Europe PMC Funders Group Author Manuscript Vaccine. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 November 16.

Published in final edited form as: Vaccine. 2015 December 22; 33(52): 7462-7468. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.09.095.

Novel approaches to whole sporozoite vaccination against malaria*

Else M. Bijker^{a,*}, Steffen Borrmann^{b,c,d}, Stefan H. Kappe^{e,f}, Benjamin Mordmüller^{b,c,g}. Brandon K. Sack^e, Shahid M. Khan^h

^aRadboud University Medical Center, Department of Medical Microbiology, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands ^bInstitute for Tropical Medicine, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany ^cGerman Centre for Infection Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany ^dKenya Medical Research Institute-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya ^eSeattle Biomedical Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA ^fDepartment of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA ^gCentre de Recherches Médicales de Lambaréné, Alberts Schweitzer Hospital, BP 118 Lambaréné, Gabon ^hLeiden University Medical Center, Department of Parasitology, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

The parasitic disease malaria threatens more than 3 billion people worldwide, resulting in more than 200 million clinical cases and almost 600,000 deaths annually. Vaccines remain crucial for prevention and ultimately eradication of infectious diseases and, for malaria, whole sporozoite based immunization has been shown to be the most effective in experimental settings. In addition to immunization with radiation-attenuated sporozoites, chemoprophylaxis and sporozoites (CPS) is a highly efficient strategy to induce sterile protection in humans. Genetically attenuated parasites (GAP) have demonstrated significant protection in rodent studies, and are now being advanced into clinical testing. This review describes the existing pre-clinical and clinical data on CPS and GAP, discusses recent developments and examines how to transform these immunization approaches into vaccine candidates for clinical development.

Keywords

Malaria; Plasmodium; Vaccine; Immunization; Sporozoite; Chemoprophylaxis; Chloroquine; Genetic attenuation; Liver stage; Pre-erythrocytic stages

Background 1

Malaria is a life-threatening, multi-organ disease caused by blood stage infections with Plasmodium parasites, particularly Plasmodium falciparum. Disease-limiting immunity that develops after multiple malaria episodes does not prevent parasitemia or transmission [1].

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 624959093. else.bijker@radboudumc.nl (E.M. Bijker). * Open Access provided for this article by the PATH Malaria Vaccine Initiative and ExxonMobil Foundation.

WHO estimates that despite the massive roll-out of conventional control measures over the last decade, malaria causes more than 200 million clinical cases and claims almost 600,000 lives per year, mostly in African children [2]. Further progress will necessitate novel interventions that can reliably interrupt the transmission cycle and achieve elimination of malaria. A potent and long-lasting vaccine is the most promising strategy to achieve this ambitious goal; however, it has proved difficult to develop [3].

Malaria parasites are unicellular eukaryotes with a complex life cycle characterized by developmentally distinct phases of rapid and massive asexual replication in the vertebrate host and the *Anopheles* vector, linked by short but pronounced population bottlenecks during host transitions. One such bottleneck occurs during transmission, when female *Anopheles* mosquitoes inoculate tens to hundreds of motile sporozoites into the human host. Following active penetration into the blood circulation, sporozoites are passively carried to the liver where they rapidly invade hepatocytes. Within a week, a single, clinically silent round of replication from a single *P. falciparum* sporozoite will give rise to 1×10^3 to 5×10^4 invasive forms of the parasite, termed merozoites, which initiate the pathogenic intraerythrocytic replication cycle and can result in up to 10^{13} parasites at the height of an infection. The small number of naturally transmitted sporozoites in an injected inoculum may explain the apparent lack of acquired pre-erythrocytic immunity even in individuals with substantial anti-blood stage immunity (as evidenced by recurrent asymptomatic and low-density blood stage re-infections) [4,5].

Experimental malaria vaccine approaches using live sporozoites and different strategies to arrest the infection before, during or shortly after liver stage development induce immunity targeting this clinically silent phase of the *Plasmodium* life cycle [6]. The concept is simple: deliver attenuated parasites to induce anti-sporozoite and anti-liver stage immune responses capable of reliably preventing progression of a subsequent infection beyond the liver stage and thus completely averting a pathogenic blood stage infection. Instead of trying to mimic 'natural' immunity against malaria parasites as induced in residents of endemic areas, whole sporozoite vaccination aims for 'unnatural' immunity by induction of effective, sterilizing immune responses [5,7,8]. While antibodies are important to target the extracellular sporozoites, cellular responses are likely to be crucial for elimination of intracellular liver stages. During differentiation and replication of liver stages, antigen expression changes significantly [9]. In brief, upon invasion of hepatocytes sporozoites transform inside a parasite induced cellular compartment (parasitophorous vacuole). Parasites then start a process of massive replication, termed schizogony, at the end of which, thousands of daughter cells are formed. These cells are differentiated into invasive blood stage parasites (merozoites) that are released in 'batches' into the blood stream within membranous remnants of their host cells (merosomes). The transformation from sporozoites to merozoites is driven by the expression of a discrete set of genes, including potential antigens. Whole sporozoite immunization therefore exposes the immune system to a wide array of parasite antigens as opposed to a single or limited number of antigens used in subunit vaccine approaches. This is an advantage because it potentially induces more potent, heterogeneous and broader immune responses that can lead to stage- and strain-transcendent immunity [10-13].

There are four approaches to whole organism immunization: radiation-attenuated sporozoites (RAS), chemoprophylaxis and sporozoites (CPS), genetically attenuated parasites (GAP) and chemically attenuated parasites. In this review we will discuss novel insights and ideas principally on CPS and GAP, whereas RAS is discussed in more detail elsewhere in this special issue.

2 Chemoprophylaxis and sporozoites (CPS)

Administration of sporozoites under chemoprophylaxis as an immunization method was first explored in rodent malaria models in the late 1970s-early 1980s using infection under chloroquine (CQ) prophylaxis [14–16]. The rationale is that administration of antimalarials with sporozoites allows parasite infection of the liver but prevents the erythrocytic phase where clinical disease occurs. In the case of CPS with CQ, which selectively kills asexual blood stage parasites but not sporozoites and liver stage parasites, the parasite completes liver stage development and releases infectious merozoites, which initiate the first wave of blood stages before being eliminated by the drug—exposing the immune system to multiple parasite life stages, including those parasites that either failed to enter or complete liver stage development. Though successful in animal models, the efficacy of CPS in humans was not investigated in clinical trials until 2009 when 10 volunteers were immunized 3 times by the bite of 12–15 *P. falciparum*-infected mosquitoes while receiving CO prophylaxis [17]. This regimen resulted in sterile protection in all 10 volunteers against a controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) by mosquito bites, eight weeks after the final immunization [17]. Further, 4/6 of these 'protected' volunteers re-challenged at 28 months post-immunization remained fully protected—indicating a robust and prolonged immune response [18]. These results have recently been confirmed in a follow-up dose de-escalation study, showing that complete protection is dose-dependent (number of bites) and have been replicated using mefloquine (MQ), a drug with similar structure and activity to CQ, with equivalent results [19,20]. Although subjects are exposed transiently to low levels of blood stage parasitemia during CPS-CQ immunization, protection in humans appears to be heavily based on preerythrocytic immunity [6]. While data from animal models suggest CPS is capable of eliciting multi-stage immunity, the inability to monitor a continued parasitemia in human volunteers makes it difficult to examine the full extent of immunity against blood stage parasites [13,21–24].

Despite being logistically challenging to deliver, the dose of parasites required to generate immunity similar to RAS is considerably (orders of magnitudes) lower as just 3×10^{-15} mosquito bites are required for >80% protection [20] compared to the more than 1000 bites required of RAS-infected mosquitoes [25]. These encouraging and consistent results have established CPS as the current benchmark for malaria vaccine development, and have initiated a large effort to delineate immune mechanisms of protection, to generate a first-generation candidate whole-sporozoite vaccine and to optimize the CPS immunization regimen.

2.1 Drugs available for CPS

Using drugs targeting blood stages for CPS immunization has the advantage that full liver stage development can be achieved (as discussed in more detail later). There are many antimalarial drugs available that are candidates for CPS. They vary in efficacy, safety and mechanisms of action but only a handful have been evaluated in animal or clinical studies for use in CPS.

Drugs that suppress blood stage growth can block infections during the first round of replication inside red blood cells. The exact mechanism of one such drug, CQ, remains under debate but most likely acts by disrupting detoxification of heme, a harmful by-product of hemoglobin digestion during the trophozoite phase of blood stage infection [26]. Mefloquine (MQ) is similar to chloroquine in that it is a quinine derivative and also kills trophozoites. In order to block blood stage infection altogether, registered drugs or drug combinations known to act on replicating *Plasmodium* liver stages such as primaquine, sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine, atovaquone–proguanil, doxycycline, azithromycin and clindamycin (with the exception of primaquine, all are also potent inhibitors of asexual blood stages) could be used [27]. Primaquine, pyrimethamine and atovaquone lead to early developmental arrest, whereas the antibiotics azithromycin, clindamycin and presumably, doxycycline allow for an unconstrained production of merozoites that subsequently fail to complete erythrocytic development, due to loss of apicoplast function [28].

Thus the quiver of antimalarials available for potential use in CPS is well stocked. Although only CQ and MQ have been demonstrated effective in humans, alternative protocols have been demonstrated feasible in murine models using primaquine, pyrimethamine, artesunate, azithromycin, clindamycin and piperaquine [24,29–32]. MQ and CQ were shown to be equally effective in humans [19], and rodent studies indicate that while CPS with CQ is more potent than primaquine, antibiotics such as azithromycin induce superior immunity [31]. These findings support the hypothesis that full liver stage development, but not necessarily exposure to blood stages, is advantageous [33]. These studies not only provide greater options for clinical development, but may prove to be essential in understanding the immune mechanisms involved in CPS. Overall, the use of registered drugs with proven chemoprophylactic efficacy for CPS provides a unique platform for rapidly testing experimental whole-parasite vaccine approaches. However, in order to advance CPS from an approach to study anti-malarial immunity into a clinical intervention, further work will be required (Table 1).

3 Genetically attenuated parasites (GAPs)

Parasites can be attenuated by deletion of genes that are necessary at different phases of liver stage developmental progression. This attenuation strategy was made possible by the development of gene deletion methodologies in *Plasmodium* [34,35], delineation of the full *Plasmodium* genome sequence of multiple species and through transcriptional profiling. The latter identified genes that are differentially up-regulated in sporozoites and liver stages, and therefore are most likely to be essential for parasite development through the liver [9,36,37] without having a role in blood stage replication.

Genetically attenuated parasites (GAP) have demonstrated substantial to complete attenuation and sustained protective immunity in rodent malaria studies [38,39]. The first clinical study with a *P. falciparum* GAP showed considerable but incomplete attenuation [40], indicating that complete attenuation of the parasite in humans remains challenging. While GAP also face the hurdles concerning production, storage, transport and administration of other candidate live malaria vaccine approaches such as RAS and CPS, they potentially offer several benefits.

GAP are parasites with well-characterized genetic changes, while in RAS the effect of radiation acts upon the parasites' DNA inducing non-specific damage that completely prevents parasite replication. Knowing the exact genotype allows precise characterization of the product, and provides manufacturing and regulatory advantages. Moreover, the timing of parasite arrest can be controlled in GAP, whereas RAS do not develop beyond the stage where DNA-replication is required. Consequently, GAPs that develop further into liver stage development may generate more potent immune responses. Indeed studies in rodent models with attenuated parasites have shown that immunization with greater numbers of parasites or with parasites that progress further into infection can provide superior immunity than low dose and/or early arresting parasites [11,33,41]. It has been proposed that late liver-arresting parasites have the ability to generate a greater quantity and breadth of antigen exposure that result in stronger and more robust immune responses capable of protecting against both sporozoite and blood stage challenges in murine models [11]. Equivalent immune responses are also achieved by CPS, but GAP have the advantage that they do not require the coadministration of drugs. Another advantage occurs during the manufacturing process: while RAS are fully infectious and pathogenic prior to irradiation, GAP are safe and cannot induce blood stage infection in the production staff. Finally, GAP may offer more flexibility in terms of engineering additional changes into the parasite that may increase their potency. Although this could also be done with RAS, RAS stop early into hepatic development potentially limiting expression of the introduced genes. Another advantage of GAPs is their precision of attenuation; for RAS, infected mosquitoes must be irradiated with a dose strong enough to ensure complete attenuation that is sufficient to ensure no sporozoites progress through to blood stage development. Too strong a dose of irradiation will kill sporozoites or render them non-invasive, this in turn would greatly reduce their ability induce sterile immunity, as they are ineffective at generating robust T cell-mediated immunity, which is believed to necessary for sterile immunity [31,42]. However, protocols for irradiation to produce RAS are well established and in numerous clinical trials no breakthrough blood infections have been reported.

3.1 Selecting gene candidates for deletion

Two features are critical to the development of a candidate malaria vaccine consisting of attenuated parasites: first and most importantly it must be safe (*i.e.* complete attenuation in the liver) and next it needs to be potent (*i.e.* generation of strong protective immunity). Therefore the foremost challenge is the selection of genes for deletion so that they create GAPs that are completely blocked in development in the liver.

To be considered a gene candidate for deletion, the gene must fulfill several criteria: The encoded protein [1] cannot be essential for blood stage replication (otherwise it cannot be deleted by current transgenic methods) [2]; it cannot have an essential function in sexual and/or mosquito stage development (otherwise sporozoites will not be produced); and [3] it must be essential for liver stage development (otherwise the GAP may progress to blood stage infection), preferably during late stages when additional target antigens are expressed and presented at high concentration.

Plasmodium genes that govern sporozoite and/or liver stage specific functions were first identified by characterizing mRNA transcripts that are differentially expressed in salivary gland sporozoites [36,37,43]. Deletion of some of these gene candidates (*i.e.* UIS3 and UIS4) from the genomes of rodent malaria parasites resulted in the creation of the first GAPs [44,45]. Moreover, immunization with sporozoites lacking expression of these proteins generated sterile protective immunity against infectious sporozoite challenge in mice. Independently, rodent studies investigating proteins of the Plasmodium 6-Cys protein family revealed that one member of this family, P52, was vital for parasite development in the liver and immunization of mice with sporozoites lacking P52 could also induce protective immunity [46]. Mutants lacking both the 6-Cys protein P52 either by itself or in combination with a deletion of the gene encoding the closely related protein, P36, were among the first GAPs to be created in *P. falciparum* [47-49]. The first phase I safety clinical trial with the p52⁻/p36⁻/P. falciparum GAP was conducted in 2008 where volunteers were exposed to 5 and then 200 bites from mosquitoes infected with this "double knockout" parasite. Attenuation appeared complete after exposure to 5 bites but following 200 bites, 1/6 volunteers became blood stage positive-indicating substantial but incomplete attenuation [40]. Recently, a newly identified member of the 6-Cys protein family, B9, has also been shown to be critical to parasite development in the liver and mutants lacking this protein can provoke strong protective immunity in rodent models [50,51].

After the initial identification of the first rodent malaria parasite GAP, a number of additional gene deletion mutants have been identified that are completely or partially attenuated and induce protective immunity in mice [39,52]. However, of all the GAP identified in rodent malaria parasites that could be advanced as a potential *P. falciparum* GAP, only mutants lacking the gene *sap1* (alternatively known as *slarp*), which encodes a protein involved in the regulation of transcripts in sporozoites, have been shown to fully attenuate liver stage development, even at very high sporozoite doses (up to 5×10^6 inoculated intravenously) [53–55]. All other GAPs carry the risk of breakthrough blood infections dependent on sporozoite dose and mouse strain [39].

Consequently, GAP that are most advanced and currently ready for clinical evaluation consists of mutants where *slarp/sap1* has been removed in addition to a deletion of one or more of the 6-Cys genes from the *P. falciparum* genome. Specifically, the *P. falciparum* GAPs ' $p52^{-}/p36^{-}/sap1^{-}$ ' and ' *b9 slarp*' have both been examined in blood stage culture, in mosquitoes, in cultured human hepatocytes and in mice engrafted with human liver tissue and no evidence for full maturation in the liver was found [50,56]. These findings provide sufficient rationale for clinical testing to evaluate their safety and protective efficacy in humans.

The current *P. falciparum* GAPs are expected to arrest early in hepatic development, soon after invasion of hepatocytes by sporozoites. However, it has been demonstrated that immunization of mice with late liver stage-arresting GAP, lacking expression of a protein involved in fatty acid synthesis, FabB/F, elicits broader and more potent CD8T cell responses when compared to irradiated (early arresting) sporozoites [11]. This elicits superior long-lasting sterile protection against a malaria infection by sporozoite challenge and also generates stage-transcending protection against a direct blood stage challenge mediated by both T cells and antibodies [11,12]. These parasites are believed to present a greater diversity and load of parasite antigens to the host immune system. However, *P. falciparum* parasites lacking FabB/F expression fail to produce sporozoites, and thus are currently not useful for human immunization [57].

3.2 Genetic attenuation techniques

The permanent removal of genes from the *P. falciparum* genome is a complicated process that has required the targeted integration of plasmid constructs into the parasite genome by double crossover homologous recombination. In order to create P. falciparum GAPs for human use it is essential that any gene deletion is permanent and that reversion to the wild type genotype (and therefore phenotype) does not occur. Moreover GAPs must also be free of the drug-resistance markers that were used to select the deletion mutants, in order to meet regulatory concerns governing the use of genetically attenuated organisms as vaccines [50]. The removal of the drug-resistance markers has been achieved by adapting Cre and FLP recombinase methodologies, which allow for complete excision of the marker genes from the gene deletion mutant parasites [58,59]. These methods were developed in large part to facilitate the production of a multi-gene deletion candidate GAP for human use since removal of the selectable marker also makes it simpler to sequentially delete genes that govern independent biological processes. This is performed to ensure the GAP is completely (and multiply) attenuated and is thus safe for use in humans. The current ' $p52^{-}/p36^{-}/sap1^{-}$ and ' b9 slarp' P. falciparum GAP strains fulfill all these requirements [50,56] and are currently being advanced into clinical testing.

3.3 Novel strategies for the development of next generation GAP

The creation of a safe and protective GAP with multiple genes removed from the parasite genome could be considered a 'first generation' GAP. A 'second generation GAP' may include improvements to increase its immunogenicity, for example, one that arrests the parasite late into hepatic development and therefore induces stronger and broader protective immune responses as shown in rodent malaria models [11]. Another possibility would be to modify the parasite in such a way that its growth in culture is dependent upon a specific compound (*i.e.* an auxotroph), but importantly it is unable to develop *in vivo* in the absence of the compound. An example of such a strategy has been described where a mutant parasite has been generated that lacks a functional apicoplast and requires the addition of exogenous isopentenyl pyrophosphate for its survival in culture [60].

Moreover, existing GAPs can be further engineered, as genetic modification also allows for the insertion of genes into the genome of attenuated parasites that have been modified to be more potent. Increasing GAP potency must be directed towards generating protective

immune responses with the lowest number of parasites/dose and the fewest number of doses to generate sterile protection. Genes encoding molecules that could enhance *P. falciparum* GAP potency could include (i) blood or transmission/mosquito stage *Plasmodium* antigens under the control of liver stage specific promoters to provide multi-stage immunity against malaria; (ii) genes that express proteins that could serve as adjuvants to enhance (CD8+) specific immune responses against liver stages; and (iii) genes encoding infection-limiting proteins (such as toxins) such that the GAP completely arrests late into liver stage development [61].

4 Chemically attenuated parasites

In addition to radiation and genetically attenuated parasites for vaccination, sporozoites that have been incubated with centanamycin, a DNA-binding drug, also induce complete liver-stage arrest in both *Plasmodium berghei* and *Plasmodium yoelii* rodent models [62,63]. Immunization with these sporozoites induces IFN γ producing CD8T cells and sporozoite specific antibodies; protection against both homologous and heterologous parasite challenge was shown to be similar to RAS [62,63]. The potential toxicity of residual centanamycin in humans is a concern, however it has been suggested that the free drug can be washed from the parasites before vaccine delivery and the drug that is present in treated sporozoites is covalently bound to parasite DNA and thus should not be available to modify host DNA [62]. This strategy is currently being evaluated for immunization with blood-stage parasites in humans (http://www.anzctr.org.au/ACTRN12614000228684.aspx), but no results have been reported so far.

5 Immune responses induced by whole sporozoite vaccination

Whole sporozoite vaccines face difficult hurdles in manufacturing, formulation and administration. However, they are far more effective than any alternative method investigated to date. Thus, there has been great interest in elucidating the immunological mechanisms underpinning sterilizing protection. This information can then potentially be used in the development and improvement of subunit vaccine strategies. Both cellular and humoral responses appear to play a role in protection induced by live sporozoite based immunization, although the relative contribution of each remains unclear.

Early rodent studies using RAS demonstrated an essential and predominant role for CD8T cells with a contributing but dispensable role for antibodies [64,65]. However, recent mechanistic investigations into protection by all three whole sporozoite immunization methods have demonstrated a diverse and robust immune response that encompasses both CD8 and CD4T cells as well as a significant contribution from antibodies (reviewed in [41]). Nonetheless, CD8T cells are recognized as the main effector cell in eliciting protection after whole sporozoite immunization. Cytotoxic T cells specific for the major sporozoite surface protein CSP have been shown to locate and eliminate infected hepatocytes in rodent malaria models [66]. Although the exact mechanism(s) by which CD8T cells carry out this killing is unknown, granzyme B, perforin and/or IFN γ appear to be required [41,67,68]. A recent analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from CPS-immunized volunteers in a clinical trial designed to elicit partial protection also implicated a strong role for

cytotoxic T cells [20]. In this study, protection was correlated with CD107a expression (a marker of cytotoxic cell degranulation) on CD4 cells and granzyme B expression by CD8T cells [20]. No correlation with IFN γ expression was identified. However, this may be due to the fact that PBMCs may not mirror the makeup of liver-resident effector cells [69], which are essential for prolonged protection [70]. Analysis of PBMCs from volunteers immunized with a first generation GAP also demonstrated induction of anti-sporozoite T cell responses, associated with IFN γ -production [40]. In agreement with this clinical data, interrogation of the T cell responses in animals immunized by both GAP and CPS also indicates a critical role for CD8T cells and IFN γ for protection [11,66,71–73].

Antibodies have historically been considered dispensable for protection elicited by whole sporozoite immunization, based on studies principally performed in animal models [65,73– 76]. However, these studies utilized sporozoite challenge by intravenous injection, which bypasses sporozoite passage through the dermis and minimizes the effect of antibodies on infection rate [77,78]. In a dose-escalation clinical trial with iv-administered RAS, protection in individuals correlated with the ability of serum to inhibit sporozoite invasion in vitro [79]. Immunization of volunteers by either CQ or MQ CPS induces antibodies that recognize both pre-erythrocytic and erythrocytic antigens but are skewed in specificity towards pre-erythrocytic antigens [19,80]. These antibodies are also highly functional as they are capable of inhibiting sporozoite infection of hepatocytes both in vitro and in humanized liver-chimeric mice against *P. falciparum* challenged via mosquito bite [81]. However, in the most recent partial-protection clinical trial of CQ-CPS, protection against mosquito bite challenge was in fact negatively correlated with anti-CSP antibody titers [82]. Although limited, data from the initial GAP clinical trial suggests that this immunization strategy is also able to elicit high titers of anti-parasite antibodies that are capable of robust inhibition of sporozoite invasion in vitro [83]. Indeed, recent work investigating the antibody responses to immunization of mice with a late-arresting GAP indicate that these antibodies are capable of sterile protection against a mosquito bite challenge even in the absence of T cells [84]. These antibodies also cross-react with erythrocytic stages and are capable of mediating stage-transcending protection against a blood stage challenge [24] (unpublished data, Stefan Kappe). In conclusion, the role of antibodies in whole sporozoite-induced protection is complex and seems to depend on the experimental system. Analysis of several ongoing trials may shed some more light on their protective role in humans.

6 Translating experimental whole sporozoite immunization approaches to vaccines

The translation of results derived from pre-clinical and clinical whole sporozoite immunization studies into a vaccine, faces considerable regulatory, manufacturing and technical challenges. Infected mosquito bite immunization is a powerful and versatile experimental tool for first-in-man studies but cannot be used for immunizing large numbers of individuals or whole populations. Therefore, future CPS or GAP vaccination will need to be performed by needle and syringe. Recently, GMP-compliant methods that meet all regulatory standards to generate and store sterile, cryopreserved and infectious *P. falciparum* sporozoites ready for use in humans have been established by Sanaria Inc., a US-based

company [85]. Studies in humans, using purified and cryopreserved RAS delivered into the skin and subcutaneously by needle induced suboptimal immune responses and failed to protect volunteers against infectious malaria challenge [69]. In contrast, direct intravenous inoculation provided complete protective immunity after 5 rounds of immunization with 1.35×10^5 RAS [79]. Currently, several trials are ongoing in the US, Europe and Africa to assess the effect of different immunization schedules and doses on protective efficacy (against homologous and heterologous CHMI as well as naturally acquired infection) as well as safety, tolerability and practicability of intravenous injection of sporozoites for CPS and immunization with RAS (please see the article on GMP-compliant whole sporozoite vaccines in this special issue for more details [Richie et al.])". Optimizing the methods of sporozoite manufacturing, cryopreservation, formulation and administration is needed for whole sporozoite vaccine approaches, and requires attention by researchers and funding agencies. One possible way to improve effective immunization would be the coadministration of molecules that facilitate parasite entry into the blood or the use of adjuvants that enhance immunogenicity. For example, a-galactosylceramide, a natural killer T cell ligand, was shown to increase protective efficacy of RAS in a rodent model [86].

Another potentially transformative development is the development of an *in vitro* method of cultivating *P. falciparum* sporozoites. This would remove both the requirement for, and hand-dissection of mosquitoes for sporozoite harvest and could greatly ease the scale-up of sporozoite production—considerably reducing the cost of sporozoite-based vaccines.

7 Conclusions and future perspectives

The availability of GMP-grade, cryopreserved *P. falciparum* sporozoites for use in humans has ignited a series of studies that promise to move protocols quickly from phase I to phase II trials in both naïve and semi-immune volunteers and hence, closer to a potential *first-generation* product. Key milestones for future CPS and GAP studies will be (i) demonstration of heterologous protection, (ii) development of practicable regimens with injectable sporozoites and (iii) safety with regard to 100% prevention of potentially life-threatening breakthrough infections. For CPS the design of a drug regimen that supports single dose, simultaneous administration of both parasite inoculum and drug is also paramount. For GAP vaccines, the identification of *Plasmodium* genes that are critical to mid-late liver stage development and hence, the creation of late arresting *P. falciparum* GAP that are significantly more potent than early arresting GAPs would be a major improvement [9,52,87–89]. Improving the route of live-sporozoite vaccine administration is necessary for both CPS and GAP vaccines.

To meet the challenges of mass vaccination in countries where malaria is endemic it is necessary that any malaria-vaccine, in particular a live-attenuated vaccine, is safe, affordable, convenient (minimizing the number of immunizations) and it needs to generate long-lasting strain-transcending protective immunity [3,90]. While the logistical hurdles involved in delivering a live sporozoite vaccine are considerable, experimental whole sporozoite immunization regimens remain by far the most potent malaria vaccine modalities to date. Thus, in parallel with efforts to pursue licensure and delivery, careful investigation

of the immune mechanisms from which they derive their potency is critical as it will direct the rational design of the next generation malaria vaccines.

References

- Langhorne J, Ndungu FM, Sponaas AM, Marsh K. Immunity to malaria: more questions than answers. Nat Immunol. 2008; 9(7):725–32. [PubMed: 18563083]
- [2]. WHO. World malaria report. 2014.
- [3]. The malERA Consultative Group on Vaccines. A research agenda for malaria eradication: vaccines. PLoS Med. 2011; 8(1):e1000398. [PubMed: 21311586]
- [4]. Hellriegel B. Immunoepidemiology—bridging the gap between immunology and epidemiology. Trends Parasitol. 2001; 17(2):102–6. [PubMed: 11227997]
- [5]. Tran TM, Li S, Doumbo S, Doumtabe D, Huang CY, Dia S, et al. An intensive longitudinal cohort study of Malian children and adults reveals no evidence of acquired immunity to *Plasmodium falciparum* infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57(1):40–7. [PubMed: 23487390]
- [6]. Bijker EM, Bastiaens GJ, Teirlinck AC, van Gemert GJ, Graumans W, van de Vegte-Bolmer M, et al. Protection against malaria after immunization by chloroquine prophylaxis and sporozoites is mediated by preerythrocytic immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110(19):7862–7. [PubMed: 23599283]
- [7]. Good MF, Doolan DL. Malaria vaccine design: immunological considerations. Immunity. 2010; 33(4):555–66. [PubMed: 21029965]
- [8]. Doolan DL, Dobano C, Baird JK. Acquired immunity to malaria. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009; 22(1): 13–36. [PubMed: 19136431]
- [9]. Tarun AS, Peng X, Dumpit RF, Ogata Y, Silva-Rivera H, Camargo N, et al. A combined transcriptome and proteome survey of malaria parasite liver stages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105(1):305–10. [PubMed: 18172196]
- [10]. Nahrendorf W, Spence PJ, Tumwine I, Levy P, Jarra W, Sauerwein RW, et al. Blood-stage immunity to *Plasmodium chabaudi* malaria following chemoprophylaxis and sporozoite immunization. Elife. 2015; 25:4.doi: 10.7554/eLife.05165
- [11]. Butler NS, Schmidt NW, Vaughan AM, Aly AS, Kappe SH, Harty JT. Superior antimalarial immunity after vaccination with late liver stage-arresting genetically attenuated parasites. Cell Host Microbe. 2011; 9(6):451–62. [PubMed: 21669394]
- [12]. Sack BK, Keitany GJ, Vaughan AM, Miller JL, Wang R, Kappe SH. Mechanisms of stagetranscending protection following immunization of mice with late liver stage-arresting genetically attenuated malaria parasites. PLoS Pathog. 2015; 11(5):e1004855. [PubMed: 25974076]
- [13]. Doll KL, Butler NS, Harty JT. CD8T cell independent immunity after single dose infectiontreatment-vaccination (ITV) against *Plasmodium yoelii*. Vaccine. 2014; 32(4):483–91. [PubMed: 24321740]
- [14]. Beaudoin RL, Strome CP, Mitchell F, Tubergen TA. *Plasmodium berghei*: immunization of mice against the ANKA strain using the unaltered sporozoite as an antigen. Exp Parasitol. 1977; 42(1): 1–5. [PubMed: 324783]
- [15]. Golenser J, Heeren J, Verhave JP, Kaay HJ, Meuwissen JH. Crossreactivity with sporozoites, exoerythrocytic forms and blood schizonts of *Plasmodium berghei* in indirect fluorescent antibody tests with sera of rats immunized with sporozoites or infected blood. Clin Exp Immunol. 1977; 29(1):43–51. [PubMed: 330066]
- [16]. Orjih AU, Cochrane AH, Nussenzweig RS. Comparative studies on the immunogenicity of infective and attenuated sporozoites of *Plasmodium berghei*. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1982; 76(1):57–61. [PubMed: 7043807]
- [17]. Roestenberg M, McCall M, Hopman J, Wiersma J, Luty AJ, van Gemert GJ, et al. Protection against a malaria challenge by sporozoite inoculation. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(5):468–77. [PubMed: 19641203]

- [18]. Roestenberg M, Teirlinck AC, McCall MB, Teelen K, Makamdop KN, Wiersma J, et al. Longterm protection against malaria after experimental sporozoite inoculation: an open-label followup study. Lancet. 2011; 377(9779):1770–6. [PubMed: 21514658]
- [19]. Bijker EM, Schats R, Obiero JM, Behet MC, van Gemert GJ, van de Vegte-Bolmer M, et al. Sporozoite immunization of human volunteers under mefloquine prophylaxis is safe, immunogenic and protective: a double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(11):e112910. [PubMed: 25396417]
- [20]. Bijker EM, Teirlinck AC, Schats R, van Gemert GJ, van de Vegte-Bolmer M, van Lieshout L, et al. Cytotoxic markers associate with protection against malaria in human volunteers immunized with *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoites. J Infect Dis. 2014; 210(10):1605–15. [PubMed: 24872326]
- [21]. Belnoue E, Costa FT, Frankenberg T, Vigario AM, Voza T, Leroy N, et al. Protective T cell immunity against malaria liver stage after vaccination with live sporozoites under chloroquine treatment. J Immunol. 2004; 172(4):2487–95. [PubMed: 14764721]
- [22]. Culleton RL, Inoue M, Reece SE, Cheesman S, Carter R. Strain-specific immunity induced by immunization with pre-erythrocytic stages of *Plasmodium chabaudi*. Parasite Immunol. 2011; 33(1):73–8. [PubMed: 21189655]
- [23]. Inoue M, Tang J, Miyakoda M, Kaneko O, Yui K, Culleton R. The species specificity of immunity generated by live whole organism immunisation with erythrocytic and pre-erythrocytic stages of rodent malaria parasites and implications for vaccine development. Int J Parasitol. 2012; 42(9):859–70. [PubMed: 22846785]
- [24]. Peng X, Keitany GJ, Vignali M, Chen L, Gibson C, Choi K, et al. Artesunate versus chloroquine infection-treatment-vaccination defines stage-specific immune responses associated with prolonged sterile protection against both pre-erythrocytic and erythrocytic *Plasmodium yoelii* infection. J Immunol. 2014; 193(3):1268–77. [PubMed: 24958899]
- [25]. Hoffman SL, Goh LM, Luke TC, Schneider I, Le TP, Doolan DL, et al. Protection of humans against malaria by immunization with radiation-attenuated *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoites. J Infect Dis. 2002; 185(8):1155–64. [PubMed: 11930326]
- [26]. Slater AF. Chloroquine: mechanism of drug action and resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. Pharmacol Ther. 1993; 57(2–3):203–35. [PubMed: 8361993]
- [27]. Mazier D, Renia L, Snounou G. A pre-emptive strike against malaria's stealthy hepatic forms. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2009; 8(11):854–64. [PubMed: 19876040]
- [28]. Dahl EL, Rosenthal PJ. Multiple antibiotics exert delayed effects against the *Plasmodium falciparum* apicoplast. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007; 51(10):3485–90. [PubMed: 17698630]
- [29]. Friesen J, Silvie O, Putrianti ED, Hafalla JC, Matuschewski K, Borrmann S. Natural immunization against malaria: causal prophylaxis with antibiotics. Sci Transl Med. 2010; 2(40): 40.
- [30]. Friesen J, Borrmann S, Matuschewski K. Induction of antimalaria immunity by pyrimethamine prophylaxis during exposure to sporozoites is curtailed by parasite resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011; 55(6):2760–7. [PubMed: 21444698]
- [31]. Friesen J, Matuschewski K. Comparative efficacy of pre-erythrocytic whole organism vaccine strategies against the malaria parasite. Vaccine. 2011; 29(40):7002–8. [PubMed: 21787828]
- [32]. Pfeil J, Sepp KJ, Heiss K, Meister M, Mueller AK, Borrmann S. Protection against malaria by immunization with non-attenuated sporozoites under single-dose piperaquine-tetraphosphate chemoprophylaxis. Vaccine. 2014; 32(45):6005–11. [PubMed: 25203450]
- [33]. Nganou-Makamdop K, Sauerwein RW. Liver or blood-stage arrest during malaria sporozoite immunization: the later the better? Trends Parasitol. 2013; 29(6):304–10. [PubMed: 23608185]
- [34]. van Dijk MR, Waters AP, Janse CJ. Stable transfection of malaria parasite blood stages. Science. 1995; 268(5215):1358–62. [PubMed: 7761856]
- [35]. Menard R, Janse C. Gene targeting in malaria parasites. Methods. 1997; 13(2):148–57. [PubMed: 9405198]

- [36]. Matuschewski K, Ross J, Brown SM, Kaiser K, Nussenzweig V, Kappe SH. Infectivityassociated changes in the transcriptional repertoire of the malaria parasite sporozoite stage. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(44):41948–53. [PubMed: 12177071]
- [37]. Kaiser K, Matuschewski K, Camargo N, Ross J, Kappe SH. Differential transcriptome profiling identifies *Plasmodium* genes encoding pre-erythrocytic stage-specific proteins. Mol Microbiol. 2004; 51(5):1221–32. [PubMed: 14982620]
- [38]. Vaughan AM, Wang R, Kappe SH. Genetically engineered, attenuated whole-cell vaccine approaches for malaria. Hum Vaccin. 2010; 6(1):107–13. [PubMed: 19838068]
- [39]. Khan SM, Janse CJ, Kappe SH, Mikolajczak SA. Genetic engineering of attenuated malaria parasites for vaccination. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2012; 23(6):908–16. [PubMed: 22560204]
- [40]. Spring M, Murphy J, Nielsen R, Dowler M, Bennett JW, Zarling S, et al. First-in-human evaluation of genetically attenuated *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoites administered by bite of Anopheles mosquitoes to adult volunteers. Vaccine. 2013; 31(43):4975–83. [PubMed: 24029408]
- [41]. Doll KL, Harty JT. Correlates of protective immunity following whole sporozoite vaccination against malaria. Immunol Res. 2014; 59(1–3):166–76. [PubMed: 24825778]
- [42]. Nganou-Makamdop K, Ploemen I, Behet M, Van Gemert GJ, Hermsen C, Roestenberg M, et al. Reduced *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoite liver load associates with low protective efficacy after intradermal immunization. Parasite Immunol. 2012; 34(12):562–9. [PubMed: 23171040]
- [43]. Kappe SH, Gardner MJ, Brown SM, Ross J, Matuschewski K, Ribeiro JM, et al. Exploring the transcriptome of the malaria sporozoite stage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98(17):9895–900. [PubMed: 11493695]
- [44]. Mueller AK, Camargo N, Kaiser K, Andorfer C, Frevert U, Matuschewski K, et al. Plasmodium liver stage developmental arrest by depletion of a protein at the parasite-host interface. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102(8):3022–7. [PubMed: 15699336]
- [45]. Mueller AK, Labaied M, Kappe SH, Matuschewski K. Genetically modified *Plasmodium* parasites as a protective experimental malaria vaccine. Nature. 2005; 433(7022):164–7. [PubMed: 15580261]
- [46]. van Dijk MR, Douradinha B, Franke-Fayard B, Heussler V, van Dooren MW, van Schaijk B, et al. Genetically attenuated P36 p-deficient malarial sporozoites induce protective immunity and apoptosis of infected liver cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102(34):12194–9. [PubMed: 16103357]
- [47]. van Schaijk BC, Janse CJ, van Gemert GJ, van Dijk MR, Gego A, Franetich JF, et al. Gene disruption of *Plasmodium falciparum* p52 results in attenuation of malaria liver stage development in cultured primary human hepatocytes. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3(10):e3549. [PubMed: 18958160]
- [48]. Annoura T, Ploemen IH, van Schaijk BC, Sajid M, Vos MW, van Gemert GJ, et al. Assessing the adequacy of attenuation of genetically modified malaria parasite vaccine candidates. Vaccine. 2012; 30(16):2662–70. [PubMed: 22342550]
- [49]. VanBuskirk KM, O'Neill MT, De La Vega P, Maier AG, Krzych U, Williams J, et al. Preerythrocytic, live-attenuated *Plasmodium falciparum* vaccine candidates by design. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106(31):13004–9. [PubMed: 19625622]
- [50]. van Schaijk BC, Ploemen IH, Annoura T, Vos MW, Lander F, van Gemert G-J, et al. A genetically attenuated malaria vaccine candidate based on *P. falciparum* b9/slarp gene-deficient sporozoites. Elife. 2014; 19:3.doi: 10.7554/eLife.03582
- [51]. Annoura T, van Schaijk BC, Ploemen IH, Sajid M, Lin JW, Vos MW, et al. Two *Plasmodium* 6-Cys family-related proteins have distinct and critical roles in liver-stage development. FASEB J. 2014; 28(5):2158–70. [PubMed: 24509910]
- [52]. Lasonder E, Janse CJ, van Gemert GJ, Mair GR, Vermunt AM, Douradinha BG, et al. Proteomic profiling of *Plasmodium* sporozoite maturation identifies new proteins essential for parasite development and infectivity. PLoS Pathog. 2008; 4(10):e1000195. [PubMed: 18974882]
- [53]. Aly AS, Mikolajczak SA, Rivera HS, Camargo N, Jacobs-Lorena V, Labaied M, et al. Targeted deletion of SAP1 abolishes the expression of infectivity factors necessary for successful malaria parasite liver infection. Mol Microbiol. 2008; 69(1):152–63. [PubMed: 18466298]

- [54]. Silvie O, Goetz K, Matuschewski K. A sporozoite asparagine-rich protein controls initiation of *Plasmodium* liver stage development. PLoS Pathog. 2008; 4(6):e1000086. [PubMed: 18551171]
- [55]. Aly AS, Lindner SE, MacKellar DC, Peng X, Kappe SH. SAP1 is a critical post-transcriptional regulator of infectivity in malaria parasite sporozoite stages. Mol Microbiol. 2011; 79(4):929–39. [PubMed: 21299648]
- [56]. Mikolajczak SA, Lakshmanan V, Fishbaugher M, Camargo N, Harupa A, Kaushansky A, et al. A next-generation genetically attenuated *Plasmodium falciparum* parasite created by triple gene deletion. Mol Ther. 2014; 22(9):1707–15. [PubMed: 24827907]
- [57]. van Schaijk BC, Kumar TR, Vos MW, Richman A, van Gemert GJ, Li T, et al. Type II fatty acid biosynthesis is essential for *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoite development in the midgut of Anopheles mosquitoes. Eukaryot Cell. 2014; 13(5):550–9. [PubMed: 24297444]
- [58]. van Schaijk BC, Vos MW, Janse CJ, Sauerwein RW, Khan SM. Removal of heterologous sequences from *Plasmodium falciparum* mutants using FLPe-recombinase. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(11):e15121. [PubMed: 21152048]
- [59]. O'Neill MT, Phuong T, Healer J, Richard D, Cowman AF. Gene deletion from *Plasmodium falciparum* using FLP and Cre recombinases: implications for applied site-specific recombination. Int J Parasitol. 2011; 41(1):117–23. [PubMed: 20816845]
- [60]. Yeh E, DeRisi JL. Chemical rescue of malaria parasites lacking an apicoplast defines organelle function in blood-stage *Plasmodium falciparum*. PLoS Biol. 2011; 9(8):e1001138. [PubMed: 21912516]
- [61]. Nagel A, Prado M, Heitmann A, Tartz S, Jacobs T, Deschermeier C, et al. A new approach to generate a safe double-attenuated *Plasmodium* liver stage vaccine. Int J Parasitol. 2013; 43(6): 503–14. [PubMed: 23500072]
- [62]. Purcell LA, Yanow SK, Lee M, Spithill TW, Rodriguez A. Chemical attenuation of *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoites induces sterile immunity in mice. Infect Immun. 2008; 76(3):1193–9. [PubMed: 18174336]
- [63]. Purcell LA, Wong KA, Yanow SK, Lee M, Spithill TW, Rodriguez A. Chemically attenuated *Plasmodium* sporozoites induce specific immune responses, sterile immunity and crossprotection against heterologous challenge. Vaccine. 2008; 26(38):4880–4. [PubMed: 18672017]
- [64]. Schofield L, Villaquiran J, Ferreira A, Schellekens H, Nussenzweig R, Nussenzweig V. Gamma interferon, CD8+ T cells and antibodies required for immunity to malaria sporozoites. Nature. 1987; 330(6149):664–6. [PubMed: 3120015]
- [65]. Weiss WR, Sedegah M, Beaudoin RL, Miller LH, Good MF. CD8+ T cells (cytotoxic/ suppressors) are required for protection in mice immunized with malaria sporozoites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1988; 85(2):573–6. [PubMed: 2963334]
- [66]. Trimnell A, Takagi A, Gupta M, Richie TL, Kappe SH, Wang R. Genetically attenuated parasite vaccines induce contact-dependent CD8+ T cell killing of *Plasmodium yoelii* liver stage-infected hepatocytes. J Immunol. 2009; 183(9):5870–8. [PubMed: 19812194]
- [67]. Cockburn IA, Amino R, Kelemen RK, Kuo SC, Tse SW, Radtke A, et al. In vivo imaging of CD8+ T cell-mediated elimination of malaria liver stages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110(22):9090–5. [PubMed: 23674673]
- [68]. Cockburn IA, Tse SW, Zavala F. CD8+ T cells eliminate liver stage *Plasmodium* parasites without detectable bystander effect. Infect Immun. 2014; 82(4):1460–4. [PubMed: 24421043]
- [69]. Epstein JE, Tewari K, Lyke KE, Sim BK, Billingsley PF, Laurens MB, et al. Live attenuated malaria vaccine designed to protect through hepatic CD8(+) T cell immunity. Science. 2011; 334(6055):475–80. [PubMed: 21903775]
- [70]. Tse SW, Radtke AJ, Espinosa DA, Cockburn IA, Zavala F. The chemokine receptor CXCR6 is required for the maintenance of liver memory CD8(+) T cells specific for infectious pathogens. J Infect Dis. 2014; 210(9):1508–16. [PubMed: 24823625]
- [71]. Mueller AK, Deckert M, Heiss K, Goetz K, Matuschewski K, Schluter D. Genetically attenuated *Plasmodium berghei* liver stages persist and elicit sterile protection primarily via CD8 T cells. Am J Pathol. 2007; 171(1):107–15. [PubMed: 17591958]
- [72]. Jobe O, Lumsden J, Mueller AK, Williams J, Silva-Rivera H, Kappe SH, et al. Genetically attenuated *Plasmodium berghei* liver stages induce sterile protracted protection that is mediated

by major histocompatibility complex Class I-dependent interferon-gamma-producing CD8+ T cells. J Infect Dis. 2007; 196(4):599–607. [PubMed: 17624847]

- [73]. Tarun AS, Dumpit RF, Camargo N, Labaied M, Liu P, Takagi A, et al. Protracted sterile protection with *Plasmodium yoelii* pre-erythrocytic genetically attenuated parasite malaria vaccines is independent of significant liver-stage persistence and is mediated by CD8+ T cells. J Infect Dis. 2007; 196(4):608–16. [PubMed: 17624848]
- [74]. Rodrigues M, Nussenzweig RS, Zavala F. The relative contribution of antibodies, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells to sporozoite-induced protection against malaria. Immunology. 1993; 80(1):1–5.
 [PubMed: 7902331]
- [75]. Chen DH, Tigelaar RE, Weinbaum FI. Immunity to sporozoite-induced malaria infection in mice.
 I. The effect of immunization of T and B cell-deficient mice. J Immunol. 1977; 118(4):1322–7.
 [PubMed: 321689]
- [76]. Egan JE, Weber JL, Ballou WR, Hollingdale MR, Majarian WR, Gordon DM, et al. Efficacy of murine malaria sporozoite vaccines: implications for human vaccine development. Science. 1987; 236(4800):453–6. [PubMed: 3551073]
- [77]. Vanderberg JP, Frevert U. Intravital microscopy demonstrating antibody-mediated immobilisation of *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoites injected into skin by mosquitoes. Int J Parasitol. 2004; 34(9): 991–6. [PubMed: 15313126]
- [78]. Sack BK, Miller JL, Vaughan AM, Douglass A, Kaushansky A, Mikolajczak SA, et al. A model for in vivo assessment of humoral protection against malaria sporozoite challenge by passive transfer of monoclonal antibodies and immune serum. Infect Immun. 2014; 82(2):808–17. [PubMed: 24478094]
- [79]. Seder RA, Chang LJ, Enama ME, Zephir KL, Sarwar UN, Gordon IJ, et al. Protection against malaria by intravenous immunization with a nonreplicating sporozoite vaccine. Science. 2013; 341(6152):1359–65. [PubMed: 23929949]
- [80]. Felgner PL, Roestenberg M, Liang L, Hung C, Jain A, Pablo J, et al. Pre-erythrocytic antibody profiles induced by controlled human malaria infections in healthy volunteers under chloroquine prophylaxis. Sci Rep. 2013; 3
- [81]. Behet MC, Foquet L, van Gemert GJ, Bijker EM, Meuleman P, Leroux-Roels G, et al. Sporozoite immunization of human volunteers under chemoprophylaxis induces functional antibodies against pre-erythrocytic stages of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Malar J. 2014; 13:136. [PubMed: 24708526]
- [82]. Nahrendorf W, Scholzen A, Bijker EM, Teirlinck AC, Bastiaens GJ, Schats R, et al. Memory Bcell and antibody responses induced by *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoite immunization. J Infect Dis. 2014; 210(12):1981–90. [PubMed: 24970846]
- [83]. Finney OC, Keitany GJ, Smithers H, Kaushansky A, Kappe S, Wang R. Immunization with genetically attenuated *P. falciparum* parasites induces long-lived antibodies that efficiently block hepatocyte invasion by sporozoites. Vaccine. 2014; 32(19):2135–8. [PubMed: 24582635]
- [84]. Keitany GJ, Sack B, Smithers H, Chen L, Jang IK, Sebastian L, et al. Immunization of mice with live-attenuated late liver stage-arresting *P. yoelii* parasites generates protective antibody responses to pre-erythrocytic stages of malaria. Infect Immun. 2014; 32(19):2135–8.
- [85]. Roestenberg M, Bijker EM, Sim BK, Billingsley PF, James ER, Bastiaens GJ, et al. Controlled human malaria infections by intradermal injection of cryopreserved *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoites. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013; 88(1):5–13. [PubMed: 23149582]
- [86]. Gonzalez-Aseguinolaza G, Van Kaer L, Bergmann CC, Wilson JM, Schmieg J, Kronenberg M, et al. Natural killer T cell ligand alpha-galactosylceramide enhances protective immunity induced by malaria vaccines. J Exp Med. 2002; 195(5):617–24. [PubMed: 11877484]
- [87]. Lindner SE, Swearingen KE, Harupa A, Vaughan AM, Sinnis P, Moritz RL, et al. Total and putative surface proteomics of malaria parasite salivary gland sporozoites. Mol Cell Proteomics: MCP. 2013; 12(5):1127–43. [PubMed: 23325771]
- [88]. Mishra S, Rai U, Shiratsuchi T, Li X, Vanloubbeeck Y, Cohen J, et al. Identification of non-CSP antigens bearing CD8 epitopes in mice immunized with irradiated sporozoites. Vaccine. 2011; 29(43):7335–42. [PubMed: 21807053]

- [89]. Trieu A, Kayala MA, Burk C, Molina DM, Freilich DA, Richie TL, et al. Sterile protective immunity to malaria is associated with a panel of novel *Plasmodium falciparum* antigens. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2011; 10(9)doi: 10.1074/mcp.M111.007948
- [90]. Moorthy VS, Newman RD, Okwo-Bele J-M. Malaria vaccine technology roadmap. Lancet. 2013; 382(9906):1700–1.

Table 1 Challenges and suggested lines of research for CPS and GAP.

Challenges	Lines of research
CPS	
Optimization of the prophylactic drug protocol	 Direct comparison of different drugs (<i>e.g.</i> primaquine, pyrimethamine, artesunate, azithromycin, clindamycin or piperaquine) for CPS in humans Development of immunization with a single dose drug regimen Re-assessment of the drug-development pipeline for novel candidates
Development of a program of mass administration using live sporozoite immunization and drug cover in malaria endemic areas	 Proof-of-concept of CPS with injectable sporozoites Assessment of vaccine efficacy in endemic areas, and the effect of pre-existing immunity and/or (sub-clinical) blood infection Evaluation of the effect of post-vaccination natural exposure on vaccine induced immunity
GAP	
Ensuring GAPs are completely attenuated	 Generation of multiple gene deletion mutant (each gene governing an independent and essential liver-stage function) Generation of GAPs encoding proteins (toxins), which when expressed terminate parasite development in the liver
Optimizing GAP potency	- Generation of late arresting GAPs - Creating a GAP that re-capitulates the features of CPS (<i>i.e.</i> arrest immediately after parasite entry into the blood); possibly utilizing inducible and/or auxotrophic systems
General—whole sporozoite immunization	
Elucidation of immune mechanisms of protection	- Development of functional assays to evaluate pre-erythrocytic cellular and humoral immunity in immunized humans
Identification of key antigens and immune modulators of protection	 In vitro stimulation of T cells with synthetically produced overlapping peptides Assessment of IFNγ production by T cells to recombinantly expressed or synthetic <i>Plasmodium</i> proteins (cross-) presented by autologous monocyte-derived DCs Analysis of antibody specificities by protein microarrays Sequencing of the B cell receptor repertoire of circulating plasmablasts and memory B cells after immunization
Generation of strain transcending immunity and improvement of durability of protection	 Immunization using multiple <i>Plasmodium</i> strains; isolation and characterization of alternative strains for CHMI and immunization Optimal dose finding
Improvement of route of administration and	- Establish a route of administration most effective and suitable for use in large campaigns targeting young children in malaria endemic countries
Reduction of costs and improvement of practicality of whole sporozoite vaccination	 Improvement of sporozoite preservation, alteration of sporozoites; maintain viability during transport on ice or at room temperature Establishment of axenic <i>in vitro</i> culture of sporozoites to eliminate the requirement for mosquito- based production