DOI: 10.1002/cmdc.201300428



A Cell-Permeable Ester Derivative of the JmjC Histone Demethylase Inhibitor IOX1

Rachel Schiller,^[a] Giuseppe Scozzafava,^[b] Anthony Tumber,^[b] James R. Wickens,^[a] Jacob T. Bush,^[a] Ganesha Rai,^[c] Clarisse Lejeune,^[a] Hwanho Choi,^[a] Tzu-Lan Yeh,^[a] Mun Chiang Chan,^[a] Bryan T. Mott,^[c] James S. O. McCullagh,^[a] David J. Maloney,^[c] Christopher J. Schofield,^{*[a]} and Akane Kawamura^{*[a, d]}

The 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)-dependent Jumonji C domain (JmjC) family is the largest family of histone lysine demethylases. There is interest in developing small-molecule probes that modulate JmjC activity to investigate their biological roles. 5-Carboxy-8-hydroxyquinoline (IOX1) is the most potent broadspectrum inhibitor of 20G oxygenases, including the JmjC demethylases, reported to date; however, it suffers from low cell permeability. Here, we describe structure-activity relationship studies leading to the discovery of an n-octyl ester form of IOX1 with improved cellular potency (EC_{50} value of 100 to $4 \,\mu$ M). These findings are supported by in vitro inhibition and selectivity studies, docking studies, activity versus toxicity analysis in cell cultures, and intracellular uptake measurements. The *n*-octyl ester was found to have improved cell permeability; it was found to inhibit some JmjC demethylases in its intact ester form and to be more selective than IOX1. The n-octyl ester of IOX1 should find utility as a starting point for the development of JmjC inhibitors and as a use as a cell-permeable tool compound for studies investigating the roles of 2OG oxygenases in epigenetic regulation.

| [a] | R. Schiller, Dr. J. R. Wickens, J. T. Bush, Dr. C. Lejeune, Dr. H. Choi, Dr. TI. Yeh, M. C. Chan, Dr. J. S. O. McCullagh, Prof. C. J. Schofield |
|-----|--|
| | Dr. A. Kawamura |
| | Chemistry Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry |
| | University of Oxford |
| | E-mail: christopher.schofield@chem.ox.ac.uk |
| | akane.kawamura@chem.ox.ac.uk |
| [b] | Dr. G. Scozzafava, Dr. A. Tumber Structural Genomics Consortium, Nuffield Department of Medicine |
| | University of Oxford |
| | Old Road Campus, Headington, OX3 7DQ (UK) |
| [c] | Dr. G. Rai, Dr. B. T. Mott, Dr. D. J. Maloney |
| | National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) National Institutes of Health (NIH) |
| | 9800 Medical Centre Drive, Bethesda, MD MSC 3370 (USA) |
| [d] | Dr. A. Kawamura |
| | Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics University of Oxford |
| | Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7BN (UK) |
| | Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201300428. |
| ~ | |

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Epigenetic processes regulate gene expression in a context-dependent manner by reversible modifications to chromatin.^[1] An extensive literature documents a wide range of post-translational histone modifications or "marks" that regulate chromatin accessibility, including acetylation and methylation.^[2] Histone lysine methylation can activate or repress transcription, depending on the site and the extent of modification. Some methylation marks, such as trimethylation of histone-3 lysine-4 (H3K4me3), are associated with transcriptional activation, whereas other marks, such as H3K9me3, are primarily associated with transcriptional repression.^[3] Although histone methylation was once considered irreversible, it is now known that, like acetylation, it is reversible, opening the opportunity for pharmaceutical intervention.^[4]

Two classes of histone lysine demethylases (KDMs) have been identified, which differ in their catalytic mechanisms. The lysine-specific demethylases (LSD) employ a flavin-mediated demethylation.^[5] In contrast, the larger class of Jumonji C domain (JmjC) demethylases catalyse demethylation via initial methyl group hydroxylation (Scheme 1). The JmjC demethylases belong to the superfamily of Fe^{II} and 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) oxygenases.^[6] In contrast to the LSD KDMs, JmjC KDMs accept all three methylated forms of lysine; their reported substrate residues include H3K4, H3K9, H3K27 and H3K36.^[7] More than 30 human JmjC oxygenases have been identified, some of which are demethylases with the remainder being hydroxylases.^[8,9] Most of the JmjC proteins contain auxiliary functional domains, such as prolyl hydroxylase (PHD), Tudor and Zn^{II} finger domains, which are likely to contribute to substrate selectivity.^[10,11] Dysregulation of JmjC demethylases can lead to aberrant histone methylation states and is associated with a number of diseases, including cancer and neurological disorders such as autism and X-linked mental retardation (XLMR).^[12-17] These findings advocate further investigations into the mechanisms by which these KDMs work, and the development of small-molecule chemical probes as tools to evaluate their therapeutic potential.

A chemical probe approach offers an advantage over genetic techniques in validating epigenetic targets as it enables targeting of individual domains.^[18] Moreover, small-molecule inhibitors can be administered in a reversible, dose-dependent manner, whereas the use of genetic methods is currently less controllable. Advances in understanding the enzymatic mechanisms and structural elucidation of the JmjC demethylases have permitted the identification of small-molecule inhibitors,

HEMMEDCHEM MMUNICATIONS



Scheme 1. Schematic mechanism for the demethylation of methyl-lysine histone by JmjC catalysis. JmjC active site residues for Fe^{II} coordination are taken from a crystal structure of human KDM4A in complex with histone H3 peptide trimethylated at Lys9 (PDB: 20Q6^[22]); Ni^{II} and *N*-oxalylglycine (NOG) are substitutes for Fe^{II} and 2OG, respectively.



Figure 1. 2-Oxoglutarate (2OG) analogues reported as broad-spectrum histone lysine demethylase (KDM) inhibitors: N-oxalylglycine (NOG), and 2,4pyridinedicarboxylic acid (2,4-PDCA), IOX1 (1).

and examples of commonly used 20G oxygenase inhibitors are shown in Figure 1.[19-21]

Of these broad-spectrum inhibitors, IOX1 (1) is reported to be the most potent against a representative panel of 2OG oxygenases, including non-JmjC 2OG oxygenases, with an in vitro $\mathsf{IC}_{\mathsf{50}}$ value in the micromolar range. However, its efficacy in cells is about a hundred-fold lower (HeLa cells, KDM4A, IC_{50} = 86 μm), possibly due to low cell permeability resulting from its polar C-5 carboxyl group.^[23]

With the aim of improving the transmembrane permeability of IOX1, ester derivatives with different lengths of alkoxy groups were synthesised (Table 1). Methods for the synthesis

| Table 1. Structure-activity relationships for IOX1 (1) and its ester derivatives 2–7. $O \rightarrow OR^1$ $N \rightarrow OR^2$ | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Compd | R ¹ | R ² | СС ₅₀ ^[а] [µм] | EC ₅₀ ^[b] [µм] | IC ₅₀ ^[с] [µм] | | | |
| 1 | Н | Н | > 300 | 100.0 | 0.6 | | | |
| 2 | CH₃ | Н | 10 | 50.0 | 10.7 | | | |
| 3 | CH_2CH_3 | Н | 66 | >100 | 14.9 | | | |
| 4 | $(CH_2)_3CH_3$ | Н | 50 | 22.0 | 5.0 | | | |
| 5 | (CH ₂) ₇ CH ₃ | Н | > 300 | 3.8 | 3.9 | | | |
| 6 | CH₃ | COCH₃ | 29 | >100 | 10.5 | | | |
| 7 | CH ₂ OCOC(CH ₃) ₃ | CH ₂ OCOC(CH ₃) ₃ | 17 | - | >100 | | | |
| [a] CC ₅₀ v munofluc AlphaScro | alues derived from prescence assays of een assavs of isola | HeLa cell viability f KDM4A activity ir ted KDM4C. Data | assays. [b] EC₅ h HeLa cells. [c represent the | values derive [] IC ₅₀ values d mean of $n >$ | ed from im- erived from 3 replicates | | | |

(Figures S1, 2, and 5 in the Supporting Information).

of 5-carboxy-8-quinolinol derivatives have been reported for various uses.^[24-26] The Skraup reaction was employed to synthesise the quinoline IOX1 (1) from 3-amino-4-hydroxybenzoic acid and acrolein. The ethyl (3), n-butyl (4) and n-octyl (5) ester derivatives were prepared by Fischer esterification. Methyl ester 2 was synthesised using 5-bromoquinolin-8-ol employing organopalladium chemistry. To test whether improved permeability could be obtained by substitution of both phenol and carboxylic acid groups of IOX1, methyl acetate diester 6 was produced from 2 and acetic anhydride in the presence of catalytic 4-dimethylaminopyridine. Branched diester derivative 7 was synthesised using the conditions reported by Nudelman and co-workers.^[27, 28]

The viability of HeLa cells was analysed after 24 h following incubation with different concentrations (1-300 µм) of IOX1 (1) or its ester derivatives 2-7 (Table 1; Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). Methyl ester derivative 2 was the most cytotoxic compound, with a CC_{50} value of 10 μ M. Di-substituted compounds 6 and 7 had CC_{50} values of 29 and 17 $\mu m,$ respectively. Ethyl 3 and n-butyl 4 esters had similar CC₅₀ values of 50 and 66 µm, respectively. Out of the tested compounds, only 7 resulted in complete toxicity at the highest concentration tested, while treatment with the other compounds led to between 25% and 60% viable cells. n-Octyl ester 5 was not cytotoxic in the tested concentration range, with a CC50 value greater than 300 μM and with over 50% viable cells at the highest concentration tested. This CC50 value is similar to the CC_{50} value obtained here for IOX1 (1) (>300 μ M), which is in agreement with the reported value for IOX1 (292 μ M).^[21]

Immunofluorescence assays were then used to assess the effect of IOX1 ester derivatives on demethylation activity in cells using KDM4A as a representative JmjC KDM.[21] Flagtagged KDM4A was transiently overexpressed in HeLa cells, and these were then treated with either a vehicle control (DMSO) or varying concentrations $(1-300 \,\mu\text{M})$ of IOX1 (1) or IOX1 ester derivatives 2-7. After 24 h of compound dosing, the

> cells were analysed by indirect immunofluorescence using an anti-Flag tag antibody to identify cells overexpressing KDM4A, and an antibody for endogenous H3K9me3 to quantify the level of this histone modification, known to be regulated by KDM4A.^[7] As a control, cells overexpressing the H188A catalytically deficient KDM4A variant were also analysed. Treatment with increasing concentrations of IOX1 (1) or the ester derivatives caused a dose-response-dependent increase in H3K9me3 fluorescence intensity, implying KDM4A inhibition in cells by direct or indirect mechanisms (Figure 2). The cellular EC_{50} value of 1 was determined to be 100 μ M (Table 1), correlating with the reported value (EC $_{50}\!=\!86~\mu\text{м}).^{[23]}$ The apparent cellular EC50 values of derivatives 2, 4 and 5 were substantially lower than that of IOX1 (1), indicating better inhibition of KDM4A activity (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). The most potent derivative was n-octyl

CHEMMEDCHEM COMMUNICATIONS



Figure 2. *n*-Octyl ester **5** increases H3K9me3 levels in HeLa cells via KDM4A inhibition. Indirect immunofluorescence assays with anti-Flag (green) and anti-H3K9me3 (red) antibodies and with DAPI staining (blue) in HeLa cells overexpressing Flag-tagged KDM4A. DMSO treatment has no effect on KDM4A demethylase activity, while IOX1 (1) (300 μ M) and *n*-octyl ester **5** (10 μ M) treatment resulted in increased H3K9Me3 substrate levels (white arrows indicate transfected cells). The H188A catalytically inactive KDM4A variant does not affect H3K9Me3 levels.

ester **5** was approximately 30-fold more active than IOX1 (1), with an EC_{50} value of 3.8 μM (Figure 2).

An intracellular delivery assay was then performed to compare the cell permeabilities of compounds 1 and 5, as well as to investigate the level of hydrolysis of 5 to the parent compound (1) in cells. HeLa cells were dosed with IOX1 (1) or noctyl ester 5 at a concentration of 200 μ M and incubated for 24 h. The intracellular levels of the two forms were then analysed by LC-MS/MS. Relative quantitative data were collected, and the compounds were identified by comparing mass and retention times with values for standards. The *n*-octyl ester (5) was found to be more abundant in cells than IOX1 (1) (~sixfold) indicating better cell permeability for 5 than 1 (Table 2; Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). Only a small fraction (~2%) of 5 was observed to be hydrolysed to the parent compound IOX1 (1). Together with the activities detected in the cellular assay and with isolated proteins (Table 3), the results of the intracellular delivery assay indicate that the unhydrolysed form of 5 accounts for at least some of the KDM inhibitory activity observed in cells treated with ester 5.

| Table 2. Intracellular delivery of IOX1 (1) and n -octyl ester 5. ^[a] | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Dosed compd | Lysate concent IOX1 (1) | ration [fmol cell ⁻¹] <i>n</i> -Octyl ester (5) | | | | |
| IOX1 (1) <i>n</i> -Octyl ester 5 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.624 \pm 0.134 \\ 0.080 \pm 0.006 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.030 \pm 0.001 \\ 4.083 \pm 1.290 \end{array}$ | | | | |
| [a] IOX1 (1) and <i>n</i> -octyl ester 5 were detected in the lysates of HeLa 24 h after the administration of IOX1 (1) or <i>n</i> -octyl ester 5 at a concertion of 200 μ m; data represent the mean \pm SD of <i>n</i> = 3 replicates. | | | | | | |

Interestingly, in cell experiments with **5** analysing for upregulation of the alpha-subunit of the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor (HIF) by inhibition of the 2OG-dependent HIF hydroxylases, an increase in HIF levels was observed in cells treated with **5** (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information).^[8] While ester **5** is a relatively poor PHD inhibitor (Table 3), it is possible that hydrolysis of **5** results in a sufficient amount of **1** to cause PHD inhibition in cells. However, it is also possible that the HIF upregulation is in part mediated by inhibition of 2OG oxygenases other than PHDs, or by other mechanisms. Overall, it seems likely that both the hydrolysed (i.e., IOX1) and nonhydrolysed forms of **5** contribute to cellular activities.

On the basis of crystallographic analysis, the C-5 carboxylic acid of IOX1 was proposed to be important for active site binding, therefore it might be expected that the ester derivatives would be substantially less potent than IOX1.^[29] To test this proposal, we assayed the ability of the compounds to inhibit the H3K9me3 demethylation activity of isolated KDM4C using an amplified luminescent proximity homogeneous assay (ALPHA) screen.^[30] For IOX1 (1), an IC₅₀ value of 0.6 µм was obtained, identical to that reported in the literature (Table 1; Figure S5 in the Supporting Information).^[23] Apart from the bulky di-tert-butyl diacetate derivative, 7, the esters displayed similar activities in the micromolar range, with 5 being the most potent (IC₅₀ = $3.9 \,\mu$ M). *n*-Octyl ester **5** was shown to be stable to hydrolysis in the AlphaScreen buffer according to LC-MS analysis (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). The activity of derivative 5 and of the other esters, as determined by the AlphaScreen assay, indicates that the C-5 ester derivatisation can be tolerated, while preserving some KDM inhibitory activity.

IOX1 analogues with lipophilic substitution of the C-5 carboxylic acid have been reported to inhibit JmjC proteins.^[21,31] Docking simulations were performed to explore the rationale behind the structure-activity relationships observed in the AlphaScreen assays (Table 1). These simulations included IOX1 esters, with linear alkyl chains ranging in length between one and ten carbons, docked into the X-ray crystal structure of the KDM4A active site in complex with IOX1 (PDB: 3NJY^[21]). The docking results indicate that the KDM4A active site can accommodate IOX1 ester derivatives including n-octyl and even ndecyl esters (Figure 3; Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). In agreement with the AlphaScreen results, IOX1 exhibited the strongest predicted binding to the active site as deduced by the calculated Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G = -7.05$ Kcal mol⁻¹; Table S1 in the Supporting Information). The shorter esters, with one or two carbons, had IC_{50} values of $>10\,\mu\text{m}$ in the AlphaScreen and calculated ΔG values of greater than -6.5 Kcalmol⁻¹ indicating weaker binding compared with IOX1. The longer esters, with three to ten carbons, had IC_{50} values of \leq 5 μ M in the AlphaScreen and calculated Δ G values lower than -6.5 Kcalmol⁻¹. This improved binding indicated by the docking simulations correlates with higher potency in the AlphaScreen and could be explained by a hydrophobic effect. Increasing the length of the alkyl chain is likely to increase the binding affinity to the hydrophobic region leading to the active site, where the aliphatic ester chain is accommo-



Figure 3. Docking of *n*-octyl ester **5** in the KDM4A active site using a crystal structure of KDM4A bound to IOX1 (PDB: $3NJY^{(21)}$). a) Overlay of the docked position of *n*-octyl ester **5** (pink) with that observed for IOX1 (yellow); b) Surface view of modelled **5** in the active site pocket.

dated. These docking observations combined with the structure-activity data may be useful in the structure-based identification of new JmjC inhibitors.

A more extended set of AlphaScreen assays were then used to compare the selectivity of *n*-octyl ester 5 with that of IOX1 (1) and the shorter ester derivatives (methyl ester 2 and nbutyl ester 4) against additional 20G oxygenases. The assays were performed using representatives of different JmjC KDM subfamilies (KDM4C, KDM4E, KDM2A, KDM3A, KDM5C and KDM6B) and the catalytic domain of a HIF prolyl hydroxylase (PHD2). The results support the classification of IOX1 (1) as a broad-spectrum 20G oxygenase inhibitor, with IC₅₀ values in the micromolar range against all of the tested oxygenases (Table 3; Figure S8 in the Supporting Information).^[23] Modification of IOX1 to methyl ester 2 gave an apparently nonselective increase in IC₅₀ values. Increasing the length of the ester alkoxy group to four carbons (as in 4) created apparent selectivity towards a subset of the JmjC KDMs, and in particular the KDM4 subfamily. Further increasing the length of the alkoxy-group to eight carbons (as in 5) narrowed the observed inhibitory activity to the KDM4 subfamily; specifically, KDM4C was the most potently inhibited enzyme.

| Protein | IC ₅₀ [µм] ^[a] | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| KDM4C | 0.6 | 10.7 | 5.0 | 3.9 |
| KDM4E | 2.3 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 45. |
| KDM2A | 1.8 | 30.1 | 16.3 | >100 |
| KDM3A | 0.1 | 14.5 | 29.4 | >100 |
| KDM5C | 19.0 | 34.9 | >100 | >100 |
| KDM6B | 1.4 | 10.8 | >100 | >100 |
| PHD2 | 33.0 | 41.1 | >100 | >100 |

The apparent relative selectivity of **5** for the KDM4 subfamily, at least compared with the parent IOX1 (**1**), might be due to differences in the active sites of the JmjC proteins; crystallographic evidence implies that the active site opening of the KDM4 demethylases is larger than that of other JmjC subfamilies, and in particular compared with the narrow binding pocket of the PHD family of hydroxylases.^[28–30] This initial characterisation suggests that an appropriate substitution of the IOX1 C-5 position could enable the generation of potent and selective JmjC KDM inhibitors that are active in cells.

In conclusion, we have shown that C-5 ester derivatives of IOX1 can retain JmjC KDM inhibitory activity. Of the tested esters, *n*-octyl derivative **5** was the most potent in vitro against KDM4C. In cells, ester **5** was the least cytotoxic of the tested compounds and the most potent inhibitor of H3K9me3 demethylation ($EC_{50}=3.8 \mu M$). This is likely to be, at least in part, due to improved cell permeability of **5** compared with that of **1**, as detected in an intracellular delivery assay. Interestingly, it seems that **5** is not, at least efficiently, hydrolysed in HeLa cells, though esterases are known to be present and there are reported examples of short-chain ester hydrolysis.^[32,33] Thus, it seems likely that at least some of the cellular activity of **5** results from inhibition by the intact ester form.

Docking studies based on crystallographic analysis with IOX1 support the viability of *n*-octyl ester **5** binding KDM4, with the alkyl group occupying part of a region leading to the active site. It is notable that some other histone demethylase and deacetylase inhibitors reported in the literature contain an aliphatic chain, two examples with an *n*-octyl group as in **5**, possibly reflecting a general binding of aliphatic groups in this region.^[34-37] Binding energies as calculated by docking simulations were found to correlate reasonably well with the AlphaScreen inhibition results and provide a possible explanation for the increased potency of esters with a long alkyl chain.

An extended AlphaScreen with JmjC KDMs and PHD2 as a prolyl hydroxylase representative indicates that increasing the ester chain length to four carbons improves the selectivity towards JmjC KDMs. Most importantly, a chain length of eight carbons—as in derivative **5**—creates selectivity towards the KDM4 subfamily.

The activity of **5** raises the question as to whether other available ester prodrugs of JmjC inhibitors, such as NOG, 2,4-

PCDA, GSK-J4, methylstat and 2-hydroxyglutarate, could also be active in their ester forms, and whether systematic ester derivatisation could lead to increased cellular potencies.^[19,20,34,38,39] It is important to note, however, that the results with different 2OG oxygenases reveal that ester derivatisation of IOX1, and possibly of other broad-spectrum KDM inhibitors including the aforementioned compounds, may confer selectivity not apparent in the parent inhibitor.

We hope **5** will find use as a starting point for the development of new JmjC inhibitors as well as a cell-permeable tool compound in studies investigating the role of JmjC histone demethylases as therapeutic targets.

Experimental Section

Experimental details of the synthesis and characterisation, in vitro assays and cell-based studies, as well as supplementary figures, are given in the Supporting Information available via http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201300428.

Acknowledgements

R.S. was supported by the Clarendon Fund and Eli Lilly and Company. The authors thank the Wellcome Trust (UK), the European Union (EU) and the British Heart Foundation for funding. G.R., B.T.M. and D.J.M. were supported by the intramural research program of the US National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences and the Molecular Libraries Roadmap for Medical Research Initiative of the US National Institutes of Health (U54MH084681). The authors also thank Dr. Myung Kyu Lee (BioNanotechnology Research Centre, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB), Republic of Korea) for the kind gift of the anti-hydroxyAsn803 (HyAsn803) antibody. The authors also thank Sander S. van Berkel for his help during manuscript preparation, Clarence Yapp for IF assay assistance, and John Richard Morphy and Paul Brennan for helpful discussions.

Keywords: 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) oxygenases · cell permeability · epigenetics · inhibitors · jmjc histone demethylases · structure–activity relationships

- [1] N. McCarthy, Nat. Rev. Cancer 2013, 13, 76.
- [2] B. D. Strahl, C. D. Allis, *Nature* **2000**, *403*, 41–45.
- [3] M. Vermeulen, H. C. Eberl, F. Matarese, H. Marks, S. Denissov, F. Butter, K. K. Lee, J. V. Olsen, A. A. Hyman, H. G. Stunnenberg, M. Mann, *Cell* 2010, 142, 967–980.
- [4] G. Natoli, G. Testa, F. De Santa, Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2009, 12, 607–615.
- [5] Y. Shi, F. Lan, C. Matson, P. Mulligan, J. R. Whetstine, P. A. Cole, R. A. Casero, Y. Shi, *Cell* **2004**, *119*, 941–953.
- [6] R. J. Klose, E. M. Kallin, Y. Zhang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2006, 7, 715-727.
- [7] S. M. Kooistra, K. Helin, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2012, 13, 297-311.
- [8] C. Loenarz, C. J. Schofield, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2008, 4, 152-156.
- [9] W. Ge, A. Wolf, T. Feng, C. Ho, R. Sekirnik, A. Zayer, N. Granatino, M. E. Cockman, C. Loenarz, N. D. Loik, A. P. Hardy, T. D. W. Claridge, R. B. Hamed, R. Chowdhury, L. Gong, C. V. Robinson, D. C. Trudgian, M. Jiang, M. M. Mackeen, J. S. Mccullagh, Y. Gordiyenko, A. Thalhammer, A. Yamamoto, M. Yang, P. Liu-Yi, Z. Zhang, M. Schmidt-Zachmann, B. M. Kessler, P. J. Ratcliffe, G. M. Preston, M. L. Coleman, C. J. Schofield, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *8*, 960–962.

- [10] J. R. Horton, A. K. Upadhyay, H. H. Qi, X. Zhang, Y. Shi, X. Cheng, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2010, 17, 38–43.
- [11] Y. Yang, L. Hu, P. Wang, H. Hou, Y. Lin, Y. Liu, Z. Li, R. Gong, X. Feng, L. Zhou, W. Zhang, Y. Dong, H. Yang, H. Lin, Y. Wang, C. D. Chen, Y. Xu, *Cell Res.* 2010, *20*, 886–898.
- [12] G. Liu, A. Bollig-Fischer, B. Kreike, M. J. van de Vijver, J. Abrams, S. P. Ethier, Z.-Q. Yang, Oncogene 2009, 28, 4491–4500.
- [13] Y. Wei, R. Chen, S. Dimicoli, C. Bueso-Ramos, D. Neuberg, S. Pierce, H. Wang, H. Yang, Y. Jia, H. Zheng, Z. Fang, M. Nguyen, I. Ganan-Gomez, B. Ebert, R. Levine, H. Kantarjian, G. Garcia-Manero, *Leukemia* 2013, *27*, 2177–2186.
- [14] K. Kantojärvi, P. Onkamo, R. Vanhala, R. Alen, M. Hedman, A. Sajantila, T. Nieminen-von Wendt, I. Järvelä, *Psychiatr. Genet.* 2010, 20, 102–108.
- [15] D. Pinto, A.T. Pagnamenta, L. Klei, R. Anney, D. Merico, R. Regan, J. Conroy, T. R. Magalhaes, C. Correia, B. S. Abrahams, J. Almeida, E. Bacchelli, G. D. Bader, A. J. Bailey, G. Baird, A. Battaglia, T. Berney, N. Bolshakova, S. Bölte, P.F. Bolton, T. Bourgeron, S. Brennan, J. Brian, S.E. Bryson, A. R. Carson, G. Casallo, J. Casey, B. H. Y. Chung, L. Cochrane, C. Corsello, E. L. Crawford, A. Crossett, C. Cvtrynbaum, G. Dawson, M. de Jonge, R. Delorme, I. Drmic, E. Duketis, F. Duque, A. Estes, P. Farrar, B. A. Fernandez, S. E. Folstein, E. Fombonne, C. M. Freitag, J. Gilbert, C. Gillberg, J. T. Glessner, J. Goldberg, A. Green, J. Green, S. J. Guter, H. Hakonarson, E. A. Heron, M. Hill, R. Holt, J. L. Howe, G. Hughes, V. Hus, R. Igliozzi, C. Kim, S. M. Klauck, A. Kolevzon, O. Korvatska, V. Kustanovich, C. M. Lajonchere, J. A. Lamb, M. Laskawiec, M. Leboyer, A. Le Couteur, B. L. Leventhal, A. C. Lionel, X.-O. Liu, C. Lord, L. Lotspeich, S. C. Lund, E. Maestrini, W. Mahoney, C. Mantoulan, C. R. Marshall, H. McConachie, C. J. McDougle, J. McGrath, W. M. McMahon, A. Merikangas, O. Migita, N. J. Minshew, G. K. Mirza, J. Munson, S. F. Nelson, C. Noakes, A. Noor, G. Nygren, G. Oliveira, K. Papanikolaou, J. R. Parr, B. Parrini, T. Paton, A. Pickles, M. Pilorge, J. Piven, C. P. Ponting, D. J. Posey, A. Poustka, F. Poustka, A. Prasad, J. Ragoussis, K. Renshaw, J. Rickaby, W. Roberts, K. Roeder, B. Roge, M. L. Rutter, L. J. Bierut, J. P. Rice, J. Salt, K. Sansom, D. Sato, R. Segurado, A. F. Sequeira, L. Senman, N. Shah, V. C. Sheffield, L. Soorya, I. Sousa, O. Stein, N. Sykes, V. Stoppioni, C. Strawbridge, R. Tancredi, K. Tansey, B. Thiruvahindrapduram, A. P. Thompson, S. Thomson, A. Tryfon, J. Tsiantis, H. Van Engeland, J. B. Vincent, F. Volkmar, S. Wallace, K. Wang, Z. Wang, T. H. Wassink, C. Webber, R. Weksberg, K. Wing, K. Wittemeyer, S. Wood, J. Wu, B. L. Yaspan, D. Zurawiecki, L. Zwaigenbaum, J. D. Buxbaum, R. M. Cantor, E. H. Cook, H. Coon, M. L. Cuccaro, B. Devlin, S. Ennis, L. Gallagher, D. H. Geschwind, M. Gill, J. L. Haines, J. Hallmayer, J. Miller, A. P. Monaco, J. I. Nurnberger, Jr., A. D. Paterson, M. A. Pericak-Vance, G. D. Schellenberg, P. Szatmari, A. M. Vicente, V. J. Vieland, E. M. Wijsman, S. W. Scherer, J. S. Sutcliffe, C. Betancur, Nature 2010, 466, 368-372.
- [16] H. H. Qi, M. Sarkissian, G.-Q. Hu, Z. Wang, A. Bhattacharjee, D. B. Gordon, M. Gonzales, F. Lan, P. P. Ongusaha, M. Huarte, N. K. Yaghi, H. Lim, B. A. Garcia, L. Brizuela, K. Zhao, T. M. Roberts, Y. Shi, *Nature* 2010, 466, 503-507.
- [17] A. Tzschach, S. Lenzner, B. Moser, R. Reinhardt, J. Chelly, J.-P. Fryns, T. Kleefstra, M. Raynaud, G. Turner, H.-H. Ropers, A. Kuss, L. R. Jensen, *Hum. Mutat.* 2006, *27*, 389.
- [18] S. V. Frye, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2010, 6, 159-161.
- [19] S. Hamada, T.-D. Kim, T. Suzuki, Y. Itoh, H. Tsumoto, H. Nakagawa, R. Janknecht, N. Miyata, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2009, 19, 2852-2855.
- [20] A. Thalhammer, J. Mecinović, C. Loenarz, A. Tumber, N. R. Rose, T. D. Heightman, C. J. Schofield, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 127–135.
- [21] O. N. F. King, X. S. Li, M. Sakurai, A. Kawamura, N. R. Rose, S. S. Ng, A. M. Quinn, G. Rai, B. T. Mott, P. Beswick, R. J. Klose, U. Oppermann, A. Jadhav, T. D. Heightman, D. J. Maloney, C. J. Schofield, A. Simeonov, *PLoS One* **2010**, *5*, e15535.
- [22] S. S. Ng, K. L. Kavanagh, M. A. McDonough, D. Butler, E. S. Pilka, B. M. R. Lienard, J. E. Bray, P. Savitsky, O. Gileadi, F. von Delft, N. R. Rose, J. Offer, J. C. Scheinost, T. Borowski, M. Sundstrom, C. J. Schofield, U. Oppermann, *Nature* **2007**, *448*, 87–91.
- [23] R. J. Hopkinson, A. Tumber, C. Yapp, R. Chowdhury, W. Aik, K. H. Che, X. S. Li, J. B. L. Kristensen, O. N. F. King, M. C. Chan, K. K. Yeoh, H. Choi, L. J. Walport, C. C. Thinnes, J. T. Bush, C. Lejeune, A. M. Rydzik, N. R. Rose, E. A. Bagg, M. A. McDonough, T. J. Krojer, W. W. Yue, S. S. Ng, L. Olsen, P. E. Brennan, U. Oppermann, S. Mueller, R. J. Klose, P. J. Ratcliffe, C. J. Schofield, A. Kawamura, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 3110.

^{© 2014} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

CHEMMEDCHEM COMMUNICATIONS

- [24] M. Ito, K. Matsumura, J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 856-857.
- [25] T. Le Bahers, C. Adamo, I. Ciofini, J. Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114, 5932-5939.
- [26] M. Witschel, U. Misslitz, E. Baumann, W. von Deyn, K. Langemann, G. Mayer, U. Neidlein, R. Götz, N. Götz, M. Rack, S. Engel, M. Otten, K.-O. Westphalen, H. Walter, (BASF AG, Ludwigshafen, Germany), US Pat. US 7,030,063, 2006.
- [27] A. Nudelman, I. Levovich, S. M. Cutts, D. R. Phillips, A. Rephaeli, J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 1042-1054.
- [28] A. Rephaeli, R. Zhuk, A. Nudelman, Drug Dev. Res. 2000, 50, 379-391.
- [29] J. Mecinović, C. Loenarz, R. Chowdhury, C. J. Schofield, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2009, 19, 6192–6195.
- [30] A. Kawamura, A. Tumber, N. R. Rose, O. N. F. King, M. Daniel, U. Oppermann, T. D. Heightman, C. Schofield, Anal. Biochem. 2010, 404, 86–93.
- [31] J. T. Bush, L. J. Walport, J. F. McGouran, I. K. H. Leung, G. Berridge, S. S. van Berkel, A. Basak, B. M. Kessler, C. J. Schofield, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, 4, 4115–4120.
- [32] J. Paul, P. Fottrell, Biochem. J. 1961, 78, 418-424.
- [33] E. Panzarini, B. Tenuzzo, L. Dini, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2009, 1171, 617–626.
- [34] X. Luo, Y. Liu, S. Kubicek, J. Myllyharju, A. Tumber, S. Ng, K. H. Che, J. Podoll, T. D. Heightman, U. Oppermann, S. L. Schreiber, X. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9451–9456.
- [35] T. Suzuki, H. Ozasa, Y. Itoh, P. Zhan, H. Sawada, K. Mino, L. Walport, R. Ohkubo, A. Kawamura, M. Yonezawa, Y. Tsukada, A. Tumber, H. Nakaga-

- wa, M. Hasegawa, R. Sasaki, T. Mizukami, C. J. Schofield, N. Miyata, *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56*, 7222–7231.
- [36] W. Xu, H. Yang, Y. Liu, Y. Yang, P. Wang, S.-H. Kim, S. Ito, C. Yang, P. Wang, M.-T. Xiao, L. Liu, W. Jiang, J. Liu, J. Zhang, B. Wang, S. Frye, Y. Zhang, Y. Xu, Q. Lei, K.-L. Guan, S.-m. Zhao, Y. Xiong, *Cancer Cell* **2011**, *19*, 17–30.
- [37] A. Schuetz, J. Min, A. Allali-Hassani, M. Schapira, M. Shuen, P. Loppnau, R. Mazitschek, N. P. Kwiatkowski, T. A. Lewis, R. L. Maglathin, T. H. McLean, A. Bochkarev, A. N. Plotnikov, M. Vedadi, C. H. Arrowsmith, J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 11355–11363.
- [38] L. Kruidenier, C. Chung, Z. Cheng, J. Liddle, K. Che, G. Joberty, M. Bantscheff, C. Bountra, A. Bridges, H. Diallo, D. Eberhard, S. Hutchinson, E. Jones, R. Katso, M. Leveridge, P. K. Mander, J. Mosley, C. Ramirez-Molina, P. Rowland, C. J. Schofield, R. J. Sheppard, J. E. Smith, C. Swales, R. Tanner, P. Thomas, A. Tumber, G. Drewes, U. Oppermann, D. J. Patel, K. Lee, D. M. Wilson, *Nature* **2012**, *488*, 404–408.
- [39] R. Chowdhury, K. K. Yeoh, Y.-M. Tian, L. Hillringhaus, E. A. Bagg, N. R. Rose, I. K. H. Leung, X. S. Li, E. C. Y. Woon, M. Yang, M. A. McDonough, O. N. King, I. J. Clifton, R. J. Klose, T. D. W. Claridge, P. J. Ratcliffe, C. J. Schofield, A. Kawamura, *EMBO Rep.* 2011, *12*, 463–469.

Received: October 29, 2013 Published online on February 6, 2014