## BRIEF COMMUNICATION

## Pulmonary Vascular Permeability Indices: Fine Prints of Lung Protection?

Nitin Choudhary<sup>1</sup>, Rohan Magoon<sup>2</sup>, Ashish Walian<sup>3</sup>, Jasvinder K Kohli<sup>4</sup>

**Keywords:** Extravascular lung water, Lung injury, Pulmonary protection, Pulmonary vascular permeability indices, Transpulmonary thermodilution. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine* (2020): 10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23446

## To the Editor,

The domain of lung-protective strategies continues to be actively researched with the aim of an improved understanding of the underlying pathophysiology and the prevention and management of pulmonary complications. It is noteworthy that pulmonary edema constitutes the commonest complication in critically ill patients accounting for mortality of 12 and 30% following cardiogenic and noncardiogenic causes, respectively.<sup>1,2</sup> Albeit challenging, a sound characterization and differentiation of the cardiogenic and noncardiogenic (essentially acute respiratory distress syndrome, i.e., ARDS) pulmonary edema is of paramount importance for the subsequent management.

The current era is witnessing a transition from the relatively subjective to more objective modalities of pulmonary edema diagnosis. Chest auscultation was the most preliminary method, which slowly progressed to roentgenography, lung ultrasound, and the most recent technique of transpulmonary thermodilution (TPTD). The current concept of TPTD-based measurement of extravascular lung water (EVLW) promises to guide in the diagnosis and follow-up of the edema treatment regimen. Considering the fact that TPTD measures a wide range of volumes (which could serve as surrogates of the preload), it presents the potential of providing an estimate of the capillary permeability by computation of the pulmonary vascular permeability indices (PVPIs). The PVPI is defined as the ratio of the fluid extravasated in the interstitial space to that present in the pulmonary vasculature.<sup>3,4</sup> Pulmonary vascular permeability indices have been demonstrated to be higher in ARDS compared to cardiogenic pulmonary edema, which can be explained on the basis of an increased hydrostatic pressure as a cause of cardiogenic pulmonary edema in sharp contrast to the noncardiogenic pulmonary edema, which is largely attributed to an enhanced capillary permeability.

The recent literature elucidates that a PVPI of less than 2 indicates normal pulmonary permeability and more than 3 is suggestive of increased pulmonary permeability.<sup>3,4</sup> Pulmonary vascular permeability indices assist in the establishment of the type and quantification of pulmonary edema, staging, monitoring progression, and assessing the response to the therapeutic interventions. The clinical use of TPTD measurements can extend to the fluid management in critically ill patients to avoid circulatory overload, weaning from mechanical ventilation, monitoring ventilatory strategies and recruitment maneuvers during one-lung ventilation, fluid management in burn patients, early detection of graft dysfunction in lung transplant patients, and reperfusion pulmonary edema following pulmonary endarterectomy, thereby serving as an appropriate prognostic tool.<sup>5–7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India

<sup>2-4</sup>Department of Cardiac Anesthesia, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India

**Corresponding Author:** Rohan Magoon, Department of Cardiac Anesthesia, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India, Phone: +91 9711128628, e-mail: rohanmagoon21@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Choudhary N, Magoon R, Walian A, Kohli JK. Pulmonary Vascular Permeability Indices: Fine Prints of Lung Protection? Indian J Crit Care Med 2020;24(6):473–474.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

Although TPTD is a promising novel technique, it does present certain inherent limitations. First, it requires central venous catheterization and arterial cannulation with the necessary infrastructure and therefore patient selection is important owing to the cost and associated complications. Second, it may underestimate EVLW in pulmonary embolism or overestimate in background of a large pleural effusion or lung resection.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, the TPTD estimations are unreliable in setting of arrhythmias or circulatory assistance. Finally, although the initial literature outlines a PVPI of less than 2 as normal, further studies are required for more robust values across diverse clinical settings and the associated cutoff values for the formal characterization of the leaky lungs.<sup>4,5</sup>

To conclude, TPTD can prove pivotal with regards to the pulmonary protection in the critically ill patients. Interestingly, the most recent Berlin diagnostic ARDS definition fails to take into account the concept of increased vascular permeability and the aforementioned discussion highlights that the inclusion of PVPI could add to the objective value of the diagnostic criterion. All in all, PVPIs definitely constitute novel management clinical and research targets of the ongoing endeavors of lung protection across the wide gamut of predisposed scenarios.

## REFERENCES

- Sweeney RM, McAuley DF. Acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet 2016;388(10058):2416–2430. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00578-X.
- Ware LB, Matthay MA. Clinical practice. acute pulmonary edema. N Engl J Med 2005;353(26):2788–2796. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMcp052699.
- Monnet X, Anguel N, Osman D, Hamzaoui O, Richard C, Teboul JL. Assessing pulmonary permeability by transpulmonary thermodilution

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2020 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

allows differentiation of hydrostatic pulmonary edema from ALI/ ARDS. Intensive Care Med 2007;33(3):448–453. DOI: 10.1007/s00134-006-0498-6.

- 4. Asaad S, Kratzert WB, Shelley B, Friedman MB, Perrino A. Assessment of pulmonary edema: principles and practice. J Cardiothor Vasc Anesth 2018;32(2):901–914. DOI: 10.1053/j.jvca.2017.08.028.
- Tagami T, Ong ME. Extravascular lung water measurements in acute respiratory distress syndrome: why, how, and when? Curr Opin Crit Care 2018;24(3):209–215. DOI: 10.1097/MCC.00000000000503.
- 6. Pottecher J, Roche AC, Dégot T, Helms O, Hentz JG, Schmitt JP, et al. Increased extravascular lung water and plasma biomarkers

of acute lung injury precede oxygenation impairment in primary graft dysfunction after lung transplantation. Transplantation 2017;101(1):112–121. DOI: 10.1097/TP.000000000001434.

- Magoon R, Karanjkar A, Kaushal B, Sharan S. Extravascular lung water estimation for prediction of reperfusion injury after pulmonary endarterectomy: a closer look. J Cardiothor Vasc Anesth (article in press) https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2019. 11.007.
- Beurton A, Teboul JL, Monnet X. Transpulmonary thermodilution techniques in the haemodynamically unstable patient. Curr Opin Crit Care 2019;25(3):273–279. DOI: 10.1097/MCC.000000000000608.

