



## Proteomic Analysis of *Auricularia auricula-judae* Under Freezing Treatment Revealed Proteins and Pathways Associated With Melanin Reduction

#### Jiawen Li<sup>1†</sup>, Ziwei Li<sup>1†</sup>, Tong Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Xiufeng Yan<sup>2\*</sup> and Qiuying Pang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Saline-alkali Vegetation Ecology Restoration, Ministry of Education, College of Life Sciences, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, China, <sup>2</sup> College of Life and Environmental Science, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Lin Lin, Jiangsu University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Ekaterina Dadachova, University of Saskatchewan, Canada Jameel Rabee Al-Obaidi, National Institutes of Biotechnology Malaysia, Malaysia Zaixiang Lou, Jiangnan University, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Xiufeng Yan yanxiufeng@wzu.edu.cn Qiuying Pang qiuying@nefu.edu.cn <sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 25 September 2020 Accepted: 09 December 2020 Published: 15 January 2021

#### Citation:

Li J, Li Z, Zhao T, Yan X and Pang Q (2021) Proteomic Analysis of Auricularia auricula-judae Under Freezing Treatment Revealed Proteins and Pathways Associated With Melanin Reduction. Front. Microbiol. 11:610173. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.610173 Auricularia auricula-judae is an edible nutrient-rich mushroom, which is a traditional medicinal resource in China. It is known that environment stimuli will affect the production of melanin by *A. auricula-judae*, but the mechanism of the effects of freezing treatment on melanin accumulation remains unknown. In the present study, the synthesis of melanin in *A. auricula-judae* was analyzed by physiological assays and a proteomics approach. Our findings showed that a longer freezing treatment causes a lighter color of *A. auricula-judae* fruiting bodies. The proteomic analysis showed that proteins involved in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, tyrosine metabolism, ribosome, and arginine biosynthesis might contribute to the color differences in the *A. auricula-judae* after freezing treatment. This work will be expected to provide valuable information on the physiological and molecular mechanisms of freezing treatment on the color quality of *A. auricula-judae*.

Keywords: Auricularia auricula-judae, freezing treatment, proteomics, mycelium, fruiting bodies

#### INTRODUCTION

*Auricularia auricula-judae* is an edible nutrient-rich mushroom, which is an important food resource in Asia due to it being rich in proteins, microelements, vitamins, and carbohydrates as well as its low fat content (Luo et al., 2009). *A. auricula-judae* is also known for its medicinal properties, such as its antitumor, detoxification, anticoagulant, hypoglycemic, and cholesterol-lowering effects (Nguyen et al., 2012). Wild *A. auricula-judae* is geographically distributed in Asia, Europe, North America, and other places throughout temperate and subtropical regions (Akpaja et al., 2005). *A. auricula-judae* has been cultivated on a large scale in northern China, with a yield that ranked fourth in the world (Zhang et al., 2007). With the improvement of the nutritional and medicinal values of *A. auricula-judae*, its harvesting method has gradually evolved from wild collection to artificial cultivation.

*Auricularia auricula-judae* is widely cultivated in China, and northern China was the major cultivation area (Li et al., 2019). In 2012, its production in Heilongjiang was more than 3,000,000 tons, with an output value of more than 20 billion yuan (Liu and Hu, 2018). A. *auricula-judae* is mainly cultivated by two different methods, wood cultivation and bagging cultivation (Lv, 2008).

1

Compared with wood cultivation, bagging cultivation (Supplementary Figure 1) is more widely used because of the lower expenses, including wood resources and economic investment, as well as the avoidance of pesticides and viruses (Lv, 2008). The freezing pretreatment of the mycelium is a common method and key step of bagging cultivation in northern China, and when producing cultivation species of A. auricula-judae in the winter, the cultivation species full of mycelium are put outdoors for quick-freezing, followed by waiting for ear emergence in the spring. Freezing treatment can significantly improve the yield and quality of A. auricula-judae (Gao, 2012), but the fruit color has been greatly affected, which is the most important indicator to estimate the food quality of A. auriculajudae. The color of A. auricula-judae fruiting bodies turned to yellow after the mycelium was frozen. However, we are still far from understanding the physiological and molecular bases of this phenomenon.

Auricularia auricula-judae melanin belongs to the 3,4dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) melanin, and it was initially determined to be pheomelanin by Sephadex G-100 column chromatography, which is a complex polyphenolic compound (Zou et al., 2013). DOPA-based melanin is a natural pigment widely found in living organisms, and the hair of humans (Homo sapiens), bones and feathers of Gallus, seed coat of Armeniaca sibirica, and black truffles (Tuber melanosporum) contain a large amount of DOPA-like melanin (Butler and Day, 1998; Yao et al., 2007). The products in the biosynthesis process of DOPA melanin are divided into eumelanin (brown or black) and pheomelanin (brown, red, or yellow) (Zou et al., 2013). The color of melanin to eumelanin or pheomelanin during synthesis depends on the tyrosinase activity and substrate concentration of cysteine (Simon et al., 2009). When cysteine is present in the cell, dopaquinone (DQ) will immediately bind to cysteine to form cysteamine DOPA, and when most of the cysteamine DOPA in the cell is consumed, DOPA will undergo its own cyclization reaction to form a cyclized DOPA, eventually synthesizing pheomelanin (Ito and Wakamatsu, 2003). Studies have shown that tyrosinase activity is significantly reduced and even inactivated, which will directly affect the production of melanin by A. auriculajudae under strong-light, high-temperature, or cold conditions (Geib et al., 2016).

Until now, the quality changes of *A. auricula-judae* caused by environmental factors have not been studied using a comprehensive approach, such as proteomics analysis. Thus, this study will detect the protein expression profile to explore the physiological mechanism of the effects of freezing treatment on the color quality of *A. auricula-judae*. We aim to study the differences in protein abundances due to freezing treatment in *A. auricula-judae* and identify the roles of the identified differentially expressed proteins in the melanin biosynthesis process. Our findings are expected to provide a valuable picture of the physiological and molecular mechanisms of freezing treatment on the color quality of *A. auricula-judae* and provide a resource for the identification of key proteins contributing to the improvement in the concentration of melanin in *A. auricula-judae*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Sample Treatment and Collection**

Auricularia auricula-judae (HW15) fruiting bodies are single monolithic; rootless; moderate in size; shaped like a shell or a bowl with round, thick, and soft edges; gray on the back of the dry ear; black on the abdomen; and shiny, with a big color difference between the front and the back and with an inconspicuous ear vein, and they were obtained from the experimental forestry farm of the Heilongjiang Academy of Forestry (Dai et al., 2014). They are cultured on a substitute medium in mycelium bags containing 78% saw dust, 20% bran, and 2% gypsum powder. The relative water content of the substitute medium was 65%. All mycelium bags were stored at 25°C in the mycelium growing season until the surface of the mycelium bags became white, which meant that the mycelium was mature. Then, they were stored at 4°C, and some mycelium bags were transferred outdoors as freezing treatment (Supplementary Figure 2). All fruiting bodies were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C before protein extraction.

#### **Color Measurement**

The International Commission on Illumination (CIE) color system was applied to study the color changes of fruiting bodies during different levels of the freezing treatment. The CIE recommended the CIE L\*a\*b\* color system in 1976 as a standard to describe an objective color (Takeshi et al., 2016). The color of fruiting bodies was measured by Color Testers (CR-10, Konica Minolta). The L\* value indicates lightness (0–100 represent dark color–light color). The a\* coordinate indicates redness and greenness in the positive (+a\*) and negative (-a\*) directions, respectively. The b\* coordinate indicates yellowness and greenness for the positive (+b\*) and negative (-b\*) directions, respectively. When b\* is positive, it is only related to the yellow color. The larger the b\* value is, the yellower the color (Zielinska and Michalska, 2016).

## **Enzyme Activity Assay**

Mycelium and fruiting bodies were ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen, fully mixed in extraction buffer, and centrifuged at  $4^{\circ}$ C at 15,000 × *g* for 20 min. The supernatant was then extracted for enzyme activity detection. Tyrosinase, laccase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), and peroxidase (POD) activities were examined by an ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometer (Wang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013).

#### **Mineral Element Analysis**

Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Optima 8300, Perkin Elmer) was used to detect the mineral elements in the mycelia and fruiting bodies of *A. auricula-judae*. The standard sample was purchased from the National Center of Analysis and Testing for Nonferrous Metals and Electronic Materials (China). The solution for element detection was prepared by ash nitration method. Fine powder was obtained by grinding the mycelia and fruiting bodies after drying, carbide

samples were thereafter transferred to a muffle furnace (SX-4-10, China), ash treated at  $625^{\circ}$ C, and then dissolved with 2% HNO<sub>3</sub>. ICP-OES operating conditions were as follows: plasma gas flow rate of 14 L·min<sup>-1</sup>, auxiliary gas flow rate of 0.2 L·min<sup>-1</sup>, atomizer gas flow rate of 0.55 L·min<sup>-1</sup>, RF power of 1200 W, and sample flow rate of 1.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **Protein Extraction**

The total protein was extracted from 10 g of fruiting bodies and 500 mg of mycelium, according to the phenol-based protocol referring to Li et al. (2018). The fine powder was homogenized in extraction buffer (0.9 M sucrose, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% PVPP, 0.4% β-mercaptoethanol, and Tris-phenol) for 0.5-2 h until the mixture turned into liquid, followed by centrifugation at 20,000  $\times$  g for 20 min at 4°C and the collection of the phenol phase. The phenol phase was precipitated with five volumes of cold 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol for 20 min at -20°C, and then, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 20,000  $\times$  g for 20 min at 4°C. After washing with 0.1 M ammonium cold acetate and 80% acetone successively, pure protein powder was obtained. The protein powder was dissolved in lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 40 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 2% pharmalyte, and 4% protease inhibitor). The protein concentration was determined using the 2-D Quant Kit (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) with BSA as the standard. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C for further use.

# Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis (2-DE) and Image Analysis

Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) of protein samples was performed as described previously (Li et al., 2018). A protein sample of 1300  $\mu$ g was loaded by rehydration to immobilize DryStrip gels (pH = 3-10 linear, 24 cm) (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, United States) individually. After automated detection and matching, manual editing was carried out to correct the mismatched and unmatched spots. Spots were considered reproducible when they were well resolved in the three biological replicates. For each matched spot, a measurement was carried out for each biological replicate, and normalized volumes were computed using the total spot volume normalization procedure of the software. The normalized volume of each spot was assumed to represent its expression abundance. A criterion of p < 0.05 and an abundance ratio of at least 1.5 were used to define significant differences when analyzing parallel spots between different groups with two-way ANOVA.

## Protein Identification by Mass Spectrometry

Selected spots were excised from 2-DE gels, washed with sterile deionized water, and digested with trypsin as described previously (Chen et al., 2011). The obtained dry protein was redissolved in 0.1% trichloroacetic acid and identified by mass spectrometry. MS/MS spectra were acquired using an ABI 5800 MALDI-TOF/TOF Plus mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). Data were acquired in a positive MS reflector using a CalMix5 A standard to calibrate the instrument (ABI5800 Calibration Mixture). Primary and secondary mass spectrometry data were integrated, and the results were analyzed and identified using GPS 3.6 (Applied Biosystems) and Mascot 2.3 (Matrix Science). Identification of proteins was performed using the following search parameters: NCBI-*Auricularia*, NCBI-fungi database, trypsin as the digestion enzyme and allowable maximum leakage cutting locus of 1, fixed modification of carbamidomethyl (C), partial modifications of acetyl (protein N-term), deamidated (NQ), dioxidation (W), and oxidation (M), the MS tolerance was 100 ppm, the MS/MS tolerance was 0.3 Da, and a protein score CI% of more than 95% indicates successful identification.

## **Functional Categorization of Proteins**

The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (last updated on 1 November 2020) (Kanehisa et al., 2008) is a database resource for understanding high-level functions and utilities of a biological system, such as the cell, the organism, and the ecosystem, from molecular-level information, especially large-scale molecular datasets generated by genome sequencing and other high-throughput experimental technologies<sup>1</sup>. We used KOBAS software to test the statistical enrichment of differentially expressed proteins in KEGG pathways.

#### UV–Visible/Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectra of *A. auricula-judae* Melanin Analysis

The powder of freeze-dried melanin is mixed with KBr (mass ratio 1:200) and pressed into tablets, and the infrared (IR) spectrum (500–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is recorded by a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Zou et al., 2013).

#### Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Analysis of *A. auricula-judae* Melanin Analysis

The melanin of *A. auricula-judae* was extracted with NaOH and HCL and purified by using strong acid hydrolysis and organic solvent (Li, 2011). The purified melanin of *A. auricula-judae* was identified by EPR.

## **qRT-PCR** Analysis

To validate the protein data, nine genes were chosen for RT-PCR analysis. The total RNA was isolated with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and then treated with recombinant DNase I (RNase-free, TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). Ten micrograms of RNA was used to synthesize the cDNA with the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), and the cDNAs were used for RT-PCR analysis with specific primers (**Supplementary Table 1**). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System using Power SYBR Green chemistry (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). 18S was quantified as an internal control, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.genome.jp/kegg/

the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method was used to analyze differential expression (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The mean of three biological replicates, for which three technical replicates were averaged, was presented. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed if the fold change was  $\geq 1.5$  or  $\leq 0.67$ , and the *p*-value was tested by ANOVA with the *post hoc* Tukey test (p < 0.05).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All statistical calculations were performed by the SPSS 17.0 software package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). All claims of statistical significance (p < 0.05) were assessed by Student's *t*-test and two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05) with Tukey HSD *post hoc* test.

## RESULTS

## **Color Analysis**

Under the treatments of outdoor freezing and -40°C freezing, the color of the fruiting bodies was lighter with longer processing times. The color of fruiting bodies is not significantly different under different times of -20°C freezing treatment (Figure 1A). The CIE test showed a greater L\* value of the fruiting bodies under different times of outdoor and -40°C freezing treatments. After the outdoor and -40°C freezing treatments, the b\* value of the fruiting bodies was increased after 2 months of freezing treatment and had no change after 3 or 4 months of treatment compared to 2 months. Under -20°C freezing treatment, the L\* and b\* values of fruiting bodies did not continuously increase with the change of treatment time. The a\* value of the fruiting bodies showed no significant alteration under three freezing treatments (Figure 1B). Combining the color observation and CIE test, we found that the color of fruiting bodies was significantly changed under 2 months of outdoor freezing treatment, which was quite brown compared to the control group, and the A. auricula-judae with outdoor freezing treatment for 2 months was used for the follow-up analysis. According to the phenotypic observation of A. auricula-judae, the biomass of the A. auricula-judae significantly increased under freezing treatment, which is consistent with previous research (Gao, 2012).

## **Enzyme Activity Analysis**

During the freezing treatment, the changes in ambient temperature may cause oxidative stress, which affects the synthesis of DOPA melanin (Jarrar et al., 2017). To test if the color change of *A. auricula-judae* upon freezing treatment correlated with oxidative stress, we detected the activities of POD and SOD, the main antioxidative enzymes that would be induced by oxidative stress. The activities of POD and SOD in the mycelium were significantly increased after outdoor freezing treatment. In contrast, there is no significant difference comparing the control and treated groups in the fruiting bodies (**Figures 2A,B**). This indicated that the freezing treatment caused oxidative stress to the mycelium, which may affect the synthesis of melanin in the mycelium. Tyrosinase and laccase are known to play a key role in melanin synthesis (Sapmak et al., 2015). We therefore analyzed whether freezing treatment could impact the tyrosinase and laccase enzyme activities. The activity of tyrosinase was inhibited, and laccase activity was enhanced in the mycelium after freezing treatment (**Figures 2C,D**), which indicates that freezing treatment inhibits the melanin synthetic process. The activities of tyrosinase and laccase in the fruiting bodies were significantly higher than those in the control group and far lower than those in the mycelium; therefore, we infer that the melanin synthesis begins in the mycelium stage. L-DOPA was synthesized from tyrosine, which was catalyzed by tyrosinase and then further became DQ in the mycelium stage. DQ may react with cysteamine or glutathione at the fruiting bodies stage for further oxidative polymerization to produce pheomelanin.

#### **Mineral Element Analysis**

Pheomelanin is a sulfur-containing macromolecule, a melanin synthesized by tyrosine, dopamine, dopamine, tyramine, cysteine, and glutathione (Prota, 1980). Some metal ions can activate or inhibit protease activities, such as high concentrations of Cu and Zn that can inhibit the activity of tyrosinase and laccase (Chen and Song, 2006). Thus, we detected S, Cu, and Zn elements by ICP-OES analysis. As shown in **Figure 3**, it was found that the contents of S and Cu elements in the mycelium were increased, but Zn was decreased after freezing treatment. Different situations happened in the fruiting bodies; the accumulation of S, Cu, and Zn was all significantly induced by freezing treatment (**Figure 3**), suggesting that the inhibition of melanin synthesis caused by freezing condition may be correlated with these elements.

## **Protein Identification**

To analyze the underlying molecular network contributing to the regulation of the color change of A. auricula-judae under freezing treatment, a comparative proteomics analysis was performed, and the protein expression profile in A. auricula-judae was uncovered. We identified more than 1500 protein spots in the CBB staining 2D gels by the detection of ImageMaster 2D Platinum software. The isoelectric point of the protein is in the pH range of 3-10, and the molecular weight is between 14.4 and 1116.0 kDa (Figure 4). Based on the fold change of more than 1.5 with a *p*-value < 0.05 of variation in protein abundance, we detected a total of 51 and 36 differentially expressed protein spots in the mycelium and fruiting bodies after freezing treatment (Tables 1, 2). As shown, all proteins identified were supported by the MS/MS sequenced peptides. A total of 73 proteins were successfully identified according to peptide matching results using MASCOT (Supplementary Table 2). Among the 73 differentially expressed proteins, 12 proteins were upregulated and 27 proteins downregulated in the mycelium, while eight proteins were upregulated and 19 proteins downregulated in the fruiting bodies (Figure 5A); meanwhile, seven proteins in the mycelium and fruiting bodies failed to be identified. Among these distinct proteins, it was found that the expression of tyrosinase and laccase in the mycelium and fruiting bodies had no change after freezing treatment. This means that the regulation



**FIGURE 1** Color changes of *A. auricula-judae.* (A) The color phenotype of fruiting bodies under outdoor,  $-20^{\circ}$ C, and  $-40^{\circ}$ C freezing treatments for 1–4 months. (B) The CIE L\*-value (lightness), CIE a\*-value (redness), and CIE b\*-value (yellowness) of the fruiting bodies of *A. auricula-judae* were determined under outdoor,  $-20^{\circ}$ C, and  $-40^{\circ}$ C freezing treatments. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the means (p < 0.05) for L\*, a\*, and b\* calculated by one-way ANOVA.



of the activities of these two melanin synthases by freezing treatment may not result from the change of protein abundance. There were five proteins that exhibited changed expression in both the mycelium and fruiting bodies. The expression levels of ketol-acid reductoisomerase in the mycelium and fruiting bodies were similar, and the protein expression levels were upregulated after freezing treatment. The other three proteins, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha (EIF2S1), cyclophilin, and V-ATPase, were upregulated in the mycelium after freezing treatment but downregulated in the fruiting







24-cm pH 3–10 IPG strips, followed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie Blue R250 protein gel stain. The numbers refer to the proteins that were successfully identified and are shown in Tables 1, 2. The molecular masses of the Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope standards (Bio-Rad) are indicated on the left.

bodies. In contrast, the expression level of hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT\_158505 was downregulated in the mycelium but upregulated in the fruiting bodies after freezing treatment (Figure 5B). This means that the physiological and mechanistic effects of freezing treatment on the mycelium and fruiting bodies of A. auricula-judae may be distinct.

Schwarz	Spot No. <sup>a</sup>	NCBI accession No. (GI) <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Exp pl/kDa <sup>c</sup>	Thero pl/kDa <sup>d</sup>	Score <sup>e</sup>	SC <sup>f (</sup> %)	PN <sup>g</sup>	Mycelium fold change
26 g) 303240822 Inon-containing alcohol dehydrogenses 1, parkal 6.69/35,1 7.75(3,1) 107 2 1 A   218 g) 30324615 Schopory limitalite dehydrogenses 6.89/35,1 8.83/43,89 20 2 4 2.3   236 g) 303246451 Schopory limitalite dehydrogenses 5.82/40,00 5.41/40,22 202 8 2.2 A   241 g) 303246768 NAD(P)-binding protein 7.52/40,00 5.82/40,37 90 5.2 A   242 g) 303247638 Tables phosphoryase 7.25/40,30 8.40/44,4 168 3 2.2 A   258 g) 303247638 Tables phosphoryase 7.52/40,30 5.41/62,2 3.00 7.7 A 10 C A   268 g) 303247638 Tables phosphoryase 6.30/46,8 6.60/57,75,8 100 6 2 A   270 g) 30326505 Functose 1, 6-bisphosphatase 6.30/24,8 6.60/57,76,8 103 4 10 0.20   210 g) 30326505 Functose 1, 6-bisphosphatase 6.30/24,8 5.67/37,8 <t< th=""><th>Amino acid</th><th>metabolism</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Amino acid	metabolism							
218gl 303242110Katol-add reductosomenaes6.876.218.840.895.8213.33231gl 303246513-lsopropynalate delydrogenaes6.18/36.545.41/40.22205826.7241gl 30324569Aspartaje aninotransferaes7.52/40.908.34/86.379052A242gl 30324578AbD/P; bining protein7.7140.308.31/86.9620A2A242gl 30324578Tehalose phosphoryase8.51/66.26.39/82.7331075A286gl 30324508Tehalose phosphoryase6.70/40.437.77/71.6110062A270gl 30324508Tansaldolase6.31/4.896.62/5.7770310.20210gl 30324607Tansaldolase6.31/4.896.62/5.7770310.20214gl 30324607Tansaldolase6.31/4.896.67/37.861091640.27214gl 30324607Tansaldolase6.31/4.896.67/37.861391640.27214gl 30324607Tansaldolase6.31/4.896.67/37.861391640.27215gl 30324607Tansaldolase6.31/4.896.71/4.886.31/6.8743211.457216gl 30324607Tansaldolase6.31/4.895.71/6.8.6743211.457216gl 30324508Vype ATPase6.31/4.195.71/6.8.67 </td <td>26</td> <td>gi  393240892</td> <td>Iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase 1, partial</td> <td>6.60/44.38</td> <td>7.75/53.19</td> <td>107</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>А</td>	26	gi  393240892	Iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase 1, partial	6.60/44.38	7.75/53.19	107	2	1	А
231 g  303246451 3-lsopropylmalate dehydrogenase 6.18/6.64 6.14/4.022 202 8 2 A   236 g  303246451 3-lsopropylmalate dehydrogenase 7.52/4.090 5.41/4.022 205 8 2 A   241 g  303246788 NAD[P-binding protein 7.71/4.043 8.31/8.596 2.21 8 2 A   242 g  303246788 NAD[P-binding protein 7.71/4.043 8.31/8.596 2.21 8 2 A   268 g  303245788 NAD[P-binding protein 7.71/4.043 8.31/8.596 2.21 8 2 A   278 g  303245768 Trahalse phosphonylase 8.51/66.2 6.39/8.77 70 3 1 0.20   214 g  303245076 Transalc/olase 6.37/4.148 6.87/7.788 1.03 4 1 0.302   214 g  303245076 Hypo ATPase 6.37/4.148 6.57/6.66.7 4.3 2 1 4.57/1   214 g  303245076 Hypo ATPase 6.37/4.148 5.57/6.66.7 4.3 2 1 2.5 <td< td=""><td>218</td><td>gi  393242110</td><td>Ketol-acid reductoisomerase</td><td>6.89/35.21</td><td>8.83/43.89</td><td>58</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>3.33</td></td<>	218	gi  393242110	Ketol-acid reductoisomerase	6.89/35.21	8.83/43.89	58	2	1	3.33
286glgl34sopropymalete dehydrogenase5.8/40.905.4140.2220582A241gl393245690Aspartate aninotransferase7.52/40.908.23/46.379052A242gl39324780NADPP-binding protein7.71/40.408.31/86.9622182A288gl393247930Acetylgutamate kinase ARG67.23/45.388.40/94.4416832A280gl393245080Citrate synthase6.70/40.437.77/51.6110062A281gl393242616Transidolase6.31/94.896.62/35.7770310.20214gl393240600Transidolase6.32/44.685.67/38.81.03410.20214gl393240607Transidolase protein5.19/34.884.98/36.163191640.27214gl393240607Transidolase protein5.19/34.884.98/36.163191640.27214gl39324092Vhype ATPase5.19/34.885.57/68.6743211.4.57215gl39324592Vhype ATPase5.57/44.95.75/68.6743214.57216gl39324592Vhype ATPase6.32/24.455.75/68.6743214.57216gl39324592Vhype ATPase6.32/24.455.70/68.774526.3A	231	gi  393246451	3-Isopropylmalate dehydrogenase	6.18/36.54	5.41/40.22	202	8	2	6.71
241gl 332245569Asparlate aminotransferase7.52/40.908.23/46.379052A242gl 33246778NAD(P-)binding protein7.71/40.438.31/36.9622182A286gl 33324538rehalose phosphorylase8.51/66.26.39/82.7331075A27gl 333244508Tenalso ephosphorylase6.70/40.437.77/51.6110062.2A28gl 33324505Fructosen-L6-bisphosphatase6.31/42.496.62/35.7777310.20214gl 33324605Fructosen-L6-bisphosphatase6.32/44.685.67/37.88103410.39214gl 33324005Hypotherical protein ALIPDEDPAFT_901147.21/50.876.29/85.777.073410.39214gl 33324005Hypotherical protein ALIPDEDPAFT_901147.21/50.876.29/85.777.073410.39214gl 33324502Hypotherical protein ALIPDEDPAFT_901147.21/50.876.29/85.777.073411.457315gl 33324502Hypotherical protein ALIPDEDPAFT_901147.21/50.876.57/56.6740216.145.77315gl 33324502Hypotherical protein ALIPDEDPAFT6.39/24.475.57/66.6742216.37316gl 33324502Hypotherical protein L1366.39/24.375.57/36.8745414317gl 33324502Hopotherical prote	236	gi  393246451	3-Isopropylmalate dehydrogenase	5.82/40.90	5.41/40.22	205	8	2	А
2429  393246788NADIP-binding protein7.71/40.438.31/36.862.2182.2A2689  393237973Activglutarate kinase ARG67.23/45.388.40/94.4416832A2789  393245388Thalase phosphytase8.51/66.26.39/82.73303077A4A2739  39324506Tansatoloase6.17/40.437.77/51.6110062A2839  39324607Tansatoloase6.31/4.896.62/57.770310.392149  39324607Tansatoloase6.32/34.685.67/37.88103440.272849  39324692Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.87402114.572849  39324592Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.87402114.572849  39324592Hypot ATPase4.71/14.485.75/68.67402114.578149  39324592Hypot ATPase6.32/34.505.75/68.67422114.578159  39324592Hypot ATPase6.32/32.305.75/68.6742214.578169  39324592Hypot ATPase6.32/32.305.75/68.6742214.578169  39324592Hypothese domain-containing protein6.32/32.306.51/8.59414.578179  39324592Bitophone and protein L1366.32/32.	241	gi  393245569	Aspartate aminotransferase	7.52/40.90	8.23/46.37	90	5	2	А
268gl 393237973Acetydgutamate kinase ARG67.23/45.386.40/94.4416832A328gl 39324538Teholose phosphorylese6.51/66.26.39/62.76.39/62.77.05ACarbohydrate meter27gl 393241501Totale synthase6.70/40.437.77/51.6110062A33gl 393247616Transloklese6.31/34.896.62/35.777.0310.20210gl 393246050Fructose-1.6-bisphosphatase6.32/34.886.39/36.81319164.00.27284gl 39324096Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.876.24/66.6214683AAEmergentability74gl 393246928Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.876.24/66.621463AAA	242	gi  393246788	NAD(P)-binding protein	7.71/40.43	8.31/36.96	221	8	2	А
328gl 393245388Trehalose phosphorylase8.51/66.26.39/82.7331075ACarbodyridar embodyridae embo	268	gi  393237973	Acetylglutamate kinase ARG6	7.23/45.38	8.40/94.44	168	3	2	А
Carbohydrate method i solva i solv	328	gi  393245388	Trehalose phosphorylase	8.51/66.2	6.39/82.73	310	7	5	А
27 gl 393241500 Citrate synthase 6.70/40.43 7.77/51.61 100 6 2 A   33 gl 393242616 Transalcolase 6.31/34.89 6.62/35.77 70 3 1 0.20   210 gl 393246070 Thiamin diphosphatae-binding protein 6.32/34.68 5.67/37.88 103 4 0.20   284 gl 39324090 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_90114 7.21/50.87 6.24/56.62 146 8 3 A   Energy metabolism   T 91/393245928 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_90114 7.21/50.87 40 2 1 14.57   74 gl 393245928 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_90114 7.21/50.87 40 2 1 14.57   81 gl 393245928 HypotheTpase 6.52/34.45 5.57/68.67 43 2 1 14.57   81 gl 393245928 Hypothetpase-domain-containing protein 6.83/23.10 5.70/32.97 58 4 1 4.67   Strente information fill 393247912 Biosomal protein L136 6.83/23.23 5.	Carbohydra	te metabolism							
33gil 393242616Tansaldolase6.31/34.896.62/35.7770310.20210gil 39323605Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase6.29/34.685.67/37.88103410.39214gil 393234060Thaimin diphosphate-binding protein5.19/34.884.98/36.163191640.27284gil 393234060Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.876.24/56.6214683AEnergy metabolis79 il 393245928V-type ATPase4.71/14.485.75/68.67402116.139 il 393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.545.75/68.67432026.2735gil 393246905Pyrophosphatase-domain-containing protein6.33/2.105.70/32.9758414.66Cenetic informaticUUU199.39324618Uojutin, partial6.39/2.381.145/23.64163AA219.393246789Bet v1-like protein6.39/34.235.30/34.44606620.369.19 393246789Bet v1-like protein6.39/34.235.30/34.44606620.3621gil 393246789Bet v1-like protein AURDEDRAFT_1788156.29/63.885.87/0.717341A21gil 393246789Be	27	gi  393241500	Citrate synthase	6.70/40.43	7.77/51.61	100	6	2	А
210gl 393236505Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase6.32/34.685.67/37.88103410.39214gl 393246070Thiamin diphosphate-binding protein5.19/34.884.98/36.163191640.27284gl 393246070Hypothetica protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.876.24/56.6214680.2AEnergy metabolis74gl 393245928V-type ATPase4.71/14.485.75/68.67402116.3391gl 393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.75/68.6742212.7681gl 393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.75/68.6742212.76826gl 393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.75/68.6742212.76Bit Som algo totin L13e6.32/34.455.75/68.6742212.76Gi 393224618Ubiquitin, partial5.38/32.346.81/8.94285363A291393224618Ubiquitin, partial5.38/32.346.81/8.94285363A21410247gl 393246789Bet v1-like protein6.39/34.235.30/34.44606620.363A21AA1A1A1A1AAA1AA1AAAAAA<	33	gi  393242616	Transaldolase	6.31/34.89	6.62/35.77	70	3	1	0.20
214gl 393246070Thiamin diphosphate-binding protein5.19/34.884.98/36.163191640.27284gl 39324096Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_901147.21/50.876.24/56.621468830AEnergy metabolise74gl 393245928V-type ATPase4.71/14.485.75/68.6740216.1391393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.75/68.6742216.139191/393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.70/32.9758414.76Cenetic informativeUU159gl 393247912Ribosomal protein L13e8.63/25.3811.45/23.6416633A159gl 393247912Ribosomal protein L13e5.30/34.235.30/34.4460620.36171gl 393247912Ribosomal protein factor 2 subunit alpha5.17/35.235.00/58.779941A217gl 3932408Bet v1-like protein factor 2 subunit alpha6.17/35.235.00/58.779941A280gl 39324431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha6.21/41.895.68/70.717.3110.33341gl 39324402Galactese mutarctase-like protein6.21/41.895.68/70.717.3110.33341gl 39324433Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_1788156.32/6	210	gi  393236505	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase	6.32/34.68	5.67/37.88	103	4	1	0.39
284gi <td>214</td> <td>gi  393246070</td> <td>Thiamin diphosphate-binding protein</td> <td>5.19/34.88</td> <td>4.98/36.16</td> <td>319</td> <td>16</td> <td>4</td> <td>0.27</td>	214	gi  393246070	Thiamin diphosphate-binding protein	5.19/34.88	4.98/36.16	319	16	4	0.27
Elemental series of the series	284	gi  393234096	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_90114	7.21/50.87	6.24/56.62	146	8	3	А
74 gil 393245928 V-type ATPase 4.71/14.48 5.75/68.67 40 2 1 14.57   81 gil 393245928 V-type ATPase 6.25/14.49 5.75/68.67 42 2 1 6.13   91 gil 393245928 V-type ATPase 6.22/34.45 5.75/68.67 42 2 1 2.76   385 gil 393246905 Prophosphatase-domain-containing protein 6.83/32.10 5.70/32.97 5.8 4 1 4.76 <b>Centeri Informative constanting protein L13e</b> 6.83/32.10 5.70/32.97 5.8 4 1 4.76   195 gil 393247912 Ribosomal protein L13e 5.86/25.38 11.45/23.64 16 3 4	Energy meta	abolism							
81gi393245928V-type ATPase4.55/14.495.75/68.6743216.1391gi393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.75/68.6742212.76385gi393246905Pyrophosphatase-domain-containing protein6.83/32.105.70/32.9758414.76 <b>Genetic informationality protein L13e</b> 8.63/25.3811.45/23.64154163A195gi393246789Bet v1-like protein5.38/32.346.81/8.94285363A211gi393246789Bet v1-like protein6.39/34.235.30/34.4460620.36217gi39324431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha5.17/35.235.00/35.879941A280gi39324431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha6.25/46.475.00/35.8741410301gi393247433Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_1788156.32/46.885.58/70.7173110.31301gi393240202Galactose mutarotase-like protein6.21/41.895.68/02.7173110.31304gi39324032Galactose mutarotase-like protein6.21/41.895.68/70.7173110.313041gi39324042Galactose mutarotase-like protein6.32/63.885.58/70.7173110.31	74	gi  393245928	V-type ATPase	4.71/14.48	5.75/68.67	40	2	1	14.57
91gil393245928V-type ATPase6.32/34.455.75/68.6742212.76385gil393246905Pyrophosphatase-domain-containing protein6.83/32.105.70/32.9758414.76Genetic informationUsing colspan="4">Using colspan="4"14.76Using colspan="4">Using colspan="4">Using colspan="4">Using colspan="4">Using colspan="4">Using colspan="4">Using colspan="4"11154163A195gil393247912Ribosomal protein L13e5.38/32.346.81/8.94285363A211gil393246789Bet v1-like protein6.39/34.235.30/34.4460620.36217gil39324431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha5.17/35.235.00/35.879941A285gil39324431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha6.25/46.475.00/35.8741410313 3924043Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_1788156.32/63.885.58/70.7173110.3341gil39344204Galcose mutarotase-like protein6.21/41.896.99/22.9073514.79144 <td>81</td> <td>gi  393245928</td> <td>V-type ATPase</td> <td>4.55/14.49</td> <td>5.75/68.67</td> <td>43</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>6.13</td>	81	gi  393245928	V-type ATPase	4.55/14.49	5.75/68.67	43	2	1	6.13
385 gil 393246905 Pyrophosphatase-domain-containing protein 6.83/32.10 5.70/32.97 58 4 1 4.76   Genetic information   159 gil 393247912 Ribosomal protein L13e 8.63/25.38 11.45/23.64 154 16 3 A   195 gil 3932246789 Bet v1-like protein 5.38/32.34 6.81/8.94 285 36 3 A   211 gil 393246789 Bet v1-like protein 6.39/34.23 5.30/34.44 60 6 2 0.36   217 gil 39324431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 5.17/35.23 5.00/35.87 99 4 1 A   280 gil 39324431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 6.25/46.47 5.00/35.87 41 4 1 0   306 gil 393247433 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815 6.32/63.88 5.58/70.71 73 1 1 0.33   341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metab	91	gi  393245928	V-type ATPase	6.32/34.45	5.75/68.67	42	2	1	2.76
Genetic informatio-processing   Ribosomal protein L13e   8.63/25.38   11.45/23.64   154   16   3   A     195   gil 393229618   Ubiquitin, partial   5.38/32.34   6.81/8.94   285   36   3   A     211   gil 393246789   Bet v1-like protein   6.39/34.23   5.30/34.44   60   6   2   0.36     217   gil 393234431   Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha   5.17/35.23   5.00/35.87   99   4   1   A     247   gil 393234431   Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha   6.27/42.43.89   6.00/41.63   75   4   1   A     280   gil 39324431   Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha   6.27/46.47   5.00/35.87   41   4   1   0.33     306   gil 393247433   Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815   6.32/63.88   5.58/70.71   73   1   1   0.33     341   gil 393242042   Galactose mutarotase-like protein   6.21/41.89   5.64/46.03   83   4	385	gi  393246905	Pyrophosphatase-domain-containing protein	6.83/32.10	5.70/32.97	58	4	1	4.76
159 gil 393247912 Ribosomal protein L13e 8.63/25.38 11.45/23.64 154 16 3 A   195 gil 393229618 Ubiquitin, partial 5.38/32.34 6.81/8.94 285 36 3 A   211 gil 393246789 Bet v1-like protein 6.39/34.23 5.30/34.44 60 6 2 0.36   217 gil 393234431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 5.17/35.23 5.00/35.87 99 4 1 A   247 gil 393234431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 6.25/46.47 5.00/35.87 41 4 1 0-   306 gil 393247433 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815 6.32/63.88 5.58/70.71 73 1 1 0.33   341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metabolism of cofeee mutarotase-like protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.51/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79   Nucleotide metabolism dividinenditi AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.51/24.37	Genetic info	ormation processing							
195gil 393229618Ubiquitin, partial5.38/32.346.81/8.94285363A211gil 393246789Bet v1-like protein6.39/34.235.30/34.4460620.36217gil 39323431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha5.17/35.235.00/35.879941A247gil 3932340826S proteasome subunit P457.42/43.896.00/41.637541A280gil 393234431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha6.25/46.475.00/35.8741410-3306gil 393247433Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_1788156.32/63.885.58/70.7173110.33341gil 393242042Galactose mutarotase-like protein6.21/41.895.64/46.03834211.12Metabolism of cotro subunit AURDEDRAFT_1585056.351/24.376.99/22.9073514.79Nucleotide metabolism of cotro subunit AURDEDRAFT_1585056.351/24.376.99/22.9073514.79	159	gi  393247912	Ribosomal protein L13e	8.63/25.38	11.45/23.64	154	16	3	А
211 gil 393246789 Bet v1-like protein 6.39/34.23 5.30/34.44 60 6 2 0.36   217 gil 393234431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 5.17/35.23 5.00/35.87 99 4 1 A   247 gil 393232408 26S proteasome subunit P45 7.42/43.89 6.00/41.63 75 4 1 A   280 gil 393234431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 6.25/46.47 5.00/35.87 41 4 1 0-   306 gil 393247433 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815 6.32/63.88 5.58/70.71 73 1 1 0.33   341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metabolism of cotation all protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.351/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79   Nucleotide metabolism Fusion all protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.351/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79	195	gi  393229618	Ubiquitin, partial	5.38/32.34	6.81/8.94	285	36	3	А
217gil 393234431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha5.17/35.235.00/35.879941A247gil 39323240826S proteasome subunit P457.42/43.896.00/41.637541A280gil 393234431Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha6.25/46.475.00/35.8741410-306gil 393247433Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_1788156.32/63.885.58/70.7173110.33341gil 393242042Galactose mutarotase-like protein6.21/41.895.64/46.03834211.12Metabolism of cotar subunit alpha gil 393245268Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_1585056.351/24.376.99/22.9073514.79Nucleotide metabolism	211	gi  393246789	Bet v1-like protein	6.39/34.23	5.30/34.44	60	6	2	0.36
247 gil 393232408 26S proteasome subunit P45 7.42/43.89 6.00/41.63 75 4 1 A   280 gil 393234431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 6.25/46.47 5.00/35.87 41 4 1 0-   306 gil 393247433 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815 6.32/63.88 5.58/70.71 73 1 1 0.33   341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metabolism of control translation all protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.351/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79   Nucleotide metabolism	217	gi  393234431	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha	5.17/35.23	5.00/35.87	99	4	1	А
280 gil 393234431 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha 6.25/46.47 5.00/35.87 41 4 1 0-   306 gil 393247433 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815 6.32/63.88 5.58/70.71 73 1 1 0.33   341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metabolism of colspan="4">Vitamins   144 gil 393245268 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.351/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79   Nucleotide metabolism	247	gi  393232408	26S proteasome subunit P45	7.42/43.89	6.00/41.63	75	4	1	А
306 gil 393247433 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815 6.32/63.88 5.58/70.71 73 1 1 0.33   341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metabolism of colspan="4">Vitamins   144 gil 393245268 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.351/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79   Nucleotide metabolism	280	gi  393234431	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha	6.25/46.47	5.00/35.87	41	4	1	0-
341 gil 393242042 Galactose mutarotase-like protein 6.21/41.89 5.64/46.03 83 4 2 11.12   Metabolism of colspan="4">Image: Second	306	gi  393247433	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_178815	6.32/63.88	5.58/70.71	73	1	1	0.33
Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins     144   gil 393245268   Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505   6.351/24.37   6.99/22.90   73   5   1   4.79     Nucleotide metabolism	341	gi  393242042	Galactose mutarotase-like protein	6.21/41.89	5.64/46.03	83	4	2	11.12
144 gi  393245268 Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505 6.351/24.37 6.99/22.90 73 5 1 4.79   Nucleotide metabolism	Metabolism	of cofactors and vitamins							
Nucleotide metabolism	144	gi  393245268	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505	6.351/24.37	6.99/22.90	73	5	1	4.79
	Nucleotide	metabolism							
206 gi  393226636 NAD(P)-binding protein 6.891/33.45 6.37/36.60 68 3 1 A	206	gi  393226636	NAD(P)-binding protein	6.891/33.45	6.37/36.60	68	3	1	А

#### TABLE 1 | Protein identities and their relative changes under freezing treatment in A. auricula-judae mycelium.

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

Spot No. <sup>a</sup>	NCBI accession No. (GI) <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Exp pl/kDa <sup>c</sup>	Thero pl/kDa <sup>d</sup>	Score <sup>e</sup>	SC <sup>f (</sup> %)	PN <sup>g</sup>	Mycelium fold change
Transport ar	nd catabolism							
149	gi  393228574	Manganese superoxide dismutase	7.56/24.31	6.65/22.44	216	15	3	А
365	gi  393228574	Manganese superoxide dismutase	6.71/24.89	6.65/22.44	208	15	3	А
Glycan bios	ynthesis and metabolism							
83	gi  9586355	Glycoside hydrolase family 38 protein	6.87/13.56	5.83/119.98	83	0	1	А
Lipid metab	olism							
49	gi  393246546	Prolyl aminopeptidase serine peptidase	6.42/32.87	5.60/35.70	70	4	1	0.55
320	gi  393234249	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_153044	5.61/66.54	6.53/79.80	86	3	2	А
Metabolism	of other amino acids							
154	gi  393240170	Glutathione S-transferase	6.56/24.88	6.43/23.47	78	4	1	2.26
Metabolism	of terpenoids and polyketides							
182	gi  393247824	Isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase	9.211/31.87	5.22/28.86	86	10	2	А
233	gi  393247674	GTP binding protein	5.21/20.68	5.06/41.44	420	16	5	А
Unknown								
85	gi  393244469	YjgF-like protein	5.51/14.49	5.61/14.18	34	7	1	0.44
88	gi  393238524	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_63592	3.00/15.45	5.27/13.16	36	1	2	А
99	gi  393230623	Cyclophilin	8.52/15.45	8.78/17.49	334	27	3	2.76
208	gi  393235619	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_126065	5.83/34.15	10.97/45.84	37	2	1	А
223	gi  393243746	Protein prenyltransferase	5.81/36.78	5.58/39.04	163	10	3	А
228	gi  393243670	Putative cyanide hydratase	6.21/36.58	5.96/40.75	172	10	3	4.58
239	gi  393227903	Carbohydrate-binding module family 12 protein	7.31/40.88	6.18/36.27	64	8	3	А
243	gi  393245114	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_111257	7.71/40.51	7.93/11.14	43	15	1	А
255	gi  393241515	Proliferation-associated protein 1	7.23/43.25	7.61/42.43	147	7	3	А

Two treatments including CK (control check) and OS (2 months outside freezing treatment) were performed; protein spots showing significant differences (n = 3, 1.5-fold,  $p \le 0.05$ ) were cut out and identified by LC-MS. <sup>a</sup>Assigned spot number as indicated in **Figures 4A,B**. <sup>b</sup>Database accession numbers according to NCBI database. <sup>c</sup>Theoretical and <sup>d</sup>experimental mass (kDa) and pl of identified proteins. Experimental values were calculated using ImageMaster 2D Platinum software. Theoretical values were retrieved from the protein database. <sup>e</sup>Statistical probability of true positive identification of the predicted protein calculated by MASCOT. <sup>f</sup>Sequence coverage. <sup>g</sup>Number of peptides sequenced. <sup>h</sup>Mean of relative protein abundance and standard error. "A" represents the protein spots deleted after treatment compared with the control group.

#### TABLE 2 | Protein identities and their relative changes under freezing treatment in A. auricula-judae fruiting bodies.

Spot no. <sup>a</sup>	NCBI accession no. (GI) <sup>b</sup>	Protein name	Exp pl/kDa <sup>c</sup>	Thero pl/kDa <sup>d</sup>	Score <sup>e</sup>	SC <sup>f</sup> (%)	PN <sup>g</sup>	Fruit body fold change
Genetic info	ormation processing							
36	gi  393243821	Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase PP1	5.81/37.231	5.78/38.48	107	11	З	5.88
99	gi  393234431	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha	5.73/47.11	5.00/35.87	41	4	1	2.58
667	gi  393245529	L30e-like protein	5.28/21.33	5.38/16.36	175	21	З	0.43
732	gi  393245027	Guanine nucleotide binding protein beta subunit	5.67/35.112	5.80/35.14	281	11	З	0.38
742	gi  393241577	Serine/threonine-specific protein phosphatase Sit4	4.79/18.321	4.96/35.63	186	13	3	0.33
865	gi  393232568	40S ribosomal protein S3	8.94/34.47	9.20/29.64	431	23	6	0.42
872	gi  393235988	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_167263	5.9/45.21	5.96/43.11	73	3	1	0.32
909	gi  393240875	60S ribosomal protein L35	7.2/24.14	10.79/14.80	41	10	1	А
945	gi  393227063	Ribosomal protein L14b/L23e	9.47/20.11	10.17/15.15	137	14	2	А
Transport a	nd catabolism							
90	gi  393241397	Ras-like protein	5.34/33.32	5.09/24.68	182	12	2	2.11
Carbohydra	te metabolism							
285	gi  393240494	Phosphomannomutase	6.25/33.13	5.73/29.56	131	8	2	2.20
430	gi  393246246	GroES-like protein	6.41/46.33	6.96/45.11	173	13	4	3.21
754	gi  346995726	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase	8.15/39.87	8.18/36.53	336	12	3	0.14
Amino acid	metabolism							
309	gi  18840885	Hypothetical protein DICSQDRAFT_180523	5.74/34.89	6.78/30.13	70	5	1	2.93
914	gi  393246246	GroES-like protein	6.41/46.42	5.97/42.92	64	3	1	А
962	gi  393242110	Ketol-acid reductoisomerase	5.89/43.14	8.83/43.89	146	5	2	А
Energy met	abolism							
942	gi  393245928	V-type ATPase	4.74/18.01	5.755/68.67	41	2	1	А
Metabolism	of cofactors and vitamins							
955	gi  393245268	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505	6.38/27.09	6.99/22.90	52	5	1	A
957	gi  393245268	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_158505	5.89/27.51	6.99/22.90	52	11	3	A
Nucleotide	metabolism							
958	gi  393230751	Cleavage and polyadenylation-specific factor 5	6.26/36.89	6.23/23.90	38	7	1	А
Signal trans	duction							
961	gi  393234194	Casein kinase I homolog 1	5.81/36.73	5.63/35.47	64	2	1	А
Unknown								
75	gi  393242075	Peptidase C14	6.92/63.01	5.58/34.27	85	4	1	2.04
141	gi  393243143	Chaperonin Cpn10	8.29/16.43	8.01/11.32	51	9	1	6.04
665	gi  393230623	Cyclophilin	6.93/21.91	8.78/17.49	120	11	1	0.40
852	gi  393246485	E set domain-containing protein	5.76/26.34	5.28/21.65	78	8	1	0.47
864	gi  393243068	Voltage-dependent ion-selective channel	8.91/26.77	9.05/31.92	228	9	2	0.26
919	gi  393246271	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_110532	4.92/34.89	4.89/21.82	142	9	1	А
944	gi  393230623	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase	8.35/20.89	7.85/16.69	129	14	2	А
960	gi  393230539	Hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT_92020	5.87/32.22	6.28/73.05	120	5	2	А

Two treatments including CK (control check) and OS (2 months outside freezing treatment) were performed; protein spots showing significant differences (n = 3, 1.5-fold,  $p \le 0.05$ ) were cut out and identified by LC-MS. <sup>a</sup>Assigned spot number as indicated in **Figures 4C,D**. <sup>b</sup>Database accession numbers according to NCBI database. <sup>c</sup>Theoretical and <sup>d</sup>experimental mass (kDa) and pl of identified proteins. Experimental values were calculated using ImageMaster 2D Platinum software. Theoretical values were retrieved from the protein database. <sup>e</sup>Statistical probability of true positive identification of the predicted protein calculated by MASCOT. <sup>f</sup>Sequence coverage. <sup>g</sup>Number of peptides sequenced. <sup>h</sup>Mean of relative protein abundance and standard error. "A" represents the protein spots deleted after treatment compared with the control group. Li et al.



differentially expressed proteins between the mycelium and fruiting bodies in *A. auricula-judae*. (B) A heat map represents the relative expression levels of proteins under freezing treatments. Blocks with colors indicate downregulated (black) or upregulated (red) expression. (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the gene expression of correlated encoding proteins in *A. auricula-judae* mycelium and fruiting bodies. Each data point represents the mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 3). MC represents the mycelium control group. MT represents the mycelium treatment group. FC represents the fruiting bodies control group. FT represents the fruiting bodies treatment group.

#### **Gene Expression**

As proteomics studies showed, the protein expression of tyrosinase and laccase was not affected by freezing treatment in both the mycelium and fruiting bodies. This means that the regulation of the activity of these two melanin synthases did not depend on the protein abundance. To check if the differentially expressed proteins are correlated with their gene expression at the transcription level, nine genes were selected to perform the qRT-PCR analysis (Figure 5C). The expression of four transcripts in the fruiting bodies was consistent with the abundances of the corresponding proteins, including hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT\_158505, aspartate aminotransferase, glutathione S-transferase, and transaldolase. The transcription of glutathione S-transferase in the mycelium was consistent with the abundance of the corresponding protein. This indicated that the accumulation of these proteins is due to the upregulated mRNA expression. However, the transcript expression for the hypothetical protein AURDEDRAFT\_158505, aspartate aminotransferase, and glutathione S-transferase in the mycelium and hypothetical protein DICSQDRAFT\_180523 in the fruiting bodies showed contrary results to their protein abundances. The expression of these four genes was downregulated in the fruiting bodies and upregulated in the mycelium, except for glutathione synthetase (Figure 5C), and the expression changes in these proteins could be caused by glycosylation, protein phosphorylation, protein degradation, and ubiquitination under freezing treatment.

## Functional Annotation of the *A. auricula-judae* Proteome

The KEGG pathway analysis classified the functions of proteins into 12 groups involved in different metabolic pathways of the mycelium, mainly including genetic information processing (18%), amino acid metabolism (15%), and carbohydrate and energy metabolism (13%). The proteins extracted from fruiting bodies were annotated into 10 groups, and the genetic information processing was significantly changed in the freezingtreated fruiting bodies (Figure 6A). We also analyzed the scatter plot of the significant KEGG enrichment function, and 20 and 15 pathways were enriched in the mycelium and fruiting bodies, respectively (Figure 6B). Among them, tyrosine metabolism, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, ribosome, pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis, porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism, cysteine and methionine metabolism, and oxidative phosphorylation showed co-enrichment in the mycelium and fruiting bodies. Glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, tyrosine metabolism, and arginine biosynthesis were mainly enriched in the mycelium. Ribosome, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, and mRNA surveillance pathways were mainly enriched in the fruiting bodies. The key enzyme involved in the synthesis of all types of melanin from the initial precursor tyrosine is tyrosinase (Hearing, 2011), and tyrosine metabolism was mainly enriched in the mycelium. We infer that the melanin synthesis begins in the mycelium stage. This speculation was consistent with the enzyme activity results.

#### UV-Visible/FTIR Spectra of *A. auricula-judae* Melanin Under Freezing Treatment

We conducted FTIR and UV analyses of melanin in the fruiting bodies after freezing treatment (**Supplementary Figure 3**). In the FTIR spectrum detection, we found that the wide peak of 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> was the O-H stretching vibration of phenol. The absorption peak of 2950–850 cm<sup>-1</sup> is the C-H stretching vibration of the aliphatic group, and the absorption peak of 1450 cm<sup>-1</sup> is the C-C stretching vibration of the aliphatic group, indicating that there may be a small amount of protein in the two melanin species. The absorption peaks of 1600–1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> were aryl skeleton C = C and carboxyl stretching vibration, and the peaks of 1380–1400 cm<sup>-1</sup> were phenolic hydroxyl bending vibration and N-H stretching vibration in indole. In addition, the weak absorption peak within the range of 800– 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicates that aromatic rings are replaced, forming a conjugated system, and the content of aromatic hydrogen



the mycelium and fruiting bodies under freezing treatment. The pie chart displays the proportion of identified proteins assigned to different functional categories according to the COG functional classification. **(B)** KEGG pathway enrichment of differently expressed proteins in the mycelium and fruiting bodies. The color of the dots represents the adjusted *p*-value, and the size of the dots represents the number of differently expressed proteins that were identified in the pathway.

is low. However, the absorption peak of melanin in control and freezing treatments is not significantly different, possibly because it contains a small amount of proteins to cover up the differences in structure (**Supplementary Figure 3A**). The UV absorption spectra of the *A. auricula-judae* melanin are similar (**Supplementary Figure 3B**), showing a stronger absorption at 200–300 nm. In addition, the melanin has more absorption than the melanin under freezing treatment in the UV region, possibly because the former contains more eumelanin.

## EPR Analysis of *A. auricula-judae* Melanin Analysis

Electron paramagnetic resonance spectra were recorded using the X-band Bruker Elexsys 500 spectrometer (Karlsruhe, Germany) with 100-kHz field modulation and 9.849554-GHz microwave frequency at 77 K and at room temperature. The calculated g (2.004) indicates the presence of free radicals in the control and freezing treatment samples, which are the characteristic signals of all melanin and do not appear in any other biological tissues or non-melanin compounds that do not contain melanin (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

## DISCUSSION

Freezing treatment is the most important step to cultivate *A. auricula-judae*, which is correlated with the growth rate, color formation, and biomass yield. Freezing treatment could improve the growth but negatively affect the color quality of fruiting bodies of *A. auricula-judae*. Until now, limited information has been available regarding the physiological and molecular mechanisms of how the freezing treatment impacts the growth and color of the mushroom. In our study, proteomic analysis was carried out, and the protein expression profiles of *A. auricula-judae* mycelium and fruiting bodies after freezing treatment showed that multiple biological processes, such as carbon metabolism, energy metabolism, biosynthesis of amino acids, protein processing and transport, and nucleotide metabolism, could be involved in the growth and color formation of *A. auricula-judae*.

From the observation of the color phenotype, the fruiting bodies obviously turn yellow after outdoor freezing treatment for 2 months (**Figure 1A**). In low-temperature environments, plants and fungi increase the activity of POD and SOD in the body, forming an active oxygen scavenging system to deal with the



oxidative stress caused by low temperature (Zhang et al., 2013). The antioxidant enzyme involved in the regulation process of oxidative stress can oxidize the precursor, 2-S-cysteine DOPA quinone, and 5-S-cysteine DQ of pheomelanin, subsequently affecting the DOPA-melanin synthesis process (Galván and Alonsoalvarez, 2009). In the mycelium, the expression of manganese SOD (spot 149) was upregulated, which is consistent with the increase of the enzyme activity (Figure 2A). These results indicated that freezing treatment produces oxidative stress on the mycelium of A. auricula-judae. This may have some effects on the melanin synthetic process in the mycelium (Figure 2). The mixing ratio of melanin to eumelanin or pheomelanin during synthesis depends on the tyrosinase activity and substrate concentration of cysteine, which is involved in glutathione synthesis (Simon et al., 2009). Glutathione is implicated in the biogenesis of the pheomelanin (Benathan et al., 1999), and glutathione S-transferase (spot 154) is a key enzyme in the glutathione binding reaction that catalyzes the initial step of the reaction of glutathione binding (Chen et al., 2013), which may lead to the incremental accumulation of cysteine in the mycelium (Figure 7). When cysteine is present in the cell, DQ will immediately bind to cysteine to form cysteamine DOPA and then through multiple enzymatic reactions produce pheomelanin (Ito and Wakamatsu, 2003). As KEGG pathways show, methionine adenosyltransferase (SAM2) and aspartate aminotransferase (AAT2) are involved in cysteine metabolism and catalyze the reaction of aminoacrylate production, which is the precursor of L-cysteine. Our study found that the expression of SAM2 and AAT2 was downregulated after freezing treatment, and for support, the content of cysteine significantly decreased

in the fruiting body (**Supplementary Figure 5**), which may influence the production of pheomelanin and the color quality of *A. auricula-judae* under freezing conditions (**Figure 7**). In addition, the increase of S element content after freezing treatment is consistent with the description of Zou et al. (2013), which indirectly proves that the synthesis of melanin after freezing treatment tends to synthesize pheomelanin, somehow indicating that the accumulation of pheomelanin may be induced by freezing and then brings about the color change of fruiting bodies.

Our study showed that differentially expressed proteins (spots 210, 214, 26, 242, and 341) in the mycelium were involved in the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis process (Table 1), which is the main process contributing to the high-efficiency production of biomass. The galactose mutarotation enzyme (spot 341) presented an 11.12-fold increase with the freezing treatment. Galactose induces cellulase production in lactose metabolism (Seiboth et al., 2002), which indicates that the utilization rate of the cultivated substrate in the mycelium was enhanced after freezing treatment. Methionine adenosyltransferase (spot 914) was identified as the GroES-like protein SFA1, which is involved in the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway, and the increased abundance of SFA1 indicated that the utilization rate of fruiting bodies to the cultivated substrate was enhanced after freezing treatment (Yu et al., 2015). Among the differentially expressed proteins in the fruiting bodies (Table 2), phosphomannomutase (spot 285) was involved in the metabolism of fructose and mannose simultaneously and at the same time also involved in the protein posttranslational modification, providing the glycosylation proteoglycan core, which is the main component

of the cell wall of the edible fungus (Seifert, 2004). Therefore, the upregulated expression of phosphomannomutase suggested that the cell wall of the fruiting bodies may be strengthened after the freezing treatment, resulting in a larger form of the fruiting bodies. Ribosomal protein L23 (spot 945), as a negative regulator in cell apoptosis (Wu et al., 2012), exhibited reduced expression after freezing treatment, which indicated that most of the cells in the fruiting bodies are mature. The expression of serine/threonine protein phosphatase (spot 36) in the fruiting bodies is upregulated after freezing treatment, which is an indispensable regulatory factor for the G2-M phase of the cell cycle in winemaking yeast (Thakur and Sanyal, 2011). The increased expression of serine/threonine-protein phosphatase may improve the mitotic activity in the fruiting bodies and then promote the growth and maturation of fruiting bodies. Raslike protein (spot 90) is mainly located in the inner side of the cell membrane and plays an important role in the regulation of cell proliferation and cell metabolism (Yang, 2010). The greater expressed abundance of Ras-like protein indicated that the cell wall of fruiting bodies may be enlarged, leading to the larger morphology of fruiting bodies.

Branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) are essential amino acids of the human body, and they are limited to plants and some microorganisms. They must be obtained through food and are valued for their nutritional applications (Shi and Yuan, 2003). BCAAs mainly include leucine, isoleucine, and valine. Ketol-acid reductoisomerases (spot 218) are a family of NADPH-dependent oxidoreductases, which are essential intermediates in the biosynthesis of BCAAs (Polaina, 1984). 3-Isopropylmalate dehydrogenase (spot 231) is a key enzyme for leucine biosynthesis, which is ultimately broken down into acetyl-CoA and acetoacetic acid and then enters the tricarboxylic acid cycle (Shmomura et al., 2006). Aspartic acid transaminase can convert phenylpyruvate (PPA) into L-phenylalanine in the presence of coenzyme pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) by using Laspartic acid as the amino donor and PPA as the substrate. L-Phenylalanine is a nutritional supplement and one of the essential amino acids (Wei et al., 2000). The expression levels of ketol-acid reductoisomerases, 3-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase, and aspartic acid transaminase were significantly increased in the mycelium after freezing treatment, which indicated that the nutritional value of A. auricula-judae fungus might be increased after freezing treatment.

Overall, the present study provides evidence that tyrosinase and laccase impact the synthesis of melanin and then affect the color quality of *A. auricula-judae* fruiting bodies. The complete

#### REFERENCES

- Akpaja, E. O., Okhuoya, J. A., and Ehwerheferere, B. A. (2005). Ethnomycology and indigenous uses of mushrooms among the binispeaking people of Nigeria: A case study of aihuobabekun community near Benin City, Nigeria. Int. J. Med. Mushrooms 7, 373–374. doi: 10.1615/IntJMedMushrooms. v7i3.270
- Benathan, M., Virador, V., Furumura, M., Kobayashi, N., Panizzon, R. G., and Hearing, V. J. (1999). Coregulation of melanin precursors and tyrosinase in human pigment cells: roles of cysteine and glutathione. *Cell. Mole. Biol.*

protein expression profile uncovered the underlying mechanisms of the modulation of the color quality and fruit growth of *A. auricula-judae* under freezing conditions. The proteins involved in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, tyrosine metabolism, and ribosome and arginine biosynthesis likely play a key role in the synthesis of melanin, and the expression changes of several metabolic enzymes in these pathways might influence the accumulation of pheomelanin. These proteins can be used as molecular markers in gene engineering to improve the melanin synthesis of *A. auricula-judae* in extreme environments. This study has provided a valuable basis for further understanding the molecular mechanism of the effect of the color of *A. auriculajudae* after freezing treatment and fruiting bodies formation, and it also gives theoretical evidence for the quality control and industrial application of *A. auricula-judae*.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

QP, XY, and ZL conceived and designed the research. QP, XY, ZL, JL, and TZ conducted the experiments. ZL contributed the new reagents or analytical tools. ZL, JL, and TZ analyzed the data. JL wrote the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

#### **FUNDING**

This research was financially supported by a grant from the Special Fund for Forest Scientific Research in the Public Welfare (Grant No. 201404703-7).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2020.610173/full#supplementary-material

45, 981–990. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0169(199911)44:3<225:AID-CM7>3.0. CO;2-#

- Butler, M. J., and Day, A. W. (1998). Fungal melanins: a review. Can J. Microbiol. 44, 1115–1136. doi: 10.1139/cjm-44-12-1115
- Chen, Q. X., and Song, K. K. (2006). Tyrosinase :Recent Prospects. J. Xiamen Univ. 45, 731–737. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0438-0479.2006.05.033
- Chen, Y. Z., Pang, Q. Y., Dai, S. J., Wang, Y., Chen, S. X., and Yan, X. F. (2011). Proteomic identification of differentially expressed proteins in Arabidopsis in response to methyl jasmonate. *J. Plant Physiol.* 168, 995–1008. doi: 10.1016/j. jplph.2011.01.018

- Chen, X. H., Wang, Z. Y., Li, X. P., Zhu, Y. M., Liu, L., Chen, W., et al. (2013). Research progress on glutathione S-transferases. J. Northeast Agric. Univ. 44, 149–153. doi: 10.13560/j.cnki.biotech.bull.1985.2016. 09.002
- Dai, X. D., Zhang, J. C., Zhang, P. Q., Han, Z. H., Kong, X. H., Ma, Q. F., et al. (2014). A New Auricularia auricula Cultivar 'Heiwei 15'. Acta Horti. Sin. 46, 2829–2830. doi: 10.16420/j.issn.0513-353x.2019-0852
- Geib, E., Gressler, M., Viediernikova, I., Hillmann, F., Jacobsen, I. D., Nietzsche, S., et al. (2016). A Noncanonical melanin biosynthesis pathway protects Aspergillus terreus conidia from environmental stress. *Cell Chem. Biol.* 23, 587–597. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2016.03.014
- Gao, Y. H. (2012). Cultivation experiment of Auricularia auricular. Edibie fungi China. 31, 64–68. doi: 10.13629/j.cnki.53-1054.2012.03.023
- Galván, I., and Alonsoalvarez, C. (2009). The expression of melanin-based plumage is separately modulated by exogenous oxidative stress and a melanocortin. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 276, 3089–3097. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2009.0774
- Hearing, V. J. (2011). Determination of melanin synthetic pathways. J. Investig. Dermatol. 131, E8–E11. doi: 10.1038/skinbio.2011.4
- Ito, S., and Wakamatsu, K. (2003). Quantitative analysis of eumelanin and pheomelanin in humans, mice, and other animals: a comparative review. *Pigm. Cell Res.* 16, 523–531. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0749.2003 00072.x
- Jarrar, M., Shafey, A. E., Essa, R., Damji, R., Rauf, A., and Hindi, I. (2017). Melanin relieves oxidative stress in adipocytes culture in vitro. *Pharm. Biol. Eval.* 4, 212–215. doi: 10.26510/2394-0859.pbe.2017.33
- Kanehisa, M., Araki, M., Goto, S., Masahiro, H., Mika, H., Masumi, I., et al. (2008). KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 480–484. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm882
- Li, B. (2011). Study on bacterial quorum sensing inhibition and antioxidant activity of melanin from *Auricularia auricula*. *Nanjing Agricul*. Univ. 2011: 2359948. doi: 10.7666/d.Y2359948
- Li, Z. W., Han, D. M., Wang, M. C., Zhang, Y. X., Wang, Y., Yan, X. F., et al. (2018). Optimization of the Sample Preparation Procedure for the Determination of Trace Elements in *Auricularia auricula* by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry. *Anal. Lett.* 51, 1763–1773. doi: 10.1080/00032719.2017. 1385619
- Li, J. Y., Chi, W., Liu, B., and Xu, Y. Q. (2019). Comparative Analysis of economic development status and production benefits of *Auricularia auricula* industry in China. *Dible fungi* 41, 6–8. doi: CNKI:SUN:SIYJ.0.2019-06-002
- Liu, Y. F., and Hu, N. N. (2018). Research and development strategy of Auricularia auricula in heilongjiang province. Technol. Manag. 25, 1–3. doi: CNKI:SUN: TJYY.0.2018-01-001
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Lv, S. (2008). Bagged cultivation technology of northern Auricularia auricula. Edible Fungi China. 27, 59–60. doi: 10.13629/j.cnki.53-1054.2008.05.018
- Luo, Y. C., Chen, G., Li, B., Ji, B. P., Yi, G., and Tian, F. (2009). Evaluation of antioxidative and hypolipidemic properties of a novel functional diet formulation of *Auricularia auricula* and *Hawthorn. Food Sci.* 10, 0–221. doi: 10.1016/j.ifset.2008.06.004
- Nguyen, L., Chen, J., Hu, Y. L., Wang, D., Fan, Y. P., Wang, J. M., et al. (2012). In vitro antiviral activity of sulfated *Auricularia auricula* polysaccharides. *Carbohydr Polym.* 90, 1254–1258. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.06.060
- Polaina, J. (1984). Cloning of the ILV2, ILV3 and ILV5 genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Carlsberg Res Commun. 49, 577–584. doi: 10.1007/BF0290 8686
- Prota, G. (1980). Recent advances in the chemistry of melanogenesis in mammals. J. Investig. Dermatol. 75, 122–127. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12521344
- Shmomura, Y., Honda, T., Shiraki, M., Murakami, T., Sato, J., Kobayashi, H., et al. (2006). Branched chain amino acid catabolism in exercise and liver disease1-3. *J. Nutrit.* 136, 250–253. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.8.3848-3854.2002
- Shi, R. F., and Yuan, H. P. (2003). Branched chain amino acids metabolism and exercise. J. Shanghai Physical Educ. Inst. 27, 65–67. doi: 10.16099/j.cnki.jsus. 2003.05.027
- Seiboth, B., Karaffa, L., Sándor, E., and Kubicek, C. P. (2002). The Hypocrea jecorina gal10, (uridine 5'-diphosphate-glucose 4-epimerase-encoding) gene differs

from yeast homologues in structure, genomic organization and expression. Gene 295, 143–149. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1119(02)00834-X

- Simon, J. D., Peles, D., Wakamatsu, K., and Ito, S. (2009). Current challenges in understanding melanogenesis: Bridging chemistry, biological control, morphology, and function. *Pig Cell Melanoma Res.* 22, 563–579. doi: 10.1111/j. 1755-148X.2009 00610.x
- Sapmak, A., Boyce, K. J., Andrianopoulos, A., and Nongnuch, V. (2015). The *pbrB* gene encodes a laccase required for DHN-melanin synthesis in conidia of *Talaromyces (Penicillium) marneffei. PLoS One.* 10:e0122728. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0122728
- Seifert, G. J. (2004). Nucleotide sugar interconversions and cell wall biosynthesis: how to bring the inside to the outside. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 277–284. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.03.004
- Takeshi, K., Saeed, M., Lori, S. A. B., Masatoshi, M., Akihiko, I., Hirofumi, T., et al. (2016). Image analysis for a microfluidic paper-based analytical device using the CIE L\*a\*b\* color system. *Analyst* 141, 6507–6509. doi: 10.1039/c6an01409g
- Thakur, J., and Sanyal, K. (2011). The essentiality of the fungus-specific Dam1 complex is correlated with a one-kinetochore-one-microtubule interaction present throughout the cell cycle, independent of the nature of a centromere. *Eukaryotic Cell* 10, 1295–1305. doi: 10.1128/EC.05093-11
- Wang, M., Gu, B., Huang, J., Jiang, S., Chen, Y., Yin, Y., et al. (2013). Transcriptome and proteome exploration to provide a resource for the study of *Agrocybe aegerita*. *PLoS One*. 8:e56686. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056686
- Wu, L. Y., Li, X., Xu, F., Chang, C. K., He, Q., Zhang, Z., et al. (2012). Overexpression of RPL23 in myelodysplastic syndromes is associated with apoptosis resistance of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells and predicts poor prognosis and distinct response to CHG chemotherapy or decitabine. *Ann. Hematol.* 91, 1547–1554. doi: 10.1007/ s00277-012-1486-2
- Wei, G. W., Wei, P., Zhou, H., and Ouyang, P. K. (2000). Studies on aspartate aminoteransferase and its characteristics. J. Nanjing Univ. Technol. 22, 19–22. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-7627.2000.03.005
- Yang, Q. Q. (2010). Era protein (*E. coli* ras-like protein)-Apossible new molecular switch participating in the eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells signal control. *J. Beijing Inst. Educ.* 5, 1–6. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-6923.2010.02.001
- Yao, Z. Y., Zhao, Z., Shi, Q. H., Li, K. Y., Zhu, H. L., Ma, X. H., et al. (2007). Isolation of melanin from testae of *Prunus ameniaca Linn. J. Northwest A F Univ.* 35, 120–126. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1671-9387.2007.05.026
- Yu, Z. Q., Yu, S. J., and Li, K. T. (2015). Research progresses on bioconversion of spent mushroom substrate lignocellulose forfuel ethanol production. *Food Ferment Ind.* 41, 235–239. doi: 10.13995/j.cnki.11-1802/ts.201505042
- Zielinska, M., and Michalska, A. (2016). Microwave-Assisted Drying of Blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) Fruits: Drying Kinetics, polyphenols, anthocyanins, antioxidant capacity, colour and texture. *Food Chem.* 212, 671– 680. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.06.003
- Zou, Y., Yi, D. M., Jiang, J., Du, X. W., Liu, C. H., and Gu, Z. X. (2013). Composition Analysis and Antioxidant Activity of *Auricularia auricula* Melanin. *Food Sci. Technol.* 34, 138–141. doi: 10.7506/spkx1002-6630-201323029
- Zhang, G. L., Bai, Y. J., Zhang, L., Xie, X. Y., and Feng, Z. S. (2013). Effect of low temperature treatment on laccase and tyrosinase and isoenzyme in *Pleurotus ferulae*. Food Sci. Technol. 38, 15–19. doi: 10.13684/j.cnki.spkj.2013. 07.007
- Zhang, D. W., Zhao, L., Liu, T. J., Wu, T. X., and Yang, H. L. (2007). Progress on Biological Activities and Their Polysaccharides from Auricularia auricula. Food and Drug 9, 68–70. doi: 10.13386/jissn1002-0306.2015.16.071

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Li, Li, Zhao, Yan and Pang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.