM C₄HSL, followed by 4 h growth. The cells were pelleted at 8,600 × g for 5 min, and the pellets frozen at -80°C until lysis. Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0]) and lysed via sonication. Suspensions were subjected to centrifugation at 18,000 × g at 4°C for 30 min. Supernatants were collected, mixed 1:1 with 2× sample buffer, and loaded onto SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad). Protein was transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (Bio-Rad) membranes at 100 V for 50 min. Blocking was performed with 1× phosphate-buffered saline with Tween 20 (PBST) and 5% milk. Primary α-RhlR and α-PqsE polyclonal antibodies from rabbit (Cambridge Antibodies) were incubated with membrane overnight using 1:1,000 dilutions; this was followed by incubation with goat α-rabbit IgG2b antibody which was cross adsorbed with secondary antibody solutions were made in PBST with 5% milk.

Protein production and purification. Two separate *E. coli* cultures, one carrying the plasmid containing the gene encoding WT *6x-His-pqsE* or the gene specifying a *6x-His-pqsE* mutant and the other carrying *rhlR* under the control of the T7 promoter, were grown overnight in LB containing kanamycin (50 μ g/mL for *pqsE* expression) or ampicillin (100 μ g/mL for *rhlR* expression). Cultures were diluted 1:100 in fresh medium and grown at 37°C for 4 h. At OD₆₀₀ = 1.0, protein production was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG and the cultures were incubated at room temperature (RT) for another 4 h. 100 μ M mBTL was added to the cultures of cells producing RhIR. Cells were harvested at 8,600 × *g* and the pellets were frozen until protein purification. Due to differences in protein production levels between PqsE and RhIR, 4 L of cultures producing untagged RhIR were grown for every 1 L of culture producing 6x-His-PqsE. Pellets were mixed and incubated with Ni-NTA resin (New England Biolabs) for 2 h. Complexes were eluted with lysis buffer containing 250 mM imidazole and subjected to separation on a Superdex-200 column equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCI and 150 mM NaCI (pH 8.0). PqsE-RhIR:mBTL complex purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay. PqsE and RhIR:mBTL concentrations were standardized to their relative concentrations in the PqsE-RhIR:mBTL complex. EMSA reactions were comprised of 17 μ L EMSA buffer (200 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 250 μ g/mL bovine serum albumin, 50 mM NaCl, 5 nM EDTA, 5 μ M MgCl₂, 5 μ M dithiothreitol [pH 8.0]), 2 μ L of protein dilution, and 1 μ L of 10 ng/ μ L *rhIA* promoter DNA. The reactions were initiated and incubated at 30°C for 15 min. One μ L of Novex Hi-Density TBE 5× sample buffer (ThermoFisher) was mixed with 9 μ L of the EMSA reaction and loaded on a 2.5% agarose gel made in 0.5× TB buffer. Electrophoresis was performed in 0.5× TB buffer at 150 V for 60 min followed by washing at RT with 50 mL of 0.5× TB buffer three times for 15 min, and visualized on a Bio-Rad EZ-Doc gel imager (ex: 495 nm, em: 537 nm). The increase in affinity was determined based on the amount of RhIR protein required to shift 100% of the *rhIA* promoter DNA in the EMSA.

RNA extraction, sequencing, and data analysis. Overnight cultures of P. aeruginosa strains were back diluted 1:100 in 25 mL and incubated for 5 h at 37°C with shaking. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and pellets were frozen until RNA extraction. Frozen pellets were resuspended in 800 μ L TRIzol (ThermoFisher) and these preparations were added to \sim 100 μ L silica beads in screw-cap tubes. Samples were homogenized with a bead beater. A volume of 100 μ L chloroform was added to each sample, the samples were shaken vigorously by hand for 15 s, and the preparations were subjected to centrifugation at 12,000 \times g for 15 min at 4°C. Nucleic acid-containing fractions were transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube and 500 μ L isopropanol was added. Samples were mixed briefly and subjected to centrifugation at $12,000 \times q$ for 10 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatants were aspirated. Pellets were resuspended in 1 mL 70% ethanol and subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 \times g for 5 min at 4°C, followed by aspiration of the ethanol. Pellets were air-dried until traces of ethanol had evaporated. Pellets were resuspended in 50 µL nuclease-free water and incubated briefly at 37°C for solubilization. DNA was depleted from 3 μ g of each RNA sample using the TURBO DNase kit containing SUPERase-in RNase Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher) at 37°C for 30 min in 30 µl total reaction volumes. A volume of 3 µL DNase Inactivation slurry (Thermo Fisher) was added to each sample, followed by incubation at RT for 5 min with shaking. Samples were subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 imes g for 2 min. A 25-µL volume of supernatant was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube and these samples were frozen at -80°C until cDNA library preparation. cDNA libraries were made with the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Preparation Kit for Illumina according to the manufacturer's protocol (New England Biolabs). AMPure XP purification beads (Beckman Coulter) were used at the ratios indicated by the manufacturer's protocol, except for the final elution in which 0.8× of the manufacturer's recommended elution volume was used. Paired-end libraries (50 bp \times 30 bp) were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeg platform. Reads were quality-trimmed and any remaining adapters were removed by the bbduk function from BBtools v38.86 (https://sourceforge.net/ projects/bbmap/), which required reads to be a minimum of 15 bp and the average read quality to be 20. Reads were mapped to the reference assembly CP034244.1 (P. aeruginosa UCBPP-PA14) by BWA v.0.7.17 (46) and the number of reads spanning coding sequences was extracted using the multiBamCov function from Bedtools v.2.29.2 (47). Differentially expressed genes were identified by DESeq2 (48) in R V.4.4.1 (http://www .R-project.org). Genes with an adjusted P value of \leq 0.5 were considered to be differentially expressed.

RT-PCR. One μ g of DNA-depleted samples of mRNA was incubated with random hexamers (Integrated DNA Technologies) at 65°C for 5 min and the mixtures transferred to ice. cDNA was prepared with a SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen) in total reaction volumes of 20 μ L. SYBR Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used for RT-PCR. Briefly, 2× SYBR Select was mixed with primers (200 nM final concentration) (Table S3) and 18 μ I were aliquoted per well. Finally, 20- μ L cDNA reactions were diluted 1:5

and 2 μ L added per well. A 7500 Fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) and software (v2.3) were used for cycle threshold quantification and relative gene expression analysis.

Data availability. Sequencing data have been deposited at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the submission number SUB10815364 and the NCBI BioProject number PRJNA789860.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1, XLSX file, 1.2 MB. SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 2, XLSX file, 0.01 MB. SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 3, XLSX file, 0.01 MB. SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 4, PDF file, 1.3 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the Bassler and Paczkowski groups for insightful ideas about this research. We thank the Derbyshire-Gray, Wade, Ghosh, and Ojha groups at the Wadsworth Center for sharing reagents and valuable feedback about this research. We thank the dedicated staff scientists at the Advanced Genomics Technologies Center, Bioinformatics & Statistics Core, and Media & Tissue Core facilities at the Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant no. F32GM134583 (I.R.T.), the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, National Science Foundation grant no. MCB-2043238, National Institutes of Health grant no. 2R37GM065859 (B.L.B.), New York Community Trust Foundation grant no. P19-000454, and Cystic Fibrosis Foundation grant no. 00833G221 (J.E.P.).

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2019. Biggest threats and data: 2019 AR threats report. CDC, Atlanta, GA.
- Albus AM, Pesci EC, Runyen-Janecky LJ, West SEH, Iglewski BH. 1997. Vfr controls quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 179: 3928–3935. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.12.3928-3935.1997.
- Bassler BL, Wright M, Showalter RE, Silverman MR. 1993. Intercellular signalling in Vibrio harveyi: sequence and function of genes regulating expression of luminescence. Mol Microbiol 9:773–786. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 -2958.1993.tb01737.x.
- Engebrecht J, Nealson K, Silverman M. 1983. Bacterial bioluminescence: isolation and genetic analysis of functions from *Vibrio fischeri*. Cell 32: 773–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(83)90063-6.
- Kaplan HB, Greenberg EP. 1985. Diffusion of autoinducer is involved in regulation of the Vibrio fischeri luminescence system. J Bacteriol 163: 1210–1214. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.163.3.1210-1214.1985.
- Eberhard A, Burlingame AL, Eberhard C, Kenyon GL, Nealson KH, Oppenheimer NJ. 1981. Structural identification of autoinducer of *Photobacterium fischeri* luciferase. Biochemistry 20:2444–2449. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00512a013.
- Pearson JP, Gray KM, Passador L, Tucker KD, Eberhard A, Iglewski BH, Greenberg EP. 1994. Structure of the autoinducer required for expression of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91: 197–201. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.1.197.
- Davies DG, Parsek MR, Pearson JP, Iglewski BH, Costerton JW, Greenberg EP. 1998. The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. Science 280:295–298. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .280.5361.295.
- Latifi A, Winson MK, Foglino M, Bycroft BW, Stewart GS, Lazdunski A, Williams P. 1995. Multiple homologues of LuxR and Luxl control expression of virulence determinants and secondary metabolites through quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. Mol Microbiol 17:333–343. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-2958.1995.mmi_17020333.x.
- Gambello MJ, Iglewski BH. 1991. Cloning and characterization of the *Pseudo-monas aeruginosa* lasR gene, a transcriptional activator of elastase expression. J Bacteriol 173:3000–3009. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.9.3000-3009.1991.

- Brint JM, Ohman DE. 1995. Synthesis of multiple exoproducts in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa is under the control of RhIR-RhII, another set of regulators in strain PAO1 with homology to the autoinducer-responsive LuxR-LuxI family. J Bacteriol 177:7155–7163. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.24.7155-7163.1995.
- Pearson JP, Pesci EC, Iglewski BH. 1997. Roles of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* las and rhl quorum-sensing systems in control of elastase and rhamnolipid biosynthesis genes. J Bacteriol 179:5756–5767. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb .179.18.5756-5767.1997.
- Winson MK, Camara M, Latifi A, Foglino M, Chhabra SR, Daykin M, Bally M, Chapon V, Salmond GP, Bycroft BW. 1995. Multiple N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone signal molecules regulate production of virulence determinants and secondary metabolites in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:9427–9431. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.20.9427.
- Seed PC, Passador L, Iglewski BH. 1995. Activation of the *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa lasl gene by LasR and the *Pseudomonas* autoinducer PAI: an autoinduction regulatory hierarchy. J Bacteriol 177:654–659. https://doi .org/10.1128/jb.177.3.654-659.1995.
- Kiratisin P, Tucker KD, Passador L. 2002. LasR, a transcriptional activator of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence genes, functions as a multimer. J Bacteriol 184:4912–4919. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.17.4912-4919.2002.
- Schuster M, Urbanowski ML, Greenberg EP. 2004. Promoter specificity in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing revealed by DNA binding of purified LasR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:15833–15839. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.0407229101.
- Pearson JP, Passador L, Iglewski BH, Greenberg EP. 1995. A second N-acylhomoserine lactone signal produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:1490–1494. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.5.1490.
- McKnight SL, Iglewski BH, Pesci EC. 2000. The *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal regulates rhl quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 182:2702–2708. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.10.2702-2708.2000.
- Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Greenberg EP. 2001. Regulation of gene expression by cell-to-cell communication: acyl-homoserine lactone quorum sensing. Annu Rev Genet 35:439–468. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.35 .102401.090913.



- Schuster M, Lostroh CP, Ogi T, Greenberg EP. 2003. Identification, timing, and signal specificity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-controlled genes: a transcriptome analysis. J Bacteriol 185:2066–2079. https://doi .org/10.1128/JB.185.7.2066-2079.2003.
- Lee J, Zhang L. 2015. The hierarchy quorum sensing network in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Protein Cell 6:26–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-014-0100-x.
- Schuster M, Greenberg EP. 2007. Early activation of quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* reveals the architecture of a complex regulon. BMC Genomics 8:287. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-8-287.
- Wade DS, Calfee MW, Rocha ER, Ling EA, Engstrom E, Coleman JP, Pesci EC. 2005. Regulation of *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal synthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 187:4372–4380. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.187.13.4372-4380.2005.
- Higgins S, Heeb S, Rampioni G, Fletcher MP, Williams P, Camara M. 2018. Differential regulation of the phenazine biosynthetic operons by quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1-N. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8: 252. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00252.
- McGrath S, Wade DS, Pesci EC. 2004. Dueling quorum sensing systems in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* control the production of the *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal (PQS). FEMS Microbiol Lett 230:27–34. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S0378-1097(03)00849-8.
- Xiao G, He J, Rahme LG. 2006. Mutation analysis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mvfR and pqsABCDE gene promoters demonstrates complex quorum-sensing circuitry. Microbiology (Reading) 152:1679–1686. https://doi .org/10.1099/mic.0.28605-0.
- Gallagher LA, McKnight SL, Kuznetsova MS, Pesci EC, Manoil C. 2002. Functions required for extracellular quinolone signaling by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 184:6472–6480. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.23 .6472-6480.2002.
- Diggle SP, Winzer K, Chhabra SR, Worrall KE, Cámara M, Williams P. 2003. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quinolone signal molecule overcomes the cell density-dependency of the quorum sensing hierarchy, regulates rhldependent genes at the onset of stationary phase and can be produced in the absence of LasR. Mol Microbiol 50:29–43. https://doi.org/10.1046/j .1365-2958.2003.03672.x.
- Pesci EC, Milbank JB, Pearson JP, McKnight S, Kende AS, Greenberg EP, Iglewski BH. 1999. Quinolone signaling in the cell-to-cell communication system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 11229–11234. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.20.11229.
- Brouwer S, Pustelny C, Ritter C, Klinkert B, Narberhaus F, Häussler S. 2014. The PqsR and RhlR transcriptional regulators determine the level of *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal synthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by producing two different pqsABCDE mRNA lsoforms. J Bacteriol 196:4163–4171. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02000-14.
- Drees SL, Fetzner S. 2015. PqsE of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* acts as pathwayspecific thioesterase in the biosynthesis of alkylquinolone signaling molecules. Chem Biol 22:611–618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2015.04.012.
- Mukherjee S, Moustafa DA, Stergioula V, Smith CD, Goldberg JB, Bassler BL. 2018. The PqsE and RhIR proteins are an autoinducer synthase-receptor pair that control virulence and biofilm development in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E9411–E9418. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1814023115.
- Farrow JM, 3rd, Sund ZM, Ellison ML, Wade DS, Coleman JP, Pesci EC. 2008. PqsE functions independently of PqsR-*Pseudomonas* quinolone signal and enhances the rhl quorum-sensing system. J Bacteriol 190: 7043–7051. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00753-08.

- McCready AR, Paczkowski JE, Cong J-P, Bassler BL. 2019. An autoinducerindependent rhlr quorum-sensing receptor enables analysis of rhlr regulation. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007820. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007820.
- Groleau M-C, de Oliveira Pereira T, Dekimpe V, Déziel E. 2020. PqsE is essential for RhIR-dependent quorum sensing regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. mSystems 5:e00194-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00194-20.
- 36. Zender M, Witzgall F, Drees SL, Weidel E, Maurer CK, Fetzner S, Blankenfeldt W, Empting M, Hartmann RW. 2016. Dissecting the multiple roles of PqsE in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence by discovery of small tool compounds. ACS Chem Biol 11:1755–1763. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00156.
- 37. Taylor IR, Paczkowski JE, Jeffrey PD, Henke BR, Smith CD, Bassler BL. 2021. Inhibitor mimetic mutations in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PqsE enzyme reveal a protein-protein interaction with the quorum-sensing receptor RhIR that is vital for virulence factor production. ACS Chem Biol 16: 740–752. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.1c00049.
- Rampioni G, Pustelny C, Fletcher MP, Wright VJ, Bruce M, Rumbaugh KP, Heeb S, Cámara M, Williams P. 2010. Transcriptomic analysis reveals a global alkyl-quinolone-independent regulatory role for PqsE in facilitating the environmental adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to plant and animal hosts. Environ Microbiol 12:1659–1673. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02214.x.
- Paczkowski JE, Mukherjee S, McCready AR, Cong J-P, Aquino CJ, Kim H, et al. 2017. Flavonoids suppress *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence through allosteric inhibition of quorum-sensing Receptors. J Biol Chem 292: 4064–4076. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.770552.
- Zhang R-g, Pappas KM, Pappas T, Brace JL, Miller PC, Oulmassov T, Molyneaux JM, Anderson JC, Bashkin JK, Winans SC, Joachimiak A. 2002. Structure of a bacterial quorum-sensing transcription factor complexed with pheromone and DNA. Nature 417:971–974. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature00833.
- Bottomley MJ, Muraglia E, Bazzo R, Carfi A. 2007. Molecular insights into quorum sensing in the human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from the structure of the virulence regulator LasR bound to its autoinducer. J Biol Chem 282:13592–13600. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700556200.
- 42. Yao Y, Martinez-Yamout MA, Dickerson TJ, Brogan AP, Wright PE, Dyson HJ. 2006. Structure of the *Escherichia coli* quorum sensing protein SdiA: activation of the folding switch by acyl homoserine lactones. J Mol Biol 355:262–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.10.041.
- Kim T, Duong T, Wu C-a, Choi J, Lan N, Kang SW, Lokanath NK, Shin DWoo, Hwang H-Y, Kim KK. 2014. Structural insights into the molecular mechanism of *Escherichia coli* SdiA, a quorum-sensing receptor. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 70:694–707. https://doi.org/10.1107/S1399004713032355.
- Chen G, Swem LR, Swem DL, Stauff DL, O'Loughlin CT, Jeffrey PD, Bassler BL, Hughson FM. 2011. A strategy for antagonizing quorum sensing. Mol Cell 42:199–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.003.
- Schweizer HP. 1991. Escherichia-Pseudomonas shuttle vectors derived from pUC18/19. Gene 97:109–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(91)90016-5.
- Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25:1754–1760. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btp324.
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26:841–842. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btq033.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550–521. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.