Tissue engineering in growth plate cartilage regeneration: Mechanisms to therapeutic strategies

Journal of Tissue Engineering Volume 14: 1–28 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/20417314231187956 journals.sagepub.com/home/tej



Ruoyi Guo, Hanjie Zhuang, Xiuning Chen, Yulong Ben, Minjie Fan, Yiwei Wang and Pengfei Zheng

Abstract

The repair of growth plate injuries is a highly complex process that involves precise spatiotemporal regulation of multiple cell types. While significant progress has been made in understanding the pathological mechanisms underlying growth plate injuries, effectively regulating this process to regenerate the injured growth plate cartilage remains a challenge. Tissue engineering technology has emerged as a promising therapeutic approach for achieving tissue regeneration through the use of functional biological materials, seed cells and biological factors, and it is now widely applied to the regeneration of bone and cartilage. However, due to the unique structure and function of growth plate cartilage, distinct strategies are required for effective regeneration. Thus, this review provides an overview of current research on the application of tissue engineering to promote growth plate regeneration. It aims to elucidates the underlying mechanisms by which tissue engineering promotes growth plate regeneration and to provide novel insights and therapeutic strategies for future research on the regeneration of growth plate.

Keywords

Biomaterials, growth plate injury, regeneration, tissue engineering

Date received: 2 March 2023; accepted: 29 June 2023

Introduction

The growth plate, or physis, located at the end of immature long bones in children, plays a crucial role in regulating longitudinal bone growth.1 The growth plate is divided into three compartments based on the function and morphology of the chondrocytes: the resting zone, the proliferative zone, and the hypertrophic zone.² Hormones regulate the relatively inactive chondroprogenitor cells (CPCs) in the resting zone, which then differentiate into chondrocytes and enter the proliferative zone. The chondrocytes in the growth plate are arranged in a regular column and secrete extracellular matrix (ECM) to maintain the stability of each differentiation stage.^{3,4} In the hypertrophic zone, the chondrocytes stop proliferation, increase in size, and overproduce type X collagen, while ossification of the ECM also occurs.² Due to its delicate nature, the growth plate is vulnerable to injury caused by fracture, infection, tumor, or iatrogenic causes, which can result in imbalanced bone growth.5-7 In addition, the limited regenerative capacity of growth plate cartilage and the dynamic nature of immature bone make it vulnerable to bony tissue replacement, which will cause the formation of a "bone bridge." This development leads to growth arrest and angular deformities, negatively affecting joint function and a child's physical and mental health.⁸

The conventional treatment is to resect the bone bridge formed after growth plate injuries, and then fill the defect with conventional implants such as bone cement, adipose tissue, polymer materials, etc., in order to limit the bone bridge formation. However, this approach focus on

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Corresponding author:

Pengfei Zheng, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, No.8 Jiangdong South Road, Jianye District, Nanjing 210000, China. Email: zhengpengfei@njmu.edu.cn

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



Figure 1. The overview of growth plate cartilage regeneration strategy. The pathological mechanisms and conventional treatment methods following growth plate injuries are presented, and the significant role of tissue engineering in the growth plates regeneration is revealed.

prevention methods and are unable to regenerate the injured growth plate.⁵⁻⁷ Thus, it has a low success rate and may require additional surgeries, such as osteotomy or limb lengthening. Unfortunately, the unpredictability of surgical prognosis and the unsuitability of filling materials often results in bone bridge recurrence. Thus, there is an urgent need for a treatment strategy to promote the regeneration of growth plate cartilage. In recent years, tissue engineering has emerged as a potential treatment for growth plate injury. Tissue engineering create functional biomaterials by combining scaffolds, seed cells, and biological factors. Once implanted into growth plate defects, these biomaterials not only prevent the bone bridge formation but also promote the regenerative potential of growth plate cartilage. Therefore, the advanced tissue engineering technology holds significant importance for the successful treatment of growth plate injuries.

Despite this potential, current research on tissue engineering is mainly focused on defects in the bone or articular cartilage defects, with limited studies on growth plate cartilage. Due to the unique structure of growth plate cartilage, which determines its specific functions, this review aims to explore and analyze the important role of tissue engineering in growth plate regeneration and provides a systematic summary to generate new therapeutic ideas and design strategies for growth plate regeneration (Figure 1).

Pathological process of growth plate injury

Early intervention to inhibit bone bridge formation can effectively prevent the development of growth arrest and

angular deformities. Furthermore, gaining a comprehensive understanding of the pathological processes involved in growth plate injuries can provide valuable insights for the exploration of novel therapeutic approaches. Animal models have been used to elucidate the four critical phases of the pathophysiology involved in growth plate injury: inflammatory, fibrogenic, osteogenic, and remodeling⁹ (Figure 2).

Inflammatory phase. The inflammatory phase is initiated within 3 days following growth plate injury. Inflammatory cells, mainly neutrophils, along with macrophages/monocytes and lymphocytes, infiltrate the injury site within approximately 8 h post-injury.⁹ Chung et al. have demonstrated that neutrophils play a critical role in regulating downstream chondrogenic and osteogenic events during growth plate repair. Specifically, they have been shown to inhibit the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) while promoting chondrogenic differentiation, thus influencing bony repair events during the repair of growth plate injury.¹⁰

During the inflammatory phase, the growth plate is influenced mainly by two stimuli: upregulated pro-inflammatory cytokines and the mechanical load generated by micro-movements at the injury site. Inflammatory cells, including macrophages and some neutrophils, infiltrate the injury site and produce high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α). During the initial inflammatory phase, IL-1 β expression peaks at 8–16h, while TNF- α , IL-6, and TGF- β 1 levels peak on



Figure 2. The process of bone bridge formation after growth plate injury and related events. After the growth plate injury, cells and biological factors change dynamically with the progress of pathological process, and eventually lead to the bone bridge formation.

day $1.^{9,11}$ A study has shown that TNF- α and IL-1 β inhibit aggrecan and collagen type II alpha 1 (Col 2α 1) expression, which impedes growth plate chondrogenesis.¹² Furthermore, the combined treatment of TNF- α and IL-1 β can significantly reduce chondrocyte proliferation. This may be due to the fact that TNF- α and IL-1 β induce the phosphorylation and nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of Forkhead box O3 (FOXO3) in chondrocytes, which in turn reduces the expression level of FOXO3 in the nucleus. FOXO3 is a key transcription factor involved in the metabolic disorder and hypertrophy of chondrocytes induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines.¹³ Hence, the dysregulation of chondrocytes and the resulting cartilage injury induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines have emerged as a promising area for therapeutic intervention. Notably, targeting FOXO3 shows considerable potential in mitigating the detrimental effects caused by pro-inflammatory cvtokines.

P38 mitogen-activated protein kinase is a crucial regulator of bone formation¹⁴ and inflammatory response,¹⁵ which becomes activated in growth plate injury. Zhou et al. found that TNF- α induces p38 activation in the injured growth plate during the initial inflammatory response, promoting the recruitment and proliferation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) in vivo and in vitro, which is essential for growth plate regeneration. TNF- α also suppresses bone formation by negatively regulating gene expression of osteogenic differentiation transcription factor core-binding factor-alpha 1 (cbfa1) and osteocalcin (OCN), indicating a significant impact on the repair of growth plate injury.¹⁵ Thus, regulating the TNF- α -p38 signaling pathway holds the potential to enhance the efficacy of repairing growth plate injuries.

In the rat tibial growth plate injury model, Arasapam et al. subsequently identified two other inflammatory factors, cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS). These factors may enhance MSCs differentiation to chondrocytes and promote bone remodeling during bony repair of growth plate injury sites. Although inhibiting COX-2 or iNOS did not prevent downstream bony tissue repair at the injured growth plate, it effectively delayed the differentiation of MSCs into chondrocytes and the bone remodeling process. Therefore, regulating these two inflammatory factors plays a vital role in the repair of growth plate injury.¹⁶

Additionally, mechanical loading generated by micromovement at the injury site and pro-inflammatory cytokines have opposing effects during the inflammatory phase. The frequency and type of mechanical stimuli can influence the metabolism of growth plate chondrocytes.¹⁷ Studies have shown that IL-1 β levels are significantly elevated which can cause the chondrocyte damage by promoting the degradation of extracellular matrix or by increasing oxidative stress.¹⁸ Pichler et al. found that IL-1β oblas promotes the proliferation of CPCs at the growth plate injury site, while mechanical loading inhibits CPCs proliferation. In vitro, the inflammatory environment during the early stage of growth plate injury suppresses osteogenesis and chondrogenesis of CPCs and significantly promotes their hypertrophy. Hypertrophy of growth plate CPCs may represent an early event of growth arrest and bone bridge formation. Therefore, reducing the impact of local proinflammatory cytokines on growth plate regeneration is a valuable targeted therapeutic strategy. However, consider-

ing the positive role of IL-1 β discovered in this study, future research should also explore the interplay of different pro-inflammatory cytokines in the regeneration of growth plate cartilage. Additionally, efforts should be made to guide the direction of regeneration by modulating the balance between positive and negative factors.

Fibrogenic phase. The fibrogenic phase occurs 3–7 days after a growth plate injury, during which fibrous vimentinpositive mesenchymal cells, including potential MSCs, osteoprogenitor cells (OPCs), and CPCs, gather at the injury site and can differentiate into osteoblasts and chondrocytes.¹⁹ Additionally, two growth factors, fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), are significantly upregulated during this phase. Both have previously been shown to affect the migration and chemotaxis of various cells, including MSCs,²⁰ and may be involved in the recruitment of MSCs to the growth plate injury site.9 Inhibition of the PDGF receptor results in a significant reduction of MSC infiltration and delayed healing at the growth plate injury site, indicating that PDGF may participate in the early fibrogenic reaction of growth plate injury by promoting the recruitment of MSCs. Moreover, PDGF may play a role in subsequent bone remodeling by regulating osteoclast formation or recruitment. Inhibition of PDGF receptors leads to a delay in cartilage formation and bony repair of growth plates, suggesting that PDGF may regulate MSC infiltration, proliferation, and bone or cartilage formation during the repair of growth plate injury.²¹ During the fibrogenic phase, FGF-2 expression levels also significantly increase. FGF-2 stimulates the proliferation and migration of OPCs and MSCs while inhibiting chondrocyte differentiation.²² Thus, the effects of PDGF and FGF-2 during the fibrogenic phase impact the subsequent repair process, including the osteogenic and remodeling phases.

Osteogenic phase. Approximately 7 days after a growth plate injury, the osteogenic phase is initiated with the appearance of bone trabecule and the start of bone bridge formation. During this phase, FGF-2 is expressed by MSCs and osteoblasts of newly formed bone trabecule. In addition, the level of TNF- α increases significantly on day

7 post-injury, with a large number of TNF- α -positive osteoblasts observed at the injury site. This upregulation of TNF- α during the osteogenic phase may play a crucial role in bone bridge maturation and remodeling.⁹ At the same time, the levels of osteoprotegerin (OPG) and IL-6 significantly increase at the injured site. The increased OPG level suggests inhibition of active osteoclast formation, indicating that bone formation occurs early during the growth plate injury.¹⁹ The increased expression of bone matrix proteins, such as collagen type II (Col II), collagen type X (Col X), and chondrogenic transcription factor SOX-9, suggests the involvement of chondrogenesis and endochondral osteogenesis mechanisms in the bony repair of the growth plate injury site.¹⁶ Moreover, the increased expressions of OCN and cbfa1 indicate osteogenic differentiation and bone formation at the growth plate injury site. During the initial osteogenesis and up to 30 days post-injury, chondrocyte apoptosis was observed in adjacent areas of the growth plate injury site, close to the forming bone bridge, and in cartilage lacunae with cellular debris present, as evidenced by increased caspase-3 expression.¹¹ During this period, bone bridge formation not only occurred at the injured site but also involved the uninjured site.

The osteogenic phase is characterized by angiogenesis, with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) playing a crucial role in regulating angiogenesis and endothelial cell growth.²³ Fischerauer et al. observed that both the hematoma and the subsequent bone trabecule formed after growth plate injury expressed VEGF and VEGF receptor-2, with VEGF expression peaking at the initial osteogenic stage. Bone bridge formation was associated with angiogenesis, which was shown to precede bone bridge formation. And the residual VEGF-positive (VEGF+) bone debris at the injury site will further stimulate bone bridge formation.²⁴ Inhibition of VEGF expression in the growth plate injury site led to decreased blood-vessel-like structures and vascular invasion of the adjacent uninjured site. There was also a decrease in bony repair and an increase in the proportion of MSCs at the injury site, suggesting that VEGF may lead to osteogenic differentiation of MSCs.²⁵ VEGF-mediated angiogenesis plays a crucial role in bone formation, endochondral ossification, and hypertrophic cartilage transformation into the bone at the growth plate injury site and also affects the differentiation tendency of MSCs. However, further research is needed to explore whether targeting VEGF suppression and clearing VEGF+ debris can promote the repair of growth plate injury. Therefore, this provides two potential strategies: using VEGF-targeting drugs or clearing VEGF+ debris to reduce VEGF expression levels, which could (1) delay vascular invasion after growth plate injury, and thereby reduce the stimulation of bone bridge formation; (2) changing the differentiation tendency of MSCs by slowing down their osteogenic differentiation, and thus reducing bone formation. However, the effectiveness of these two strategies remains to be further validated.

The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is a crucial regulator of OPCs proliferation and osteoblast differentiation during fracture healing, as evidenced by various studies.²⁶ However, this pathway also plays a key role in growth plate development, as inactivation of β-catenin has been shown to significantly affect chondrogenesis, leading to abnormal morphology and function of growth plates.²⁷ In the repair of growth plate injuries, Macsai et al. observed a significant increase in β-catenin expression 4-8 days postinjury, indicating the importance of Wnt/β-catenin pathway in the fibrogenic and early osteogenic phases.²⁸ In addition, Chung et al. found that treatment with the β catenin inhibitor ICG-001 decreased bone trabecule volume and promoted cartilage tissue repair at day 10 post-injury, accompanied by a decrease in osteoblast transcription factor Osterix expression, while chondrogenesis transcriptional factor SOX-9 and cartilage protein Col II mRNA expression increased. These results suggest that the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is important for bone bridge formation, and its inhibition promotes cartilage regeneration after growth plate injury. In vitro studies have also shown that inhibiting the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway can inhibit the osteogenic potential of BMSCs promote their chondrogenic differentiation.²⁹ and Therefore, the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway is a potential target for intervention in preventing bone bridge foramtion or promoting growth plate cartilage regeneration after injury. In addition, The differentiation trend of BMSCs can be changed by regulating the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway, thus promoting the chondrogenesis of BMSCs, which can be a potential strategy for growth plate cartilage regeneration tissue engineering.

Remodeling phase. During the remodeling phase, which occurs from day 14 post-injury, a significant number of bone trabecule and bone marrow tissues were observed at the injury site, with minimal infiltration of residual MSCs.30 Osteoclasts were found to be more abundant within the bone trabecule.³¹ This phase was characterized by a decrease in the expression of chondrogenic genes, such as SOX-9 and Col II, and an increase in the expression of osteocalcin (OCN).9 Moreover, the expressions of TNF-α, IGF-1, and BMP-7 increased, promoting the differentiation and recruitment of osteoclasts and subsequently bone remodeling.32 Elevated levels of VEGF were also observed during this phase,²⁴ which has previously been shown to promote not only angiogenesis, but also osteoblast differentiation and osteoclast recruitment.³³ Therefore, it is possible that VEGF may participate in the process of bone remodeling by promoting osteogenesis and osteoclast recruitment.

In addition to bone bridge formation at the injury site, adjacent uninjured areas are also affected during remodeling phase. A prior microarray study showed upregulated bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling during bony repair at the injury site.²⁸ Macsai et al. reported growth plate cartilage degeneration and enhanced bone formation in the adjacent uninjured area after growth plate injury. Furthermore, the expression of SOX-9 gene in the adjacent growth plate decreased on day 14 post-injury,³⁴ while the expression of chondrocyte hypertrophy related gene Col X and runt related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) increased.35 Thus, growth plate injury could potentially affect molecular activity within the remaining adjacent growth plate structures by reducing chondrogenesis and promoting chondrocyte hypertrophy. Moreover, BMP signaling pathway activation was observed in the adjacent uninjured growth plate, and BMP-7 was significantly upregulated on day 14 and day 35. Since BMP-7 is known to possess an inhibitory effect on cartilage degeneration,³⁵ this finding suggests that a potential repair response was initiated by the remaining adjacent growth plate to delay the progression of cartilage degeneration induced by growth plate injury. Systemic treatment with the BMP inhibitor noggin protein has the potential to reduce bony repair at the injury site and inhibit premature chondrocyte hypertrophy, while rescuing chondrogenesis of adjacent growth plate.³⁰ In addition, Fischerauer et al. found that the gene expression of BMP-6 and BMPR-1a was significantly increased during the remodeling phase, when the apoptosis rate of chondrocytes was high. BMP-6 expressed by hypertrophic chondrocytes stimulates chondrogenesis and osteogenesis through BMPR-1a activation, thereby promoting posttraumatic overgrowth.36

Additionally, Nilsson et al. reported a gradient of BMP signaling on the growth plate. In the resting zone, low levels of BMP signaling may help keep these cells in a quiescent state. In the proliferative zone, greater BMP signaling may induce the differentiation of relatively inactive chondrocytes into proliferative chondrocytes. In the hypertrophic zone, even greater BMP signaling may induce terminal differentiation to hypertrophic chondrocytes. The BMP signaling gradient identified in this study may provide a key mechanism responsible for the spatial regulation of chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation during chondrogenesis at the growth plate.³⁷ Therefore, regulating the BMP signaling pathway to guide the proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes in the growth plate has potential exploratory value in the promoting the growth plate regeneration.

In addition, Su et al. proposed that osteoblast-derived neurotrophic factor-3 (NT-3) at the injury site plays an important role in promoting growth plate remodeling. NT-3 is an upstream promoter of osteogenic and angiogenic factors of BMP-2 and VEGF, capable of promoting bone formation and vascularization in the injured growth plate.³¹ They found that NT-3 is highly expressed in osteoblasts at growth plate injury sites. It can induce cartilage protease to remove cartilage and augment osteoclastogenesis and resorption both directly (involving activating Erk¹/₂ and substantiating RANKL-induced increased expression of osteoclastogenic signals in differentiating osteoclasts) and indirectly (inducing osteoclastogenic signals in osteoblasts).³⁸ This study increases the understanding of the factors and mechanisms that control the repair of growth plate injury and remodeling. NT-3 maybe a future therapeutic target to prevent bone bridge formation and Its synergy with other factors can be further studied.

In conclusion, obtaining a comprehensive understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the pathological changes associated with growth plate injury holds great promise for identifying effective regenerative strategies. This knowledge is crucial for the development of tissue engineering approaches aimed at promoting growth plate cartilage regeneration. Further research in this field has the potential to significantly revolutionize the clinical management of growth plate injuries, ultimately leading to improved patient outcomes.

Growth plate regeneration treatment based on tissue engineering technology

Seed cells. The growth plate cartilage regeneration process is restricted by the microenvironment. Seed cells in tissue engineering play a crucial role in initiating and promoting tissue regeneration by proliferating, differentiating into specific cell types, secreting biological factors, and facilitating cartitissue integration with the host. Therefore, chondrocytes or MSCs are commonly employed as seed cells in tissue engineering and it aims to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of growth plate regeneration.

Chondrocytes. In some studies, allogeneic chondrocyte implantation was used to repair the growth plate injury. Foster et al. reported using cultured chondrocytes in collagen gel to repair a growth plate defect in a sheep model, which prevents bone bridge formation in minor growth plate defects (less than 20% of the total growth plate).³⁹ Lee et al. cultured allogeneic chondrocytes embedded in agarose instead of collagen and showed that chondrocytes in agarose could also reduce the limb discrepancy and angular deformity after a larger growth arrest (50% of the growth plate).⁴⁰ Moreover, Otsuki et al. treated partial growth plate injury by allogenic costal cartilage transplantation and showed that transplantation of costal cartilage improved angular deformities and decreased bone bridge formation. It is worth mentioning that costal cartilage graft is valuable due to its abundance in cartilage ECM, which can help prevent the formation of a new bony bridge by maintaining cartilage properties. Additionally, the graft has been shown to be long-lasting than MSCs at the injury site. As a result, the costal cartilage graft is considered a safe and effective choice for use in growth plate cartilage regeneration.⁴¹ Lee et al. extracted cells from the resting zone of 6-week-old rabbit costal growth plates cartilage.

They used the 3D chondrocyte pellet culture technique to produce tissue-engineered grafts with cytological characteristics of typical growth plates. Chondrocytes maintained as 3D pellets could retain a differentiated phenotype while synthesizing and depositing cartilage matrix. The result showed that 0.03 g (wet weight) of resting zone cartilage was required to synthesize the tissue-engineered grafts, which could restore the growth potential of the defects with a diameter of 3 mm after implantation in the lower limb growth plate defect, and no apparent immune rejection occurred for up to 7 weeks post-transplantation. Since the implanted chondrocytes can be obtained from the coastal growth plate cartilage without affecting the donor site's growth potential and mechanical properties, it is highly feasible and less harmful to use them as seed cells to repair the defects of the lower limb growth plate.⁴² In addition, Liu et al. found that chondrocytes in the proliferative zone of growth plate had a higher cell growth rate and the ability to maintain phenotype, suggesting that they may be more suitable as a cell source for growth plate or articular cartilage regeneration.⁴³ However, the extraction process may cause damage to the donor site.

Due to concerns about the antigenicity of allogeneic chondrocytes and the risk of infectious diseases, some experiments have utilized autologous chondrocytes to repair the growth plate injury. Park et al. extracted chondrocytes from autologous growth plate of newborn dogs, cultured them until they formed micromasses (amorphous cartilage mass), and then implanted them into the proximal growth plate defect of the dog tibia. The results demonstrated a significant reduction in the formation of the bone bridge compared to the blank control group, and the implanted amorphous cartilage mass gradually formed cartilage matrix.44 Similarly, Tomaszewski et al. utilized a cartilage-fiber structure containing autologous growth plate cartilage to repair growth plate injury, resulting in good graft morphology and integration, without inflammatory reactions and with good recovery of the growth plate structure.⁴⁵ Another study found that implanting autologous growth plate cells into growth plate defects can prevent bone bridge formation while reducing growth arrest and angular deformity.46 Additionally, Tobita et al. extracted autologous chondrocytes from knee joint cartilage, embedded them in atelocollagen for 1 week, and then implanted them into the growth plate defect, where the implanted chondrocytes proliferated and secreted ECM. The final results indicated that the implanted chondrocytes could prevent bone bridge formation but could not provide sufficient mechanical support to prevent severe angular deformities.47

While the advantage of autologous chondrocyte transplantation is that the host will not have an immune response to the graft, this approach has its drawbacks. For example, chondrocytes may be cultured for a relatively long period, which may miss the optimal treatment time, and the donor site may be damaged during the cell extraction process. Lee et al. showed that the extraction of chondrocytes from the costal growth plate had no significant effect on the growth potential and mechanical properties of the donor site, making it a feasible and less harmful option to use them as seed cells to repair defects of the lower limb growth plate.⁴³ Therefore, the safety and efficacy of autologous chondrocyte transplantation need to be further improved and evaluated.

While autologous chondrocyte transplantation offers the advantage of avoiding immune response from the host toward the graft, it does come with certain drawbacks. One such drawback is the relatively long culture period required for chondrocyte expansion, which may result in missing the optimal treatment time. Additionally, there is a risk of donor site damage during the cell extraction process. However, Lee et al. conducted a study demonstrating that extracting chondrocytes from the costal growth plate had no significant negative impact on the growth potential and mechanical properties of the donor site. This finding suggests that utilizing chondrocytes from the costal growth plate as seed cells for growth plate cartilage regeneration is a feasible and less harmful option. Nonetheless, further efforts are needed to enhance and evaluate the safety and efficacy of autologous chondrocyte transplantation.

MSCs. MSCs are the leading choice for bone and cartilage tissue engineering, which are clonogenic progenitors obtainable from different sources, including bone marrow, synovium, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord. They display multipotency, low immunogenicity, and self-renewal ability while also possessing the potential to differentiate into diverse cell types such as chondrocytes, osteocytes, and adipocytes.^{48,49} Furthermore, MSC is more advantageous than chondrocyte due to its ease of availability, high capability for in vitro expansion, and multi-potential differentiation.⁹ Consequently, researchers have explored the utilization of MSCs from various origins to participate in the repair and regeneration of the growth plate.

BMSCs have become one of the most extensively studied and widely used cells due to their ease of isolation and culture,⁵⁰ making them a promising candidate for growth plate cartilage regeneration. Plánka et al. showed that implantation of BMSCs prevented the progression of angular deformities and decreased growth arrest caused by growth plate injury,⁵¹ while Li et al. demonstrated that using BMSCs-loaded scaffolds could promote chondrocyte regeneration and reduce angular deformities and limb discrepancies.⁵² However, McCarty et al. found that transplanted BMSCs failed to form new cartilage structures and instead promoted dense fibrous tissue formation,⁵³ highlighting the need to identify factors that could influence the regenerative outcomes of BMSC implantation. One potential factor may be the source of MSCs. Plánka et al. compared the therapeutic effects of allogeneic and autologous MSCs in a New Zealand rabbit femoral growth plate injury model and found no significant difference.⁵¹ Therefore, further research is necessary to identify other factors that may affect the efficacy of BMSC implantation for growth plate cartilage regeneration. In addition, it is important to consider the potential immunomodulatory effects of allogeneic MSCs, as they can modulate immune cell activity by altering cytokine secretion and avoid host immune responses.⁵⁴ Thus, allogeneic and autologous MSCs may have similar therapeutic effects.

Another important factor that may affect the efficacy of BMSCs is their tendency to differentiate into hypertrophic chondrocytes and undergo endochondral ossification,55 making it challenging to maintain stable cartilage tissue. Vascular invasion is necessary for the completion of ossification.56 BMSC-derived cartilage secretome's soluble factors have pro-angiogenic properties, which may contribute to vascular invasion.⁵⁷ To maintain the stability of BMSC-derived cartilage and prevent osteogenic differentiation, it may be necessary to regulate the expression levels of Ihh and SerpinE1, which are involved in angiogenesis mediated by BMSCs-derived cartilage secretome.⁵⁶ The differentiation state of BMSCs can also impact their regenerative ability after implantation. For example, predifferentiated BMSCs expressed higher levels of SOX-9, Col II, and aggrecan mRNA than undifferentiated cells but failed to produce a cartilaginous matrix even with continuous TGF-B1 stimulation. In contrast, undifferentiated cells were able to produce a cartilaginous matrix and correct limb length discrepancies.58 Therefore, when using BMSCs for growth plate cartilage regeneration, it is crucial to consider their differentiation tendency and state. Better regeneration outcomes may be achieved by controlling the differentiation state of BMSCs and regulating antiangiogenic regulators.

Adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) are MSCs with multidirectional differentiation potential that are isolated from autologous adipose tissue.⁵⁹ Currently, they have become one of the most widely used types of adult stem cells in the field of tissue regeneration. ADSCs can differentiate into osteocyes and chondrocytes. Compared with BMSCs, ADSCs are abundant in tissue sources, are easy to extract, and exhibit higher proliferation. Additionally, these stem cells are immune privileged, meaning allogeneic cells could potentially be used for cell-therapy.⁶⁰ However, similar to other tissue-derived adult stem cells, the capacity of ADSCs to synthesize and secrete cartilage matrix decreases during chondrogenic differentiation in vitro.⁶¹ levels of TNF- α and matrix metalloproteinase 3 increase during the chondrogenic differentiation of ADSCs. TNF- α bound to its receptor and activated the NF-KB pathway, decreasing cartilage ECM synthesis and secretion. And TNF- α inhibitors can eliminate this effect.⁶¹ Therefore, treating growth plate injury with ADSCs as seed cells combined with targeted inhibition of TNF- α

expression has a certain prospect. In addition, ADSCs showed significantly higher proliferation and adipogenic capacity with more lipid vesicle formation and expression of the adipogenesis-related genes than BMSCs.⁶² Thus, further experiments are still needed to validate the differentiation tendency of ADSCs and whether they can promote the growth plate cartilage regeneration at the site of injury.

In addition to BMSCs and ADSCs, previous studies have explored using periosteum mesenchymal stem cells (peri-MSCs) to treat growth plate injuries. These cells have low donor morbidity and good pluripotency and can differentiate into osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes.^{63,64} Chen et al. extracted peri-MSCs from tibial periosteum of New Zealand white rabbits, embedded them into agarose and implanted them into the growth plate defects. The results showed a significant decrease in the growth arrest and angular defromity of tibia.⁶⁵ Li et al. used chitin as scaffolds combined with peri-MSCs to repair growth plate defects in rabbits. Finally, the lower limb angular deformity and length discrepancy were effectively corrected.⁶⁶

Furthermore, the Hedgehog pathway is crucial for bone and cartilage development. Glioma-associated oncogene 1 (Gli1) is a downstream transcriptional target of the Hedgehog pathway.⁶⁷ Previous research has found that Gli1-positive (Gli1+) cells are mesenchymal stem cells that support homeostasis and injury repair, particularly in the skeletal system and teeth.⁶⁸ Zhao et al. discovered that Gli1+ MSCs in the cranial suture are the primary source of stem cells for cranial and facial bone formation and repair after injury, and their elimination causes cranial suture closure and cranial bone growth arrest.69,70 Functional and sustainable regeneration of cranial suture cartilage can be achieved by combining Gli1 + MSCs with biomaterials.⁷¹ Additionally, Gli1 + MSCs play a crucial role in growth plate development and bone growth, and their absence affects the biological function of the growth plate and leads to bone abnormalities.72 Moreover, Gli1 + MSCs can differentiate into osteoblasts and chondrocytes, and can rapidly expand to participate in the repair process of fractures.⁷² Although Gli1 + MSCs have not yet been used in the regeneration treatment of growth plate injuries, their multipotency and ability to respond to injury suggest that they could be applied to growth plate defects in the future to evaluate their repair effects. However, further experimentation is needed to verify the differentiation trend of Gli1 + MSCs and their ability to promote growth plate cartilage regeneration at the injury site.

In conclusion, MSCs have many advantages, and their main advantage is the ability to use allogeneic MSCs without eliciting a significant immune response.^{73,74} However, the safety and efficacy of new treatments is the most concern for patients. Many researchers have evaluated and confirmed the safety and efficacy of MSCs therapy through

many clinical trials.⁷⁵ MSCs therapy also includes certain limitations, such as the necessity for cell culture and the time spent in culture, loss of differentiation capacity ex vivo or with multiple culture passages, and reduced or halted cellular division after multiple population doublings,⁷⁶ which may result in missing the optimal treatment time and effect. In addition, MSCs from different sources have their advantages and disadvantages. Thus, selecting appropriate MSCs targets and ecological niche to assist growth plate defect regeneration will be a key factor to obtain positive results.

Skeletal stem cells (SSCs). SSCs are a group of somatic stem cells (also known as tissue-specific stem cells), which have the potential for self-renewal and multi-directional differentiation.77 SSCs differentiate into multipotent bone, cartilage, and stromal progenitor cells(BCSP), which then give rise to OPCs and CPCs prior to terminally differentiating into bone, cartilage, and stroma.⁷⁸ Newton et al. found special stem cell niches in the growth plate, which Promote the self-renewal of CPCs and provide a continuous supply of chondrocytes over a prolonged period. Regulation of the pool of self-renewing progenitors involves the hedgehog and mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) signaling pathways.¹ Theoretically, SSCs with appropriate cell surface markers could offer an ideal cell source for cartilage tissue engineering. Wu et al. found CD146 + SSCs in the resting and proliferating zone of growth plates, suggesting that they are progenitor cells that can generate new clones of rapidly proliferating chondrocytes. Furthermore, CD146 + SSCs exhibited higher colony-forming capacity and continuous high chondrogenic differentiation capacity in vitro. Therefore, CD146 + SSCs may be an ideal seed cell for growth plate regeneration.79

The resting zone of the growth plate is a stem cell-rich region that gives rise to the growth plate and exhibits regenerative capabilities in response to injury.⁸⁰ In addition to CD146 + SSCs, Mizuhashi et al. found that PTHrPpositive (PTHrP+) chondrocytes present in the resting zone of the growth plate expressed a panel of markers for skeletal stem and progenitor cells and possessed the properties of SSCs in cultured conditions. PTHrP+ cells have the ability of multilineage differentiation but can not be differentiated into adipocytes in vivo. PTHrP+ cells perform two different functions: (1) These cells differentiate into proliferating chondrocytes and hypertrophic chondrocytes and eventually become osteoblasts and bone marrow stromal cells at the post-mitotic stage. (2) These cells send PTHrP signals to control chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. And Ihh secreted by hypertrophic chondrocytes maintains the proliferation of chondrocytes and formation of columnar chondrocytes. Thus, PTHrP+ cells are able to generate columnar chondrocytes longitudinally, and some PTHrP+ cells have the long-term self-renewal

ability. In addition, PTHrP+ cells can maintain the integrity of the growth plate, and partial loss of PTHrP+ cells in the resting zone can alter the integrity of the growth plate by inducing premature hypertrophic differentiation of chondrocytes in the proliferating zone.⁸¹ Therefore, PTHrP+ cells can be further used as seed cells for tissue engineering research. However, the extraction of PTHrP+ cells and maintaining their cell viability still needs further investigation.

FoxA transcription factors are key regulators of chondrocyte hypertrophy, and FoxA1-3 is highly expressed in the hypertrophic zone of newborn mice growth plate.⁸² Muruganandan et al. found FoxA2 + SSCs at the top of the growth plate cartilage resting area near the edge of the secondary ossification center. It belongs to the PTHrP negative (PTHrP-) stem cell population and represents a subgroup of long-term skeletal stem cells. During early postnatal development (P0-P28), FoxA2 + SSCs have a dual osteo-chondro-progenitor fate, contributing to the chondrogenic lineage (by forming columnar stacks of chondrocytes in the growth plate) and the osteogenic lineage. After P28, FoxA2+ SSCs remain mostly in the growth plate and exhibit chondrogenic potential. The growth plate injury activates FoxA2+ cells to undergo proliferative expansion and to provide a framework for the regenerating tissue. In conclusion, FoxA2+ cells play an important role in the development of the growth plate and the regeneration of the growth plate after injury. Meanwhile, it was also found that there was no overlap between FoxA2+ cells and PTHrP+ cells. PTHrP+ cells existed at the bottom of the resting zone, while FoxA2+ cells were located at the top of the resting zone. But FoxA2+ cells will become PTHrP+ cells over time. However, compared with PTHrP+ cells, FoxA2+ cells have multipotency and higher self-renewability and longevity than PTHrP+ cells⁸⁰(Figure 3). Therefore, we can try to utilize FoxA2+ cells to construct a growth plate regeneration framework in the future. However, further research is needed to identify effective methods for selecting suitable FoxA2+ cells without causing damage to the donor site, as well as extracting and preserving their activity.

In addition, previous studies have found that periosteal stem cells labeled with cathepsin K (Ctsk) are a unique type of SSCs present in the periosteum. Ctsk+ cells can self-renew and differentiate into osteocytes and chondrocytes in vitro.⁶⁴ The periosteal stem cells exhibit greater clonogenicity, growth and differentiation capacity than BMSCs.⁸³ Tsukasaki et al. found that periosteal stem cells can provide OPCs for intramembranous osteogenesis. At the same time, periosteal stem cells-derived Ihh controls the growth plate resting zone stem cells to ensure prolonged skeletal growth. Therefore, the precise coordination of bone growth is regulated horizontally (from periosteum/perichondrium) in addition to the vertical regulation of hedgehog signals in the growth plate (from SOC

and hypertrophic zone). In the future, we can further explore its regulatory effect on chondrocytes in the resting zone of the growth plate post-injury.⁸⁴ Currently, it has been shown that the periosteal stem cells can differentiate into chondrocytes under the unstable fracture condition. These cells also possess high migratory capabilities, allowing them to be recruited to the site of bone defects in response to specific migration signals.⁸⁵ The next crucial step is to investigate the differentiation and migration of periosteal stem cells at the site of growth plate defects, with the goal of identifying novel seed cells for use in regeneration therapies. Such research will provide valuable insights into the potential of PSCs for growth plate regeneration and help to pave the way for the development of effective clinical therapies.

Other cells. In addition to ADSCs, stromal vascular fraction (SVF) can also be extracted from adipose tissue.⁸⁶ SVF contains a variety of repair cells and a mixture of cytokines. Polly et al. found that gene expression for IGF-I, TGF-β1, and FGF-2 was significantly higher in SVF cells, and these growth factors play an essential role in cartilage formation.87 Sananta et al. implanted AD-SVF combined with freeze-dried amniotic membrane into the growth plate defect to evaluate the therapeutic effect. The results showed that the bone bridge formation rate of the AD-SVF group was lower than that of the control group, and the immature chondrocytes could be seen in the defect site, indicating that AD-SVF has a good potential for tissue engineering in inducing the regeneration of injured growth plate, reducing the deformity and the bone bridge formation with limb discrepancy.88 The advantage of SVF over ADSCs is believed to be in two fundamental areas. Firstly, the heterogeneous cellular composition of SVF may be responsible for the better therapeutic outcome observed in comparative animal studies. Secondly, SVF is much more easily acquired without needing cell separation or culturing conditions. Therefore, AD-SVF may have more application prospects than ADSCs. However, AD-SVF has its limitations. For example, SVF is only suitable for autologous therapy because of the existence of various known cell types that lead to immune rejection.⁸⁶

Furthermore, the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway is essential during growth plate development. Previous studies have shown that Wnt/ β -catenin signaling may be involved in the regulation of growth plate CPCs.^{89,90} Usami et al. Found a novel cell population that resides in the outermost layer of the growth plate facing the Ranvier's groove. This study indicate that the ZsG+ cells in the outermost layer of the growth plate are CPCs contributing to formation of the growth plate. Meanwhile, Supply of new cells to the growth plate from this ZsG+ population is an important mechanism regulating skeletal growth. And this process is be tightly controlled by Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. β -catenin may inhibit the chondrogenic differentiation



Figure 3. FoxA2+ LTSSC maintain cartilage homeostasis by contributing to long-term self-renewal, chondrogenic differentiation and cartilage repair after injury. SOC=secondary ossification center. Image from Muruganandan et al. 2022⁸⁰ (open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited).

of ZsG+ cells in the Ranvier's while supporting the expansion of ZsG+ chondrogenic progenitors to the growth plate. Therefore, Wnt-responsive ZsG+ cells have the potential to become new cells for growth plate regeneration²⁷ (Table 1).

Cell-free therapy. Recent studies have shown promising results for the use of cell therapy in preventing bone bridge formation, promoting the growth plate cartilage regeneration, and correcting limb length discrepancies and angular deformities. However, this approach is associated with several limitations, including high cost, time-consuming procedures, low survival rate in vivo and challenges in clinical translation.⁹¹ As such, researchers have proposed

alternative, cell-free strategies to address these issues and improve the efficacy of regenerative medicine.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are a heterogeneous group of cell-derived membranous structures comprising smallsized vesicles (exosomes (Exos)) originating from the endosomal compartment and middle-sized vesicles (microvesicles) directly budding from the cell plasma membrane. And EVs play a role in maintaining intercellular communication and tissue homeostasis.⁹² MSCs have been shown to have immunomodulatory properties and a repairing effect on tissues by regulating the immune microenvironment. The immunomodulatory properties of MSCs are attributed to their paracrine mechanisms, which mainly involve Exos secretion.⁹³ Thus, the application of

Table I. Th	e summary of se	ed cells in tissue engineeri	ing for growth plate regeneration.		
Seed cells	Origin	Classification	Advantage	Disadvantage	Reference
Chondrocyte	GPC	Sheep allogenic GPC	Prevent BB formation (growth plate defect $<\!20\%$)	Immune response affects the survival rate of implants	Foster et al. ³⁹
		GP proliferative zone cells	High Proliferation rate Maintain phenotype	Autologous grafting may damage GP	Liu et al. ⁴³
		Dog autologous GPC	Prevent BB formation; Cartilage ECM formation	Autologous grafting may damage the GP	Park et al ⁴⁴
		Rabbit autologous GPC	NO immune response;	1	Tomaszewski et al. ⁴⁵
		cartilage-fiber structure	GP-like cartilage structure regeneration		
		Rabbit autologous GPC	Prevent BB formation、GA and AD	1	Tomaszewski et al. ⁴⁶
	AC	Rabbit allogenic AC	Prevent BB formation (GP defect 50%)	Correction of angular deformity and recovery of	Lee et al. ⁴⁰
				growth potential is not ideal	
		Rabbit autologous AC	Prevent BB formation; Reduce AD and GA	Failure to provide sufficient mechanical support	Tobita et al. ⁴⁷
	S	Rabbit allogenic CC	Prevent BB formation; Reduce AD	Immune response affects the survival rate of implants	Otsuki et al. ⁴¹
		Rabbit allogenic CC	No immune response;	Failure to provide sufficient mechanical support	Lee et al. ⁴²
		1	No damage to donor site growth potential and mechanical properties		
SSCs	GPC	PTHrP+ cells	Multipotency;		Mizuhashi et al. ⁸¹
			GP-like columnar cartilage		
		CD146+ cells	High colony-forming ability, characterized by stability; High expression of cartilage-related genes, ECM formation in vitro	Difficult to extract cells	Wu et al. ⁷⁹
		FoxA2+ cells	High clonality;	Difficult to extract cells	Muruganandan et al. ⁸⁰
			High cell longevity: Long-term self-renewal ability		
	Periosteum	Ctsk+ cells	Self-renewal ability;	I	Tsukasaki et al. ⁸⁴
			"Three-lineage" differentiation ability		
MSCs	Bone marrow	BMSCs	Easily acquired; Easy to culture	Difficult to maintain a stable state; Easy to transform to osteogenesis	McCarty et al. ⁵³ Plánka ⁵¹
	Adinose	ADSCs	Wide tissue source:	Poor cartilage matrix formation	Wan et al ⁶¹
	- -		Easily acquired;	0	Mohamed-Ahmed ⁶²
			High proliferation		
	Periosteum	Peri-SCs	Low donor morbidity;	1	Chen65
			Good multipotency		Li66
	Tooth、bone etc al.	Gli I + MSCs	Form a continuous repair process	I	Zhao et al. ⁷⁰
others	Adipose	AD-SVF	The distinctive, heterogeneous cellular composition; Easily acquired	Only applicable to autologous treatment	Sananta et al. ⁸⁸
	GPC	Wnt-responsive ZGS+ cells	Supply new cells to GP. Promote GP formation	Difficult to extract cells	Usami et al. ²⁷

ADSCs: Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells; AC: articular cartilage; BMSCs: bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; CC: costal cartilage; GPC: growth plate cartilage; GP: growth plate; Peri-MSCs: Periosteum mesenchymal stem cells; MSCs: mesenchymal stem cells.

MSC-derived exosomes is one of the current hot topics in the research of cell-free therapies.94 Exos are small membrane vesicles with a diameter of 30-150 nm comprising complex Non-coding RNA (miRNA, LncRNA and CircRNA), proteins, and endogenous factors. Compared with MSCs, Exos have the advantages of low tumorigenicity, and low immunogenicity, can be transplanted repeatedly, and are relatively easy to store.⁹⁵ Yan et al. used the Exos from umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (UMSCs) as the natural carrier of lncRNA H19. At the cartilage defect site, lncRNA H19 delivered from UMSCs could competitively bind to miR-29b-3p to relieve its repression of the target gene FoxO3. This is, in turn, enhances chondrocyte migration and matrix synthesis and suppress apoptosis and senescence. Thus, a novel lncRNA H19/miR-29b-3p/FoxO3 axis might be a therapeutic target for post-traumatic focal cartilage defects.96

Subsequently, some studies have used Exos from different MSCs to regenerate growth plate cartilage. Wong et al. treated growth plate defects by intra-articular injection of MSCs-derived Exos. They found that a single intra-articular injection of MSCs-derived Exos could significantly enhance the effect of growth plate cartilage regeneration and reduce the limb length discrepancy but did not inhibit bone bridge formation. Meanwhile, there was no significant immune response in this process. The improvement of limb length discrepancy may be attributed to the stimulation of chondrocyte proliferation and the enhanced deposition of GAG and Col II, facilitated by Exos. In addition, the ability of MSCs-derived Exos to enhance cartilage tissue formation could be attributed to the positive effects of MSCs-derived Exos on chondrocytes migration, proliferation, and ECM synthesis, mediated through CD73/ecto-59-nucleotidase activity.97 However, only a single dose of MSCs-derived Exos was tested. An increased dose or dosing frequency may change the therapeutic outcomes of MSCs-derived Exos in the regeneration of growth plate cartilage. Therefore, as a minimally invasive cell-free therapy, MSCs-derived Exos can promote the growth plate cartilage regeneration and reduce limb discrepancy after injury.⁹⁸ Another study found that after treatment with BMSCs-derived EVs, the proliferating zone at the growth plate injury site showed flat and self-oriented chondrocytes began to arrange into columnar shapes parallel to the bone growth axis, which is similar to the arragenment of the growth plate cartilage structure. The results showed that BMSCs-EVs have differentiation potential and promoted the formation of correct spatial structure in different growth plate regions, especially in proliferative zone.99 Thus, BMSCs-EVs has potential application in the field of growth plate regeneration.

Furthermore, inflammatory responses in growth plates are known to disrupt the anabolic and catabolic balance of chondrocytes, thereby degrading ECM through matrixdegrading enzymes, while Exos minimize ECM degradation after injury by modulating the local immune microenvironment.¹⁰⁰ Guan et al. combined BMSCsderived Exos and ECM hydrogels for the growth plate defects treatment. BMSCs-derived Exos was found to enhance the polarization of macrophages from M1 to M2 by inhibiting the NF- κ B signaling pathway, which may be beneficial for growth plate cartilage regeneration. Moreover, the anti-inflammatory effect of GMOCS-Exos can also improve the local immune microenvironment, promote the growth plate cartilage regeneration and inhibit bony repair after growth plate injury.¹⁰¹ Therefore, the immunomodulatory properties of Exos can improve the inflammatory environment after growth plate injury, providing an appropriate microenvironment for growth plate cartilage regeneration. And its chondrogenic effect is essential for regenerative therapy.

Although EVs (including Exos) has the the low immunogenicity and high stability, the environmental genetic information and the protein content will affect its potential therapeutic effect to some extent.¹⁰² In addition, the current quantitative control of EVs operations remains elusive, especially when they involve genetic operations.¹⁰³ Therefore, the above unsolved biosafety problems also restrict the clinical application of EVs. In the future, more studies, especially clinical trials, are needed to validate the safety and efficacy of exosome therapy.

Biological factors. Traditional treatments for growth plate injuries have a high recurrence rate and are associated with high uncertainty. Successful treatment should aim to prevent bone bridge formation and promote growth plate cartilage regeneration, thus restoring longitudinal bone growth function. Regeneration treatment strategies for the growth plate aim to overcome the shortcomings of traditional treatments by restoring the unique structure and function of the growth plate injuries. Biological factors are currently used in growth plate regeneration to prevent bone bridge formation and promote growth plate regeneration through three key mechanisms: pro-chondrogenesis, anti-inflammatory, and anti-angiogenesis.

Growth factors. To improve the microenvironment for cartilage tissue formation and promote chondrogenesis, numerous studies have investigated the use of growth factors such as IGF-1, FGF-2, and TGF- β 1. IGF-1 is a well-studied anabolic growth factor that plays a critical role in cartilage homeostasis and repair.¹⁰⁴ One study used localized delivery of IGF-1 from cell-free poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) scaffolds to treat proximal tibial growth plate injury in rabbits, which resulted in significant cartilage regenera tion.¹⁰⁵ Another study created fusion proteins of cartilage-targeting single-chain human antibody fragment conjugated with IGF-1 and found that targeting IGF-1 to growth plate has superior therapeutic

efficacy and minimizes adverse effects on other tissues compared to the direct use of IGF-1.¹⁰⁶ And the family of the FGF is critical for various cell types regarding differentiation, proliferation, migration, and growth. Among the FGF family members, FGF-2, FGF-8, and FGF-18 have recently been proposed to be the most important contributing factors in cartilage modulation.¹⁰⁷ As mentioned earlier, FGF-2 plays a role in the fibrogenic phase of growth plate injury by stimulating the proliferation and migration of OPCs and MSCs, and inhibiting chondrocyte differentiation.²² In the application of engineered cartilage tissue, FGF-2 was usually used with other growth factors. Coleman et al. demonstrated that BMSCs produced greater amounts of sulfated glycosaminoglycans in the presence of FGF-2 and TGF-B1 than with TGF-B1 treated alone, which indicates that FGF-2 plays a role in growth plate regeneration. In addition, TGF-B1 plays an important role during the chondrogenesis of MSCs.¹⁰⁸ McCarty et al. implanted a gel foam scaffold containing autologous BMSCs and TGF-B1 in the proximal tibial growth plate defect, and results showed that the scaffold contained TGF-B1 was more effective in inhibiting bone bridge formation but failed to form new cartilage structure at the defect site.53 In addition, SDF-1a and TGF-B3 are biological factors associated with growth plate tissue regeneration.¹⁰⁹ SDF-1a promotes the migration of MSCs to defect sites. And TGFβ3 acts as a chondrogenic factor to induce the differentiation of these stem cells toward the chondrogenic lineage, which has been shown to induce the transcriptional activity of SOX-9 through the Smad signaling pathway, thereby promoting the chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs.¹¹⁰ Therefore, chondrogenesis-related growth factors play an important role in growth plate injury repair, and the effect of combined use may be better. However, targeted therapy should also be considered to reduce adverse reactions to other sites.

Connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) in the process of endochondral bone morphogenesis promotes growth plate chondrocytes proliferation, maturation, and hypertrophy. CTGF is the core driving force of cartilage and bone regeneration.¹¹¹ Nishida et al. created a 2 mm diameter defect on the surface of mouse articular cartilage, then implanted CTGF hydrogel with a collagen sponge and found new cartilage formation at the defect 4 weeks after surgery. In vitro, CTGF enhanced the expression of Col II and aggrecan, and induced chondrogenesis in mouse BMSCs, suggesting that CTGF may be an ideal tool for the treatment of osteoarthritis and tissue-engineered articular cartilage reconstruction.¹¹² Another study showed that CTGF is the direct target gene of SOX-9 in growth plate chondrocytes and nucleus pulposus cells. The deficiency of SOX-9 resulted in decreased expression of CTGF. Thus, there is a strong association between SOX-9 and CTGF in chondrocyte regulation.¹¹³ Previous studies have shown that SOX-9 can maintain the columnar proliferation of growth plate chondrocytes and promote cell proliferation (two key features of functional growth plates).¹¹⁴ Therefore, CTGF as a growth factor can be considered in the future to repair growth plate defects.

Osterix is a transcription factor with a zinc finger structure. Osterix plays a vital role in normal bone formation and bony repair as an essential transcription factor in osteoblast differentiation. Osterix activation is regulated by protein kinase-D (PKD) activation, which is required for osteoblast differentiation.²⁹ Previous studies showed that PKD inhibitors suppressed Osterix expression and blocked BMP-2-induced osteoblast differentiation and mineralization in vitro.¹¹⁵ In addition, another study showed that PKD inhibitors prevented FGF-2-induced increased bone formation.¹¹⁶ Chung et al. found that PKD inhibition after growth plate injury could delay osteogenic differentiation of MSCs and promote cartilage tissue repair and endochondral osteogenesis. The final results showed that using PKD inhibitors could partially inhibit the osteogenic signal, lead to significantly delayed bone healing of the injured growth plate, increase the number of MSCs and cartilage, and reduce the bone formation at the growth plate injury site, suggesting that PKD activity can be blocked during growth plate injury repair to reduce bone bridge formation at the injured site.¹¹⁷ However, it is still necessary to further study the effect of PKD inhibition on the results of growth plate regeneration and the potential side effects. Whether PKD can be used as a potential intervention target to promote the healing of injured growth plate cartilage remains to be further verified.

Anti-inflammatory factors. In addition, anti-inflammatory therapy also plays an important role in growth plate regeneration. Yang et al. found that Punicalin could maintain the transcriptional activity of FOXO3, thus playing a positive role in improving growth inhibition and apoptosis of chondrocyte induced by pro-inflammatory factors IL-1ß and TNF- α and maintaining the normal chondrocytes phenotype.¹³ Wan et al. found that the levels of TNF- α and matrix metalloproteinase 3 were increased during the chondrogenic differentiation of ADSCs. TNF- α then bound to its receptor and activated the NF- κ B pathway, leading to a decrease in ECM synthesis and secretion. And the inhibition of TNF-a levels significantly restores ECCM formation.⁶¹ Arasapam et al. used the nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug celecoxib to block the inflammatory mediators COX-2 and iNOS in the inflammatory phase of the growth plate. They found that the inhibition of COX-2 or iNOS seemed to delay both MSCs differentiation to cartilage tissue and bone remodeling processes. However, they could not prevent the downstream bony repair at the injury site. Meanwhile, gene expression studies suggest that longterm use of celecoxib therapy may affect overall gene expression and further affect the healing process.¹⁶ Zhou et al. used TNF- α antagonists to inhibit the inflammatory

response after growth plate injury. However, the experimental results showed that the mRNA expressions of TNF- α and IL-1 β did not change significantly on days 1 and 8 after growth plate injury, indicating that the inhibition of TNF- α alone could not inhibit the inflammatory response after growth plate injury. Moreover, given that the inflammatory response may play an important role in the healing process of the growth plate injury, single anti-inflammatory therapy may affect the normal healing process. Therefore, synergistic treatment should be considered in combination with other therapeutic modalities.

Anti-angiogenesis factors. In addition to anti-inflammatory and chondrogenic approaches, some studies have proposed preventing bone bridge formation by inhibiting angiogenesis. Previous studies have indicated that bone bridge formation at the site of growth plate injury primarily occurs through two pathways: intramembranous osteogenesis and endochondral osteogenesis.¹¹⁸ The angiogenesis at the injury site is crucial for both pathways.²³ Anti-VEGF antibody (bevacizumab) was applied to the rat tibial growth plate injury model, and it was found that angiogenesis and bone bridge formation at the injury site decreased after treatment. However, after systemic administration, the length and shortening of the tibia caused by growth plate injury became more evident on day 60, and significant expansion of the hypertrophic zone of the intact growth plate cartilage adjacent to the growth plate injury site was observed.²⁵ Therefore, while VEGF-neutralizing antibodies may be a potential osteopontin agent, this study suggests the systemic treatment may have significant side effects, such as limb shortening and damage to adjacent uninjured growth plate. Therefore, Erickson et al. developed an injectable hydrogel containing VEGF-neutralizing antibodies. The rapid release of VEGF-neutralizing antibody was achieved by local injection of alginate chitosan hydrogel at the injury site. The results showed that this therapy could inhibit bone bridge formation without interfering with normal longitudinal bone growth, which is of great significance for the regeneration treatment of growth plate injury.

However, VEGF may not be the only critical regulatory factor driving angiogenesis. There may be other factors and mechanisms driving angiogenesis on growth plate injury.¹¹⁹ Perlecan is a heparin sulfate proteoglycan expressed in the basement membrane and cartilage. Perlecan in cartilage enhances activation of VEGF164-VEGFR2 signaling in endothelial cells through direct binding to VEGFR2, thus promoting angiogenesis necessary for cartilage matrix remodeling and intraconal bone formation.¹²⁰ It plays a crucial role in endochondral bone formation. In addition, FGF-18 has been shown to regulate growth plate chondrocyte proliferation, hypertrophy, and cartilage vascularization, which are essential for endochondral ossification.¹²¹ Together with FGF-2 and

FGF-18, perlecan promotes chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation into growth plate cartilage in a characteristic columnar arrangement.²⁴ Therefore, perlecan may be a new target to prevent bone bridge formation and promote the formation of the growth plate structure.

Others. MicroRNAs(miRNAs) are essential regulators of many biological processes such as cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, apoptosis, and tumorigene.¹²² miRNAs play a crucial role in multiple processes of bone development.¹²³ Zhang et al. identified that miR-410 promoted TGF-B3-induced chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs by directly targeting Wnt3a. Thus, miR-410 is a critical positive regulator of hMSC chondrogenic differentiation.¹²⁴ However, some miRNAs negatively regulate chondrogenic differentiation. Yang et al. found that miR-145 is a critical negative regulator of chondrogenic differentiation in mouse MSCs, which directly targets SOX-9 in the early stage of chondrogenic differentiation.¹²⁵ However, miR-145 did not change significantly during the chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs.¹²⁶ Lee et al. found that miR-495 inhibits the expression of ECM protein by targeting SOX-9, indicating that the chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs is inhibited. Thus, miR-495 is an essential negative regulator in the chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs.126 Therefore, increasing positive or reduced negative regulators may have a beneficial effect on controlling MSCs to chondrogenic differentiation.

In addition, Bone morphogenetic protein receptor 2 (BMPR2) can induce osteogenetic and chondrogenic differentiation of stem cells in vitro.¹²⁷ Thus, Inducing chondrogenic differentiation of BMRP2 and inhibiting its osteogenic differentiation and endochondral bone formation are valuable methods for inducing chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs, promoting cartilage formation, and maintaining the phenotype of chondrocytes.¹²⁸ Tian et al. found that miR-143-3p inhibits the deposition of proteoglycans and the expression of ACAN and Col2a1 in MSCs by targeting BMPR2, thus regulating early chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs.¹²⁸ Yang et al. found that MiR-100-5p inhibited the osteogenesis of hBMSCs and angiogenesis by targeting BMPR2 and suppressing the BMPR2/ SMAD1/5/9 signaling pathway. Therefore, specific miR-NAs can produce positive chondrogenesis and reverse the inhibition of osteogenic differentiation by targeting BMPR2.¹²⁹ This method can be applied to MSCs to make their differentiation trend toward ideal chondrogenesis.

Dicer is the critical enzyme of miRNA biological formation. Its deficiency in chondrocytes results in a reduction in the number of proliferating chondrocytes through two distinct mechanisms: decreased proliferation and accelerated differentiation into postmitotic hypertrophic chondrocytes, suggesting that miRNAs promote chondrocyte proliferation and inhibit hypertrophic differentiation. However, the study did not identify the specific miRNAs responsible for these defects.¹³⁰ Papaioannou et al. miR-140 facilitates differentiation of resting chondrocytes and inhibits hypertrophic differentiation to increase columnar proliferating chondrocytes, whose normal proliferation and survival require let-7 miRNA.131 And there was a gradually decreasing PTHrP concentration gradient from the growth plate resting zone to the hypertrophic zone.¹³² Jee et al. found that the PTHrP concentration gradient across the growth plate induces differential expression of mir-374-5p, mir-379-5p, and mir-503-5p, and the higher expression levels of these miRNAs promote proliferation and inhibit hypertrophic differentiation.¹³³ Zhang et al. found that expression of miR-143 was reduced, while IHH levels were elevated in the injured growth plate. By targeting IHH, miR-143 downregulation could promote growth and inhibit apoptosis of PCSCs, thereby modulating chondrogenesis effects. Thus, miR-143 may serve as a novel target for cartilage regeneration and repair.¹²⁸ In addition to miRNAs, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) were also found to play essential roles in regulating growth plate chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. LncRNA growth-arrest-specific 5 (Gas5) has been reported to be a critical control element during growth, differentiation, and development in mammalian species.¹³⁴ Liu et al. found that lncRNA Gas5 regulated proliferation and apoptosis in the growth plate by controlling FGF1 expression via miR-21 regulation. Moreover, Gas5 contributed to proapoptosis by increasing active caspase-9 and FGF1 expression but decreasing miR-21 expression. In contrast, miR-21 contributes to pro-proliferation by acting as a negative regulator of Gas5.135 Therefore, miRNAs are essential regulators of chondrogenesis and the maintenance of chondrocyte phenotypes. By regulating the expression level of miRNAs at the injured site, it can be used as a potential therapeutic target for the control and regeneration of MSCs cartilage differentiation in the future.

Tissue engineering scaffold materials. The growth plate is responsible for the longitudinal growth of the bone and must bear the weight between the metaphysis and the diaphysis. Therefore, suitable scaffold materials should show good biocompatibility, biodegradability, and suitable mechanical properties. Many biomaterials have been used to repair growth plate injury, including natural and synthetic materials (Figure 4).

Natural materials. Extracellular matrix (ECM) scaffold: ECM is an ideal biological scaffold material in nature. Natural ECM is a dynamic structure composed of various components, including ECM polymers (collagen, fibrin, elastin), growth factors, GAG, hormones, and other signal molecules.¹³⁶ Natural ECM scaffolds can provide a microenvironment similar to the extracellular environment that can maintain the activity of cytokines, growth factors, and other functional proteins and contain information signals when seeding cells, which may be superior to synthetic polymers in function.¹³⁷ In vivo, the state of cell differentiation and its function are supported by tissue-specific signaling, cell-cell contact, cell polarity in tissues, and cell-ECM connections.¹³⁸ Therefore, scaffolds generated by suitable tissue-derived ECMs are suitable for regenerative therapy. However, ECM scaffold is easy to collapse due to insufficient mechanical strength, which leads to unsatisfactory treatment results. The mechanical properties of the ideal tissue engineering scaffold should match the mechanical properties of the target tissue. Therefore, understanding the collagen fiber arrangement of the ECM in each organ is essential for the design of scaffolds.¹³⁹ Previous studies have shown that cartilage ECM-derived 3D porous acellular matrix scaffolds can provide an appropriate 3D environment to support the adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation of BMSCs.¹³⁹ However, it is not enough to provide a microenvironment suitable for cells to survive and proliferate. Li et al. designed an ECM-derived oriented acellular scaffold with excellent biomechanical properties for the treatment of New Zealand white rabbit growth plate repair. BMSCs in scaffolds gradually differentiated into chondrocytes and secreted glycosaminoglycan (GAG) around the ECM. During the process, the scaffold and the regular growth plate fused well, and no inflammatory reaction occurred. The results showed that the scaffold could promote growth plate regeneration, prevent bone bridge formation, and reduce angular deformity and limb discrepancy. This growth plate-derived ECM scaffold with good properties shows the potential to repair growth plate injury in young rabbits.52

Agarose scaffold: Agarose is a natural polysaccharide polymer consisting of D-galactose and 3, 6-anhydride 1-galactose, which has many excellent properties, such as biocompatibility, reversible gel behavior, water solubility, mechanical adjustability, and physicochemical properties that support its use as a biomaterial for cell growth and drug delivery.^{140,141} Coleman et al. found that the injection of an in situ agarose gel into the growth plate defect resulted in a reduction of limb length discrepancy and a thicker growth plate compared to empty defect controls. However, it is not sufficient to regenerate growth plate tissue.¹⁰² Then they repaired the growth plate defect with BMSCs-loaded agarose gel scaffolds. The results showed that the implant could correct the limb length discrepancy and prevent the premature fusion of normal growth plate tissue around the defect.58 Chen et al. embedded the cultured MSCs into agarose and implanted them into the growth plate defect. The results showed that the implant could delay the growth arrest of the rabbit tibia, but the problem of tibia angular deformity and length discrepancy has not been solved.65

Alginate scaffold: Alginate is a linear polysaccharide composed of homopolymeric units of 1,4-linked (-D-mannuronic acid) (M) and (-L-guluronic acid) (G) that



Figure 4. The overview of the tissue engineering for growth plate regeneration.

give its structure flexibility and gelling properties. The high pore structure of alginate is conducive to cell adhesion and growth. Its pore size is between 100 and 150 mm, and the pores are connected.¹⁴² Meanwhile, alginate as biomaterials is cost-effective, non-cytotoxic, easy to modify, and easy to cross-link.¹⁴⁰ Erickson et al. established an in vitro model of growth plate cartilage by using neonatal mouse growth plate chondrocytes encapsulated in alginate hydrogel beads. In the hydrogel beads, chondrocytes showed high viability, cartilage matrix deposition, and low levels of chondrocyte hypertrophy. Meanwhile, chondrocytes coated with alginate gel beads can be regulated by Pthrp-Ihh signaling. Exogenous PTHrP stimulates cell proliferation and inhibits cell hypertrophy, while Ihh signaling stimulates chondrocyte hypertrophy. Importantly, treatment of alginate bead cultures with Ihh or thyroxine resulted in the formation of a discrete region of hypertrophic cells that mimics the tissue structure of the native growth plate cartilage, thereby establishing a tunable in vitro system that mimics the tissue structure of the growth plate for modeling signal network interactions. This is necessary to induce the ribbon structure of the growth plate chondrocytes and can also be used to grow cartilage with specific geometric shapes to meet individual needs.¹⁴³ Markstedt et al. prepared a new hydrogel composed of nanocellulose and alginate. The higher the alginate content

of the hydrogel, the greater the storage modulus and the lower the biotoxicity.¹⁴⁴ However, pure alginate saline gels carry a negative charge¹⁴⁵ and alginate fails to give the cell its natural binding site,¹⁴² which may influence the may have some effect on the cells. Sturtevant and Callanan used antifreeze proteins to modify the pore structure of alginate. They prepared scaffolds with orientated aligned pores to simulate the central region of cartilage. The scaffolds had positive effects on cell viability and function.¹⁴⁶ Thus, alginate is still a suitable material for constructing growth plate regeneration scaffolds. In the future, through the continuous modification of alginate gel, the composite material which is most suitable for cartilage regeneration of growth plate can be found.

Chitin/Chitosan scaffold: Chitin, a major component of the exoskeleton of arthropods and molds, consists of n-acetyl-D-glucosamine monomer units linked by β -1, 4-glucoside bonds, whereas chitosan is the deacetylated form of chitin.¹⁴⁷ Chitosan has good biodegradability, antimicrobial ability, and mucosal adhesion. Its degradation products in vivo are non-toxic and non-immunogenicity, so it is widely used in drug release, tissue engineering, cell scaffolds, and other fields.^{148–150} ECM Ishikawa et al. used chitosan-based hydrogels as scaffolds for chondrocyte culture. They found that the substantial degradation behavior of hydrogels could stimulate the embedded chondrocytes to form hyaluronic cartilage, which might be realized through the deposition induced by the change of hydrogel microstructure, the enlargement of pore size and the decrease of network density.¹⁵¹ Li et al. used (peri-MSCs)loaded chitin scaffolds to repair growth plate defects. peri-MSCs have a better proliferating ability and survival rate in chitin scaffolds, which may be because chitin components are structurally similar to GAG, which is the main division of cartilage ECM.⁶⁶ In vitro, chitin supports the expression of ECM proteins in human chondrocytes and maintains the natural round or oval shape of chondrocytes.¹⁵² Erickson et al. prepared Ginipin-chitosan microgels and demonstrated the ability of these microgels to prevent early bone bridge formation in growth plate cartilage defects in vivo.¹⁵³ Planka et al. designed a novel scaffold consisting of Col I and chitosan nanofibers loaded with allogeneic MSCs and chondrocytes to repair growth plate defects. The scaffold has the characteristics of higher rigidity and easy operation. In addition, it has the ability of hydrodynamic seeding, which can protect the transferred cells. Meanwhile, the layering technology of peripheral implantation of MSCs and central implantation of chondrocytes is also one of the highlights. The experimental results showed that the combined transplantation of composite scaffolds with MSCs and chondrocytes could prevent growth arrest and angular deformity. Moreover, in most cases, hyaluronic cartilage-like tissue appeared in the defect and formed the typical columnar tissue of the growth plate.¹⁵⁴ Chitin/chitosan scaffolds have shown excellent growth plate repair ability in the current study, and their clinical transformation and application can be further explored in the future.

Demineralized bone matrix (DBM) scaffold: The DBM is composed of collagen scaffolds containing various growth factors and Urist invented the DBM preparation method.¹⁵⁵ Jin et al. extracted rabbit iliac growth plate chondrocytes and harvested them after proliferating in monolaver culture in vitro for 3 weeks. The cells were then inoculated into a DBM scaffold to construct a composite material for growth plate injury repair. The results showed that chondrocytes adhered to the surface of DBM scaffolds and proliferated, indicating good biocompatibility and adhesion. In addition, the DBM scaffold has many natural multiporous structures, so the tissue fluid can penetrate through the pores and supply the nutrition needed. However, DBM scaffolds can only prevent bone bridge formation for a short time. The bone and fibrous tissue then grew into defects after the scaffold had been absorbed, resulting in severe angular deformity.¹⁵⁶ Therefore, DBM scaffolds may need to be modified by doping elements or other methods to provide mechanical support and prevent bone bridge formation after the growth plate injury.

Synthetic materials. Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) scaffold: PLGA has excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability. Moreover, it can change the polymer's mechanical properties, degradation rate, and drug

release kinetics by controlling the copolymer's composition (ratio of lactic acid to glycolic acid), molecular weight, and other factors. FDA has approved drug delivery devices using PLGA microspheres.¹⁵⁷ Sundararaj et al. treated growth plate injury with localized delivery of IGF-I from PLGA scaffolds. In vitro, the experiment confirmed that the release of IGF-I encapsulated in the PLGA scaffold occurred in a biphasic pattern, and the scaffold was almost wholly released during degradation. Swelling scaffolds following implantation may be advantageous in bridging gaps between the scaffold and surrounding tissue, possibly enhancing the entry of progenitor cells into the scaffold. The results showed that there was cartilage regeneration, but more disorganized. The reason might be that PLGA scaffolds failed to provide adequate mechanical support or degraded too quickly, resulting in a short support time.¹⁰⁵ Therefore, implanting scaffolds with higher mechanical strength and slower degradation may lead to better results. In addition, PLGA scaffolds create a microenvironment necessary for the proliferation and chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs.158 Clark et al. evaluated the effect of IGF-1-loaded PLGA scaffold on the growth plate defects repair in the absence of MSCs. Although the final defect site did not form a columnar structure, the amount of chondrocytes increased, and the destruction of adjacent growth plate was reduced. However, there was no significant reduction in limb angular deformity.¹⁵⁹ In conclusion, the excellent performance of PLGA scaffolds can be used in growth plate regeneration therapy. Future consideration should be given to strengthening its mechanical support capability to provide a better regenerative microenvironment.

Alloy scaffold: Mg-based materials have the characteristics of biodegradability and biocompatibility. Hence they do not induce a systemic inflammatory reaction. In addition, the mechanical properties of Mg-based materials resemble those of cortical bone. Compared with the traditional materials used in orthopedic implants, the stress shielding response caused by Mg-based materials is reduced.¹⁶⁰ However, the Mg-based materials form hydrogen gas and aqueous solutions during their rapid degradation, which leads to further implant degradation.¹⁶¹ Therefore, by surface modification, Song et al. designed an Mg-Ca-Zn alloy containing plasma electrolyte oxidation (PEO)-coating. Compared to non-coated alloys, PEOcoated alloys degraded slowly with diminished hydrogen gas formation, and the surface mechanical properties and stability were increased. The concentrations of Mg²+, $Ca^{2}+$, and $Zn^{2}+$ in local tissues were maintained within normal ranges during the degradation of Mg-Ca-Zn alloys.162 In animal experiments, PEO-coated Mg-Ca-Zn alloy scaffold were implanted into the rabbit growth plate defects. The results showed that the implants did not cause significant immune response after implantation. In addition, the implants have excellent mechanical properties, biodegradability and stability. During the repair process, the implant binds closely to the surrounding tissue. Eventually, the defect site formed a small bone bridge, and the function of the growth plate was not interfered with by the implant. Therefore, PEO-coated Mg-Ca-Zn alloy implants have specific development potential in treating growth plate injury. Natural biological materials are mainly used in clinical trials, and most studies using synthetic materials are carried out on animal models.¹⁶³ The safety, clinical transformation, and application ability of synthetic materials must be further verified.

GMOCS scaffold: Gelatin methacryloyl (GM) hydrogels is similar to the natural ECM and it has excellent biological properties and adjustable physical properties.¹⁶⁴ Chondroitin sulfate (CS) is a GAG that exists primarily in the ECM of biological tissues and possesses chondrogenic properties. It exhibits good biocompatibility and have the ability to induce the cartilage formation.^{165,166} Guan et al. introduced doping aldehyde-functionalized chondroitin sulfate (OCS) into GM hydrogels to form biocompatible ECM (GMOCS) hydrogels by dynamic Schiff base bond formations. The dual network framework of GMOCS hydrogels ensured Exos sustained release to meet the growth plate repair requirement. BMSCs-Exos-loaded GMOCS hydrogels could regulate the immune microenvironment at the injured site, induce the high expression of anti-inflammatory factors, and construct a chondrogenic microenvironment to promote cartilage regeneration. The results showed that bone bridge formation was inhibited at the injured site, promoting the activity of chondrocytes and ECM remodeling, and thus promoting the regeneration of chondrocytes.¹⁰¹ In addition, GMOCS hydrogels provided an optimal microenvironment for BMSCs, significantly enhancing the chondrogenic differentiation of BMSCs and inhibiting osteoblastic differentiation due to its OCS components. BMSCs-loaded GMOCS hydrogels can prevent the bone bridge formation and regenerate the three layers of columnar cartilage structures at the defect site, including the resting zone, the proliferative zone and the hypertrophic zone. Thus, GMOCS hydrogels can achieve sustained release of active ingredients and affect the differentiation trend of MSCs, thus provide a good niche for promoting the repair of growth plates injury and cartilage regeneration. Their safety and feasibility for clinical conversion can be further evaluated in the future¹⁶⁷ (Table 2).

Biomimetic structure of tissue engineering scaffold. Cartilage tissue engineering has provided a promising strategy for the repair of cartilage defects. By simulating the layered structure of articular cartilage, a biomimetic microenvironment can be constructed to promote tissue regeneration. Recently, Wang et al. prepared a dual-layer biomimetic cartilage scaffold that mimicked the structural design, chemical cues, and mechanical characteristics of mature articular cartilage. This scaffold had a structure similar to natural cartilage and possessed high mechanical strength, which guided the morphology, orientation, proliferation, Journal of Tissue Engineering

and differentiation of BMSCs. In vivo, the biomimetic cartilage scaffold effectively repaired new cartilage tissue in the defect area. This experiment provided a microenvironment suitable for regeneration and the results were satisfactory.¹⁶⁸ This study also inspires the preparation of biomimetic scaffolds for growth plate cartilage regeneration. By simulating the structural characteristics of the growth plate, a suitable microenvironment can be created for regeneration. Therefore, understanding the unique structure of growth plates is crucial for the design of bionic structures.

It was mentioned earlier that growth plate chondrocytes are divided into three compartments according to their morphology and function: resting zone, proliferative zone, and hypertrophic zone. The growth plate is heterogeneous in morphology, and its mechanical properties vary with respect to the histological zone.¹⁶⁹ Therefore, when simulating native growth plate cartilage tissue, the changes in its mechanical properties should be fully considered. A previous study showed that the resting zone is stiffer and less permeable than the other zones, exhibiting a dense hyaline cartilage structure and relatively few resting chondrocytes. The chondrocytes were arranged in columns in the proliferative zone below the resting zone. The stiffness of the proliferating zone is about 30% lower than that of the resting zone, while the permeability is about four times higher.¹⁷⁰ Eckstein et al. found that the change in stiffness from the upper hypertrophic zone to the lower hypertrophic zone on the growth plate may be mechanical cues to direct cells to endochondral ossification. Furthermore, the spatial differences in the mechanical properties of growth plates are partly caused by different ECM compositions. The resting zone is the stiffest zone due to the presence of a dense collagen structure.¹⁶⁹

Meanwhile, the growth plate is influenced by complex local mechanical and molecular environments. Dynamic compressive forces have been shown to stimulate growth plate cartilage growth, while shear and hydrostatic pressures are more likely to drive cartilage ossification.¹⁷¹ Appropriate mechanical stimulation is essential in typical growth plate structure and function. The lack of weight bearing during growth and development will lead to changes in the actin cytoskeleton in the growth plate chondrocytes and result in the destruction of the typical columnar organization.¹⁷² In contrast, excessive pressure on the growth plate will also destroy the typical histological characteristics and metabolic function of the growth plate.¹⁷³ Mechanical loading on the resting and proliferative zones can induce the longitudinal arrangement of cells to maintain the formation of the columnar structure of the growth plate and drive the longitudinal growth.¹⁷⁴ And there is a gradient of mechanoregulatory signals (hydrostatic stress and maximum tensile strain) in the growth plate, and the more significant mechanical regulatory signals of chondrocytes in the proliferative zone can maintain cartilage pheontype, keep chondrocytes from differentiating, and

Classification	Biomaterials	Biological factors	Cell/Exos loading	Timing of implant	Result	Reference
Composite materials	PLGA	IGF-I	BMSCs	1	Cartilage regenration; No GP-like columnar structure	Sundararaj ¹⁵⁸
	PLGA	IGF-I		3 weeks after injury and	Cartilage regenration	Clark et al. ¹⁵⁹
				immediately after bone	No GP-like columnar structure;	
					Decreased the destruction of the remaining native growth plate	
	Mg-Ca-Zn	I	I	Immediately after injury	Mild BB formation;	Song et al. ¹⁶²
	9			•	Function of growth plate is normal)
	GMOCS	Ι	BMSCs- derived	Ι	ECM remodeling:	Guan et al. ¹⁰¹
			EXOS		rrevent bb formation; Cartilage regeneration;	
	GMOCS	I	BMSC	I	Prevent BB formation;	Guan et al. ¹⁶⁷
					Cartilage regeneration; Three lavers of columnar cartilage structures formation	
Nature materials	DBM	I	GPC	Immediately after injury	Cartilage regenration;	Jin et al. ¹⁵⁶
					GP-like columnar structure; Reduce AD and GA	
	Chitosan-Collagen	Ι	BMSCs-CHC	Immediately after injury	Hyaline cartilage-like tissue;	Plánka ¹⁵⁴
	•				GP-like columar structure; Reduce AD GA	
	ECM	Ι	BMSCs	Immediately after injury	GP-like columnar structure;	Li et al. ⁵²
					Prevent BB formation; Reduce AD GA	
	Chitin	I	Peri-MSCs	3 weeks after injury and	GP-like columnar structure;	Li et al. ⁶⁶
				Immediately after bone	Reduce AD GA;	
				bridge resection	Prevent BB formation	
	Agarose	Ι	Peri-MSCs	Immediately after injury	GP-like columnar structure;	Chen et al. ⁶⁵
					Reduce AD GA;Prevent BB formation	
	Agarose	Ι	BMSCs	Immediately after injury	Reduce GA;	Coleman et al. ¹⁰²
					Decrease apoptosis in the adjacent growth plate	
	Agarose		AC	Immediately after injury	Prevent BB formation (growth plate defect 50%) ; CP like columnar environce	Lee et al. ⁴⁰
					Reduce AD and GA	
	Alginate		GPC		GP-like columnar structure regulated by PTHrP-IHH signaling	Erickson et al. ¹⁴³
	Genipin-Chitosan			Immediately after injury	Increased cartilage repair tissue;	Erickson et al. ¹⁵³
			0074			0
	Gelatin	16-121	BMSCS	Immediately after injury	Prevent B5 formation No cartilage tissue	McCarty et al.
	:					
	Collagen		GPC	Immediately after injury	Prevent BB formation in small GP defects (less than 20%)	Foster et al. ³⁷
	Atelocollagen		AC	Immediately after injury	Prevent BB formation; Reduce AD and GA	Tobita et al. ⁴⁷
	Fibrin glue	Ι	GPC	22d after injury	GP-like columnar structure; Prevent BB	Tomaszewski et al. ⁴⁵

AC: articular cartilage; BB: bone bridge; BMSCs: bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; GPC; growth plate cartilage; GP: growth plate; CHC: chondrocytes; ECM: extracellular matrix; Peri-MSCs: periosteum mesenchyal stem cells; PLGA: poly lactic-co-glycolic acid; DBM: demineralized bone matrix; Exos: exsomes.

provide conditions favorable for cell division. However, the mechanoregulatory signal gradually decreases along the long axis of the cartilage in the hypertrophic zone and gradually provides favorable conditions for osteogenic differentiation.¹⁷⁵ Therefore, the unique structure and mechanical properties of the growth plate are essential for maintaining normal growth function, while ignoring these key factors may cause the effect of regeneration and repair is not ideal.

In addition to understanding the original structure and function of the growth plate, the preparation of biomimetic scaffolds also needs the support of advanced technology. 3D bioprinting is the most promising approach in regenerative medicine, ensuring that cells, materials, biomolecules, and mechanical structures are precisely integrated.¹⁷⁶ The technique can precisely control the microstructure and composition of scaffolds on a micro-scale, which can closely mimic the geometric structure of biological tissues in anatomy to realize the design of multi-level tissue structure. Compared to traditional tissue engineering methods, 3D bioprinting systems allow for the precise deposition of biomaterials and cells in 3D Spaces to construct more complex and precise spatial relationships.¹⁷⁷ Therefore, 3D bioprinting technology is an ideal method for mimicking native tissue niches in vitro.¹⁷⁸ Standard 3D printing techniques that are suitable for bone or cartilage tissue engineering include 3D drawing/ink writing, stereolithography (SLA), selective laser sintering (SLS), selective laser melting (SLM), fused deposition modeling (FDM), inkjet /extrusion-based bioprinting, and the new DLP 3D technology.^{179,180} By combining 3D bio-printing, computer-aided design (CAD), and imaging data, the designed structure has the characteristics of individuation.¹⁸¹ In addition, the mechanical properties of scaffolds can be regulated by different preparation processes and chemical modifications to mimic the mechanical properties of primary tissues. The melt deposition modeling technique can be used to create gradient-structured scaffolds and the inkjet/extrusion bioprinting can be used to prepare biomimetic gradient scaffolds pre-inoculated with growth factors and stem cells.¹⁸² In conclusion, each 3D printing technology has its own most applicable range and the continuous progress of 3D printing technology will provides strong support for biomimetic tissue engineering.

Currently, only a few studies have utilized biomimetic scaffolds for treating growth plate injuries. Yu et al. developed 3D printed biomimetic composite materials that can satisfy the mechanical needs of the growth plate and contain bioactive niches that support cartilage formation. First, they characterized the mechanical properties of rabbit growth plate tissue, and then used the modulus data to create a biomimetic scaffold that closely matched the original tissue. The scaffold was precisely fabricated using Stereolithographic digital light processing (DLP) technology, which used poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-based resin to create a stiff 3D printed structure composed of vertical pillars. The scaffold was then combined with cartilagemimetic hydrogel to form the biomimetic composite material and implanted into the injured site. In vitro experiments demonstrated that the biomimetic composite material could induce chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs and stimulate ECM production. In vivo experiments showed that the biomimetic composite material allowed for significantly greater limb growth than other treatment options, although only limited cartilage-like tissues were observed at the injury site.¹⁸³

In another research, Li et al. suggested that the designed structure of the scaffold should imitate the native growth plate tissue, which has an oriented structure of chondrocytes associated with its mechanical and biological functions. They developed an ECM-derived oriented acellular scaffold to mimic the column structure of the natural growth plate. The scaffold has a biomimetic columnar structure with uniform pore sizes in both the transverse and longitudinal planes. BMSCs adhere and distribute like the columnar structure of natural chondrocytes, and oriented microtubules induce BMSC migration into the core of the scaffold. This structure may enhance the mechanical properties and protect the cells from early critical compression before sufficient ECM is deposited. Additionally, the scaffolds exhibit excellent biocompatibility, and their extracts have no toxicity to BMSCs. The results showed that the scaffold promoted new cartilage regeneration and prevented bone bridge formation, reducing angular deformity and length differences. While unable to completely prevent angulation and lower limb shortening deformities, the ECM-derived oriented growth plate biomimetic scaffold shows potential for the growth plate cartilage regeneration in young rabbits.⁵²

In conclusion, few biomimetic scaffolds currently exist for the repair of growth plate injury and the regeneration of growth plate cartilage. As previously mentioned, Li and Wong's studies both mimicked the columnar structure of the growth plate by constructing scaffolds containing vertical columnar structures. The results showed that biomimetic structural had achieved preliminary results in promoting growth plate regeneration, but there was also the problem of unstable treatment effect. Therefore, it may be necessary to optimize the scaffold structure further and rationally use seed cells and biological factors to enhance the growth plate regenerative ability. In addition, more complex issues should be considered in future clinical applications, such as the pathological environment that is not conducive to cartilage regeneration after growth plate injury, the formation of bone bridges, and the differences in the mechanical properties of growth plates at different ages. Therefore, when designing biomimetic composite scaffolds in the future, we should consider the current pathological phase of growth plate injury and try to add biological factors to improve the microenvironment. At

the same time, combined with computer-aided technology to characterize the mechanical properties of the growth plate and the tissue structure of different regions, and then design a biomimetic scaffold with a layered structure, which fully mimics the native growth plate and realizes an efficient growth plate regeneration scaffold. And the structure may have caused an enhancement of the mechanical properties to protect the cells from early critical compression before sufficient ECM was deposited. At the same time, the scaffolds have excellent biocompatibility, and their extracts have no toxicity to BMSCs. The results showed that the scaffold promoted the new cartilage regeneration and prevented bone bridge formation, thus reducing the angular deformity and length difference. Although not able to completely prevent angulation and lower limb shortening deformity, the ECM-derived oriented growth plate biomimetic scaffold shows potential for repairing growth plate injury and regenerating growth plate cartilage in young rabbits.

Scaffold-free strategy. Traditional scaffold-based tissue engineering techniques involve the use of biomaterials to construct a 3D structure that supports the attachment of living cells and provides a microenvironment for tissue regeneration. However, the size, porosity, shape and physicochemical properties of the scaffolds will affect the immune response and regeneration outcomes.¹⁸⁴ Many existing scaffolds still struggle to accurately mimic the chondrogenesis of native tissue, resulting in a mismatch between the mechanical and biochemical properties of the scaffolds and native tissues,185 which may affect the regeneration therapy of target tissues. Although scaffolds with biocompatible materials and adjustable mechanical properties have been widely used to overcome these problems, the progress of clinical transformation and application is still partially affected. In recent years, the concept of scaffold-free tissue engineering has been paid more and more attention. The scaffold-free strategy mainly rely on the ability of cells to produce and maintain their own ECM, without interfering with cell-cell signaling, cell migration, or small molecule diffusion after implantation.¹⁸⁶ Currently, scaffold-free technologies can be roughly divided into cell sheet technology, transient scaffold culture method, and cell polymerization technology.¹⁸⁷

As an alternative to scaffold-based therapy, cell sheet technology has attracted the attention of researchers in recent years. Cell sheet technology can effectively preserve cell-cell interactions as well as ECM and cell sheet structure, resulting in a high cell density and uniform cell distribution of the constructed tissue, which more closely mimics native tissue. In addition, cell sheet technology can avoid limitations and potential problem of scaffolds.^{179,180} GÜLTEKİN et al. used cell sheet technology to prepare MSCs and chondrocyte sheets for growth plate repair. And the cell sheets developed in the present study facilitated growth plate cartilage regeneration and sufficiently prevented the development of a bone bridge.¹⁸⁸ It is worth mentioning that cartilage cell sheets made from a thermal responsive culture dish (TRCD) combined with traditional bone marrow stimulation were tested in eight patients. Cell sheet transplantation has been shown to be safe and effective in cartilage regeneration in defect sites.¹⁸⁹ However, cell sheet technology also has some defects, including poor stability, low mechanical strength, difficulty in operation, and other problems, which are easy to increase the difficulty of clinical surgery.¹⁹⁰ Therefore, the application of cell sheet technology may be limited, but it can be considered an auxiliary means of growth plate regeneration therapy in the future.

In addition to cell sheets, some studies have used scaffold-free cell-polymer to treat growth plate injuries. Chow et al. used scaffold-free bioengineered cartilage pellets (BCP) to fill the defect in the growth plate. After transplantation, the BCP exhibited continuous growth in the host and showed a resemblance to the natural growth plate. BCP has the potential to restore femoral longitudinal growth and prevent bone bridge formation or premature physeal closure.¹⁹¹ However, one limitation of this technique is that the in vitro proliferation of the BCP would peak at 14 days and subsequently decline by 21 days during pellet culture, which might affect the growth potential of the BCP after its transplantation.⁴² In addition, Lee et al. recently generated a cartilage tissue analog (CTA) using a suspension culture with biophysical properties similar to native hyaline cartilage. CTA was implanted into the growth plate defect, and results showed that tissue similar to the growth plate cartilage was formed in the growth plate defect while reducing bone bridge formation and angular deformities. Therefore, CTA transplantation as a treatment for growth plate injury reduces deformities by reducing bone bridge formation. Yoshida et al. created an in vitro-generated scaffold-free tissue-engineered construct (TEC) derived from synovial MSCs. A complex of Synovial MSCs and ECM formed a threedimensional structure through active tissue contraction. Proliferative and prehypertrophic chondrocytes were formed at the injuried site after TEC implantation, and the cells presented the growth plate-like columnar arrangement. At the same time, bone bridge formation was inhibited at the injuried site. Therefore, scaffold-free TEC can be used as biomaterial for growth plate regeneration therapy. In addition, the experiment also suggested the effect of local signal gradient on growth plate cartilage regeneration.¹⁹² Therefore, the future research direction may be to mimic the development process of normal growth plates or control the proliferation, differentiation and hypertrophy of chondrocytes by regulating the signal gradient inside growth plates, so as to promote the regeneration of growth plates.

Furthermore, scaffold-free tissue engineering can be accomplished using 3D bioprinting, whereby bioinks containing cell suspensions and additives serve as precursor materials to form the final product. Bioinks can be classified as scaffold-based or scaffold-free, with scaffold-based bioinks being the predominant form of 3D bioprinting. However, scaffold-based bioinks have certain limitations, such as byproducts. Scaffold-free bioprinting, on the other hand, is associated with challenges such as fast bioprinting process and slow integration rate between cells.¹⁹³

One approach to scaffold-free bioprinting is the Kenzan method, which utilizes a pre-designed stainless steel array of microneedles as temporary support. Tissue spheres are placed into the gaps between the microneedles using a robotic arm. Once the cells secrete ECM and establish connections, the microneedle array is removed, resulting in the formation of a complete 3D structure. This method enables the printing of vertical three-dimensional structures with temporary support, which can later be removed, achieving a scaffold-free state.¹⁹⁴ In another study, Breathwaite et al. used a scaffold-free 3D printer to generate 3D bio-printed structures from human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell spheres and induced in vitro chondrogenesis and osteogenesis. The structures were manipulated and integrated under different conditions to replicate the bone-cartilage interface and other physiological processes, such as intramembrane ossification.¹⁹⁵ Despite these advances, scaffold-free 3D printing still faces several challenges, including improving the quality (phenotype and size uniformity of spheres) and yield of the bioink, as well as maintaining cell viability during printing.¹⁹⁶ Addressing these limitations is likely to be a key area of focus for future research.

Conclusion and prospect

Growth plate injuries involve a complex pathological process that often results in the formation of bone bridges. Previous studies have attempted to limit or reverse this process by targeting individual pathological events, but have not yielded satisfactory results. Therefore, it is necessary to target multiple pathological events and modulate their dynamic interactions in order to facilitate growth plate regeneration. To accomplish this, a multifaceted modulation strategy using advanced tissue engineering technology may be a promising strategy to explore.

Tissue engineering integrates scaffolds, seed cells, and biological factors to develop functional biomaterials that effectively promote the regeneration of growth plate cartilage. Seed cells and biological factors are vital components of tissue engineering, as they are instrumental in restoring the original structure of the growth plate. The regenerative process relies on the coordinated interaction of various cells and biological factors, and their functions can be further manipulated to enhance the regenerative outcomes. Additionally, to overcome the limitations of cell therapy, cell-free therapy has emerged, utilizing various MSCderived extracellular vesicles (EVs) for regenerative purposes. While some progress has been made, the biosafety of this approach requires further validation. Scaffolds play a crucial role in providing mechanical support and creating a suitable microenvironment for growth plate regeneration. Biomimetic scaffolds, designed to mimic the native tissue environment, have shown more promising results in regeneration compared to previous scaffold designs. Furthermore, research is also exploring scaffold-free strategies as an alternative approach to promote the regeneration of growth plate cartilage.

In future research, the development of highly adaptable tissue engineering implants capable of responding to various stimuli during dynamic changes holds great importance. The emergence of 4D printing technology, which introduces the concept of "time" into 3D printing, shows promise in this regard. 4D printed scaffolds exhibit unique responsive functions and shape-shifting abilities that have the potential to accurately simulate the dynamic nature of native tissues, achieving true biomimicry. However, technical support for 4D printing is still in its early stages, and the precise biological interactions between materials and tissues are yet to be fully understood. Through the advancement of related technologies and the exploration of multidisciplinary collaborations, tissue engineering will provide crucial technical support for growth plate cartilage regeneration. This will help overcome the current obstacles in the treatment process and ultimately realize the effective regeneration of growth plate cartilage.

Acknowledgements

No.

Author contributions

RYG, HJZ and XNC contribute equally to this work. PFZ conceived the review and supervised the work. RYG, HJZ and XNC partcipated in the work and wrote the main manuscript text. YLB prepared figures and tables. MJF and YWW contributed to the analysis. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Program (CN) [grant number BE2019608]; Postdoctoral Research Foundation of China [grant number 2022M721685]; Jiangsu Health Commission Medical Research Program [grant number M2020048]; National Facility for Translational Medicine (Shanghai) Open Program [grant number TMSK-2021-304]; Nanjing International Science and Technology Cooperation Program[grant number 202002050]; Nanjing Medical Science and Technology Development Key Program [grant number ZKX18041].

ORCID iD

Pengfei Zheng D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8647-4818

References

- Newton PT, Li L, Zhou B, et al. A radical switch in clonality reveals a stem cell niche in the epiphyseal growth plate. *Nature* 2019; 567: 234–238.
- Samsa WE, Zhou X and Zhou G. Signaling pathways regulating cartilage growth plate formation and activity. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2017; 62: 3–15.
- 3. Kazemi M and Williams JL. Properties of cartilage-subchondral bone junctions: a narrative review with specific focus on the growth plate. *Cartilage* 2021; 13: 16S–33S.
- Qiao Z, Lian M, Han Y, et al. Bioinspired stratified electrowritten fiber-reinforced hydrogel constructs with layerspecific induction capacity for functional osteochondral regeneration. *Biomaterials* 2021; 266: 120385.
- 5. Dua K, Abzug JM, Sesko Bauer A, et al. Pediatric distal radius fractures. *Instr Course Lect* 2017; 66: 447–460.
- Gauger EM, Casnovsky LL, Gauger EJ, et al. Acquired upper extremity growth arrest. *Orthopedics* 2017; 40: e95–e103.
- Karlikowski M and Sułko J. Physeal fractures of the lower leg in children and adolescents: therapeutic results, pitfalls and suggested management protocol - based on the experience of the authors and contemporary literature. *Adv Med Sci* 2018; 63: 107–111.
- Shaw N, Erickson C, Bryant SJ, et al. Regenerative medicine approaches for the treatment of pediatric physeal injuries. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* 2018; 24: 85–97.
- Zhou FH, Foster BK, Sander G, et al. Expression of proinflammatory cytokines and growth factors at the injured growth plate cartilage in young rats. *Bone* 2004; 35: 1307–1315.
- Chung R, Cool JC, Scherer MA, et al. Roles of neutrophilmediated inflammatory response in the bony repair of injured growth plate cartilage in young rats. *J Leukoc Biol* 2006; 80: 1272–1280.
- Musumeci G, Castrogiovanni P, Loreto C, et al. Posttraumatic caspase-3 expression in the adjacent areas of growth plate injury site: a morphological study. *Int J Mol Sci* 2013; 14: 15767–15784.
- MacRae VE, Farquharson C and Ahmed SF. The restricted potential for recovery of growth plate chondrogenesis and longitudinal bone growth following exposure to pro-inflammatory cytokines. *J Endocrinol* 2006; 189: 319–328.
- Yang L, Fan C, Shu T, et al. Punicalin alleviates TNF-αand IL-1β-induced chondrocyte dysfunction and cartilage metabolism via mediating FOXO3 signaling axis. *J Food Biochem* 2021; 45; e13755.
- Greenblatt MB, Shim J-H, Zou W, et al. The p38 MAPK pathway is essential for skeletogenesis and bone homeostasis in mice. *J Clin Investig* 2010; 120: 2457–2473.
- Zhou FH, Foster BK, Zhou X-F, et al. TNF-α mediates p38 MAP kinase activation and negatively regulates bone formation at the injured growth plate in rats. *J Bone Miner Res* 2006; 21: 1075–1088.
- Arasapam G, Scherer M, Cool JC, et al. Roles of COX-2 and iNOS in the bony repair of the injured growth plate cartilage. *J Cell Biochem* 2006; 99: 450–461.

- Ueki M, Tanaka N, Tanimoto K, et al. The effect of mechanical loading on the metabolism of growth plate chondrocytes. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2008; 36: 793–800.
- Tong Z, Yang X and Li J. Research progress on the mechanism of interleukin-1β on epiphyseal plate chondrocytes. *Eur J Med Res* 2022; 27: 313.
- Pichler K, Musumeci G, Vielgut I, et al. Towards a better understanding of bone bridge formation in the growth plate - an immunohistochemical approach. *Connect Tissue Res* 2013; 54: 408–415.
- Pfeilschifter J, Oechsner M, Naumann A, et al. Stimulation of bone matrix apposition in vitro by local growth factors: a comparison between insulin-like growth factor I, platelet-derived growth factor, and transforming growth factor beta. *Endocrinology* 1990; 127: 69–75.
- Chung R, Foster BK, Zannettino AC, et al. Potential roles of growth factor PDGF-BB in the bony repair of injured growth plate. *Bone* 2009; 44: 878–885.
- Nasrabadi D, Rezaeiani S, Eslaminejad MB, et al. Improved protocol for chondrogenic differentiation of bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells -effect of PTHrP and FGF-2 on TGFβ1/BMP2-induced chondrocytes hypertrophy. *Stem Cell Rev Rep* 2018; 14: 755–766.
- 23. Beamer B, Hettrich C and Lane J. Vascular endothelial growth factor: an essential component of angiogenesis and fracture healing. *HSS J* 2010; 6: 85–94.
- 24. Fischerauer E, Heidari N, Neumayer B, et al. The spatial and temporal expression of VEGF and its receptors 1 and 2 in post-traumatic bone bridge formation of the growth plate. *J Mol Histol* 2011; 42: 513–522.
- Chung R, Foster BK and Xian CJ. The potential role of VEGF-induced vascularisation in the bony repair of injured growth plate cartilage. *J Endocrinol* 2014; 221: 63–75.
- Day TF, Guo X, Garrett-Beal L, et al. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in mesenchymal progenitors controls osteoblast and chondrocyte differentiation during vertebrate skeletogenesis. *Dev Cell* 2005; 8: 739–750.
- Usami Y, Gunawardena AT, Francois NB, et al. Possible contribution of Wnt-responsive chondroprogenitors to the postnatal murine growth plate. *J Bone Miner Res* 2019; 34: 964–974.
- Macsai CE, Georgiou KR, Foster BK, et al. Microarray expression analysis of genes and pathways involved in growth plate cartilage injury responses and bony repair. *Bone* 2012; 50: 1081–1091.
- Chung R, Wong D, Macsai C, et al. Roles of Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway in the bony repair of injured growth plate cartilage in young rats. *Bone* 2013; 52: 651–658.
- Su Y-W, Wong DSK, Fan J, et al. Enhanced BMP signalling causes growth plate cartilage dysrepair in rats. *Bone* 2021; 145: 115874.
- 31. Su Y-W, Chung R, Ruan C-S, et al. Neurotrophin-3 induces BMP-2 and VEGF activities and promotes the bony repair of injured growth plate cartilage and bone in rats. *J Bone Miner Res* 2016; 31: 1258–1274.
- Fischer C, Reiner C, Schmidmaier G, et al. Safety study: is there a pathologic IGF-1, PDGF and TGF-B cytokine expression caused by adjunct BMP-7 in tibial and femoral non-union therapy? *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 2018; 14: 691– 697.

- 33. Yang Y-Q, Tan Y-Y, Wong R, et al. The role of vascular endothelial growth factor in ossification. *Int J Oral Sci* 2012; 4: 64–68.
- 34. Macsai CE, Hopwood B, Chung R, et al. Structural and molecular analyses of bone bridge formation within the growth plate injury site and cartilage degeneration at the adjacent uninjured area. *Bone* 2011; 49: 904–912.
- Sekiya I, Tang T, Hayashi M, et al. Periodic knee injections of BMP-7 delay cartilage degeneration induced by excessive running in rats. *J Orthop Res* 2009; 27: 1088–1092.
- Fischerauer EE, Manninger M, Seles M, et al. BMP-6 and BMPR-1a are up-regulated in the growth plate of the fractured tibia. *J Orthop Res* 2013; 31: 357–363.
- Nilsson O, Parker EA, Hegde A, et al. Gradients in bone morphogenetic protein-related gene expression across the growth plate. *J Endocrinol* 2007; 193: 75–84.
- Su Y-W, Chim SM, Zhou L, et al. Osteoblast derivedneurotrophin-3 induces cartilage removal proteases and osteoclast-mediated function at injured growth plate in rats. *Bone* 2018; 116: 232–247.
- Foster BK, Hansen AL, Gibson GJ, et al. Reimplantation of growth plate chondrocytes into growth plate defects in sheep. *J Orthop Res* 1990; 8: 555–564.
- Lee EH, Chen F, Chan J, et al. Treatment of growth arrest by transfer of cultured chondrocytes into physeal defects. *J Pediatr Orthop* 1998; 18: 155–160.
- 41. Otsuki D, Yoshida K, Kobayashi M, et al. Costal cartilage transplantation for treatment of growth plate injury in a rabbit model. *J Child Orthop* 2017; 11: 20–27.
- 42. Lee KM, Cheng AS, Cheung WH, et al. Bioengineering and characterization of physeal transplant with physeal reconstruction potential. *Tissue Eng* 2003; 9: 703–711.
- Liu Z-M, Shen P-C, Lu CC, et al. Characterization of the proliferating layer chondrocytes of growth plate for cartilage regeneration. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2019; 25: 364–378.
- Park JS, Ahn JI and Oh DI. Chondrocyte allograft transplantation for damaged growth plate reconstruction. *Yonsei Med J* 1994; 35: 378–387.
- Tomaszewski R, Wiktor and Gap A. Orthotopic autologous chondrocyte grafting as a method of treatment of growth plate damage in rabbits. *Ortop Traumatol Rehabil* 2016; 18: 485–496.
- Tomaszewski R, Bohosiewicz J, Gap A, et al. Autogenous cultured growth plate chondrocyte transplantation in the treatment of physeal injury in rabbits. *Bone jt res* 2014; 3: 310–316.
- 47. Tobita M, Ochi M, Uchio Y, et al. Treatment of growth plate injury with autogenous chondrocytes: a study in rabbits. *Acta Orthop Scand* 2002; 73: 352–358.
- Jiang T, Kai D, Liu S, et al. Mechanically cartilage-mimicking poly(PCL-PTHF urethane)/collagen nanofibers induce chondrogenesis by blocking NF-kappa B signaling pathway. *Biomaterials* 2018; 178: 281–292.
- Gao X-L, Cao M-G, Ai G-G, et al. Mir-98 reduces the expression of HMGA2 and promotes osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2018; 22: 3311–3317.
- Barry F and Murphy M. Mesenchymal stem cells in joint disease and repair. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2013; 9: 584–594.

- 51. Planka L, Gal P, Kecova H, et al. Allogeneic and autogenous transplantations of MSCs in treatment of the physeal bone bridge in rabbits. *BMC Biotechnol* 2008; 8: 70.
- 52. Li W, Xu R, Huang J, et al. Treatment of rabbit growth plate injuries with oriented ECM scaffold and autologous BMSCs. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 44140.
- McCarty RC, Xian CJ, Gronthos S, et al. Application of autologous bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells to an ovine model of growth plate cartilage injury. *Open Orthop J* 2010; 4: 204–210.
- Wang Y, Fang J, Liu B, et al. Reciprocal regulation of mesenchymal stem cells and immune responses. *Cell Stem Cell* 2022; 29: 1515–1530.
- Mueller MB, Fischer M, Zellner J, et al. Hypertrophy in mesenchymal stem cell chondrogenesis: effect of TGFbeta isoforms and chondrogenic conditioning. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2010; 192: 158–166.
- 56. Nossin Y, Farrell E, Koevoet WJLM, et al. Angiogenic potential of tissue engineered cartilage from human mesenchymal stem cells is modulated by Indian hedgehog and serpin E1. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2020; 8: 327.
- Rocha B, Calamia V, Casas V, et al. Secretome analysis of human mesenchymal stem cells undergoing chondrogenic differentiation. *J Proteome Res* 2014; 13: 1045–1054.
- Coleman RM, Schwartz Z, Boyan BD, et al. The therapeutic effect of bone marrow-derived stem cell implantation after epiphyseal plate injury is abrogated by chondrogenic predifferentiation. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2013; 19: 475–483.
- Chen F-M and Liu X. Advancing biomaterials of human origin for tissue engineering. *Prog Polym Sci* 2016; 53: 86–168.
- Sabol RA, Bowles AC, Côté A, et al. Therapeutic potential of Adipose Stem Cells. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2021; 1341: 15–25.
- Wan J-T, Qiu X-S, Fu ZH, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-α inhibition restores matrix formation by human adiposederived stem cells in the late stage of chondrogenic differentiation. *World J Stem Cells* 2022; 14: 798–814.
- Mohamed-Ahmed S, Fristad I, Lie SA, et al. Adiposederived and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: a donor-matched comparison. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2018; 9: 168.
- 63. Wakitani S, Goto T, Pineda SJ, et al. Mesenchymal cellbased repair of large, full-thickness defects of articular cartilage. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1994; 76: 579–592.
- Debnath S, Yallowitz AR, McCormick J, et al. Discovery of a periosteal stem cell mediating intramembranous bone formation. *Nature* 2018; 562: 133–139.
- 65. Chen F, Hui JHP, Chan WK, et al. Cultured mesenchymal stem cell transfers in the treatment of partial growth arrest. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2003; 23: 425–429.
- 66. Li L, Hui JH, Goh JC, et al. Chitin as a scaffold for mesenchymal stem cells transfers in the treatment of partial growth arrest. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2004; 24: 205–210.
- 67. Chen D, Kang X, Li Z, et al. Hedgehog/GL11 signaling pathway regulates the resistance to cisplatin in human osteosarcoma. *Cancer* 2021; 12: 6676–6684.
- Wu Y, Zhou X, Yuan W, et al. Gli1+ mesenchymal stem cells in bone and teeth. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther* 2022; 17: 494–502.

- 69. Jing D, Li C, Yao K, et al. The vital role of Gli1+ mesenchymal stem cells in tissue development and homeostasis. *J Cell Physiol* 2021; 236: 6077–6089.
- Zhao H, Feng J, Ho TV, et al. The suture provides a niche for mesenchymal stem cells of craniofacial bones. *Nat Cell Biol* 2015; 17: 386–396.
- Yu M, Ma L, Yuan Y, et al. Cranial suture regeneration mitigates skull and neurocognitive defects in craniosynostosis. *Cell* 2021; 184: 243–256.e18.
- Shi Y, He G, Lee W-C, et al. Gli1 identifies osteogenic progenitors for bone formation and fracture repair. *Nat Commun* 2017; 8: 2043.
- Kamei N, Ochi M, Adachi N, et al. The safety and efficacy of magnetic targeting using autologous mesenchymal stem cells for cartilage repair. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2018; 26: 3626–3635.
- 74. Iijima H, Isho T, Kuroki H, et al. Effectiveness of mesenchymal stem cells for treating patients with knee osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis toward the establishment of effective regenerative rehabilitation. NPJ Regen Med 2018; 3: 15.
- 75. Lan T, Luo M and Wei X. Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells in cancer therapy. *J Hematol Oncol* 2021; 14: 195.
- Mamidi MK, Das AK, Zakaria Z, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells for cartilage repair in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2016; 24: 1307–1316.
- Chan CK, Seo EY, Chen JY, et al. Identification and specification of the mouse skeletal stem cell. *Cell* 2015; 160: 285–298.
- Chan CKF, Gulati GS, Sinha R, et al. Identification of the human skeletal stem cell. *Cell* 2018; 175: 43–56.e21.
- Wu Y-X, Jing X-Z, Sun Y, et al. CD146+ skeletal stem cells from growth plate exhibit specific chondrogenic differentiation capacity in vitro. *Mol Med Rep* 2017; 16: 8019–8028.
- Muruganandan S, Pierce R, Teguh DA, et al. A FoxA2+ long-term stem cell population is necessary for growth plate cartilage regeneration after injury. *Nat Commun* 2022; 13: 2515.
- Mizuhashi K, Ono W, Matsushita Y, et al. Resting zone of the growth plate houses a unique class of skeletal stem cells. *Nature* 2018; 563: 254–258.
- Bell N, Bhagat S, Muruganandan S, et al. Overexpression of transcription factor FoxA2 in the developing skeleton causes an enlargement of the cartilage hypertrophic zone, but it does not trigger ectopic differentiation in immature chondrocytes. *Bone* 2022; 160: 116418.
- Duchamp de Lageneste O, Julien A, Abou-Khalil R, et al. Periosteum contains skeletal stem cells with high bone regenerative potential controlled by Periostin. *Nat Commun* 2018; 9: 773.
- 84. Tsukasaki M, Komatsu N, Negishi-Koga T, et al. Periosteal stem cells control growth plate stem cells during postnatal skeletal growth. *Nat Commun* 2022; 13: 4166.
- Zhang N, Hu L, Cao Z, et al. Periosteal skeletal stem cells and their response to Bone Injury. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2022; 10: 812094.
- 86. Bunnell BA. Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Cells* 2021; 10: 3433.
- 87. Polly SS, Nichols AEC, Donnini E, et al. Adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction and cultured stromal cells as

trophic mediators for tendon healing. *J Orthop Res* 2019; 37: 1429–1439.

- Sananta P, Gede Made Oka R, Suryanto Dradjat PR, et al. Adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction prevent bone bridge formation on growth plate injury in rat (in vivo studies) an experimental research. *Ann Med Surg* 2020; 60: 211–217.
- Hao Y, Ren Z, Yu L, et al. P300 arrests intervertebral disc degeneration by regulating the FOXO3/Sirt1/Wnt/βcatenin axis. *Aging Cell* 2022; 21: e13677.
- Wang X, Guan Y, Xiang S, et al. Role of canonical Wnt/βcatenin pathway in regulating chondrocytic hypertrophy in mesenchymal stem cell-based cartilage tissue engineering. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2022; 10: 812081.
- Yang H, Cong M, Huang W, et al. The effect of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes on cartilage repair in rabbits. *Stem Cells Int* 2022; 2022: 5760107. 2022.
- Fu X, Liu G, Halim A, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell migration and tissue repair. *Cells* 2019; 8: 784.
- 93. Bian D, Wu Y, Song G, et al. The application of mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) and their derivative exosome in skin wound healing: a comprehensive review. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2022; 13: 24.
- Tang Y, Zhou Y and Li HJ. Advances in mesenchymal stem cell exosomes: a review. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2021; 12: 71.
- Ng CY, Chai JY, Foo JB, et al. Potential of exosomes as cell-free therapy in articular cartilage regeneration: A Review. *Int J Nanomedicine* 2021; 16: 6749–6781.
- Yan L, Liu G and Wu X. The umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomal lncRNA H19 improves osteochondral activity through miR-29b-3p/FoxO3 axis. *Clin Transl Med* 2021; 11: e255.
- Zhang S, Chuah SJ, Lai RC, et al. MSC exosomes mediate cartilage repair by enhancing proliferation, attenuating apoptosis and modulating immune reactivity. *Biomaterials* 2018; 156: 16–27.
- Wong KL, Zhang S, Tan SSH, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell exosomes promote growth plate repair and reduce limb-length discrepancy in Young Rats. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2022; 104: 1098–1106.
- Gorgun C, Palamà MEF, Reverberi D, et al. Role of extracellular vesicles from adipose tissue- and bone marrowmesenchymal stromal cells in endothelial proliferation and chondrogenesis. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2021; 10: 1680–1695.
- Chung R and Xian CJ. Recent research on the growth plate: mechanisms for growth plate injury repair and potential cell-based therapies for regeneration. *J Mol Endocrinol* 2014; 53: T45–T61.
- Guan P, Liu C, Xie D, et al. Exosome-loaded extracellular matrix-mimic hydrogel with anti-inflammatory property facilitates/promotes growth plate injury repair. *Bioact Mater* 2022; 10: 145–158.
- Coleman RM, Phillips JE, Lin A, et al. Characterization of a small animal growth plate injury model using microcomputed tomography. *Bone* 2010; 46: 1555–1563.
- 103. Willis GR, Kourembanas S and Mitsialis SA. Toward exosome-based therapeutics: isolation, heterogeneity,

and Fit-for-Purpose potency. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2017; 4: 63.

- Dixit M, Poudel SB and Yakar S. Effects of GH/IGF axis on bone and cartilage. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2021; 519: 111052.
- 105. Sundararaj SK, Cieply RD, Gupta G, et al. Treatment of growth plate injury using IGF-I-loaded PLGA scaffolds. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2015; 9: E202–E209.
- Lui JC, Colbert M, Cheung CSF, et al. Cartilage-targeted IGF-1 treatment to promote longitudinal bone growth. *Mol Ther* 2019; 27: 673–680.
- Lee S, Choi J, Mohanty J, et al. Structures of β-klotho reveal a 'zip code'-like mechanism for endocrine FGF signalling. *Nature* 2018; 553: 501–505.
- Chen MJ, Whiteley JP, Please CP, et al. Inducing chondrogenesis in MSC/chondrocyte co-cultures using exogenous TGF-β: a mathematical model. *J Theor Biol* 2018; 439: 1–13.
- Stager MA, Erickson CB, Payne KA, et al. Fabrication of size-controlled and emulsion-free chitosan-Genipin microgels for tissue engineering applications. J Vis Exp 2022. Epub ahead of print 13 April 2022. DOI: 10.3791/63857
- 110. Furumatsu T, Tsuda M, Taniguchi N, et al. Smad3 induces chondrogenesis through the activation of SOX9 via CREB-binding protein/p300 recruitment. *J Biol Chem* 2005; 280: 8343–8350.
- 111. Nishida T, Kubota S, Fukunaga T, et al. CTGF/Hcs24, hypertrophic chondrocyte-specific gene product, interacts with perlecan in regulating the proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes. *J Cell Physiol* 2003; 196: 265–275.
- Nishida T, Kubota S, Kojima S, et al. Regeneration of defects in articular cartilage in rat knee joints by CCN2 (connective tissue growth factor). *J Bone Miner Res* 2004; 19: 1308–1319.
- 113. Oh CD, Yasuda H, Zhao W, et al. SOX9 directly regulates CTGF/CCN2 transcription in growth plate chondrocytes and in nucleus pulposus cells of intervertebral disc. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6: 29916.
- 114. Dy P, Wang W, Bhattaram P, et al. Sox9 directs hypertrophic maturation and blocks osteoblast differentiation of growth plate chondrocytes. *Dev Cell* 2012; 22: 597–609.
- 115. Celil AB and Campbell PG. BMP-2 and insulin-like growth factor-I mediate Osterix (Osx) expression in human mesenchymal stem cells via the MAPK and protein kinase D signaling pathways. *J Biol Chem* 2005; 280: 31353–31359.
- 116. Tang C-H, Yang R-S, Huang T-H, et al. Enhancement of fibronectin fibrillogenesis and bone formation by basic fibroblast growth factor via protein kinase C-dependent pathway in rat osteoblasts. *Mol Pharmacol* 2004; 66: 440–449.
- 117. Chung R, Foster BK and Xian CJ. Inhibition of protein kinase-D promotes cartilage repair at injured growth plate in rats. *Injury* 2013; 44: 914–922.
- Xian CJ, Zhou FH, McCarty RC, et al. Intramembranous ossification mechanism for bone bridge formation at the growth plate cartilage injury site. *J Orthop Res* 2004; 22: 417–426.
- Erickson CB, Newsom JP, Fletcher NA, et al. Anti-VEGF antibody delivered locally reduces bony bar formation following physeal injury in rats. *J Orthop Res* 2021; 39: 1658–1668.

- Ishijima M, Suzuki N, Hozumi K, et al. Perlecan modulates VEGF signaling and is essential for vascularization in endochondral bone formation. *Matrix Biol* 2012; 31: 234–245.
- 121. Smith SM, West LA and Hassell JR. The core protein of growth plate perlecan binds FGF-18 and alters its mitogenic effect on chondrocytes. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2007; 468: 244–251.
- Du SW and Palczewski K. MicroRNA regulation of critical retinal pigment epithelial functions. *Trends Neurosci* 2022; 45: 78–90.
- 123. Lui JC. Regulation of body growth by microRNAs. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2017; 456: 2–8.
- 124. Zhang Y, Huang X and Yuan Y. MicroRNA-410 promotes chondrogenic differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells through down-regulating wnt3a. *Am j transl res* 2017; 9: 136–145.
- 125. Yang B, Guo H, Zhang Y, et al. MicroRNA-145 regulates chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by targeting sox9. *PLoS One* 2011; 6: e21679.
- Lee S, Yoon DS, Paik S, et al. MicroRNA-495 inhibits chondrogenic differentiation in human mesenchymal stem cells by targeting sox9. *Stem Cells Dev* 2014; 23: 1798– 1808.
- 127. Urata M, Kokabu S, Matsubara T, et al. A peptide that blocks the interaction of NF-κB p65 subunit with smad4 enhances BMP2-induced osteogenesis. J Cell Physiol 2018; 233: 7356–7366.
- 128. Tian J, Rui Y-J, Xu YJ, et al. MiR-143-3p regulates early cartilage differentiation of BMSCs and promotes cartilage damage repair through targeting BMPR2. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2018; 22: 8814–8821.
- 129. Yang W, Zhu W, Yang Y, et al. Exosomal miR-100-5p inhibits osteogenesis of hBMSCs and angiogenesis of HUVECs by suppressing the BMPR2/Smad1/5/9 signalling pathway. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2021; 12: 390.
- Kobayashi T, Lu J, Cobb BS, et al. Dicer-dependent pathways regulate chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; 105: 1949–1954.
- Papaioannou G, Inloes JB, Nakamura Y, et al. Let-7 and miR-140 microRNAs coordinately regulate skeletal development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013; 110: E3291–E3300.
- Chau M, Forcinito P, Andrade AC, et al. Organization of the Indian hedgehog – parathyroid hormone-related protein system in the postnatal growth plate. *J Mol Endocrinol* 2011; 47: 99–107.
- 133. Jee YH, Wang J, Yue S, et al. Mir-374-5p, mir-379-5p, and mir-503-5p regulate proliferation and hypertrophic differentiation of growth plate chondrocytes in male rats. *Endocrinology* 2018; 159: 1469–1478.
- 134. Coccia EM, Cicala C, Charlesworth A, et al. Regulation and expression of a growth arrest-specific gene (gas5) during growth, differentiation, and development. *Mol Cell Biol* 1992; 12: 3514–3521.
- 135. Liu X, She Y, Wu H, et al. Long non-coding RNA gas5 regulates proliferation and apoptosis in HCS-2/8 cells and growth plate chondrocytes by controlling FGF1 expression via miR-21 regulation. *J Biomed Sci* 2018; 25: 18.
- Dahms SE, Piechota HJ, Dahiya R, et al. Composition and biomechanical properties of the bladder acellular matrix

graft: comparative analysis in rat, pig and human. Br J Urol 1998; 82: 411–419.

- Jin CZ, Choi BH, Park SR, et al. Cartilage engineering using cell-derived extracellular matrix scaffold in vitro. J Biomed Mater Res A 2010; 92: 1567–1577.
- 138. Theocharis AD, Skandalis SS, Gialeli C, et al. Extracellular matrix structure. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2016; 97: 4–27.
- Badylak SF. The extracellular matrix as a biologic scaffold material. *Biomaterials* 2007; 28: 3587–3593.
- Rastogi P and Kandasubramanian B. Review of alginatebased hydrogel bioprinting for application in tissue engineering. *Biofabrication* 2019; 11: 042001.
- Salati MA, Khazai J, Tahmuri AM, et al. Agarose-based biomaterials: opportunities and challenges in cartilage tissue engineering. *Polymers* 2020; 12: 1150.
- Glicklis R, Shapiro L, Agbaria R, et al. Hepatocyte behavior within three-dimensional porous alginate scaffolds. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2000; 67: 344–353.
- 143. Erickson AG, Laughlin TD, Romereim SM, et al. A tunable, three-dimensional *in vitro* culture model of growth plate cartilage using alginate hydrogel scaffolds. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2018; 24: 94–105.
- 144. Markstedt K, Mantas A, Tournier I, et al. 3D bioprinting human chondrocytes with nanocellulose-alginate bioink for cartilage tissue engineering applications. *Biomacromolecules* 2015; 16: 1489–1496.
- 145. Freeman FE and Kelly DJ. Tuning alginate bioink stiffness and composition for controlled growth factor delivery and to spatially direct MSC fate within bioprinted tissues. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 17042.
- 146. Sturtivant A and Callanan A. The use of antifreeze proteins to modify pore structure in directionally frozen alginate sponges for cartilage tissue engineering. *Biomed Phys Eng Express* 2020; 6: 055016.
- Deepthi S, Venkatesan J, Kim S-K, et al. An overview of chitin or chitosan/nano ceramic composite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2016; 93: 1338–1353.
- 148. Sukpaita T, Chirachanchai S, Chanamuangkon T, et al. Novel epigenetic modulation chitosan-based scaffold as a promising bone regenerative material. *Cells* 2022; 11: 3217.
- Shi W, Zhang X, Bian L, et al. Alendronate crosslinked chitosan/polycaprolactone scaffold for bone defects repairing. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2022; 204: 441–456.
- 150. Zhang Y, Li Z, Wang Z, et al. Mechanically enhanced composite hydrogel scaffold for in situ bone repairs. *Biomater adv* 2022; 134: 112700.
- 151. Ishikawa S, Iijima K, Matsukuma D, et al. Enhanced function of chondrocytes in a chitosan-based hydrogel to regenerate cartilage tissues by accelerating degradability of the hydrogel via a hydrolysable crosslinker. *J Appl Polym Sci* 2020; 137: 48893.
- Lahiji A, Sohrabi A, Hungerford DS, et al. Chitosan supports the expression of extracellular matrix proteins in human osteoblasts and chondrocytes. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2000; 51: 586–595.
- Erickson C, Stager M, Riederer M, et al. Emulsion-free chitosan–genipin microgels for growth plate cartilage regeneration. *J Biomater Appl* 2021; 36: 289–296.

- 154. Planka L, Srnec R, Rauser P, et al. Nanotechnology and mesenchymal stem cells with chondrocytes in prevention of partial growth plate arrest in pigs. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub* 2012; 156: 128–134.
- 155. Urist MR. Bone: formation by autoinduction. *Science* 1965; 150: 893–899.
- Jin XB, Luo ZJ and Wang J. Treatment of rabbit growth plate injuries with an autologous tissue-engineered composite. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2006; 183: 62–67.
- 157. Yuan Z, Wei P, Huang Y, et al. Injectable PLGA microspheres with tunable magnesium ion release for promoting bone regeneration. *Acta Biomater* 2019; 85: 294–309.
- Raghav PK, Mann Z, Ahlawat S, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-based nanoparticles and scaffolds in regenerative medicine. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2022; 918: 174657.
- 159. Clark A, Hilt JZ, Milbrandt TA, et al. Treating proximal tibial growth plate injuries using poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) scaffolds. *Biores Open Access* 2015; 4: 65–74.
- Costantino MD, Schuster A, Helmholz H, et al. Inflammatory response to magnesium-based biodegradable implant materials. *Acta Biomater* 2020; 101: 598–608.
- Witte F, Kaese V, Haferkamp H, et al. In vivo corrosion of four magnesium alloys and the associated bone response. *Biomaterials* 2005; 26: 3557–3563.
- Song MH, Yoo WJ, Cho T-J, et al. In vivo response of growth plate to biodegradable Mg-Ca-Zn alloys depending on the surface modification. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019; 20: 3761.
- Campbell TM, Dilworth FJ, Allan DS, et al. The Hunt is on! In pursuit of the ideal stem cell population for cartilage regeneration. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2022; 10: 866148.
- Kim Y-H, Dawson JI, Oreffo ROC, et al. Gelatin methacryloyl hydrogels for musculoskeletal tissue regeneration. *Bioengineering* 2022; 9: 332.
- Shin J, Kang EH, Choi S, et al. Tissue-adhesive chondroitin sulfate hydrogel for cartilage reconstruction. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 2021; 7: 4230–4243.
- Li S, Ma F, Pang X, et al. Synthesis of chondroitin sulfate magnesium for osteoarthritis treatment. *Carbohydr Polym* 2019; 212: 387–394.
- 167. Guan P, Ji Y, Kang X, et al. Biodegradable dual-crosslinked hydrogels with stem cell differentiation regulatory properties promote growth plate injury repair via controllable three-dimensional mechanics and a cartilage-like extracellular matrix. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2023; 15: 8986–8998.
- 168. Wang J, Wang Y, Sun X, et al. Biomimetic cartilage scaffold with orientated porous structure of two factors for cartilage repair of knee osteoarthritis. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol* 2019; 47: 1710–1721.
- Eckstein KN, Thomas SM, Scott AK, et al. The heterogeneous mechanical properties of adolescent growth plate cartilage: A study in rabbit. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater* 2022; 128: 105102.
- Sergerie K, Lacoursière M-O, Lévesque M, et al. Mechanical properties of the porcine growth plate and its three zones from unconfined compression tests. *J Biomech* 2009; 42: 510–516.
- Carter DR, Orr TE, Fyhrie DP, et al. Influences of mechanical stress on prenatal and postnatal skeletal development. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1987; 219: 237–250.

- 172. Killion CH, Mitchell EH, Duke CG, et al. Mechanical loading regulates organization of the actin cytoskeleton and column formation in postnatal growth plate. *Mol Biol Cell* 2017; 28: 1862–1870.
- Yoo WJ, Cheon J-E, Lee HR, et al. Physeal growth arrest by excessive compression: histological, biochemical, and micro-CT observations in rabbits. *Clin Orthop Surg* 2011; 3: 309–314.
- 174. Vendra BB, Roan E and Williams JL. Chondron curvature mapping in growth plate cartilage under compressive loading. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2018; 84: 168–177.
- Gao J, Williams JL and Roan E. Multiscale modeling of growth plate cartilage mechanobiology. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol* 2017; 16: 667–679.
- 176. Heinrich MA, Liu W, Jimenez A, et al. 3D bioprinting: from benches to translational applications. *Small* 2019; 15: e1805510.
- 177. Derakhshanfar S, Mbeleck R, Xu K, et al. 3D bioprinting for biomedical devices and tissue engineering: a review of recent trends and advances. *Bioact Mater* 2018; 3: 144–156.
- 178. Hughes AM, Kolb AD, Shupp AB, et al. Printing the pathway forward in bone metastatic cancer research: applications of 3D engineered models and bioprinted scaffolds to recapitulate the bone-tumor niche. *Cancers* 2021; 13: 507.
- Li M, Ma J, Gao Y, et al. Cell sheet technology: a promising strategy in regenerative medicine. *Cytotherapy* 2019; 21: 3–16.
- 180. Kawecki F, Clafshenkel WP, Fortin M, et al. Biomimetic tissue-engineered bone substitutes for maxillofacial and Craniofacial Repair: the potential of Cell Sheet Technologies. Adv Healthc Mater 2018; 7: e1700919.
- Ni J, Ling H, Zhang S, et al. Three-dimensional printing of metals for biomedical applications. *Mater today Bio* 2019; 3: 100024.
- Tamaddon M, Wang L, Liu Z, et al. Osteochondral tissue repair in osteoarthritic joints: clinical challenges and opportunities in tissue engineering. *Biodes Manuf* 2018; 1: 101– 114.
- 183. Yu Y, Fischenich KM, Schoonraad SA, et al. A 3D printed mimetic composite for the treatment of growth plate injuries in a rabbit model. *NPJ Regen Med* 2022; 7: 60.
- Yang J, Yamato M, Kohno C, et al. Cell sheet engineering: recreating tissues without biodegradable scaffolds. *Biomaterials* 2005; 26: 6415–6422.

- 185. Xu T, Binder KW, Albanna MZ, et al. Hybrid printing of mechanically and biologically improved constructs for cartilage tissue engineering applications. *Biofabrication* 2013; 5: 015001.
- Lee JK, Link JM, Hu JCY, et al. The self-assembling process and applications in tissue engineering. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2017; 7: a025668.
- Sun K, Tao C and Wang D-A. Scaffold-free approaches for the fabrication of engineered articular cartilage tissue. *Biomed Mater* 2022; 17: 022005.
- Gültekin A, Ağirdil Y, Duman BÖ, et al. Comparison of mesenchymal stem cell sheets and chondrocyte sheets in a rabbit growth plate injury model. *Turk J Med Sci* 2020; 50: 1082–1096.
- Sato M, Yamato M, Mitani G, et al. Combined surgery and chondrocyte cell-sheet transplantation improves clinical and structural outcomes in knee osteoarthritis. *NPJ Regen Med* 2019; 4: 4.
- Kondo M, Kameishi S, Grainger DW, et al. Novel therapies using cell sheets engineered from allogeneic mesenchymal stem/stromal cells. *Emerg Top Life Sci* 2020; 4: 677–689.
- 191. Chow SK-H, Lee K-M, Qin L, et al. Restoration of longitudinal growth by bioengineered cartilage pellet in physeal injury is not affected by low intensity pulsed ultrasound. J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater 2011; 99B: 36–44.
- 192. Yoshida K, Higuchi C, Nakura A, et al. Treatment of partial growth arrest using an in vitro-generated scaffold-free tissue-engineered construct derived from rabbit synovial mesenchymal stem cells. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2012; 32: 314–321.
- 193. Herberg S, Varghai D, Alt DS, et al. Scaffold-free human mesenchymal stem cell construct geometry regulates long bone regeneration. *Commun biol* 2021; 4: 89.
- Moldovan NI, Hibino N and Nakayama K. Principles of the Kenzan method for robotic cell spheroid-based threedimensional bioprinting. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* 2017; 23: 237–244.
- 195. Breathwaite EK, Weaver JR, Murchison AC, et al. Scaffold-free bioprinted osteogenic and chondrogenic systems to model osteochondral physiology. *Biomed Mater* 2019; 14: 065010.
- Cooper SM and Rainbow RS. The developing field of scaffold-free tissue engineering for articular cartilage repair. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* 2022; 28: 995–1006.