METHODOLOGY

# Automated image analysis in the study of collagenous colitis

Anne-Marie Kanstrup Fiehn<sup>1,2</sup> Martin Kristensson<sup>3</sup> Ulla Engel<sup>4</sup> Lars Kristian Munck<sup>5,6</sup> Susanne Holck<sup>4</sup> Peter Johan Heiberg Engel<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Roskilde Hospital, Roskilde, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, <sup>3</sup>Digital Pathology, Visiopharm, Hørsholm, <sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, <sup>5</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Koege Hospital, Koege, <sup>6</sup>Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence: Anne-Marie Kanstrup Fiehn

Department of Pathology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Frederik V's Vej 11, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark Tel +45 3070 8392 Fax +45 4635 2983 Fmail anne-marie kapstrup fiehn 01@

Email anne-marie.kanstrup.fiehn.01@ regionh.dk

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/CEG.S101219

**Purpose:** The aim of this study was to develop an automated image analysis software to measure the thickness of the subepithelial collagenous band in colon biopsies with collagenous colitis (CC) and incomplete CC (CCi). The software measures the thickness of the collagenous band on microscopic slides stained with Van Gieson (VG).

**Patients and methods:** A training set consisting of ten biopsies diagnosed as CC, CCi, and normal colon mucosa was used to develop the automated image analysis (VG app) to match the assessment by a pathologist. The study set consisted of biopsies from 75 patients. Twenty-five cases were primarily diagnosed as CC, 25 as CCi, and 25 as normal or near-normal colonic mucosa. Four pathologists individually reassessed the biopsies and categorized all into one of the abovementioned three categories. The result of the VG app was correlated with the diagnosis provided by the four pathologists.

**Results:** The interobserver agreement for each pair of pathologists ranged from  $\kappa$ -values of 0.56–0.81, while the  $\kappa$ -value for the VG app vs each of the pathologists varied from 0.63 to 0.79. The overall agreement between the four pathologists was  $\kappa$ =0.69, while the overall agreement between the four pathologists and the VG app was  $\kappa$ =0.71.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, the Visiopharm VG app is able to measure the thickness of a subepithelial collagenous band in colon biopsies with an accuracy comparable to the performance of a pathologist and thereby provides a promising supplementary tool for the diagnosis of CC and CCi and in particular for research.

**Keywords:** microscopic colitis, collagenous colitis, incomplete collagenous colitis, automated image analysis

#### Introduction

Since collagenous colitis (CC) was introduced in 1976 by Lindstrom,<sup>1</sup> this entity has evolved and now includes the related lymphocytic colitis (LC) and several variant forms under the umbrella term microscopic colitis (MC).<sup>2</sup> The common characteristic of MC is chronic watery diarrhea, a normal or near-normal endoscopy, and characteristic histopathological findings.<sup>2</sup> Thus, histopathology is crucial for the diagnosis. The incidence of MC has been rising, and MC accounts for ~10% of the cases evaluated for chronic diarrhea.<sup>3</sup> The highest incidence rates come from USA with an incidence of 21.2 per 10<sup>5</sup> inhabitants and Denmark with an MC incidence of 34.9 per 10<sup>5</sup> inhabitants in 2014.<sup>4,5</sup> This makes it more frequent than inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).<sup>6</sup> Most previous epidemiological studies have included only the traditional forms (CC and LC) and not the incomplete forms, incomplete CC (CCi) and incomplete LC (LCi).<sup>5</sup> Bjørnbak et al estimated the incidence of incomplete MC (MCi) to 4.0/100.000.<sup>7</sup>

Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology 2016:9 89–95

© 2016 Fiehn et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://ceative.commons.org/license/Dy-nd/3.0). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

89

The key histological feature of CC is an abnormally thickened subepithelial collagenous band measuring  $>10 \ \mu m$ , containing entrapped capillaries, red blood cells, and inflammatory cells with an irregular appearance at the deeper border accompanied by a mixed inflammatory infiltrate in lamina propria. The surface epithelium may show various changes including detachment from the basal membrane, decreased number of goblet cells, and an increased number of intraepithelial lymphocytes. No, or only very little, irregularity of the crypts is seen. CCi shares the same clinical signs as CC but falls short of fulfilling the histopathological criteria for CC. The subepithelial collagenous band measures  $<10 \,\mu m$ , but  $>5 \,\mu\text{m}$  and the other histopathological findings may not be as pronounced.<sup>2</sup> Thus, the difference between CC and CCi in borderline cases is very subtle, and sometimes it can also be difficult to distinguish CCi from near-normal or even normal colonic mucosa. For both CC and CCi, it is of utmost importance that the biopsies are well oriented, ie, cut perpendicular to the mucosal surface.8 The subepithelial collagenous band is usually well visualized by hematoxylin and eosin (HE)stained slides, but it is accentuated by using diverse collagen stains, e.g. Van Gieson (VG), Masson-Trichrome, Goldner, or the immunohistochemical staining for tenascin. This is especially pertinent in borderline cases.<sup>2,9</sup>

We have previously examined the diagnostic intra- and interobserver variability based on HE-stained slides and reported very good agreement when discriminating MC (CC + LC + MCi) from IBD and normal colonic mucosa. The agreement diminished when discriminating between the three subgroups of MC: CC, LC, and MCi, indicating that it may be difficult to discriminate between the three subgroups of MC when the diagnosis relies on HE only.<sup>10</sup>

Patients with CCi seem to benefit from medical treatment with the same response as patients with CC.<sup>7</sup> In order to obtain reliable clinical results, it is crucial to make sure that the histopathological diagnoses are consistent.

The aim of this study was to explore the possibility of developing automated image analysis software (an app) for diagnosing CC and CCi in an objective and reproducible manner and assist the pathologist in the discrimination in borderline cases. Even more important, it could minimize interobserver variation in multicenter trials. A training cohort was included for the development of the app, and a study group was included to validate the results of the app. The results of the app were compared to the performance of the four pathologists.

## Patients and methods Patients and microscopic section

The material consists of a training cohort and study cohort. Ten cases consisting of five biopsies diagnosed as CC, three cases diagnosed as CCi, and two cases diagnosed as normal colonic mucosa were included in the training cohort. Two pathologists (A-MKF and PJHE) verified the primary diagnosis. The study cohort included 75 patients, 25 patients each with a diagnosis of CC and CCi and a control group of 25 patients. The primary diagnosis of the patients in the control group comprised normal colonic mucosa (n=21) and nonspecific changes (n=4). The primary pathological diagnosis and the clinical information on the pathology requisition forms are shown in Table 1. The site of the biopsy was colon proximal to the rectum. Based on HE-stained slides retrieved from the archives of the Department of Pathology, Roskilde Hospital, one of the pathologists in the group (PJHE) selected one block from each of the patients, which was considered representative of the primary diagnosis. Both the training cohort and the study cohort comprised from one to four biopsies per patient. One slide was included per patient. The area of tangential sectioning varied from 0% to 50%. A 4 µm-thick section was cut, processed, and stained with VG using Tissue-Tek Prisma Automated Slide Stainer (Sakura, Copenhagen, Denmark) according to routine protocols (protocols can be provided by request). All slides were anonymized by a technician and numbered consecutively (1-75) in a random order.

# Histopathological evaluation of VGstained slides

Four pathologists reviewed the VG-stained slides independently. Two cases were excluded from the study, one due to

Table I Information provided by clinicians concerning clinically suspected diagnosis

MC suspected	IBD suspected	Constipation	Previous colorectal	No clinical
			cancer	information given
16	2	2	1	0
4	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	3
24	0	0	0	I
	MC suspected 16 4 22 24	MC suspected         IBD suspected           16         2           4         0           22         0           24         0	MC suspected         IBD suspected         Constipation           16         2         2           4         0         0           22         0         0           24         0         0	MC suspectedIBD suspectedConstipationPrevious colorectal cancer1622140002200024000

Abbreviations: CC, collagenous colitis; CCi, incomplete collagenous colitis; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MC, microscopic colitis.

90

Collagenous colitis

too weak staining intensity, another case considered more likely LC by one of the pathologists, who refrained from its categorization. This left 73 cases for the analysis. These cases were assigned to one of the three diagnostic categories, CC, CCi, or normal/near-normal colonic mucosa, according to the abovementioned histopathological characteristics (Table 2).

# Digital analysis

All slides were scanned using a Nanozoomer HT 2.0 slide scanner from Hamamatsu Photonics (Hamamatsu, Japan), and subsequently the digital images were processed using Visiopharm's Quantitative Digital Pathology software (Visiopharm, Hørsholm, Denmark). The training cohort was used to develop the VG app, and the following steps were applied:

- Identification of the biopsy material on the slides, identifying and excluding areas with tangential sectioning. The algorithm was obtained by manually outlining representative areas on the images. The region of interest was outlined (Figure 1).
- 2. Analyzing the biopsies, ie, measuring the thickness of the collagenous band (Figure 2).
- 3. Comparing the results of the VG app with the primary histopathological diagnosis.

When the VG app performed sufficiently in relation to the training material, ie, the diagnosis assigned by the app matched the diagnosis assigned by the pathologists; the study material was scanned and diagnosed according to the same steps.

The first image processing step involves segregation of the tissue from the background. This was performed at  $2\times$ magnification, which was digitally created in the software, increasing processing speed. The image was segmented using a simple threshold classifier, on an intensity and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine color-deconvolution representation of the image. Following post-processing, the tissue was

Table	2	Diagnostic	categories	and	corresponding	diagnostic
criteria						

	сс	CCi	Nonspecific inflammatory changes or normal
Thickness of collagenous band	$>$ 10 $\mu m$	>5 μm, <10 μm	≤5 $\mu$ m
Lamina propria inflammation	++	++/+	+/-
Damage of surface epithelium	+	+/	+/-

**Note:** +, moderate inflammation; ++, heavy inflammation; -, not present **Abbreviations:** CC, collagenous colitis; CCi, incomplete collagenous colitis.



Figure I Identification of the biopsy material on the slides, identifying and excluding areas with tangential sectioning. Notes: The red outlined area is the region of interest for further analysis.

segmented into one area containing surface epithelium, lamina propria, and the intermediate collagenous band and the remaining area containing all other tissue components.

The identified areas were subsequently segmented with a Bayesian classifier at  $5 \times$  magnification to identify crypts. Based on the size and shape of the crypts in a number of parameters (ratio of axis, convexity, and eccentricity), a heatmap (Figure 3) was created. Under the assumption that a tissue area sectioned perpendicular will have a high



**Figure 2** Analyzed biopsy with identified collagen band (highlighted in yellow). **Notes:** The thickness is estimated from the covered area and the length of the sample, assuming a simple relationship between thickness, length, and area ( $A = L \times T$ ). Identified surface epitelium (between the red lines).



Figure 3 Heatmap generated from mucosa biopsy, showing the areas with highest probability of being sectioned perpendicular (warm colors), and areas with lowest probability of being sectioned perpendicular (cold colors, ie, sectioned tangentially).



Figure 4 Identified crypts used to estimate the orientation of the biopsy. Notes: Green crypts are identified as being perpendicular, blue are tangential, and red with an unknown orientation.

concentration of elongated crypts, these will be represented by a high probability in the heatmap. Similarly, an area with tangential sectioning will have a high concentration of rounded crypts, and therefore has a low probability in the heatmap. From the training set, the heatmap cutoff was manually selected to give an optimal exclusion of areas sectioned tangentially to the mucosal surface and to identify the relevant areas for further analysis (Figure 4).

Finally, a high-resolution analysis was performed using a Bayesian classifier trained on preprocessing steps that highlight the difference in red chromaticity, the VG colordeconvolution representation of the image, and local linear objects. The local linear objects were identified on a VG colordeconvolution representation of the image using Visiopharms Polynomial Local Linear filter. This detects VG positive and negative areas and performs an automatic calculation of the thickness of the collagenous band.

The digital image analysis was repeated three times, on three different computers, to assess analysis reproducibility.

## Statistical analysis

Agreement between each pair of the four pathologists and the VG app was evaluated by Cohen's kappa ( $\kappa$ ) statistics which is used to estimate the rate of agreement beyond what is expected by chance, where <0.20, 0.21–0.40, 0.41–0.60, 0.61–0.80, and 0.81–1.00 are considered to reflect poor, fair, moderate, good, and very good agreement, respectively.<sup>11</sup> Unweighted  $\kappa$ -values were chosen as being appropriate for this situation as the aim was to evaluate the ability of pathologists and app to discriminate between the three subgroups. It therefore seemed reasonable to consider the groups as independent with no groups having closer relationship to each other than others. Weighted  $\kappa$ -values would have resulted in higher degree of agreement. The unweighted kappa value is given with 95% confidence intervals and standard error. Fleiss  $\kappa$ -values were calculated for the overall agreement between all four pathologists and compared to the overall agreement when including the VG app as "the fifth observer."

When developing the app using the training cohort, cutoff values that gate positive fraction into the diagnostic categories: CC, CCi, or normal/near-normal mucosa, were initially determined through optimization of Cohen's  $\kappa$ , dividing normal mucosa from CC, and CCi. The statistical analyses were performed using Excel 2013.

#### **Ethics**

The Local Committee on Health Research Ethics and the Danish Data Protection Agency approved the study according to the Declaration of Helsinki and Danish law (permission no SJ-448 and Reg-64-2015). This ethical committee deemed that informed written consent did not have to be obtained according to Danish law.

92

	Pathologist I	Pathologist 2	Pathologist 3	Pathologist 4	VG app
Pathologist I	_	κ=0.81 (0.70−0.93)	к=0.63 (0.48–0.77)	κ=0.75 (0.63–0.88)	<i>к</i> =0.79 (0.67–0.91)
		SE =0.058	SE =0.074	SE =0.065	SE =0.0607
Pathologist 2	-	-	κ= 0.65 (0.50–0.79)	<i>к</i> =0.73 (0.60–0.86)	κ=0.77 (0.65–0.90)
			SE =0.073	SE =0.067	SE =0.0635
Pathologist 3	-	-	-	<i>κ</i> =0.56 (0.41−0.72)	κ=0.63 (0.48–0.78)
				SE =0.078	SE =0.0766
Pathologist 4	-	-	-	-	κ=0.75 (0.62–0.88)
					SE -0.0655

**Table 3** Calculated Cohen  $\kappa$  values for each pair of pathologists and the VG app

**Note:** Values in parenthesis represent the confidence interval. **Abbreviations:** *κ*; kappa; SE, standard error; VG, Van Gieson.

Results

As shown in Table 3, the calculated  $\kappa$ -value for each pair of pathologists varies from 0.56 to 0.81 corresponding to moderate to very good agreement. The counted number of agreed cases for each pair of pathologists ranged from 52 to 64 (71.23%–87.67%). The  $\kappa$ -value for the VG app compared to each of the pathologists varies from 0.63 to 0.79 corresponding to good agreement. The number of agreed cases for each pair of pathologists and VG app ranged from 55 to 63 (75.34%–86.30%). Table 4 shows that the  $\kappa$ -value for all four pathologists and the VG app considered as the fifth observer is 0.69 and 0.71, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the thickness of the collagenous band measured by digitalized image analysis in biopsies categorized by the pathologists. Repetition of digital image analysis showed no significant difference.

#### Discussion

A growing proportion of mainly the elderly population is diagnosed with one of the subgroups of MC.<sup>12</sup> The symptoms can be invalidating and can impair the quality of life.<sup>13</sup> The disease is treatable with high remission rates, although there are many relapses once the treatment is discontinued.<sup>6,14</sup> The pathogenesis of MC is largely unknown although it seems likely that MC is a multifactorial disease.<sup>2,15</sup> The histopathology is of crucial importance in diagnostics, and it is therefore mandatory that the criteria are reliable and reproducible.

 Table 4 Calculated Fleiss kappa values for all pathologists vs all pathologists and the VG app

Pathologists	$\kappa = 0.69 \ (0.62 - 0.76) \ SE = 0.0340$
Pathologists + VG app	$\kappa = 0.71$ (0.66–0.76) SE = 0.0263

**Note:** Values in parenthesis represent the confidence interval. **Abbreviations:** *κ*; kappa; SE, standard error; VG, Van Gieson.

Previous studies have shown that interobserver agreement is high when discriminating MC from IBD and normal colonic mucosa, but diminished when discriminating among the subgroups.<sup>10,16</sup> The emergence of MCi comprising CCi and LCi has possibly made the discrimination even more difficult.<sup>10</sup> The challenge with subgrouping MC is well known.<sup>7,17,18</sup>

Although digitalized image analysis of HE-stained slides has been optimized during the recent years, the technique is complicated. One of the major obstacles to quantitative analysis of HE images is the variability observed between different samples and different laboratories due to differences in fixation time, H:E ratio, section thickness, etc.<sup>19</sup> Regarding CC, one further problem arises: while hematoxylin is expected to selectively stain the nuclei, eosin stains both the cytoplasm of most cells and the collagenous band. Hence, it was considered prudent to use slides stained by the VG method in this study. Thus, collagenous band stains intensely red, readily contrasting the colors of both epithelium and lamina propria. This quality has been utilized in digital image analyses for more than a decade.<sup>20</sup>

One previous study has explored the computer-aided measurement of the subepithelial collagenous band, and the results showed that the method was highly sensitive and specific. This study did not include patients with CCi, the pictures were captured at magnification ×40 and only this selected area was analyzed. In comparison, full slides were scanned and analyzed in this study.<sup>21</sup>

In this study, we found similar  $\kappa$ -values for each pair of the four pathologists vs each of the pathologists and the VG app. The  $\kappa$ -value for all the four pathologists was also similar to the  $\kappa$ -value of the four pathologists and the VG app. The results indicate that the digitalized image analysis provides a diagnosis similar to that of a pathologist. Furthermore, the image analysis has the advantage of consistency, ie, being highly reproducible. The app is able to separate normal or

#### **Collagen thickness distribution**



Figure 5 The thickness of the collagenous band measured by digitalized image analysis compared to the diagnoses provided by the four pathologists. Notes: Horizontal lines represent median value, boxes 25%–75% intervals, and the vertical lines minimum and maximum values. Abbreviations: CC, collagenous colitis; CCi, incomplete collagenous colitis.

near-normal mucosa from CCi with minimal overlap as shown in Figure 5. In comparison, distinguishing between CCi and CC is subject to more overlap. Although one might assume that the VG app is always correct in measuring the thickness of the collagenous band, it cannot replace the pathologists' eye since the app does not take into account qualities other than the collagenous band. Thus, the pathologist also estimates the inflammatory infiltrate in lamina propria and damage of the surface epithelium which are mandatory histopathological changes in the diagnosis of CC.<sup>2</sup> The aim of this study was to develop a VG app which could discriminate CC from CCi and normal/near-normal colonic mucosa. Applying a VG app for diagnosing CC and CCi would be an important contribution to obtain uniform assessments of biopsies recruited for multicenter trials. The digital slides can easily be stored, viewed, measured, and discussed<sup>22</sup> regardless of geographic location of the laboratory, enabling the pathologists to accept or refute the automatic generated diagnosis. The digitized image analysis is objective and reproducible, thus eliminating observer variability. The drawback of this approach is the limited availability of the analysis, which requires specially trained technicians. In addition, automated methods will always be vulnerable to diverse lab technical issues such as staining and scanning, etc. Standardizing prior steps and optimizing, eg, the staining protocol to ensure a high contrast between collagen and the surrounding tissue, would improve the reliability and possibly performance of the image analysis. Furthermore, it should be recalled that only elements, which are programmed for, will be registered and analyzed.

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

**Dove**press

## Conclusion

A VG app for diagnosing and discriminating between CC, CCi, and near-normal or normal colonic mucosa was developed. The results of the app are comparable to that of four trained pathologists. Currently, the app has the potential of being an important supplementary tool for research purpose. Application to daily practice must await further validation.

## Disclosure

Martin Kristensson is an employee of Visiopharm. No financial support has been received for this study. The other authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- Lindstrom CG. 'Collagenous colitis' with watery diarrhoea a new entity? *Pathol Eur.* 1976;11:87–89.
- Langner C, Aust D, Ensari A, et al; Working Group of Digestive Diseases of the European Society of Pathology (ESP) and the European Microscopic Colitis Group (EMCG). Histology of microscopic colitisreview with a practical approach for pathologists. *Histopathology*. 2015;66:613–626.
- Genta RM, Sonnenberg A. The yield of colonic biopsy in the evaluation of chronic unexplained diarrhea. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;27:963–967.
- Rasmussen J, Engel PJ, Wildt S, Fiehn AK, Munck LK. The temporal evolution of histologic abnormalities in microscopic colitis. *J Crohns Colitis*. Epub 2015 Oct 31.
- Tong J, Zheng Q, Zhang C, Lo R, Shen J, Ran Z. Incidence, prevalence, and temporal trends of microscopic colitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110:265–276.
- Stewart M, Andrews CN, Urbanski S, Beck PL, Storr M. The association of coeliac disease and microscopic colitis: a large population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;33:1340–1349.
- Bjørnbak C, Engel PJ, Nielsen PL, Munck LK. Microscopic colitis: clinical findings, topography and persistence of histopathological subgroups. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;34:1225–1234.

- Lazenby AJ, Yardley JH, Giardiello FM, Bayless TM. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of collagenous colitis: experience with 75 cases from a registry of collagenous colitis at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. *Hum Pathol*. 1990;21:905–910.
- Anagnostopoulos I, Schuppan D, Riecken EO, Gross UM, Stein H. Tenascin labelling in colorectal biopsies: a useful marker in the diagnosis of collagenous colitis. *Histopathology*. 1999;34:425–431.
- Fiehn AM, Bjørnbak C, Warnecke M, Engel PJ, Munck LK. Observer variability in the histopathologic diagnosis of microscopic colitis and subgroups. *Hum Pathol.* 2013;44:2461–2466.
- 11. Altman DG. *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. London: Chapman and Hall; 2015.
- Williams JJ, Beck PL, Andrews CN, Hogan DB, Storr MA. Microscopic colitis – a common cause of diarrhoea in older adults. *Age Ageing*. 2010;39:162–168.
- Hjortswang H, Tysk C, Bohr J, et al. Health-related quality of life is impaired in active collagenous colitis. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2011;43: 102–109.
- Miehlke S, Madisch A, Kupcinskas L, et al; BUC-60/COC Study Group. Budesonide is more effective than mesalamine or placebo in short-term treatment of collagenous colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;146:1222–1230.e1–e2.
- 15. Bouma G, Munch A. Microscopic colitis. Dig Dis. 2015;33:208-214.

- Limsui D, Pardi DS, Smyrk TC, et al. Observer variability in the histologic diagnosis of microscopic colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2009;15:35–38.
- Olesen M, Eriksson S, Bohr J, Järnerot G, Tysk C. Microscopic colitis: a common diarrhoeal disease. An epidemiological study in Orebro, Sweden, 1993-1998. *Gut.* 2004;53:346–350.
- Shaz BH, Reddy SI, Ayata G, et al. Sequential clinical and histopathological changes in collagenous and lymphocytic colitis over time. *Mod Pathol.* 2004;17:395–401.
- Gray A, Wright A, Jackson P, Hale M, Treanor D. Quantification of histochemical stains using whole slide imaging: development of a method and demonstration of its usefulness in laboratory quality control. *J Clin Pathol.* 2015;68:192–199.
- Sis B, Sarioglu S, Sokmen S, Sakar M, Kupelioglu A, Fuzun M. Desmoplasia measured by computer assisted image analysis: an independent prognostic marker in colorectal carcinoma. *J Clin Pathol*. 2005;58:32–38.
- Malekian V, Amirfattahi R, Sadri S, Mokhtari M, Aghaie A, Rezaeian M. Computer aided measurement of sub-epithelial collagen band in colon biopsies for collagenous colitis diagnosis. *Micron.* 2013;45:59–67.
- Al-Janabi S, Huisman A, Van Diest PJ. Digital pathology: current status and future perspectives. *Histopathology*. 2012;61:1–9.

#### **Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology**

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology is an international, peerreviewed, open access journal, publishing all aspects of gastroenterology in the clinic and laboratory, including: Pathology, pathophysiology of gastrointestinal disease; Investigation and treatment of gastointestinal disease; Pharmacology of drugs used in the alimentary tract; Immunology/genetics/genomics related to gastrointestinal disease. This journal is indexed on CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-and-experimental-gastroenterology-journal

**Dove**press