Research Article

Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis for the Chemical Constituents of *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* Diels et Gilg Using Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography/Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry and Preliminary Screening for Anti-Influenza Virus Components

FuJuan Ding^(b),¹ JiangTing Liu^(b),¹ RuiKun Du^(b),^{1,2} QinHui Yu^(b),¹ LiLi Gong,^{1,2} HaiQiang Jiang^(b),^{1,2} and Rong Rong^(b),^{1,2,3}

¹School of Pharmacy, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, Shandong, China
²Collaborative Innovation Center for Antiviral Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong Province, China
³Key Lab for Natural Product, Shandong Province, China

Correspondence should be addressed to HaiQiang Jiang; jhq12723@163.com and Rong Rong; rosierong@163.com

Received 19 September 2018; Revised 28 November 2018; Accepted 6 December 2018; Published 18 February 2019

Academic Editor: Vincenzo De Feo

Copyright © 2019 FuJuan Ding et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels et Gilg (*T. hemsleyanums*) is a kind of traditional folk medicinal plant which has been used widely in China for its antivirus, antitumor, and other clinical effects. In this study, ultra-high performance liquid chromatography coupled with hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometry (UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS) was utilized to analyze the chemical constituents of *T. hemsleyanums*. Fifty-one constituents were clarified, including flavonoids, anthraquinones, esters, fatty acids, phenols, and catechins. In the subsequent quantitative analysis, the contents of ten compounds of rutin, kaempferol, astragalin, quercitrin, quercetin, vitexin-rhamnoside, isorhamnetin, vitexin, emodin-8-O- β -D-glucoside, and isoquercetin in 18 batches of *T. hemsleyanums* collected from different places of cultivation were determined. Meanwhile, anti-influenza virus bioactivity in vitro of the above samples was detected with Gaussia Luciferase viral titer assay. It was found that the antiviral bioactivity varied from batches to batches in accordance with content difference of the chemical constituents in *T. hemsleyanums*. Correlation analysis was performed with SPSS software for the association between LC-MS chemometrics and bioactivity of influenza virus inhibition, and 8 constituents of flavonoids showed positive correlation coefficient, which may provide a valuable clue for searching potential antiviral components in *T. hemsleyanums*.

1. Introduction

Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels et Gilg (abbreviated. as *T. hemsleyanums*) is a kind of medicinal and edible plant wildly growing in south China, especially in Zhejiang, Guangxi, and Yunnan provinces [1]. It was firstly recorded in *Zhong Hua Ben Cao* [2] for its effects of clearing away heat, detoxifying, removing phlegm, promoting blood circulation, and relieving pain. Nowadays, it is used in Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) clinics for the treatment of children with high fever (convulsions), viral meningitis, pneumonia, and hepatitis.

Many literatures confirmed that *T. hemsleyanums* belongs to the folk medicine; its root can be used to treat children's wind-heat with water decoction which is recorded in local standardization, such as "*Guangxi min jian chang yong shou yi cao yao*" [3], "*Kunming min jian chang yong cao yao*" [4], and "*Zhejiang min jian chang yong cao yao*" [5]. The modern pharmacological studies had shown that it had effects of antiinflammatory, analgesic, antipyretic, antivirus, antitumor [6– 8], immunomodulatory [9], liver protection, etc.

In recent years researchers have paid more and more attention to flavonoids and anthraquinones which wildly

exist in Chinese herbal medicine because of their outstanding bioactivities. Modern phytochemical studies have shown that flavonoids are the major active constituents in T. hemsleyanums [10]. Out of them, vitexin, isoquercetin, and astragalin showed the anticancer effect [11-13], quercitrin could improve the impaired mesenteric vascular activity in the colitis models [14], guercetin could yield an obvious mitigation of arthritic manifestations [15], kaempferol had effects of preventing and reversing ventricular fibrosis and cardiac dysfunction in vivo and in vitro experiments [16], and rutin played a therapeutic role in diabetic atherosclerosis through inhibiting premature senescence of vascular smooth muscle cells [17]. Because of their prominent pharmacological activities, determination for the contents of the above compounds in *T. hemsleyanums* and also the LC-MS characterizations of the plant are required for further quality control study.

It was reported recently that UPLC-Triple-TOF/MS had been applied for the qualitative analysis of the chemical compositions in T. hemsleyanums, and most of them were identified as flavonoids, esters, and benzene sulfonic acids [18]. UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS is a more powerful and sensitive analytical instrument for detecting constituents even in low abundance in complex plant extracts [19]. In this present study we use UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS technique firstly to analyze the components of T. hemsleyanums collected from different cultivation places in China. The data generated from UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS have distinguished the phytochemical differences among the 18 batches both in types of ingredients and in contents. Various factors, such as cultivation places, harvest season, and postharvest treatment, are responsible for the variation in contents of phytochemicals and hence are due to the variation of many bioactivities.

We performed the antiviral determination assay using recombinant influenza virus PR8-NS1-Gluc to evaluate the anti-influenza virus bioactivity of the extracts from T. hemsleyanums. It is a high throughput screening protocol to identify entry inhibitors for influenza virus using a human immunodeficiency virus-based pseudotyping platform which can be performed in a BSL-2 facility. Conventional methods of active compound discovery from natural products involve bioactivity-guided isolation, which is laborious, costly, or impossible to source. In addition, the effects of underlying constituents with potent bioactivity present at very low or sometimes undetectable levels may be ignored. In this study, we process the data with SPSS correlation analysis to evaluate the association between chemometrics and bioactivities of the 18 batches of T. hemsleyanums from different districts. Content of 8 constituents of flavonoids showed positive correlation coefficient with anti-H1N1 influenza virus activity, which may facilitate the identification of potential antiviral components in T. hemsleyanums.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Plant Materials. HPLC-grade acetonitrile and formic acid were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Company (USA). Ultra-pure water was purchased from Watsons Company (China). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

The reference standards such as kaempferol, isoquercetin, astragalin, rutin, quercetin, baicalein, and palmitic acid were purchased from Herbpurify Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China); emodin-8-O- β -D-glucoside, quercitrin, vitexin, and vitexin-rhamnoside were purchased from Yuanye Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China); isorhamnetin, catechins and epicatechin were purchased from Institutes for Food and Drug control (Beijing, China).

The plant materials of *T. hemsleyanums* were collected from different provinces in China, as Zhejiang (ZJ1, ZJ2, and ZJ3), Guangxi (GX1, GX2, and GX3), Yunnan (YN1, YN2, and YN3), Fujian (FJ1, FJ2, and FJ3), Guizhou (GZ1, GZ2, and GZ3), and Hubei (HB1, HB2, and HB3). The botanical authentication was performed by Professor Lingchuan Xu from Department of Pharmacognosy, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. A voucher specimen (no. TH20171201~TH20181118) was deposited in Key Lab for Natural Product, Shandong Province. The dried rhizome parts of *T. hemsleyanums* were used for further analysis.

2.2. Liquid Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry Analysis. In this study we employed a UPLC system tandem Q-Exactive/MS spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, CA, USA) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI) probe. Halo C18 (2.7 μ m, 100 \times 2.1 mm, Advanced materials technology, USA) column was used at the flow rate of 0.3 mL/min and column temperature of 30°C. The binary solvent system consisted of 0.05% aqueous formic acid (v/v) (A) and 0.05% formic acid in acetonitrile (B). Samples were eluted with the following linear gradients: 15% B at 0-15 min; 15-40% B at 15-22 min; 40-90% B at 22-50 min; 90-15% B at 50–60 min. Injection volume was 3 μ L. Detection was performed using a Q-Exactive[™] hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer in both positive and negative ionization modes. The optimal analysis conditions were set as follows: ion source, heated electrospray ionization probe; source temperature: 350°C; capillary temperature: 320°C; sheath gas: 45 arb; auxiliary gas: 10 arb; mass collecting range: m/z 100-1500. The full scan and fragment spectra were collected at the resolutions of 70000 and 17500, respectively. The collision energy was 30 eV, 50 eV, and 70 eV at negative mode and 10 eV, 30 eV, and 50 eV at positive mode.

2.3. Sample Preparation. The dried rhizome parts of *T. hemsleyanums* were ground to powder. For each sample, 15 g of rhizome powder was extracted with 75% ethanol reflux for 3 times, 1 h each time. The ethanol extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure evaporated to dryness and then dissolved with 50% acetonitrile of 25.0 mL as the stock sample solution. 1.0 mL of the above stock sample solution, adding baicalein with the final concentration of 4.781 μ g/mL as the internal standard (IS), was filtered through a 0.22 μ m syringe filter to obtain sample solution for qualitative and quantitative analysis. 20.0 mL of the stock sample solution was freeze-dried in vacuum to obtain a sort of powder extract for antiviral bioactivity testing.

2.4. Preparation of Standard Solutions. Rutin, kaempferol, astragalin, quercitrin, quercetin, vitexin-rhamnoside, isorhamnetin, vitexin, emodin-8-O- β -D-glucoside, and isoquercetin were dissolved in HPLC-grade acetonitrile to achieve standard stock solution with the concentration of 1.0 mg/mL and serially diluted to mixed working solution with 50% acetonitrile; baicalein was used as IS with concentration of 4.781 µg/mL in working solution. All the stock and working solutions were stored at 4°C.

2.5. Identification of the Constituents. LC-MS data were acquired in both negative and positive ion modes and processed for target compounds identification using a combination of Xcalibur and Mass Frontier software packages (Thermo Scientific).

2.6. Validation of Quantitative Method. 10 constituents of flavonoids were chosen for the content measurement. Internal standard method was used for quantitation. Peak area ratios of the analyte against IS were used for calculations and a weighted (1/concentration) regression analysis was used for standard curves preparation. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) for each constituent were detected. The intraday and interday precision of the determination for ten constituents were validated. Stability of sample solutions within 24 hours at 4°C was tested. Six replicates of samples were prepared to check the repeatability. The recoveries were analyzed by adding the analytical reference standards in the powder of T. hemsleyanums prior the extraction procedure and assessed at three levels of the amount which measured in analyte to standards added (1:0.8, 1:1, 1:1.2), using six replicates at each level.

2.7. Quantitative Analysis for Ten Compounds of Flavonoids. Contents measurement of ten compounds of flavonoids in 18 batches of *T. hemsleyanums* was performed under the condition of Section 2.2.

2.8. Anti-H1N1 Influenza Viral Determination. The antiviral determination assay was performed using recombinant influenza virus PR8-NS1-Gluc as previously described [20]. Briefly, MDCK cells grown in 24 well plates were inoculated with recombinant influenza virus PR8-NS1-Gluc at an moi of 0.01 PFU/cell. After incubation for 1 h at 37°C, virus inoclula were removed and cells were washed. Opti-MEM containing 2 μ g/mL TPCK-trypsin was then added for virus propagation. At 36 hours after infection (hpi), 50 μ l of culture medium was removed for luciferase assay using BioLux Gaussia Luciferase Assay kit (NEB, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For antiviral activity determination, extracts of *T. hemsleyanums* were added at indicated concentrations as shown in Figure 5 during virus propagation.

2.9. Statistics. In order to study the association between the chemical spectra and the anti-influenza viral bioactivity, correlation analysis was performed using the SPSS 17.0 software. The two variables in the correlation analysis were the ion

intensity and the inhibitory effect against H1N1 influenza virus. All statistical analyses were two sided. p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Qualitative Analysis. Sample solution of *T. hemsleyanums* collected from Guangxi province was chosen for qualitative analysis. UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS base peak chromatograms of *T. hemsleyanums* were shown in Figure 1, and the identification results in negative ion mode and positive ion mode of mass spectrometry were shown in Tables 1 and 2. As shown in the tables, fifty-one compounds were clarified including flavonoids, anthraquinones, esters, fatty acids, phenols, and catechins according to accurate molecular weight calculation, ion fragmentation information, and some of them with confirmation of reference standards.

Flavonoids are mainly as follows: compounds 1, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, and 25 were assigned to be procyanidin dimmer, isorhamnetin-3-pyranosearabinose-7-glucosylrhamnoside, kaempferol-3-O-furananose-7-O-rhamnosylglucoside, rutin, isoquercetin, kaempferol-3-O-rutone, astragalin, quercetin, vitexin, kaempferol, and isorhamnetin [18], and compound 17 was assigned to be quercitrin [21]. Compounds 11 and 21 were assigned to be vitexinrhamnoside [21] and vitexin [22]. Compound 21 was also detected in positive ion mode. Compound 49 was the isomer of compound 21. Compounds 42 and 44 were assigned to be orientin [23] and isoorientin [24]. Peak 15, kaempferol-3-O-furanosine-7-O-rhamnose isomer, was detected from *T. hemsleyanums* for the first time.

Phenolic acids are mainly as follows: compounds 2 and 3 were assigned to be neochlorogenic acid and chlorogenic acid [22]. As we saw in Figure 2, the cleavage fragment strength of the neochlorogenic acid was m/z=191>179>135 (Figure 2(a)). And for chlorogenic acid, the strength of peak m/z=191 was the strongest; the strength of peaks m/z 179 and m/z 135 was equivalent and very weak (Figure 2(b)).

Compound 9 was assigned to be 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, of which fragmentation patterns was shown in Figure 3. Compounds 16 and 26 were assigned to be salicylic acid and rock acid [18].

Anthraquinones are mainly as follows: compound 22 was assigned to be emodin-8-O- β -D-glucopyranoside [25]. The [M-H]⁻ ion of compound 22 was at m/z 431.1557. Glucose group was lost to produce ion of m/z 269.1029. The fragmentation patterns were summarized in Figure 4.

Catechins are mainly as follows: compounds 27 and 29 were assigned to be gallocatechin and epigallocatechin [23]. Compound 29 produced characteristic ions of m/z 125.0957 and m/z 137.0593 which was identified as epigallocatechin. Compound 27 and compound 29 were also detected in positive ion mode. Compounds 4, 5, 6, and 7 were assigned to be protocatechuic acid glucoside, gallic acid, catechins, and epicatechin [26, 27]. Catechins and epicatechin were confirmed by reference standard.

Esters are mainly as follows: compounds 28, 30, 32, 34, 35, and 51 were assigned to be gingergly eolipid A, gingergly



FIGURE 1: Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography coupled with hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometry (UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS) was utilized to analyze the chemical constituents of *T. hemsleyanums*. The UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS base peak chromatogram of *T. hemsleyanums* in negative (a) and positive (b).

eolipid B, lysophosphatidic acid, linoleic acid phosphatidic acid, 4-(2-dodecyl)-benzene-sulfonate, and methyl linolate [18].

Fatty acids are mainly as follows: compounds 39 and 50 were assigned to be palmitic acid and linoleic acid. Additionally, the loss of H_2O group was considered as the representative fragmentation pathway in acids [28]. Palmitic acid was confirmed by reference standard.

The other compounds are mainly as follows: compounds 31, 33, and 36 were assigned to be 1-linoleoylglycero-2-phosphor-ethanolamine, soya-cerebroside I, and 4-(1-methyl-dodecyl)-benzene-sulfonic acid [18].

3.2. Quantification Method Validation. As shown in Table 3, standard curves of the ten compounds exhibited good linearity in the range of 1.6 to 1330 μ g/mL, with coefficients of correlation ranging from 0.9961 for kaempferol to 0.9998 for quercetin. The parameters of LOD were from 0.1045 to 2.0781 pg, and LOQ were from 0.9290 to 15.5142 pg, which were sensitive enough to the detection of analytes. The intraday and interday precisions of present method were shown in Table 4, and the respective RSD values were from 0.03 to 2.79% and from 0.72 to 2.81%. The concentration stability of the constituents in samples kept at 4°C for 24 hours (n = 6) ranged from 0.28 to 3.42%. In addition,

TABLE 1: Identification analysi	is of chemical constituents of T	<i>hemsleyanums</i> in neg	gative ion mode of mass spectrometry.

No.	tR (min)	Name	Formula	Detected m/z	Expected m/z	M - X	Error (ppm)	Fragmentor information
								125.0228, 151.0386
1	0.9	Procyanidin dimmer	$C_{30}H_{26}O_{12}$	577.1334	577.1341	M – H	-0.0007	161.0230, 203.0706
								289.0716, 407.0767
								135.0434, 161.0591
2	1.15	Neochlorogenic acid	$C_{16}H_{18}O_9$	353.0331	353.0867	M – H	-0.0536	173.0598, 179.0337
								191.0553
3	1.49	Chlorogenic acid	Cu Huo	353.0337	353.0867	M – H	-0.0530	135.0436, 161.0231
0		Chilorogenie acid	0161118 09				010000	173.0443,191.0549
4	1.5	Protocatechnic acid glucoside	Cua Hu Oa	315.0717	315.0711	M – H	0.0006	108.0200, 109.0279
-		1 lotocatechare acta gracostae	01316 0 9					152.0100, 153.0179
5	1.52	Gallic acid	C ₂ H ₂ O ₂	169.0119	169.0132	M – H	-0.0013	125.0228, 145.3992
0	1102	Guine acid	0/11605	10,1011,	10,10102		010 010	151.0022
								121.0280, 125.0230
6	1.67	Catechins	$C_{15}H_{14}O_{6}$	289.2617	289.2613	M – H	0.0004	137.0231, 161.0597
								187.0391, 245.0817
								125.0228, 161.0595
7	2.04	Epicatechin	$C_{15}H_{14}O_{6}$	289.2618	289.2613	M – H	0.0005	179.0337, 187.0391
								245.0813, 271.0609
8	2.74	Isorhamnetin-3-	C22H40O20	755.6536	755.6526	M – H	0.0010	299.0200, 313.0343
		rhamnoside	- 33 40 - 20					314.0414, 609.1453
		mannoorae						77.0397, 93.0328
9	2.93	4-hydroxy-3-	$C_8H_8O_3$	151.0389	151.0390	M – H	-0.0001	109.0279, 121.0275
		methoxybenzaldehyde	0 0 5					136.0150, 137.0217
								151.0389
		Kaempferol-3-0-furananose-	_					255.0300, 283.0253
10	3.68	7-O-rhamnosyl-glucoside	$C_{32}H_{38}O_{19}$	725.6232	725.6266	M – H	-0.0034	284.0330, 285.0393
								575.1413
								283.0609, 311.0560
11	4	Vitexin-rhamnoside $C_{27}H_{30}$		577.5144	577.5124	M – H	0.0020	341.0664, 353.0664
								413.0873, 457.1156
			o o	600 - 1 6 0	<			211.0394, 255.0299
12	4.18	Rutin	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{16}$	609.5162	609.5112	M – H	0.0050	256.0332, 283.0238
		W (100)						301.0348
13	4 23	Kaempterol-3-O-turana-nose-	СНО	725 6237	725 6266	М – Н	-0.0029	255.0295, 284.0325
15	4.25	isomer	0321138019	125.0257	723.0200	WI - 11	-0.002)	431.1031
14	196	T (1	СНО	462 2700	462 2607	мц	0.0003	151.0025, 243.0296
14	4.80	Isoquercetin	$C_{21}\Pi_{20}O_{12}$	465.5790	403.3097	м – п	0.0095	255.0298, 271.0250
								300.0278
15	5 5		СЧО	242 0656	242 0652	мц	0.0004	157.0644, 160.0471
15	5.5	Oxidized resveratrol	$C_{14}\Pi_{12}O_4$	245.0050	243.0032	IVI — П	0.0004	175.0752, 197.0597
								201.0548, 225.0549
16	6.27	Salicylic acid	$C_7H_6O_3$	137.0229	137.0233	M – H	-0.0004	93.0329, 108.0198
								119.7794
17	6.53	Quercitrin	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	447.3834	447.3703	M – H	0.0131	151.0029, 255.0296
								301.0356, 426.9522
18	6.94	Kaempferol-3-O-rutino-side	${\rm C}_{27}{\rm H}_{30}{\rm O}_{15}$	593.5119	593.5118	M – H	0.0001	255.0299, 267.0291
								285.0405
19	7.9	Astragalin	${\rm C}_{21}{\rm H}_{20}{\rm O}_{11}$	447.3738	447.3703	M – H	0.0035	227.0340, 233.0299
								204.0329, 203.0390

TABLE 1: Continued.

No.	tR (min)	Name	Formula	Detected m/z	etected m/z Expected m/z M - X Error		Error (ppm)	Fragmentor information
								121.0279, 149.0229
20	19.27	Quercetin	$C_{15}H_{10}O_7$	301.0352	301.0343	M – H	0.0009	151.0022, 161.0227
								178.9973, 245.0449
21	19.77	Vitevin	Car Has Ora	431.0972	431.0973	M – H	-0.0001	269.0262, 283.0627
21	19.77	VICCAIII	0211120010	101.0772	101.0770	.,, .,	0.0001	311.0527, 341.0764
2.2	20.12	Fmodin-8-0-B-D-glucoside	Car Has Ora	431.1557	431.0973	M – H	0.0584	181.0859, 225.1121
	20112	Eniodin o o p D glucoside	0211120010	10111007	10110770		010001	269.1029, 413.1434
								159.0439, 181.0648
23	20.98	Apigenin	$C_{15}H_{10}O_5$	269.0454	269.0445	M – H	0.0009	213.0542, 225.0545
								227.0343, 241.0497
								159.0440, 183.0448
24	21.4	Kaempferol	$C_{15}H_{10}O_{6}$	285.2303	285.2295	M – H	0.0008	211.0394, 227.0340
								239.0346
								151.0023, 163.0020
25	21.64	Isorhamnetin	$C_{16}H_{12}O_7$	315.2507	315.2555	M – H	-0.0048	271.0254, 283.0234
								300.0273
26	22 19	Rock acid	СНО	3570607	3570605	M – H	0.0002	112.6701, 121.0279
20	22.17	ROCK acid	018111408	337.0007	337.0003	.,, 11	0.0002	163.0184
27	27.41	Gallocatechin	СНО	305 2661	305 2607	M – H	0.0054	125.0962, 167.5970
27	27.11	Ganocateenin	015111407	505.2001	505.2007	.,, .,	0.0001	287.1647
28	2762	Gingeraly eolipid A	Cingergly eolipid A C. H. O. 675 3498	675 3498	675.3586	M – H	-0.0088	161.0440, 277.2170
20	27.02	Gingergry compile A	0331156014	075.5170	075.5500	.,, .,	0.0000	397.1349, 415.14514
29	27.9	Fnigallocatechin	CH.O.	305 0750	305.0656	M – H	H 0.0094	125.0957, 137.0593
	2.1.5	Lpiganocateenni	0151114 0 7	00010700			010071	179.1062, 287.1654
								125.0230, 161.0444
30	29.26	Gingergly eolipid B	$C_{33}H_{58}O_{14}$	677.3754	677.3743	M – H	0.0011	179.0553, 279.2327
								397.1341, 415.1567
31	29.95	1-linoleylglycero-2-phospho-	C ₂₂ H ₂ O ₂ NP	476.5684	476.5653	M – H	0.0031	140.0180, 196.0372
01	20100	ethanolanine	0231144 0 /1 (1	1,000001	1, 010 0000		010 001	214.8795, 279.2330
32	38.06	Lysophosphatidic acid	$\mathrm{C}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{41}\mathrm{O}_{7}\mathrm{P}$	435.2514	435.2506	M – H	0.0008	78.9573, 152.9945
								179.0551, 271.2278
33	42.19	Soya-cerebroside I	$C_{40}H_{75}NO_{9}$	712.5367	712.5358	M – H	0.0009	278.2481, 296.2603
								532.4733, 550.4841
34	42.9	Linoleic acid phosphatidic acid	$C_{21}H_{39}O_7P$	433.4959	433.4974	M – H	-0.0015	78.9574, 152.9946
35	45 84	4-(2-dodecyl)-benzene-	CHOS	325 4846	325 4884	M – H	-0.0038	119.0488, 170.0033
55	10.01	sulfonate	018-130 030	525,1010	525,1001	.,1 - 11	0.0000	183.0112
		4-(1-methyl-dodecyl)-						79.9554, 119.0487
36	51.25	benzene-sulfonic	$C_{19}H_{32}O_3S$	339.5191	339.5150	M – H	0.0041	170.0030, 225.0585
		acid						239.0742

the sample solutions for *T. hemsleyanums* were prepared in parallel (n=6) to evaluate the repeatability and achieved the RSD of 0.26-2.69%. Based on the above methodology verification, the assay is reproducible and suitable for accurate and precise quantification of these ten chemical constituents in *T. hemsleyanums* (shown in Table 4). As shown in Table 5, the recoveries of ten constituents was from 94.86 to 99.9 % with the RSD value <3 %. 3.3. Quantitative Analysis. The validated UPLC-Q-Exactive/ MS method was used for the content measurement of 10 constituents of rutin, kaempferol, astragalin, quercitrin, quercetin, vitexin-rhamnoside, isorhamnetin, vitexin, emodin-8-O- β -D-glucoside, and isoquercetin in *T. hemsleyanums*. The content of each constituent was calculated in terms of its respective calibration curve and the result was listed in Table 6. The content of vitexin was relative abundant,

TABLE 2: Identificatilon ana	lysis of chemical constituents o	f T. hemsleyanums in	positive ion mode of mass	spectrometry.
------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	---------------

No.	tR (min)	Name	Formula	Detected m/z	Expected m/z	M + X	Error (ppm)	Fragmentor information
								123.0438, 161.0591
37	1.34	Catechins	$C_{15}H_{14}O_{6}$	291.0851	291.0863	M + H	0.0012	179.0696, 249.0744
								273.0750
								139.0384, 207.0644
38	1.69	Epicatechin	$C_{15}H_{14}O_{6}$	291.0845	291.0863	M + H	-0.0018	231.0638, 249.0741
								273.0740
39	19	Palmitic acid	C. H. O.	2572466	2572475	M + H	-0.0009	137.0592, 151.0750
0,0	1.9	i unintie ueru	016113202	207.2100	207.2170		0.0007	165.0904, 221.1161
40	3 45	Vitexin-rhamnoside	Ca-HaoO.	579 1687	579 1708	M + H	-0.0021	313.0692, 415.1007
10	5.15	vitexiii mummoside	02/1130 0 14	57 9.1007	07 9.17 00		0.0021	433.1111, 514.5942
41	4.38	Isoquercetin	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	465.1020	465.1028	M + H	-0.0008	285.0382, 303.0485
	100	100 que rectini	0211120012	10011020	10011020		010000	363.7647, 395.0804
42	6.32	Orientin	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	449.1051	449.1078	M + H	-0.0027	329.3295, 359.1488
								287.0537, 352.4147
43	6.42	Kaempferol-3-O-rutone	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{15}$	595.1646	595.1658	M + H	-0.0012	433.1127, 449.1062
								545.0139
44	7.61	Isoorientin	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₁	449.1048	449.1078	M + H	-0.0030	287.0537, 337.0678
			21 20 11					395.1428, 431.1667
45	23.06	Gallocatechin	$C_{15}H_{14}O_7$	307.1888	307.0812	M + H	0.1076	163.0748, 261.1832
			15 11 /					271.1683, 285.0766
								135.0799, 173.0954
46	24	Epigallocatechin	$C_{15}H_{14}O_7$	307.1886	307.0812	M + H	0.1074	243.1731, 261.1839
								271.1682, 289.1786
47	24.58	Vitexin	C ₂₁ H ₂₀ O ₁₀	433.2325	433.1129	M + H	0.1196	293.1132, 317.2460
								335.2565, 399.2344
10	25.45	T		105 075 C	125 2550	N . II	0.0000	217.1945, 283.1677
48	25.45	Taraxerone	$C_{30}H_{48}O$	425.3/56	425.3778	M + H	-0.0022	339.2303, 365.1367
								406.31027
40	2726	Ti		422 1121	422 1120	M . II	0.0002	279.23077, 301.90817
49	27.26	Isovitexin	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{10}$	455.1151	433.1129	M + H	0.0002	317.24625, 335.25653
50	20.46	T · 1 · · 1	0 11 0	201.2442	201.2455		0.0000	415.2227
50	29.46	Linoleic acid	$C_{18}H_{32}O_2$	281.2442	281.24/5	M + H	-0.0033	245.2253, 263.2358
51	31 10	Mathyl linglasta	СНО	203 2007	203 2475	MIH	0.0378	19/.1319, 215.1/86
51	31.19	wiennyr inioleate	$O_{19} O_{19} O_{2}$	293.2097	293.2473	11 + 11	-0.0376	229.1945, 247.2046
								257.1888, 275.1994

of which could reach the amount of 0.7079 ± 0.0286 mg/g in that from YN1, whereas vitexin-rhamnoside may be the lowest in content since it could even not be detected in some batches. The result suggested that contents of these constituents fluctuated in different batches even for those that from the same production place. The total amounts of these ten compounds in *T. hemsleyanums* of YN1, GZ1, and GX3 were the top three highest, whereas for samples from Zhejiang province, amounts of flavonoids are shown to be the lowest. Many factors including growing environment of climate temperature, rainfall, sunlight, soil nutrient, etc. and harvest time and postharvest treatment may affect the content of bioactive compounds in *T. hemsleyanums* and thus may affect its efficacy.

3.4. Anti-H1N1 Influenza Virus Activity. Since T. hemsleyanums from Zhejiang province is popular on market, we took sample of ZY2 as the representative sample for antiinfluenza virus pretest. As shown in Figure 5(a), extract of ZJ2 inhibited influenza virus replication in a dose-response manner, and the IC50 is $27.4\mu g/mL$, while no cytotoxicity was observed at a concentration of as high as $200\mu g/mL$ (Figure 5(b)). Ribavirin at $100\mu M$ was used as positive control. Antiviral activities of the other 17 batches were shown in Figure 5(c) that all of them showed inhibitory effect against H1N1 influenza virus replication as good as ZJ2 at the concentration of $50\mu g/mL$. All three sample batches from Guizhou province (GZ1, GZ2, and GZ3, 94.0-98.0%) and Hubei province (HB1, HB2, and HB3,



FIGURE 2: Secondary mass spectrum of chlorogenic acid (a) and neochlorogenic acid (b). As shown in (a), the cleavage fragment strength of the m/z was 191> 179>135. In (b), the peak m / z = 191 has the strongest intensity, and the peaks m / z179 and m / z135 have the same intensity and are very weak.

93.5-97.3%), two batch from Guangxi province (GX1 and GX2, 94.3-94.5%), one batch from Fujian (FJ1, 96.2%), and one batch from Yunnan (YN1, 98.6%) exhibited higher anti-influenza virus activities than the others, which were probably due to the higher values of flavonoids in these samples.

3.5. Statistical Analysis. In order to determine the contribution of various constituents for the antiviral activity, the spectrum-effect relationships between LC-MS fingerprints and inhibitory effect for influenza virus were evaluated with correlation analysis statistical method. Since normal distribution was not satisfied, Spearman was used for all the



FIGURE 3: A proposed fragmentation pathway of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde by mass spectrometry.



FIGURE 4: A proposed fragmentation pathway of emodin-8-O- β -D-glucopyranoside by mass spectrometry.

analyses. When the correlation coefficient is greater than 0, it indicates that a component is positively correlated with antiviral activity, and the larger the value, the stronger the correlation. When the correlation coefficient is less than 0, it means that it is negatively related to antiviral activity. Results were shown in Table 7 which revealed that 10 peaks had significant correlationship with anti-influenza virus activity. 8 of them, which were identified as rutin, kaempferol, astragalin, quercitrin, quercetin, kaempferol-3-o-rutinoside, procyanidin dimmer, and epicatechin, were positively related to antiviral activity, of which the highest correlationship was with astragalin (r = 0.711 * *), followed by epicatechin (0.641**), quercitrin (0.614**), and quercetin (0.617**). 2 of the 10 peaks, identified as vitexin (-0.5370**) and isorhamnetin-3-pyranose arabinose-7-glucosyl rhamnoside (-0.6630**), indicated negative correlationship with antiviral activity. That is, for constituents with positive coefficient, which may have underlying anti-H1N1 influenza effect, the higher the content, the better the bioactivity. This result was in agreement with literatures, in which quercetin, quercitrin, rutin, kaempferol, and isoquercitrin were reported to have anti-H1N1 influenza virus activity [29-32]. Thereby it may provide valuable clues for further discovery of active

anti-influenza virus compounds. LC-MS chemometrics are a kind of potent method for analyzing the complex system of TCM herbs, but lacking of bioactive characteristics. Therefore, chemical fingerprints combined with bioactivity evaluation to construct a fingerprint-efficacy relationship is a good way to explore the bioactive constituent of TCM herb and may lend material basis supporting to the quality control of *T. hemsleyanums*

4. Conclusion

In our study, an efficient UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS method was established for qualitative analysis of up to 51 constituents identified in *T. hemsleyanums* for the first time and also for quantitative analysis of 10 constituents in 18 batches collected from six cultivation places. Method validation showed the ideal sensitivity, stability, and reliability of the analysis method. It was also the first time for UPLC-Q-Exactive/MS and Gaussia Luciferase viral titer assay being combined to reveal the underlying anti-influenza virus bioactive compounds in *T. hemsleyanums*. 8 possible active constituents were discovered showing positive contribution for antivirus effect, and five of them are consistent with reports published



FIGURE 5: Antiviral determination. MDCK cells were infected with recombinant influenza virus PR8-NS1-Gluc at an moi of 0.01 PFU/cell, and the infected cells were treated with ZJ2 and other extract samples of *T. hemsleyanums* at indicated concentrations during virus propagation. (a) ZJ2 inhibits influenza virus replication in a dose dependent manner. (b) Dose-response curve of ZJ2 against influenza virus replication and on cell viability. (c) 17 extract samples of *T. hemsleyanums* collected from different districts as well as ZJ2 show similar antiviral activities against influenza virus. Mean and SEM of three independent experiments were shown. ** *p<0.001, Student's t-test. There are 18 batches of *T. hemsleyanums* in this figure. Among them, FJ1, FJ2, and FJ3 are three batches from Fujian, GZ1, GZ2, and GZ3 are from Guizhou, ZJ1, ZJ2, and ZJ3 are from Zhejiang, HB1, HB2, and HB3 are from Hubei, YN1, YN2, and YN3 are from Yunnan, and GX1, GX2, and GX3 are from Guangxi. Their information can be found in the Supplementary Materials (available here).

TABLE 3: Linear eqluation, linear range, correlation coefficient, and detection limit of 10 constituents.

Compound	Regression equation	Linear range (µg/ml)	Correlation coefficient (r^2)	Detection limit (pg)	Quantification limit (pg)
Rutin	y = 0.025x -1.099	7.125-1320	0.9924	0.1113	14.4926
Kaempferol	y = 0.062x + 1.015	1.828-58.50	0.9961	0.9141	0.9290
Astragalin	y = 0.111x - 2.688	6.888-1100	0.9971	0.1074	7.4910
Quercitrin	y = 6.393x - 196.137	8.313-1330	0.9992	2.0781	9.5661
Quercetin	y = 7.378x - 292.807	3.344-1070	0.9992	0.1045	9.2285
Vitexin-rhamnoside	y = 0.000436x + 0.0240	1.735-58	0.9997	1.7344	15.5142
Isorhamnetin	y = 0.00673x + 0.152	1.688-54	0.9954	0.4531	11.6001
Vitexin	y = 3.629x + 0.000187	4.125-132	0.9978	2.0625	3.5940
Emodin-8-O- β -D-glucopyranoside	y = 0.000982x + 0.0139	1.813-116	0.9986	0.4531	2.7285
Isoquercetin	y = 0.00313x + 0.0602	3.625-116	0.9955	0.1133	6.1772

TABLE 4: Summary of the	precision and RSD results of UPLO	C-Q-Exactive/MS method for the anal	yzed quali	ty control samples
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------	--------------------

Compounds	Intraday precision		Interday pr	ecision	Stabili	ty	Repeatability		
Compounds	accuracy (%)	RSD (%)	accuracy (%)	RSD (%)	accuracy (%)	RSD (%)	accuracy (%)	RSD (%)	
Rutin	98.69-103.22	1.93	98.17-102.44	1.65	98.35-102.05	1.41	98.61-101.84	1.16	
Kaempferol	98.03-102.13	1.851	98.82-104.04	1.15	95.29-103.09	2.32	96.06-103.27	2.67	
Astragalin	98.07-102.47	2.16	96.75-101.24	2.38	94.12-103.32	3.42	97.48-102.65	2.22	
Quercitrin	98.57-102.75	2.02	97.83-100.86	1.33	98.72-102.71	1.52	98.15-102.63	2.02	
Quercetin	99.83-102.21	0.35	95.02-102.90	1.93	98.37-101.95	1.45	97.48-103.39	1.94	
Vitexin-rhamnoside	97.68-101.00	1.30	98.66-101.14	0.72	97.68-100.99	1.30	98.19-100.88	1.08	
Isorhamnetin	99.96-100.02	0.03	97.95-103.99	1.90	99.23-100.46	0.53	99.27-100.40	0.50	
Vitexin	99.80-100.48	0.25	95.65-103.60	2.81	99.80-100.54	0.28	99.83-100.50	0.26	
Emodin-8-O- β -D-glucopyranoside	95.62-103.90	2.79	98.83-103.82	2.53	95.05-102.81	2.75	96.30-103.59	2.69	
Isoquercetin	97.60-103.10	2.30	96.68-101.86	2.13	97.41-102.98	2.54	97.19-102.74	2.39	

TABLE 5: The rate of recovery for 10 constituents (n = 6).

Compounds	Addition level	Average recovery rate (%)	RSD (%)
	1:0.8	96.95	0.02
Rutin	1:1	98.61	2.83
	1:1.2	96.25	1.12
	1:0.8	98.20	2.88
Kaempferol	1:1	97.67	1.21
	1:1.2	98.71	2.05
	1:0.8	98.15	0.14
Astragalin	1:1	99.62	1.10
	1:1.2	98.56	0.67
	1:0.8	99.90	0.41
Quercitrin	1:1	98.58	0.92
	1:1.2	96.59	1.76
	1:0.8	97.68	0.18
Quercetin	1:1	96.06	0.82
	1:1.2	97.75	1.30
	1:0.8	96.62	2.09
Vitexin-rhamnoside	1:1	95.67	2.41
	1:1.2	96.03	1.32
	1:0.8	97.13	0.29
Isorhamnetin	1:1	96.00	1.18
	1:1.2	97.20	0.24
	1:0.8	96.24	1.44
Vitexin	1:1	99.18	1.80
	1:1.2	99.27	1.59
	1:0.8	97.10	0.33
Emodin-8-O- β -D-glucopyranoside	1:1	94.86	0.96
	1:1.2	97.99	0.39
	1:0.8	95.38	0.56
Isoquercetin	1:1	98.56	0.67
	1:1.2	97.77	0.62

in literatures. This analytical method led to the identification of compounds with anti-H1N1 influenza virus activity in *T. hemsleyanums* which may be difficult to be extracted and identified by traditional bioactivity-guided fractionation

procedures and may provide an available reference mode for revealing the material basis in traditional Chinese herb of which thereby could also provide reference for quality assessment of TCM herbs.

t of 10 constituents in <i>T</i> . hemsleyanums ($\overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm \mathbf{S}$, average \pm standard deviation) (mg/g, n = 3).	Quercitrin Quercetin Vitexin- Emodin-8-O- β - Isoquercetin Isorhamnetin Vitexin rhamnoside D-glucopyranoside	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 0.0903±0.0013 0.0584±0.0003 - 0.0132±0.0052 0.0359±0.0074 0.0330±0.0019 0.1107±0.0002	3 0.0880±0.0003 0.0615±0.0022 - 0.0154±0.0119 0.0380±0.0099 0.0311±0.0008 0.0324±0.0013	2 0.0998±0.0002 0.0628±0.0003 - 0.0179±0.0178 0.0383±0.0054 0.0342±0.0011 0.0519±0.0016	$0.0907\pm0.0016 0.0630\pm0.0001 0.0010\pm0.0015 0.0182\pm0.0116 0.0522\pm0.0061 0.0236\pm0.0027 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.0262\pm0.0012 0.026\pm0.0012 0.026\pm$	1 0.0953±0.0013 0.0809±0.0006 0.0016±0.0002 0.0192±0.0047 0.0576±0.0041 0.0373±0.0071 0.7581±0.0324	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 0.1351±0.0024 0.0577±0.0002 - 0.0209±0.0249 0.0588±0.0037 0.0319±0.0006 0.2680±0.0601	? 0.1092±0.0005 0.0750±0.0039 - 0.0224±0.0133 0.1324±0.0016 0.0315±0.0007 -		2 0.1014±0.0004 0.0716±0.0003 - 0.0268±0.0022 0.1488±0.0044 0.0331±0.0071 0.0388±0.0015	$? 0.1095\pm0.0000 0.0777\pm0.0048 0.0027\pm0.0024 0.0291\pm0.0133 0.0726\pm0.0095 0.0318\pm0.0010 0.0426\pm0.0007 0.0426\pm0.0$	$1 0.1058\pm0.000 0.0627\pm0.0002 0.0152\pm0.0064 0.0298\pm0.0073 0.0711\pm0.0049 0.0353\pm0.0011 0.0371\pm0.0052 0.0110\pm0.0012 0.0110\pm0.$		$ 0.1279\pm 0.009 0.0754\pm 0.0004 0.0305\pm 0.0113 0.0255\pm 0.0020 0.0792\pm 0.0212 0.0338\pm 0.0164 0.2927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.0338\pm 0.0164 0.2927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.0338\pm 0.0164 0.02927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.0338\pm 0.0164 0.02927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.0338\pm 0.0164 0.02927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.033\pm 0.0164 0.02927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.033\pm 0.0164 0.02927\pm 0.0045 0.0212 0.033\pm 0.0164 0.02922\pm 0.0145 0.0212 0.0124 0.0292\pm 0.0145 0.0292\pm 0.0145 0.0292\pm 0.0145 0.0292\pm 0.0164 0.0292\pm 0.0145 0.029\pm 0.0145 0.02$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 0.1443±0.0003 0.0920±0.0002 0.0325±0.0394 0.0335±0.0009 0.1940±0.0981 0.0505±0.0006 0.6811±0.0307	$; 0.1567\pm0.0000 0.0960\pm0.0003 0.0338\pm0.0045 0.0439\pm0.0199 0.2207\pm0.1549 0.0543\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0286 0.0286\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0286 0.1282\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0038 0.7079\pm0.0038 0.7079\pm0.0038 0.7079\pm0.0038 0.7079\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0038 0.7079\pm0.0037 0.7079\pm0.0038 0.7078\pm0.0038 0.7078\pm0.00384\pm0.0038 $	
ge ± standard de	Emodin-8- D-glucopyra	$0.0087\pm0.$	0.0132 ± 0.0	$0.0154\pm0.$	0.0179 ± 0.0	5 0.0182±0.	12 0.0192±0.0	0.0210 ± 0.0	0.0209 ± 0.0	$0.0224\pm0.$	 0.0282±0.0 	$0.0268\pm0.$	14 0.0291±0.	4 0.0298±0.0	i1 0.0305±0.0	3 0.0255±0.0	0 0.0300±0.0	4 0.0335±0.0	5 0.0439±0.	
$\eta s (\overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm \mathbf{S}, \operatorname{averag}$	Vitexin- rhamnoside	,				0.0010 ± 0.001	0.0016 ± 0.000		'		0.0008 ± 0.001	,	0.0027 ± 0.002	0.0152 ± 0.006	0.0216 ± 0.004	0.0305 ± 0.011	0.0280 ± 0.010	0.0325 ± 0.039	0.0338 ± 0.004	
n T. hemsleyanun	Quercetin	0.0565 ± 0.0010	0.0584 ± 0.0003	0.0615 ± 0.0022	0.0628 ± 0.0003	0.0630 ± 0.0001	0.0809 ± 0.0006	0.0756 ± 0.0013	0.0577 ± 0.0002	0.0750 ± 0.0039	0.0803 ± 0.0011	0.0716 ± 0.0003	0.0777 ± 0.0048	0.0627 ± 0.0002	0.0759 ± 0.0015	0.0754 ± 0.0004	0.1463 ± 0.0002	0.0920 ± 0.0002	0.0960 ± 0.0003	
f 10 constituents i	Quercitrin	0.0899 ± 0.0006	0.0903 ± 0.0013	0.0880 ± 0.0003	0.0998 ± 0.0002	0.0907 ± 0.0016	0.0953 ± 0.0013	0.0957 ± 0.0004	0.1351 ± 0.0024	0.1092 ± 0.0005	0.1196 ± 0.0006	0.1014 ± 0.0004	0.1095 ± 0.0000	0.1058 ± 0.000	0.1238 ± 0.0004	0.1279 ± 0.0009	0.1435 ± 0.0003	0.1443 ± 0.0003	0.1567 ± 0.0000	
E 6: The content o	Astragalin	0.0446 ± 0.0000	0.0453 ± 0.0002	0.0434 ± 0.0003	0.0445 ± 0.0002	0.0438 ± 0.0002	0.0640 ± 0.0004	0.0479 ± 0.0274	0.0493 ± 0.0001	0.0532 ± 0.0002	0.0583 ± 0.0494	0.0527 ± 0.0002	0.0572 ± 0.0002	0.0552 ± 0.0001	0.0599 ± 0.0004	0.0572 ± 0.0002	0.0576 ± 0.0002	0.0702 ± 0.0003	0.0761 ± 0.0003	
TABL	Kaempferol	0.0100 ± 0.0190	0.0102 ± 0.0113	0.0393 ± 0.0206	0.0388 ± 0.0050	0.0865 ± 0.0436	0.0498 ± 0.0085	0.0979 ± 0.0066	0.0867 ± 0.0128	0.1016 ± 0.1173	0.1104 ± 0.0023	0.1146 ± 0.0268	0.1243 ± 0.0149	0.0867 ± 0.0004	0.1379 ± 0.0006	0.1285 ± 0.0133	0.2757 ± 0.0179	0.2910 ± 0.0210	0.2967 ± 0.1511	limit
	Rutin	0.0858 ± 0.0011	0.0887 ± 0.0002	0.0901 ± 0.0011	0.1036 ± 0.0022	0.0905 ± 0.0061	0.1012 ± 0.0041	0.1166 ± 0.0028	0.0967 ± 0.0037	0.1142 ± 0.0016	0.1157 ± 0.0031	0.0970 ± 0.0044	0.0989 ± 0.0201	0.1121 ± 0.0014	0.1185 ± 0.0004	0.1152 ± 0.0187	0.1296 ± 0.0002	0.1374 ± 0.0002	0.1489 ± 0.0003	elow the detection
	Batch	ZJI	FJ3	YN2	ZJ2	ZJ3	GX3	FJ2	YN3	HB3	GZ2	GX1	GZ3	GX2	FJ1	HBI	HB2	GZI	INI	- means

ç \pm standard deviation) (m α/α S + ⊵) T_{ARTE} 6. The content of 10 constituents in T. hemsler .

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Variable	Compound	Correlation Coefficient	Р
X1	Rutin*	0.5470	0.0190
X2	Kaempferol*	0.5800	0.0120
X3	Astragalin*	0.7110	0.0010
X4	Quercitrin*	0.6170	0.0060
X5	Quercetin*	0.6140	0.0070
X6	Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside	0.514	0.029
X7	Procyanidin dimmer	0.503	0.033
X8	Vitexin*	-0.5370	0.0220
Х9	Epicatechin	0.6410	0.0040
X10	Isorhamnetin-3-pyranose arabinose-7-glucosyl rhamnoside	-0.6630	0.0030

TABLE 7: Summary of results about the components of *T. hemsleyanums* and antiviral inhibition.

*Quantitative compounds.

Data Availability

Most of the data (Figures 1 to 5 and Tables 1 to 7) used to support the findings of this study are included within the article. Some of the data used to support the findings of this study are included within the supplementary information file.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

FuJuan Ding and JiangTing Liu contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

The research was financially supported by Key Technology Innovation Project of Important Industries in Shandong Province (no. 2016CYJS08A01-5), National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81774167), Key Research and Development Program in Shandong Province (no. 2018CXGC1307), and College Science and Technology Plan Project of Shandong Province (J16LM12).

Supplementary Materials

Table 1: the information of *T. hemsleyanums*. Table 2: parameters of LC-Q-Exactive /MS analysis for 10 constituents. Figure 1: total ion chromatogram of 18 batches of *T. hemsleyanum*. (Supplementary Materials)

References

- J. Jian, Studies on germplasm resources investigation and its protection and utilization strategies of traditional Chinese medicine Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels et Gilg, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, China, 2013.
- [2] Shanghai Science and Technology Press, Zhong Hua Ben Cao, Shanghai Science and Technology Press, ShangHai, China, 1999.

- [3] Jiangxi Provincial Institute of Veterinary Medicine, Guangxi Min Jian Chang Yong Shou Yi Cao Yao. Weinan area, Jiangxi People's Publishing House, Nanchang, China, 1964.
- [4] Kunming Municipal Health Bureau, Kunming Min Jian Chang Yong Cao Yao, Kunming Municipal Health Bureau, Kunming, China, 1970.
- [5] Zhejiang Science and Technology Press, *Zhejiang Min Jian Chang Yong Cao Yao*, Zhejiang Science and Technology Press, Hangzhou, China, 1990.
- [6] X. Yang and J. Wu, "A study of anti-HBV activity of extract of radix tetrastigmae," *Journal of NanJing University of traditional Chinese medicine*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 294–296, 2009.
- [7] Z. Feng, X. Lin, and W. Hao, "Effect of Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels et Gilg flavone on the immunosuppressive associated cytokines in Lewis lung cancer mice," *Chinese Journal* of *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 275–279, 2014.
- [8] Y. Xiong, Studies on Tetrastigma Hemsleyanum (Sanyeqing) Extracts' Bioactivities And Mechanism of Apoptosis in Hela Cells Induced by Its Active Extracts, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, China, 2015.
- [9] C.-J. Xu, G.-Q. Ding, J.-Y. Fu, J. Meng, R.-H. Zhang, and X.-M. Lou, "Immunoregulatory effects of ethyl-acetate fraction of extracts from Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels et. Gilg on immune functions of ICR mice," *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 325–331, 2008.
- [10] S. Fan, X. Xie, F. Zeng et al., "Identification of chemical components and determination of flavonoids in Tetrastigma hemsleyanum leaves," *Chinese Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 1481–1488.
- [11] K. Ganesan and B. Xu, "Molecular targets of vitexin and isovitexin in cancer therapy: a critical review," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1401, no. 1, pp. 102–113, 2017.
- [12] P. Wu, S. Liu, J. Su et al., "Apoptosis triggered by isoquercitrin in bladder cancer cells by activating the AMPK-activated protein kinase pathway," *Food & Function*, no. 10, 2017.
- [13] W. Li, J. Hao, L. Zhang, Z. Cheng, X. Deng, and G. Shu, "Astragalin reduces hexokinase 2 through increasing miR-125b to inhibit the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells in vitro and in vivo," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 65, no. 29, pp. 5961–5972, 2017.
- [14] M. Romero, B. Vera, M. Galisteo et al., "Protective vascular effects of quercitrin in acute TNBS-colitis in rats the role of nitric oxide," *Food & Function*, no. 8, 2017.

- [15] Y. Yang, X. Zhang, M. Xu, X. Wu, F. Zhao, and C. Zhao, "Quercetin attenuates collagen-induced arthritis by restoration of Th17/Treg balance and activation of Heme Oxygenase 1mediated anti-inflammatory effect," *International Immunopharmacology*, vol. 54, pp. 153–162, 2018.
- [16] Y. Liu, L. Gao, S. Guo et al., "Kaempferol alleviates angiotensin II-induced cardiac dysfunction and interstitial fibrosis in mice," *Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 2253– 2263, 2017.
- [17] Y. Li, R. Qin, H. Yan et al., "Inhibition of vascular smooth muscle cells premature senescence with rutin attenuates and stabilizes diabetic atherosclerosis," *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, vol. 51, pp. 91–98, 2018.
- [18] M.-L. Zeng, N.-T. Shen, S.-W. Wu, and Q. Li, "Analysis on chemical constituents in Tetrastigma hemsleyanum by UPLC-Triple - TOF/MS," *Chinese Traditional and Herbal Drugs*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 874–883, 2017.
- [19] Q. Li, X. Liang, L. Zhao et al., "UPLC-Q-exactive orbitrap/MSbased lipidomics approach to characterize lipid extracts from bee pollen and their in vitro anti-inflammatory properties," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 65, no. 32, pp. 6848–6860, 2017.
- [20] P. Li, Q. Cui, L. Wang et al., "A simple and robust approach for evaluation of antivirals using a recombinant influenza virus expressing gaussia luciferase," *Viruses*, vol. 10, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [21] K.-Y. Yu, W. Gao, S.-Z. Li et al., "Qualitative and quantitative analysis of chemical constituents in Ardisiae Japonicae Herba," *Journal of Separation Science*, vol. 40, no. 22, pp. 4347–4356, 2017.
- [22] X. Qiao, W. He, C. Xiang et al., "Qualitative and quantitative analyses of flavonoids in spirodela polyrrhiza by highperformance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry," *Phytochemical Analysis*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 475–483, 2011.
- [23] A. A. El-Hela, H. A. Al-Amier, and T. A. Ibrahim, "Comparative study of the flavonoids of some Verbena species cultivated in Egypt by using high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with ultraviolet spectroscopy and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1217, no. 41, pp. 6388–6393, 2010.
- [24] Y. Sun, X. Zhang, X. Xue, Y. Zhang, H. Xiao, and X. Liang, "Rapid identification of polyphenol C-glycosides from swertia franchetiana by HPLC-ESI-MS-MS," *Journal of Chromatographic Science (JCS)*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 190–196, 2009.
- [25] T. Wang, J. Zhang, X. Qiu, J. Bai, Y. Gao, and W. Xu, "Application of ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with LTQ-orbitrap mass spectrometry for the qualitative and quantitative analysis of polygonum multiflorum thumb. and its processed products," *Molecules*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 40, 2016.
- [26] R.-J. Lee, V. S. Y. Lee, J. T. C. Tzen, and M.-R. Lee, "Study of the release of gallic acid from (-)-epigallocatechin gallate in old oolong tea by mass spectrometry," *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 851–858, 2010.
- [27] M. D. L. Mata-Bilbao, C. Andrés-Lacueva, E. Roura, O. Jáuregui, C. Torre, and R. M. Lamuela-Raventós, "A new LC/MS/MS rapid and sensitive method for the determination of green tea catechins and their metabolites in biological samples," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 55, no. 22, pp. 8857–8863, 2007.

- [28] Y. Zhou, P. Li, A. Brantner et al., "Chemical profiling analysis of Maca using UHPLC-ESI-Orbitrap MS coupled with UHPLC-ESI-QqQ MS and the neuroprotective study on its active ingredients," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 7, p. 44660, 2017.
- [29] H. J. Choi, J. H. Song, K. S. Park, and D. H. Kwon, "Inhibitory effects of quercetin 3-rhamnoside on influenza A virus replication," *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 37, no. 3-4, pp. 329–333, 2009.
- [30] B. Vaidya, S.-Y. Cho, K.-S. Oh et al., "Effectiveness of periodic treatment of quercetin against influenza A virus H1N1 through modulation of protein expression," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 64, no. 21, pp. 4416–4425, 2016.
- [31] R. Zhang, X. Ai, Y. Duan et al., "Kaempferol ameliorates H9N2 swine influenza virus-induced acute lung injury by inactivation of TLR4/MyD88-mediated NF-κB and MAPK signaling pathways," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 89, pp. 660–672, 2017.
- [32] Y. Kim, S. Narayanan, and K.-O. Chang, "Inhibition of influenza virus replication by plant-derived isoquercetin," *Antiviral Research*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 227–235, 2010.