organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

5,5'-(Ethyne-1,2-diyl)diisophthalic acid dimethyl sulfoxide tetrasolvate

Alexander S. Münch,^a Felix Katzsch,^b Edwin Weber^b and Florian O. R. L. Mertens^a*

^aInstitute of Physical Chemistry, Technical University Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Strasse 29, 09596 Freiberg/Sachsen, Germany, and ^bInstitute of Organic Chemistry, Technical University Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Strasse 29, 09596 Freiberg/Sachsen, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: florian.mertens@chemie.tu-freiberg.de

Received 18 April 2013; accepted 13 May 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.024; wR factor = 0.059; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.5.

In the title compound, $C_{18}H_{10}O_8 \cdot 4C_2H_6OS$, the mid-point of the triple bond of the main molecule is located on a special position, *i.e.* about an inversion center. The carboxyl groups are twisted slightly out of the planes of the aromatic rings to which they are attached, making dihedral angles of 24.89 (1) and 7.40 (2)°. The cystal packing features strong O-H···O hydrogen bonds, weaker C-H···O interactions and O···S contacts [3.0981 (11) Å] and displays channel-like voids extending along the *a*-axis direction which contain the dimethyl sulfoxide solvent molecules.

Related literature

For the synthesis of the principal compound, see: Hausdorf *et al.* (2009); Zhou *et al.* (2007). For its use as linker molecule in the formation of porous metal–organic framework structures, see: Hausdorf *et al.* (2009); Hu *et al.* (2009); Zheng *et al.* (2013). For metal–organic frameworks, see: Münch *et al.* (2011); Chen *et al.* (2005); Coles *et al.* (2002). For a similar hydrogen-bonded aggregate, see: Hauptvogel *et al.* (2011). For O–H···O hydrogen bonds, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995); Katzsch *et al.* (2011). For C–H···O contacts, see: Desiraju & Steiner (1999); Katzsch & Weber (2012); Fischer *et al.* (2011). For O···S contacts, see: Lu *et al.* (2011). For π - π interactions, see: Hunter & Sanders (1990).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $C_{18}H_{10}O_8·4C_2H_6OS$ $M_r = 666.81$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 8.1406 (2) Å b = 8.7328 (2) Å c = 21.4351 (5) Å $\beta = 95.970$ (1)°

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004) $T_{min} = 0.807, T_{max} = 0.877$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.059$ S = 1.042666 reflections

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1\cdots O1G^{i}$	0.84	1.71	2.5451 (13)	171
$O3-H3\cdots O1H^{ii}$	0.84	1.76	2.5732 (13)	161
$C1G-H1G2\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.98	2.56	3.3138 (17)	134
$C1G-H1G3\cdots O4^{iv}$	0.98	2.71	3.5351 (17)	143
$C2G-H2G1\cdots O1H^{v}$	0.98	2.57	3.5093 (18)	160
$C2G-H2G2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{iii}$	0.98	2.52	3.2783 (17)	135
$C1H-H1H1\cdots O4^{vi}$	0.98	2.57	3.5006 (18)	159
$C1H-H1H2\cdots O4^{vii}$	0.98	2.69	3.4427 (18)	134
$C2H - H2H1 \cdots O1H^{v}$	0.98	2.52	3.3409 (17)	141
$C2H - H2H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{viii}$	0.98	2.67	3.4738 (17)	139
$C2H-H2H2\cdots O1G^{ix}$	0.98	2.54	3.1574 (17)	121
$C2H - H2H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O4^{vii}$	0.98	2.70	3.4604 (18)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z + 1; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) $x + \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) -x, -y + 2, -z + 1; (viii) x, y, z - 1; (ix) x - 1, y, z.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Priority Program 1362 "Porous Metal–Organic Frameworks") is gratefully acknowledged by A·M. F·K. thanks the European Union (European regional development fund) and the Ministry of Science and Art of Saxony (Cluster of Excellence "Structure Design of Novel High–Performance Materials *via* Atomic Design and Defect Engineering [ADDE]").

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: RK2402).

 $V = 1515.56 (6) Å^{3}$ Z = 2Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.38 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K $0.60 \times 0.42 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$

20635 measured reflections 2666 independent reflections 2567 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.021$

197 parameters H-atom parameters constrained
$$\begin{split} &\Delta\rho_{\rm max}=0.32~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ &\Delta\rho_{\rm min}=-0.28~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 34, 1555–1563.
- Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, B., Ockwig, N. W., Millward, A. R., Contreras, D. S. & Yaghi, O. M. (2005). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 4745–4749.
- Coles, S. J., Holmes, R., Hursthouse, M. B. & Price, D. J. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, o626–o628.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.
- Fischer, C., Gruber, T., Seichter, W. & Weber, E. (2011). Org. Biomol. Chem. 9, 4347-4352.
- Hauptvogel, I., Seichter, W. & Weber, E. (2011). Supramol. Chem. 23, 398–406.
- Hausdorf, S., Seichter, W., Weber, E. & Mertens, F. O. R. L. (2009). Dalton Trans. pp. 1107–1113.

- Hu, Y., Xiang, X., Zhang, W., Wang, L., Bai, J. & Chen, B. (2009). Chem. Commun. 48, 7551–7553.
- Hunter, C. A. & Sanders, J. K. M. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 5525-5534.
- Katzsch, F., Eissmann, D. & Weber, E. (2011). Struct. Chem. 23, 245-255.
 - Katzsch, F. & Weber, E. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, 02354–02355.
 - Lu, J., Lu, Y., Yang, S. & Zhu, W. (2011). Struct. Chem. 22, 757–763.
 - Münch, A. S., Seidel, J., Obst, A., Weber, E. & Mertens, F. O. R. L. (2011). *Chem. Eur. J.* 17, 10958–10964.
 - Sheldrick, G. M. (2004). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
 - Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
 - Zheng, B., Luo, J., Wang, F., Peng, Y., Li, G., Huo, Q. & Liu, Y. (2013). Cryst. Growth Des. 13, 1033-1044.
 - Zhou, H., Dang, H., Yi, J.-H., Nanci, A., Rochefort, A. & Wuest, J.-D. (2007). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 13774–13775.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, o908-o909 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813013068]

5,5'-(Ethyne-1,2-diyl)diisophthalic acid dimethyl sulfoxide tetrasolvate

Alexander S. Münch, Felix Katzsch, Edwin Weber and Florian O. R. L. Mertens

Comment

During the last years tetracarboxylic acid linker molecules of which 3,3',5,5'-biphenyltetracarboxylic acid is the prototype have proven highly effective both in the construction of porous metal-organic (MOF) (Chen et al., 2005; Münch et al., 2011) and hydrogen bond supported frameworks (Coles et al., 2002) as well as in the formation of hydrogen bond assembled layer structures (Zhou et al., 2007). Insertion of an ethynylene unit into the molecular backbone such as in the title compound, 5,5'-(ethynylene)diisophthalic acid, was undertaken in order to expand lattice porosity and also to introduce an additional interaction site for improved solid-gas adsorption behaviour (Hausdorf et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2013). This has been confirmed showing high acetylene uptake of a corresponding MOF-framework (Hu et al., 2009). But as a rigid tetrafunctional carboxylic acid, the title compound should also capable of forming complex hydrogen bonded aggregate structures in the solid state (Hauptvogel et al., 2011) of which the present solvate with dimethyl sulfoxide finishes another evident proof. The title compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with half a molecule of 5,5'-(ethynylene)diisophthalic acid and two dimethyl sulfoxide molecules in the asymmetric part of the unit cell. The tolane fragment devites from ideal linear geometry (C2—C1 \equiv C1 \equiv C1i = 178.29 (18)°) and the carboxyl groups are slightly twisted out of the aromatic ring plane - dihedral angles 24.89 (1)° (O4=C9-O3) and 7.40 (2)° (O2=C8-O1)]. The principal molecules are vertically oriented to each other in a layer structure connected by two consecutively arranged solvent molecules via strong O—H···O hydrogen bonds (Bernstein et al., 1995; Katzsch et al., 2011) $[d(O1 \cdots O1G^{i}) =$ $2.55\text{\AA}, d(O3\cdots O1H^{ii}) = 2.57\text{\AA}, O\cdots S \text{ contacts } [d(O1G\cdots S1H) = 3.10\text{\AA}] (Lu \ et \ al., 2011) as well as weak C—H\cdots O1H^{ii}) = 0.10\text{\AA}$ interactions $[d(C1G...O2^{iii}) = 3.31\text{ Å}, d(C2G...O2^{iii}) = 3.28\text{ Å} and d(C2G...O1H^{v}) = 3.51\text{ Å}]$ (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999; Katzsch & Weber, 2012; Fischer et al., 2011). Superimposed tapes are held together by π - π interactions between the aromatic rings (Hunter & Sanders, 1990) and the interacting solvent molecules being included in channels along the crystallopgraphic *a*-axis. Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+2.

Experimental

The titled compound was synthesized *via* a Sonogashira–Hagihara cross coupling reaction of dimethyl 5ethynylisophthalate and dimethyl 5-iodoisophthalate. For the synthetic procedure, see: Hausdorf *et al.* (2009), Zhou *et al.* (2007). Colourless single crystals suitable for *X*-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation from a dimethyl sulfoxide/mesitylene (2:1) solution.

Refinement

The H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with O—H = 0.84Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ for hydroxyl H atoms, C—H = 0.95Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for aryl H atoms, and C—H = 0.98Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms.

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).



Figure 1

Perspective view of the title compound, including atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level. H atoms are presented as a small spheres of arbitrary radius.



Figure 2

Selected intermolecular interactions within the layer structure of the solvate.



Figure 3

Solvent channels along the crystallographic *a*-axis in the packing structure.

5,5'-(Ethyne-1,2-diyl)diisophthalic acid dimethyl sulfoxide tetrasolvate

Crystal data
$C_{18}H_{10}O_8 \cdot 4C_2H_6OS$
$M_r = 666.81$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Hall symbol: -P 2yn
a = 8.1406 (2) Å
b = 8.7328 (2) Å
c = 21.4351(5) Å
$\beta = 95.970(1)^{\circ}$
V = 1515.56 (6) Å ³
Z = 2

F(000) = 700 $D_x = 1.461 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point > 623 K Mo K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 0.71073 Å Cell parameters from 9934 reflections $\theta = 2.5-49.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.38 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, colourless $0.60 \times 0.42 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator ω - and φ -scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 2004) $T_{min} = 0.807, T_{max} = 0.877$ Refinement	20635 measured reflections 2666 independent reflections 2567 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.5^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -25 \rightarrow 25$
Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.059$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0228P)^2 + 1.1796P]$
S = 1.04	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2666 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$
197 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.32$ e Å ⁻³
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.28$ e Å ⁻³
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
direct methods	2008), Fc*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	Extinction coefficient: 0.0065 (7)

Special details

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	-0.01957 (11)	0.64073 (11)	1.04506 (4)	0.0150 (2)	
H1	-0.0882	0.5906	1.0635	0.023*	
O2	-0.23612 (11)	0.67476 (11)	0.97291 (5)	0.0171 (2)	
O3	-0.21203 (11)	1.12870 (12)	0.83853 (4)	0.0175 (2)	
H3	-0.2524	1.1864	0.8095	0.026*	
O4	0.02782 (12)	1.18846 (11)	0.80107 (5)	0.0188 (2)	
C1	0.43140 (17)	0.98735 (16)	0.98804 (6)	0.0140 (3)	
C2	0.26574 (16)	0.95657 (15)	0.96125 (6)	0.0126 (3)	
C3	0.17287 (16)	0.84319 (15)	0.98761 (6)	0.0124 (3)	
H3A	0.2215	0.7840	1.0219	0.015*	
C4	0.01012 (16)	0.81681 (15)	0.96390 (6)	0.0120 (3)	
C5	-0.06279 (16)	0.90560 (15)	0.91469 (6)	0.0122 (3)	
Н5	-0.1751	0.8894	0.8993	0.015*	
C6	0.02860 (16)	1.01785 (15)	0.88804 (6)	0.0123 (3)	
C7	0.19281 (16)	1.04245 (15)	0.91065 (6)	0.0128 (3)	

U7	0 2556	1 1179	0.8017	0.015*
	0.2330	1.11/0 0.70282 (15)	0.091/	0.013^{-1}
	-0.09463 (16)	0.70282 (15)	0.99368 (6)	0.0127(3)
C9	-0.04995 (16)	1.12030 (15)	0.83/3/(6)	0.0136 (3)
01G	0.78072 (12)	0.50559 (12)	0.11049 (5)	0.0203 (2)
S1G	0.61418 (4)	0.44680 (4)	0.081415 (15)	0.01417 (10)
C1G	0.55281 (17)	0.31280 (16)	0.13740 (7)	0.0179 (3)
H1G1	0.6343	0.2300	0.1430	0.027*
H1G2	0.4446	0.2701	0.1224	0.027*
H1G3	0.5459	0.3647	0.1776	0.027*
C2G	0.47196 (17)	0.59699 (16)	0.09296 (7)	0.0187 (3)
H2G1	0.4814	0.6252	0.1374	0.028*
H2G2	0.3592	0.5620	0.0799	0.028*
H2G3	0.4971	0.6862	0.0679	0.028*
O1H	0.10288 (12)	0.21753 (11)	0.25639 (5)	0.0197 (2)
S1H	-0.00487 (4)	0.32301 (4)	0.212754 (15)	0.01365 (10)
C1H	-0.09563 (18)	0.45741 (18)	0.26201 (7)	0.0212 (3)
H1H1	-0.1821	0.4065	0.2829	0.032*
H1H2	-0.1439	0.5424	0.2365	0.032*
H1H3	-0.0105	0.4969	0.2936	0.032*
C2H	0.13616 (17)	0.45062 (17)	0.18084 (7)	0.0181 (3)
H2H1	0.2159	0.4890	0.2146	0.027*
H2H2	0.0750	0.5368	0.1604	0.027*
H2H3	0.1949	0.3960	0.1500	0.027*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0133 (5)	0.0160 (5)	0.0157 (5)	-0.0021 (4)	0.0013 (4)	0.0042 (4)
O2	0.0125 (5)	0.0181 (5)	0.0201 (5)	-0.0040 (4)	-0.0010 (4)	0.0017 (4)
03	0.0128 (5)	0.0213 (5)	0.0175 (5)	0.0016 (4)	-0.0024 (4)	0.0052 (4)
O4	0.0194 (5)	0.0200 (5)	0.0172 (5)	0.0009 (4)	0.0030 (4)	0.0055 (4)
C1	0.0133 (6)	0.0144 (7)	0.0148 (6)	0.0008 (5)	0.0034 (5)	0.0024 (5)
C2	0.0102 (6)	0.0144 (6)	0.0136 (6)	0.0012 (5)	0.0028 (5)	-0.0030 (5)
C3	0.0125 (6)	0.0129 (6)	0.0119 (6)	0.0025 (5)	0.0014 (5)	-0.0007 (5)
C4	0.0125 (6)	0.0114 (6)	0.0124 (6)	0.0006 (5)	0.0026 (5)	-0.0036 (5)
C5	0.0107 (6)	0.0138 (6)	0.0119 (6)	0.0000 (5)	0.0004 (5)	-0.0039 (5)
C6	0.0134 (6)	0.0128 (6)	0.0110 (6)	0.0017 (5)	0.0019 (5)	-0.0032 (5)
C7	0.0129 (6)	0.0129 (6)	0.0132 (6)	-0.0004 (5)	0.0047 (5)	-0.0018 (5)
C8	0.0138 (7)	0.0109 (6)	0.0135 (6)	0.0015 (5)	0.0023 (5)	-0.0027 (5)
C9	0.0150 (7)	0.0128 (6)	0.0126 (6)	0.0000 (5)	-0.0005 (5)	-0.0033 (5)
O1G	0.0126 (5)	0.0287 (6)	0.0191 (5)	-0.0055 (4)	-0.0007 (4)	0.0071 (4)
S1G	0.01290 (18)	0.01668 (18)	0.01294 (17)	-0.00069 (13)	0.00131 (12)	0.00166 (12)
C1G	0.0165 (7)	0.0184 (7)	0.0188 (7)	-0.0021 (6)	0.0020 (5)	0.0053 (6)
C2G	0.0170 (7)	0.0164 (7)	0.0220 (7)	0.0015 (6)	-0.0001 (5)	0.0003 (6)
O1H	0.0208 (5)	0.0154 (5)	0.0210 (5)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0066 (4)	0.0022 (4)
S1H	0.01273 (17)	0.01452 (18)	0.01321 (17)	-0.00085 (13)	-0.00095 (12)	-0.00052 (12)
C1H	0.0205 (7)	0.0250 (8)	0.0188 (7)	0.0030 (6)	0.0054 (6)	-0.0024 (6)
C2H	0.0152 (7)	0.0205 (7)	0.0187 (7)	-0.0021 (6)	0.0028 (5)	0.0009 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01-C8	1.3192 (16)	01G—S1G	1.5213 (10)
O1—H1	0.8400	S1G—C2G	1.7837 (14)
O2—C8	1.2158 (16)	S1G—C1G	1.7843 (14)
O3—C9	1.3243 (16)	C1G—H1G1	0.9800
О3—Н3	0.8400	C1G—H1G2	0.9800
04—C9	1.2096 (17)	C1G—H1G3	0.9800
$C1-C1^{i}$	1,200 (3)	C_2G —H2G1	0.9800
C1-C2	1.4351 (19)	C_2G —H2G2	0.9800
$C^2 - C^7$	1 3990 (19)	C2G - H2G3	0.9800
$C_2 - C_3$	1 3999 (19)	01H—\$1H	1 5239 (10)
C3—C4	1 3880 (18)	S1H—C2H	1 7864 (14)
C3—H3A	0.9500	SIH—CIH	1.7890 (14)
C4—C5	1 3913 (19)	С1Н—Н1Н1	0.9800
C4—C8	1 4963 (18)	CIH—HIH2	0.9800
C5—C6	1.3890 (19)	C1H—H1H3	0.9800
С5—Н5	0.9500	C2H—H2H1	0.9800
C6—C7	1 3904 (19)	C2H—H2H2	0.9800
C6—C9	1 4982 (18)	C2H—H2H3	0.9800
C7—H7	0.9500		0.9000
	0.7200		
C8—O1—H1	109.5	C2G—S1G—C1G	99.07 (7)
С9—О3—Н3	109.5	S1G-C1G-H1G1	109.5
C1 ⁱ —C1—C2	178.29 (18)	S1G—C1G—H1G2	109.5
C7—C2—C3	119.25 (12)	H1G1—C1G—H1G2	109.5
C7—C2—C1	121.00 (12)	S1G—C1G—H1G3	109.5
C3—C2—C1	119.70 (12)	H1G1—C1G—H1G3	109.5
C4—C3—C2	120.31 (12)	H1G2—C1G—H1G3	109.5
C4—C3—H3A	119.8	S1G—C2G—H2G1	109.5
С2—С3—НЗА	119.8	S1G-C2G-H2G2	109.5
C3—C4—C5	120.05 (12)	H2G1—C2G—H2G2	109.5
C3—C4—C8	121.26 (12)	S1G—C2G—H2G3	109.5
C5—C4—C8	118.49 (12)	H2G1—C2G—H2G3	109.5
C6—C5—C4	120.04 (12)	H2G2—C2G—H2G3	109.5
С6—С5—Н5	120.0	O1H—S1H—C2H	105.09 (6)
С4—С5—Н5	120.0	O1H—S1H—C1H	106.32 (6)
C5—C6—C7	120.15 (12)	C2H—S1H—C1H	97.93 (7)
C5—C6—C9	120.87 (12)	S1H—C1H—H1H1	109.5
C7—C6—C9	118.87 (12)	S1H—C1H—H1H2	109.5
C6—C7—C2	120.16 (12)	H1H1—C1H—H1H2	109.5
С6—С7—Н7	119.9	S1H—C1H—H1H3	109.5
С2—С7—Н7	119.9	H1H1—C1H—H1H3	109.5
O2—C8—O1	124.18 (12)	H1H2—C1H—H1H3	109.5
O2—C8—C4	122.56 (12)	S1H—C2H—H2H1	109.5
O1—C8—C4	113.23 (11)	S1H—C2H—H2H2	109.5
O4—C9—O3	125.03 (12)	H2H1—C2H—H2H2	109.5
O4—C9—C6	123.21 (12)	S1H—C2H—H2H3	109.5
O3—C9—C6	111.74 (11)	Н2Н1—С2Н—Н2Н3	109.5
01G—S1G—C2G	104.99 (6)	Н2Н2—С2Н—Н2Н3	109.5

01G—S1G—C1G	104.22 (6)		
C7—C2—C3—C4	-0.23 (19)	C3—C2—C7—C6	1.70 (19)
C1—C2—C3—C4	177.18 (12)	C1—C2—C7—C6	-175.67 (12)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.53 (19)	C3—C4—C8—O2	-178.09 (12)
C2—C3—C4—C8	-176.32 (12)	C5—C4—C8—O2	7.04 (19)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.83 (19)	C3—C4—C8—O1	3.81 (17)
C8—C4—C5—C6	176.76 (11)	C5-C4-C8-O1	-171.06 (11)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.36 (19)	C5—C6—C9—O4	-158.78 (13)
C4—C5—C6—C9	-176.70 (12)	C7—C6—C9—O4	24.84 (19)
C5—C6—C7—C2	-1.41 (19)	C5—C6—C9—O3	22.60 (17)
C9—C6—C7—C2	175.00 (12)	C7—C6—C9—O3	-153.78 (12)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01—H1…O1 <i>G</i> ⁱⁱ	0.84	1.71	2.5451 (13)	171
O3—H3…O1 <i>H</i> ⁱⁱⁱ	0.84	1.76	2.5732 (13)	161
$C1G$ — $H1G2$ ··· $O2^{iv}$	0.98	2.56	3.3138 (17)	134
C1 <i>G</i> —H1 <i>G</i> 3····O4 ^v	0.98	2.71	3.5351 (17)	143
$C2G$ — $H2G1$ ···O1 H^{vi}	0.98	2.57	3.5093 (18)	160
$C2G$ — $H2G2$ ··· $O2^{iv}$	0.98	2.52	3.2783 (17)	135
C1 <i>H</i> —H1 <i>H</i> 1…O4 ^{vii}	0.98	2.57	3.5006 (18)	159
C1 <i>H</i> —H1 <i>H</i> 2····O4 ^{viii}	0.98	2.69	3.4427 (18)	134
C2 <i>H</i> —H2 <i>H</i> 1···O1 <i>H</i> ^{vi}	0.98	2.52	3.3409 (17)	141
C2 <i>H</i> —H2 <i>H</i> 2···O1 ^{ix}	0.98	2.67	3.4738 (17)	139
$C2H$ — $H2H2\cdots O1G^{x}$	0.98	2.54	3.1574 (17)	121
$C2H$ — $H2H2\cdots O4^{viii}$	0.98	2.70	3.4604 (18)	135

Symmetry codes: (ii) x-1, y, z+1; (iii) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2; (iv) -x, -y+1, -z+1; (v) x+1/2, -y+3/2, z-1/2; (vi) -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vii) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z-1/2; (vii) -x, -y+2, -z+1; (ix) x, y, z-1; (x) x-1, y, z.