First report of isolation and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Raoultella electrica from table eggs in Jaipur, India

A. K. Jain and R. Yadav

Department of Zoology, JECRC University, Jaipur, India

Abstract

Raoultella electrica, a Gram-negative, non-spore-forming, rod-shaped facultative anaerobe, was identified during a regular investigation of bacterial contamination in table eggs in the winter season. A total of 165 hen's eggs were collected in the winter season from 15 different areas of the city of Jaipur, India. Gram-negative *Enterobacteriales* were isolated on selective and differential media by the conventional plate method and were further identified by several biochemical tests and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Commonly prescribed antibiotics for enteric infection were used for antibiotic susceptibility testing. For isolated microorganisms, different resistance patterns were found against the different antibiotics used (p < 0.01). The multiple antibiotic resistance index of bacterial isolates ranged from 0.10 to 0.60. *R. electrica* strain 1GB/NBRC 109676/KCTC 32430 was isolated for the first time from commercial chicken's eggs. © 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: 16S rRNA, antibiotics, Enterobacteriales, MAR index, Raoultella Original Submission: 21 June 2017; Revised Submission: 29 October 2017; Accepted: 16 November 2017 Article published online: 22 November 2017

Corresponding author: A.K. Jain, Department of Zoology, JECRC University, Plot No. IS-2036 to 2039, Sitapura Industrial Area Extn, Ramchandrapura, Near Mahatma Gandhi Hospital, Vidhani, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303905, India **E-mail:** ashu.jain567@gmail.com

Introduction

The genus *Raoultella* comprises Gram-negative, aerobic, nonmotile, capsulated rods and was recently assigned to the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. The genus was named after French bacteriologist Didier Raoult. The genus *Raoultella* was previously designated under the genus *Klebsiella* and has recently been declared to be distinct [1] on the basis of molecular characterization and some specific biochemical activities such as carbon source intake, as *Klebsiella* never uses histamine as a carbon source or grows at a lower temperature (except for *K. oxytoca*) [2,3].

On the basis of genomic similarities and differences, this genus is grouped into four species: Raoultella ornithinolytica, Raoultella planticola, Raoultella terrigena and Raoultella electrica. Raoultella species can generally be found in the natural environment (soil, plants and water). Some strains of the Raoultella species may also be present in the intestinal and upper respiratory tracts [4]. Most of the Raoultella spp. (R. ornithinolytica, R. planticola, R. terrigena) are opportunistic pathogens that frequently cause pneumonia, infections of the biliary tract and bacteraemia in immunocompromised patients. Of all these species, Raoultella ornithinolytica and Raoultella planticola have mostly been reported as human pathogens [5–7], and Raoultella terrigena has been reported to be a rare opportunistic causative agent [8]. However, no available literature has related the pathogenicity of R electrica to human or animals. Kimura et al. [9] isolated a novel Raoultella spp. from the anodic biofilms of a glucose-fed microbial fuel cell and proposed it as R. electrica strain IGB.

Eggs are consumed worldwide and are considered an important part of a healthy diet. The hen's egg is one of the most nutritious foods of animal origin, as it contains a high amount of protein, lipids, vitamins and minerals [10]. However, these nutrient substances make the egg's environment favourable for the growth of microorganisms, resulting in egg contamination. A basic reason for egg contamination may be that the egg emerges from the hen's body through the cloaca, from which faeces is also excreted. The faecal material may thus adhere to the egg's surface, and contamination may occur in the different egg contents through shell penetration by microorganisms and different environmental factors such as temperature and humidity, which help bacterial penetration and increase the frequency of contamination [11].

As a result of improper handling, storage and environmental factors, marketed eggs may be contaminated; strong chances exist for new microbial species to infect chicken eggs. It is thus necessary to investigate and identify the bacterial microflora present on eggs and to examine these pathogens against different antimicrobial agents so as to determine the sensitivity level of a particular antibiotic for the proper clinical treatment of infections caused by consuming infected eggs and egg products.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and sample enrichment

A total of 165 hen's eggs were purchased from roadside vendors and dairies of 15 different sites of Jaipur city, India, during the 2015 winter season. The sampled eggs from different sites, which were collected in sterile plastic bags, were taken to the laboratory and processed within 6 to 8 hours of collection.

The sampled eggs were processed for the isolation of microorganisms from eggshell, albumin and yolk content. For the isolation of microflora from the eggshell, a swab technique (sterilized cotton swabs dipped in autoclaved buffered peptone water) was used for sampling. The eggshell was swabbed, and the cotton swabs were inoculated in 10 mL BPW (Buffered peptone water) in screw-cap tubes and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C [12]. For egg albumin samples, the outer surface of the egg was sterilized by wiping it with 70% ethanol, and it was opened from the air sac area of the egg [13]. The albumin part of five selected eggs was removed and homogenized. The homogenized albumin samples were serially diluted in normal saline (0.9% NaCl) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours [14]. The same methodology as that used for albumin sampling was used for egg yolk samples.

Isolation and characterization

The prepared and incubated eggshell, egg albumin and egg yolk samples were used for the isolation of Gram-negative *Enterobacteriales* by the conventional plate method [15]. Selective and differential media were used for the isolation of enterobacteria: MacConkey agar, European Molecular Biology Laboratory agar, *Salmonella–Shigella* agar, bismuth sulphite agar and xylose–lysine deoxycholate agar (Hi-media, Mumbai, India). Isolated microorganisms were then characterized through biochemical tests such as oxidase, catalase, casein hydrolysis, starch hydrolysis, carbohydrate fermentation, indole, MRVP (Methyl Red & Vogues-Proskauer), citrate utilization, urease and Kligler iron agar tests.

Antibiotic susceptibility test

The antibiotic susceptibility test was performed by the disc diffusion method [16–18]. The turbidity of bacterial culture broth was matched with 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard. Commonly prescribed antibiotics such as cefoxitin, azi-thromycin, amoxycillin, gentamicin, cefixime, levofloxacin, vancomycin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline and amoxiclav (Hi-media) for patients with gastrointestinal infection were used for the antibiotic susceptibility test. Results thus obtained were interpreted as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute standards. The results were later analyzed by SPSS 16.0 software (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was also calculated, as the MAR index provides useful information for the evaluation of health risk [19,20].

16S rRNA gene sequencing

Seven isolates (with MAR index values of 0.5 or more) from the total isolates were subjected to 16S rRNA gene sequencing. These selected isolates were cultured in nutrient broth media and incubated overnight at 37° C. After incubation, 1.5 mL of broth sample was centrifuged and the respective bacterial pellets processed for genomic DNA extraction following the combined protocol of Weisburg et al. [21] and Wilson [22] with slight modifications.

The 16S rRNA gene was amplified in a thermal cycler (PCR) following the protocol of He (http://www.bio-protocol.org/bio 101/e53) using universal 16S rRNA gene primers: forward, 27F: AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG, and reverse, 1492R: TACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT (Eurofins Genomics India, Bangalore, India). The PCR was initiated with denaturation of DNA at 95°C for 2 minutes, and subsequently the number of cycles (denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 54°C for 40 seconds, extension at 72°C for 90 seconds) was set to 35; the final extension was performed at 72°C for 10 minutes. The amplified PCR amplicons were then electrophorized at 1500 bp under ultraviolet light.

The amplified and purified 16S rRNA genes of selected isolates were subjected to automated DNA sequencing. Sequence data were generated by the BDT 3.1 cycle sequencing kit on an ABI 3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Eurofins Genomics India). The sequences were then phylogenetically analyzed by BLAST, Clustal W, PHYLIP 3.695 and TreeView 1.6.6 [23–25].

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Results

Of the 165 sampled eggs, 48 (comprising 38 samples of eggshell, four samples of albumin and six samples of yolk) were found to be contaminated with microorganisms. The egg samples that showed significant growth on nutrient agar were then characterized by Gram staining, and 40 isolates were found to be Gram negative. These Gram-negative bacterial isolates were later isolated on selective-differential media, and out of 40 Gram-negative isolates, only 23 showed significant growth on selective-differential media. These 23 isolates were then characterized by biochemical tests. During this regular examination of bacterial contamination in table eggs, some isolates showed similarity to *Raoultella* according to their biochemical activities.

The antibiotic sensitivity test showed that all isolates were sensitive to the antibiotics gentamicin and levofloxacin; about 94% of the isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and 88% to azithromycin. However, amoxycillin and cefixime showed the highest number of resistant isolates, at 90% and 84%, respectively (Table 1).

Molecular characterization of the bacterial isolates with high MAR index value (0.5 or higher) was performed. The isolates were identified as *Escherichia hermannii*, *Escherichia vulneris*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium, *Providencia rettgeri*, *Shigella boydii* and *R. electrica*, and the 16S rRNA gene sequences of these isolates were submitted to the Gen-Bank database (Table 2).

Discussion

The results of growth on nutrient agar revealed that eggshell is the most frequently contaminated egg part compared to albumin and yolk contents. This finding is in accordance with the results of Adesiyun et al. [26] and Arathy et al. [27].

The resistance frequencies of gentamicin, levofloxacin, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, amoxycillin and cefixime observed in our study were in accordance with previously published results

TABLE I. Results of antibiotic susceptibility testing

Antibiotic	Sensitive (%)	Intermediate (%)	Resistant (%)	p (group)
Azithromycin	88.4 ± 1.45	2.89 ± 2.89	8.69 ± 2.51	0.0023
Gentamicin	100	0	0	
Tetracycline	68.11 ± 1.45	10.14 ± 1.45	21.73 2.5	
Vancomycin	27.53 ± 1.45	10.14 ± 1.45	62.31 ± 1.45	
Amoxycillin	5.79 ± 1.45	4.33 ± 2.50	89.85 ± 1.45	
Amoxiclav	44.92 ± 1.45	1.44 ± 1.44	52.17 ± 2.51	
Cefoxitin	26.08 ± 2.51	5.79 ± 1.45	68.11 ± 2.9	
Cefixime	11.59 ± 1.45	4.33 ± 2.5	84.05 ± 1.45	
Ciprofloxacin	94.2 ± 1.45	2.89 ± 1.44	2.89 ± 1.44	
Levofloxacin	100	0	0	

TABLE 2. Identification and GenBank accession numbers of selected bacterial isolates

Sample ID	Identified as:	GenBank accession no.
KLR ^W 2	Escherichia hermannii strain CIP 103176	KY658464
SMS ^W 4	Raoultella electrica strain IGB	KY658465
AGR ^W 5	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain ATCC 13311	KY657585
DLR ^W I	Providencia rettgeri strain DSM 4542	KY657588
DLR ^W 3	Shigella boydii strain P288	KY657589
AJR ^W I AIR ^W 4	Escherichia vulneris strain ATCC 33821	KY657586
ÁJR [₩] 4	Escherichia vulneris strain ATCC 33821	KY657587

[28–31] but were different from other published results [32,33]. On the basis of the results obtained, it can be concluded that the antibiotics gentamicin, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and azithromycin worked more competently than the other antibiotics used. Different resistance patterns were observed against different antibiotics (Table 3). The MAR index of the isolates (which ranged from 0.1 to 0.6) also revealed the contamination level and health risks at the sample collection sites [34,35].

According to the present study, the eggs available in the market of Jaipur, India, carry a load of different microorganisms, thus showing the poor hygiene level and poor sanitary conditions present for egg storage and transportation. The presence of coliforms and enterobacterial populations are generally used to measure the quality of food and the hygiene level. All the identified bacterial isolates in our study are generally considered to be pathogenic and are often associated with life-threatening diseases, except R. *electrica*, which has not been isolated from eggs in any previous reported studies to date.

R. electrica has been isolated only from anodic biofilms of a glucose-fed microbial fuel cell [9], with no pathogenicity described. In the present study, for the first time, *R. electrica* has been isolated from a roadside vendor of SMS (Sawai Man Singh) sampling site, a hospital-dominated area. Because the sampling site was near a hospital, there is a possibility that the bacterium could be transferred from the hospital zone to the eggs, which roadside vendors keep in the open. Because the egg can easily be contaminated by pathogenic, nonpathogenic or opportunistic pathogenic bacterial species if not properly stored, and because these contaminated eggs are unsafe for consumers if eaten raw,

TABLE 3. Resistance profiles	of isolated	microorganisms
------------------------------	-------------	----------------

Isolate	Resistance profile			
Escherichia hermannii strain CIP 103176 Raoultella electrica strain IGB Providencia rettgeri strain DSM 4542 Shigella boydii strain P288 Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain ATCC 13311 Escherichia vulneris strain ATCC 33821	CFM AMX CX TE AMC VA CFM AMX CX TE AMC VA CFM AMX CX AMC VA CFM AMX CX AMC VA CFM AMX CX AMC VA CFM AMX CX AMC VA			
Escherichia vulneris strain ATCC 33821	CFM AMX CX AMC VA			
CFM, Cefixime; AMX, Amoxycillin; CX, Cifoxitin; TE, Tetracyclin; AMC,				

CFM, Cefixime; AMX, Amoxycillin; CX, Cifoxitin; TE, Tetracyclin; AMC Amoxyclav; VA, Vancomycin.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd, NMNI, 21, 95-99

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

there is a need to educate the public about the proper handling and storage of eggs and to increase the market's hygiene level.

The *R. electrica* isolated in this study was found to be resistant to different antibiotics generally used against gastrointestinal infections. Resistance was observed against cefixime, cefoxitin, amoxycillin, amoxiclav, tetracycline and vancomycin, but the microorganism was sensitive to gentamicin, azithromycin, levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin.

The present study provides basic data that confirm that some new microbial species include microorganisms isolated from consumable eggs. To our knowledge, our study is the first to isolate and identify *R. electrica* from table eggs in Jaipur city, India. Our study shows the possibilities of *Raoultella* infection in commercial eggs as well as in poultry industry. Further investigation regarding the entrance and consequences of *R. electrica* in poultry, especially in eggs, will be done later.

Conclusion

We conclude that egg samples collected from different areas of Jaipur city were found to be contaminated with bacterial isolates of family Enterobacteriaceae. The isolates were identified as Escherichia hermannii, Escherichia vulneris, Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, Shigella boydii, R. electrica and Providencia rettgeri. Most of these isolates were found to be pathogenic. However, a new microbial species, R. electrica, was for the first time isolated from marketed eggs. Thus, we suggest that commercially sold eggs be occasionally monitored to identify the bacterial species related to egg contamination. Our study may also be helpful for generating the baseline data for the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria related to egg contamination. Because the prevalence of occurrence of antibiotic resistance is increasing, the use of antibiotics for the treatment of infection must be limited in the poultry industry so as to reduce the development of antibiotic resistance in microorganisms.

Acknowledgements

Author (AJ) thanks JECRC University for financial support and lab facilities provided during the study. He is also grateful to A. Rishi, SP Institute of Biotechnology, Jaipur. Eurofins Genomics India, Bangalore, is also acknowledged for their sequencing services.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- [I] Ponce-Alonso M, Rodríguez-Rojas L, Del Campo R, Cantón R, Morosini MI. Comparison of different methods for identification of species of the genus *Raoultella*: report of 11 cases of Raoultella causing bacteraemia and literature review. Clin Microbiol Infect 2016;22: 252–7.
- [2] Drancourt M, Bollet C, Carta A, Rousselier P. Phylogenetic analyses of Klebsiella species delineate Klebsiella and Raoultella gen. nov., with description of Raoultella ornithinolytica comb. nov., Raoultella terrigena comb. nov. and Raoultella planticola comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2001;51:925–32.
- [3] Kanki M, Yoda T, Tsukamoto T, Shibata T. Klebsiella pneumoniae produces no histamine: Raoultella planticola and Raoultella ornithinolytica strains are histamine producers. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68: 3462-6.
- [4] Sękowska A. Raoultella spp.—clinical significance, infections and susceptibility to antibiotics. Folia Microbiol (Praha) 2017;62:221-7.
- [5] O'Connell K, Kelly J, NiRiain U. A rare case of soft-tissue infection caused by *Raoultella planticola*. Case Rep Med 2010;2010.
- [6] Puerta-Fernandez S, Miralles-Linares F, Sanchez-Simonet MV, Bernal-Lopez MR, Gomez-Huelgas R. *Raoultella planticola* bacteraemia secondary to gastroenteritis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2013;19:E236–7.
- [7] Cho YJ, Jung EJ, Seong JS, Woo YM, Jeong BJ, Kang YM, et al. A case of pneumonia caused by *Raoultella planticola*. Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul) 2016;79:42–5.
- [8] Wang Y, Jiang X, Xu Z, Ying C, Yu W, Xiao Y. Identification of *Raoultella terrigena* as a rare causative agent of subungual abscess based on 16S rRNA and housekeeping gene sequencing. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2016;2016:3879635.
- [9] Kimura ZI, Chung KM, Itoh H, Hiraishi A, Okabe S. Raoultella electrica sp. nov., isolated from anodic biofilms of a glucose-fed microbial fuel cell. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2014;64:1384–8.
- [10] Rzedzicki J, Stępień-Pyśniak D. Antimicrobial defence mechanisms of chicken eggs and possibilities for their use in protecting human and animal health. Ann Univ Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sectio DD Med Vet 2009;64:1–8.
- [11] Ansah T, Dzoagbe GSK, Teye GA, Adday S, Danquah JK. Microbial quality of table eggs sold on selected markets in the Tamale municipality in the northern region of Ghana. Livestock Res Rural Dev 2009;21:4–7.
- [12] Harsha HT, Reshmi R, Varghese R, Divya PS, Rahiman KM, Hatha AM. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella from the eggs of commercial samples. J Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;1:93–100.
- [13] Speck ML. Compendium of methods for microbiological examination of food. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 1976.
- [14] American Public Health Association. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 1992. p. 868–9.
- [15] Sabarinath A, Guillaume V, Guillaume B, Mathew V, DeAllie C, Sharma R. Bacterial contamination of commercial chicken eggs in Grenada, West Indies. West Indian Vet J 2009;9:4–7.
- [16] Jorgensen JH, Turnidge JD. Susceptibility test methods: dilution and disk diffusion methods. In: Manual of clinical microbiology. 11th ed. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology; 2015.
- [17] Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol 1966;45: 493.
- [18] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. In: Twenty-fourth informational supplement. Document M100-S24. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.

^{© 2017} Published by Elsevier Ltd, NMNI, 21, 95–99

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

- [19] Krumperman PH. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify high-risk sources of fecal contamination of foods. Appl Environ Microbiol 1983;46:165–70.
- [20] Titilawo Y, Sibanda T, Obi L, Okoh A. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify high-risk sources of faecal contamination of water. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2015;22:10969–80.
- [21] Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J Bacteriol 1991;173:697–703.
- [22] Wilson K. Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. In: Current protocols in molecular biology. New York: Wiley; 2003. p. 241.
- [23] Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 1987;4:406–25.
- [24] Retief JD. Phylogenetic analysis using PHYLIP. Methods Mol Biol 2000;132:243–58.
- [25] Page RDM. Visualizing phylogenetic trees using TreeView. In: Current protocols in bioinformatics. New York: Wiley; 2002.
- [26] Adesiyun A, Offiah N, Seepersadsingh N, Rodrigo S, Lashley V, Musai L, et al. Microbial health risk posed by table eggs in Trinidad. Epidemiol Infect 2005;133:1049–56.
- [27] Arathy DS, Vanpee G, Belot G, Mathew V, DeAllie C, Sharma R. Antimicrobial drug resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolated from commercial chicken eggs in Grenada, West Indias. West Indian Med J 2011;60:53-6.
- [28] Sheikh AA, Checkley S, Avery B, Chalmers G, Bohaychuk V, Boerlin P, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes in *Escherichia coli*

isolated from retail meat purchased in Alberta, Canada. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2012;9:625–31.

- [29] Suleiman A, Zaria LT, Grema HA, Ahmadu P. Antimicrobial resistant coagulase positive *Staphylococcus aureus* from chickens in Maiduguri, Nigeria. Sokoto J Vet Sci 2013;11:51-5.
- [30] Talebiyan R, Kheradmand M, Khamesipour F, Rabiee-Faradonbeh M. Multiple antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from chickens in Iran. Vet Med Int 2014;2014:491418.
- [31] Kuljinder K, Kahlon RS. Multi-drug resistance in indicator bacteria: coliforms and *Escherichia coli* isolated from ready to eat food samples. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 2014;3:465–88.
- [32] Salehi TZ, Bonab SF. Antibiotics susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from chickens with colisepticemia in Tabriz province, Iran. Int J Poultry Sci 2006;5:677–84.
- [33] Akond MA, Alam S, Hassan SM, Shirin M. Antibiotic resistance of Escherichia coli isolated from poultry and poultry environment of Bangladesh. Int J Food Saf 2009;11:19–23.
- [34] Maloo A, Borade S, Dhawde R, Gajbhiye SN, Dastager SG. Occurrence and distribution of multiple antibiotic-resistant bacteria of *Enterobacteriaceae* family in waters of Veraval coast, India. Environ Exp Biol 2014;12:43-50.
- [35] Adzitey F. Antibiotic resistance of Escherichia coli isolated from beef and its related samples in Techiman municipality of Ghana. Asian J Anim Sci 2015;9:233–40.