

## Original Article

# Serological survey of *Leptospira interrogans*, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Trypanosoma cruzi* in free roaming domestic dogs and cats from a marginated rural area of Yucatan Mexico

Antonio Ortega-Pacheco\* , Eugenia Guzmán-Marín<sup>†</sup>, Karla Y. Acosta-Viana<sup>†</sup>, Ignacio Vado-Solís<sup>‡</sup>, Bertha Jiménez-Delgadillo<sup>‡</sup>, Maria Cárdenas-Marrufo<sup>‡</sup>, Carlos Pérez-Osorio<sup>‡</sup>, Marilyn Puerto-Solís<sup>†</sup> and Matilde Jiménez-Coello<sup>†</sup>

\*Campus de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias, C.A. Salud Animal y Medicina Preventiva, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán. Km 15.5 carretera Mérida-Xmatkuil, Mérida, Yucatán, México, <sup>†</sup>Centro de Investigaciones Regionales-Biomédicas, "Dr Hideyo Noguchi" C.A. Biomedicina de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Yucatán, México, and <sup>‡</sup>Facultad de Medicina, C.A. Enfermedades Endémicas Emergentes y Reemergentes en Región Tropical, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Yucatán, México

## Abstract

To evaluate the serological status for *Trypanosoma cruzi*, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Leptospira interrogans* antibodies in free roaming dogs and cats from a marginated rural community in Yucatan Mexico, 100 households were visited and animals sampled. From the 106 samples, 93 were from dogs and 13 were from cats. Frequency of positive results for *T. gondii*, *T. cruzi* and *Leptospira* spp was 97.8%, 9.7% and 45.2% for dogs and 92.3%, 0.0% and 15.2% for cats, respectively. No associations with age, sex and body condition was found for *T. gondii* and *Leptospira* spp neither for the place where pets sleep, fumigation or presence of triatomes in the household in the case of *T. cruzi*. For leptospirosis the most common serovars found were *Canicola*, *Australis* and *Bratislava* in dogs and cats with titres of 100 or 200 with exception of one dog with a titre of 400. The high frequency of seropositive dogs suggests a high circulation of the agents in the population of free roaming owned dogs and cats probably due to the lack of control of the reservoirs and vectors involved. Domestic animals in those rural communities can be sentinels to assess the risk of human exposure in the rural communities.

**Keywords:** zoonosis, stray dogs, rural community, poverty, *Trypanosoma cruzi*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Leptospira* spp, free roaming pets, rural communities.

Correspondence: Antonio Ortega-Pacheco, Unidad de Enseñanza Médica, Campus de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán. Apdo. Postal 4-116 Itzimmá, Mérida, Yucatán, México. E-mail: opacheco@uady.mx

## Introduction

Several infectious agents and their vectors are present widely in the domestic dogs habitats. Diseases appear when animals become in contact with the agents and their immunological response is not enough to contain them. However, overt clinical signs did not always result, especially in mild infections and dogs might become reservoirs. On the other hand, dogs may act as sentinels of several infectious agents also potentially infective in humans,

so the epidemiological studies in dogs of such agents may be of value to measure the risk of infection (Salb *et al.* 2008; Schurer *et al.* 2014). Bloodsucker bug from the Triatominae subfamily transmit American Trypanosomiasis, which is endemic in Yucatan Mexico. The disease affects several hosts including dogs, cats and humans (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2008, 2010). Triatomines may have feeding preferences for dogs (Guzman-Marin *et al.* 1992; Castañera *et al.* 1998), which become important reservoirs for *T. cruzi* and are involved in an intra-domiciliary

transmission cycle (Estrada-Franco *et al.* 2006; Gürtler *et al.* 2007). Dogs may act as important reservoirs of *T. cruzi* in the domestic and peri-domestic cycle of transmission. A positive dogs may increase the risk of transmission to the owners and at the contrary, keeping infected dogs out of the bedroom can effectively reduce the bug and human prevalence rate (Cohen & Gürtler 2001).

Toxoplasmosis is a parasitic disease caused by the intracellular protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii* which is transmitted to humans by the ingestion of contaminated material with oocysts acquired from the environment or through the ingestion of raw meats. Cats are essentials for the biological cycle of the parasite and dogs are intermediate hosts that can also suffer from the disease and develop clinical signs such as jaundice, neurological disorders, myositis, fever, tonsillitis, dyspnoea and intraocular signs (Dubey *et al.* 2009).

Leptospirosis is considered as one of the most common zoonotic emerging infectious disease. It is produced by a spirochete bacterium of the genus *Leptospira* comprised by pathogenic and saprophytic species. According to the new classification of *Leptospira* there are at least seven pathogenic species, one of them is *L. interrogans* (Levett 2001). *L. interrogans* species are classified into serovars; and serovars antigenically related have been grouped into serogroups (Kmety & Dikken 1993). Currently around 200 serovars of *L. interrogans* sensu lato are recognized (Levett 2001). Those of veterinary relevance because of their zoonotic implications include serovars *Canicola*, *Grippotyphosa*, *Icterohaemorrhagiae*, *Pomona*, *Autumnalis* and *Bratislava*, originated from a specific or incidental chronically infected host. Humans become infected with leptospires by direct contact with infected urine or indirectly by consumption of contaminated water. Thus, water-related recreational and occupational activities pose a risk of human infection (Monahan *et al.* 2009). Dogs are common companion backyard animals in rural Mexico with proportions up to 1.7 of people/dog ratio (Ortega-Pacheco *et al.* 2007). The close contact with animals and their feces in these systems may pose a high risk of contract zoonotic diseases like leptospirosis. In the case of cats, their role as

healthy carrier as a source of contamination is likely underestimated (Hartmann *et al.* 2013).

Poor and marginalized populations are highly associated to zoonotic infections due to their low socio-economical situation and poor hygiene, particularly endemic diseases from developing countries where the health services are inadequate (Seimenis 2012). The objectives of this study were to evaluate the serological status of free roaming owned dogs and cats for *Trypanosoma cruzi*, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Lepstospira interrogans*, from a marginated rural community in Yucatan Mexico.

## Material and methods

### Studied area

The study was performed in a rural community (Mayapan) in the state of Yucatan, Mexico (20°28' and 20°48'N latitude, and 89°12' and 89°38'W longitude) with a total of 2437 inhabitants. A multistage sampling technique was designed to randomly visit 100 households from the 462 reported (INEGI 2001). Households were selected by convenience with the pre-requisite of owning a dog and/or cat and representing at least 20% of the community. The study was performed from September to December 2015.

### Sampling

Owned free roaming dogs and cats belonging to the selected households were blood sampled from the cephalic vein or jugular vein into vacutainer tubes. Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 400g for 15 minutes to obtain serum, were identified individually and stored at -20°C until processing. The owners responded a short questionnaire with the vaccination status of their dogs (including rabies and leptospirosis).

### Laboratory analysis

#### *Trypanosoma cruzi*

For the specific detection of IgG antibodies against *T. cruzi*, a commercial indirect enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was used (Wiener-Lab Chagatest, V 3.0). The technique used was adapted to that described by Jiménez-Coello *et al.* (2010, 2012), using anti-IgG cat/dog (respectively) antibody labelled with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) on 96-well plate coated with recombinant proteins of *T. cruzi*. Serum samples were diluted to a ratio of 100 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2), and the secondary goat anti-cat IgG or anti-dog IgG HRP labelled were used (sc-2423 and sc-2433; Santa Cruz Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) at a dilution of 5000.

Sera from previously evaluated cats and dogs with high anti-IgG antibody titres by ELISA (1:1024) and positive results to PCR against *T. cruzi* were used as positive controls. A sera pool from 10 healthy cats previously tested by triplicate with ELISA IgM, IgG and PCR were used as negative controls. As well commercial normal serum samples from dogs and cats were also assayed (Codes sc-2478 and sc-2710, Santa Cruz Inc) in each run for additional negative validated samples. For interpretation, subjects were diagnosed as either positive/negative for specific IgG antibodies to *T. cruzi* depending their optical density (OD), which were measured in a spectrophotometer at 450 nm (XMark Microplate Spectrophotometer, Bio-Rad) and was used to compute the percent positivity (PP) using the formula mean OD (sample or negative control) divided by the mean OD value positive control multiplied by 100. Per cent positivity of 15% or above was considered as positive. For confirmation of the diagnosis only in seropositive cases previously detected by the indirect ELISA, the Western blot (WB) assay was performed (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2008, 2012), in which H4 *T. cruzi* strain epimastigotes were used as antigen, where were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Samples were considered positives to WB based on an established criterion. A serum sample was considered positive when it recognized at least five antigenic bands from a group of 10 with the highest frequency; the result was considered indeterminate when the sample recognized of 1–4 antigenic bands and was negative when the serum sample showed no reactivity (Teixeira *et al.* 1994; Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2008, 2012).

#### *Toxoplasma gondii*

The presence of specific IgG antibodies against *T. gondii* was determined separately by the use of indirect ELISA tests (Human-GmbH, Wiesbaden, GER), using a 96-well plate coated with sonicated parasite proteins from tachyzoites of *T. gondii* as previously described (Castillo-Morales *et al.* 2012). Serum samples were diluted to a ratio of 1:100 in buffer (pH 6.5 ± 0.2) provided by commercial manufacturer (phosphate-buffer 10 mmol/L, NaCl 8 g/L and albumin 10 g/L). The secondary goat anti-IgG dog and goat anti-IgG anti-IgG cat antibody HRP labelled were used (Codes sc-2433 and sc-2423, Santa Cruz Inc.), respectively, for each species group evaluated samples. Sera from previously assessed samples from dogs and cats showing high anti-IgG antibodies titre by ELISA (1:1024) and positive results to PCR against *T. gondii* were used as positive controls, and sera pool from 10 healthy cats and 10 healthy cats dogs previously tested by triplicate with ELISA IgM, IgG and PCR, were used as negative controls. Also, commercial normal serum samples from dogs and cats were also assayed (Codes sc-2478 and sc-2710, Santa Cruz Inc) in each run. Subjects were diagnosed as either serum positive/negative for specific IgG and IgM antibodies to *T. gondii*. The optical density (OD) was measured in a spectrophotometer at 450 nm (XMark Microplate Spectrophotometer, Bio-Rad) and was used to compute the PP using the formula mean OD (sample or negative control) divided by the mean OD value positive control multiplied by 100. Percent positivity of 15% or above was considered as positive.

#### *Leptospira spp*

To detect the presence of *Leptospira* spp antibodies, the Microscopic agglutination test (MAT) was used. MAT is the gold standard of reference for the diagnosis of leptospirosis and was performed using live antigens at the Laboratory of FM-UADY under the WHO norms (Mayers 1985; Faine *et al.* 1999). The antigens used were from serogroups *Canicola*, *Hardjo*, *Pyrogenes*, *Panama*, *Pomona*, *Tarassovi*, *Icterohaemorrhagiae*, *Gryppotyphosa*, *Wolffi*,

*Autumnalis*, *Australis* and *Bratislava*, all commonly associated in previous studies with illnesses in humans and animals in Yucatan (Zavala-Velazquez *et al.* 1984; Vado-Solís *et al.* 2002). A positive result was considered when the sera showed at least 50% agglutination with one or more serogroups, which were further, titrated in serial twofold dilutions 1:100. In cases of cross-reaction, the serogroup with higher titres at the dilution was considered as the predominant (Mayers 1985).

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were generated to determine the prevalence of specific antibodies. Risk factor such as sex, age or body condition score (BCS) were evaluated for *T. gondii* and *Leptospira* spp. The association of *T. cruzi* according to place where pets sleep, fumigation or the presence of the triatomines in the household was analysed using a chi-squared test/Fisher exact test. Odd Ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were also estimated. Analysis was performed using Epi-Info software (Version 6.0; CDC Atlanta, GA).

### Results

From the 106 samples, 93 were from dogs and 13 were cats. A high frequency of *T. gondii* seropositive animals was found in both dogs and cats. *T. cruzi* was negative in the cats, whereas in dogs, frequency was 9.7%. *Leptospira* spp was present in both species with a higher frequency in dogs (Table 1).

No associations of *T. gondii* or *T. cruzi* were found according to evaluated risk factor (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Serological frequency of *T. gondii*, *T. cruzi* and *Leptospira* spp from de 93 dogs and 13 cats from a rural village of Yucatan Mexico

|                        | Dogs |    |               | Cats |    |               |
|------------------------|------|----|---------------|------|----|---------------|
|                        | +    | -  | Frequency (%) | +    | -  | Frequency (%) |
| <i>T. gondii</i>       | 91   | 2  | 97.8          | 12   | 1  | 92.3          |
| <i>T. cruzi</i>        | 8    | 85 | 9.7           | 0    | 13 | 0.0           |
| <i>Leptospira</i> spp. | 42   | 51 | 45.2          | 2    | 11 | 15.2          |

The percentage of seropositivity from the 93 tested dogs was 45.2% ( $n = 42$ ) and associated to serogroups *Canicola*, *Australis* and *Bratislava*. Only three cats from the 13 (23.2%) were seropositive to serovars *Canicola* and *Australis*. None of the studied dogs had history of recent vaccination against *Leptospira* spp.

Titres of positive animals including both species were predominantly at the dilution of 1/100 (Table 3). No association of *Leptospira* spp was found according to sex, age and BCS (Table 4).

### Discussion

#### *Toxoplasma gondii*

Almost all cats were seropositive to toxoplasmosis as seen in previous studies from the same region where 91.8% of cats living in an urban area were seropositive to *T. gondii* IgG (Castillo-Morales *et al.* 2012). Cats are definitive hosts of the protozoa and infection is expected when consuming raw meat especially in rural areas where small intermediate host vertebrates can be ready available to prey. As consequence of the uncontrolled cat population queen can reproduce all year-round and kittens are available during the whole year (Ortega-Pacheco *et al.* 2012) and thus a high environmental contamination with infective oocysts is expected. Other domestic animals and humans living in the same area are at high risk to become infected from drinking contaminated water with oocysts or infected meat with *T. gondii* cysts. The high frequency of *T. gondii* seropositive dogs demonstrates the wide distribution of the parasite in the environment of the studied region where cats may have experienced periods of oocyte elimination. Although a higher frequency is expected in older dogs (Langoni *et al.* 2014) a high environmental contamination with infective oocysts is possible and thus all animals in every age category are at the same risk to become in to contact with the oocysts and seroconvert.

#### *Trypanosoma cruzi*

As seen in other rural regions of Yucatan, seropositive dogs to trypanosomiasis is expected with

**Table 2.** Risk factors associated with seropositivity to *Trypanosoma cruzi* in free roaming dog from a rural area of Yucatan, Mexico

| Risk factor                       | <i>n</i> | Positive | Prevalence (%) | OR   | 95% CI     | Chi square value | <i>P</i> -Value        |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|------|------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Sex                               |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| Male                              | 72       | 7        | 9.7            | 2.05 | 0.22–47.01 |                  | 0.68 (NS)              |
| Female                            | 19       | 0 (1)    | 0 (1)          |      |            |                  |                        |
| Age (years)                       |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| 1<                                | 29       | 2        | 6.9            |      |            | 0.11             | 0.95 (NS)              |
| >1<6                              | 48       | 4        | 8.3            |      |            |                  |                        |
| >6                                | 10       | 1        | 10             |      |            |                  |                        |
| BCS                               |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| 1                                 | 8        | 1        | 12.5           |      |            | 0.27             | 0.87 (NS)              |
| 2                                 | 39       | 3        | 7.7            |      |            |                  |                        |
| 3–5                               | 42       | 3        | 7.1            |      |            |                  |                        |
| Place where pets sleep            |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| Outdoors                          | 83       | 7        | 7.8            | 0.55 | 0.05–13.92 |                  | 0.49 <sup>F</sup> (NS) |
| Indoors                           | 6        | 0 (1)    | 0              |      |            |                  |                        |
| Fumigation                        |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| Yes                               | 70       | 7        | 10             | 2.1  | 0.23–48.53 |                  | 0.67 <sup>F</sup> (NS) |
| No                                | 19       | 0 (1)    | 0 (1)          |      |            |                  |                        |
| Presence of the triatomines       |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| Yes                               | 54       | 5        | 9.3            | 1.79 | 0.28–14.20 |                  | 0.69 <sup>F</sup> (NS) |
| No                                | 37       | 2        | 5.4            |      |            |                  |                        |
| Place where triatomines were seen |          |          |                |      |            |                  |                        |
| Indoors                           | 37       | 2        | 5.4            | 0.27 | 0.03–2.29  |                  | 0.31 <sup>F</sup> (NS) |
| Outdoors                          | 17       | 3        | 17.6           |      |            |                  |                        |

OR, Odds ratio; NS, Non significant; CI, Confidence interval; F, Fisher Exact test.

**Table 3.** Titres of seropositive free roaming dogs and cats from a rural area of Yucatan Mexico using MAT according to the serovars of *Leptospira* spp

| Titres | <i>Canicola</i> | <i>Australis</i> | <i>Bratislava</i> | Total |
|--------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 1/100  | 13              | 14               | 4                 | 31    |
| 1/200  | 7               | 4                | 2                 | 13    |
| 1/300  | 0               | 0                | 0                 | 0     |
| 1/400  | 1               | 0                | 0                 | 1     |
| Total  | 21 (46.6%)      | 18 (40.0%)       | 6 (13.4%)         | 45    |

MAT, Microscopic agglutination test.

frequencies up to 9.8% (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2008), similarly as found in this study. Dogs may be a preferred blood source for the vector (Triatomine bugs) (Guzman-Marin *et al.* 1992; Mota *et al.* 2007), and maintain parasitaemia for longer periods of time (Gürtler *et al.* 1997). The presence of infected dogs may represent an important risk for owners when humans become infected when bitten by a vector infected by a positive dog (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2010). Cats can also be a source of food for Triatoma

bugs but seroprevalences in this species are seldom reported. Trypanosomiasis in cats has been reported in some areas of Argentina where together with dogs they are used as sentinels to assess the risk of human trypanosomiasis (Cardinal *et al.* 2007; Gürtler *et al.* 2007). In Yucatan a recent study including 220 domestic cats a prevalence of ELISA IgG antibodies was 8.6% and 34% of the cases amplified the sequence of kADN of *T. cruzi* (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2012). Domestic cats and dogs are major domestic reservoirs of *T. cruzi* with increasing risk of peridomiciliary infection in vectors and humans. In this study, no cats were positive probably due to the low number of cats sampled. In rural Yucatan, cats are not as common as dogs and indeed the population is very reduced.

### **Leptospira spp.**

Results from this study demonstrate a wide distribution of dogs with antibodies to *Leptospira* spp. The persistence of the spirochetes in the studied region

**Table 4.** Risk factors associated with seropositivity to *Leptospira* spp in free roaming dog from a rural area of Yucatan, Mexico

| Risk factor | <i>n</i> | Positive | Prevalence (%) | OR   | 95% CI    | Chi square value | <i>P</i> -Value |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------------|------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|
| Sex         |          |          |                |      |           |                  |                 |
| Male        | 72       | 31       | 43.06          |      |           |                  |                 |
| Female      | 18       | 10       | 55.56          | 0.60 | 0.19–1.92 |                  | 0.34            |
| Age (years) |          |          |                |      |           |                  |                 |
| 1<          | 29       | 12       | 41.38          |      |           |                  |                 |
| >1–6        | 47       | 20       | 42.55          |      |           |                  |                 |
| 6>          | 10       | 4        | 40             |      | —         | 0.03             | 0.98            |
| BCS         |          |          |                |      |           |                  |                 |
| 1           | 8        | 3        | 37.5           |      |           |                  |                 |
| 2           | 39       | 19       | 48.72          |      |           |                  |                 |
| 3.5         | 39       | 18       | 46.15          |      | —         | 0.34             | 0.84            |

OR, odds ratio; CI, Confidence interval; NS, non significant.

and seroprevalence in dogs may be high (up to 35%) as consequence of the environmental conditions, especially during the raining season when a high humidity may persist for months (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2008). Serovars found in dogs varies from countries and regions depending on the presence of different reservoir species. For instance, in Brazil several serovars (*Canicola*, *Copenhageni* and *Pyrogenes*) are reported in dogs (Castro *et al.* 2011), whereas in the United States prevalence of antibodies in dogs was highest the serovars *Grippotyphosa*, followed by *Bratislava*, *Canicola*, *Icterohaemorrhagiae* and *Pomona* (Stokes *et al.* 2007). The serovar *Canicola* is reported in dogs from Merida together with serovar *Icterohaemorrhagiae*, followed by *Panama* and *Pyrogenes* (Jiménez-Coello *et al.* 2008). Similarly, as found in this study, serovar *Canicola* was more frequently found but followed by *Australis* and *Bratislava*. Serovar *Canicola* is frequently found in dogs since they are the principal reservoir host. Serovar *Australis* is common in rats and marsupials from tropical Australia, whereas Bratislava is common in pigs. Pigs, rats, skunk and opossum are common species in rural Yucatan and cross transmissions between these species and dogs may occur. This high seropositivity may suggest a wide distribution of the agent affecting both dogs and humans from the studied region, as dogs may have persistence leptospiruria increasing the risk of transmission to other dogs and humans (Cárdenas-Marrufo *et al.* 2011). The presence of seropositive cats, despite the low number sampled indicates the high circulation of the

serovars and close contact between domestic and wild species. Cats can also be a source of contamination since they may have periods of urinary shedding (Rodríguez *et al.* 2014). This appears to be the first report of a serological survey of leptospiral infection in cats in the Yucatan area and probably in Mexico. Titres against *Leptospira* spp were within 100–200 in both species indicating exposure to the spirochete. During an active phase of the disease titres can be as high as 3200 in cats without clinical or clinic-pathological major changes (Larsson *et al.* 1985) or >1800 in non-vaccinated dogs (Tangeman & Littman 2013).

## Conclusions

The high number of seropositive animals with the different agents found in the population of free roaming owned dogs and cats is an evidence of past exposure and suggesting their high circulation in the studied region. Although no detected, fatal cases in either species may occur, dogs and cats may be used as sentinels for the infectious agents to assess the risk of human infection in the rural communities where control of the vectors/reservoirs is non-existent. Surveillance in animals and people and good detection methods should be implemented by public health services to reduce the risk of human infection.

## Source of funding

This study was supported by the PRODEP (Programa de Mejoramiento de Profesores) through the



academic net “Modelo de estudio para el diagnóstico y prevención de enfermedades infecciosas y parasitarias en una comunidad con bajo índice de desarrollo humano en el Estado de Yucatán (CIRB-2012-0008)”.

## Conflict of Interest

The author declares that they have no conflict of interest.

## Contributions

AOP participated in the design of the study, carried out the blood collection from the dogs and cats, analysis of the results and article writing. EGM, KYAV, MPS and MJC participated in the design of the study and collection of information from households, performed serological evaluation of American Trypanosomiasis, and toxoplasmosis and article writing. IVS, BJD, MCM, CPO participated in the design of the study, performed serological evaluation against *Leptospira* spp and article writing.

## References

- Cárdenas-Marrufo M.F., Vado-Solís I., Pérez-Osorio C.E., Segura-Correa J. (2011) Seropositivity to leptospirosis in domestic reservoirs and detection of *Leptospira* spp. from water sources, in farms of Yucatan, Mexico. *Trop and Subtrop Agroecosystems* **14**, 185–189.
- Cardinal M.V., Lauricella M.A., Marcet P.L., Orozco M.M., Kitron U., Gürtler R.E. (2007) Impact of community based vector control on house infestation and *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in *Triatoma infestans*, dogs and cats in the Argentine Chaco. *Acta Tropica* **103**, 201–211.
- Castañera M.B., Lauricella M.A., Chuit R. & Gürtler R.E. (1998) Evaluation of dogs as sentinels of the transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in a rural area of northwestern Argentina. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* **92**, 671–683.
- Castillo-Morales V.J., Acosta-Viana K.Y., Guzmán-Marín E., Jiménez-Coello M. *et al.* (2012). Prevalence and risk factors of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in domestic cats from the tropics of Mexico using serological and molecular tests. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases* **2012**, 529108.
- Castro J.R., Salaberry S.R.S. & Souza M.A.Â. (2011) Lima-Ribeiro AMC. Sorovares de *Leptospira* spp. predominantes em exames sorológicos de caninos e humanos no município de Uberlândia, Estado de Minas Gerais. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* **44**, 217–222.
- Cohen J.E. & Gürtler R.E. (2001) Modeling households transmission of American Trypanosomiasis. *Science* **293**, 694–697.
- Dubey J.P., Lindsay D.S. & Lappin M.R. (2009) Toxoplasmosis and other intestinal coccidial infections in cats and dogs. *The Veterinary clinics of North America. Small animal practice* **39**, 1009–1034.
- Estrada-Franco J.G., Bhatia V., Diaz-Albiter H., Ochoa-Garcia L. *et al.* (2006) Human *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection and seropositivity in dogs Mexico. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **12**, 624–630.
- Faine S., Adler B., Bolin C., Perolat P. (1999) *Leptospira and leptospirosis*. 2nd Ed. MediSci: Melbourne, Australia.
- Gürtler R.E., Cohen J.E., Cecere M.C. & Chuit R. (1997) Shifting host choices of the vector of Chagas disease *Triatoma infestans* and the availability of hosts in houses in north-west Argentina. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **34**, 699–715.
- Gürtler R.E., Cecerre M.C., Lauricella M.A., Cardinal V.C. *et al.* (2007) Domestic dogs and cats as sources of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in rural northwestern Argentina. *Parasitol* **134**, 69–82.
- Guzman-Marin E.S., Barrera-Perez M.A., Rodriguez-Felix M.A. & Zavala-Velazquez J.E. (1992) Hábitos biológicos de *Triatoma dimidiata* en el Estado de Yucatán México. *Rev Biomed* **3**, 125–131.
- Hartmann K., Egberink H., Pennisi M.G., Lloret A. *et al.* (2013) *Leptospira* species infection in cats: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery* **15**, 576–581.
- INEGI (2001) Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática. Tabuladores básicos Yucatán. XII Censo general de población y vivienda del Estado de Yucatán 2000. Gob Edo Yuc;
- Jiménez-Coello M., Poot-Cob M., Ortega-Pacheco A., Guzman-Marin E.S. *et al.* (2008) American Trypanosomiasis in Dogs from an Urban and Rural Area of Yucatan Mexico. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Disease* **8**, 755–761.
- Jiménez-Coello M., Guzmán-Marín E., Ortega-Pacheco A. & Acosta-Viana K.Y. (2010) Serological survey of American Trypanosomiasis in dogs and their owners from an urban area of Mérida Yucatán México. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* **57**, 33–36.
- Jiménez-Coello M., Acosta-Viana K.Y., Guzman-Marin E., Gomez-Rios A. *et al.* (2012) Epidemiological survey of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in domestic owned cats

- from the tropical Southeast of Mexico. *Zoonoses Public Health* **59**, 102–109.
- Kmety E. & Dikken H. (1993) *Classification of the species Leptospira interrogans and history of its serovars*. Groningen University Press: The Netherlands.
- Langoni H., Fornazari F., da Silva R.C., Monti E.T. *et al.* (2014) Prevalence of antibodies against *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in dogs. *Brazil Journal of Microbiology* **44**, 1327–1330.
- Larsson C.E., Santa Rosa C.A., Larsson M.H., Birgel E.H. *et al.* (1985) Laboratory and clinical features of experimental feline leptospirosis. *International Journal of Zoonoses* **12**, 111–119.
- Levett P.N. (2001) Leptospirosis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **14**, 296–326.
- Mayers D.M. (1985). Manual de Métodos para el Diagnóstico de Laboratorio de la Leptospirosis. Nota técnica 30. Buenos Aires, Argentina, CEPANZO OPS: 7-8.
- Monahan A.M., Miller I.S. & Nally J.E. (2009) Leptospirosis: risks during recreational activities. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **107**, 707–716.
- Mota J., Chacon J.C., Gutiérrez-Cabrera A.E., Sánchez-Cordero V. *et al.* (2007) Identification of blood meal source and infection with *Trypanosoma cruzi* of Chagas disease vectors using a multiplex cytochrome b polymerase chain reaction assay. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Disease* **7**, 617–627.
- Ortega-Pacheco A., Rodríguez-Buenfil J.C., Bolio-González M.E., Sauri-Arce C. *et al.* (2007) A survey of dog populations in urban and rural areas of Yucatan Mexico. *Anthrozoos* **20**, 261–273.
- Ortega-Pacheco A., Concha-Guillermo H., Segura-Correa J.C. & Jimenez-Coello M. (2012) Seasonal reproductive activity of domestic queen (*Felis catus*) in the tropics of Mexico. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals = Zuchthygien* **47**, 52–54.
- Rodríguez J., Blais M.C., Lapointe C., Arsenault J. *et al.* (2014) Serologic and urinary PCR survey of leptospirosis in healthy cats and in cats with kidney disease. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine* **28**, 284–293.
- Salb A.L., Barkema H.W., Elkin B.T., Thompson R.C. *et al.* (2008) Dogs as sources and sentinels of parasites in humans and wildlife, northern Canada. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **14**, 60–63.
- Schurer J.M., Ndao M., Quewezance H., Elmore S.A. *et al.* (2014) People, pets, and parasites: one health surveillance in southeastern Saskatchewan. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **90**, 1184–1190.
- Seimenis A. (2012) Zoonoses and poverty- a long road to the alleviation of suffering. *Veterinaria Italiana* **48**, 5–13.
- Stokes J.E., Kaneene J.B., Schall W.D., Kruger J.M. *et al.* (2007) Prevalence of serum antibodies against six *Leptospira* serovars in healthy dogs. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* (2014) **230**, 1657–1664.
- Tangeman L.E. & Littman M.P. (2013) Clinicopathologic and atypical features of naturally occurring leptospirosis in dogs: 51 cases (2000–2010). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* **243**, 1316–1322.
- Teixeira M.G., Borges-Pereira J., Netizert E., Souza M.L. *et al.* (1994) Development and evaluation of an enzyme linked immunotransfer blot technique for serodiagnosis of Chagas' disease. *Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* **45**, 308–312.
- Vado-Solís I., Cárdenas-Marrufo M.F., Jiménez-Delgadillo B., Alzina-López A. *et al.* (2002) Clinical epidemiological study of Leptospirosis in human and reservoirs in Yucatan, Mexico. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de Sao Paulo* **44**, 335–340.
- Zavala-Velazquez J., Pinzon-Cantarell J., Flores-Castillo M. & Damian-Centeno A.G. (1984) La Leptospirosis en Yucatán. Estudio serológico en humanos y animales. *Salud pública de México* **26**, 254–259.