Clin Endosc 2013;46:599-600

http://dx.doi.org/10.5946/ce.2013.46.6.599

Open Access

Perfecting Video Capsule Endoscopy: Is There Need for Training?

Jae Hee Cheon1 and Ki Baik Hahm2

Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Digestive Disease Center, CHA Medical Center, CHA University, Seongnam, Korea

See "Learning Curve of Capsule Endoscopy" by Korean Gut Image Study Group, Yun Jeong Lim, Young Sung Joo, et al., on page 633-636

The small bowel had been a no man's land, inaccessible by direct endoscopic examination until the new millennium. However, an innovative scientific advancement changed the perspectives of small bowel evaluation with the introduction of small bowel video capsule endoscopy (VCE) by GIVEN Imaging (Yoqneam, Israel) in 2001. We were introduced to the VCE during the Asian Pacific Digestive Week 2000 in Sydney, Australia, the first time in Asia, after which it was brought to Korea for clinical use in late 2001. VCE has revolutionized our ability to visualize the entire small bowel mucosa, and this modality is now valuable for evaluating small bowel diseases including obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug-associated small bowel injury, Crohn and Celiac disease, back-wash ileitis of ulcerative colitis, unexplained malabsorption syndrome, small bowel tumors including cancer, inherited intestinal polyposis syndrome, chronic unexplained abdominal pain, protein-losing enteropathy, intestinal lymphangiectasia, eosinophilic enteritis, and other conditions involving the small bowel mucosa. The small intestine is no longer a deserted island to gastroenterologists.1-3

The capsule is swallowed and passively passes though the gastrointestinal tract. It is considerably less invasive and requires less technical training than conventional endoscopy and rarely causes complications during the procedure. Accurate interpretation of the images from VCE is important for gastroenterologists; therefore, quality control could be an issue

Received: October 11, 2013 Accepted: October 15, 2013

Correspondence: Ki Baik Hahm

Digestive Disease Center, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, 59 Yatap-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 463-712, Korea

Tel: +82-31-780-5005, Fax: +82-31-780-5219, E-mail: hahmkb@cha.ac.kr

@ This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

if a qualified individual does not interpret the data. Accordingly, a gastroenterologist who is familiar with small bowel disease diagnosis with experience in performing small bowel endoscopy should interpret the data. Specific interpretation skills are needed for the images provided by VCE. Furthermore, a sharp eye is needed to recognize these rapidly-passing images from the VCE for accurate interpretation.^{4,5} Once the interpreter is familiar and has more experience with VCE, the time spent in VCE evaluation might be significant in increasing diagnostic accuracy.6,7

In this issue of Clinical Endoscopy, the Korean GUT Image Study Group and their colleagues investigated the learning curve of VCE for beginners.8 They postulated that although VCE has become an important tool for the diagnosis of small bowel diseases and can be performed without technical skill, the images should be interpreted by someone with experience in gastrointestinal mucosal image assessment. Therefore, they attempted to determine the number of cases needed by trainees to gain the necessary experience for attaining VCE competency. They found that the agreement rate of VCE diagnosis between the trainees and an expert increased as frequency of interpretation increased; the majority of mean κ coefficients were >0.60 and >0.80 after weeks 9 and 11, respectively (one case reviewed per week). They concluded that 10 cases of VCE are appropriate for trainees to attain an expert level competency. Similarly, several previous reports and guidelines have recommended 10 to 25 cases of VCE interpretation to ensure competence.^{2,7} This number is lower than that for endoscopic techniques such as colonoscopy, which usually require >200 cases to ensure competence.

A prospective view shows several important developments in the field of VCE in clinical use in the near future. For instance, besides the second generation of VCE for the esophagus and colon, the next generation of VCEs such as those with

double lenses, tissue diagnostic capabilities such as brushing cytology, fluid aspiration, drug delivery, and biopsy; those equipped with imaging mass spectroscopy; and confocal endomicroscopic VCE would be beneficial. The esophageal VCEs are already available, and compared to standard esophagoscopy, showed good sensitivity and specificity and were tolerated excellently; thus, adequate training for esophageal VCE is essential, as is similarly required for small intestinal VCE.9 Development of an externally operated capsule has also been attempted and a VCE containing magnets in one of the domes, thus allowing a joystick-like manipulation of the capsule, is being developed.¹⁰ This, however, may require a larger number of training cases to ensuring adequate technical skill.

In conclusion, a reasonable number of cases are necessary for the trainees to perfect VCE, and this number will further increase as new generations of VCEs emerge.

Conflicts of Interest _

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Cheon JH, Kim WH. Recent advances of endoscopy in inflammatory

- bowel diseases. Gut Liver 2007;1:118-125.
- 2. Rey JF, Ladas S, Alhassani A, Kuznetsov K; ESGE Guidelines Committee. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE). Video capsule endoscopy: update to guidelines (May 2006). Endoscopy 2006; 38:1047-1053.
- 3. Gut Image Study Group, Lim YJ, Moon JS, et al. Korean Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (KSGE) guidelines for credentialing and granting previleges for capsule endoscopy. Korean J Gastrointest Endosc 2008:37:393-402.
- 4. Korman LY, Delvaux M, Gay G, et al. Capsule endoscopy structured terminology (CEST): proposal of a standardized and structured terminology for reporting capsule endoscopy procedures. Endoscopy 2005; 37:951-959.
- 5. Shim KN, Moon JS, Chang DK, et al. Guideline for capsule endoscopy: obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Clin Endosc 2013;46:45-53.
- 6. van Tuyl SA, van Noorden JT, Stolk MF, Kuipers EJ. Clinical consequences of videocapsule endoscopy in GI bleeding and Crohn's disease. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;66:1164-1170.
- 7. Kornbluth A, Colombel JF, Leighton JA, Loftus E; ICCE. ICCE consensus for inflammatory bowel disease. Endoscopy 2005;37:1051-1054.
- 8. Korean Gut Image Study Group, Lim JY, Joo YS, et al. Learning curve of capsule endoscopy. Clin Endosc 2013;46:633-636.
- 9. Eliakim R, Carter D. Endoscopic assessment of the small bowel. Dig Dis 2013;31:194-198.
- 10. Kopylov U, Seidman EG. Clinical applications of small bowel capsule endoscopy. Clin Exp Gastroenterol 2013;6:129-137.