

Feline Adipose Derived Multipotent Stromal Cell Transdifferentiation Into Functional Insulin Producing Cell Clusters

Takashi Taguchi^{1†}, Wei Duan^{1†}, Wendy Wolfson², Brandy Duhon², Emily G. Halphen¹ and Mandi J. Lopez¹*

¹Laboratory for Equine and Comparative Orthopedic Research, Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, United States, ²Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Erdal Karaoz, Istinye University, Turkey

Reviewed by:

Evgenii Skurikhin, Tomsk National Research Medical Center (RAS), Russia Jonathan Robert Todd Lakey, University of California, Irvine, United States

*Correspondence:

Mandi J. Lopez mlopez@lsu.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

> Received: 25 March 2022 Accepted: 10 May 2022 Published: 08 June 2022

Citation:

Taguchi T, Duan W, Wolfson W, Duhon B, Halphen EG and Lopez MJ (2022) Feline Adipose Derived Multipotent Stromal Cell Transdifferentiation Into Functional Insulin Producing Cell Clusters. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 10:904519. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.904519 Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most prevalent feline endocrinopathies, affecting up to 1% of pet cats. De novo generation of functional insulin producing cell (IPC) clusters via transdifferentiation of feline adipose-derived multipotent stromal cells (ASCs) may not only provide a viable, functional cell therapy for feline DM, but may also serve as a platform for developing a comparable human treatment given feline and human DM similarities. Cells were induced to form IPCs with a novel, three-stage culture process with stromal or differentiation medium under static and dynamic conditions. Clusters were evaluated for intracellular zinc, viability, intracellular insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin, ultrastructure, glucose stimulated insulin secretion in the presence or absence of theophylline, and protein and gene expression. Isolated cells were multipotent, and cell clusters cultured in both media had robust cell viability. Those cultured in differentiation medium contained zinc and mono- or polyhormonal α -, β -, and δ -like cells based on immunohistochemical labeling and Mallory-Heidenhan Azan-Gomori's staining. Ultrastructurally, cell clusters cultured in differentiation medium contained insulin granules within vesicles, and clusters had a concentration-dependent insulin response to glucose in the presence and absence of theophylline which increased both insulin secretion and intracellular content. Expression of NK6.1, Pax6, Isl1, Glut2, RAB3A, glucagon, insulin, and somatostatin increased with differentiation stage for both sexes, and expression of nestin at stages 1 and 2 and Neurod1 at stage 2 was higher in cells from female donors. The cluster insulin secretion responses and endocrine and oncogene gene expression profiles were inconsistent with insulinoma characteristics. A total of 180 proteins were upregulated in differentiated clusters, and the majority were associated with biological regulation, metabolic processes, or stimulus response. Dynamic culture of IPC clusters resulted in clusters composed of cells primarily expressing insulin that released higher insulin with glucose stimulation than those in static culture. Collectively, the results of this study support generation of functional IPC clusters using feline ASCs isolated from tissues removed during routine sterilization. Further, cluster functionality is enhanced with dynamic, motiondriven shear stress. This work establishes a foundation for development of strategies for

IPC therapy for short or long-term diabetes treatment and may represent an option to study prevention and treatment of diabetes across species.

Keywords: glucose, beta cell (β -cell), stem cell, diabetes, pancreas, endocrine

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most prevalent feline endocrinopathies (Goossens et al., 1998; Rand et al., 2004). To date, there is no single cause or effective cure. Serious complications associated with unregulated glucose levels include bone fractures (Schwartz, 2003), cardiovascular disease (Shehadeh and Regan, 1995), and neurological dysfunction (Mizisin et al., 2002), among others. Contemporary treatment consists of diet and weight management with exogenous insulin administration, including commercially available synthetic formulations, to replace that normally produced by pancreatic β cells (Fu et al., 2013). Though insulin maintains biological activity across species, sequence differences may impact both activity and immunogenicity (Chance et al., 1968; Betsholtz et al., 1990; Fineberg et al., 2007). Additionally, insulin administration must be continually customized for individual patients, a challenging and time-consuming process.

One approach to address the limitations of exogenous insulin therapy is pancreatic islet transplantation. Reportedly, 50%-70% of human type I diabetics that received pancreatic islet implants did not require insulin therapy 5 years after treatment (Ryan et al., 2004b; Shapiro et al., 2017). Islet transplantation in dogs with type I diabetes resulted in up to a 50% reduction in insulin dose and improved glycemic control 6 months post-implantation (Gooch et al., 2019). In contrast, allogenic feline islets implanted into pancreatectomized recipients conferred only 12 days of normoglycemia before implant rejection (Maeno et al., 2006). Additional limitations of islet transplants in feline patients are similar to those in other species including limited availability, risk of disease transmission, and the need for recipient immunosuppression (Ryan et al., 2004a). An alternative to allogenic islet implantation is de novo generation of pancreatic cells from progenitor cells, largely accomplished thus far with embryonic, and induced pluripotent stem cells (Rezania et al., 2012; Pagliuca et al., 2014). Ethical concerns, potential risks of gene editing, and allogenic immune reactions complicate mainstream implementation of embryonic and induced pluripotent cell-based tissue implants (Doss and Sachinidis, 2019).

Autologous adult multipotent stromal cells (MSCs) may provide another option for *de novo* pancreatic cell generation. Due to the ability to differentiate into multiple tissues (Webb et al., 2012; Kono et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014), MSCs are popular for cell therapies designed to restore tissues lost to trauma or disease. Current knowledge also supports the ability of MSCs to transdifferentiate into tissues derived from other embryonic layers (Buang et al., 2012; Dave et al., 2013; Moshtagh et al., 2013). Differentiation of mesodermal adipose tissuederived multipotent stromal cells (ASCs) into endodermal insulin producing cell (IPC) clusters is a contemporary example of transdifferentiation confirmed in several species (Chen et al., 2004; Dave et al., 2013; Dubey et al., 2014). Further, an established mechanism to isolate a high vield of feline ASCs from adipose tissues removed with reproductive organs during routine feline sterilization creates a unique opportunity to partner routine tissue extraction with a treatment for a ubiquitous and challenging endocrine pathology (Zhang et al., 2014). However, given the endocrine function of adipose tissue, potential differences in IPC transdifferentiation capabilities of ASCs isolated from male and female tissues must be considered (Ogawa et al., 2004). Transdifferentiation of ASCs may be an opportunity to generate implantable IPC clusters that could restore natural, dynamic glucose regulation without the limitations, and concerns of other cell origins (Shapiro et al., 2000; Ryan et al., 2005).

Contemporary strategies to transdifferentiate adult MSCs into IPCs often results in immature, polyhormonal pancreatic cells (Li et al., 2013; Shivakumar et al., 2019; Wartchow et al., 2020). Current information supports the important role of mechanical cues, including fluid shear forces, to mechanotransduction that directs progenitor cell differentiation toward an endocrine phenotype, and biophysical cues are thought to guide differentiation of endocrine progenitors into mature β cells (Alessandra et al., 2020). Two-dimensional rocking of culture plates is an option to expose multiple individual samples to identical mechanical stresses during differentiation. Fluid shear stress is created in six well plates with 2-dimensional rocking motion. At a tilt angle of 7° and a frequency of 0.5 Hz, timeaveraged shear stress is relatively stable at 0.033 Pa over the entire well, and both constant and interval exposure of human tenocytes cultured in monolayer increased cell collagen and glycosaminoglycan production over static culture (Tucker et al., 2014). Fluid shear forces and potential compressive shear forces from dynamic interactions between clusters with each other and cultureware surfaces during controlled rocking may enhance mechanotransduction and augment cell differentiation above that of static culture. De novo generation of functional IPC clusters via transdifferentiation of feline ASCs may not only provide a viable, functional cell therapy for feline DM, but may also serve as a platform for developing a comparable human treatment given feline and human DM similarities. Diabetes mellitus type II is most prevalent in both species, and the condition is associated with a combination of genetic and environmental factors (Osto et al., 2013). Both species also have a similar age at onset, association with obesity, diminished insulin secretion, islet amyloid deposition, and loss of approximately 50% of β cell mass (Henson and O'Brien, 2006). Microstructurally, feline islet morphology resembles that of human more closely than rodents with homogenous distribution of α , β , and δ cells in human and feline islets in contrast to peripheral distribution of α



and δ cells with β cells concentrated at the islet center in rodents (Levetan and Pierce, 2013; Zini et al., 2016). The ability to generate viable, functional IPC clusters from ASCs, while technically different among species, may highlight a strategic therapeutic option for DM that capitalizes on MSC tissue generation capacity.

This study was designed to document the transdifferentiation capability of feline ASCs isolated from reproductive organ adipose tissue into IPC clusters and to characterize the *in vitro* characteristics and behavior of differentiated clusters with and without controlled rocking. The two-part hypothesis was that IPC clusters generated from fresh male and female ASCs have similar *in vitro* characteristics and behavior and that mechanical agitation improves maturation of cluster cells over static culture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

Feline ASCs were isolated according to a published protocol from adipose tissue collected during elective sterilization of eight male and eight female adult cats (Zhang et al., 2014). Plasticity was confirmed in cell passage (P) 1 with osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation. Cells were then mechanically induced to form clusters and subsequently exposed to a novel, three-stage culture process with stromal or IPC differentiation medium. Resulting clusters were evaluated for intracellular zinc with dithizone staining, viability with calcein/ethidium bromide stain, and intracellular insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin with immunohistochemistry and Mallory-Heidenhan Azan-Gomori's stain. Ultrastructure with was assessed immunoelectron microscopy, and glucose stimulated insulin secretion in the presence or absence of theophylline was quantified with a feline-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Pancreatic lineage-specific gene and oncogene expression was quantified at each stage of differentiation using RT-PCR. A proteomics analysis was performed on clusters following liquid chromatography-mass spectrometric protein extraction (Figure 1).

To assess the effect of motion on differentiation, paired ASC samples from each donor were cultured stationary or with dynamic motion during the first two stages of the three-stage differentiation process. The resulting IPCs were evaluated for size, morphology, viability, intracellular insulin and glucagon, as well as serial glucose stimulated insulin secretion (**Figure 1**).

In this study, P0 is the first primary cell passage. Procedures performed at temperatures other than room temperature $(20^{\circ}C-25^{\circ}C)$ are indicated. All materials and reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States unless noted otherwise.

Cell Isolation

Adipose tissues were harvested from reproductive organs removed during elective sterilization, and cells were isolated as published with minor modifications (Zhang et al., 2014). Specifically, adipose tissues were minced and digested with 0.3% (≥375 units/ml) type I collagenase (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Lakewood, NJ, United States) in Krebs-Ringer buffer (KRB) at 37°C for 30 min with 1×10^3 rpm stirring. After filtering (100 µm nylon cell strainers, BD Falcon, Bedford, MA, United States) and centrifugation (260 \times g, 5 min), the resulting stromal vascular fraction pellets were resuspended in 5 ml red blood cell lysis buffer (0.16 M NH₄Cl, 0.01 M KHCO₃, 0.01% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) for 5 min. The stromal vascular fraction was collected after centrifugation ($260 \times g$, 5 min) and seeded in 10 cm cell culture dishes in stromal medium (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium F-12 (DMEM/F-12, Hyclone, Logan, UT, United States), 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution (MP Biomedical, Irvine, CA, United States), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone)). Stromal medium was refreshed after 24 h and then every 3 days. At 70%-80% confluence, the stromal vascular fraction cells were detached with 0.05% trypsin (Hyclone), and cells were seeded in T75 flasks at a density of 5×10^3 cells/cm² for P0 and all subsequent passages.

Multipotentiality

Multipotentiality of P1 cells was confirmed as previously described (Zhang et al., 2014). Specifically, triplicate aliquots from each donor were cultured to 70%–80% confluence on

TABLE 1 | Differentiation media composition.

Medium	Composition		
Adipogenic medium	Minimum essential medium alpha (α-MEM), 10% rabbit serum, 10% FBS, 10 nM dexamethasone, 5 μg/ml insulin, 50 μM 5.8.11.14-eicosatetravnoic acid (ETYA, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, ML United States), 100 μM indomethacin		
Osteogenic preinduction medium	DMEM/F-12, 10% FBS, 100 nM dexamethasone, 0.25 mM L-ascorbic acid		
Osteogenic induction medium β-cell induction medium	Osteogenic preinduction medium supplemented with 10 mM β -glycerophosphate Serum free medium (SFM) 1		
	DMEM/F-12, 1% BSA, 1 × insulin-transferrin-selenium (ITS, Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, United States), 4 nM activin A (R&D Systems., Minneapolis, MN, United States), 1 mM sodium butyrate, 50 µM 2-mercapethanol, 1% N-2 supplement (R&D Systems), 2% B-27 supplement (Gibco), 5 µg/ml laminin (Corning), 50 ng/ml recombinant human hepatocyte growth factor (HGF, EMD Millipore, Temecula, CA, United States), 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, Gibco) SFM 2		
	DMEM/F-12, 1% BSA, 1 × ITS, 0.3 mM taurine (ACROS Organics, Morris Plains, NJ, United States), 5 µg/ml laminin, 20 ng/ ml bFGF, 1% N-2 supplement, 2% B-27 supplement, 50 ng/ml HGF, 1 mM nicotinamide SFM 3		
	DMEM/F-12, 1.5% BSA, 1.5 × ITS, 3 mM taurine, 100 nM glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1, Tocris Bioscience, Ellisville, MO, United States), 1 mM nicotinamide (ACROS Organics), 1 × non-essential amino acids (NEAA, Gibco), 10 nM pentagastrin (Tocris Bioscience), 1% N-2 supplement, 1% B-27 supplement, 50 ng/ml HGF, 20 ng/ml bFGF, 5 µg/ml laminin, 20 ng/ml betacellulin (R&D Systems), 10 nM extendin-4 (Tocris Bioscience)		

10 cm tissue culture plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) in stromal medium. One replicate was then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and stained with 0.1% toluidine blue. The remaining two replicates were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Hyclone) and subsequently cultured in adipogenic induction medium (**Table 1**) for 10 days or osteogenic preinduction medium for 10 days followed by osteogenic induction medium (**Table 1**) for another 10 days. Cells were then fixed and stained with 4% PFA and oil red O (adipogenesis) or 70% ice cold ethanol and 2% alizarin red (osteogenesis). Stained colonies were imaged with a digital camera (DP21, Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan) on a light microscope (CKX41, Olympus).

Cell Culture and Differentiation

Isolated ASCs were cultured and expanded to P2 when they were detached as described above and then cultured in 24-well ultralow attachment plates (Corning, Corning, NY, United States) at 1 × 10⁶ cells/well for 1-2 days in stromal medium when cells formed clusters with a diameter of about 100 µm. A three-stage differentiation protocol was used for IPC cluster generation (Table 1). Clusters from 1×10^6 cells/well were incubated with stage 1 medium for 2 days and then with stage 2 medium for 4 days in 24-well ultralow attachment plates. The clusters in each well were then transferred the wells of a standard 24-well plate and cultured in stage 3 medium for two more days with medium changes every day. At this step, some clusters attached to the plate surface while others remained detached. Those clusters that were not attached were decanted into a 15 ml conical tube, washed with PBS, centrifuged $(350 \times g, 8 \text{ min})$, resuspended in stage 3 medium, transferred to laminin-coated 24-well plates (Corning) and cultured for 4 days with medium changes every day, after which clusters were quantified and prepared for analysis. Parallel cultures of cells in stromal medium were treated identically to those in staged differentiation medium including cultureware and medium exchanges. Cluster aliquots for all assays were those clusters that formed in 1 well of a 24-well plate with an initial cell seeding density of 1×10^6 cells/well.

Dithizone Staining

Clusters were incubated with 10 μ l dithizone (DTZ, Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ, United States) working solution (1 mg/ml DTZ in dimethyl sulfoxide (Fisher Scientific)) in 1 ml of stromal medium for 30 min at 37°C. They were decanted into a 15 ml conical tube, rinsed with PBS, transferred to a well of a 24well culture plate, and imaged with a digital camera (DP21, Oympus) on an inverted light microscope (CKX41, Olympus).

Viability Staining

Clusters were stained with viability stain (Live/Dead[™], Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Specifically, clusters were incubated with 1 ml of stain containing calcein-AM (viable) and ethidium homodimer-1 (non-viable) for 15 min in darkness at room temperature. Clusters were then washed with PBS and imaged with a digital camera (CLSM, Leica TCS SP2, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) on a confocal laser scanning microscope to detect calcein-AM (515 nm) and ethidium homodimer-1 (635 nm) fluorescent emission after excitation (495 nm).

RT-PCR-Gene Expression

Total RNA was isolated from clusters at each induction stage (EZNA[®] MicroElute Total RNA kit, Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, United States). The quality and concentration were determined spectrophotometrically (NanoDrop ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, United States), and cDNA synthesized (Maxima First-Strand cDNA synthesis kit, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, United States). Expression of feline pancreatic target genes, insulin, ISL LIM homeobox 1 (Isl-1), hexokinase 1 (HK1), glucose transporter 2 (Glut-2), NK6 Homeobox 1 (Nkx6.1), nestin, neuronal differentiation 1 (Neurod1), ROS proto-oncogene 1 (ROS1), somatostatin (STS), glucagon (GCG), paired box 6 (Pax6), AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 (AKT1), and RAB3A (**Table 2**) were quantified with real-time RT-PCR using Thermo Fisher

TABLE 2 | Feline primer sequences.

	Sequence (5'-3')	Accession number
Forward	AGCCTTCCTTCCTGGGTATG	XM_006941899.3
Reverse	ACAGCACCGTGTTAGCGTAG	
Forward	AACGAAATACTTGGCGG	XM_019829291.1
Reverse	CCAGAGGCTTGTTGTAGTCG	
Forward	GGCAATCGGTGGTAGTAA	XM_019812231.1
Reverse	CTTGGTATGTTATCGTTGG	
Forward	CAAGGACAAGAAGCGGAG	XM_003981424.3
Reverse	CTGGGTTTGCCTGTAAGC	
Forward	TTGGCTTGGATGAGTTACG	XM_003991916.3
Reverse	GACTITCCTITGGTTTCCG	
Forward	ACCCTGACCACCCTAGTTTA	XM_045048569.1
Reverse	GCAGACCGTTCACCATTTT	
Forward	ACGAATGTCTCAGTTCTCAGG	XM_003990912.5
Reverse	CCTCTTCTTCCTCTTCTTCCAG	
Forward	TGAACACCAAGAGGAACAA	XM_006935320.2
Reverse	ACCAGCCAAGCAATGAAT	
Forward	CTTCGTCAACCAGCACC	XM_019811180.1
Reverse	ACAGCATTGCTCCACGA	
Forward	CCAGACAGAGAACGATGCC	XM_003991805.4
Reverse	CAGGGTTTGAGTTAGTGGA	
Forward	AACAACAGCCTCTACTACAG	XM_019831130.1
Reverse	TATCCTCCGACCGAATCC	
Forward	CCAACACCTTCATCATCCG	NM_001322435.1
Reverse	CCATCATTTCCTCCTCCTG	
Forward	TGAGAAGATGGTGAGTGGC	XM_006937834.3
Reverse	GGCAGAGCGAAATGAGAC	
Forward	TCCGCAACGACAAGAG	XM_034996482.1
Reverse	AAGAACTCAAAGCCAAGGTG	
	Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse	Sequence (5 - 5)ForwardAGCCTTCCTTCCTGGGTATGReverseACAGCACCGTGTTAGCGTAGForwardAACGAAATACTTGGCGGReverseCCAGAGGCTTGTTGTAGTCGForwardGGCAATCGGTGGTAGTAAReverseCTTGGTATGTTATCGTTGGForwardCAAGGACAAGAAGCGGAGReverseCTGGGTTTGCCTGTAAGCForwardTGGCTTGGATGATATACGReverseGACTTTCCTTTGGTTACGForwardTTGGCTTGGATGAGTTACGReverseGACTTTCCTTTGGTTTCCGForwardACCCTGACCACCCTAGTTTAReverseGCAGACCGTTCACCATTTTForwardACGAATGTCTCAGTTCTCAGGReverseCCTCTTCTTCCTCTTCTCCAGGForwardTGAACACCAAGAGAACAAReverseACCAGCCAAGCAATGAATForwardCTTCGTCAACCAGCACCReverseACAGCATTGCTCACGAForwardCCAGACAGAGAACGATGCCReverseCAGGCTTGACTAACAGGReverseCAGGCTTCATCATACAGReverseTATCCTCCGACCGAATCCForwardAACAACAGCCTTCATCATCGReverseCCATCATTCCTCCTCCTGForwardTGAGAAGATGGTGAGTGGCReverseGGCAGAGCGAAATGAGACForwardTCCGCAACGACAAGAGReverseGGCAGAGCGAAATGAGACForwardTCCGCAACGACAAGAGReverseGACAGCCACACAAGAGReverseGACAACCACCACAAGAGReverseGACACACCTCAACAAGACForwardTCCGCAACGACAAGAGReverseGACAACCAACAACAACAACAACAACAAGACForwardTCCGCAACGACAAAGAGReverseAAGAACTCAAAGGCAAAGAGGTG <tr< td=""></tr<>

TABLE 3	Study antibodies.
---------	-------------------

somatostatin) for 1 h at room temperature (**Table 3**). After a PBS wash, sections were incubated with secondary antibodies, goat anti-mouse IgG1-FITC (1:100) and goat anti-rabbit CF^{TM} -594 (1: 500), for 1 h at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Photomicrographs were obtained with a digital camera (Leica TCS SP2, Leica) on a confocal laser microscope (Leica). Fluorescent images were captured at excitation 495 nm and emission 519 nm for insulin and glucagon and at excitation 593 nm and emission 614 nm for somatostatin. Sections were incubated with secondary antibodies alone and processed identically to quantify nonspecific binding.

Mallory-Heidenhan Azan-Gomori's Modification Assay

Paraffin sections prepared like those above were stained with 0.1% azocarmine G solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, United States) at 56°C for 1 h and then rinsed with distilled water. Stained sections were immersed in 1% aniline-alcohol (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 30 min at room temperature and then rinsed with distilled water. They were transferred to iron alum solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 5 min and then stained with aniline blue-orange G (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 20 min. Light photomicrographs were obtained with a light microscope as before (Leica DM 4500b, Leica). The staining protocol results in orange to brown staining of β -like cells,

Antibody	Label	Marker expression	Manufacturer	Cat. No.	Species	Target species	Diluent			
Insulin	N/A	β cell marker	Sigma-Aldrich	12018	Mouse	Feline	PBS			
Glucagon	N/A	α cell marker	Sigma-Aldrich	G2654	Mouse	Feline	PBS			
Somatostatin	N/A	δ cell marker	Bio-Rad	8330-0154	Rabbit	Human	PBS			
Goat anti-mouse IgG1	FITC	Secondary antibody	Bio-Rad	STAR132F	Goat	Mouse	PBS			
Goat anti-rabbit IgG	CF [™] 594	Secondary antibody	Sigma-Aldrich	SAB4600110	Goat	Rabbit	PBS			
Goat anti-mouse IgG	10 nm gold	Secondary antibody	Electron Microscopy Sciences	25128	Goat	Mouse	PBS			

AbsoluteTM Blue QPCR Rox Mix technology and an ABI Prism 7900 HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ values were determined relative to the reference gene β -actin and presented as fold change normalized to clusters cultured in stromal medium.

Immunohistochemistry

Cell clusters were fixed overnight in 4% PFA, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (5 μ m). Sections were blocked with 4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in Tris-buffered saline (0.1% Tween-20, 20 mM Tris, 137 mM NaCl, pH = 7.6) for 30 min at room temperature. They were subsequently incubated with primary antibodies specific for feline antigens or validated for feline cross reactivity. Clusters were exposed to antibodies against insulin (1:1000), glucagon (1:2000), and somatostatin (1:1000) in pairs (insulin/somatostatin, glucagon/

bright red staining of $\alpha\text{-like}$ cells, and dark blue staining of $\delta\text{-like}$ cells.

Glucose-Stimulated Insulin Secretion and Intracellular Content

To measure the response to distinct glucose levels, clusters from 2×10^6 cells were collected in 15 ml conical tubes and incubated with 1 ml of KRB buffer for 4 h at 37°C. The supernatant collected after centrifugation (350 ×g, 8 min) was the baseline sample (0 mM glucose). Clusters were then suspended in 1 ml of KRB buffer supplemented with one of the following glucose concentrations, 5.5, 25, or 55 mM for 3 h each at 37°C. Two replicates of each sample were exposed to glucose concentrations in order from lowest to highest. Sample supernatants were collected after centrifugation (350 × g, 8 min) and stored in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes (Fisher Scientific) at -80° C. The stored medium was thawed at room

temperature and insulin levels quantified with a feline insulin ELISA kit (MyBioSource, San Diego, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

To evaluate the effect of theophylline on insulin secretion, cell clusters were incubated in 1 ml of KRB buffer with 5.5 mM glucose for 3 h at 37°C. Clusters were then incubated with 1 ml of KRB buffer with either 25 or 55 mM glucose in the presence or absence of 5 mM theophylline (MP Biomedicals) for 1 h at 37°C. Insulin fold change was calculated relative to the 5 mM glucose sample without theophylline as: (Insulin level at 25 or 55 mM glucose).

Additionally, to quantify the effects of theophylline on intracellular insulin, paired clusters were incubated in 1 ml of KRB buffer with 55 mM glucose in the presence or absence of 5 mM theophylline for 1 h at 37°C. Subsequently, intracellular insulin was extracted from clusters using an established acid-ethanol method (Carlsson et al., 2010). Briefly, cell clusters were centrifuged ($350 \times g$, 8 min), washed with PBS, and incubated with 100 µl acid-ethanol (1.5% HCl in 70% ethanol) at -20° C overnight. The mixture was centrifuged ($2.1 \times 10^4 \times g$) at 4°C for 20 min, followed by collection of supernatant, and then 100 µl 1 M Tris in water (pH = 7.5) was added. The fold change in insulin levels was calculated as: (Insulin level at 55 mM glucose with theophylline)/(Insulin level at 55 mM glucose without theophylline).

Transmission Electron Microscopy Immunolabelling

Clusters were rinsed with PBS and then fixed in 2% PFA and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PBS for 10 min. After centrifugation at $(1 \times 10^3 \times g)$ in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes for 10 min, the supernatant was removed, and the samples were again fixed in 2% PFA and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PBS with agitation for 2 h at room temperature. Samples were mixed with equal amounts of 3% agarose and placed on a glass slide (Leica S9E, Leica). The solidified mixture was cut into cubes which were placed into a glass vial filled with 0.1 M PBS. Cubes were then washed with 0.1 M PBS and 0.08 M glycine five times, 15 min each, followed by fixation in 2% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M PBS for 1 h in darkness. Samples were washed with H₂O and dehydrated in a series of ethanol-distilled water solutions. Dehydrated samples were infiltrated with 1:1 ethanol and LR white resin for 2 h, and then infiltrated with 100% LR white resin for another 2 h. Embedded samples were incubated at 18°C for 24 h. Ultra-thin sections (90 nm) were stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate and images generated with a TEM (JEM-1400, JEOL Ltd., Akishima, Tokyo, Japan) imaging system. For immunolabeling, sections were incubated in 5% BSA in PBS for 30 min and then with a mouse anti-insulin antibody (1:20, Table 3) in 1% BSA in PBS for another 90 min at room temperature. After incubation, the sections were washed with 1% BSA buffer and PBS. Sections were then incubated with goat anti-mouse secondary antibody labeled with 10 nm gold particles (1:50) (Electron

Microscopy Sciences) in 1% BSA in PBS for 90 min. After incubation, sections were washed with 1% BSA buffer and PBS. The sections were then fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 5 min and strained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate after being thoroughly washed in distilled water. Sections were imaged with a high-resolution water-cooled CCDcamera on a TEM (JEM-1400, JEOL Ltd.).

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Clusters were fixed in 2% PFA and 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PBS on a 13 mm diameter 2 μ m pore polycarbonate filter. They were then rinsed with 0.1 M PBS and distilled water. Samples were sequentially dried with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Electron Microscopy Sciences), 1:1 100% ethanol and HDMS, and 100% HDMS. After HDMS evaporation, samples were coated with platinum in an EMS 550X sputter coater (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and imaged with a scanning electron microscope camera (JSM-6610, JEOL Ltd.) under high vacuum mode.

Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry Proteomic Analysis

Proteins were reduced, alkylated, and purified by chloroform/ methanol extraction prior to digestion with sequencing grade porcine trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI. modified United States). Tryptic peptides were then separated by reverse phase XSelect CSH C18 2.5 µm resin (Waters, Milford, MA, United States) on an inline 150 mm \times 0.075 mm column using an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system (Fisher Scientific). Peptides were eluted using a 90 min gradient from 98:2 to 65:35 buffer A (0.1% formic acid, 0.5% acetonitrile): B (0.1% formic acid, 99.9% acetonitrile) ratio. Eluted peptides were ionized by electrospray (2.2 kV) followed by mass spectrometric analysis (Orbitrap Fusion Lumos, Fisher Scientific). MS data were acquired using the FTMS analyzer in profile mode at a resolution of 120,000 over a range of 375-1,500 m/z. Following HCD activation, MS/MS data were acquired using the ion trap analyzer in centroid mode and normal mass range with precursor mass-dependent normalized collision energy between 28.0 and 31.0. Proteins were identified with a database search using MaxQuant (Max Plank Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany) with a parent ion tolerance of 3 ppm and a fragment ion tolerance of 0.5 Da. Scaffold Q + S (Proteome Software, Inc., Portland, OR, United States) was used to verify MS/MS based peptide and protein identifications which were accepted if they could be established with less than 1.0% false discovery and contained at least two identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm (Nesvizhskii et al., 2003). Identified proteins were categorized based on gene ontology (GO) terms using Scaffold Q + S.

Mechanical Agitation Culture

To assess the effect of mechanical agitation during differentiation, paired cell aliquots from two donors were cultured with and without continuous cultureware motion through stage 2 of the three stage differentiation process. Cells at initial seeding densities of 2.5×10^4 cells/cm², 5.0×10^4 cells/cm², and 1.0×10^5 cells/cm² in 24-well plates were cultured in differentiation medium as described above while static or with two-dimensional rocking at 17 rpm (Vari-Mixer[™] test tube rocker, Thermo Fisher). For stage 3 of the differentiation process, clusters were cultured in 24well laminin-coated plates without rocking. Images were obtained at the completion of the differentiation process using a light microscope (CKX41, Olympus) equipped with a digital camera (DP21, Oympus). Cluster diameters and number/well were determined for all samples (ImageJ, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States). Subsequently, two paired aliquots of clusters generated from 2.5×10^4 cells/cm² $(4.75 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/well})$ in a 24-well plate were cultured and differentiated identically to above, and cell viability, immunocytochemical localization of intracellular insulin and glucagon, and serial glucose stimulated insulin secretion assessed. Cell viability staining was determined identically to above.

For immunocytochemical labeling, IPC clusters were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min at room temperature. After washing in PBS, clusters were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Thermo Fisher) for 10 min at room temperature. Clusters were blocked with 1% BSA and glycine (22.5 mg/ml) in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (Amresco Biochemicals, Solon, OH, United States) for 30 min at room temperature. They were then exposed to antibodies against insulin (1:1000) and somatostatin (1:1000) overnight at 4°C (Table 3). After a PBS wash, clusters were incubated with secondary antibodies, goat anti-mouse IgG1-FITC (1:100), and goat anti-rabbit CF-594[™] (1:500), for 1 h at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Photomicrographs were obtained with a digital camera (Leica MC170 HD, Leica) on a fluorescent microscope (Leica DMIL LED, Leica). Fluorescent images were captured at 495 nm excitation and 519 nm emission for insulin and at 593 nm excitation and 614 nm emission for somatostatin.

Serial glucose stimulated insulin secretion was assessed in clusters generated from approximately 1×10^6 cells collected from 24 wells initially seeded at 2.5×10^4 cells/cm². Clusters were incubated with 1 ml of KRB buffer for 4 h at 37°C in 15 ml conical tubes. The supernatant collected after centrifugation (350 \times g, 8 min) was the baseline sample (0 mM glucose). Clusters were then incubated in 1 ml 20 mM (high) and 2 mM (low) glucose in KRB buffer for 30 min at 37°C for a total of three times at each concentration followed by a final incubation in 1 ml of low glucose in KRB buffer with potassium chloride (30 mM). After each 30 min incubation period, the sample supernatant was collected following centrifugation (350 \times g, 8 min) and stored in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes (Fisher Scientific) at -80°C. Between each incubation, clusters were washed with KRB buffer and centrifuged three times (350 \times g, 8 min). The stored medium was thawed at room temperature and insulin levels quantified in two replicates of each sample with a feline insulin ELISA kit (MyBioSource) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical Analysis

All results are presented as least squares (LS) mean \pm SEM. Statistical analyses were performed with commercially available software (JMP v13.0.0, SAS Institute Cary, NC, United States). Mixed ANOVA models were used to evaluate insulin fold change with fixed effects of glucose concentration and sex and a random effect of donor. The same models were used to evaluate target gene expression with fixed effects of induction stage and sex and a random effect of donor. Tukey's post hoc tests were applied for multiple group comparisons (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

Multipotentiality

Cells cultured in stromal medium maintained an elongated, ovoid to stellate morphology in loosely organized colonies (**Figure 2A**). Those cultured in osteogenic medium formed tightly organized colonies that contained alizarin red staining calcium deposits (**Figure 2B**). Intracellular lipid droplets stained red with oil red O after culture in adipogenic medium (**Figure 2C**).

Cell Culture and Differentiation

After transfer to a standard culture plate prior to stage 3, cell clusters cultured in stromal medium adhered to the plate, and cells migrated from the clusters to the plate surface within 24 h (**Figure 2D**). Those clusters cultured in induction medium remained detached at stage 3 (**Figure 2E**).

Dithizone Staining

Cell clusters cultured in induction medium stained with DTZ (Figure 2G), and those cultured in stromal medium did not (Figure 2F).

Viability Staining

The majority of cells within clusters cultured in stromal or induction medium were viable up to a cluster diameter of about 100 μ m (**Figures 2H,I**). Cell viability tended to decrease with increasing diameter in clusters over 100 μ m in diameter with nonviable cells concentrated near cluster centers.

RT-PCR-Gene Expression

The mRNA levels of transcription factors Nkx 6.1, Pax6, Isl1, and Glut-2 were highest at stage 3 of induction (**Figure 3**). Cells at stage 2 of induction had greater Pax6 and Isl-1 expression than those at stage 1 (**Figures 3B,C**). Nestin, a stemness marker, expression in cells from male donors increased with induction stage with highest expression at induction stage 3, female donor cells had the highest expression at stage 2 with similar expression between induction stages 1 and 3 (**Figure 3E**). Female donor cells had higher nestin expression at induction stages 1 and 2 compared to cells from male donors (**Figure 3E**). Neurod1, a transcription factor that plays an important role in β cell maturation, expression increased with induction stage 3 for cells from male donors and at stage 2 and 3 for cells from female donors (**Figure 3F**). Cells from female donors



medium (**B**), and with oil red O lipid staining after culture in adipogenic medium (**C**). Light photomicrographs of cell clusters from feline ASCs in polystyrene cultureware after 3-stage culture in stromal (**D**) or pancreatic induction (**E**) medium. Cells attached to the polystyrene surface are evident with clusters cultured in stromal medium (arrow). Dithizone staining of zinc [red, (**F**,**G**)] and viability staining (**H**,**I**) of viable (green) and non-viable (red) cells in clusters from feline ASCs after 3-stage culture in stromal (**F**,**H**) or pancreatic induction (**G**,**I**) medium. Scale bars = 200 µm (**A**,**D**,**E**), 50 µm (**B**,**C**), and 100 µm (**F**–**I**).

had greater Neurod1 expression compared to male donors at induction stage 2. The expression of GCG, insulin, and STS, markers for functional α , β , and δ cells, respectively, increased with induction stage and was highest at induction stage 3 (**Figures 3G–I**). In contrast, the oncogene AKT1 expression in cells from both sexes decreased with increasing induction stage with the highest expression at induction stage 1 (**Figure 3K**). The

expression of HK1, another oncogene, remained significantly lower at all induction stages in cells cultured in induction medium compared to cells cultured in stromal medium (**Figure 3L**). Cells from male donors had greater HK1 expression at induction stage 2 versus 1 (**Figure 3L**). The expression of oncogene RAB3A was greater at induction stages 2 and 3 versus 1 in cells from both sexes (**Figure 3M**).



(C), glucose transporter 2 [Glut-2, (D)], nestin (E), neurogenic differentiation factor 1 [Neurod1, (F)]; functional pancreatic, glucagon [GCG: (G)], insulin (H), somatostatin [STS: (I)]; and oncogene, tyrosine-protein kinase ROS1 [ROS1: (J)], AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 [AKT1: (K)], hexokinase 1 [HK1: (L)], and Ras-related protein Rab-3A [RAB3A: (M)] expression levels by feline ASCs after culture in three stages (stg 1,2,3) of pancreatic differentiation media or stromal medium (Ctrl). Columns with distinct superscripts are significantly different among induction stages within sexes and those with asterisks are different between sexes within induction stages (p < 0.05).



Immunohistochemistry

Insulin and somatostatin as well as glucagon and insulin were localized within clusters cultured in induction medium while none was present in clusters cultured in stromal medium (**Figures 4**, **5**).

Mallory-Heidenhan Azan-Gomori's Modification Assay

Cells stained red, brown, and dark blue, consistent with the presence of α , β , and δ cells in clusters cultured in induction

medium. Clusters cultured in stromal medium had light red, non-specific staining (**Figure 6**).

Glucose-Stimulated Insulin Secretion

In general, insulin secretion increased with increasing glucose concentration, and the highest secretion was obtained following incubation in medium containing 55 mM glucose for 3 h for both male and female donors (**Figure 7A**).

After incubation in medium with 25 mM glucose for 3 h, the fold increase of secreted insulin was higher compared to incubation in medium with 5.5 mM glucose and lower than incubation in 55 mM



glucose regardless of theophylline treatment (**Figure 7B**). In contrast, in medium with 55 mM glucose, insulin secretion was higher with versus without theophylline treatment (**Figure 7B**). Additionally, intracellular insulin increased when clusters were cultured in medium with 55 mM glucose theophylline over no theophylline (**Figure 7C**).

Transmission Electron Microscopy Immunolabelling

Clusters cultured in differentiation medium contained cells that were characterized by numerous cytoplasmic vesicles and a

proportionately smaller, lobed nucleus compared to those cultured in stromal medium that did not contain vesicles and had a large, irregular, round nucleus (Figures 8A,B). Immunoelectron microscopy confirmed the presence of insulin within vacuoles only in cells within clusters cultured in differentiation medium (Figures 8C,D).

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Cell clusters cultured in differentiation medium were larger and composed of tightly adhered cells that had numerous globular surface deposits, consistent with secretory granules, in contrast to blue]. Scale bars = 50 µm.



those cultured in stomal medium which were smaller, and composed of loosely associated cells with rare surface deposits (Figures 8E,F).

Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry Proteomic Analysis

Among 2,516 total proteins identified, 180 were upregulated, 425 downregulated, and 1911 unchanged in cell clusters cultured in differentiation versus stromal medium. Among upregulated proteins, cellular processes, biological regulation, metabolic processes, and response to stimulus comprised most GO terms (**Figure 9A**). Upregulation of proteins within the metabolic process category occurred only in clusters cultured in differentiation medium (**Figure 9B**).

Mechanical Agitation Culture

Morphologically, clusters were spherical and had a smooth surface when cultured without mechanical agitation, whereas those produced with dynamic culture tended to have an irregular shape and rough surface (Figure 10A,B). The number of clusters increased with increasing initial cell seeding density with static culture, but a total number of about 15/well in a 24-well plate remained constant with mechanical agitation at all seeding densities tested in this study (**Figure 10C**). This resulted in a higher cluster number with mechanical agitation at an initial density of 2.5×10^4 cells/ cm² and a higher cluster number with static culture at an initial seeding density of 1.0×10^5 cells/cm² (**Figure 10C**). Regardless of seeding density, clusters had a diameter of about 100 µm with mechanical agitation which was significantly higher than clusters cultured statically with a diameter of about 50 µm (**Figure 10D**).

Based on the above results, a seeding density of 2.5×10^4 cells/ cm² was used for the remaining dynamic culture evaluations. Cells formed spheroids of viable cells with a mean diameter of 87.88 ± 9.93 µm (Figures 11A,B). Clusters cultured with mechanical agitation expressed insulin and glucagon, though insulin expression appeared to be the predominate of the two and glucagon expression appeared to be lower than in clusters with static culture (Figures 11C–J). Insulin secretion from clusters cultured with mechanical agitation tended to increase with each alternating high and low glucose stimulus, though insulin secretion was only statistically different between the first high glucose stimulus and the last low glucose stimulus combined with potassium chloride (Figure 11K). Clusters cultured with mechanical agitation had significantly higher insulin secretion than those in static culture with a maximum 60-fold difference.

DISCUSSION

The overarching conclusion from the present study is that feline ASCs isolated from reproductive adipose tissue have endodermal transdifferentiation capabilities, and expression of some transcription factors, primarily those associated with progenitor cell maturation, is distinct between male and female donors over the course of differentiation. The resulting cell clusters secrete insulin in response to glucose stimulation in a dose-dependent manner, and cluster intracellular insulin and sensitivity to glucose levels is enhanced by the presence of theophylline or exposure to mechanical forces in the early







FIGURE 8 | Transmission electron photomicrographs (A,B),
immunoelectron photomicrographs (C,D) and scanning electron
photomicrographs of cells in feline ASC clusters following three stages of
culture in stromal (A,C,E) or differentiation (B,D,F) medium. Nuclei [(A,B)
white arrow] and vacuoles [(B), black arrowhead], gold-labeled insulin within a
vacuole [(D), white arrows], and individual [black arrows, (F)] and clumped
[white arrows, (F)] surface granules are indicated. Scale bars = 2 μm (A), 1 μm
(B), 0.5 μm (C,D), and 5 μm (E,F).

stages of differentiation. Gene and protein expression as well as immunohistochemistry suggests transdifferentiation into a mixture of polyhormonal pancreatic progenitor cells and monohormonal functional pancreatic cells. This was further supported by cell micro- and ultrastructure consistent with pancreatic endocrine cells and the presence of functional metabolic regulatory proteins based on proteomic analysis. Potential insulinoma formation is unlikely based on the insulin secretion behavior and gene expression profile. Mechanical agitation during the first two stages of differentiation appears to promote maturation of insulinsecreting cells. Together these results confirm the ability to generate glucose-responsive cell clusters of pancreatic endocrine cells from feline reproductive adipose tissue adult ASCs. The results of this work may provide a platform for generation of viable, implantable organoids to restore native glucose regulation in cats and a multitude of species.

Heterogeneous primary cell isolates containing variable immunophenotypes and maturity levels were used to test the differentiation protocol. It was sufficiently robust for consistent cell differentiation and behavior despite likely differences among isolates (Ong et al., 2021). Cell characterization was limited to selection by plastic adherence and confirmation of adipocytic and osteoblastic mesodermal plasticity, as detailed analyses of the cell isolates are described in a previous publication utilizing the isolation method used in this study (Zhang et al., 2014). Based on that work, the isolates were expected to contain cells that were positive for the mesodermal stemness markers CD9, CD29, CD44, CD90, and CD105. It is possible that selection of a specific cell immunophenotype like CD177+ cells may increase the rate and efficiency of differentiation, though the size of initial cell isolates would likely be decreased (Mahaddalkar et al., 2020).

The three-step induction protocol developed in this study is distinct from previously published protocols and customized for feline reproductive adipose tissue ASC plasticity and behavior (Fargason et al., 2018). Specifically, N-2 and B-27 supplements were added to all 3 induction media (Dave et al., 2013) to enhance proliferation and limit apoptosis without the use of FBS, long known to have variable composition and stimulate immunity (McIntosh et al., 2009). Ultralow attachment culture plates used during stage 1 are an established mechanism to form spheroids from monolayer cell cultures (Chandra et al., 2011). Cells were cultured in standard cultureware at stage 2 to select for those that



FIGURE 9 | Proteomic analysis of cell clusters from pooled male and female feline ASCs following culture in pancreatic differentiation (Test) or stromal (Ctrl) medium. A total of 180 proteins were upregulated in the clusters, and the relative distribution among protein GO terms is shown (A) as well as the percentages of each in differentiated (blue) and undifferentiated (red) clusters (B).



assumed an endodermal versus mesodermal lineage, the latter of which adhered to the plastic (Dominici et al., 2006; Okura et al., 2009). The use of laminin-coated plates for induction stage 3 was to promote spheroid survival and insulin secretion as reported for human and murine pancreatic islet isolates (Sigmundsson et al., 2018). Betacellulin was added to stage 3 medium since it promotes human embryonic stem cell differentiation into pancreatic endocrine cells (Cho et al., 2008; Pokrywczynska et al., 2013). Finally, the time required for each induction stage was based on the cell behaviors through numerous iterations. Taken together, the differentiation protocol described here represents a custom mixture of components for successful generation of pancreatic endocrine cells from feline phereproductive organ adipose tissue ASCs.

Upregulation of β cell transcription factors and GCG, insulin, and STS confirmed transdifferentiation and maturation into distinct cell phenotypes, respectively (Chandra et al., 2009; Chandra et al., 2011; Xin et al., 2016). Specifically, increased expression of transcription factors associated with β cell lineage, Nkx6.1, and Pax6 (Pagliuca and Melton, 2013; Swisa et al., 2017; Aigha and Abdelalim, 2020), and function, Isl-1 and Glut-2 (Thorens, 2015; Wang et al., 2016), with increasing differentiation stage supports the presence and maturation of β cells. The transcription factor NKX6.1 is uniquely expressed in β cells of the adult pancreas (Gefen-Halevi et al., 2010). In contrast, the transcription factor Neurod1 interacts with others during pancreatic development to regulate progenitor cell lineage specificity among the multiple pancreatic endocrine cells, including α , β , and δ cells, among others (Naya et al., 1997; Chakrabarti and Mirmira, 2003; Mastracci et al., 2013). The effect of sex on MSC properties including, gene expression, surface marker expression, differentiation potential, and immunomodulation is well documented and distinct among MSCs of various tissue deposit origins (Corsi et al., 2007; Siegel et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2017; Bianconi et al., 2020; Knewtson et al., 2022). Nestin is a neural stem cell marker that is present within developing and adult pancreatic islets, and nestin expressing cells have the capacity to differentiate into pancreatic endocrine phenotypes (Zulewski et al., 2001; Eberhardt et al., 2006). The maximal expression of nestin has been reported to concur with a shift from proliferation to differentiation in undifferentiated cells (Kim et al., 2010), confirming a potential dual role as an intermediate regulator of both stemness and differentiation into insulin-secreting cells. Significantly higher expression of the gene in cells from female compared to male donors at stages 1 and 2 of differentiation may indicate an earlier transition to differentiation. This is consistent with the increased expression by male donor cells at stage 3 of differentiation when expression began to decline in cells from female donors. The earlier increase of Neurod1 expression in cells from female donors that was significantly higher than in male donor cells further substantiates this finding. Notably, by the conclusion of stage



FIGURE 11 [Fluorescent photomicrographs of feline ASC clusters cultured following culture in pancreatic differentiation medium without (A,C--F) and with (B,C-J) dynamic culture and stained with viability stain [(A,B), viable—green, non-viable—red] or anti-insulin [(C,G), green], anti-glucagon [(D,H), blue], or DAPI [(E,I), blue] separately and with images merged (F,J). Scale bars = 100 μ m. Insulin secretion (INS) by the same clusters cultured without (Static) and with (Dynamic) mechanical agitation following exposure to alternating low (2 mM) and high (20 mM) glucose concentrations for 30 min each followed by depolarization with potassium chloride (KCL) in low glucose buffer (K). Data points with distinct superscripts are significantly different among incubation periods within culture condition and different asterisk (*) numbers indicate differences between static and dynamic culture conditions glucose concentration (p < 0.05).

3 of differentiation, the differences in gene expression between donor sexes were no longer evident.

As alluded to above, the cell clusters were likely a combination of poly- and monohormonal pancreatic endocrine cells at the completion of the third culture stage. The presence of polyhormonal cells is not unexpected given that pancreatic embryogenesis involves differentiation of common progenitors into distinct lineages (Pagliuca and Melton, 2013), and resident pancreatic adult progenitor cells have the capacity to commit to distinct cell types as needed for organ repair and homeostasis (Romer and Sussel, 2015). Consistent with the changes in gene expression described above, cell micro- and ultrastructure confirmed cell maturation as well. Mature β cells were suggested by positive staining of insulin zinc and confirmed by bright field immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy, the latter of which showed insulin localized within intracellular vacuoles (D'Amour et al., 2006). The presence of proteinaceous granules on the surface of cells cultured in differentiation medium could represent α or β cell secretory granules as could the appearance of secretory vacuoles, a hallmark of pancreatic endocrine cells, evident with electron microscopy (Gao et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2009; Pfeifer et al., 2015), though vacuole contents were lost during processing in the latter. Single cell labeling was not clear with immunohistochemical localization of insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin, but distinct

cell phenotypes were confirmed with Mallory-Heidenhan Azan-Gomori staining (Baskin, 2015) and suggested by the proteomic profile. Together, these results support the islet like composition of the cell clusters produced for this investigation. However, preclinical testing in an established diabetes model is necessary to confirm the ability of the clusters to regulate systemic glucose levels as well as the duration of cluster activity, which will vary with implantation location (Kottaisamy et al., 2021).

The insulin secretion by functional β cells in response to glucose challenges was consistent with the expected response of increasing insulin secretion with glucose concentration (Gao et al., 2008). Lack of both insulin secretion at the magnitude of functional islets and a biphasic pattern of insulin release is a standard finding in most reports of differentiated insulin-producing cells from various progenitor cells, including induced pluripotent stem cells (Pagliuca et al., 2014). This has recently been reported to be a result of failure of reduced anaplerotic cycling in the mitochondria (Davis et al., 2020). Failure of clusters to return to low levels of insulin secretion at low glucose concentrations may also indicate cell senescence characteristic of in vivo β cell failure (Hasnain et al., 2016). Exposure to theophylline enhanced insulin secretion and content in clusters generated under static culture, though only at the higher glucose concentrations, likely due to lower sensitivity of fewer and less mature β cells. Enhanced insulin secretion by cells grown in dynamic versus status culture suggests a greater number or greater maturity of β cells. The relative

lack of glucagon staining with dynamic culture may indicate a greater number of monoclonal β cells and a cell population more consistent with the native ratio of β to α cells in the feline pancreas, approximately 8:1 (Zini et al., 2016). The limitations of light microscope imaging may have precluded identification of a low number of glucagon expressing cells within clusters.

Shear stress from blood flow plays an essential role in regulating β cell polarity required for specialization during pancreatic development (Lodh et al., 2014). Dynamic medium flow through bioreactors during induced pluripotent stem cells differentiation to IPCs reportedly increases cluster β cell gene expression (NKX6.1, INS) and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion over static culture (Tao et al., 2019). An additional consideration is cluster size, and the dynamic culture employed in this study utilizing 2.5×10^4 cells/cm³ resulted in relatively homogeneous clusters close in size to the native feline islet (Zini et al., 2016; Brandão et al., 2018). Homogenous cluster size may contribute to a predictable cell composition, and reduced cell viability in larger clusters likely impacted function. A previous investigation confirmed that reducing the size clusters to 172 from 364 μm improved insulin secretion by βlike cells derived from a human embryonic cell line (Velazco-Cruz et al., 2019). It is possible that addition of theophylline to the culture medium in combination with dynamic culture may decrease culture times and enhance progenitor cell differentiation into distinct endocrine cells of functional organoids (Gabr et al., 2014).

While not definitive, the insulin secretion response and gene expression profile of the clusters produced in this study is not consistent with that of insulinomas. As detailed above, differentiated clusters exhibited some level of regulated insulin secretion while insulinomas tend to have exceedingly high, unregulated insulin secretion in response to all glucose concentrations, high or low (Henquin et al., 2015). The increase after stage 2 in RAB3A gene expression, a small GTPase associated with vesicle trafficking and exocytosis, including insulin secretion, coincides with the transcription factor transitions associated with cell differentiation described above (Takai et al., 1996; Sun et al., 2001; Yaekura et al., 2003; Kasai et al., 2005; Vieira, 2018). Notably, RAB3 plays a role in regulated versus constitutive secretion, and RAB isoforms are expressed almost exclusively in neurons and secretory cells (Raffaniello, 2021). In contrast to normal feline β cells, insulinoma cells express the HK1 gene and do not show glucagon protein expression, similar to lacking glucagon protein expression within Men1-ablated murine insulinomas (Jackson et al., 2009; Li et al., 2015). The HK1 expression in clusters cultured in stromal medium that was about double that of those in differentiation medium as well as the presence of glucagon in clusters substantiates, at minimum, a lower potential for insulinoma formation. Members of the AKT family are part of signaling cascades that positively regulate β cell mass and insulin secretion; however, AKT1 overexpression is associated with glucose tolerance and insulinoma formation (Alliouachene et al., 2008). The initial increase in AKT1 expression that decreased with differentiation is consistent

with β cell maturation, and the return to basal levels inconsistent with insulinoma. Finally, islet-like clusters produced from human MSCs that formed tumors in mice 40–45 days post transplantation lacked expression of key pancreatic transcription factors, Nkx6.1 and Pax6, which where both highly upregulated in the differentiated clusters of this study (Tang et al., 2012).

These study results confirm mesenchymal-endodermal transdifferentiation by male and female feline reproductive adipose tissue ASCs and the potential to generate functional pancreatic organoids with diverse cell populations of native pancreatic islets. Mechanisms to scale up cluster production and reduce time for differentiation will likely be necessary for clinical implementation, and addition of a methylxanthine like theophylline to differentiation media combined with dynamic culture may improve efficiency. In vivo cluster behavior must be tested to confirm the magnitude and duration of insulin secretion as well as long term maintenance of cell lineages that do not contribute to tumorigenesis. Despite these acknowledged limitations, the findings of these investigations provide a clear mechanism to advance the science of *de novo* tissue generation from adult MSCs to address problems with high clinical relevance.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary materials. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WD, TT, and ML contributed to conception and design of the study. TT, WD, and EH performed the experiments and analyzed the data. WW and BD contributed to the collection of tissue sources. TT, WD, and ML wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and read and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was partly supported by the Veterinary Clinical Science Competitive Organized Research Program and the Winn Feline Foundation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge the Proteomics Core Facility, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, supported by National Institutes of Health grants R24GM137786 and P20GM121293, for LC-MS analysis.

REFERENCES

- Aigha, I. I., and Abdelalim, E. M. (2020). NKX6.1 Transcription Factor: a Crucial Regulator of Pancreatic β Cell Development, Identity, and Proliferation. Stem Cell Res. Ther. 11, 459. doi:10.1186/s13287-020-01977-0
- Alessandra, G., Algerta, M., Paola, M., Carsten, S., Cristina, L., Paolo, M., et al. (2020). Shaping Pancreatic β -Cell Differentiation and Functioning: The Influence of Mechanotransduction. *Cells* 9, 413. doi:10.3390/cells9020413
- Alliouachene, S., Tuttle, R. L., Boumard, S., Lapointe, T., Berissi, S., Germain, S., et al. (2008). Constitutively Active Akt1 Expression in Mouse Pancreas Requires S6 Kinase 1 for Insulinoma Formation. J. Clin. 118, 3629–3638. doi:10.1172/ jci35237
- Baskin, D. G. (2015). A Historical Perspective on the Identification of Cell Types in Pancreatic Islets of Langerhans by Staining and Histochemical Techniques. J. Histochem Cytochem. 63, 543–558. doi:10.1369/0022155415589119
- Betsholtz, C., Christmanson, L., Engström, U., Rorsman, F., Jordan, K., O'Brien, T. D., et al. (1990). Structure of Cat Islet Amyloid Polypeptide and Identification of Amino Acid Residues of Potential Significance for Islet Amyloid Formation. *Diabetes* 39, 118–122. doi:10.2337/diabetes.39.1.118
- Bianconi, E., Casadei, R., Frabetti, F., Ventura, C., Facchin, F., and Canaider, S. (2020). Sex-Specific Transcriptome Differences in Human Adipose Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Genes (Basel)* 11 (8), 909. doi:10.3390/genes11080909
- Brandão, I. R., Zini, E., Reusch, C. E., Lutz, T. A., and Osto, M. (2018). Establishment of a Protocol for the Isolation of Feline Pancreatic Islets. *Physiology Behav.* 186, 79–81. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.01.012
- Buang, M. L. M., Seng, H. K., Chung, L. H., Saim, A. B., and Idrus, R. B. H. (2012). *In Vitro* generation of Functional Insulin-Producing Cells from Lipoaspirated Human Adipose Tissue-Derived Stem Cells. *Archives Med. Res.* 43, 83–88. doi:10.1016/j.arcmed.2012.01.012
- Carlsson, A., Hallgren, I.-B., Johansson, H., and Sandler, S. (2010). Concomitant Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay Measurements of Rat Insulin, Rat C-Peptide, and Rat Proinsulin from Rat Pancreatic Islets: Effects of Prolonged Exposure to Different Glucose Concentrations. *Endocrinology* 151, 5048–5052. doi:10.1210/en.2010-0433
- Chakrabarti, S. K., and Mirmira, R. G. (2003). Transcription Factors Direct the Development and Function of Pancreatic β Cells. *Trends Endocrinol. Metabolism* 14, 78–84. doi:10.1016/s1043-2760(02)00039-5
- Chance, R. E., Ellis, R. M., and Bromer, W. W. (1968). Porcine Proinsulin: Characterization and Amino Acid Sequence. *Science* 161, 165–167. doi:10. 1126/science.161.3837.165
- Chandra, V., G, S., Muthyala, S., Jaiswal, A. K., Bellare, J. R., Nair, P. D., et al. (2011). Islet-Like Cell Aggregates Generated from Human Adipose Tissue Derived Stem Cells Ameliorate Experimental Diabetes in Mice. *PLoS One 6*, e20615. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020615
- Chandra, V., G, S., Phadnis, S., Nair, P. D., and Bhonde, R. R. (2009). Generation of Pancreatic Hormone-Expressing Islet-like Cell Aggregates from Murine Adipose Tissue-Derived Stem Cells. *Stem Cells* 27, 1941–1953. doi:10.1002/ stem.117
- Chen, L.-B., Jiang, X.-B., and Yang, L. (2004). Differentiation of Rat Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells into Pancreatic Islet Beta-Cells. World J. Gastroenterol. 10, 3016–3020. doi:10.3748/wjg.v10.i20.3016
- Cho, Y. M., Lim, J. M., Yoo, D. H., Kim, J. H., Chung, S. S., Park, S. G., et al. (2008). Betacellulin and Nicotinamide Sustain PDX1 Expression and Induce Pancreatic β-cell Differentiation in Human Embryonic Stem Cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 366, 129–134. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.11.112
- Corsi, K. A., Pollett, J. B., Phillippi, J. A., Usas, A., Li, G., and Huard, J. (2007). Osteogenic Potential of Postnatal Skeletal Muscle-Derived Stem Cells is Influenced by Donor Sex. J. Bone Min. Res. 22, 1592–1602. doi:10.1359/ jbmr.070702
- D'Amour, K. A., Bang, A. G., Eliazer, S., Kelly, O. G., Agulnick, A. D., Smart, N. G., et al. (2006). Production of Pancreatic Hormone-Expressing Endocrine Cells from Human Embryonic Stem Cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1392–1401. doi:10. 1038/nbt1259
- Dave, S. D., Vanikar, A. V., and Trivedi, H. L. (2013). Extrinsic Factors Promoting In Vitro Differentiation of Insulin-Secreting Cells from Human Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 170, 962–971. doi:10.1007/s12010-013-0250-y

- Davis, J. C., Alves, T. C., Helman, A., Chen, J. C., Kenty, J. H., Cardone, R. L., et al. (2020). Glucose Response by Stem Cell-Derived β Cells *In Vitro* Is Inhibited by a Bottleneck in Glycolysis. *Cell Rep.* 31, 107623. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020. 107623
- Dominici, M., Le Blanc, K., Mueller, I., Slaper-Cortenbach, I., Marini, F. C., Krause, D. S., et al. (2006). Minimal Criteria for Defining Multipotent Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy Position Statement. *Cytotherapy* 8, 315–317. doi:10.1080/14653240600855905
- Doss, M. X., and Sachinidis, A. (2019). Current Challenges of iPSC-Based Disease Modeling and Therapeutic Implications. *Cells* 8, 403. doi:10.3390/ cells8050403
- Dubey, A., Malik, H. N., Singhal, D. K., Saugandhika, S., Boateng, S., Singhal, R., et al. (2014). 198 Isolation, Characterization, and *In Vitro* Differentiation of Goat Adipose-Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells into Pancreatic Islets-Like Cells. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 26, 213. doi:10.1071/rdv26n1ab198
- Eberhardt, M., Salmon, P., Von Mach, M.-A., Hengstler, J. G., Brulport, M., Linscheid, P., et al. (2006). Multipotential Nestin and Isl-1 Positive Mesenchymal Stem Cells Isolated from Human Pancreatic Islets. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 345, 1167–1176. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.05.016
- Fargason, C., Zhang, N., and Lopez, M. J. (2018). Feline Adult Adipose Tissue-Derived Multipotent Stromal Cell Isolation and Differentiation. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1773, 173–188. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-7799-4_15
- Fineberg, S. E., Kawabata, T. T., Finco-Kent, D., Fountaine, R. J., Finch, G. L., and Krasner, A. S. (2007). Immunological Responses to Exogenous Insulin. *Endocr. Rev.* 28, 625–652. doi:10.1210/er.2007-0002
- Fu, Z., Gilbert, E. R., and Liu, D. (2013). Regulation of Insulin Synthesis and Secretion and Pancreatic Beta-Cell Dysfunction in Diabetes. *Curr. Diabetes Rev.* 9, 25–53. doi:10.2174/157339913804143225
- Gabr, M. M., Zakaria, M. M., Refaie, A. F., Khater, S. M., Ashamallah, S. A., Ismail, A. M., et al. (2014). Generation of Insulin-Producing Cells from Human Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Comparison of Three Differentiation Protocols. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014, 832736. doi:10.1155/2014/ 832736
- Gao, F., Wu, D.-Q., Hu, Y.-H., Jin, G.-X., Li, G.-D., Sun, T.-W., et al. (2008). In Vitro cultivation of Islet-Like Cell Clusters from Human Umbilical Cord Blood-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Transl. Res. 151, 293–302. doi:10.1016/j.trsl. 2008.03.003
- Gefen-Halevi, S., Rachmut, I. H., Molakandov, K., Berneman, D., Mor, E., Meivar-Levy, I., et al. (2010). NKX6.1 Promotes PDX-1-Induced Liver to Pancreatic β-Cells Reprogramming. *Cell. Reprogr.* 12, 655–664. doi:10.1089/ cell.2010.0030
- Gooch, A., Zhang, P., Hu, Z., Loy Son, N., Avila, N., Fischer, J., et al. (2019). Interim Report on the Effective Intraperitoneal Therapy of Insulin-dependent Diabetes Mellitus in Pet Dogs Using "Neo-Islets," Aggregates of Adipose Stem and Pancreatic Islet Cells (INAD 012-776). PLoS One 14, e0218688. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0218688
- Goossens, M. M. C., Nelson, R. W., Feldman, E. C., and Griffey, S. M. (1998). Response to Insulin Treatment and Survival in 104 Cats with Diabetes Mellitus (1985-1995). J. Veterinary Intern. Med. 12, 1–6. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.1998. tb00489.x
- Hasnain, S. Z., Prins, J. B., and Mcguckin, M. A. (2016). Oxidative and Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in β -Cell Dysfunction in Diabetes. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 56, R33–R54. doi:10.1530/jme-15-0232
- Henquin, J.-C., Nenquin, M., Guiot, Y., Rahier, J., and Sempoux, C. (2015). Human Insulinomas Show Distinct Patterns of Insulin Secretion In Vitro. Diabetes 64, 3543–3553. doi:10.2337/db15-0527
- Henson, M. S., and O'Brien, T. D. (2006). Feline Models of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. ILAR J. 47, 234–242. doi:10.1093/ilar.47.3.234
- Jackson, T. C., Debey, B., Lindbloom-Hawley, S., Jones, B. T., and Schermerhorn, T. (2009). Cellular and Molecular Characterization of a Feline Insulinoma. J. Vet. Intern Med. 23, 383–387. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.2008.0267.x
- Kasai, K., Ohara-Imaizumi, M., Takahashi, N., Mizutani, S., Zhao, S., Kikuta, T., et al. (2005). Rab27a Mediates the Tight Docking of Insulin Granules onto the Plasma Membrane during Glucose Stimulation. J. Clin. 115, 388–396. doi:10. 1172/jci200522955
- Kim, S.-Y., Lee, S., Hong, S.-W., Min, B.-H., Lee, K.-U., Bendayan, M., et al. (2010). Nestin Action during Insulin-Secreting Cell Differentiation. J. Histochem Cytochem. 58, 567–576. doi:10.1369/jhc.2010.955682

- Knewtson, K. E., Ohl, N. R., and Robinson, J. L. (2022). Estrogen Signaling Dictates Musculoskeletal Stem Cell Behavior: Sex Differences in Tissue Repair. *Tissue Eng. Part B Rev.* doi:10.1089/ten.TEB.2021.0094
- Kono, S., Kazama, T., Kano, K., Harada, K., Uechi, M., and Matsumoto, T. (2014). Phenotypic and Functional Properties of Feline Dedifferentiated Fat Cells and Adipose-Derived Stem Cells. *Veterinary J.* 199, 88–96. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2013. 10.033
- Kottaisamy, C. P. D., Raj, D. S., Prasanth Kumar, V., and Sankaran, U. (2021). Experimental Animal Models for Diabetes and its Related Complications-A Review. *Lab. Anim. Res.* 37, 23. doi:10.1186/s42826-021-00101-4
- Levetan, C. S., and Pierce, S. M. (2013). Distinctions between the Islets of Mice and Men: Implications for New Therapies for Type 1 and 2 Diabetes. *Endocr. Pract.* 19, 301–312. doi:10.4158/ep12138.ra
- Li, F., Su, Y., Cheng, Y., Jiang, X., Peng, Y., Li, Y., et al. (2015). Conditional Deletion of Men1 in the Pancreatic β -Cell Leads to Glucagon-Expressing Tumor Development. *Endocrinology* 156, 48–57. doi:10.1210/en.2014-1433
- Li, J., Zhu, L., Qu, X., Li, J., Lin, R., Liao, L., et al. (2013). Stepwise Differentiation of Human Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells toward Definitive Endoderm and Pancreatic Progenitor Cells by Mimicking Pancreatic Development *In Vivo. Stem Cells Dev.* 22, 1576–1587. doi:10.1089/scd.2012. 0148
- Lodh, S., O'hare, E. A., and Zaghloul, N. A. (2014). Primary Cilia in Pancreatic Development and Disease. *Birth Defect Res. C* 102, 139–158. doi:10.1002/bdrc. 21063
- Maeno, T., Inoue, M., Embabi, S. N., Miki, D., and Hatchell, D. L. (2006). Islet-like Cell Clusters: Viability, Cell Types, and Subretinal Transplantation in Pancreatectomized Cats. *Lab. Anim.* 40, 432–446. doi:10.1258/ 002367706778476488
- Mahaddalkar, P. U., Scheibner, K., Pfluger, S., AnsarullahSterr, M., Beckenbauer, J., Irmler, M., et al. (2020). Generation of Pancreatic β Cells from CD177+ Anterior Definitive Endoderm. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38 (9), 1061–1072. doi:10. 1038/s41587-020-0492-5
- Mastracci, T. L., Anderson, K. R., Papizan, J. B., and Sussel, L. (2013). Regulation of Neurod1 Contributes to the Lineage Potential of Neurogenin3+ Endocrine Precursor Cells in the Pancreas. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003278. doi:10.1371/journal. pgen.1003278
- McIntosh, K. R., Lopez, M. J., Borneman, J. N., Spencer, N. D., Anderson, P. A., and Gimble, J. M. (2009). Immunogenicity of Allogeneic Adipose-Derived Stem Cells in a Rat Spinal Fusion Model. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 15, 2677–2686. doi:10. 1089/ten.tea.2008.0566
- Mizisin, A. P., Shelton, G. D., Burgers, M. L., Powell, H. C., and Cuddon, P. A. (2002). Neurological Complications Associated with Spontaneously Occurring Feline Diabetes Mellitus. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 61, 872–884. doi:10.1093/ jnen/61.10.872
- Moshtagh, P. R., Emami, S. H., and Sharifi, A. M. (2013). Differentiation of Human Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cell into Insulin-Producing Cells: An In Vitro Study. J. Physiol. Biochem. 69, 451–458. doi:10.1007/s13105-012-0228-1
- Naya, F. J., Huang, H.-P., Qiu, Y., Mutoh, H., Demayo, F. J., Leiter, A. B., et al. (1997). Diabetes, Defective Pancreatic Morphogenesis, and Abnormal Enteroendocrine Differentiation in BETA2/neuroD-Deficient Mice. *Genes Dev.* 11, 2323–2334. doi:10.1101/gad.11.18.2323
- Nesvizhskii, A. I., Keller, A., Kolker, E., and Aebersold, R. (2003). A Statistical Model for Identifying Proteins by Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 75, 4646–4658. doi:10.1021/ac0341261
- Ogawa, R., Mizuno, H., Watanabe, A., Migita, M., Hyakusoku, H., and Shimada, T. (2004). Adipogenic Differentiation by Adipose-Derived Stem Cells Harvested from GFP Transgenic Mice-Including Relationship of Sex Differences. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 319, 511–517. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.05.021
- Okura, H., Komoda, H., Fumimoto, Y., Lee, C.-M., Nishida, T., Sawa, Y., et al. (2009). Transdifferentiation of Human Adipose Tissue-Derived Stromal Cells into Insulin-Producing Clusters. J. Artif. Organs 12, 123–130. doi:10.1007/ s10047-009-0455-6
- Ong, W. K., Chakraborty, S., and Sugii, S. (2021). Adipose Tissue: Understanding the Heterogeneity of Stem Cells for Regenerative Medicine. *Biomolecules* 11 (7), 918. doi:10.3390/biom11070918
- Osto, M., Zini, E., Reusch, C. E., and Lutz, T. A. (2013). Diabetes from Humans to Cats. General Comp. Endocrinol. 182, 48–53. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2012.11.019

- Pagliuca, F. W., and Melton, D. A. (2013). How to Make a Functional β-Cell. Development 140, 2472–2483. doi:10.1242/dev.093187
- Pagliuca, F. W., Millman, J. R., Gürtler, M., Segel, M., Van Dervort, A., Ryu, J. H., et al. (2014). Generation of Functional Human Pancreatic β Cells *In Vitro. Cell* 159, 428–439. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.040
- Pfeifer, C. R., Shomorony, A., Aronova, M. A., Zhang, G., Cai, T., Xu, H., et al. (2015). Quantitative Analysis of Mouse Pancreatic Islet Architecture by Serial Block-Face SEM. J. Struct. Biol. 189, 44–52. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2014.10.013
- Pokrywczynska, M., Krzyzanowska, S., Jundzill, A., Adamowicz, J., and Drewa, T. (2013). Differentiation of Stem Cells into Insulin-Producing Cells: Current Status and Challenges. Arch. Immunol. Ther. Exp. 61, 149–158. doi:10.1007/ s00005-012-0213-y
- Raffaniello, R. D. (2021). Rab3 Proteins and Cancer: Exit Strategies. J. Cell Biochem. 122, 1295–1301. doi:10.1002/jcb.29948
- Rand, J. S., Fleeman, L. M., Farrow, H. A., Appleton, D. J., and Lederer, R. (2004). Canine and Feline Diabetes Mellitus: Nature or Nurture? J. Nutr. 134, 2072S–2080S. doi:10.1093/jn/134.8.2072s
- Rezania, A., Bruin, J. E., Riedel, M. J., Mojibian, M., Asadi, A., Xu, J., et al. (2012). Maturation of Human Embryonic Stem Cell-Derived Pancreatic Progenitors into Functional Islets Capable of Treating Pre-Existing Diabetes in Mice. *Diabetes* 61, 2016–2029. doi:10.2337/db11-1711
- Romer, A. I., and Sussel, L. (2015). Pancreatic Islet Cell Development and Regeneration. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* 22, 255–264. doi:10. 1097/med.00000000000174
- Ryan, E. A., Paty, B. W., Senior, P. A., Bigam, D., Alfadhli, E., Kneteman, N. M., et al. (2005). Five-Year Follow-Up after Clinical Islet Transplantation. *Diabetes* 54, 2060–2069. doi:10.2337/diabetes.54.7.2060
- Ryan, E. A., Paty, B. W., Senior, P. A., and Shapiro, A. M. J. (2004a). Risks and Side Effects of Islet Transplantation. *Curr. Diab Rep.* 4, 304–309. doi:10.1007/ s11892-004-0083-8
- Ryan, E. A., Shandro, T., Green, K., Paty, B. W., Senior, P. A., Bigam, D., et al. (2004b). Assessment of the Severity of Hypoglycemia and Glycemic Lability in Type 1 Diabetic Subjects Undergoing Islet Transplantation. *Diabetes* 53, 955–962. doi:10.2337/diabetes.53.4.955
- Schwartz, A. V. (2003). Diabetes Mellitus: Does it Affect Bone? *Calcif. Tissue Int.* 73, 515–519. doi:10.1007/s00223-003-0023-7
- Shapiro, A. M. J., Lakey, J. R. T., Ryan, E. A., Korbutt, G. S., Toth, E., Warnock, G. L., et al. (2000). Islet Transplantation in Seven Patients with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Using a Glucocorticoid-Free Immunosuppressive Regimen. N. Engl. J. Med. 343, 230–238. doi:10.1056/nejm200007273430401
- Shapiro, A. M. J., Pokrywczynska, M., and Ricordi, C. (2017). Clinical Pancreatic Islet Transplantation. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 13, 268–277. doi:10.1038/nrendo. 2016.178
- Shehadeh, A., and Regan, T. J. (1995). Cardiac Consequences of Diabetes Mellitus. Clin. Cardiol. 18, 301–305. doi:10.1002/clc.4960180604
- Shivakumar, S. B., Lee, H. J., Son, Y. B., Bharti, D., Ock, S. A., Lee, S. L., et al. (2019). *In Vitro* differentiation of Single Donor Derived Human Dental Mesenchymal Stem Cells into Pancreatic β Cell-like Cells. *Biosci. Rep.* 39, BSR20182051. doi:10.1042/BSR20182051
- Siegel, G., Kluba, T., Hermanutz-Klein, U., Bieback, K., Northoff, H., and Schäfer, R. (2013). Phenotype, Donor Age and Gender Affect Function of Human Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. *BMC Med.* 11, 146. doi:10.1186/ 1741-7015-11-146
- Sigmundsson, K., Ojala, J. R. M., Öhman, M. K., Österholm, A.-M., Moreno-Moral, A., Domogatskaya, A., et al. (2018). Culturing Functional Pancreatic Islets on α5-Laminins and Curative Transplantation to Diabetic Mice. *Matrix Biol.* 70, 5–19. doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2018.03.018
- Sun, L., Bittner, M. A., and Holz, R. W. (2001). Rab3a Binding and Secretion-Enhancing Domains in Rim1 are Separate and Unique: Studies in Adrenal Chromaffin Cells. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 12911–12917. doi:10.1074/jbc. m011110200
- Swisa, A., Avrahami, D., Eden, N., Zhang, J., Feleke, E., Dahan, T., et al. (2017). PAX6 Maintains β Cell Identity by Repressing Genes of Alternative Islet Cell Types. J. Clin. Invest. 127, 230–243. doi:10.1172/JCI88015
- Takai, Y., Sasaki, T., Shirataki, H., and Nakanishi, H. (1996). Rab3A Small GTP-Binding Protein in Ca 2+ -Dependent Exocytosis. *Genes Cells* 1, 615–632. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2443.1996.00257.x

- Tang, D. Q., Wang, Q., Burkhardt, B. R., Litherland, S. A., Atkinson, M. A., and Yang, L. J. (2012). *In Vitro* generation of Functional Insulin-Producing Cells from Human Bone Marrow-Derived Stem Cells, but Long-Term Culture Running Risk of Malignant Transformation. *Am. J. Stem Cells* 1, 114–127.
- Tao, T., Wang, Y., Chen, W., Li, Z., Su, W., Guo, Y., et al. (2019). Engineering Human Islet Organoids from iPSCs Using an Organ-On-Chip Platform. *Lab. Chip* 19, 948–958. doi:10.1039/c8lc01298a
- Thorens, B. (2015). GLUT2, Glucose Sensing and Glucose Homeostasis. Diabetologia 58, 221-232. doi:10.1007/s00125-014-3451-1
- Tucker, R. P., Henningsson, P., Franklin, S. L., Chen, D., Ventikos, Y., Bomphrey, R. J., et al. (2014). See-Saw Rocking: An *In Vitro* Model for Mechanotransduction Research. *J. R. Soc. Interface.* 11, 20140330. doi:10. 1098/rsif.2014.0330
- Velazco-Cruz, L., Song, J., Maxwell, K. G., Goedegebuure, M. M., Augsornworawat, P., Hogrebe, N. J., et al. (2019). Acquisition of Dynamic Function in Human Stem Cell-Derived β Cells. Stem Cell Rep. 12, 351–365. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr. 2018.12.012
- Vieira, O. V. (2018). Rab3a and Rab10 Are Regulators of Lysosome Exocytosis and Plasma Membrane Repair. Small GTPases 9, 349–351. doi:10.1080/21541248. 2016.1235004
- Wang, W., Shi, Q., Guo, T., Yang, Z., Jia, Z., Chen, P., et al. (2016). PDX1 and ISL1 Differentially Coordinate with Epigenetic Modifications to Regulate Insulin Gene Expression in Varied Glucose Concentrations. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 428, 38–48. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2016.03.019
- Wartchow, K. M., Rodrigues, L., Lissner, L. J., Federhen, B. C., Selistre, N. G., Moreira, A., et al. (2020). Insulin-producing Cells from Mesenchymal Stromal Cells: Protection against Cognitive Impairment in Diabetic Rats Depends upon Implant Site. *Life Sci.* 251, 117587. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117587
- Webb, T. L., Quimby, J. M., and Dow, S. W. (2012). *In Vitro* comparison of Feline Bone Marrow-Derived and Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 14, 165–168. doi:10.1177/1098612x11429224
- Xie, Q.-P., Huang, H., Xu, B., Dong, X., Gao, S.-L., Zhang, B., et al. (2009). Human Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells Differentiate into Insulin-Producing Cells upon Microenvironmental Manipulation In Vitro. Differentiation 77, 483–491. doi:10.1016/j.diff.2009.01.001
- Xin, Y., Jiang, X., Wang, Y., Su, X., Sun, M., Zhang, L., et al. (2016). Insulin-Producing Cells Differentiated from Human Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem

Cells In Vitro Ameliorate Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Hyperglycemia. PLoS One 11, e0145838. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145838

- Xu, L., Liu, Y., Sun, Y., Wang, B., Xiong, Y., Lin, W., et al. (2017). Tissue Source Determines the Differentiation Potentials of Mesenchymal Stem Cells: A Comparative Study of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Bone Marrow and Adipose Tissue. Stem Cell Res. Ther. 8, 275. doi:10.1186/s13287-017-0716-x
- Yaekura, K., Julyan, R., Wicksteed, B. L., Hays, L. B., Alarcon, C., Sommers, S., et al. (2003). Insulin Secretory Deficiency and Glucose Intolerance in Rab3A Null Mice. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 9715–9721. doi:10.1074/jbc.m211352200
- Zhang, N., Dietrich, M. A., and Lopez, M. J. (2014). Therapeutic Doses of Multipotent Stromal Cells from Minimal Adipose Tissue. Stem Cell Rev. Rep. 10, 600–611. doi:10.1007/s12015-014-9508-1
- Zini, E., Lunardi, F., Zanetti, R., Heller, R. S., Coppola, L. M., Ferro, S., et al. (2016). Endocrine Pancreas in Cats with Diabetes Mellitus. *Vet. Pathol.* 53, 136–144. doi:10.1177/0300985815591078
- Zulewski, H., Abraham, E. J., Gerlach, M. J., Daniel, P. B., Moritz, W., Muller, B., et al. (2001). Multipotential Nestin-Positive Stem Cells Isolated from Adult Pancreatic Islets Differentiate *Ex Vivo* into Pancreatic Endocrine, Exocrine, and Hepatic Phenotypes. *Diabetes* 50, 521–533. doi:10.2337/diabetes.50.3.521

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Taguchi, Duan, Wolfson, Duhon, Halphen and Lopez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.