



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Toxicology Reports

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/toxrep](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/toxrep)Quantification of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> carry-over rate from feed to soft cheeseD. Costamagna<sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Gaggiotti<sup>a</sup>, C.A. Chiericatti<sup>c</sup>, L. Costabel<sup>a</sup>, G.M.L. Audero<sup>a</sup>, M. Taverna<sup>a</sup>, M.L. Signorini<sup>a,b</sup><sup>a</sup> National Institute for Agricultural Technology (INTA), Experimental Station Rafaela (EEA), Route 34, Km 227, 2300, Rafaela, Santa Fe, Argentina<sup>b</sup> National Council of Scientific and Technical Research (CONICET), National Institute for Agricultural Technology (INTA) EEA Rafaela, Route 34 Km 227, 2300, Rafaela, Santa Fe, Argentina<sup>c</sup> Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, National University of Littoral, Santiago Del Estero 2829, C.P. 3000, Provincia de Santa Fe, Argentina

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>  
Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>  
Carry-over  
Cheese

## ABSTRACT

From January to December 2016, samples of milk and feeds of dairy cattle were monthly collected. The concentration of mycotoxins in all matrices was determined using the enzymatic immunoassay technique. The average concentration of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone (ZEA) in feed was 3.01, 218.5 and 467 µg/kg, respectively. The average AFB<sub>1</sub> carry-over rate was 0.84% with a variation between 0.05 to 5.93%. Particle size of the feed ( $P = 0.030$ ) and individual milk production ( $P = 0.001$ ) affected this rate. Mini-soft cheeses were produced using milk naturally contaminated with aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) as raw material to study its distribution both in whey and in cheese. The average level of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk was 0.014 µg/l. None of milk samples exceeded the maximum level accepted for AFB<sub>1</sub> by the Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR) legislation (0.5 µg/l) and only 5.5% of samples exceeded the European Union (UE) regulations (0.05 µg/l). After the cheese elaboration, the concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> was determined in whey and in cheese. The greatest proportion (60%) was detected in whey while 40% AFM<sub>1</sub> remained in the cheese. However, the concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> was higher in the cheese compared to the original milk.

## 1. Introduction

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites produced by specific fungi that are natural contaminants of foods [1]. At appropriate ambient temperature and humidity conditions, mycotoxins can be found at any stage of the production chain. However, the fungi presence does not imply mycotoxin formation [2].

Upon ingestion by ruminants, aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) is partially destroyed in the rumen, whereas the absorbed AFB<sub>1</sub> rapidly undergoes metabolic processes in the liver to various secondary metabolites [3,4]. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) is major oxidation metabolite derivative of AFB<sub>1</sub>, and excreted primarily in the urine and secondarily in the milk [5,2]. Even though it is not as mutagenic and genotoxic as AFB<sub>1</sub>, AFM<sub>1</sub> exhibits a high genotoxic activity and it has been classified by IARC as a class 2B human carcinogen [6].

AFM<sub>1</sub> is relatively stable in raw milk and milk products and it is not destroyed by heat [7]. Infants and children are the most susceptible population due to the high level of milk consumed and to the fact that their biochemical detoxification mechanisms are not fully operative yet, what constitutes a high risk for the public health [8,5].

Argentina, information on AFM<sub>1</sub> levels in dairy products and by-

products and carry-over rates through the milk chain is lacking. The objective of this study was to quantify the aflatoxin carry-over rate from feed to milk and its distribution during processing soft cheese.

## 2. Materials and methods

The level of AFB<sub>1</sub> contained in the feeds consumed by the cows, the level of AFM<sub>1</sub> in the milk produced by these cows and the concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in the cheeses made with these milks and the whey derived, were monitored for a year. Monthly, feed and milk samples were collected simultaneously in a semi-intensive voluntary milking system (VMS, DeLaval Group, Tumba, Sweden) where cows have access to pasture and supplements all year round (characteristic of Argentina average milk production system).

## 2.1. Feeds

## 2.1.1. Sampling

A total of 32 dairy cattle feedstuffs were sampled: 8 grass samples (in the winter, for four months the cows did not have access to the grass), 12 concentrate samples and 12 Total Mixed Ration (TMR)

\* Corresponding author at: National Institute of Agricultural Technology (INTA), EEA Rafaela, Route 34 Km 227, 2300, Rafaela, Province of Santa Fe, Argentina.  
E-mail address: [costamagna.dianela@inta.gov.ar](mailto:costamagna.dianela@inta.gov.ar) (D. Costamagna).

samples composed of corn and wheat silages, cottonseed, alfalfa hay and by-products (soybean expeller and sunflower pellet). The amount of each ingredient in the diet is detailed in Table S1. The samples were taken following a sampling procedure [9]. Then, feed samples were dried at 65 °C for 48 h in a forced-air oven, and then ground to pass through a 0.9 mm mesh sieve using a high-speed grinder and stored at –20 °C until analysis of aflatoxin.

Additionally, the particle size of TMR was measured by the Penn State Particle Separator (PSPS) [10]. A sample of 500 g of TMR was placed on the upper tray and shaken. Then the materials of the respective trays are weighed and the proportions in each one are calculated.

### 2.1.2. Aflatoxin detection in feeds

The procedure for AFB<sub>1</sub> determination in feed samples was based on an Enzyme-Linked Immunoassay (ELISA) using the RIDASCREEN test kit (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany). The limit of detection (LOD) was < 1.7 µg/kg and solutions 0, 5, 10, 20, 50 µg AFB<sub>1</sub>/l were used for quantification. Sample preparation and test procedure was performed according to manufacturer's instructions. The general prevalence was calculated as the number of samples with aflatoxin concentration greater than the LOD divided by the total number of samples.

## 2.2. Milk

### 2.2.1. Sampling

A total of 36 cows were sampled according to lactation stage: a) < 90 days of lactation (high milk production); b) between 90 and 150 days (medium milk production) and c) > 150 days of lactation (low milk production). From the total raw milk collected per cow, one portion (1 l) was used immediately for cheese manufacture and other (250 ml) for AFM<sub>1</sub> analysis.

### 2.2.2. Aflatoxin detection in milk

A total of 36 milk samples were analyzed for AFM<sub>1</sub> using the RIDASCREEN test kit (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions. The LOD was 0.005 µg/l, and solutions 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80 µg AFM<sub>1</sub>/l were used for quantification.

### 2.2.3. Carry-over rate calculation

The carry-over rate of AFB<sub>1</sub> to AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk was calculated as percentage of consumed AFB<sub>1</sub> that was excreted as AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk. AFB<sub>1</sub> concentration in feed was calculated as the sum of AFB<sub>1</sub> concentration in each ingredient (in µg/kg) divided by the total amount of consumed feed (in kg). The total amount of AFM<sub>1</sub> excreted in milk was calculated considering AFM<sub>1</sub> concentration in milk (in µg/l milk) multiplied by total amount of produced milk (in l).

The carry-over rate was calculated from the feeds consumed by the cows on the day of sampling. The amount of concentrate consumed for cows (in Kg) and the amount of produced milk for cows (in l) were obtained by the VMS management software (Delpro, DeLaval). Regarding fresh grass and TMR, the amount consumed was calculated as the subtraction between the offered feed (Table S1) and consumed by

the measurement of remaining feed.

### 2.2.4. Milk characterization

Milk physico-chemical and sanitary quality parameters were determined: fat and protein were measured by infrared spectroscopy (MilkoScan FT 120, Foss System, Hillerød, Denmark). pH was measured by potentiometric method, using the Titroline Alpha Plus automated autoanalyzer (Schott Instruments, Mainz, Germany). The acidity was determined by manual titration, with acidimeter [11]. The somatic cell count (SCC) and total bacterial counts (TBC) were automatically determined by Fossomatic and Bactoscan [12], respectively [13].

## 2.3. Cheese and whey

### 2.3.1. Cheese-making

A total of 36 mini-soft cheeses were manufactured from 1 l of milk according to the standard process for *Cremoso Argentino Cheese* [14]. Whey samples (250 ml) were collected after mixing the whey from the vat and from the cheese draining. Cheeses were brined for 3 min in saturated brine at 4 °C and ripened at 4 °C for 20 days. Next, the cheeses were weighed and vacuum packed using Cryovac BB2800CB bags (permeability to O<sub>2</sub> 30 cm<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> 24 h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>; CO<sub>2</sub> 150 cm<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> 24 h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>; water vapor 20 g 24 h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>; Sealed Air Co., Buenos Aires, Argentina).

### 2.3.2. Cheese chemical composition

Cheese total protein and fat were assessed in cheese according to International Dairy Federation (IDF) standards [15–17] and results were expressed as percentage of dry matter. The pH was determined as described by Bradley et al. [18] by immersing the electrode (Schott Instrument, Mainz, Germany) in a homogenate (1:1) of grated cheese.

### 2.3.3. Aflatoxin detection in cheese and whey

Mini-soft cheese samples (n = 36) and whey samples (n = 36) were analyzed for AFM<sub>1</sub> using the RIDASCREEN test kit (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions. The LOD was 0.05 µg/l for both, and solutions 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80 µg AFM<sub>1</sub>/l were used for quantification.

### 2.3.4. Statistical analysis

The effect of season, lactation stage, milk production, health status (measured as somatic cell counts), particle size, and AFB<sub>1</sub> level in different feedstuff (considered as independent variables) on AFM<sub>1</sub> concentration in milk and on AFB<sub>1</sub> carry-over rate (both outcome variables) was evaluated by Generalized Linear Models with Gamma distribution as a link function. This statistical model was performed because the outcome variables were not normally distributed. Statistical analyses were performed using the InfoStat software (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina) [19].

**Table 1**

Occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in feedstuffs, expressed in µg/kg.

Mycotoxins	Ingredients	Positive <sup>a</sup> (n) %	Range	Average <sup>b</sup>	Exceed Legal Limit <sup>c</sup>
AFB <sub>1</sub>	Fresh grass (n = 8)	(7) 87	2.7-8.1	5 ± 2.3	0% (MERCOSUR)
	Concentrate (n = 12)	(8) 67	1.9-5.7	3.2 ± 1.3	19% (EU)
	TMR (n = 12)	(11) 92	1.9-5.3	3.4 ± 1.04	
<b>TOTAL = (26) 81 - 3.8 ± 1.7</b>					

References: AFB<sub>1</sub> = aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. TMR = Total Mixed Ration.

<sup>a</sup> Samples > Limit of Detection (LOD).

<sup>b</sup> Mean of positive samples ± standard deviation.

<sup>c</sup> Maximum levels are 20 µg/kg for MERCOSUR and 5 µg/kg for EU.

**Table 2**Values (expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) of aflatoxin intake, milk data and carry-over for stage of lactation.

Milk production	AFB <sub>1</sub> intake (µg/day)	Milk yield (kg/day)	AFM <sub>1</sub> concentration in milk (µg/kg)	AFM <sub>1</sub> excretion in milk (µg/day)	Carry-over <sup>1</sup> % (range)
High (n = 12)	66.08 $\pm$ 27.5	34.12 $\pm$ 9.7	0.016 $\pm$ 0.02	0.484 $\pm$ 0.43	0.88 <sup>a</sup> (0.06 – 1.99)
Medium (n = 12)	66.92 $\pm$ 26.0	30.54 $\pm$ 5.5	0.016 $\pm$ 0.02	0.514 $\pm$ 0.63	1.09 <sup>a</sup> (0.07 – 5.93)
Low (n = 12)	67.50 $\pm$ 25.6	20.15 $\pm$ 5.7	0.011 $\pm$ 0.01	0.239 $\pm$ 0.22	0.56 <sup>a</sup> (0.05 – 3.22)
<b>Means (range)</b>	<b>66.8 (15 – 108)</b>	<b>28.27 (13 – 49)</b>	<b>0.014 (0.003 - 0.064)</b>	<b>0.413 (0.039 – 2.405)</b>	<b>0.84 (0.05 – 5.93)</b>

References: <sup>1</sup>Values in the same column with different superscript differ significantly.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Feeds

The levels of aflatoxin AFB<sub>1</sub> in feed samples are shown in Table 1. The general prevalence was 81.3%. AFB<sub>1</sub> concentration in feed was not influenced by the season ( $P = 0.106$ ). AFB<sub>1</sub> prevalence was particularly high in TMR, although the highest concentrations were observed in fresh grass.

The European Union (EU) [20] and MERCOSUR [21], establish maximum levels of 5 and 20 µg AFB<sub>1</sub>/kg feed, respectively. In this study, approximately 19% feed analyzed exceeded the value established by the EU but none exceeded the value established by MERCOSUR (Table 1).

#### 3.2. Milk

AFM<sub>1</sub> levels in milk samples ranged from 0.003 µg/l to 0.064 µg/l with a mean value of 0.014 µg/l (Table 2). Considering the amount of daily milk produced, the average AFM<sub>1</sub> level in individual cow milk was 0.413 µg.

Twenty-eight of the 36 milk samples (77.8%) had detectable levels of AFM<sub>1</sub>, where 5.5% of the samples showed higher levels than the value established by the European regulations (0.05 µg/l) [22]. However, all samples were within the maximum level accepted by MERCOSUR regulation (0.5 µg/l) [21].

The presence and concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk were not influenced by season ( $P = 0.325$ ) nor by lactation stage ( $P = 0.130$ ). Similarly, the milk yield ( $P = 0.514$ ) as well as to the health status of the mammary gland (measured as somatic cell count) ( $P = 0.896$ ) were not associated with the concentration of this mycotoxin. Regarding the feeds used in milk farm, fresh grass ( $P = 0.118$ ), concentrate ( $P = 0.758$ ) and TMR ( $P = 0.285$ ), was not associated with AFM<sub>1</sub> concentration in milk.

The particle size of TMR retained on the top screen (greater than or equal to 19.0 mm) was associated with the concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk ( $P = 0.010$ ). The current recommendations indicate that the amount of TMR retained on the top screen of the PSPS is 8 percent; this value indicates that the animal has enough effective fiber in the diet and of an adequate size for the correct functioning of the rumen [23]. Diets in which the particle size retained on the top screen exceeded 8% presented a higher concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> (mean concentration = 0.0164 µg/l) than in the TMR in which sample size was ideal (AFM<sub>1</sub> mean concentration = 0.0075 µg/l).

#### 3.3. The AFB<sub>1</sub> carry-over from feed to the milk

The average AFB<sub>1</sub> carry-over rate was 0.84% with a variation between 0.05%–5.93%, and did not present significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) among means (Table 2). The average carry-over rate was not affected by lactation stage ( $P = 0.298$ ). However, when the milk

yield was considered, regardless of the lactation stage, it affected the carry-over rate ( $P = 0.001$ ). The average carry-over rate was 1.21% (range 0.23–5.93 %) in high-yield cows (more than 28.5 l/day) while low-yield cows (less than 28.5 l/day) presented an average carry-over rate of 0.48% (range 0.05–2.12 %).

The AFB<sub>1</sub> level in the fresh grass ( $P = 0.070$ ), concentrate ( $P = 0.001$ ) and TMR ( $P = 0.001$ ) was associated with the carry-over rate. Also, particle size retained on the top screen ( $P = 0.030$ ) affected the carry-over rate from AFB<sub>1</sub> to AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk. Diets in which the particle size exceeded 8% presented a higher carry-over rate (0.61%) than diets in which sample size was ideal (0.34%).

Finally, the somatic cell count in milk ( $P = 0.435$ ) and season ( $P = 0.405$ ) were not correlated with the carry-over rate of AFB<sub>1</sub> to AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk.

#### 3.4. Cheese and whey

The characteristics of the milk utilized in the cheese making processes are reported in Table 3 and did not present significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) among means. These values are within the requirements established by the EU [24] and by the reference milk system of Argentina [25]. Mini-soft cheese yield ranged from 7.72% to 14.20%, and values (mean  $\pm$  SD) of pH, moisture, fat and total protein were 5.40  $\pm$  0.05, 48.99%  $\pm$  1.15%, 51.23%  $\pm$  2.91%, and 37.60%  $\pm$  6.01%, respectively. Taking into account these ranges, according to the Argentine Food Code, the cheeses obtained are classified as fatty, high moisture or soft paste.

In 36 samples studied, AFM<sub>1</sub> was detected in 19 (52.8%) cheese samples and one whey sample (2.8%). Only one cheese sample exceeded the maximum acceptable level set by European Community countries regulating AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheeses ( $> 0, 25$  µg/kg).

Table 4 shows the distribution of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese and whey during cheese production from the naturally contaminated milk. In the whey samples AFM<sub>1</sub> levels were between 55% and 58% of the total amount of the toxin present in the naturally contaminated milk, being the

**Table 3**

Composition of milk used for cheese-making.

Items	High milk production <sup>1</sup> (n = 12)	Medium milk production <sup>1</sup> (n = 12)	Low milk production <sup>1</sup> (n = 12)
Fat (%) <sup>1</sup>	3.19 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	3.71 $\pm$ 0.23 <sup>a</sup>	3.65 $\pm$ 0.23 <sup>a</sup>
Protein (%) <sup>1</sup>	3.21 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	3.46 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	3.59 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>c</sup>
pH <sup>1</sup>	6.73 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	6.72 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	6.73 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup>
Acidity (°D) <sup>1</sup>	17.33 $\pm$ 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	17.08 $\pm$ 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	16.58 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>a</sup>
Somatic cell count (x1000 cells/ml) <sup>1</sup>	80.91 $\pm$ 139 <sup>a</sup>	101 $\pm$ 105 <sup>a</sup>	107 $\pm$ 94 <sup>a</sup>
Total bacterial count (Log cfu/ml) <sup>1</sup>	4.62 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>a</sup>	4.63 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>a</sup>	4.27 $\pm$ 0.27 <sup>a</sup>

Reference: <sup>1</sup> Values in the same column with different superscript differ significantly.

**Table 4**  
Distribution of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese, whey and milk from which they were made, for the *Cremoso Argentino* Cheese production method.

Milk production	Samples	Amount (ml or g)	AFM <sub>1</sub> <sup>c</sup>		% of AFM <sub>1</sub> mass distribution <sup>a</sup>
			(µg/l, kg)	Total mass (µg)	
<b>High</b> (n = 12)	Milk	1000	0.01607	0.01607	
	Whey	851	0.01435	0.01225	56.9
	Cheese	107	0.08662	0.00927	43.1
	<sup>b</sup> Concentration factor in cheese = 5.4				
<b>Medium</b> (n = 12)	Milk	1000	0.01564	0.01564	
	Whey	850	0.01501	0.1276	58.1
	Cheese	107	0.08615	0.00922	41.9
	Concentration factor in cheese = 5.5				
<b>Low</b> (n = 12)	Milk	1000	0.01094	0.01094	
	Whey	848	0.01014	0.0086	56.7
	Cheese	107	0.06130	0.00656	43.3
	Concentration factor in cheese = 5.6				
<b>Means</b> (n = 36)	Milk	1000	0.01519	0.01519	
	Whey	849	0.01317	0.01118	55.4
	Cheese	107	0.08421	0.00900	44.6
	Concentration factor in cheese = 5.5				

<sup>a</sup> [(Total AFM<sub>1</sub> mass (µg) in whey or cheese) \* 100 / (AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese + whey)].

<sup>b</sup> Concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese on milk.

<sup>c</sup> Limits for milk established by MERCOSUR: 0.5 µg/l. Limits for milk and cheese established by European Union: 0.05 µg/l and > 0.25 µg/kg, respectively.

percentage remaining in the cheeses. The level of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese was higher than in the original milk, resulting in a concentration factor, for the *Cremoso Argentino* cheese, ranging from 5.4 to 5.6.

#### 4. Discussion

The average concentration of AFB<sub>1</sub> in the dairy cattle diets in the present study was slightly lower than the reported by Signorini et al. [26] in the same dairy production region in Argentina. This small difference may be explained by the climatic conditions of the year of study, characterized by a high humidity which could encourage the proliferation of fungi.

Seasons, milk yield and the amount of feed consumed were not associated with the level of aflatoxins in milk, results opposite to those reported by Signorini et al. [26]. These results may be due to the system evaluated where the composition of the diet is stable throughout the year, especially in feeds such as silages and products and by-products of the agroindustry (ingredients very susceptible to mycotoxigenic fungi), contrary to the information provided by Signorini et al. [26], where the establishments evaluated had a composition of diet that generally use more of these feeds in autumn-winter due to the deficit of pastures in that season. Seasonal variations in the occurrence and in the average levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> were described in other similar studies where show an increasing trend in both AFM<sub>1</sub> prevalence during the winter or in the dry season, when cattle are mostly fed with possibly contaminated feedstuffs and silages [27].

Levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk detected in this study were slightly lower than those observed in other studies carried out in our country. Previous studies conducted in the similar dairy area [28,29] reported average levels of 0.016 µg/l and Michlig et al. [30] reported levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> of 0.037 µg/l in bulk milk. Other authors observed levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> of 0.028 µg/l in studies conducted in dairy farms from Villa Maria (Argentina) [31]. This differences may be due to the analytical technique employed (rapid test vs. chromatography) being the last more

sensitive, or differences in the productive systems (geographical location, quantity and type of ingredients in the diet).

None of the samples showed AFM<sub>1</sub> levels above the safety limit determined by MERCOSUR, while 5.5% of samples exceeded the limit of the EU. A previous study conducted in Argentina's central dairy region [30], reported that the 19.4% of the milk samples positive to AFM<sub>1</sub> had concentrations above the maximum level established by the EU, but all milk samples were within the limit acceptable by MERCOSUR.

In Argentina, the National Plan for Residue Management and Food Safety (CREHA) monitors the AFM<sub>1</sub> in raw milk. In 2016, 158 samples of raw milk in dairy industry were analyzed, of which 27 (17.1%) had AFM<sub>1</sub> higher values than 0.025 µg/l, but lower than 0.5 µg/l [32]. CREHA Plan's samples were taken directly from the dairy industry whereas that in the present work, samples individual cow's milk were analyzed, situation which could generate a dilution effect of aflatoxins in milk and, as a consequence present lower concentrations. All this shows that, regardless of geographical areas, methods of analysis and type of sample considered, levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk produced in Argentina are relatively low.

The incidence and levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in raw milk was also reported by others countries where the results of this study are comparable, showing high incidence at low levels [33–39].

The carry-over rate from AFB<sub>1</sub> to AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk in this study had a wide variation but with low average levels, and it was associated with the milk yield. Cows with a production higher than 28.5 l/day had a higher carry-over rate than those cows with lower production. These data coincide with studies reported in other countries. According to the European Food Safety Authority [40], in ruminants, the carry-over rate is between 1 and 3%. However, for high-producing dairy cows with up to 40 l/day of milk, this rate may reach 6%. Britzi et al. [41] suggested that milk production is the main factor affecting the carry-over rate, with an average carry-over rate of 2.5% for low production cows (< 35 l/day) and 5.4% for high production cows (> 35 l/day).

The AFB<sub>1</sub> level in feeds consumed by dairy cows affected the carry-over rate. Concentrated feeds and ingredients of the TMR such as for example cottonseed and soybean expeller were components highly correlated with the level of aflatoxins in dairy milk [30]. The AFB<sub>1</sub> proportion from these two ingredients was, on average, 56.9% of the total diet. For those reason, the conditions of harvest and storage feed should be carefully controlled in order to reduce the exposure of dairy cattle to aflatoxins and subsequently reduce their concentration in milk.

Other variable associated with the carry-over rate in this study was the particle size of the TMR. Rumen has some natural ability to detoxify mycotoxins although that capacity depends on the characteristics of the rumen (pH, time of feed permanence) [42].

When the proportion of material retained on the top screen of the SPSP is greater than 8%, there is an excess of effective fiber in the diet due to lack of homogeneity in the feed, which leads the cows to select smaller particles that pass quickly through the rumen, shortening the permanence time of the feed avoiding that bacteria from degrading mycotoxins [43].

The incorporation of less effective fiber affects the rumen balance due to pH fluctuations, which results in lower growth rates of some bacterial groups identified in the processing and detoxification of mycotoxins [44]. In this study, it was observed that those months in which the particle size was not ideal, cows made a greater selection of feed, preferably of short fiber, causing a shorter stay time of the feed in the rumen. The lower permanence of the feed in the rumen could explain the higher carry-over rate.

The presence of higher levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese than milk, have been described by several research [45–49], supporting the findings in the present study. Different types of cheese produced with milk artificially contaminated with AFM<sub>1</sub> have been reported to have concentrations 1.8–4.4 fold higher than in milk [50,48,51]. Parmesan and Mozzarella found AFM<sub>1</sub> levels of 5.8 and 7.1 fold higher than in milk [52].



The affinity of AFM<sub>1</sub> for the casein could be mentioned as a reason to increase the concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cheese, besides, this toxin is chemically a water-soluble component, therefore its high concentration in the cheeses may be due also to the affinity with the hydrophilic portion of the casein [53].

Several studies [7] have reported a wide range of AFM<sub>1</sub> distribution between cheese and whey, which is fundamentally affected by the manufacturing process. In this study, levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in the whey and cheese samples were between 55.4% and 44.6% of the total amount of aflatoxin present in milk, respectively. This is in coincidence with Lopez [54], who evaluated the AFM<sub>1</sub> distribution in fresh cheese produced in Argentina using milk artificially contaminated with AFM<sub>1</sub> (at levels of 1.7–2.0 ng AFM<sub>1</sub> /ml), finding values of 60% of the AFM<sub>1</sub> in whey and 40% in cheese. Also, Battacone et al. [55] observed a similar distribution of AFM<sub>1</sub> between whey and cheese during the elaboration of cheese using sheep milk. In cheese made in Minas (Brazil) using artificially contaminated milk (0.250 and 0.500 ng AFM<sub>1</sub>/ml), the AFM<sub>1</sub> transfer from milk to cheese was 30.64% and 34.91%, respectively [56]. Fremy et al. [57] evaluated Camembert cheese produced with milk artificially contaminated with AFM<sub>1</sub> (at levels as high as 0.3–7.5 ng AFM<sub>1</sub>/ml) and observed transfers of 35.6% and 57.7% of AFM<sub>1</sub> to cheese, respectively.

Research carried out by Cavallarin et al. [49] following three Italian traditional cheese production methods, found that those cheeses in which the pH after syneresis was around 4.50, showed a lower AFM<sub>1</sub> partitioning percentage to whey than those which had a pH of 6. In previous studies [58], it was found that the combined action of heat and low pH is able to denature whey proteins to a point where they lost the AFM<sub>1</sub> binding capacity, showing a lower concentration of the mycotoxin in the whey. In the elaboration of *Crema Argentino Miniature Cheese*, the whey pH, after syneresis, was on average 6.6. Therefore, soluble proteins did not lose their affinity for AFM<sub>1</sub>, explaining the higher AFM<sub>1</sub> partitioning percentage to whey.

Whey is an important by-product of the cheese-making industry [59]. Whey proteins have a number of useful nutritional and functional properties, which are used in a wide range of commercial products, as food additives [60]. These products may contribute to the intake of AFM<sub>1</sub> and their effect on the consumers' health should be evaluated.

From these data, it would be important to evaluate the human exposure to AFM<sub>1</sub> through the consumption of milk in the diet and at the same time evaluate the potential risk through different scenarios of risk assessment [62].

## 5. Conclusions

Although animal diets contain significant levels of aflatoxins, the prevalence and the levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk produced and commercialized in Argentina are relatively low. However, factors such as characteristics of diet (appropriate particle size) affect the carry-over rate of AFM<sub>1</sub>. The implementation of good management practices are required to minimize this rate and ensure adequate cattle health.

Cheese has been shown to retain a significant portion of AFM<sub>1</sub> contained in milk. The impact on public health derived from the consumption of soft cheese and other products made from whey should be examined.

## Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Transparency document

The [Transparency document](#) associated with this article can be found in the online version.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

- [1] E.M. Binder, Managing the risk of mycotoxins in modern feed production, *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 133 (2007) 149–166.
- [2] H.P. Van Egmond, Introduction, in: H.P. Van Egmond (Ed.), *Mycotoxins in Dairy Products*, Elsevier, London, 1989, pp. 1–10.
- [3] T.W. Kensler, B.D. Roebuck, G.N. Wogan, J.D. Groopman, Aflatoxin: a 50-year odyssey of mechanistic and translational toxicology, *Toxicol. Sci.* 120 (2010) 28–48.
- [4] M.E.M. Kuilman, R.F.M. Maas, J. Fink-Gremmels, Cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism and cytotoxicity of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in bovine hepatocytes, *Toxicol. Vitro* 14 (2000) 321–327.
- [5] A. Prandini, G. Tansini, S. Sigolo, L. Filippi, M. Laporta, G. Piva, On the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk and dairy products, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 47 (2009) 984–991.
- [6] IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), *Aflatoxins*, IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans 62 IARC Press, Lyon, France, 2002, pp. 171–300.
- [7] F. Galvano, V. Galofaro, G. Galvano, Occurrence and stability of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk and milk products: a worldwide review, *J. Food Prot.* 59 (1996) 1076–1090.
- [8] A. Pietry, T. Bertuzzi, P. Bertuzzi, G. Piva, Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> occurrence in samples of Grana Padano Cheese, *Food Addit. Contam.* 14 (1997) 341–344.
- [9] INTA (Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria), *Técnicas de muestreo y parámetros de calidad de los recursos forrajeros*, Publicación Técnica N°56, Available at (1995) [http://rafaela.inta.gov.ar/info/pubtecnicas/inta\\_rafaela\\_publicacion\\_tecnica\\_056.pdf](http://rafaela.inta.gov.ar/info/pubtecnicas/inta_rafaela_publicacion_tecnica_056.pdf).
- [10] J. Heinrichs, P. Kononoff, Evaluando el tamaño de partícula de forrajes y TMR usando el Nuevo Separador de Partículas de Forraje de Penn State, Departamento de Ciencias Animales y Lecheras de la Universidad Estatal de Pennsylvania, Extensión Cooperativa, DAS 02-42, 2002, p. 3.
- [11] IRAM (Instituto Argentino de Normalización y Certificación), *Milk. Determination of Titratable Acidity, Part 1: Alkalimetric Titration without Sample Dilution*, Available at (2006) <http://web.iram.org.ar>.
- [12] Foss Electric, *Count Bacteria on Bactoscan FC Technical Specifications*, P/N 1025136, Issue 4GB, October, Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark, 2001.
- [13] IDF (International Dairy Federation), *Enumeration of Somatic Cells, FIL-IDF Standard N° 148A*, Brussels, Belgium (1995).
- [14] L. Costabel, G.M.L. Audero, A. Cuatrin, D. Costamagna, *Desarrollo de un protocolo para la elaboración de quesos blandos miniatura*, XVI Congreso de Ciencia y Tecnología de los Alimentos, Mar del Plata, Buenos Aires, 2017 ISBN 978-987-22165-8-0.
- [15] IDF (International Dairy Federation), *Milk. Determinations of Nitrogen Content*, N° 20: B, Brussels, Belgium (1993).
- [16] IDF (International Dairy Federation), *Milk and Milk Products, Determination of Fat Content (general Guidance on the Use of Butyrometric Methods)*, N° 152: a, Brussels, Belgium (1997).
- [17] IDF (International Dairy Federation), *Cheese and Processed Cheese, Determination of the Total Solids Content*, N° 4: a, Brussels, Belgium (1982).
- [18] R.L. Bradley, E. Arnold, D.M. Barbano, R.G. Semerad, D.E. Smith, B.K. Vines, *Chemical and physical methods*, in: R. Marshall (Ed.), *Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products*, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 1993, pp. 433–531.
- [19] F. Di Rienzo, M.G. Casanoves, L. Balzarini, M. Gonzalez, C.W. Tablada, InfoStat version InfoStat group, FCA, Cordoba National University, Argentina, 2012 (available at <http://www.infostat.com.ar>).
- [20] European Community, *Directive 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 May 2002 on Undesirable Substances in Animal Feed*, (2002).
- [21] MERCOSUR (Mercado Común del Sur), *Reglamento técnico MERCOSUR sobre límites máximos de aflatoxinas admisibles en leche, maní y maíz*, GMC/RES. N° 25/02, (2002).
- [22] European Community, *Commission Regulation N° 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006, Setting Maximum Levels for Certain Contaminants in Foodstuffs*, (2006).
- [23] P. Kononoff, *Understanding Effective Fiber in Rations for Dairy Cattle*, Dairy Feeding and Nutrition, Nebraska University, UNL Extension publications, 2005, p. G 1587.
- [24] European Parliament, *Regulation (EC) N° 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004*, Off. J. Eur. Union L 139/55 (2004) 1–155.
- [25] SAGPA (Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería y Pesca), *Sistema de Pago de la Leche Cruda*, Resolución 229 - E/16, available at (2016) <http://www.loa.org.ar/legNormaDetalle.aspx?id=28888>.
- [26] M.L. Signorini, M. Gaggiotti, A. Molineri, C.A. Chiericatti, M.L. Zapata de Basílico, J.C. Basílico, *Exposure assessment of mycotoxins in cow's milk in Argentina*, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 50 (2012) 250–257.
- [27] O. Peña-Rodas, R. Martínez-Lopez, R. Hernández-Rauda, *Occurrence of Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in cow milk in El Salvador: results from a two year survey*, *Toxicol. Rep.* 5 (2018) 671–678.
- [28] C. López, L. Ramos, S. Ramadan, F. Rodriguez, L. Bulacio, *Estudio de Aflatoxina M<sub>1</sub>*

- en leches argentinas, Limit of AFB<sub>1</sub> in feed for animals of 10 mg/ml Proceedings of The XIV Congreso Latinoamericano de Microbiología (1998) 73.
- [29] C.E. López, L.L. Ramos, S.S. Ramadan, L.C. Bulacio, Presence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk for human consumption in Argentina, *Food Control* 14 (2003) 31–34.
- [30] N. Michlig, M. Signorini, M. Gaggiotti, C. Chiericatti, J.C. Basílico, M.R. Repetti, H.R. Beldomenico, Risk factors associated with the presence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in raw bulk milk from Argentina, *Food Control* 64 (2016) 151–156.
- [31] V.A. Alonso, M.P. Monge, A. Larriestra, A.M. Dalcerro, L.R. Cavaglieri, S.M. Chiacchiera, Naturally occurring aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in raw bulk milk from farm cooling tanks in Argentina, *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 27 (2010) 373–379.
- [32] CREHA (Residue and Food Hygiene National Control Plan), Resumen de resultados, available at (2016) [http://www.senasa.gob.ar/sites/default/files/arbol\\_senasa/animal/abejas/prod\\_primaria/plan\\_creha/ctrl\\_res/resumen\\_resultados\\_plan\\_creha\\_2016.pdf](http://www.senasa.gob.ar/sites/default/files/arbol_senasa/animal/abejas/prod_primaria/plan_creha/ctrl_res/resumen_resultados_plan_creha_2016.pdf).
- [33] G.J. Diaz, E. Espitia, Occurrence of M<sub>1</sub> in retail milk samples from Bogota, Colombia, *Food Addit. Contam.* 23 (8) (2006) 811–815.
- [34] F. Galvano, V. Galofaro, A. Ritiene, M. Bognanno, A. De Angelis, G. Galvano, Survey of the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in dairy products marketed in Italy: second year of observation, *Food Addit. Contam.* 18 (7) (2001) 644–646.
- [35] A. Gurbay, S. Aydin, G. Girgin, A.B. Engin, G. Sahin, Assessment of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> levels in milk in Ankara, Turkey, *Food Control* 17 (1) (2006) 1–4.
- [36] E.K. Kim, D.H. Shon, D. Ryu, J.W. Park, H.J. Hwang, Y.B. Kim, Occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in Korean dairy products determined by ELISA and HPLC, *Food Addit. Contam.* 17 (1) (2000) 59–64.
- [37] M.R. Oveisi, B. Jannat, N. Sadeghi, M. Hajimahmoodi, A. Nikzad, Presence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk and infant milk products in Tehran, Iran, *Food Control* 18 (10) (2007) 1216–1218.
- [38] A. Zinedine, L. Gonzalez-Osnaya, J.M. Soriano, J.C. Molto, L. Idrissi, J. Manes, Presence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in pasteurized milk from Morocco, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 114 (1) (2007) 25–29.
- [39] S. Jawaid, F.N. Talpur, S.M. Nizamani, H.I. Afridi, Contamination profile of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> residues in milk supply chain of Sindh, Pakistan, *Toxicol. Rep.* 2 (2015) 1418–1422.
- [40] EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Opinion of the scientific panel on contaminants in the food chain on a request from the commission related to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> as undesirable substance in animal feed, *EFSA J.* 39 (2004) 1–27.
- [41] M. Britzi, S. Friedman, J. Miron, R. Solomon, O. Cuneah, J.A. Shimshoni, Carry-over of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> to Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in high yielding Israeli cows in mid- and late-lactation, *Toxins* 5 (2013) 173–183.
- [42] D.E. Diaz, J.W.M. Hagler, J.T. Blackwelder, J.A. Eve, B.A. Hopkins, K.L. Anderson, F.T. Jones, L.W. Whitlow, Aflatoxin binders II: reduction of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk by sequestering agents of cows consuming aflatoxin in feed, *Mycopathologia* 157 (2004) 233–241.
- [43] M. Moschini, F. Masoero, D.E. Diaz, A. Gallo, A. Pietri, G. Piva, Plasma aflatoxin concentrations over time in bolus fed lactating dairy cows, *J. Anim. Sci.* 84 (1) (2006) 74.
- [44] J.P. Jouany, D.E. Diaz, Effects of mycotoxins in ruminants, in: D.E. Diaz (Ed.), *The Mycotoxin Blue Book*, Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, 2005, pp. 295–321.
- [45] I. Bakirci, A study on the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk and milk products produced in Van province of Turkey, *Food Control* 12 (1) (2001) 47–51.
- [46] A.M. Elgerbi, K.E. Aidoo, A.A.G. Candlish, R.F. Tester, Occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in randomly selected North African milk and cheese samples, *Food Addit. Contam.* 21 (2004) 592–597.
- [47] A.C. Manetta, M. Giammarco, L. Di Giuseppe, I. Fusaro, A. Gramenzi, A. Formigoni, Distribution of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> during Grana Padano cheese production from naturally contaminated milk, *Food Chem.* 113 (2009) 595–599.
- [48] H.H. Oruc, R. Cibik, E. Yilmaz, O. Kalkanli, Distribution and stability of Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> during processing and ripening of traditional white pickled cheese, *Food Addit. Contam.* 23 (2006) 190–195.
- [49] L. Cavallarin, S. Antoniazzi, D. Giaccone, E. Tabacco, G. Borreani, Transfer of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> from milk to ripened cheese in three Italian traditional production methods, *Food Control* 38 (2014) 174–177.
- [50] A. Govaris, V. Roussi, P.A. Koidis, N.A. Botsoglou, Distribution and stability of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> during processing, ripening and storage of Teleme cheese, *Food Addit. Contam.* 18 (2001) 437–443.
- [51] A. Kamkar, G. Karim, F.S. Aliabadi, R. Khaksar, Fate of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in Iranian white cheese processing, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 46 (6) (2008) 2236–2238.
- [52] R.E. Brackett, E.H. Marth, Association of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> with casein, *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und Forschung* 174 (1982) 439–441.
- [53] S. Dosako, S. Kaminogawa, S. Taneya, K. Yamauchi, Hydrophobic surface areas and net charges of  $\alpha$ 1-,  $\kappa$ -casein and  $\alpha$ 1-casein:  $\kappa$ -casein complex, *J. Dairy Res.* 47 (1) (1980) 123–129.
- [54] C.E. López, L.L. Ramos, S.S. Ramadan, L.C. Bulacio, J. Perez, Distribution of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in cheese obtained from milk artificially contaminated, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 64 (2001) 211–215.
- [55] G. Battacone, A. Nudda, M. Palomba, M. Pascale, P. Nicolussi, G. Pulina, Transfer of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> from feed to milk and from milk to curd and whey in dairy sheep fed artificially contaminated concentrates, *J. Dairy Sci.* 88 (2005) 3063–3069.
- [56] A.M. Fernandes, B. Corrêa, R.E. Rosim, E. Kobashigawa, C.A.F. Oliveira, Distribution and stability of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> during processing and storage of Minas Frescal cheese, *Food Control* 24 (2012) 104–108.
- [57] J.M. Fremy, J.C. Roiland, A. Gaymard, Behavior of 14C aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> during Camembert cheese making, *J. Environ. Pathol. Toxicol. Oncol.* 10 (1990) 95–98.
- [58] A. Barbiroli, F. Bonomi, F. Benedetti, S. Mannino, L. Monti, T. Cattaneo, S. Iametti, Binding of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> to different protein fractions in ovine and caprine milk, *J. Dairy Sci.* 90 (2007) 532–540.
- [59] J.C. Terán, R. Paez, M.B. Pirola, E. Schmidt, Características generales sobre el uso del suero de queso en la Provincia de Santa Fe, available at (2014) <http://www.ecosuero.com.ar/userfiles/image/2267Publicacion%20%20Gestion%20del%20Suero%20-%20INTA%20-%20INTI.pdf>.
- [60] H.E. Silva, G.I. Verdalet, Alimentos e ingredientes funcionales, *Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutrición* 53 (4) (2003) 333–347.
- [62] I.N. Tsakiris, M.N. Tzatzarakis, A.K. Alegakis, M.I. Vlachou, E.A. Renieri, A.M. Tsatsakis, Risk assessment scenarios of children's exposure to aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> residues indifferent milk types from the Greek market, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 56 (2013) 261–265.