Impact of Customized and Sustained Physiotherapy in Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease

Tajuddin Chitapure¹, Divya Jethwani², Syed Zubair Ahmed³, Chinmoyee Panigrahy⁴

 Assistant Professor, MGM School of Physiotherapy, Aurangabad, a constituent unit of MGMIHS, Navi Mumbai, IND
 Associate Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth Jayantrao Tilak College of Physiotherapy, Pune, IND 3. Neurophysiotherapy, Royal College of Physiotherapy, Malegaon, IND 4. Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth Jayantrao Tilak College of Physiotherapy, Pune, IND

Corresponding author: Divya Jethwani, drdivya.2710@gmail.com

Abstract

Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease is the most inherited form of peripheral neuropathy. This condition is also known as hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy (HMSN), which is a slowly progressive neuropathy affecting peripheral nerves and causes sensory loss, weakness and muscle wasting. This primarily involves distal muscles of feet, lower legs, hands and forearm. CMT is the most frequently inherited peripheral neuropathy known to affect 1 in 2500 individuals. There are four types: CMT1, CMT2, CMT3 and CMT4, depending upon the involvement of specific gene deficit, inheritance pattern, age of onset and whether the primary defect results in an abnormality of the myelin or axon of the nerve. Diagnosis of CMT is done based on physical examination, genetic testing, and electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction velocity (NCV) test results. Symptoms of weakness progress from distal to proximal, i.e., it begins from feet and ankles. CMT is a known length dependent neuropathy which results in foot drop and later weakness of hands and forearm becomes evident. Due to the involvement of sensory nerve fibres, sensations like heat, touch, pain and most prominently vibration is also present distally. Long standing effects of CMT leads to the development of deformity and/or contractures which may involve forefoot, hindfoot, toes and/or long finger flexors. As physiotherapy is a means to maintain and/or gain maximum possible functional independence, consistency of the treatment becomes the most important factor. This case report intends to show that consistency in performing physiotherapeutic exercises helps in gaining maximum possible functional independence. This case report is a discussion of a 25-year-old male patient referred to the physiotherapy department with the polyneuropathy type symptoms with his history and investigation reports being consistent with that of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease.

Categories: Genetics, Neurology, Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation **Keywords:** charcot-marie-tooth disease, polyneuropathy, physiotherapy, emg-ncv, functional independence

Introduction

Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease is the most common hereditary neuropathy, affecting approximately 10-82.3 in 100000 individuals [1]. CMT hereditary neuropathy refers to a group of disorders characterized by chronic motor and sensory polyneuropathy, also known as hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy (HMSN) [2]. Patients with CMT usually show bilateral involvement with slow progressive distal motor neuropathy of the hand and foot muscles usually beginning in the first to third decade of life and show atrophy of distal extremities like hand and feet muscles. This muscle weakness often presents with mild to moderate distal sensory loss [3]. The traditional classification of CMT (e.g., CMT1, CMT2, and DI-CMT [dominant intermediate]) was based on peripheral neuropathy type as determined by nerve conduction velocity (NCV) and mode of inheritance as determined by family history. In most studies, the treatment approach is symptomatic. Affected individuals are often evaluated and managed by a multidisciplinary team that includes neurologists, physiatrists, orthopedic surgeons, and physical and occupational therapists [4,5]. In the literature the quality of life and defining disability have been measured and compared among various groups of individuals with CMT [6,7]. In this case report, a 25-year-old male had a history of weakness in both the hand and foot muscles, which were progressive, and because of this he was unable to participate in any social and cultural activities in society and limited his daily activities. After referring to many physicians, he underwent an investigation and was diagnosed with polyneuropathy because of CMT. So with this case report, we wanted to observe if consistency in physical therapy treatment results in improvement in his functional outcome, which ultimately improves his quality of life.

This case report is about a 25-year-old male who was referred to physical therapy OPD with complaints of weakness in both the hands and feet for four years.

Case Presentation

A 25-year-old male was referred to physical therapy OPD with complaints of weakness in both the hands and feet for four years. The patient had a history of insidious onset of weakness in both the upper and lower limbs. Initially it started as a feeling of tingling and numbness in the right foot followed by the left foot.

Review began 08/04/2021 Review ended 08/07/2021 Published 08/15/2021

© Copyright 2021

Chitapure et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Chitapure T, Jethwani D, Zubair Ahmed S, et al. (August 15, 2021) Impact of Customized and Sustained Physiotherapy in Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease. Cureus 13(8): e17201. DOI 10.7759/cureus.17201

Thereafter weakness developed in both feet. He faced difficulty in performing daily activities like walking because he had to drag his feet as there was a presence of foot drop due to progressive weakness and he faced difficulty in holding slippers while walking. After a couple of months, he developed a similar type of weakness in both hands and therefore holding and grasping became difficult. As the severity was progressive, it started affecting his normal mobility components like standing balance, walking, overhead activities, holding various objects and fine skill movements. With the passage of time, he started losing sensations and because of this he developed an open wound at the ball of the great toe. He was referred to a private neurologist and underwent nerve biopsy as advised. The results showed axonopathy and but there was no evidence of inflammation. After taking physical therapy treatment for two months in some private clinics, he came to Maharashtra Railway Vikas Corporation health center physical therapy department for further management and here he underwent physical therapy treatment with regular follow-ups for three consistent months. On further assessment, family history was found to be present. Patient's father was known to have the same presentation when he was around 30 years of age.

On observation, gross wasting of both the hands and lower leg and foot muscles was seen. The patient was using a walker to walk. Higher mental functions were intact. There was a loss of sensations over bilateral hand (volar and dorsal) and below the knee region in bilateral lower limbs. On the motor examination, the patient was found to have hypotonia in hands and lower leg muscles bilaterally.

By convention method, the deep tendon reflexes are graded as follows in Table 1.

| DTR (Deep Tendon Reflexes) | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Right | Left | | | | | |
| Biceps | ++ | ++ | | | | | |
| Triceps | ++ | ++ | | | | | |
| Supinator | + | + | | | | | |
| Knee | + | + | | | | | |
| Ankle | - | - | | | | | |

TABLE 1: Deep Tendon Reflexes (DTR)

Manual muscle testing was done using Medical Research Center (MRC) Gradings and the findings are as follows in Table 2. Previous status indicates the findings during first assessment of the patient and current status denotes follow-up assessment findings taken one day before patient's last visit.

| Muscles | Previous Status (During 1 st Assessment) | Current Status after 12 weeks (During a follow up assessment) |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Hip and Knee Muscles | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
| Ankle and Foot Muscles | Grade 1 | Grade 2+ |
| Shoulder Muscles | Grade 4 | Grade 5 |
| Wrist Muscles | Grade 3 | Grade 5 |
| Hand Muscles | Grade 1 | Grade 2+ |

TABLE 2: Manual Muscle Testing

MRC (Medical Research Center) Grading

Range of motion was full for all joints except wrist because the presence of hypotonia made it hypermobile. Initially, there was mild tightness in hip flexors, because he uses to walk with anterior pelvic tilt, but later on successive treatment sessions, tightness was relieved and gait improvements were noticed.

Functional status of the patient is shown in Table 3.

| Hand | | |
|--|--|---|
| Previous Status | Current Status after 12 weeks | Remark |
| Personal hygiene and grooming difficulties | Now able to perform | |
| Typing on laptop for 1-2 minutes | Now 5-10 minutes | |
| Holding objects in hand was difficult | Now holding objects with spherical grip is possible | Prehension grip is yet to be achieved |
| Gripping object with thumb opposition (e.g.: holding a bottle) | Now able to use thumb for opposition and for variable objects | |
| Holding book/Paper in web space was difficult | Now able to hold objects in web spaces | Interossei muscles are weal |
| Lower Limb | | |
| Felt heaviness and weakness throughout the Lower Limb | Weakness present only in foot and ankle region | |
| 1-2 minutes walking was painful | Now able to walk upto 10minutes without pain | Walks with wide base of support due to fear of fall/imbalance |
| Walked with hip hiking so as to be able to clear ground as there was a presence of foot drop because of loss of complete power | Now able to walk with very mild hip hiking and knee flexion, so as to clear the ground | Walks with toe out position |
| Lack of balance - unable to stand independently | Now independent standing is possible | |
| Standing from sitting on ground position required assistance | Now independent transition is possible | |
| Walking with lower foot orthotic support | Independent walking without orthosis is possible | |

TABLE 3: Functional Status

Investigations like electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction velocity (NCV) testing were done. Results are shown in Tables 4, 5, 6.

| Case Findings | |
|--|---|
| his feet while walking. A within one more year, p | nherited Neuropathy? Acquired Presenting Complaint: Initially patient experienced that slippers would slip of After a year, patient developed an ulcer on the ball of right toe which took 10 months to heal and later on patient started experiencing difficulty in getting up from squatting position, imbalance while walking and s presence of weakness and wasting of hand muscles. |
| Comments: | |
| Sensory: | |
| Right and Left Median D2 | No Response |
| Right Ulnar | Normal |
| Right Radial | Normal |
| Right Sural | Biopsied |
| Left Sural | No Response |
| Right and Left Superficial Peroneal | No Response |
| MOTOR AND F Wave | |
| Right Median | TL: Prolonged (demyelinating range), Distal CMAP: Low Amplitude, MNCV: Slowed in arm and forearm (demyelinating range), TD noted on stimulating at elbow and mid arm (severe) |
| Left Median | TL: Prolonged (demyelinating range), Distal CMAP: Low Amplitude and distally dispersed (demyelinating range), MNCV: Slowed in arm and forearm >>arm, TD noted (severe) |
| Right Ulnar | TL: Prolonged, CMAP: Very low amplitude and distally dispersed, MNCV: Slowed in arm and forearm (Demyelinating range), TD noted (mild) |
| Right Median – FCR | Normal |
| Right Common Peroneal – EDB | No Response |
| Right and Left Tibial – AH | No Response |
| Right and Left Tibial – Gastrocnemius | CMAP: Very Low Amplitude |
| Right Common Peroneal – TA | CMAP: Very Low Amplitude |
| Left Common Peroneal – TA | No Response |
| Right Femoral | TL: Mildly Prolonged, CMAP: Normal Amplitude |
| Left Femoral | Normal |
| evidence of a widespre | e: Upper Limb>32 ⁰ C, Lower Limb > 30 ⁰ C (throughout the test) NCV Findings as listed below: There is ad, multifocal peripheral neuropathy. Type: Motor>Sensory, Lower Limb + Upper Limb, Distal > Proximal, anal Suggestive of an inherited variety e.g. CMT-X (due to paucity of sensory symptoms) |

TABLE 4: Nerve Conduction Velocity (NCV) Report Findings/Impression

TL – Terminal Latency, CMAP – Compound Muscle Action Potential, MNCV – Motor Nerve Conduction Velocity, TD – Temporal Dispersion, FCR – Flexor Carpi Radialis, EDB – Extensor Digitorum Brevis, AH – Abductor Hallucis, TA – Tibialis Anterior

| Motor NCS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|------------|------|--------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Nerve / | Amp. 2- | Lat. Ms | Amp.1-2 mV | Dur. | Area % | Dur. ms | Amp.2-4% | Dist. Cm | Vel. m/s | Rec. Site |

| Sites | 4mV | | | % | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|-------|-----|-----|------|-------|------|------|------|----------------|
| Right Mediar | n | | | | | | | | | |
| Wrist | 2.4 | 5.15 | 1.9 | 100 | 100 | 6.50 | 100 | 6 | | APB |
| Elbow | 1.0 | 13.95 | 0.8 | 197 | 81.1 | 12.80 | 41.5 | 22 | 25.0 | |
| Mid Arm | 1.1 | 16.55 | 0.8 | 179 | 9.6 | 11.65 | 45 | 7 | 26.9 | |
| Left Median | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wrist | 3.2 | 5.15 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 | 8.35 | 100 | 6 | | APB |
| Elbow | 2.1 | 15.30 | 1.7 | 150 | 107 | 12.55 | 64.7 | 22.5 | 22.2 | |
| Mid Arm | 2.0 | 16.75 | 1.6 | 160 | 103 | 13.40 | 63.2 | 7 | 48.3 | |
| Right Ulnar | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wrist | 1.4 | 4.55 | 0.8 | 100 | 100 | 7.65 | 100 | 6 | | ADM |
| Mid Elbow | 1.4 | 13.65 | 0.8 | 182 | 152 | 13.90 | 99.3 | 22 | 24.2 | |
| Mid Arm | 1.4 | 16.80 | 0.8 | 125 | 81.3 | 7.90 | 54.2 | 8 | 32.0 | |
| Left Ulnar | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wrist | 2.0 | 3.85 | 1.5 | 100 | 100 | 6.30 | 100 | 6 | | ADM |
| Mid Elbow | 1.2 | 12.85 | 0.9 | 121 | 82.6 | 7.60 | 57.6 | 23 | 25.6 | |
| Mid Arm | 1.1 | 15.35 | 0.8 | 125 | 81.3 | 7.90 | 54.2 | 8 | 32.0 | |
| Right Comm | on Peroneal - 1 | ГА | | | | | | | | |
| Fib Head | 0.6 | 8.30 | 0.4 | 100 | 100 | 13.00 | 100 | | | |
| Left Tibial M | alleolus – Gast | roc | | | | | | | | |
| Knee | 0.5 | 6.60 | 0.4 | 100 | 100 | 15.75 | 100 | | | Gastroc |
| 2 | 0.5 | 6.50 | 0.5 | 106 | 116 | 16.75 | 106 | | | Gastroc |
| Right Tibial M | Malloelus – Gas | stroc | | | | | | | | |
| Knee | 0.3 | 6.80 | 0.3 | 100 | 100 | 14.95 | 100 | | | Gastroc |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | Gastroc |
| Right Femore | al | | | | | | | | | |
| Groin | 8.6 | 4.30 | 5.7 | 100 | 100 | 14.65 | 100 | | | Rectus Femoris |
| Thigh | 8.1 | 4.20 | 5.5 | 100 | 94.1 | 14.65 | 93.5 | | | |
| Left Femoral | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groin | 12.1 | 2.50 | 7.5 | 100 | 100 | 14.65 | 100 | | | Rectus Femoris |
| Right Mediar | n – FCR | | | | | | | | | |
| Elbow | 14.0 | 1.75 | 9.2 | 100 | 100 | 8.95 | 100 | | | FCR |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

R Common Peroneal : No Response L Tibial Malleolus: No Response R Tibial Malleolus: No Response L Common Peroneal TA: No Response F Wave: L Ulnar – ADM : Min F Lat = 32.15ms

Sensory NCS

R Sup Peroneal: No Response L Sural: No Response L Sup Peroneal: No Response R Median: No Response R Ulnar: No Response L Median: No Response

TABLE 5: Motor Nerve Conduction Velocity (MNCV) Report

NCS - nerve conduction study, FCR - Flexor Carpi Radialis

| NEEDLE ELECTROMYOGRAPHY | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Muscle Name | Spontaneous Activity | Voluntary Activity | Interference Pattern | Remarks | | | | | |
| Right Vastus Medialis (Femoral Nerve L2,3,4) | NIL | Large wide MUPs+Large Polyphasics | Moderately Reduced | Chronic Partial Denervation | | | | | |
| Right Tibialis Anterior (Deep Peroneal Nerve L4,5) | Fibs 2+ | Fast Firing Polyphasics | Severely Reduced | Active and Chronic Partial Denervation | | | | | |
| Right First Dorsal Interosseous (Ulnar Nerve: C8,T1) | Fibs 3+ | Large Wide MUPs | Severely Reduced | Active and Chronic Partial Denervation | | | | | |
| Right Biceps (Musculocutaneous Nerve C5,6) | NIL | Normal | Full | Normal | | | | | |
| Left Vastus Medialis (Femoral Nerve L2,3,4) | NIL | Large Wide MUPs+Large Polyphasics | Mildly Reduced | Chronic Partial Denervation | | | | | |
| Left Exrtensor Indices (Radial Posterior Interosseous Nerve C7,8) | NIL | Normal | Full | Normal | | | | | |

TABLE 6: Electromyography (EMG) Report

MUPs - Motor Unit Potentials

The patient was diagnosed with polyneuropathy because of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) based on the EMG+NCV study's findings as well as history and familial presentation along with the progression of the symptoms.

Diagnostic challenges

Hereditary neuropathies are divided into four major subcategories: hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy, hereditary sensory neuropathy, hereditary motor neuropathy, and hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy. The most common type is Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, one of the hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies. Symptoms of the hereditary neuropathies vary according to the type and may include sensory symptoms such as numbness, tingling, and pain in the feet and hands, or motor symptoms such as weakness and loss of muscle bulk, particularly in the lower leg and feet muscles. Certain types of hereditary neuropathies can affect the autonomic nerves, resulting in impaired sweating, postural hypotension, or insensitivity to pain. Some people may have foot deformities such as high arches and hammer toes, thin calf muscles (having the appearance of an inverted champagne glass) or scoliosis (curvature of the spine). The symptoms of hereditary neuropathies may be apparent at birth or appear in the middle or late life. They can vary among different family members, with some family members being more severely affected than others. The hereditary neuropathies can be diagnosed by blood tests for genetic testing, nerve conduction studies, and nerve biopsies.

Diagnosis was made based on EMG+NCV study reports and familial predisposition. Also on examination, diabetes was ruled out to rule out for diabetic neuropathy. The patient was suggested to undergo nerve biopsy, but because of financial constraints patient denied opting for it.

Intervention

Electrical Stimulation

Interrupted galvanic current used to target intrinsic muscles of the hand and foot muscle with 90-90-90 set of contortions given. Along with the facilitation techniques were used to enhance the outcome of muscle contraction, which is achieved through electrical stimulation. This was continued for three weeks.

Strengthening Exercises

For lower limb and pelvis muscles was done as it was grade 3 in the initial. With the help of De Lorme's;

progressive resistance exercise (PRE) program based on 10 repetitions maximum (10RM) where the patient begins sets of training by performing the first set of 10 at 50% 10RM, the second at 75% 10RM and the third (final) at 100% of the 10RM. This was started at the end of two weeks to six weeks of treatment duration.



FIGURE 1: With Orthosis

At the end of six weeks core and abdominal strengthening exercises were also carried out to enhance the lower extremity muscle function. There are dearth of literature suggests that core stability is an important component of nearly every gross motor activity. The reason behind core strengthening proving effective in development of extremity strength can be justified by overflow irradiation concept which says when a resistance is applied; it causes irradiation or overflow from stronger patterns to weaker patterns or from stronger muscles to weaker muscles within a pattern of movement. Also the role of core is considered important in upper and lower proximities strengthening as the maintenance of position in which strengthening is performed have activation and recruitment of core muscles in order to maintain truncal

stability.

More of functional task in the form of gripping activities were done using different objects of different shapes. Stretching of tight muscles was done and once all these things were achieved, patient was mad to undergo gait training first in parallel bar and later out of the parallel bar. We used the plantar flexed assist orthosis to improve his gait function and facilitated the dorsiflexor muscles. Once gait was improved markedly, patient was put under endurance training via static cycling.

At the end of eight weeks balance exercises were started with dynamic sitting balance training followed by static balance training in standing followed by dynamic balance training in standing and later on balance exercises on dynamic surfaces like physio ball and balance board were given.

Also endurance training was done incorporated in form of breathing exercises, static cycling and walking with normal speed and in normal pattern.

Limitations

As this disease is progressive, sustained exercises must be implemented for prolonged functional independence and also care must be taken to make sure exercises focus on maintaining muscle properties so that neural status affection would cause a slow deterioration in activities of daily living (ADL) performance.

Discussion

Although CMT is an incurable disease, quality of life for patients with CMT can be improved by symptomatic treatments like physical therapy, surgery wherever indicated, analgesics and other pain management strategies, etc [8]. To establish a program specific for each patient, the management in physical medicine and rehabilitation of patients with Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease must be early after a clinical assessment of joint, muscles and sensory status [9], as CMT is the most common hereditary sensorimotor neuropathy that has a slow onset. CMT is usually first present in childhood. It starts distally and from the lower limbs it progresses to more proximal muscles. Rehabilitation plays a major role in the treatment of patients with CMT due to the lack of curative medical treatments and the problematic outcomes of surgical intervention. Important aspects of rehabilitation are approaches like aerobic, stretching and strengthening exercises. Rehabilitative approach is incomplete without orthotics. Evidence shows that strength and general fitness are greatly improved by exercises. Range of motion is effectively maintained by customized stretching protocol along with orthotic devices, which are the mainstay of maintaining mobility and ambulation and upper extremity function [10]. In this patient also sustained physical therapy showed marked improvement in terms of strength, range of motion, balance and gait and overall functional independence. This case report aims to show the significance of sustained physical therapy and an effective physical therapy protocol to yield functional independence in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. Evidence agrees on the improvement in timing of execution of ADLs and overall functional independence [11]. Appropriate inclusion of aerobic exercises improving endurance maintains the symptoms from worsening up in progressive disorders [12].

Conclusions

This case report concludes that early physical therapy with customized protocol and sustained approach improves overall functional independence of the patient with polyneuropathy due to Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. Appropriate workplace modification and appropriate orthotic support add up to the quality of life of these patients.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. - issued approval -. As this study is a case report, no ethical approval is required and also the Declaration of Helsinki allows the study of a human participant where there is no drug administration and/or surgical intervention. This study solely focus on the conservative aspect of treatment. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

All the authors contributed equally hence for the first position, Authors: Dr. Tajuddin Chitaure [Assistant Professor, MGM School of Physiotherapy, Aurangabad, a constituent unit of MGMIHS, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India., AURANGABAD, IND and Dr. Divya Jethwani [Associate Professor, TMV's Jayantrao Tilak College of Physiotherapy, Pune both have contributed together equally. And *Corresponding Author: Dr. Divya Jethwani [Associate Professor, TMV's Jayantrao Tilak College of Physiotherapy, Pune, IND.

References

- 1. Amato AA, Barohn RJ: Peripheral neuropathy. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 18th Edition. Longo DL, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser S, Jameson J, Loscalzo J (ed): McGraw-Hill, New York; 2011.
- Newman CJ, Walsh M, O'Sullivan R, Jenkinson A, Bennett D, Lynch B, O'Brien T: The characteristics of gait in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease types I and II. Gait Posture. 2007, 26:120-7. 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.08.006
 Azevedo H, Pupe C, Pereira R, Nascimento OJ: Pain in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: an update. Arq
- Neuropsiquiatr. 2018, 76:273-6. 10.1590/0004-282x20180021 4. Grandis M, Shy ME: Current therapy for Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease . Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2005,
- 7:23-31.
 McCorquodale D, Pucillo EM, Johnson NE: Management of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: improving long-
- term care with a multidisciplinary approach. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2016, 9:7-19. 10.2147/JMDH.S69979 6. Burns J, Ramchandren S, Ryan MM, Shy M, Ouvrier RA: Determinants of reduced health-related quality of
- life in pediatric inherited neuropathies. Neurology. 2010, 24:726-31. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181eee496 7. Ramchandren S, Shy M, Feldman E, Carlos R, Siskind C: Defining disability: development and validation of a
- mobility-Disability Severity Index (mDSI) in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2015, 86:635-9.
- Mathis S, Magy L, Vallat JM: Therapeutic options in Charcot-Marie-Tooth diseases. Expert Rev Neurother. 2015, 15:355-66. 10.1586/14737175.2015.1017471
- Sautreuil P, Delorme D, Baron A, Mane M, Missaoui B, Thoumie P: [Charcot Marie Tooth disease: principles of rehabilitation, physiotherapy and occupational therapy]. Med Sci (Paris). 2017, 33:49-54. 10.1051/medsci/201733s110
- Kenis-Coskun O, Matthews DJ: Rehabilitation issues in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. J Pediatr Rehabil Med. 2016, 9:31-4. 10.3233/PRM-160359
- 11. Corrado B, Ciardi G, Bargigli C: Rehabilitation management of the Charcot-Marie-Tooth syndrome: a systematic review of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016, 95:e3278. 10.1097/MD.00000000003278
- Jethwani D, Qureshi MI, Dadgal R, Bele A: Endurance and respiratory training, an approach to delay the symptomatic progression in multiple sclerosis - a case report. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2021, 15:10.37506/ijfmt.v15i2.14290