



The efficacy of a short one-on-one nursing intervention in people with coronary heart disease

A randomized controlled trial protocol

Wen Xia, MB^a, Ting Liu, MB^b, Jie Lei, MM^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: To assess the efficacy of a short one-on-one nursing intervention in people with coronary heart disease (CHD).

Methods: The experiment will be implemented from January 2021 to May 2021 at Wuhan No.1 Hospital. The experiment was granted through the Research Ethics Committee of Wuhan No.1 Hospital (W202012-8) and recorded in research registry (researchregistry6378). Patients are eligible for the study if they have a diagnosis of CHD, confirmed by their physician and lived independently. Exclusion criteria are:

- 1) complicating serious comorbidity such as a major psychiatric illness or chronic renal failure;
- 2) untreated malignancy or neurological disorder that impaired cognition;
- 3) major and uncorrected hearing loss. Patients who agree to participate in the study attend interviews at the hospital. Primary outcome is the acute coronary syndrome (ACS) response index, which has 3 separate scales for knowledge, attitudes and beliefs. Secondary outcomes are anxiety and depression measured by multiple affect adjective checklist. It consists of 132 alphabetically ordered adjectives that are either negative (e.g., fearful) or positive (e.g., joyful).

Results: Table 1 reflects the comparison of the biochemical and clinical variables and the lifestyle factors.

Conclusion: A relatively short education may increase knowledge, attitudes and beliefs about ACS and response to ACS symptoms in individuals with CHD.

Trial registration number: researchregistry6378

Abbreviations: ACS = acute coronary syndrome, CHD = coronary heart disease.

Keywords: acute coronary syndrome, coronary heart disease, one-on-one nursing, protocol

1. Introduction

Although the mortality rate from ischemic heart disease has decreased in the past several decades in developed countries, it continues to cause approximately one third of all deaths in

WX and TL contributed equally to this work.

This study is supported by Knowledge innovation project of Hubei Province (2017CFB739)

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Xia W, Liu T, Lei J. The efficacy of a short one-on-one nursing intervention in people with coronary heart disease: a randomized controlled trial protocol. Medicine 2021;100:4(e24405).

Received: 22 December 2020 / Accepted: 4 January 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000024405 persons older than 35 years of age. [1,2] It has been estimated that almost half of middle-aged men and one third of middle-aged women in the United States will have some symptoms of ischemic heart disease. [3–5] Meanwhile, cardiovascular disease causes an estimated annual total of 4 million deaths in Europe and of 1.9 million deaths in the European Union, largely due to coronary heart disease (CHD), representing 47% and 40% of all deaths in Europe and the European Union, respectively. [6,7] In Europe, cardiovascular disease leads to a total estimated annual cost of 196 billion euros of which approximately 54% is due to direct health care costs and 24% is due to lost productivity. [8] Moreover, the effects of CHD are not limited to developed countries and it has been a serious social problem.

The largest contributor to delayed time to receipt of reperfusion for acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is patient delay in recognizing symptoms and deciding to seek treatment. [9,10] Most studies of interventions to reduce delay in response to ACS symptoms have focused on mass media campaigns and have shown modest success at best. Previous studies have introduced one-on-one education performed by local health care providers for patients with cancer and showed improved outcomes. [11,12] In an effort to reduce patient prehospital delay times, we conduct a randomized controlled trial protocol of a novel one-on-one education and counseling intervention designed for patients at

^a Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, ^b Physical Examination Center,

^c Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Wuhan No.1 Hospital, Hubei, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Jie Lei, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Wuhan No.1 Hospital, Hubei 430022, China (e-mail: leijie4752@126.com).

Xia et al. Medicine (2021) 100:4 Medicine

risk for ACS, with the primary aim of promoting timely response to symptoms and reducing delay times. A secondary aim is to investigate the effect of the study intervention on knowledge, attitudes and beliefs about CHD and ACS symptoms.

2. Methods

The experiment will be implemented from January 2021 to May 2021 at Wuhan No.1 Hospital. The experiment was granted through the Research Ethics Committee of Wuhan No.1 Hospital (W202012–8) and recorded in research registry (researchregistry6378). Before the registration, the patients who are recruited receive written informed consent. Sequence of random numbers is generated by a computer. Sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes are used for the concealment of random numbers. All the patients participating in this study are randomly divided into control group and nursing group, with 35 patients in each group.

2.1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients are eligible for the study if they have a diagnosis of CHD, confirmed by their physician and lived independently. Exclusion criteria are:

- 1) complicating serious comorbidity such as a major psychiatric illness or chronic renal failure;
- untreated malignancy or neurological disorder that impaired cognition;
- 3) major and uncorrected hearing loss. Patients who agree to participate in the study attend interviews at the hospital.

2.2. The intervention

Information-patients are given information about typical symptoms, possible variability in symptom presentation and the fact that onset may be gradual and intermittent, rather than classic sudden crushing chest pain.

Emotional component-patients are assisted in anticipating emotional responses to ACS symptoms and acknowledging that these responses can delay the receipt of treatment. They are told that denial or suppression of the serious nature of symptoms is common but contributes to treatment delay and that attribution of symptoms to a body system other than the heart is common. Emotional issues are addressed partially through the use of scenarios featuring individuals who resembled the patient. Through roleplaying, patients are asked to anticipate emotions they may have when they experience symptoms of ACS and guide through the appropriate action steps.

Social factors-patients are advised to consult immediately with their spouse in the case of symptoms. When present with the patient, the spouse or family member is invited to attend the intervention session and are "deputized" to act as the decision maker if the patient hesitates to call the emergency number within 15 minutes of symptom onset.

The intervention is delivered in an individualized, one-on-one session of approximately 40 minutes with the patient. Using a script, an experienced cardiovascular nurse uses a flipchart with the main points listed and pictures illustrating the process of coronary occlusion and how reperfusion therapies restore blood flow to the myocardium. Patients are asked to place it in a prominent place in the home.

2.3. Outcomes

Primary outcome is the ACS response index, [13] which has 3 separate scales for knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs. Secondary outcomes are anxiety and depression measured by multiple affect adjective checklist. It consists of 132 alphabetically ordered adjectives that are either negative (e.g., fearful) or positive (e.g., joyful).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Through utilizing the Microsoft Excel 2013, the data is recorded, and the analysis of all the data is carried out through utilizing IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). With the χ 2-tests and independent t-tests, the analysis for continuous variables and categorical variables are implemented respectively. All data are described with proper features, such as percentage, average and median. P value less than .05 indicates that there is statistical significance.

3. Results

Table 1 reflects the comparison of ACS Response Index scores for knowledge, attitudes and beliefs at study entry, 3 months and 12 months.

4. Discussion

CHD remains a significant public health problem in the developed world. [14,15] Recently, the official statistics office of the American Heart Association estimated that about 15.4 million persons older than 20 years in the United States have ischemic heart disease. [16] For ACS, the prevalence rate was estimated to be 2.9%. The effect of an ACS on mortality is largely dependent on the time from symptom onset to the time of reperfusion. [17] Reperfusion therapy with either percutaneous coronary intervention or fibrinolytic drugs leads to lower mortality and fewer complications. [18] Maximum benefit is achieved when reperfusion is performed in ACS within 60 minutes of symptom onset. The benefit from both reperfusion techniques decreases markedly if they are received more than 3 hours after symptom onset, although there may be some benefit

Table 1

Comparison of ACS response index scores for knowledge, attitudes and beliefs at study entry, 3 months and 12 months.

Variables	Study group (n=35) Mean+SD	Control group (n=35) Mean+SD	<i>P</i> value
Knowledge			
Baseline			
3 mo			
12 mo			
Attitudes			
Baseline			
3 mo			
12 mo			
Beliefs			
Baseline			
3 mo			
12 mo			

ACS = acute coronary syndrome, SD = standard deviation.

up to 12 hours after symptoms start. Better knowledge of ACS symptoms has sometimes been found to be associated with shorter prehospital delay times. [19] Enhancing knowledge must be considered an essential first step to promoting appropriate patient behavior in responding to ACS symptoms. Currently, few interventions have used one-on-one delivery of the information and few investigators have evaluated intermediate outcomes that potentially influence patient treatment-seeking behavior in response to ACS symptoms.

5. Conclusion

A relatively short education may increase knowledge, attitudes and beliefs about ACS and response to ACS symptoms in individuals with CHD.

Author contributions

Jie Lei designs the protocol. Ting Liu reviews the protocol and performs the data collection. Wen Xia finishes the manuscript. All of the authors approved the submission.

Conceptualization: Wen Xia.
Data curation: Wen Xia.
Formal analysis: Ting Liu.
Funding acquisition: Jie Lei.
Investigation: Ting Liu.
Methodology: Ting Liu.

Writing - original draft: Wen Xia.

References

- [1] Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C, Sanchis-Gomar F. Red meat consumption and ischemic heart disease. A systematic literature review. Meat Sci 2015;108:32–6.
- [2] Mody P, Sidhu MS, Brilakis ES, et al. Antianginal agents for the management of stable ischemic heart disease: a review. Cardiol Rev 2016;24:177–89.
- [3] Moran AE, Oliver JT, Mirzaie M, et al. Assessing the global burden of ischemic heart disease: part 1: methods for a systematic review of the global epidemiology of ischemic heart disease in 1990 and 2010. Glob Heart 2012;7:315–29.

- [4] Sanchis-Gomar F, Perez-Quilis C, Leischik R, et al. Epidemiology of coronary heart disease and acute coronary syndrome. Ann Transl Med 2016:4:256
- [5] Ferreira-Gonzalez I. The epidemiology of coronary heart disease. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2014;67:139–44.
- [6] Katta N, Loethen T, Lavie CJ, et al. Obesity and coronary heart disease: epidemiology, pathology, and coronary artery imaging. Curr Probl Cardiol 2020;100655.
- [7] Dalen JE, Alpert JS, Goldberg RJ, et al. The epidemic of the 20(th) century: coronary heart disease. Am J Med 2014;127:807–12.
- [8] Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, et al. Global and regional burden of disease and risk factors, 2001: systematic analysis of population health data. Lancet 2006;367:1747–57.
- [9] Moser DK, Kimble LP, Alberts MJ, et al. Reducing delay in seeking treatment by patients with acute coronary syndrome and stroke: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on cardiovascular nursing and stroke council. Circulation 2006;114: 168–82
- [10] Rodriguez T, Malvezzi M, Chatenoud L, et al. Trends in mortality from coronary heart and cerebrovascular diseases in the Americas: 1970– 2000. Heart 2006;92:453–60.
- [11] Griffith K. One-on-one education and client reminders increase uptake of colorectal, breast and cervical cancer screening. Evid Based Nurs 2013;16:61–2.
- [12] Fleming TJ, Benitez MG, Weintraub M. Evaluating the effectiveness of one-on-one conversations to increase colorectal cancer screening in a community-based clinical setting. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2018;118:26–33.
- [13] Riegel B, McKinley S, Moser DK, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the acute coronary syndrome (ACS) response index. Res Nurs Health 2007;30:584–94.
- [14] Levitan EB, Muntner P, Chen L, et al. Burden of coronary heart disease rehospitalizations following acute myocardial infarction in older adults. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 2016;30:323–31.
- [15] Liu JL, Maniadakis N, Gray A, et al. The economic burden of coronary heart disease in the UK. Heart 2002;88:597–603.
- [16] Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, et al. Executive summary: heart disease and stroke statistics–2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2013;127:143–52.
- [17] Kuroki N, Abe D, Iwama T, et al. Association between delay to coronary reperfusion and outcome in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Resuscitation 2017;114:1–6.
- [18] Heusch G, Gersh BJ. The pathophysiology of acute myocardial infarction and strategies of protection beyond reperfusion: a continual challenge. Eur Heart J 2017;38:774–84.
- [19] Birnbach B, Hopner J, Mikolajczyk R. Cardiac symptom attribution and knowledge of the symptoms of acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2020;20:445.