SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS: BIOCHEMISTRY MEDICAL RESEARCH

> Received 26 June 2014

Accepted 28 July 2014

Published 18 August 2014

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.-C.J. (dr_jiao301@ 126.com)

Efficacy analysis of tyrosine kinase inhibitors on rare non-small cell lung cancer patients harboring complex EGFR mutations

Liang Peng¹, Zhi-Gang Song² & Shun-Chang Jiao¹

¹Department of Oncology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China, ²Department of Pathology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China.

The efficacy of epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKI) in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is related to *EGFR* mutations. Although the p.L858R point mutation in exon 21 and the in-frame deletion mutation in exon 19 are well known, efficacy of EGFR-TKI in patients with more than one *EGFR* mutation is not well understood. 799 NSCLC patients were screened for *EGFR* mutations. Of the 799 patients, 443 (55.4%) had mutations, out of which 22 (2.75%) had multiple complex mutations. Most multiple mutations (20/22) harbored common mutations such as the p.L858R point mutation in exon 19. 11 out of 22 patients who had multiple *EGFR* mutations underwent TKI therapy and primary end-points of progression free and overall survival were determined. Our analysis revealed that cases with multiple mutations had similar end-point outcomes as single mutation to TKI therapy. Report of these cases will be helpful in decision making for treatment of NSCLC patients harboring multiple *EGFR* mutations.

ung cancer has the highest incidence among malignant tumors, mostly refractory to surgical resection because of the advanced stage of the disease. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI), gefitinib and erlotinib, are among the first targeting drugs used in treatment of advanced lung cancer patients in China. Clinical studies revealed that advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with *EGFR* mutations gained a significant advantage of efficacy and survival after using TKI¹⁻³.

The most common *EGFR* mutation is exon 19 deletion and p.L858R mutation in exon 21^{3-4} . In a number of clinical studies on EGFR-TKI, the subgroup analyzes were collected in both mutant types. In the IPASS study³, mutations subgroup efficacy analysis showed that after first-line treatment with TKI, the patients with exon 19 deletions and the p.L858R mutation in exon 21 had no significant difference in progression free survival (PFS) time (Hazards Ratio (HR), 0.78; 95% class interval (CI), 0.51–1.19). However, in the overall response rate (ORR), exon 19 deletions group was 84.8%, while the p.L858R mutation group was 60.9%, suggesting that the drug had better efficacy in the exon 19 deletion group; however, statistical analysis did not reveal significant difference. In a separate retrospective study involving 87 patients⁴, PFS of the exon 19 deletion patients was 9.3 months, overall survival (OS) was 17.7 months, and response rate (RR) was 64%. In comparison, PFS of the L858R mutation patients was 6.9 months, OS was 20.5 months, and RR was 62%.

Yet another mutation characterized in exon 20 (p.T790M) is now attributed to drug resistance; however, whether p.T790M mutation is associated with poor prognosis is still debatable^{5–6}. Other *EGFR* mutations have been characterized, inclusive of the p.L861Q, p.S768L, G719X, exon20 insertions^{3,7}, but their exact role in refractory behavior of patients harboring those mutations to TKI has not yet been elucidated. Cases of complex *EGFR* mutations have been reported; however, the relation between complex *EGFR* mutations and resistance to therapy with TKI has not been completely elucidated^{8,9}. Hence, the goal of the current study was to retrospective analyze lung cancer patients with complex *EGFR* mutations and their correlation to treatment outcome with TKI in order to provide clinical reference for the treatment of lung cancer patients harboring complex *EGFR* mutations.

Results

Frequency of EGFR Mutations. There were 799 cases of lung cancer patients in the study time frame who underwent *EGFR* mutation detection, inclusive of 686 cases of non-squamous carcinoma (bronchioloalveolar and adenocarcinoma) and 113 cases of squamous and adenosquamous carcinoma. Of the 799 cases of lung cancer, there were 443 *EGFR* mutations detected, a single mutation being detected in 421 cases, accounting for 95.03% of all mutations. Among the single mutation cases, exon 18, 19, 20 and 21 mutations were detected in 10 (2.37%), 162 (38.48%), 114 (27.08%), and 135 (32.07%) cases, respectively. On the other hand, complex *EGFR* mutations were detected in 22 (4.97%) cases.

EGFR Complex Mutations and TKI Therapy. General condition, specimen source and mutation detection results of all patients of complex mutations are summarized in Table 1. Of the 22 cases of patients with complex *EGFR* mutations, 20 patients had at least one common mutation, 10 cases harbored missense mutations in exon 18, 7 cases harbored exon 19 deletion mutations, 9 cases harbored 20 missense mutations, and 16 cases harbored 21 missense mutations (Table 1). Of the 22 cases with complex *EGFR* mutations, 10 cases were Stage I (T1N0M0) – out of which 8 post-operative cases were not subjected to adjuvant chemo or radiotherapy – and did not exhibit any disease recurrence following surgical resection and did not undergo TKI therapy. Of the remaining 12 cases with advanced disease stage, one was lost and the remaining 11 underwent EGFR-TKI therapy (Table 2).

Response to EGFR-TKI in Patients with EGFR Complex Mutations. Efficacy of EGFR-TKI treatment in 11 lung cancer (out of 22 cases harboring more than one *EGFR* mutation) cases with advanced disease stage and complex *EGFR* mutations (more than one *EGFR* mutations) are summarized in Table 2. Serious adverse effect was observed in only 1 of the 11 patients. Complete and partial responses were observed in one patient each, whereas the remaining 8 patients had stable disease. Response to EGFR-TKI did not have any correlation to prior smoking history.

Discussion

In the present study, we retrospectively analyzed 799 lung cancer patients who were screened for *EGFR* mutations in People's Liberation Army General Hospital, and subsequently focused on the outcome of EGFR-TKI therapy on patients with complex *EGFR* mutations.

The incidence of *EGFR* mutations is dictated by ethnic groups. In the IPASS study³, of the 1217 patients enrolled Asian patients with lung adenocarcinoma, *EGFR* mutation was found in 261 patients, an incidence rate of 21.4%. A separate study showed *EGFR* mutation rate was 35% in the Asian race, while non-smoking adenocarcinoma mutation rate was 59%¹⁰. In the Monaco race¹¹, lung adenocarcinoma mutation rate was 51% (28/137). In the present study, EGFR mutation rate was 55.4%. The high percentage might be attributed to the fact that policy decision changed since 2009 that Asian female nonsmoker adenocarcinoma patients are screened more aggressively for somatic mutations. Contrary to this, fewer squamous carcinoma cases or smoking males are subjected to mutation screening. In this study, among 799 cases non-squamous cell carcinoma accounted for a high 85.9%.

In the current study the complex mutation rate was 4.97%. An earlier study has reported an incidence rate of 15% complex mutations (11 out of 79 cases with mutations)⁹. In yet another study, the rate was 8.4% (140 total cases)¹². Our observed rate mimics closely to a study of 627 cases that detected complex mutation in 20 cases (3.19%)⁸. It must be noted that complex mutations being rarer coverage detection limit in sequencing and subsequent validation will dictate the incidence rate of complex mutations.

Of the 22 cases of complex mutation patients in the current study, 12 cases were males and 10 cases were females. Of the 22 cases, 20 were adenocarcinoma, and 5 cases had prolonged history of smoking. Male: female ratio of common mutations was 1:0.8. It was earlier reported that the proportion of men and women in common mutations was 1:1.8, rare mutations the proportion of men and women was $1:1.3^8$, which is consistent with the current study.

In this study, *EGFR* mutations were detected by direct sequencing, which is consistent with most studies. Liu et al.¹² suggested that using either fine-needle aspiration or surgical specimens did not affect *EGFR* mutation detection rate. However, for the source of 22 cases of complex specimens mutations found in this study, 19 cases were surgical specimens. This is suggestive that detection of complex mutations is reliant not only on the integrity of specimens but also on the amount of specimen; in fact it was earlier shown that the inverse relationship between amount of biopsy specimens and detection of rare mutations is related to the error in detection method¹³. Cumulatively, our results emphasizes that for *EGFR* mutation detection, specimen source is an important factor affecting the test results, clinicians should collect enough tissue samples, in order to avoid detection error.

In this study, the majority of complex mutations (20/22) contained at least one common mutation; therefore, complex mutation was classified and analyzed based on common mutations. Complex mutations containing L858R or exon 19 deletion mutation showed higher efficacy with EGFR-TKI treatment and prognosis in these patients were better, and close to the efficacy outcomes of common mutations.

Efficacy of patients containing T790M complex mutation after using the TKI was different; PFS of 2 patients in this study were more than six months, while the data reported in other literatures suggested poor efficacy and prognosis. Earlier studies on the T790M mutation showed that it was closely related to acquire resistance of TKI drug^{14,15}. In a prospective review of 2774 cases of untreated specimens, 20 cases with the T790M mutation associated with mutations in other exons, of which 16 cases were T790M + L858R, and 4 cases were delE746-A750 + T790M¹⁶. In another study, one case of T790M + L858R patient among 68 cases of non-smoking lung cancer patients was PD after using of TKI treatment a month, PFS time was a month, OS time was 17.4 months¹⁷. In the current study, the mutation types of 2 patients of complex mutation contained T790M (T790M + delE746-A750 and T790M + L858R). The best efficacy of patients using TKI was SD, PFS time was respectively 8 months, 10 months, OS time was respectively 29 months, 18 months (still alive). Cumulatively, the difference in efficacy and prognosis in patients with lung cancer using TKI was not only closely related to EGFR mutations, but also other members of the signaling pathway may be involved in the efficacy and influenced efficacy outcomes. By downstream gene detection and efficacy follow-up of some patients, Kim et al¹⁷ found that mutations of EGFR downstream genes are closely related to the efficacy of TKI, which also reflected EGFR mutations complexity from a side reaction.

Complex mutation inclusive of exon 18 is a special group because exon 18 mutations itself are relatively rare, while complex mutations often exist, TK1 efficacy and prognosis was below common mutation only by the cases analysis reported in the literature. Elucidation of the reason for the same need to be further investigated. One limitation of the current study is that given that it is a retrospective it did not allow potential unification of the timing of TKI treatment. Some patients chose to use TKI as first-line, while others used it for second or third-line therapy. Cumulatively, the current study will potentially help replenish *EGFR* mutation data and help clinical development of rational treatment strategies.

Iable	Sex Male Famala	A the 221 Age 35	ung cancer patients with complex EGFR mutatio Histopathology Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma, partly mucus adenocarcinoma	ns Stage T2aN1M0	Source of specimens Excision	Exon 18, 19	Specific mutations 18missenseG719A, 19missenseTTA-TCA,L747S 18missenseG710A_20missenseCCCCCA
v	n ennue Male Female	59 59	Highly and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma Highly and moderately differentiated	14N2M0 11N0M0	Excision Excision	18, 20 18, 20 18, 20	1 omissenseG/ 17X, 20missenseCo-CAC,N7 01, 20synonymous CAG-CAA,Q787Q 18 missenseG719A, 20missense(CGC-CAC,R776H) 18 missenseGGC-GCC,G719A, 20missenseAGC-ATC,S7681
5 5	Male Male	50 58	adenocarcinoma, most partly tine counts Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma, partly fine counts Moderately differentiated papilla	T1 NOMO	Excision Excision	18, 21 18, 21	18missense(GGC-GCC,G719A), 21missense(TTG-TTT,L833V; 21missenseGTG-TTG,V834C) 18missenseGAAAAA F709K_21missense(CTG-CGG 1858R)
	Male Male	70 74	Address of the second s	T2N2M0 T1N1M0	Excision	19, 20 19, 21	19deletions delE746-A750 20missense:1790M,ACG-ATG 19deletions delE746-A750 21missenseCTG-CGG,L858R
•	Male	49	adenocarcinoma Moderately differentiated squamous carcinoma	T2N1MO	Excision	19, 21	19deletions delE746-A750, 21missenseTTG-GTG,L833V, 21missenseCAC-CTC,H835L
<u> </u>	Female Female	47 56	Moderately differentiated papilla adenocarcinoma Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma	T2bN0M0 T1N0M0 double	Excision Excision	19, 21 19, 21	19missenseCCG-TCG,P753S 21missenseCTG-CGG,L858R 19deletions delS7524759, 21missenseCTG-CGG,L858R
12	Female	53	fine counts Moderately differentiated squamous carcinoma or adenosquamous carcinoma	primary T2N2M0	Excision	19, 21	19deletions delE746-A750, 21 missensel8341, L858R.
13	Male	70	and tine counts Moderately and poorly differentiated	T3N2M0	Excision	20, 21	20missenseAGC-ATC S7681, 21 missenseCTG-CGG L858R
14	Female	64	adenocarcinoma Moderately and highly differentiated adenocarcinoma partly fine counts	TINOMO	Excision	20, 21	20missenseAGCAGT,S768I 21missenseCTG-CGG,L858R
15 16	Female Female	50 48	Highly and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma Moderately differentiated	T1NOMO T3N1M1	Excision Fine needle	20, 21 20, 21	20 synonymous CAG-CAA,Q787Q, 20 missenseAGC-ATC S7681 21 missenseCTG-CGG,1858R 20missenseCAC-CAT T790M 20synonymous CAG-
Z 81	Male Female	56 66	Adenocarcinoma Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma	T1 NOMO	aspiration biopsy Excision Excision	20, 21 18, 19	CAA,Q787Q. 21 missenseCTG-CGG,1858R. 20missenseACCATC T7831, 21 missenseCAA-CTC H855C 18 missenseACA-GCA,T639A; 19 deletions delL747.S752; 21
19	Male	68	partly fine counts Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma little	TIN2MI	Fine needle	18, 21	synonymous CTA-TIG,L858, 21 synonymous CTG-TIG,L861L 18missenseGAA-AAA,E709K, 20synonymous CAG-
20	Male	76	tine counts Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma	T2N1M0	aspiration blopsy Excision	18, 21	CAA,Q/ 0/ 0/ 2/ missense (10-0-0-0,100.0K) 18missenseGGC (GCC (571) 9A, 209ynonymous CAG- 6A 0. 07320-01 microsoff TTT 18:335
21	Male	48	Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma	TINOMO	Excision	18, 21	
22	Female	75	Adenocarcinoma	T2N3MO	Fine needle aspiration biopsy	19, 21	CAA, 2/ 7 0/ 2/ 1 missensec 10-COC, 100 00 19 deletions del(1/ 47-S752); 20 synonymous CAG-CAG, Q787Q 21 missenseCAC-CTC, H835L





Table 2 | Outcome of EGFR-TKI therapy in 11 lung cancer patients harboring complex EGFR mutations. SD, stable disease; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SAE, serious adverse effects; PFS, progression free survival, OS, overall survival

Sex	Age	Histopathology	Smoking history (months)	Treatment	Response	PFS (months)	OS (months)	Mutation
Male	35	Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma partly	32	Gefitinib	SD	3	8	G719A, L747S
Male	50	Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma partly	28	Gefitinib	CR	16+	29+	G719A, L833V, V834C
Male	70	Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma	0	Gefitinib	SD	8	29	delE746-A750, T790M
Male	74	Moderately and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma	0	Gefitinib	SD	8	8+	delE746-A750, L858R
Male	49	Moderately differentiated sauamous carcinoma	0	Gefitinib	SD	6	16	delE746-A750, L833V, H835L
Female	47	Moderately differentiated papilla adenocarcinoma	10	Gefitinib	SD	21	39	P753S, L858R
Female	53	Moderately differentiated squamous carcinoma adenosquamous carcinoma and fine counts	0	Gefitinib	PR	15	58+	delE746-A750, L858R
Male	70	Moderately and poorly differentiated	20	Gefitinib	SD	6	6.5	S768I, L858R
Female	48	Moderately differentiated	0	Gefitinib	SD	10	18+	T790M, L858R
Male	68	Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma little fine counts	80	Gefitinib	SD	10	26	E709K, L858R
Male	76	Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma	80	Gefitinib	SAE	0.5	13	G719A, L833F

Methods

Patient Selection. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Chinese PLA General Hospital and all experiments performed were strictly in accordance with the approved guidelines. All patients enrolled in the current study provided signed informed consent. Study population was limited to lung cancer patients with EGFR mutations treated in the Department of Pathology at our Hospital between August 1, 2009 and June 1, 2012. Inclusion criteria were confirmed detection of lung cancer (pathology, lungs and other abdominal head CT, blood tests), availability of complete medical records (records of patients general, family history, smoking history, pathology, immunohistochemistry, operation time and surgical name, medication records, tumor response assessment), and compliance with followup. Source of detection of EGFR mutation was tissue of primary tumor or metastases after resection or fine-needle aspiration biopsy. Pathological diagnosis and clinical staging (according to NCCN Guidelines) was performed by a pathologist and oncologist, respectively, in blinded fashion. Signed informed consent from patients or family members (where patients were dead) were obtained for all enrolled patients.

Evaluation of EGFR-TKI Efficacy and end-points tested. For advanced patients treated with gefitinib or erlotinib (11 of 22 patients with more than one *EGFR* mutations), the attending physician decided the regimen according to the patient's condition. Gefitinib was administered orally at 250 mg daily, and erlotinib was administered orally at 150 mg daily, until tumor progression, death, or patient refusal. All patients had a pretreatment tumor assessment by computer tomography scan, which was repeated to assess tumor response after 4 weeks from the beginning of the treatment, then every 1 to 2 months until treatment discontinuation. Tumor Response was evaluated using Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST). Stable disease (SD) was defined as disease control maintained for at least 4 weeks. The duration of progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of initiation of EGFR-TKIs to the date of disease progression. Overall survival (OS) time was determined from the date of initiation of EGFR-TKIs to the date of death.

EGFR mutation detection. *EGFR* mutation analysis was performed using standard DNA sequencing techniques with direct sequencing of exons 18 to 21 of EGFR. In brief, DNA was isolated from the sample, quantified and amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers to exons 18 to 21 of *EGFR*. PCR products were

analyzed by bidirectional direct DNA sequencing. Tumor gene type was performed in baseline diagnostic specimens before patient exposure to *EGFR* TKIs.

Data Collection. Data regarding the treatment process, imaging results and assessment records, communication, and follow-up efficacy and survival time of patients meeting the inclusion criteria were retrieved. Database inputs were general condition of patients, family history, smoking history, pathology test results, immunohistochemistry, operation time and surgical name, previous chemotherapy regimens, targeted medication records, tumor efficacy evaluation, and follow-up survival time records.

- Weiss, J. M. & Stinchcombe, T. E. Second-Line Therapy for Advanced NSCLC. Oncologist 18, 947–953 (2013).
- 2. Ying Geng, Z. *et al.* Third-line therapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Buon.* **18**, 899–907 (2013).
- Fukuoka, M. *et al.* Biomarker analyses and final overall survival results from a phase III, randomized, open-label, first-line study of gefitinib versus carboplatin/ paclitaxel in clinically selected patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in Asia (IPASS). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 29, 2866–2874 (2011).
- Won, Y. W. *et al.* Comparison of clinical outcome of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 or exon 21 mutations. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 64, 947–952 (2011).
- Sun, J. M., Ahn, M. J., Choi, Y. L., Ahn, J. S. & Park, K. Clinical implications of T790M mutation in patients with acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Lung Cancer* 82, 294–298 (2013).
- Hata, A. *et al.* Rebiopsy of non-small cell lung cancer patients with acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor: Comparison between T790M mutation-positive and mutation-negative populations *Cancer* 119, 4325–4332 (2013).
- Yatabe, Y., Pao, W. & Jett, J. R. Encouragement to submit data of clinical response to EGFR-TKIs in patients with uncommon EGFR mutations. J. Thorac. Oncol. 7, 775–776 (2012).
- 8. Wu, J. Y. *et al.* Efficacyiveness of tyrosine kinase inhibitors on "uncommon" epidermal growth factor receptor mutations of unknown clinical significance in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **17**, 3812–3821 (2011).
- 9. Kobayashi, S. *et al.* Compound EGFR mutations and response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **8**, 45–51 (2013).

- 10. Hirsch, F. R. et al. First-generation epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer: clinical impact of the epidermal growth factor receptor fluorescence in situ hybridization assay. J. Thorac. Oncol. 3, S138-S142 (2008).
- 11. Errihani, H. et al. Frequency and type of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in moroccan patients with lung adenocarcinoma. J. Thorac. Oncol. 8, 1212-1214 (2013).
- 12. Liu, Y., Wu, B. Q., Zhong, H. H., Hui, P. & Fang, W. G. Screening for EGFR and KRAS mutations in non-small cell lung carcinomas using DNA extraction by hydrothermal pressure coupled with PCR-based direct sequencing. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 6, 1880-1889 (2013).
- 13. Marchetti, A., Felicioni, L. & Buttitta, F. Assessing EGFR mutations. N. Engl. J. Med. 354, 526-528 (2006).
- 14. Arcila, M. E. et al. Rebiopsy of Lung Cancer Patients with Acquired Resistance to EGFR Inhibitors and Enhanced Detection of the T790M Mutation Using a Locked Nucleic Acid-Based Assay. Clin. Cancer Res. 17, 1169-1180 (2011).
- 15. Chmielecki, J. et al. Optimization of Dosing for EGFR-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with Evolutionary Cancer Modeling. Sci. Transl. Med. 3, 90ra59, DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002356 (2011).
- 16. Yu, H. A. et al. Poor response to erlotinib in patients with tumors containing baseline EGFR T790M mutations found by routine clinical molecular testing. Ann. Oncol. 25, 423-428 (2014).
- 17. Kim, H. R. et al. Prediction for response duration to epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors in EGFR mutated never smoker lung adenocarcinoma. Lung Cancer 83, 374-382 (2014).

Author contributions

L.P. and S.C.J. conceived the study; Z.G.S. helped with the pathological diagnosis; L.P. did most of the experiments; L.P., Z.G.S. and S.C.J. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Peng, L., Song, Z.-G. & Jiao, S.-C. Efficacy analysis of tyrosine kinase inhibitors on rare non-small cell lung cancer patients harboring complex EGFR mutations. Sci. Rep. 4, 6104; DOI:10.1038/srep06104 (2014).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/