Smokers are less likely than non-smokers to seek help for a lung cancer 'alarm' symptom

Background The majority (>85%) of lung cancer cases are linked with smoking, and prognosis is poor because it is often diagnosed at a late stage. One contributor to late-stage diagnosis could be patient delay in help-seeking. We investigated the help-seeking behaviour of smokers and non-smokers for a recent lung cancer alarm symptom.

Methods A health survey was sent to 4913 men and women aged >50 years through through General Practice. It included questions on symptoms experienced in the past 3 months (from a checklist), help-seeking (Yes/ No) for each symptom and demographic characteristics including smoking status. Univariable and multivariable binary logistic regression analyses were used to assess the association between smoking status and helpseeking for a cough or hoarseness.

Results Among 2042 participants (42% response rate), 280 (14%) reported 'cough or hoarseness' in the past 3 months; of whom 22% were current smokers. Being a smoker was associated with reduced likelihood of help-seeking (OR 0.44: 95% CI 0.23 to 0.83). even after adjusting for demographic factors (OR 0.46; 95% CI 0.21 to 1.00).

Conclusions Delay in help-seeking in smokers for a symptom that is potentially indicative of lung cancer is a cause for concern. Future research could usefully address the psychological mechanisms through which help-seeking in smokers is hindered.

BACKGROUND

BMJ

Smoking is strongly linked to the development of lung cancer, playing a causal role in >85% of cases and prognosis is poor with <10% 5-year survival. A possible contributor is the time taken for patients to be diagnosed, which is reportedly longer than other common cancers.² The majority of cancers are diagnosed when patients seek medical advice for a symptom,³ and the time taken for an individual to notice a new symptom, appraise it as worthy of medical attention, and seek help from their doctor, have been called the appraisal and help-seeking intervals. Understanding these intervals is vital in efforts to promote earlier cancer diagnosis because influences on helpseeking are complex and behaviour is driven by multiple factors. In smokers, drivers of help-seeking may be even more complex because their habit adds additional barriers; evidence shows that

smokers fail to seek help when they experience 'alarm' symptoms for lung cancer.⁵ However, much of this research is retrospective or speculative, and both these methods could influence previous findings.

This report describes a 'health survey' study to establish whether smokers reporting cough or hoarseness in the past 3 months are less likely to have sought help than non-smokers.

METHODS

We mailed 4913 adults aged ≥50 years in England a 'health survey' through four English General Practices. Responders were asked whether they had experienced any of 14 cancer alarm symptoms in the past 3 months (including persistent cough or hoarseness) taken from the Cancer Awareness Measure and Be Clear on Cancer campaigns,⁶ ⁷ whether they smoked (yes, occasionally, previously, never), and whether they had sought help from their General Practitioner (GP) for reported symptoms (see online supplementary material for Health Survey). The present analysis focuses specifically on behaviour among those reporting 'persistent cough or hoarseness'. Univariable and multivariable binary logistic regressions assessed the association between smoking status and help-seeking. Analyses were carried out using SPSS V.22.0.

RESULTS

A total of 2042 (42%) surveys were returned. Among participants, 280 (14%)

reported 'persistent cough or hoarseness' in the past 3 months, of whom 60 (22%) were smokers. Smokers were more likely to be male, aged ≥60 years, married or cohabiting and have not attended university (data not shown). Just under half of smokers (n=129; 46%) had sought help for their symptom from their GP (table 1). Univariable logistic regression (table 2) showed that being a smoker was associated with a lower likelihood of helpseeking (OR 0.44; 95% CI 0.23 to 0.83): 55% of non-smokers had sought help compared with 35% of smokers. In multivariable logistic regression analyses the effect of smoking status remained significant when all demographic factors were controlled (OR 0.46; 95% CI 0.21 to 1.00). Smoking status was not associated with help-seeking for the other 13 'alarm' symptoms (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

In this study 14% of participants had experienced a persistent cough or hoarseness in the past 3 months, of which a fifth were smokers. Smoking status was significantly and independently associated with help-seeking, with smokers less likely to seek help than non-smokers. These findings support previous studies where smokers have avoided medical advice for lung cancer symptoms. 5 8

Participants were presented with a 'health survey' rather than a 'cancer survey'. This was done to simulate the circumstances in which new symptoms appear in real life, and avoid participants

Demographic characteristics and help-seeking in whole sample and sample Table 1 reporting cough or hoarseness

Demographic characteristic (N)	Subgroups	Whole sample (N=2042)*, N (%)	Sample reporting cough or hoarseness (N=280)*, N (%)	Help-seeking in sample reporting cough or hoarseness (% of those in subcategory)
Smoking status†	Non-smokers	1777 (88%)	219 (78%)	111 (55%)
	Smokers	252 (12%)	60 (22%)	18 (35%)
Sex	Men	936 (46%)	105 (38%)	41 (43%)
	Women	1085 (54%)	172 (62%)	87 (55%)
Age	Under 60 years	622 (34%)	84 (34%)	39 (51%)
	60 years and older	1194 (66%)	162 (66%)	80 (53%)
Ethnicity	Non-white	1919 (95%)	14 (6%)	10 (77%)
	White	99 (5%)	216 (94%)	118 (49%)
Marital status	Not married/ cohabiting Married/ cohabiting	649 (32%) 1372 (68%)	121 (43%) 158 (57%)	53 (49%) 77 (52%)
Education	Below university University	1259 (63%) 740 (37%)	187 (68%) 86 (32%)	91 (53%) 34 (44%)
Employment	Not working	1194 (59%)	185 (66%)	95 (57%)
	Working	822 (41%)	93 (34%)	34 (40%)

^{*}Numbers may not add up to the total N of each sample because of missing data.

[†]Those who never or previously smoked were classified as non-smokers, those who currently or occasionally smoked were classified as smokers.



Table 2 Tests of help-seeking in smokers and non-smokers for persistent cough or hoarseness

	Subgroups (N, %)	Univariable ORs for help-seeking for persistent cough (unadjusted), 95% CI	Multivariable ORs for help-seeking for persistent cough (adjusted), 95% CI
Smoking	Never/ ex-smokers	1.00	1.00
status	Current smokers	0.44 (0.23 to 0.83)	0.46 (0.21 to 1.00)
Sex	Men	1.00	1.00
	Women	1.61 (0.97 to 2.70)	1.95 (1.07 to 3.54)
Age	Under 60 years	1.00	1.00
	60 years and older	1.07 (0.62 to 1.86)	0.57 (0.28 to 1.16)
Ethnicity	White	1.00	1.00
	Non-white	3.42 (0.92 to 12.73)	3.43 (0.86 to 13.63)
Marital status	Not married/ cohabiting	1.00	1.00
	Married/ cohabiting	1.13 (0.69 to 1.85)	1.24 (0.68 to 2.26)
Education	Below university	1.00	1.00
	University	0.69 (0.40 to 1.18)	0.66 (0.35 to 1.23)
Employment	Not working	1.00	1.00
p.o /c.ii	Working	0.50 (0.30 to 0.85)	0.35 (0.17 to 0.71)

Tests for multicollinearity were run. All tolerance statistics were above 0.76 and all variance inflation factor statistics were below 1.31.

over-reporting help-seeking as a consequence of being alerted to cancer. It is thus likely that the responses are a good reflection of usual behaviour. We also asked participants about the past 3 months, making recall bias or failings of memory less likely.

A limitation is that we focused on one lung cancer alarm symptom combination (persistent cough or hoarseness). Despite being established symptoms of lung cancer, these are also common symptoms of other benign diseases. In contrast, haemoptysis is comparatively rare and more specific and tends to promote help-seeking.⁹ So we may not have captured how participants would react when faced with more 'alarming' symptoms. However, cough and hoarseness are among the most common early symptoms of lung cancer,9 and so these findings are potentially important. Another limitation is that we did not collect data on whether participants sought help for previous episodes of cough or hoarseness prior to the 3-month window. Research has shown that a previous 'all clear' diagnosis can delay help-seeking for persistent or new symptoms. 10 Renzi et al's review did not include papers examining the effect of a non-cancer diagnosis on symptoms of lung cancer and so this is a potential area for further investigation.

Another area for future research could identify and test strategies which aim to improve help-seeking by targeting mechanisms contributing to delay. Normalising symptoms, whereby an individual interprets a negative change in health as part of normal bodily functions, is a key cause of delay in help-seeking in patients with lung cancer. Normalising symptoms provides a

context in which our findings can be interpreted, a cough or hoarseness is simply a normal result of smoking that is no cause for concern, and an area for awareness campaigns to target. For example, campaigns could put time limits on symptoms, for example, 'visit your GP if you have a cough that lasts for more than three weeks', and placing this information in areas targeting smokers, for example on cigarette packaging. If this was combined with messages encouraging help-seeking from GPs, other mechanisms by which help-seeking in smokers is hindered, such as the stigma around smoking, could also be addressed.

CONCLUSION

Much effort has been put into establishing characteristics that make someone more or less likely to seek help for symptoms that could be indicative of cancer. This study has shown that in a community sample, smokers are less likely than nonsmokers to seek help for a common symptom of lung cancer, despite being at higher risk of cancer. Future research addressing potential mechanisms contributing to delay in help-seeking in smokers could identify strategies through which help-seeking might be encouraged.

C Friedemann Smith, ¹ K L Whitaker, ² K Winstanley, ¹ J Wardle ¹

¹Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Health Behaviour Research Centre, University College London, London, UK

²School of Health Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, UK

Correspondence to Dr Katriina Whitaker, School of Health Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK; k.whitaker@surrey.ac.uk

Contributors KLW, KW and JW designed the study and collected the data. CFS analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. CFS, KLW, KW and JW provided comments on the manuscript until a final version was agreed by all authors.

Funding These analyses were carried out with funding from the Department of Health Policy Research Unit in Cancer Awareness, Screening and Early Diagnosis awarded to JW. KLW was supported by a Cancer Research UK Post-doctoral fellowship grant (C33872/A13216). The Policy Research Unit in Cancer Awareness, Screening, and Early Diagnosis receives funding for a research programme from the Department of Health Policy Research Programme. It is a collaboration between researchers from seven institutions (Queen Mary University of London, University College London, King's College London, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Hull York Medical School), Durham University and Peninsula Medical School).

Disclaimer The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, or the Department of Health.

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval NHS London Bridge Research Ethics Committee (Reference: 11/LO/1970).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/thoraxinl-2015-208063).





Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



To cite Friedemann Smith C, Whitaker KL, Winstanley K, et al. Thorax 2016;**71**:659–661.

Received 12 November 2015 Revised 26 January 2016 Accepted 4 February 2016 Published Online First 24 February 2016

Thorax 2016;**71**:659–661. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-208063

REFERENCES

- Cancer Research UK. Lung Cancer Statistics. http:// www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/ cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/lungcancer#heading-Five
- Redaniel MT, Martin RM, Ridd MJ, et al. Diagnostic intervals and its association with breast, prostate, lung and colorectal cancer survival in England: historical

660 Thorax July 2016 Vol 71 No 7

- cohort study using the clinical practice research datalink. *PLoS ONE* 2015;10:e0126608
- 3 Elliss-Brookes L, McPhail S, Ives A, et al. Routes to diagnosis for cancer—determining the patient journey using multiple routine data sets. Br J Cancer 2012;107:1220–6
- 4 Scott SE, Walter FM, Webster A, et al. The model of pathways to treatment: conceptualization and integration with existing theory. Br J Health Psychol 2013;18:45–65
- 5 Corner J, Hopkinson J, Roffe L. Experience of health changes and reasons for delay in seeking care: a UK
- study of the months prior to the diagnosis of lung cancer. *Soc Sci Med* 2006;62:1381–91
- 6 Stubbings S, Robb K, Waller J, et al. Development of a measurement tool to assess public awareness of cancer. Br J Cancer 2009;101(Suppl 2):S13–17.
- 7 Public Health England. Be Clear on Cancer. 2012. https://campaigns.dh.gov.uk/category/beclearoncancer/
- 8 Chatwin J, Sanders C. The influence of social factors on help-seeking for people with lung cancer. Eur J Cancer Care 2013;22:709–13
- Walter FM, Rubin G, Bankhead C, et al. Symptoms and other factors associated with time to diagnosis
- and stage of lung cancer: a prospective cohort study. *Br J Cancer* 2015;112(Suppl 1):S6–13.
- 10 Renzi C, Whitaker KL, Wardle J. Over-reassurance and undersupport after a 'false alarm': a systematic review of the impact on subsequent cancer symptom attribution and help seeking. *BMJ Open* 2015;5: e007002.

Thorax July 2016 Vol 71 No 7 661