COMT Genotype and Efficacy of Propranolol for TMD Pain: A Randomized Trial

Journal of Dental Research 2021, Vol. 100(2) 163–170 © International & American Associations for Dental Research 2020 © ① S

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0022034520962733 journals.sagepub.com/home/jdr

G.D. Slade^{1,2}, R.B. Fillingim³, R. Ohrbach⁴, H. Hadgraft⁵, J. Willis⁵, S.J. Arbes Jr.⁵, and I.E. Tchivileva^{1,6}

Abstract

Propranolol is a nonselective β -adrenergic receptor antagonist that is efficacious in reducing facial pain. There is evidence that its analgesic efficacy might be modified by variants of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene. We tested the hypothesis in a subset of 143 non-Hispanic Whites from a randomized controlled trial of patients with painful temporomandibular disorder (TMD). Patients were genotyped for rs4680, a single nucleotide polymorphism of COMT, and randomly allocated to either propranolol 60 mg twice daily or placebo. During the 9-wk follow-up period, patients recorded daily ratings of facial pain intensity and duration; the product was computed as an index of facial pain. Postbaseline change in the index at week 9 (the primary endpoint) was analyzed as a continuous variable and dichotomized at thresholds of \geq 30% and \geq 50% reduction. Mixed models for repeated measures tested for the genotype \times treatment group interaction and estimated means, odds ratios (ORs), and 95% confidence limits (95% CLs) of efficacy within COMT genotypes assuming an additive genetic model. In secondary analysis, the cumulative response curves were plotted for dichotomized reductions ranging from \geq 20% to \geq 70%, and genotype differences in area under the curve percentages (%AUC) were calculated to signify efficacy. Mean index reduction did not differ significantly (P = 0.277) according to genotype, whereas the dichotomized \geq 30% reduction revealed greater efficacy among G:G homozygotes (OR = 10.9, 95%CL = 2.4, 50.7) than among A:A homozygotes (OR = 0.8, 95%CL = 0.2, 3.2) with statistically significant interaction (P = 0.035). Cumulative response curves confirmed greater (P = 0.003) efficacy for G:G homozygotes (%AUC difference = 43.7, 95%CL = 15.4, 72.1) than for A:A homozygotes (%AUC difference = 6.5, 95%CL = -30.2, 43.2). The observed antagonistic effect of the A allele on propranolol's efficacy was opposite the synergistic effect hypothesized a priori. This unexpected result highlights the need for better knowledge of COMT's role in pain pathogenesis if the gene is to be used for precisionmedicine treatment of TMD (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02437383).

Keywords: facial pain, chronic pain, placebos, adrenergic beta-antagonists, pharmacogenetics, genetic polymorphism

Introduction

Symptoms of painful temporomandibular disorder (TMD) are reported by 5% of U.S. adults, one-fifth of whom use prescription medication to manage the pain (Slade and Durham 2020). However, analgesics for TMD lack efficacy (Mujakperuo et al. 2010), and "action is urgently needed to improve care for individuals with a TMD" (National Academies of Sciences 2020). Yet, formidable barriers restrict the development of new analgesics, including the many years required to discover new analgesics and the high probability that they fail to show efficacy in phase III trials (Chaplan et al. 2010).

Effective analgesics might instead be identified using strategies from precision medicine (Niculescu et al. 2019). One strategy is to repurpose drugs developed for other conditions, using them to target biological pathways that modulate pain. Another strategy is to tailor drug therapy based on patients' genotypes (Wilentz and Cowley 2017). Both strategies are applicable to propranolol, a nonselective β -adrenergic receptor antagonist, which was developed in the 1960s and is effective for migraine prevention (He et al. 2017). Recent findings from preclinical studies have shown that propranolol inhibits pain processes that amplify trigeminal nociception (Khasar et al. 1999; Shields and Goadsby 2005; Rodrigues et al. 2006;

⁶Division of Oral and Craniofacial Health Sciences, Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

A supplemental appendix to this article is available online.

Corresponding Author:

G.D. Slade, UNC Adams School of Dentistry, CB #7455, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. Email: gary_slade@unc.edu

¹Center for Pain Research and Innovation, Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA ²Division of Pediatric and Public Health, Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA ³Department of Community Dentistry and Behavioral Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

⁴Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, USA ⁵Rho Inc., Durham, NC, USA

Ciszek et al. 2016; Boyer et al. 2017). Other studies suggest that propranolol's analgesic efficacy is modified by genetic variation in the catechol-O-methyltransferase (*COMT*) gene. Specifically, the A allele of the rs4680 single nucleotide polymorphism codes for a valine-to-methionine substitution in the COMT enzyme, reducing enzymatic activity and slowing catabolism of catecholamines (Mannisto and Kaakkola 1999). One study found that people with the A:A genotype had heightened sensitivity to masseter muscle pain (Zubieta et al. 2003). Likewise, a *COMT* haplotype coding for reduced enzyme activity was associated with greater sensitivity to experimental pain and increased risk of developing TMD (Diatchenko et al. 2005).

These studies underpinned our premise that propranolol's analgesic efficacy is enhanced in people whose *COMT* genotype is associated with reduced enzyme activity. Initial support for the premise came from a pilot, randomized crossover trial of 40 women with TMD who received propranolol and placebo (Tchivileva et al. 2010). Propranolol's efficacy increased in a linear manner with each additional copy of the *COMT* haplotype coding for reduced enzyme activity, consistent with an additive genetic model (Clarke et al. 2011). Additivity was likewise found in an in vitro study in which COMT enzyme activity reduced with each additional copy of the A allele of the *COMT* gene (Smith et al. 2014).

This study aimed to determine if *COMT* genotype modifies analgesic efficacy of propranolol in a parallel-group randomized controlled trial (RCT). This represents the second aim of the Study of Orofacial Pain and Propranolol (SOPPRANO), in which propranolol was effective in achieving \geq 30% and \geq 50% reductions in TMD patients' facial pain (Tchivileva et al. 2020). As specified a priori in the study protocol, we hypothesized that the A allele of rs4680 is associated with greater analgesic efficacy of propranolol.

Methods

The study was approved by Institutional Review Boards at the 3 enrollment sites: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, University of Florida, and University at Buffalo. All study participants provided signed informed consent. Full details of the study methods are reported elsewhere (Tchivileva et al. 2020) and summarized below using headings from the CONSORT statement (Moher et al. 2010).

The trial design was a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, phase 2b RCT. Study participants were 200 adults aged 18 to 65 y with TMD myalgia (with or without arthralgia), as determined using the diagnostic criteria for TMD (Schiffman et al. 2014). There were 16 exclusion criteria based on propranolol's contraindications and health conditions that could have affected pain ratings. Study participants were randomized using 1:1 allocation stratified by study site using fixed permutated blocks of 4. Randomization was initiated by study coordinators via an electronic web response system, thereby masking study personnel and all study participants. Study participants were allocated to one of the investigational

products: capsules of 60-mg extended release propranolol or a matching placebo, both administered once daily for 1 wk and then twice daily for 8 wk.

As specified a priori in the study protocol, the primary outcome was a facial pain index, calculated as the product of daily facial pain intensity (reported on a 0 to 100 numeric rating scale) and pain duration (% of day with facial pain) divided by 100. Each was recorded in a daily pain diary, and their product (i.e., daily facial pain index) was averaged across the 7 d prior to study visits conducted 1, 5, and 9 wk after the randomization visit. The secondary outcome was the patient's global impression of change (PGIC; Hurst and Bolton 2004) evaluated at weeks 5 and 9.

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from venous blood samples collected at the baseline visit. Genotyping was performed by LGC Genomics (Beverly, MA, US) using proprietary KASPTM assays based on competitive allele-specific polymerase chain reaction.

Statistical Methods

To reduce population stratification bias (Cardon and Palmer 2003), this analysis was restricted to non-Hispanic Whites. Regression models tested for an interaction of genotype and allocated treatment group to evaluate the hypothesis that the A allele of rs4680 is associated with greater analgesic efficacy of propranolol. For the primary endpoint of facial pain index, efficacy was quantified as change in the index, computed by subtracting the baseline value from the value at each follow-up visit (i.e., weeks 1, 5, and 9). The continuous measure of change was analyzed as the dependent variable using a linear mixed model for repeated measures (MMRM), while logistic MMRMs evaluated reductions of \geq 30% and \geq 50% relative to baseline, both of which are clinically meaningful thresholds of improvement in pain (Dworkin et al. 2009).

As specified a priori in the study protocol, the number of A alleles was modeled as a continuous fixed-effect variable (i.e., assuming an additive genetic model). Other fixed-effect variables were treatment group (2 groups), study visit (3 postbaseline categories), and all 2-way and 3-way interactions of genotype, treatment group, and visit. Fixed-effect covariates were baseline pain index (modeled as a continuous variable), study site (3 categories), and sex (2 categories). Study participants were included as a random effect. MMRMs used data from all available follow-up visits and programming statements (Davis 2014) tested for a 2-way interaction of treatment group with genotype at week 9. Other programming statements calculated odds ratios or mean differences between treatment groups at week 9 for each genotype. Model-adjusted mean values or proportions were calculated and plotted for descriptive purposes. A comparable logistic MMRM was used to analyze global impression of change, dichotomized from the 7-point response scale to classify patients who reported feeling "somewhat better" through "a great deal better" (Hurst and Bolton 2004).

To determine the efficacy across the full range of clinically meaningful reduction in pain, logistic MMRMs for reductions ranging from $\geq 20\%$ to $\geq 70\%$ were used to construct cumulative response curves (Farrar 2010). The percentage area under curve (%AUC) was calculated for each treatment group, with the %AUC difference representing the efficacy estimate. AUC values were calculated using the trapezoid rule, and bootstrap estimates of the standard error were obtained from 1,000 samples of the observed data. Genotype-group differences in %AUC were evaluated using Wald's test.

The threshold for statistical significance of effect modification was P < 0.05 for the 2-tailed test of the null hypothesis of no interaction at week 9. To judge the overall credibility of the effect modification, we applied 11 qualitative criteria (Sun et al. 2010). Sensitivity analysis evaluated 1) our assumption of an additive genetic model, 2) components of the facial pain index (i.e., pain intensity and duration), and 3) the effect of restricting the sample to females, as occurred in the pilot study (Tchivileva et al. 2010).

Sample Size Justification

SOPPRANO was planned with a target sample of 200 randomized study participants, providing 90% statistical power for the primary aim of overall analgesic efficacy of propranolol. As initially envisaged, the sample was to be limited to non-Hispanic Whites, providing 61% power to detect an interaction between COMT genotype and treatment group using a threshold of P < 0.05 for type I error based on a 2-tailed test. However, at study initiation, enrollment was opened to all races, and hence this analysis was limited to the 143 non-Hispanic Whites, yielding power of 47% to test the same interaction. Other assumptions for the power calculation were based on findings from our pilot study, namely, a 1:2:1 ratio of study participants with 0, 1, and 2 copies of the A allele of rs4680 and percentage reductions in mean pain index of 9%, 27%, and 45% (respectively) for the propranolol group compared with 9% for each genotype in the placebo group.

Results

Baseline Characteristics of Study Groups

Between August 2015 and January 2018, 200 study participants were randomized to either propranolol (n = 100) or placebo (n = 100), and 199 study participants with follow-up data were analyzed to test SOPPRANO's primary aim (see the study by Tchivileva et al. 2020, which includes the CONSORT flow diagram, details of treatment adherence, and overall results for efficacy and adverse events). This genetic analysis was limited to 143 non-Hispanic White study participants who had at least 1 follow-up visit (n = 78 propranolol, n = 65 placebo). The distribution of rs4680 genotypes did not depart significantly (P > 0.05) from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. At baseline, the mean age of the the participants was 33 y, three-quarters were women, and there were no meaningful

 Table I. Baseline Characteristics of Participants in the 2 Treatment Groups.

Characteristic	Placebo (n = 65)	Propranolol (n = 78)
Demographics		
Age, y	33.1 (13.0)	33.9 (12.3)
Sex, female	51 (78.5%)	60 (76.9%)
Study site		
University of North Carolina	28 (43.1%)	39 (50.0%)
University of Florida	17 (26.2%)	20 (25.6%)
University at Buffalo	20 (30.8%)	19 (24.4%)
Facial pain symptoms		
Time since TMD onset, y	11.5 (10.6)	11.7 (10.2)
Weekly pain index, 0-100 scale	30.0 (17.4)	31.0 (20.2)
Weekly pain intensity, 0-100 scale	45.7 (14.8)	47.6 (14.9)
Weekly pain duration, 0-100 scale	60.8 (24.5)	59.5 (27.5)
Painful days in the past 30 d, no. of days	24.1 (6.2)	24.4 (7.0)
Facial GCPS grade IIb-IV	39 (60.0%)	46 (59.0%)
DC/TMD examination findings		
Pain-free jaw opening, mm	29.3 (11.5)	29.4 (11.3)
Maximum unassisted jaw opening, mm	43.9 (9.6)	43.6 (9.0)
TMD myalgia and arthralgia	63 (96.9%)	74 (94.9%)
Headache and other symptoms		
Migraine	29 (44.6%)	37 (47.4%)
HIT-6, 36-78 scale	55.8 (8.5)	54.6 (9.4)
HADS anxiety, 0-21 scale	7.0 (4.5)	7.5 (4.1)
HADS depression, 0-21 scale	3.2 (3.2)	3.8 (3.6)
COMT rs4680 (Val158Met)		
G:G	12 (18.5%)	21 (26.9%)
A:G	38 (58.5%)	34 (43.6%)
A:A	15 (23.1%)	23 (29.5%)

Data are means (SDs) or n (%) of non-Hispanic White study participants in the SOPPRANO study.

COMT, catecholamine-O-methyltransferase; DC/TMD, diagnostic criteria for TMD; GCPS, Graded Chronic Pain Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HIT-6, Headache Impact Test–6; TMD, temporomandibular disorder.

differences between treatment groups in these or other baseline characteristics (Table 1). Likewise, there were only small differences in baseline characteristics according to *COMT* genotype (Table 2).

Effect Modification of the Primary Endpoint by the COMT Genotype

Results for the linear MMRM analysis of the continuous measures of pain index revealed greater efficacy for G:G homozygotes than for A:A homozygotes, although the interaction was not statistically significant (Table 3; Suppl. Fig. S1). Among the n = 33 G:G homozygotes of rs4680, the adjusted percentage of study participants with $\geq 30\%$ reduction in facial pain index at week 9 was 30.8% in the placebo group and 83.0% in the propranolol group (Fig. 1A), whereas among n = 38 A:A homozygotes, the corresponding percentages were 56.1% and 51.7% (Fig. 1C). The adjusted odds ratios of propranolol's efficacy were 10.9 for G:G, 3.0 for A:G, and 0.8 for A:A, and the test for gene × treatment group interaction was statistically significant (P = 0.035; Table 3). A similar pattern was seen using

Characteristic	G:G (n = 33)	A:G (n = 72)	A:A (n = 38)	P Value
Demographics				
Age, y	36.9 (12.1)	31.8 (13.1)	33.9 (11.6)	0.123
Sex, female	26 (78.8%)	56 (77.8%)	29 (76.3%)	0.977
Study site				0.163
University of North Carolina	19 (57.6%)	29 (40.3%)	19 (50.0%)	
University of Florida	7 (21.2%)	24 (33.3%)	6 (15.8%)	
University at Buffalo	7 (21.2%)	19 (26.4%)	13 (34.2%)	
Allocation				
Propranolol treatment group	21 (63.6%)	34 (47.2%)	23 (60.5%)	0.104
Facial pain symptoms				
Time since TMD onset, y	10.6 (11.5)	10.7 (9.9)	14.0 (9.9)	0.118
Weekly pain index, 0-100 scale	30.3 (19.5)	30.7 (18.5)	30.4 (19.5)	0.968
Weekly pain intensity, 0-100 scale	46.9 (15.4)	46.6 (15.0)	47.0 (14.4)	0.996
Weekly pain duration, 0-100 scale	60.8 (28.1)	60.4 (24.5)	58.8 (27.8)	0.921
Painful days in the last 30 d, no. of days	25.5 (6.7)	24.2 (6.0)	23.4 (7.6)	0.503
Facial GCPS grade IIb-IV	14 (42.4%)	29 (40.3%)	15 (39.5%)	0.809
DC/TMD examination findings				
Pain-free jaw opening, mm	28.3 (10.1)	29.9 (11.3)	29.2 (12.7)	0.871
Maximum unassisted jaw opening, mm	41.1 (7.6)	44.3 (10.1)	44.9 (8.6)	0.200
TMD myalgia and arthralgia	32 (97.0%)	70 (97.2%)	35 (92.1%)	0.685
Headache and other symptoms				
Migraine	19 (57.6%)	37 (51.4%)	21 (55.3%)	0.715
HIT-6, 36-78 scale	56.5 (9.7)	54.2 (8.8)	55.7 (8.5)	0.242
HADS anxiety, 0-21 scale	8.5 (3.9)	6.7 (4.2)	7.3 (4.6)	0.194
HADS depression, 0-21 scale	4.3 (3.9)	3.3 (3.5)	3.1 (2.9)	0.386

Data are means (SDs) or n (%) of non-Hispanic White study participants in the SOPPRANO study. P values are from 1-way analysis of variance F-tests (for continuous variables) and chi-square tests (for categorical variables) testing the null hypothesis that means or percentages (respectively) are equivalent among genotypes.

COMT, catecholamine-O-methyltransferase; DC/TMD, diagnostic criteria for TMD; GCPS, Graded Chronic Pain Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HIT-6, Headache Impact Test-6; TMD, temporomandibular disorder.

the threshold of \geq 50% reduction in facial pain index to define responders: odds ratios varied from 5.1 for G:G to 0.9 for A:A, although the test for interaction was not significant (*P* = 0.354; Table 3).

When results were integrated for thresholds of 20% to 70% reduction in pain index, the propranolol-versus-placebo difference in cumulative response curves was greater for G:G homozygotes (43.7 percentage point difference in AUC, 95% CL = 15.4, 72.1) than for A:A homozygotes (6.5 percentage point difference in AUC, 95% CL = -30.2, 43.2). This genotype difference in treatment effect estimates was statistically significant (P = 0.003; Fig. 2). As shown in Figure 2, the attenuated efficacy in A:A homozygotes compared with G:G homozygotes was due to 2 factors: a lower probability of placebo analgesia.

Effect Modification Analysis Using the PGIC Secondary Endpoint

Model-adjusted percentages of study participants reporting at least moderate improvement in their overall condition ranged from 19.7% (placebo) to 55.4% (propranolol) in G:G homozygotes (Fig. 1D), with a corresponding odds ratio of 5.1 (95%)

CL = 1.1, 22.8; Table 3). Odds ratios were 2.1 (95% CL = 1.0, 4.8) for A:G heterozygotes (Fig 1E:) and 0.9 (95% CL = 0.2, 3.6) for A:A homozygotes (Fig. 1F), although the interaction of genotype and treatment group at week 9 was not statistically significant (P = 0.153, Table 3).

Qualitative Evaluation of Credibility of the Overall Findings

The analysis fulfilled all 5 study design criteria used to evaluate the credibility of effect modification in RCTs (Sun et al. 2010), namely, 1) *COMT* genotype, the effect modifier, was measured at baseline; 2) efficacy was compared among subgroups within this study; 3) the hypothesis and 4) direction of the effect modification were specified a priori; and 5) the *COMT* genotype was the single effect modifier tested. There was mixed support for 2 analytic criteria (Sun et al. 2010), namely, 6) *P* values were significant at the *P* < 0.05 threshold for only 2 of the 5 analyses; 7) however, because those 2 analyses used the facial pain index, results were strongly correlated. There was also support for the contextual criteria (Sun et al. 2010), namely, 8) subgroup differences in effect estimates were large (e.g., odds ratios differed at least 5-fold across genotypes), 9) the interaction was consistent for the analysis of the

Table 3. Sum	mary of Efficacy	/ Estimates and	Tests for	Gene ×	Treatment	Group	Interactions
--------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------	--------	-----------	-------	--------------

	COMT rs4680 Genotype			
Endpoint	G:G (n = 33)	A:G (n = 72)	A:A (n = 38)	
Mean weekly pain index, baseline to week 9				
Propranolol: change from baseline, mean (95% CL)	-13.5 (-19.0, -7.9)	-11.8 (-15.3, -8.3)	-10.1 (-15.9, -4.4)	
Placebo: change from baseline, mean (95% CL)	-4.7 (-11.9, 2.4)	-7.2 (-11.0, -3.3)	-9.6 (-16.0, -3.2)	
Propranolol minus placebo, mean (95% CL)	-8.7 (-17.6, 0.1)	-4.6 (-9.6, 0.3)	-0.5 (-9.0, 8.0)	
Interaction of genotype $ imes$ treatment group, P value		0.257		
≥30% reduction in weekly pain index, baseline to week 9				
Propranolol: study participants responding, % (95% CL)	83.0 (63.0, 93.3)	69.5 (55.8, 80.5)	51.7 (29.7, 73.0)	
Placebo: study participants responding, % (95% CL)	30.8 (12.4, 58.3)	43.0 (29.4, 57.8)	56.1 (32.2, 77.5)	
Propranolol relative to placebo, odds ratio (95% CL)	10.9 (2.4, 50.7)	3.0 (1.3, 6.8)	0.8 (0.2, 3.2)	
Interaction of genotype $ imes$ treatment group, P value		0.035		
\geq 50% reduction in weekly pain index, baseline to week 9				
Propranolol: study participants responding, % (95% CL)	56.1 (35.3, 74.9)	51.4 (38.2, 64.4)	46.7 (26.2, 68.3)	
Placebo: study participants responding, % (95% CL)	19.6 (6.5, 46.3)	26.0 (15.5, 40.4)	33.7 (15.3, 58.8)	
Propranolol relative to placebo, odds ratio (95% CL)	5.2 (1.2, 23.3)	3.0 (1.3, 6.8)	1.7 (0.4, 6.7)	
Interaction of genotype $ imes$ treatment group, P value		0.354		
≥Moderate improvement in PGIC, baseline to week 9				
Propranolol: study participants responding, % (95% CL)	55.4 (34.6, 74.5)	44.1 (31.2, 57.8)	33.3 (16.5, 55.9)	
Placebo: study participants responding, % (95% CL)	19.7 (6.4, 46.9)	27.0 (16.1, 41.5)	35.7 (16.5, 60.9)	
Propranolol relative to placebo, odds ratio (95% CL)	5.1 (1.1, 22.8)	2.1 (1.0, 4.8)	0.9 (0.2, 3.6)	
Interaction of genotype \times treatment group, <i>P</i> value		0.153		

Data are estimates from linear and logistic mixed models for repeated measures of n = 143 non-Hispanic White study participants in the SOPPRANO study. Adjusted means, percentages, and 95% confidence limits (95% CL) were estimated from models with predictor variables of genotype, treatment group, visit, and all 2-way and 3-way interactions. Estimate statements for each model were used to calculate adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence limits at week 9. Another estimate statement tested for the 2-way interaction of genotype and treatment group at week 9. *COMT*, catecholamine-O-methyltransferase; PGIC, patient global impression of change.

secondary endpoint, and 10) there was a biological rationale for the hypothesized interaction. Overall, there is only moderate credibility of the effect modification because it was opposite to the hypothesized direction.

Sensitivity Analysis

When the *COMT* genotype was modeled as 3 categories, hence making no assumptions about the genetic model, estimates were very similar to the additive model (Suppl. Table. S1). Specifically, efficacy at week 9 was greatest for the G:G genotype, intermediate for the A:G genotype, and least for the A:A genotype. Results for the components of the facial pain index were similar to the index itself (Suppl. Table S2), and findings did not change appreciably when data were restricted to females (Suppl. Table S3).

Discussion

This RCT of study participants with painful TMD found some evidence that propranolol's analgesic efficacy was modified by *COMT* genotype. Specifically, the A allele of rs4680 was associated with reduced efficacy, with the consequence that propranolol was superior to placebo in reducing the facial pain index among G:G homozygotes but not among A:A homozygotes. Although this constituted clinically meaningful differences in the magnitude of propranolol's efficacy, the observed antagonistic effect of the A allele is counter to the hypothesized direction of effect modification.

Before considering the implications of this unexpected finding, the study's limitations warrant consideration. For this secondary genetic objective, the statistical analysis plan specified that the primary endpoint be analyzed both as a continuous measure and after dichotomizing it at thresholds of $\geq 30\%$ and \geq 50% pain reduction. The 3 endpoints were consistent in showing antagonistic directions of effect for the A allele, although the gene × treatment group interaction was statistically significant only for the \geq 30% threshold and in secondary analysis of cumulative response curves. This is counter to the conventional expectation that continuous variables yield greater statistical power (Snapinn and Jiang 2007). However, as noted for the primary paper from this study (Tchivileva et al. 2020), many study participants in the placebo group experienced reductions of <30% in the facial pain index, thereby reducing the efficacy signal from the continuous endpoint. Instead, there was greater power to detect a $\geq 30\%$ reduction in pain, a measure that is favored as clinically meaningful for patients (Dworkin et al. 2009). In addition, calculated statistical power for effect modification was only 47% using the continuous endpoint. Nonetheless, the binary endpoint revealed statistically significant gene × treatment group interactions that were consistent with clinically meaningful differences in efficacy, although 95% confidence intervals were wide, making the estimates imprecise. However, we caution against overinterpreting the interaction P values that are less than 0.05 Instead, when all relevant criteria were considered (Sun et al. 2010), we found only moderately credible evidence for effect modification.



Figure 1. Change in 2 endpoints at 3 follow-up visits for study participants in 2 treatment groups stratified according to genotype of the rs4680 single nucleotide polymorphism of *COMT*. Endpoints were estimated for propranolol (\blacklozenge) and placebo (\bigcirc) groups in regression models from n = 143 non-Hispanic White study participants in the SOPPRANO study. Endpoints were percentage of participants with at least 30% reduction in pain index plotted for (**A**) G:G homozygotes, (**B**) A:G heterozygotes, and (**C**) A:A homozygotes and percentage of participants reporting overall improvement, as measured by patient's global impression of change, in their pain condition plotted for (**D**) G:G homozygotes, (**E**) A:G heterozygotes, and (**F**) A:A homozygotes. Facial pain index endpoints were recorded at up to 3 follow-up visits that occurred 1, 5, and 9 wk after initiating treatment. Percentage reductions in facial pain index were calculated relative to baseline and dichotomized to signify the percentage of participants with \geq 30% reduction. Global impression of change was reported on a 7-point scale at weeks 5 and 9 and dichotomized to signify the percentage of participants with either less than or at least "moderate" improvement. Adjusted percentages were estimated from logistic mixed models for repeated measures with predictor variables of genotype, treatment group, visit, and all 2-way and 3-way interactions. Covariates were the study site and sex. Estimate statements for each model were used to calculate adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals at week 9. Another estimate statement tested for the 2-way interaction of genotype and treatment group at week 9: for pain index, P = 0.035 for the interaction; for global improvement, P = 0.153 for the interaction.

The current study has several strengths, including its randomized, placebo-controlled design with allocation concealment and masking. Consistent with the best practices for clinical trials of pain (Edwards et al. 2016), the primary endpoint was measured using daily pain diaries. The 9-wk followup period exceeded the minimum of 4 wk recommended for phase 2b trials (Gewandter et al. 2014). Pain-related characteristics measured at baseline did not differ appreciably between the treatment groups or COMT genotypes, making it unlikely that the gene × treatment group interaction was confounded by those characteristics. The sensitivity analysis revealed very similar results for different genetic models, and we therefore favor the additive model because it parsimoniously depicts the biological gradient.

The opposing directions of effect modification in the current and pilot study require scrutiny. Although both studies used the same endpoint, there were notable differences: the pilot study used a crossover design, enrollment was restricted to 40 women, the dose of propranolol was lower, and efficacy was analyzed after 1 wk. In general, parallel-group designs are favored for clinical trials because they require fewer assumptions when testing efficacy (Dubey 1986). The pilot study (Tchivileva et al. 2010) used a haplotype of *COMT* as the genetic marker, although the result for rs4680 was similar and hence does not account for the discrepancy with this study. In the current study, neither sex nor efficacy signals after 1 wk could account for the discrepancy.

A more salient difference between studies was the placebo group's response: in the pilot study, there was effectively no reduction in facial pain in the placebo phase, whereas in the current study, there were substantial reductions in facial pain in the placebo group. In this study, the absence of efficacy for the A:A homozygotes was due as much to a heightened response (relative to G:G homozygotes) in the placebo group as it was to



Figure 2. Cumulative response curves for facial pain index among study participants in 2 treatment groups stratified according to genotype of the rs4680 single nucleotide polymorphism of *COMT*: (**A**) G:G homozygotes, (**B**) A:G heterozygotes, and (**C**) A:A homozygotes. Data are from n = 143 non-Hispanic White study participants in the SOPPRANO study. The facial pain index was recorded at 3 follow-up visits that occurred 1, 5, and 9 wk after initiating treatment. Percentage reductions in pain index were calculated relative to baseline and dichotomized at thresholds from 20% to 70%. For each threshold, adjusted percentages were estimated from a logistic mixed model for repeated measures with predictor variables of genotype, treatment group, visit, and all 2-way and 3-way interactions. Covariates were study site and sex. Adjusted percentages were plotted, and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for the propranolol and placebo groups, with the AUC difference between treatment groups representing the efficacy estimate. AUC values were calculated using the trapezoid rule, and bootstrap estimates of the standard error were obtained from 1,000 replicated samples generated by random sampling, with replacement, of the observed data.

a diminished response in the propranolol group. Sizable responses in placebo groups are the norm in clinical trials of pain, suggesting that the antagonistic effect of the A allele seen here is more credible than the amplifying effect suggested by the pilot study. This effect of *COMT* on treatment response in the placebo group has parallels with a clinical trial of acupuncture for treatment of irritable bowel syndrome (Hall et al. 2012) and a study of experimentally induced placebo hypoalgesia in healthy human volunteers (Colloca et al. 2019).

Seemingly inconsistent effects of *COMT* have been reported in other clinical settings. One review concluded that the A allele of rs4680 is associated with a lower requirement for opioids in patients with a range of acute and chronic pain conditions (Vieira et al. 2019), whereas a subsequent study reported an opposing effect of the allele in postsurgical pain management (Matic et al. 2020). Likewise, systematic reviews of treatment for chronic postsurgical pain (Chidambaran et al. 2019) and neuropathic pain (Veluchamy et al. 2018) have reported opposing directions of association with the rs4680 genotype. Authors have speculated that the differences might be due to insufficient power (Landau et al. 2013), heterogeneity of patient populations (Chidambaran et al. 2019), or interactions with other pain regulatory systems (Matic et al. 2020).

Compared with the pilot study, these results provide stronger evidence that COMT modifies the analgesic efficacy of propranolol. It might therefore seem logical to recommend propranolol to TMD patients with A:G or G:G genotypes of rs4680. However, we caution that any such interpretation is premature. Instead, the contradictory directions of *COMT*'s effects seen here and in other pain studies demonstrate the need for biological and clinical research to reconcile the differences. This conclusion echoes a more general caution that "any plan to incorporate genotyping information into clinical pain practice is premature" (Mogil 2009). Indeed, this study adds to the enigma of COMT by revealing an antagonistic effect of the A allele of rs4680 on propranolol's efficacy. The finding highlights the need for better knowledge of COMT's role in pain pathogenesis if the gene is to be used for a precision medicine approach to TMD.

Author Contributions

G.D. Slade, contributed to conception, design, data analysis, and interpretation, drafted and critically revised the manuscript; R.B. Fillingim, R. Ohrbach, contributed to conception, design, data acquisition, and interpretation, critically revised the manuscript; H. Hadgraft, contributed to data acquisition, critically revised the manuscript; J. Willis, contributed to data analysis, critically revised the manuscript; S.J. Arbes Jr., contributed to conception, design, and data interpretation, critically revised the manuscript; I.E. Tchivileva, contributed to conception, design, data acquisition, and interpretation, drafted

and critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the SOPPRANO research staff and patients who participated in the trial. The authors also thank cardiologists Drs. Alan Hinderliter (UNC at Chapel Hill), David Sheps (University of Florida), and Thomas Cimato (University at Buffalo) for monitoring participants' safety. The trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02437383. Full details of the trial protocol are available at ClinicalTrials.gov.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Funding for this study was provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR) R34-DE022088 and U01-DE 024169 grants.

ORCID iDs

S.J. Arbes Jr. (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7767-0959 I.E. Tchivileva (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0968-0594

References

- Boyer N, Signoret-Genest J, Artola A, Dallel R, Monconduit L. 2017. Propranolol treatment prevents chronic central sensitization induced by repeated dural stimulation. Pain. 158(10):2025–2034.
- Cardon LR, Palmer LJ. 2003. Population stratification and spurious allelic association. Lancet. 361(9357):598–604.
- Chaplan SR, Eckert WA, Carruthers NI. 2010. Drug discovery and development for pain. In: Kruger L, Light AR, editors. Translational pain research: from mouse to man. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press.
- Chidambaran V, Gang Y, Pilipenko V, Ashton M, Ding L. 2019. Systematic review and meta-analysis of genetic risk of developing chronic postsurgical pain. J Pain. 21(1–2):2–24.
- Ciszek BP, O'Buckley SC, Nackley AG. 2016. Persistent catechol-O-methyltransferase-dependent pain is initiated by peripheral beta-adrenergic receptors. Anesthesiology. 124(5):1122–1135.
- Clarke GM, Anderson CA, Pettersson FH, Cardon LR, Morris AP, Zondervan KT. 2011. Basic statistical analysis in genetic case-control studies. Nat Protoc. 6(2):121–133.
- Colloca L, Wang Y, Martinez PE, Chang YC, Ryan KA, Hodgkinson C, Goldman D, Dorsey SG. 2019. OPRM1 rs1799971, COMT rs4680, and FAAH rs324420 genes interact with placebo procedures to induce hypoalgesia. Pain. 160(8):1824–1834.
- Davis S. 2014. Mixed models for repeated measures using categorical time effects (MMRM). In: O'Kelly M, Ratitch B, editors. Clinical trials with missing data: a guide for practitioners. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons. p. 131–184.
- Diatchenko L, Slade GD, Nackley AG, Bhalang K, Sigurdsson A, Belfer I, Goldman D, Xu K, Shabalina SA, Shagin D, et al. 2005. Genetic basis for individual variations in pain perception and the development of a chronic pain condition. Hum Mol Genet. 14(1):135–143.
- Dubey S. 1986. Current thoughts on crossover designs. Clin Res Regul Aff. 4(2):127–142.
- Dworkin RH, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Peirce-Sandner S, Burke LB, Cowan P, Farrar JT, Hertz S, Raja SN, Rappaport BA, et al. 2009. Interpreting the clinical importance of group differences in chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain. 146(3):238–244.
- Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Angst MS, Dionne R, Freeman R, Hansson P, Haroutounian S, Arendt-Nielsen L, Attal N, et al. 2016. Patient phenotyping in clinical trials of chronic pain treatments: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain. 157(9):1851–1871.
- Farrar JT. 2010. Advances in clinical research methodology for pain clinical trials. Nat Med. 16(11):1284–1293.
- Gewandter JS, Dworkin RH, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Baron R, Gastonguay MR, Gilron I, Katz NP, Mehta C, Raja SN, et al. 2014. Research designs for proof-of-concept chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain. 155(9):1683–1695.
- Hall KT, Lembo AJ, Kirsch I, Ziogas DC, Douaiher J, Jensen KB, Conboy LA, Kelley JM, Kokkotou E, Kaptchuk TJ. 2012. Catechol-O-methyltransferase val158met polymorphism predicts placebo effect in irritable bowel syndrome. PLoS One. 7(10):e48135.
- He A, Song D, Zhang L, Li C. 2017. Unveiling the relative efficacy, safety and tolerability of prophylactic medications for migraine: pairwise and network-meta analysis. J Headache Pain. 18(1):26.
- Hurst H, Bolton J. 2004. Assessing the clinical significance of change scores recorded on subjective outcome measures. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 27(1):26–35.
- Khasar SG, McCarter G, Levine JD. 1999. Epinephrine produces a beta-adrenergic receptor-mediated mechanical hyperalgesia and in vitro sensitization of rat nociceptors. J Neurophysiol. 81(3):1104–1112.

- Landau R, Liu SK, Blouin JL, Carvalho B. 2013. The effect of OPRM1 and COMT genotypes on the analgesic response to intravenous fentanyl labor analgesia. Anesth Analg. 116(2):386–391.
- Mannisto PT, Kaakkola S. 1999. Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): biochemistry, molecular biology, pharmacology, and clinical efficacy of the new selective COMT inhibitors. Pharmacol Rev. 51(4):593–628.
- Matic M, de Hoogd S, de Wildt SN, Tibboel D, Knibbe CA, van Schaik RH. 2020. OPRM1 and COMT polymorphisms: implications on postoperative acute, chronic and experimental pain after cardiac surgery. Pharmacogenomics. 21(3):181–193.
- Mogil JS. 2009. Are we getting anywhere in human pain genetics? Pain. 146(3):231–232.
- Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gøtzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, Elbourne D, Egger M, Altman DG. 2010. CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ. 340:c869.
- Mujakperuo HR, Watson M, Morrison R, Macfarlane TV. 2010. Pharmacological interventions for pain in patients with temporomandibular disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. (10):CD004715.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine. 2020. Temporomandibular disorders: priorities for research and care. Washington (DC): National Academies Press.
- Niculescu AB, Le-Niculescu H, Levey DF, Roseberry K, Soe KC, Rogers J, Khan F, Jones T, Judd S, McCormick MA, et al. 2019. Towards precision medicine for pain: diagnostic biomarkers and repurposed drugs. Mol Psychiatry. 24(4):501–522.
- Rodrigues LL, Oliveira MC, Pelegrini-da-Silva A, de Arruda Veiga MC, Parada CA, Tambeli CH. 2006. Peripheral sympathetic component of the temporomandibular joint inflammatory pain in rats. J Pain. 7(12):929–936.
- Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, Look J, Anderson G, Goulet JP, List T, Svensson P, Gonzalez Y, Lobbezoo F, et al. 2014. Diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) for clinical and research applications: recommendations of the international RDC/TMD consortium network* and orofacial pain special interest groupdagger. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 28(1):6–27.
- Shields KG, Goadsby PJ. 2005. Propranolol modulates trigeminovascular responses in thalamic ventroposteromedial nucleus: a role in migraine? Brain. 128(Pt 1):86–97.
- Slade G, Durham J. 2020. Prevalence, impact, and costs of treatment for temporomandibular disorders. In: Temporomandibular disorders: priorities for research and care. Washington (DC): National Academies Press.
- Smith SB, Reenila I, Mannisto PT, Slade GD, Maixner W, Diatchenko L, Nackley AG. 2014. Epistasis between polymorphisms in COMT, ESR1, and GCH1 influences COMT enzyme activity and pain. Pain. 155(11):2390–2399.
- Snapinn SM, Jiang Q. 2007. Responder analyses and the assessment of a clinically relevant treatment effect. Trials. 8:31.
- Sun X, Briel M, Walter SD, Guyatt GH. 2010. Is a subgroup effect believable? Updating criteria to evaluate the credibility of subgroup analyses. BMJ. 340:c117.
- Tchivileva IE, Hadgraft H, Lim PF, Di Giosia M, Ribeiro-Dasilva M, Campbell JH, Willis J, James R, Herman-Giddens M, Fillingim RB, et al. 2020. Efficacy and safety of propranolol for treatment of temporomandibular disorder pain: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Pain. 161(8):1755–1767.
- Tchivileva IE, Lim PF, Smith SB, Slade GD, Diatchenko L, McLean SA, Maixner W. 2010. Effect of catechol-O-methyltransferase polymorphism on response to propranolol therapy in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover pilot study. Pharmacogenet Genom. 20(4):239–248.
- Veluchamy A, Hebert HL, Meng W, Palmer CNA, Smith BH. 2018. Systematic review and meta-analysis of genetic risk factors for neuropathic pain. Pain. 159(5):825–848.
- Vieira CMP, Fragoso RM, Pereira D, Medeiros R. 2019. Pain polymorphisms and opioids: an evidence based review. Mol Med Rep. 19(3):1423–1434.
- Wilentz JB, Cowley AW Jr. 2017. How can precision medicine be applied to temporomandibular disorders and its comorbidities? Mol Pain. 13:1744806917710094.
- Zubieta JK, Heitzeg MM, Smith YR, Bueller JA, Xu K, Xu Y, Koeppe RA, Stohler CS, Goldman D. 2003. COMT val158met genotype affects muopioid neurotransmitter responses to a pain stressor. Science. 299(5610): 1240–1243.