



A systems approach using Diversity Outbred mice distinguishes the cardiovascular effects and genetics of circulating GDF11 from those of its homolog, myostatin

Abigail E. Starcher,¹ Kristen Peissig,¹ James B. Stanton,² Gary A. Churchill (b),³ Dunpeng Cai,⁴ Joshua T. Maxwell,⁵ Arthur Grider,¹ Kim Love,⁶ Shi-You Chen,⁴ Amanda E. Coleman,⁷ Emma Strauss,¹ and Robert Pazdro^{1,*}

¹Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA,

²Department of Pathology, University of Georgia College of Veterinary Medicine, Athens, GA 30602, USA,

³The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME 04609, USA

⁴Department of Physiology, University of Georgia College of Veterinary Medicine, Athens, GA 30602, USA

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Emory School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA,

⁶K. R. Love Quantitative Consulting and Collaboration, Athens, GA 30605, USA, and

⁷Department of Small Animal Medicine & Surgery, University of Georgia College of Veterinary Medicine, Athens, GA 30602, USA

*Corresponding author: Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Georgia, 305 Sanford Drive, Athens, GA 30602, USA. Email: rpazdro@uga.edu

Abstract

Growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) is a member of the TGF- β protein family that has been implicated in the development of cardiac hypertrophy. While some studies have suggested that systemic GDF11 protects against cardiomyocyte enlargement and left ventricular wall thickening, there remains uncertainty about the true impact of GDF11 and whether its purported effects are actually attributable to its homolog myostatin. This study was conducted to resolve the statistical and genetic relationships among GDF11, myostatin, and cardiac hypertrophy in a mouse model of human genetics, the Diversity Outbred (DO) stock. In the DO population, serum GDF11 concentrations positively correlated with cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area, while circulating myostatin levels were negatively correlated with body weight, heart weight, and left ventricular wall thickness and mass. Genetic analyses revealed that serum GDF11 concentrations are modestly heritable (0.23) and identified a suggestive peak on murine chromosome 3 in close proximity to the gene *Hey1*, a transcriptional repressor. Bioinformatic analyses located putative binding sites for the HEY1 protein upstream of the *Gdf11* gene in the mouse and human genomes. In contrast, serum myostatin concentrations were more heritable (0.57) than GDF11 concentrations, and mapping identified a significant locus near the gene *FoxO1*, which has binding motifs within the promoter regions of human and mouse myostatin genes. Together, these findings more precisely define the independent cardiovascular effects of GDF11 and myostatin, as well as their distinct regulatory pathways. *Hey1* is a compelling candidate for the regulation of GDF11 and will be further evaluated in future studies.

Keywords: growth differentiation factor 11; myostatin; cardiac hypertrophy; Diversity Outbred

Introduction

The heart adapts to excessive loading stresses by eccentric or concentric hypertrophy. Eccentric cardiac hypertrophy, a typical response to excessive ventricular preload, is characterized by dilatation of the affected ventricular chamber (Mihl *et al.* 2008), whereas concentric cardiac hypertrophy, a typical response to excessive ventricular afterload, is associated with increases in cardiomyocyte size and left ventricular wall thickness—features that, while initially adaptive, may ultimately contribute to the development of heart failure (Müller and Dhalla 2013). Heart failure is a major public health problem that currently affects 6.5 million Americans (Benjamin *et al.* 2019), roughly 3 million of whom will die within the next five years from related complications (Chen-Scarabelli *et al.* 2015). The cumulative prevalence of cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure is projected to increase dramatically in the coming decades, driven by a rapid population increase in those aged 65 and over, the group most profoundly affected by these conditions (Azad and Lemay 2014).

In recent years, evidence has shown that age-related cardiac hypertrophy is governed, at least in part, by systemic factors. Using heterochronic parabiosis, Loffredo and colleagues demonstrated that blood from young mice reverses signs of cardiac hypertrophy in aged animals (Loffredo *et al.* 2013), an effect seemingly mediated by the circulating protein growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11), a member of the transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) superfamily (Loffredo *et al.* 2013; Poggioli *et al.* 2016). Circulating GDF11 levels were found to decrease during aging, and restoring GDF11 in aged mice improved histopathological indicators of cardiac hypertrophy, recapitulating the effect of young blood (Loffredo *et al.* 2013). However, the findings of subsequent studies have called into question the true impact of GDF11 on cardiac hypertrophy (Egerman *et al.* 2015; Rodgers and

Received: June 07, 2021. Accepted: August 05, 2021

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Eldridge 2015; Smith et al. 2015; Rodgers 2016; Schafer et al. 2016), introducing the possibility that GDF11 has a pro-hypertrophic effect, or even no effect at all. Discrepant findings may be attributed in part to methodological limitations of many studies, with the most notable being the use of antibody-based methods that are fundamentally unable to distinguish between GDF11 and its homolog, myostatin (Egerman et al. 2015; Poggioli et al. 2016). Both proteins belong to the activin/myostatin subclass of the TGF- β superfamily, and these factors share 90% sequence identity within their signaling domains (Walker et al. 2016; Fan et al. 2017). Their disulfide-linked dimer ligands bind the same ActRIIA, ActRIIB, ALK4, and ALK5 receptors, and induce phosphorylation of SMAD2/3 transcription factors (Fan et al. 2017). Though myostatin has an established, anti-hypertrophic effect on muscle (Tobin and Celeste 2005; Carnac et al. 2007; Morissette et al. 2009; Rodriguez et al. 2014), including a direct regulatory effect on cardiomyocytes (Lee and McPherron 1999; Morissette et al. 2006; McKoy et al. 2007; Biesemann et al. 2014), it still remains unclear whether GDF11 has the same, or distinct, effects on cardiac hypertrophy (Smith et al. 2015; Fan et al. 2017).

This study was conducted to precisely resolve the relationships among GDF11, myostatin, and cardiac hypertrophy, while simultaneously comparing their genetic architectures to uncover any mechanisms that link them. Circulating GDF11 and myostatin levels were quantified via mass spectrometry to distinguish between these factors in the Diversity Outbred (DO) stock, a translationally relevant model of human genetic diversity, vastly expanding upon previous results gathered using inbred mice. Overall, we discovered unique relationships among GDF11, myostatin, and indicators of cardiac hypertrophy, as well as distinct loci and candidate genes behind each phenotype, and our results point to molecular pathways that will be interrogated in future studies of GDF11 and the heart.

Materials and methods

Animals

DO mice (N = 225) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (J: DO, JAX stock #009376) (Churchill *et al.* 2012), and arrived at the University of Georgia at about 5 weeks of age. All animals were housed under conventional conditions in the animal care facilities and received humane care in compliance with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care formulated by the National Society for Medical Research and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The cohort contained an approximately equal number of males and females. Mice were maintained on a 12-h light-dark cycle and were given *ad libitum* access to water and standard chow (LabDiet, St. Louis, MO, USA, product 5053). Data from eight mice that died or were euthanized before the end of the study due to injuries sustained in fights or other health issues were not included in final histological analyses.

Blood sampling and protein quantification

Blood was collected from the submandibular vein, according to protocols approved by the University of Georgia Animal Care and Use Committee. Serum was isolated and sent to the Brigham Research Assay Core at Brigham and Women's Hospital where GDF11 and myostatin levels were quantified by LC-MS/MS. Briefly, the serum was denatured, reduced, alkylated, and subjected to pH-based fractionation via cation ion exchange SPE, then the elution fraction was digested with trypsin. The concentrated peptide mixture was eluted by liquid chromatography followed by mass spectrometric analysis. Unique proteotypic peptides from GDF11 and myostatin as well as heavy-labeled unique peptides were used for quantification. Batch effects for GDF11 and myostatin were corrected using the ComBat algorithm.

Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed on DO mice at 16 weeks of age using a VisualSonics Vevo 1100 Imaging System (Toronto, Canada) with a 30-MHz probe. Mice were anesthetized with inhaled 1-2% isoflurane in oxygen and placed in a supine position on a heating platform. M-mode recordings of the left ventricle were obtained from a short-axis view at the level of the mitral valve chordal attachments to the papillary muscles. From these images, measurements from the average of 3-5 consecutive beats were used to calculate the following parameters: interventricular septal thickness at end-diastole and end-systole (IVSd and IVSs, respectively), left ventricular internal diameter at end-diastole and end-systole (LVIDd and LVIDs, respectively) and left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-diastole and end-systole (LVPWd and LVPWs, respectively). Ejection fraction, fractional shortening, left ventricular mass, and LV volume at end-diastole and end-systole (LVVd and LVVs, respectively) were calculated from these measurements. This procedure was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Georgia.

Histopathology

At 5–6 months of age, mice were euthanized, and hearts were isolated and fixed in neutral-buffered 10% formalin for 24 h at room temperature and then paraffin embedded using routine methods. Tissue was serially sectioned and stained using hematoxylin and eosin (for routine histopathologic analysis), Gordon and Sweet's reticulin stain (for determination of cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area), and Masson's trichrome stain (for determination of percent fibrosis). Left ventricular wall thickness, cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area, and percent fibrosis were measured using FIJI software (ImageJ).

Genetic analyses

Tail tips were collected and sent to NEOGEN Genomics (Lincoln, NE) for DNA isolation and genotyping via the Giga Mouse Universal Genotyping Array (GigaMuga) (Morgan et al. 2015), on the Illumina Infinium platform. Genotypes and phenotypic data were imported into the R/qtl2 software for genetic mapping (Broman et al. 2019). Genotype probabilities were calculated based on the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotypes using a hidden Markov Model (Broman and Sen 2009). Mapping analysis was performed to determine the associations between genotype and phenotype and accounted for kinship using the "leave one chromosome out" method (Yang et al. 2014; Broman et al. 2019). Sex was included as a covariate in the genome scans. Statistical significance thresholds were established through permutation tests (Churchill and Doerge 1994). For significant quantitative trait locus (QTL) peaks positions, Bayesian credible intervals were calculated to identify the QTL interval. Genes with expression QTL within those intervals were then identified. Genetic mapping results are reported at a genome-wide adjusted family-wise error rate of 0.05, separately for each trait in the mapping analysis. The genome-wide adjustment is a stringent correct for testing multiple markers in the genetic mapping analysis. We did not apply a correction for mapping multiple traits. We mapped a total of 15 traits, but not all traits are independent, as some represent different normalizations of the same underlying data.

Bioinformatics was used to illuminate likely binding sites for HEY1 and FOXO1 upstream of their putative target genes. Coordinates of the transcription factors were determined in both the human (hg38) and mouse genome (mm10) through the Integrative Genomics Viewer software (version 2.8.2) (Thorvaldsdóttir *et al.* 2013). Most likely binding sites were determined by proximity to the promoter region of the gene of interest, determined by Ensembl (Howe *et al.* 2020), and by acetylation activity seen through the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome. ucsc.edu/, last accessed Aug. 2021) using the Human Assembly Dec. 2013 (GRCh38/hg38) and Mouse Assembly December 2011 (GRCm38/mm10) versions for the human and mouse genomes, respectively.

Statistical analyses

Mass spectrometry data were batch corrected with the Combat algorithm (Johnson *et al.* 2007) prior to analysis. Pearson correlation coefficient was performed on all anthropometric, histological, echocardiogram, and serum data (after normalizing transformations and batch corrections). Correlations were reported as significant at a P-value of less than 0.05. In Tables 1 and 2, we report P-values for the evaluation of 48 and 66 correlation statistics, respectively. The tests are not independent because we report results from separate tests for mice of each sex alongside the pooled test results. For simplicity, we report raw Pvalues without multiple testing adjustments. However, as all test results are presented, it is straightforward to evaluate significance in light of the number of test performed.

Results and discussion

In a genetically and phenotypically diverse population of DO mice (N = 217; Figure 1), we discovered a significant positive correlation between serum GDF11 levels and cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area (r = 0.14, P = 0.046; Table 1). When grouped by sex, no significant correlations were found in males, but in females, a negative relationship between GDF11 and postmortem heart wall thickness (r = -0.20, P = 0.036) emerged. In the 64 DO mice (34 males; 30 females) randomly selected to undergo echocardiography, no significant correlations between GDF11 and measures of heart size or function were noted (Table 2).

Table 1 Relationships among serum GDF11 and myostatin concentrations and indicators of cardiac hypertrophy in DO mice

Phenotype	GDF11 r, P-value			ln(Myostatin) r, P-value		
	Overall ^a	M^b	F ^c	Overall ^a	M ^b	F ^c
ln(Body weight)	-0.016, 0.81	-0.18, 0.069	-0.048, 0.62	-0.34, <0.001*	-0.21, 0.033 [*]	-0.30, 0.001*
ln(Heart weight)	0.042, 0.54	0.011, 0.91	-0.11, 0.26	-0.29, <0.001 [*]	-0.14, 0.15	-0.21, 0.024*
Tibia length	-0.075, 0.28	0.042, 0.67	-0.15, 0.13	-0.046, 0.50	-0.10, 0.29	0.015, 0.88
ln(Heart weight/Body weight)	0.075, 0.27	0.19, 0.053	-0.047, 0.62	0.007, 0.91	0.052, 0.60	0.10, 0.30
ln(Heart weight/Tibia length)	0.065, 0.35	0.003, 0.98	-0.052, 0.59	-0.29, <0.001*	-0.13, 0.20	-0.22, 0.019*
Wall thickness	0.005, 0.94	0.078, 0.43	-0.20, 0.036*	-0.18, 0.008*	-0.034, 0.73	-0.094, 0.33
Cardiomyocyte cross sectional area Percent fibrosis	0.14, 0.046 [*] 0.015, 0.82	0.053, 0.59 -0.012, 0.90	0.12, 0.20 0.054, 0.58	-0.11, 0.10 -0.12, 0.070	-0.005, 0.96 -0.20, 0.040*	0.076, 0.43 -0.035, 0.71
ln(Body weight) ln(Heart weight) Tibia length ln(Heart weight/Body weight) ln(Heart weight/Tibia length) Wall thickness Cardiomyocyte cross sectional area Percent fibrosis	-0.016, 0.81 0.042, 0.54 -0.075, 0.28 0.075, 0.27 0.065, 0.35 0.005, 0.94 0.14, 0.046 [*] 0.015, 0.82	-0.18, 0.069 0.011, 0.91 0.042, 0.67 0.19, 0.053 0.003, 0.98 0.078, 0.43 0.053, 0.59 -0.012, 0.90	-0.048, 0.62 -0.11, 0.26 -0.15, 0.13 -0.047, 0.62 -0.052, 0.59 -0.20, 0.036* 0.12, 0.20 0.054, 0.58	-0.34, <0.001 -0.29, <0.001 -0.046, 0.50 0.007, 0.91 -0.29, <0.001 -0.18, 0.008 -0.11, 0.10 -0.12, 0.070	-0.21, 0.033 [°] -0.14, 0.15 -0.10, 0.29 0.052, 0.60 -0.13, 0.20 -0.034, 0.73 -0.005, 0.96 -0.20, 0.040 [°]	-0.30 -0.21 0.01 0.10 -0.22 -0.09 0.07 -0.03

In DO mice, serum GDF11 and ln(myostatin) levels were quantified by LC-MS/MS and body weight and indicators of cardiac hypertrophy were measured. Statistical parametric correlations between serum GDF11 and myostatin concentrations and other variables were determined via Pearson correlation coefficient, and P-values less than 0.05 were deemed to be statistically significant.

 $^{c}N = 111.$

*Indicates statistical significance.

Table 2 Relationships among serum GDF11 and myostatin concentrations and indicators of heart function in DO mice

Phenotype		GDF11 r, P-value		Myostatin r, P-value			
	Overall ^a	M ^b	F ^c	Overall ^a	M ^b	F ^c	
ln(IVSs)	-0.23, 0.23	-0.020, 0.91	-0.23, 0.23	-0.18, 0.17	-0.23, 0.19	-0.046, 0.81	
IVSd	-0.27, 0.15	0.11, 0.56	-0.27, 0.15	-0.13, 0.33	-0.30, 0.086	0.030, 0.88	
LVIDs	0.046, 0.81	-0.093, 0.61	0.046, 0.81	-0.21, 0.10	0.004, 0.98	-0.33, 0.071	
LVIDd	0.081, 0.67	-0.067, 0.71	0.081, 0.67	-0.23, 0.067	-0.003, 0.99	-0.31, 0.098	
ln(LVPWs)	0.025, 0.90	-0.003, 0.99	0.025, 0.90	-0.085, 0.51	-0.14, 0.46	0.11, 0.57	
ln(LVPWd)	-0.12, 0.53	0.052, 0.77	-0.12, 0.53	-0.091, 0.48	-0.26, 0.14	0.17, 0.38	
Ejection fraction	-0.004, 0.98	0.070, 0.70	-0.004, 0.98	0.12, 0.35	-0.021, 0.91	0.28, 0.14	
Fractional shortening	-0.007, 0.97	0.050, 0.78	-0.007, 0.97	0.11, 0.40	-0.029, 0.87	0.28, 0.14	
LV mass	-0.15, 0.42	0.033, 0.86	-0.15, 0.42	-0.34, 0.006*	-0.38, 0.029*	-0.14, 0.46	
ln(LVVs)	0.064, 0.74	-0.026, 0.88	0.064, 0.74	-0.18, 0.16	0.036, 0.84	-0.35, 0.061	
LVVd	0.062, 0.75	-0.11, 0.55	0.062, 0.75	-0.24, 0.058	-0.030, 0.87	-0.31, 0.10	

A total of 64 mice (34 males; 30 females) were randomly selected for echocardiography at approximately 16 weeks of age. Non-normally distributed data were adjusted by a natural log transformation and statistical correlations between serum GDF11 and myostatin concentrations and measures of heart function were determined via Pearson correlation coefficient. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Myostatin levels were normally distributed in this subset and were therefore not transformed.

 ${}^{a}N = 64.$ ${}^{b}N = 34.$

 $^{c}N = 30.$

*Indicates statistical significance.

IVSd, interventricular septum thickness at end-diastole; IVSs, interventricular septum thickness at end-systole; LVIDd, left ventricular internal dimension at enddiastole; LVIDs, left ventricular internal dimension at end-systole; LVPWd, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-diastole; LVPWs, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-systole; LV Mass, left ventricular mass; LVVd, left ventricular volume at end-diastole; LVVs, left ventricular volume at end-systole.

 $^{{}^{}a}N = 217.$ ${}^{b}N = 106.$



Figure 1 Distributions of cardiac hypertrophy markers and serum concentrations of GDF11 and myostatin in adult DO mice. The plotted distribution of heart weight (A; CI: 181, 194 mg); heart weight, as a percentage of total body weight (B; CI: 0.538, 0.566); heart weight, as a ratio to tibial length (C; CI: 9.64, 10.3); uncorrected serum GDF11 concentrations, expressed as ng/dL (D; CI: 2.92, 3.01); serum myostatin concentrations, expressed as ng/dL (E; CI: 63.2, 69.0); cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area, in μ m²; (F; CI: 118, 124); left ventricular wall thickness, in μ m (G; CI: 1018, 1059), and percent fibrotic area (H; CI: 1.27, 1.36) are displayed in the scatter plots above. Sample histology images are provided to illustrate the ranges in cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area (I, L), left ventricular wall thickness (J, M), and percent fibrotic area (K, N).

In contrast to GDF11, transformed myostatin levels were negatively correlated with several measures of cardiac hypertrophy, including heart weight (natural log transformed; r = -0.29, P < 0.001), heart weight standardized to tibial length (natural log transformed; r = -0.29, P < 0.001), and left ventricular heart wall thickness (r = -0.18, P = 0.008; Table 1). We found that myostatin levels tended to be higher in females [t(215) = 3.72, P < 0.001], and similarly, heart wall thickness tended to be lower in females [t(217) = -8.65, P < 0.001; Table 1]. In addition, we found a negative correlation between myostatin and total body weight (natural log transformed; r = -0.34, P < 0.001), a trend that aligns with past evidence that myostatin negatively regulates body mass (Lee and McPherron 1999; Joulia-Ekaza and Cabello 2006; Lee 2010; Mendias et al. 2015). When separated by sex, males showed a negative correlation between myostatin and total body weight (r = -0.21, P = 0.033), and percent fibrotic area (r = -0.20, P = 0.033)P = 0.040). Females showed a negative relationship between myostatin and total body weight (natural log transformed; P = -0.30, P = 0.001), heart weight (natural log transformed; r = -0.21, P = 0.024), and the ratio of heart weight to tibia length (natural log transformed; r = -0.22, P = 0.019; Table 1). In the DO subset that underwent echocardiography, a significant negative correlation emerged between untransformed myostatin levels and left ventricular mass (LVM; r = -0.34, P = 0.006). When separated by sex, the only significant correlation appeared in males: a negative correlation between serum myostatin and LVM (r = -0.38, P = 0.029; Table 2). Although these data align with the findings of previous studies establishing the anti-hypertrophic effects of myostatin (Morissette et al. 2009; Rodgers et al. 2009), other rodent studies have reported no effect of myostatin on adult and aged heart mass (Cohn et al. 2007), suggesting that the role of myostatin in the heart is complex and may be context-dependent.

These findings provide novel insight into the distinct relationships between GDF11, myostatin, and the heart, and most surprisingly do not support a broadly anti-hypertrophic effect for GDF11. That said, it is essential to put these data into proper context. First, this study assessed DO mice as adult animals aged 5-6 months. As such, the data highlight the fundamental relationships between circulating factors and the heart at a single time point (i.e., adulthood) and may serve as the foundation for future aging studies. Second, LC-MS/MS was used to quantify serum GDF11 and myostatin levels. This technique is highly specific and more sensitive for distinguishing between GDF11 and myostatin than antibody-based methods (Semba et al. 2019; Camparini et al. 2020), yet it provides only one aspect of a more complex system. For example, these proteins may circulate freely in the active form or may be bound to inhibitor proteins, such as GASP-1 and GASP-2 (Lee and Lee 2013), which render them inactive. The methods used in this study measure total circulating concentrations of these proteins, but cannot distinguish between their active and inactive forms. It is possible that the free form of GDF11 has a unique, and stronger, relationship with the heart.

An additional focus of this study involved genetic analyses. We calculated heritabilities for serum GDF11 and myostatin concentrations, which revealed a modest heritability for GDF11 levels (0.23) and a moderate heritability for myostatin levels (0.57). The GDF11 heritability estimation is lower than the estimate (0.75) previously reported by our group, which could be explained in part by the fact that the prior study used an ELISA test that likely failed to fully discriminate between GDF11 and myostatin, resulting in a higher heritability estimate reflecting that of myostatin (Zhou et al. 2016).

High-precision gene mapping was then performed using R/ qtl2 software (Broman et al. 2019), and no significant peaks were found for phenotypes related to heart size or histology in normal adulthood. Yet it should be noted that a prior DO study identified two QTL associated with heart size in the DO stock, one reaching significance ($P \le 0.05$; chromosome 15 at 72.47 Mb) and the other suggestive ($P \le 0.1$; chromosome 10 at 120 Mb) (Shorter et al. 2018). Neither locus overlapped with any QTL found in this study,



Figure 2 Quantitative trait locus mapping of serum GDF11, adjusted for sex, batch, and kinship. Horizontal lines represent permutation testing significant threshold (orange line at P-value = 0.1, red line at P-value = 0.05). (A) The QTL model for GDF11 revealed a suggestive peak (P < 0.1) at Bayesian credible interval 3.039589–9.983782 Mbp, with (B, D) additive allele effects from the contributing mouse strains. (C) Genes located near the causative SNP include *Hey1*. (D) Allele effects at the chromosome 3 peak SNP.

and the lack of heart weight QTL in our study can be attributed to the difference in power (N = 217 vs N = 547). Meanwhile, mapping serum GDF11 levels revealed a suggestive peak (P < 0.1) on murine chromosome 3 within the Bayesian credible interval 3.039589–9.983782 Mbp (Figure 2, A and B). The peak was located in close proximity to the protein-coding gene Hes Related Family BHLH Transcription Factor with YRPW Motif 1 (Hey1; Figure 2, C and D), a member of the hairy and enhancer of split-related (HESR) family of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcriptional repressors (Weber et al. 2015). Proteins in the HESR family repress target genes via epigenetic modification, mediated by Hdac recruitment and resulting in histone deacetylation (Weber et al. 2015). These proteins have been previously linked to cardiovascular development (Fischer et al. 2002; Rutenberg et al. 2006; Fischer and Gessler 2007; Weber et al. 2015), with high expression of Hey bHLH transcription factors, such as HEY1 and HEY2, in atrial

and ventricular cardiomyocytes as well as in the endocardium (Weber et al. 2015). HEY1 in particular promotes heart development by participating in an important signaling cascade for the differentiation of nonchamber atrioventricular canal and inner curvature regions of the heart (Rutenberg et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2007), and regulates expression of other transcription factors involved in cardiac development in vitro (Weber et al. 2015). In the adult mouse, members of the Hey family have shown an antihypertrophic effect on the heart (Xiang et al. 2006). While these findings indirectly support Hey1 as a candidate gene behind circulating GDF11 levels, we also cannot exclude other plausible genes within the same locus, such as Stathmin-2 (Stmn2). Stmn2 is another reasonable candidate as it is expressed in both murine and human heart tissue throughout development and into adulthood (Asp et al. 2019; Bult et al. 2019; Smith et al. 2019).



Figure 3 A model for transcriptional regulation of the GDF11 and myostatin (MSTN) genes. (A) Bioinformatics revealed several HEY1 binding sequences (5'-CACGTG-3') upstream of the Gdf11/GDF11 gene in both humans and mice; the most likely site per species was selected and shown in proximity to the promoter region. (B) Binding sequences of the transcription factor FOXO1 were determined upstream of Mstn/MSTN gene in the human and mouse genomes. The most likely binding motif lies within the promoter regions in both species.

In silico analyses were used to test whether HEY1 has binding sites proximal to the *Gdf11* gene. HEY1 preferentially binds to the canonical E box sequence 5'-CACGTG-3' in both the murine and human genomes (Nakagawa *et al.* 2000; Sun *et al.* 2001; Fischer and Gessler 2007); several HEY1 binding motifs were observed upstream of *Gdf11/GDF11* in both species (Thorvaldsdóttir *et al.* 2013). The binding motif on mouse chromosome 10 nearest to *Gdf11* is located at Chr10:128,898,596–128,898,601, only 1100 base pairs away from the flanking promoter region and 5196 base pairs from the gene itself (Figure 3). In the human genome, the nearest HEY1 binding site to the *GDF11* gene lies on human chromosome 12 (Chr12:55,729,183–55,729,189), located 13,933 base pairs upstream from the GDF11 gene and 12,613 base pairs from the flanking promoter region (Figure 3). Further analysis (human genome hg38 assembly) revealed that this particular HEY1 binding sequence lies within highly active histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27Ac) and trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4Me3) regions, which are epigenetic marks strongly correlated with active transcription (Soutoglou *et al.* 2000; Kent *et al.* 2002). Furthermore, chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) data available through NCBI Genome Data Viewer (Rangwala *et al.* 2021) demonstrated evidence of HEY1 binding activity within the genomic region Chr12:56,122,620–56,123,650 (GRCh37; in HepG2 cells), which contained our predicted HEY1

binding site-following its conversion to the GRCh37 build (Chr12:56,122,967-56,122,973; data not shown). It should be noted that this HEY1 binding site may regulate a neighboring gene, such as CD63 (Chr12:55,725,323–55,729,707). Though the binding sites for HEY1 were located outside of the Gdf11/GDF11 promoter regions in both humans and mice, their proximities to the gene, as well as the acetylation surrounding the motifs-particularly in human DNA—suggest that Hey1 is a plausible candidate gene in the regulation of GDF11 via transcriptional control. We posit that the HEY1 binding site is still located within the upstream regulatory region, especially for mouse Gdf11, and that HEY1 inhibits co-activators from binding to enhancers in the distal regulatory regions to modulate Gdf11 transcription. Additional research is now needed to experimentally validate this model, especially in the context of past studies. For instance, ChIP has been used to define the DNA-binding activity of HEY proteins, and their effects on gene expression (Heisig et al. 2012), yet the current study underscores the need for additional research that specifically focuses on the impact on Gdf11/GDF11 expression. Further, most research supports HEY1 as a transcriptional repressor, but the related hair and enhancer of split-1 (HES1) transcription factor has documented activator activity (Ju et al. 2004), so the anticipated repressor role of HEY1 in this model should also be confirmed.

It must be noted that the QTL peak associated with serum GDF11 levels fell short of the P < 0.05 significance threshold. Since the peak was discovered using N = 217 DO mice, we anticipate that greater mouse numbers would have resulted in a greater level of significance (Gatti *et al.* 2014). Yet with a P < 0.10, the peak is considered highly suggestive and similar to peaks that have been reported in other DO mapping studies (Logan *et al.* 2013; Shorter *et al.* 2018; Schlamp *et al.* 2019), so it should not be discounted. Overall, the QTL peak underlying serum GDF11 concentrations is highly promising, and our collective confidence in the peak will be strengthened by additional exploration and validation in future genetics studies.

In parallel, we conducted genetic mapping of serum myostatin levels and discovered a significant locus (P < 0.05) on murine chromosome 3 within the Bayesian credible interval of 52.26269-52.71985 Mbp (Figure 4, A and B). The peak is located in close proximity to protein-coding gene Forkhead Box O1 (FoxO1; Figure 4, C and D). FOXO1, along with several other Forkhead proteins, plays an essential role in cardiac development (Hosaka et al. 2004; Ronnebaum and Patterson 2010) and appears to be equally vital in maintaining the function of the adult heart (Ni et al. 2007; Ronnebaum and Patterson 2010). Multiple studies have shown that FOXO1 increases myostatin expression in myotubes (Allen and Unterman 2007; Morissette et al. 2009; Beharry et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2017), though one study of trout myotubes found no effect of FOXO1 on myostatin expression (Seiliez et al. 2011). We identified the FOXO1 binding sequence 5'-TTGTTT-3' sites on murine chromosome 1 (Thorvaldsdóttir et al. 2013; Auguste et al. 2018); the most likely site fell within the myostatin (Mstn) gene itself and within the flanking promoter region (Figure 3B). This particular location (Chr1:53,062,323-53,062,328) showed moderate acetylation activity when examined using the UCSC Genome Browser (mm10 assembly), increasing the likelihood that it serves as a site for FOXO1 binding (Soutoglou et al. 2000; Kent et al. 2002). In the human genome, we searched chromosome 2 near the MSTN gene for same motif, TTGTTT, since the Forkhead protein is highly conserved across species (Allen and Unterman 2007), and found that it also fell within the gene's promoter region (Kent et al. 2002; Figure 3B). These findings support a role for FOXO1 in the

regulation of myostatin expression and suggest that genetic variants in or near the FoxO1 gene govern circulating myostatin levels.

In summary, the findings of this study underscore a relatively weak, inconsistent relationship between total serum GDF11 levels and cardiac hypertrophy in a genetically diverse population of adult mice and support a stronger, consistent anti-hypertrophic role for its homolog, myostatin. To our knowledge, this study is the first to identify a candidate genetic regulator of serum GDF11 concentrations in adults. That gene, Hey1, encodes a transcriptional repressor with putative binding sites located in close proximity to the Gdf11/GDF11 gene in the mouse and human genomes. HEY1 is part of the Notch pathway (Niessen and Karsan 2008), a signaling cascade that mediates the proliferation and differentiation of cardiomyocytes as well as remodeling the developed heart under stress (Niessen and Karsan 2008; MacGrogan et al. 2018). These results form the necessary foundation for future studies, which will further interrogate Hey1 as a regulator of GDF11 and cardiovascular disease, and lead to a better understanding of the cardiovascular impact of GDF11 in older adults.

Data availability

The DO stock is available through The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME; https://www.jax.org/strain/009376, last accessed Aug. 2021). The Reagent Table can be found in the Supplementary information on FigShare, as can the raw, uncorrected phenotypic data (Supplementary Table S1), the GeneSeek data containing the genotypes from each mouse (Supplementary File S1), genotype probabilities (Supplementary File S2), and the script used (Supplementary File S3). The phenotype QTL viewers are available at https://churchilllab.jax.org/qtlviewer/pazdrodo heart (last accessed Aug. 2021). Marker information containing the genetic map (cM) and physical map (Mbp) for the GigaMUGA, the eight founder strain genotypes, the GigaMUGA founder genetic maps (cM) and physical maps (Mbp) for each chromosome (Supplementary File S4), and the genetic mapping reports (Supplementary File S5) can also be found on figshare: https:// doi.org/10.25387/g3.14248718.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Ming Shen, Duy Pham, Rebecca Gould, Steven Craig, Isabella Coletta, Andrew Winsauer, Abigayle Simon, Aida Rassam, and Michael Francis for their help with the analyses. The authors also wish to thank Steven Whitford and the staff at the Brigham and Women's Assay Core at Brigham and Women's Hospital (Boston, MA, USA) for conducting serum analyses of GDF11 and myostatin, and Ali Ennis for creating the artwork in this manuscript.

A.E.S. managed project, conducted bioinformatics analyses, and wrote manuscript; K.P. managed mouse colony, phenotypic analyses, and contributed to manuscript; J.B.S. directed histopathology and contributed to study design and manuscript preparation; G.A.C. directed genetic mapping and contributed to study design and manuscript preparation; D.C. and J.T.M managed echocardiography analyses and contributed to the manuscript; A.G. contributed to bioinformatics analyses and manuscript preparation; K.L. directed statistical analyses and contributed to the manuscript; S.Y.C. planned and directed echocardiography and contributed to manuscript; A.E.C. contributed to study



Figure 4 Quantitative trait locus mapping of serum myostatin, adjusted for sex, batch, and kinship. Horizontal lines represent permutation testing significant threshold (orange line at P-value = 0.1, red line at P-value = 0.05). (A) The QTL model for myostatin revealed a significant peak (P < 0.05) at the Bayesian credible interval of 52.26269–52.71985 Mbp, with (B, D) additive allele effects from DO parent strains plotted. (C) Genes located near the associated SNP include FoxO1. (D) Allele effects at the chromosome 3 peak SNP.

design, analyses, and manuscript preparation; E.S. contributed to histopathology and manuscript preparation; R.P. managed project design and implementation, analyses, and manuscript preparation.

Funding

This study was funded by the National Institutes of Health (grant numbers R56 AG053309, R01 GM121551).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Literature cited

Allen DL, Unterman TG. 2007. Regulation of myostatin expression and myoblast differentiation by FoxO and SMAD transcription factors. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 292:C188–C199.

- Asp M, Giacomello S, Larsson L, Wu C, Fürth D, *et al.* 2019. A spatiotemporal organ-wide gene expression and cell atlas of the developing human heart. Cell. 179:1647–1660.e19.
- Auguste G, Gurha P, Lombardi R, Coarfa C, Willerson JT, *et al.* 2018. Suppression of activated FoxO transcription factors in the heart prolongs survival in a mouse model of laminopathies. Circ Res. 122:678–692.
- Azad N, Lemay G. 2014. Management of chronic heart failure in the older population. J Geriatr Cardiol. 11:329–337.
- Beharry AW, Sandesara PB, Roberts BM, Ferreira LF, Senf SM, et al. 2014. HDAC1 activates FoxO and is both sufficient and required for skeletal muscle atrophy. J Cell Sci. 127:1441–1453.
- Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, et al.; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. 2019. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 139:e56–e528.

- Biesemann N, Mendler L, Wietelmann A, Hermann S, Schäfers M, *et al.* 2014. Myostatin regulates energy homeostasis in the heart and prevents heart failure. Circ Res. 115:296–310.
- Broman KW, Gatti DM, Simecek P, Furlotte NA, Prins P, et al. 2019. R/qtl2: software for mapping quantitative trait loci with high-dimensional data and multiparent populations. Genetics. 211:495–502.
- Broman KW, Sen Ś. 2009. A Guide to QTL Mapping with r/QTL. New York, NY: Springer.
- Bult CJ, Blake JA, Smith CL, Kadin JA, Richardson JE; Mouse Genome Database Group. 2019. Mouse genome database (MGD) 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. 47:D801–D806.
- Camparini L, Kollipara L, Sinagra G, Loffredo FS, Sickmann A, et al. 2020. Targeted approach to distinguish and determine absolute levels of GDF8 and GDF11 in mouse serum. Proteomics. 20: e1900104.
- Carnac G, Vernus B, Bonnieu A. 2007. Myostatin in the pathophysiology of skeletal muscle. Curr Genomics. 8:415–422.
- Chen-Scarabelli C, Saravolatz L, Hirsh B, Agrawal P, Scarabelli TM. 2015. Dilemmas in end-stage heart failure. J Geriatr Cardiol. 12: 57–65.
- Churchill GA, Doerge RW. 1994. Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. Genetics. 138:963–971.
- Churchill GA, Gatti DM, Munger SC, Svenson KL. 2012. The Diversity Outbred mouse population. Mamm Genome. 23:713–718.
- Cohn RD, Liang H-Y, Shetty R, Abraham T, Wagner KR. 2007. Myostatin does not regulate cardiac hypertrophy or fibrosis. Neuromuscul Disord. 17:290–296.
- Egerman MA, Cadena SM, Gilbert JA, Meyer A, Nelson HN, et al. 2015. GDF11 increases with age and inhibits skeletal muscle regeneration. Cell Metab. 22:164–174.
- Fan X, Gaur U, Sun L, Yang D, Yang M. 2017. The growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) and myostatin (MSTN) in tissue specific aging. Mech Ageing Dev. 164:108–112.
- Fischer A, Gessler M. 2007. Delta-notch–and then? Protein interactions and proposed modes of repression by Hes and hey bHLH factors. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:4583–4596.
- Fischer A, Leimeister C, Winkler C, Schumacher N, Klamt B, et al. 2002. Hey bHLH factors in cardiovascular development. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 67:63–70.
- Fischer A, Steidl C, Wagner TU, Lang E, Jakob PM, et al. 2007. Combined loss of Hey1 and HeyL causes congenital heart defects because of impaired epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Circ Res. 100:856–863.
- Gatti DM, Svenson KL, Shabalin A, Wu L-Y, Valdar W, et al. 2014. Quantitative trait locus mapping methods for Diversity Outbred mice. G3 (Bethesda). 4:1623–1633.
- Heisig J, Weber D, Englberger E, Winkler A, Kneitz S, et al. 2012. Target gene analysis by microarrays and chromatin immunoprecipitation identifies hey proteins as highly redundant bHLH repressors. PLoS Genet. 8:e1002728.
- Hosaka T, Biggs WH, Tieu D, Boyer AD, Varki NM, et al. 2004. Disruption of forkhead transcription factor (FoxO) family members in mice reveals their functional diversification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 101:2975–2980.
- Howe KL, Contreras-Moreira B, De Silva N, Maslen G, Akanni W, *et al.* 2020. Ensembl genomes 2020—enabling non-vertebrate genomic research. Nucleic Acids Res. 48:D689–D695.
- Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. 2007. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical bayes methods. Biostatistics. 8:118–127.

- Joulia-Ekaza D, Cabello G. 2006. Myostatin regulation of muscle development: molecular basis, natural mutations, physiopathological aspects. Exp Cell Res. 312:2401–2414.
- Ju BG, Solum D, Song EJ, Lee KJ, Rose DW, et al. 2004. Activating the PARP-1 sensor component of the groucho/TLE1 corepressor complex mediates a CAMKinase IIdelta-dependent neurogenic gene activation pathway. Cell. 119:815–829.
- Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, et al. 2002. The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res. 12:996–1006.
- Lee SJ. 2010. Extracellular regulation of myostatin: a molecular rheostat for muscle mass. Immunol Endocr Metab Agents Med Chem. 10:183–194.
- Lee SJ, McPherron AC. 1999. Myostatin and the control of skeletal muscle mass. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 9:604–607.
- Lee YS, Lee SJ. 2013. Regulation of GDF-11 and myostatin activity by GASP-1 and GASP-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 110:E3713–22.
- Loffredo FS, Steinhauser ML, Jay SM, Gannon J, Pancoast JR, *et al.* 2013. Growth differentiation factor 11 is a circulating factor that reverses age-related cardiac hypertrophy. Cell. 153:828–839.
- Logan RW, Robledo RF, Recla JM, Philip VM, Bubier JA, *et al.* 2013. High-precision genetic mapping of behavioral traits in the Diversity Outbred mouse population. Genes Brain Behav. 12: 424–437.
- MacGrogan D, Münch J, de la Pompa JL. 2018. Notch and interacting signalling pathways in cardiac development, disease, and regeneration. Nat Rev Cardiol. 15:685–704.
- McKoy G, Bicknell KA, Patel K, Brooks G. 2007. Developmental expression of myostatin in cardiomyocytes and its effect on foetal and neonatal rat cardiomyocyte proliferation. Cardiovasc Res. 74:304–312.
- Mendias CL, Bakhurin KI, Gumucio JP, Shallal-Ayzin MV, Davis CS, et al. 2015. Haploinsufficiency of myostatin protects against aging-related declines in muscle function and enhances the longevity of mice. Aging Cell. 14:704–706.
- Mihl C, Dassen WRM, Kuipers H. 2008. Cardiac remodelling: concentric versus eccentric hypertrophy in strength and endurance athletes. Neth Heart J. 16:129–133.
- Morgan AP, Fu CP, Kao CY, Welsh CE, Didion JP, et al. 2015. The mouse universal genotyping array: from substrains to subspecies. G3 (Bethesda). 6:263–279.
- Morissette MR, Cook SA, Buranasombati C, Rosenberg MA, Rosenzweig A. 2009. Myostatin inhibits IGF-I-induced myotube hypertrophy through Akt. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 297: C1124–32.
- Morissette MR, Cook SA, Foo S, McKoy G, Ashida N, et al. 2006. Myostatin regulates cardiomyocyte growth through modulation of Akt signaling. Circ Res. 99:15–24.
- Müller AL, Dhalla NS. 2013. Differences in concentric cardiac hypertrophy and eccentric hypertrophy. In: B Ostadal, NS Dhalla, editors. Cardiac Adaptations: Molecular Mechanisms. New York, NY: Springer New York. p. 147–166.
- Nakagawa O, McFadden DG, Nakagawa M, Yanagisawa H, Hu T, *et al.* 2000. Members of the HRT family of basic helix-loop-helix proteins act as transcriptional repressors downstream of notch signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 97:13655–13660.
- Ni YG, Wang N, Cao DJ, Sachan N, Morris DJ, *et al.* 2007. FoxO transcription factors activate Akt and attenuate insulin signaling in heart by inhibiting protein phosphatases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 104:20517–20522.
- Niessen K, Karsan A. 2008. Notch signaling in cardiac development. Circ Res. 102:1169–1181.

- Poggioli T, Vujic A, Yang P, Macias-Trevino C, Uygur A, et al. 2016. Circulating growth differentiation factor 11/8 levels decline with age. Circ Res. 118:29–37.
- Rangwala SH, Kuznetsov A, Ananiev V, Asztalos A, Borodin E, et al. 2021. Accessing NCBI data using the NCBI sequence viewer and genome data viewer (GDV). Genome Res. 31:159–169.
- Rodgers BD. 2016. The immateriality of circulating GDF11. Circ Res. 118:1472–1474.
- Rodgers BD, Eldridge JA. 2015. Reduced circulating GDF11 is unlikely responsible for age-dependent changes in mouse heart, muscle, and brain. Endocrinology. 156:3885–3888.
- Rodgers BD, Interlichia JP, Garikipati DK, Mamidi R, Chandra M, et al. 2009. Myostatin represses physiological hypertrophy of the heart and excitation-contraction coupling. J Physiol. 587:4873–4886.
- Rodriguez J, Vernus B, Chelh I, Cassar-Malek I, Gabillard JC, et al. 2014. Myostatin and the skeletal muscle atrophy and hypertrophy signaling pathways. Cell Mol Life Sci. 71:4361–4371.
- Ronnebaum SM, Patterson C. 2010. The FoxO family in cardiac function and dysfunction. Annu Rev Physiol. 72:81–94.
- Rutenberg JB, Fischer A, Jia H, Gessler M, Zhong TP, et al. 2006. Developmental patterning of the cardiac atrioventricular canal by notch and hairy-related transcription factors. Development. 133:4381–4390.
- Schafer MJ, Atkinson EJ, Vanderboom PM, Kotajarvi B, White TA, et al. 2016. Quantification of GDF11 and myostatin in human aging and cardiovascular disease. Cell Metab. 23:1207–1215.
- Schlamp F, Zhang DY, Cosgrove E, Simecek P, Edwards M, et al. 2019. High-resolution QTL mapping with Diversity Outbred mice identifies genetic variants that impact gut microbiome composition. bioRxiv.722744.
- Seiliez I, Sabin N, Gabillard JC. 2011. FoxO1 is not a key transcription factor in the regulation of myostatin (mstn-1a and mstn-1b) gene expression in trout myotubes. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 301:R97–R104.
- Semba RD, Zhang P, Zhu M, Fabbri E, Gonzalez-Freire M, et al. 2019. Relationship of circulating growth and differentiation factors 8 and 11 and their antagonists as measured using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry with age and skeletal muscle strength in healthy adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 74:129–136.
- Shorter JR, Huang W, Beak JY, Hua K, Gatti DM, et al. 2018. Quantitative trait mapping in Diversity Outbred mice identifies two genomic regions associated with heart size. Mamm Genome. 29:80–89.

- Smith CM, Hayamizu TF, Finger JH, Bello SM, McCright IJ, et al. 2019. The mouse gene expression database (GXD): 2019 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 47:D774–D779.
- Smith SC, Zhang X, Zhang X, Gross P, Starosta T, et al. 2015. GDF11 does not rescue aging-related pathological hypertrophy. Circ Res. 117:926–932.
- Soutoglou E, Katrakili N, Talianidis I. 2000. Acetylation regulates transcription factor activity at multiple levels. Mol Cell. 5: 745–751.
- Sun J, Kamei CN, Layne MD, Jain MK, Liao JK, et al. 2001. Regulation of myogenic terminal differentiation by the hairy-related transcription factor CHF2. J Biol Chem. 276:18591–18596.
- Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. 2013. Integrative genomics viewer (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Brief Bioinform. 14:178–192.
- Tobin JF, Celeste AJ. 2005. Myostatin, a negative regulator of muscle mass: implications for muscle degenerative diseases. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 5:328–332.
- Walker RG, Poggioli T, Katsimpardi L, Buchanan SM, Oh J, et al. 2016. Biochemistry and biology of GDF11 and myostatin: similarities, differences, and questions for future investigation. Circ Res. 118: 1125–1142.
- Weber D, Heisig J, Kneitz S, Wolf E, Eilers M, et al. 2015. Mechanisms of epigenetic and cell-type specific regulation of Hey target genes in ES cells and cardiomyocytes. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 79:79–88.
- Xiang F, Sakata Y, Cui L, Youngblood JM, Nakagami H, et al. 2006. Transcription factor CHF1/Hey2 suppresses cardiac hypertrophy through an inhibitory interaction with GATA₄. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 290:H1997–H2006.
- Xu M, Chen X, Chen D, Yu B, Huang Z. 2017. FoxO1: a novel insight into its molecular mechanisms in the regulation of skeletal muscle differentiation and fiber type specification. Oncotarget. 8: 10662–10674.
- Yang J, Zaitlen NA, Goddard ME, Visscher PM, Price AL. 2014. Advantages and pitfalls in the application of mixed-model association methods. Nat Genet. 46:100–106.
- Zhou Y, Jiang Z, Harris EC, Reeves J, Chen X, et al. 2016. Circulating concentrations of growth differentiation factor 11 are heritable and correlate with life span. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 71: 1560–1563.

Communicating editor: F. P.-M. de Villena