### Clinical Study

# Stem Cell Mobilization with G-CSF versus Cyclophosphamide plus G-CSF in Mexican Children

## José Eugenio Vázquez Meraz,<sup>1</sup> José Arellano-Galindo,<sup>2</sup> Armando Martínez Avalos,<sup>3</sup> Emma Mendoza-García,<sup>4</sup> and Elva Jiménez-Hernández<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Banco de Sangre, Hospital General de Ecatepec las Américas, Simón Bolivar esq. Libertadores de América Fracc. las Américas, 55076 Ecatepec de Morelos, MEX, Mexico

<sup>2</sup>*Area de Virología, Laboratorio de Microbiología y Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez, Mexico* <sup>3</sup>*Departamento de Oncología, Instituto Nacional de Pediatría, Mexico* 

<sup>4</sup>Laboratorio de Hematología e Investigación, Hospital General de México, OD and Laboratorio Clínico,

Central Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez, Ciudad de México, DF, Mexico

<sup>5</sup>*Departamento de Hematología Pediátrica, UMAE CMN la Raza IMSS, Mexico* 

Correspondence should be addressed to José Eugenio Vázquez Meraz; euvame59@hotmail.com

Received 2 October 2015; Accepted 16 November 2015

Academic Editor: Mahendra S. Rao

Copyright © 2016 José Eugenio Vázquez Meraz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Fifty-six aphaereses were performed in 23 pediatric patients with malignant hematological and solid tumors, following three different protocols for PBPC mobilization and distributed as follows: A: seventeen mobilized with  $4 \text{ g/m}^2$  of cyclophosphamide (CFA) and  $10 \mu \text{g/kg/day}$  of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), B: nineteen with CFA + G-CSF, and C: twenty only with G-CSF when the WBC count exceeded  $10 \times 10^9$ /L. The average number of MNC/kg body weight (BW)/aphaeresis was  $0.4 \times 10^8$  (0.1–1.4), 2.25 × 10<sup>8</sup> (0.56–6.28), and  $1.02 \times 10^8$  (0.34–2.5) whereas the average number of CD34+ cells/kg BW/aphaeresis was  $0.18 \times 10^6$ /kg (0.09–0.34),  $1.04 \times 10^6$  (0.19–9.3), and  $0.59 \times 10^6$  (0.17–0.87) and the count of CFU/kg BW/aphaeresis was  $1.11 \times 10^5$  (0.31–2.12),  $1.16 \times 10^5$  (0.64–2.97), and  $1.12 \times 10^5$  (0.3–6.63) in groups A, B, and C, respectively. The collection was better in group B versus group A (p = 0.007 and p = 0.05, resp.) and in group C versus group A (p = 0.08 and p = 0.05, resp.). The collection of PBPCs was more effective in the group mobilized with CFM + G-CSF when the WBC exceeded  $10 \times 10^3/\mu$ L in terms of MNC and CD34+ cells and there was no toxicity of the chemotherapy.

#### 1. Introduction

With the discovery that peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPC) could be obtained by aphaeresis, several reports have shown that these stem cells can be used to reconstitute hematopoiesis, after myeloablative therapy in cancer patients [1–3]. Chemotherapy increases the amount of PBPC 20–50 times [4, 5]. Therefore high dose of cyclophosphamide (CFA) has been frequently used to mobilize PBPC [6–10]. Hematopoietic growth factors such as G-CSF and GM-CSF used after chemotherapy increase the efficacy of stem cells mobilization even more. However, G-CSF in combination with chemotherapy must be administrated during 8–12 days compared with only 4 to 6 days when it is applied without chemotherapy [11–16].

There is not enough experience in children to establish the optimal method for PBPC mobilization, as it could be done with hematopoietic growth factors either alone or in combination with chemotherapy. We determined the number of mononuclear cells (MNC), CD34+ cells, and colony forming units (CFU) in the leukapheresis products of pediatric patients with malignant hematological diseases and solid tumors, following three different protocols for stem cells mobilization.

#### 2. Patients and Methods

The study included twenty-three pediatric patients with malignant hematological diseases and solid tumors. The main

TABLE 1: Clinical characteristics of the patients.

Number	Age (years)	Sex	Weight (Kg.)	Diagnosis	
1	3	М	15	WT S-IV	1°CR
2	10	F	39	LMA-M2	2°CR
3	16	М	38	HD S-IVB	2°CR
4	7	F	22	LMA-M1	1°CR
5	3	F	15	LAL-L1 t(9,22)	1°CR
6	3	М	17	LAL-L1 t(4:11)	1°CR
7	9	F	32	LAL-L1 t(9,22)	1°CR
8	12	М	32	HD S-IVB	2°CR
9	16	М	55	LAL-L2 t(9,22)	1°CR
10	11	М	56	LMA-M4	1°CR
11	16	М	43	LAL-L1 t(9:22)	2°CR
12	2	М	13	NBL S-IV	3°CR
13	8	F	29	LAL-L2 t(9,22)	1°CR
14	8	М	26	LMA-M4	1°CR
15	9	F	22	WT S-IV	3°CR
16	4	М	21	LAL-L1 t(9,22)	1°CR
17	6	М	25	LMA-M2	2°CR
18	5	F	22	WT S-IV	2°CR
19	7	F	21	LMA-M1	2°CR
20	10	М	38	LAL-L1 t(9,22)	1°CR
21	8	М	25	LAL-L1 t(9,22)	1°CR
22	13	М	31	HD S-IVB	2°CR
23	6	F	26	LAL-L1 t(9,22)	1°CR

HD: Hodgkin disease, WT: Wilms' tumor, LMA: myeloblastic acute leukemia, LAL: lymphoblastic acute leukemia, NBL: neuroblastoma, CR: complete remission, and S: stage.

characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Parent's consent of the study was obtained in all cases.

2.1. PBPC Mobilization. The patients were divided into three groups: group A was assigned to high dose  $(4 \text{ g/m}^2)$  of cyclophosphamide (CFA) and  $(10 \,\mu\text{g/kg/day})$  of G-CSF applied subcutaneously, and the aphaeresis procedures were started when the white blood cell count (WBC) exceeded 1.0  $\times 10^9$ /L. Group B was subjected to the same regimen (CFM + G-CSF), but the WBC was >10  $\times 10^9$ /L at the time of starting the cell collection,and group C was treated subcutaneously with G-CSF alone for 4 days and the aphaeresis was started at day 5.

2.2. PBPC Collection. Collections were performed by placement of a double lumen dialysis catheter with a Baxter Fenwal CS 3000 plus machine using large volume leukapheresis (LVL) (200 mL/kg). The inlet flow was 30–50 mL/min. The target number of MNC and CD34+ cells was  $4 \times 10^8$ /kg and  $2 \times 10^8$ /kg, respectively. A minimum of  $1 \times 10^6$ /kg CD34+ cells and  $2 \times 10^8$ /kg of MNC were considered sufficient though. When the yield of a single aphaeresis was considered insufficient, the process was continued daily until the CD34+

cells and MNC target dose were achieved. The final products were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen at  $-196^{\circ}$ C.

2.3. Aphaeresis Products. The obtained product of MNC was processed by Coulter Max M, and the number of CD34+ cells was determined by flow cytometry in a FACSCalibur by using the ProCount software (Becton Dickinson).

2.4. Cell Cultures. The cell cultures were prepared in Methocult GF H4434 (Stem Cell Technology Inc, Vancouver, BC), contained  $1 \times 10^5$  MNCs per mL, and were incubated at 37°C, in presence of CO<sub>2</sub>, and the colony forming units (CFUs) were determined on day 14 by using an inverted microscope as described previously [3].

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Not normally distributed data are presented as median and range. Differences were compared using the nonparametrical Kruskal-Wallis test. The Stata software program (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

#### 3. Results

*3.1. Patient's Characteristics.* Twenty-three children were included in the study. Seven patients were assigned to group A, eight to group B, and eight to group C. Seventeen, nineteen, and twenty leukaphereses were performed in each group, respectively. Patients characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The average number of days of G-CSF administration was 6.1 (4–8) in group A, 11.8 (10–15) in group B, and 5.7 (5–7) in group C. The average of MNC in the aphaeresis products was  $0.4 \times 10^8$ /kg (0.1–1.4) in group A,  $2.25 \times 10^8$  (0.56–6.28) in group B, and  $1.02 \times 10^8$  (0.34–2.5) in group C. The mean number of CD34+ cells was  $0.18 \times 10^6$ /kg BW (0.09–0.34) in group A,  $1.04 \times 10^6$  (0.19–9.3) in group B, and  $0.59 \times 10^6$  (0.17–0.87) in group C. The mean count of CFU/kg BW was  $1.11 \times 10^5$  (0.31–2.12) in group A,  $1.16 \times 10^5$  (0.64–2.97) in group B, and  $1.12 \times 10^5$  (0.3–6.63) in group C (Table 2).

The differences between the three groups were statistically significant for the number of MNC/kg BW (p = 0.007) and CD34+ cells/kg (p = 0.05) in group B versus group A and for CD34+ cells in the group C versus group A (p = 0.05). The UFC × 10<sup>5</sup>/kg BW was similar in the different groups (Table 3).

In the group treated with chemotherapy, patients were hospitalized due to severe neutropenia ( $<0.5 \times 10^9$ /L), but no one required antibiotic or platelet transfusion.

#### 4. Discussion

Mobilized peripheral blood is now the main hematopoietic progenitor cell source for cellular support following myeloablative chemotherapy. PBPC transplantation results in a more rapid hematopoietic recovery than bone marrow cell transplantation, mainly due to the larger number of hematopoietic progenitor cells infused. G-CSF alone or combined with chemotherapy is commonly used in mobilization

	Group A CFA + G-CSF WBC > $1.0 \times 10^9/L$ Mean and range	Group B CFA + G-CSF WBC > $10 \times 10^{9}$ /L Mean and range	Group C G-CSF alone Mean and range
Days of G-CSF	6.1 (4-8)	11.8 (10–15)	5.7 (5-7)
$MNC \times 10^8/kg$	0.4 (0.1–1.4)	2.25 (0.56-6.28)	1.02 (0.34-2.5)
$CD34+ \times 10^{6}/kg$	0.18 (0.09-0.34)	1.04 (0.19–9.3)	0.59 (0.17-0.87)
$CFU \times 10^5/kg$	1.11 (0.31–2.12)	1.16 (0.64–2.97)	1.12 (0.3-6.63)

TABLE 2: PBPC results by group.

WBC: white blood cells; MNC: mononuclear cells; CFU: colony forming units.

TABLE 3: Statistical analysis using the nonparametrical Kruskal-Wallis test.

	$MNC \times 10^8/kg$	CD34+ cells $\times 10^6$ /kg	$UFC \times 10^{5}/kg$
A versus B	0.007	0.055	0.297
A versus C	0.082	0.055	0.526
B versus C	0.248	0.172	0.833

protocols and it appears to be able to achieve adequate progenitor cell yields for single transplants in most patients and [17] observed that the combination of myelosuppressive chemotherapy and G-CSF can mobilize better PBPC than chemotherapy alone and the administration of these cytokines in combination with chemotherapy may reduce the blood volume processed by leukapheresis. Additionally the optimal procedure for PBPC mobilization in children has not yet been determined because data on the engraftment are not enough [17-19]. Clinical collection of PBPC by leukapheresis has been more difficult to perform in a child than in an adult, especially after receiving an extensive therapy for their malignancies [20-22]. It has been shown that heavy and prolonged cytotoxic treatments seem to exhaust the mobilizable stem cell pool; therefore PBPC should be collected as early as possible after diagnosis [17, 23].

In order to optimize mobilization and to obtain a larger number of CD34+ cells, we simultaneously administered CFA + G-CSF or only G-CSF. Our results demonstrated that the number of CD34+ cells collected was similar for all groups (Table 2). The difference in obtained results was statistically significant when we compared group B (CFA + G-CSF WBC > 10 × 10<sup>3</sup>/µL) and group A (CFA + G-CSF WBC > 1.0 × 10<sup>3</sup>/µL) (p = 0.05) and also for group C (G-CSF) and group A (p = 0.05), but it was not statistically significant for group B versus group C. This suggests that the efficacy of G-CSF is similar in the presence or absence of CFA, when the leucocytes count exceeded 10 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L; findings similar to ours were reported by Costa et al. [17]. The difference in the amount of UFC was not statistically significant between the studied groups.

The main limitations of chemotherapy mobilizations are neutropenia, sepsis, and bleeding diathesis and the unpredictability of starting time for collection procedure [24–27]. In our group of patients with CFA, there have been no serious complications, but patients did require more days of G-CSF application. From the present data, we conclude that PBPC mobilization with CFM + G-CSF when the WBC is  $>10 \times 10^9$ /L has a similar efficacy in comparison with mobilization using only G-CSF, and the last one has less adverse effects.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- A. C. Zovein and E. C. Forsberg, "Hematopoietic development at high altitude: blood stem cells put to the test," *Development*, vol. 142, no. 10, pp. 1728–1732, 2015.
- [2] O. Blau, "Bone marrow stromal cells in the pathogenesis of acute myeloid leukemia," *Frontiers in Bioscience*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 171– 180, 2014.
- [3] K. B. McCredie, E. M. Hersh, and E. J. Freireich, "Cells capable of colony formation in the peripheral blood of man," *Science*, vol. 171, no. 3968, pp. 293–294, 1971.
- [4] G. Tridente, "Pluripotent growth factors," in Adverse Events with Biomedicines: Prevention Through Understanding, pp. 583–585, Springer, Milan, Italy, 2014.
- [5] L. B. To, K. M. Shepperd, D. N. Haylock et al., "Single high doses of cyclophosphamide enable the collection of high numbers of hemopoietic stem cells from the peripheral blood," *Experimental Hematology*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 442–447, 1990.
- [6] M. K. Angelopoulou, P. Tsirkinidis, G. Boutsikas, T. P. Vassilakopoulos, and P. Tsirigotis, "New insights in the mobilization of hematopoietic stem cells in lymphoma and multiple myeloma patients," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2014, Article ID 835138, 11 pages, 2014.
- [7] A. M. Carella, F. Frassoni, N. Pollicardo et al., "Philadelphiachromosome-negative peripheral blood stem cells can be mobilized in the early phase of recovery after a myelosuppressive chemotherapy in Philadelphia-chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 535–538, 1995.
- [8] M. Reiser, A. Josting, A. Draube et al., "Successful peripheral blood stem cell mobilization with etoposide (VP-16) in patients with relapsed or resistant lymphoma who failed cyclophosphamide mobilization," *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 1223–1228, 1999.

- [9] R. Sorasio, M. Bonferroni, M. Grasso et al., "Peripheral blood CD34+ percentage at hematological recovery after chemotherapy is a good early predictor of harvest: a single-center experience," *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 717–723, 2014.
- [10] D. D. Kotasek, K. M. Shepherd, R. E. Sage et al., "Factors affecting blood stem cell collections following high dose cyclophosphamide mobilization in lymphoma, myeloma and solid tumors," *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 11–17, 1992.
- [11] G. Milone, M. Martino, A. Spadaro et al., "Plerixafor ondemand combined with chemotherapy and granulocyte colonystimulating factor: significant improvement in peripheral blood stem cells mobilization and harvest with no increase in costs," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 164, no. 1, pp. 113–123, 2014.
- [12] J. M. Vantelon, S. Koscielny, P. Brault et al., "Scoring system for the prediction of successful peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) collection in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL): application in clinical practice," *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 495–499, 2000.
- [13] J. Gómez-Espuch, J. M. Moraleda Jiménez, F. Ortuño et al., "Mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells with paclitaxel (taxol) as a single chemotherapeutic agent, associated with rhG-CSF," *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 231–236, 2000.
- [14] A. Gianni, M. Bregni, A. Stern et al., "Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor to harvest circulating hematopoietic stem cell for auto transplantations," *The Lancet*, vol. 334, no. 8663, pp. 580–585, 1989.
- [15] M. Socinski, A. Elias, L. Schnipper, S. Cannistra, K. Antman, and J. Griffin, "Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor expands the circulating haemopoietic progenitor cell compartment in man," *The Lancet*, vol. 331, no. 8596, pp. 1194– 1198, 1988.
- [16] M. Fukuda, S. Kojima, K. Matsumoto, and T. Matsuyama, "Auto transplantation of peripheral blood stem cells mobilized by chemotherapy and recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in childhood neuroblastoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 327–331, 1992.
- [17] L. J. Costa, G. L. Uy, P. N. Hari et al., "Contribution of chemotherapy mobilization to disease control in multiple myeloma treated with autologous transplantation," *Blood*, vol. 124, no. 21, p. 2447, 2014.
- [18] S. Emir, H. A. Demir, T. Aksu, A. Kara, M. Özgüner, and B. Tunç, "Use of plerixafor for peripheral blood stem cell mobilization failure in children," *Transfusion and Apheresis Science*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 214–218, 2014.
- [19] Y. Takaue, T. Watanabe, Y. Kawano et al., "Isolation and storage of peripheral blood hematopoietic stem cells for autotrasplantation into children with cancer," *Blood*, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. 1245– 1251, 1989.
- [20] K. A. de Brito Eid, E. C. M. Miranda, and S. dos Santos Aguiar, "Mobilization and collection of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells for autologous transplantation of peripheral blood hematopoietic progenitor cells in children: analysis of two different granulocyte-colony stimulating factor doses," *Revista Brasileira de Hematologia e Hemoterapia*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 160–166, 2015.
- [21] L. C. Lasky, B. Bostrom, J. Smith, T. J. Moss, and N. K. C. Ramsay, "Clinical collection and use of peripheral blood stem cells in pediatric patients," *Transplantation*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 613–616, 1989.

- [22] M. Körbling and H. Martin, "Transplantation of hemapheresisderived hemopoietic stem cells: a new concept in the treatment of patients with malignant lymphohemopoietic disorders," *Plasma Therapy and Transfusion Technology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 119–132, 1988.
- [23] P. A. Rowlings, C. A. Rowling, L. B. To et al., "a comparison of peripheral blood stem cell mobilization after chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide as a single agent in doses of 4gr/m2 in patients with advanced cancer," *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine*, vol. 22, article 600, 1992.
- [24] J. W. Sweetenham and M. L. Turner, "Haemopoietic progenitor homing and mobilization," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 592–596, 1996.
- [25] W. P. Sheridan, R. M. Fox, C. G. Begley et al., "Effect of peripheral-blood progenitor cells mobilised by filgrastim (G-CSF) on platelet recovery after high-dose chemotherapy," *The Lancet*, vol. 339, no. 8794, pp. 640–644, 1992.
- [26] N. J. Chao, J. R. Schriber, K. Grimes et al., "Granulocyte colonystimulating factor 'mobilized' peripheral blood progenitor cells accelerate granulocyte and platelet recovery after high dose chemotherapy," *Blood*, vol. 81, no. 8, pp. 2031–2035, 1993.
- [27] D. C. Link, "Mechanisms of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor induced hematopoietic progenitor-cell mobilization," *Seminars in Hematology*, vol. 37, supplement 2, pp. 25–32, 2000.