

CORRECTION

Correction: High Levels of Sample-to-Sample Variation Confound Data Analysis for Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening of Fetal Microdeletions

Tianjiao Chu, Suveyda Yeniterzi, Svetlana A. Yatsenko, Mary Dunkel, Patricia A. Shaw, Kimberly D. Bunce, David G. Peters

Fig 1 is an incorrect duplication of Fig 2. The authors have provided a corrected version here.



Citation: Chu T, Yeniterzi S, Yatsenko SA, Dunkel M, Shaw PA, Bunce KD, et al. (2016) Correction: High Levels of Sample-to-Sample Variation Confound Data Analysis for Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening of Fetal Microdeletions. PLoS ONE 11(9): e0163578. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163578

Published: September 20, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Chu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Fig 1. Comparative Genomic Hybridization Analysis of Affected Fetal Sample (First Pregnancy). Array CGH profile showing an interstitial deletion in the short arm of chromosome 5. Top: Ideogram of chromosome 5. The deleted 5p15.33 region is indicated by a red rectangle. Below: A magnified view of the 5p subtelomeric region. Positions are displayed according to GRCh37/hg19 Genome Browser. Shaded blue area indicates a loss in DNA copy number detected by 22 oligonucleotide probes (blue dots), located in the interval chr5:174,979–493,441 and encompassing an approximately 318 kb segment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163578.g001

Reference

 Chu T, Yeniterzi S, Yatsenko SA, Dunkel M, Shaw PA, Bunce KD, et al. (2016) High Levels of Sampleto-Sample Variation Confound Data Analysis for Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening of Fetal Microdeletions. PLoS ONE 11(6): e0153182. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153182 PMID: 27249650