



# Enrichment of *Burkholderia* in the Rhizosphere by Autotoxic Ginsenosides to Alleviate Negative Plant-Soil Feedback

# Lifen Luo,<sup>a,b</sup> Luotao Wang,<sup>a,b\*</sup> Linmei Deng,<sup>a,b</sup> Xinyue Mei,<sup>a,b</sup> Yixiang Liu,<sup>a,b</sup> Huichuan Huang,<sup>a,b</sup> Fei Du,<sup>a,b</sup> <sup>®</sup> Shusheng Zhu,<sup>a,b</sup> Min Yang<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, Yunnan, China <sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory for Agro-Biodiversity and Pest Control of Ministry of Education, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, Yunnan, China

Lifen Luo and Luotao Wang contributed equally to this article. Author order was determined based on seniority.

ABSTRACT The accumulation of autotoxins and soilborne pathogens in soil was shown to be the primary driver of negative plant-soil feedback (NPSF). There is a concerted understanding that plants could enhance their adaptability to biotic or abiotic stress by modifying the rhizosphere microbiome. However, it is not clear whether autotoxins could enrich microbes to degrade themselves or antagonize soilborne pathogens. Here, we found that the microbiome degraded autotoxic ginsenosides, belonging to triterpenoid glycosides, and antagonized pathogens in the rhizosphere soil of Panax notoginseng (sangi). Deep analysis by 16S rRNA sequencing showed that the bacterial community was obviously changed in the rhizosphere soil and identified the Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia (BCP) group as the main ginsenoside-enriched bacteria in the rhizosphere soil. Eight strains belonging to the BCP group were isolated, and Burkholderia isolate B36 showed a high ability to simultaneously degrade autotoxic ginsenosides (Rb<sub>1</sub>, Rg<sub>1</sub>, and Rd) and antagonize the soilborne pathogen Ilyonectria destructans. Interestingly, ginsenosides could stimulate the growth and biofilm formation of B36, eventually enhancing the antagonistic ability of B36 to *I. destructans* and the colonization ability in the rhizosphere soil. In summary, autotoxic ginsenosides secreted by P. notoginseng could enrich beneficial microbes in the rhizosphere to simultaneously degrade autotoxins and antagonize pathogen, providing a novel ecological strategy to alleviate NPSF.

**IMPORTANCE** Autotoxic ginsenosides, secreted by sanqi into soil, could enrich *Burkholderia* sp. to alleviate negative plant-soil feedback (NPSF) by degrading autotoxins and antagonizing the root rot pathogen. In detail, ginsenosides could stimulate the growth and biofilm formation of *Burkholderia* sp. B36, eventually enhancing the antagonistic ability of *Burkholderia* sp. B36 to a soilborne pathogen and the colonization of B36 in soil. This ecological strategy could alleviate NPSF by manipulating the rhizosphere microbiome to simultaneously degrade autotoxins and antagonize pathogen.

**KEYWORDS** microbiome, microbiological degradation, soilborne disease, autotoxicity, plant disease, plant-microbe interactions

Plant-induced changes in abiotic or biotic properties in the rhizosphere, which in turn influence the performance of the same or other plants, are known as plant-soil feedbacks (PSFs) (1, 2). According to the direction of net effects, PSFs can be classified as positive, neutral, or negative feedback. Negative plant-soil feedbacks (NPSFs) make soil less suitable for the same individuals or other individuals of the same species (3). NPSFs play important roles in maintaining plant diversity and driving community dynamics in natural ecosystems (4, 5), but they are known as important limiting factors of

Citation Luo L, Wang L, Deng L, Mei X, Liu Y, Huang H, Du F, Zhu S, Yang M. 2021. Enrichment of *Burkholderia* in the rhizosphere by autotoxic ginsenosides to alleviate negative plant-soil feedback. Microbiol Spectr 9:e01400-21. https:// doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.01400-21.

Editor Kristen M. DeAngelis, University of Massachusetts Amherst

**Copyright** © 2021 Luo et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Shusheng Zhu, shushengzhu79@126.com, or Min Yang, yangminscnc@126.com.

\*Present address: Luotao Wang, Key Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Ministry of Agriculture, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China.

Received 26 August 2021 Accepted 6 October 2021 Published 10 November 2021 crop productivity in intensive agricultural systems (6). The build-up of harmful pathogens and accumulation of allelopathic autotoxins are the main driving factors promoting NPSF (7–10). Most autotoxins are secreted into the environment to inhibit the performance of the same plant species (11, 12); moreover, some autotoxins have been shown to promote the growth and pathogenicity of pathogens (13–16). Therefore, some autotoxins showed synergistic effects with soilborne pathogens to aggravate NPSF (7, 17).

Based on the mechanism of NPSF, the key strategy to effectively mitigate NPSF is to solve the problems of autotoxins and soilborne pathogens simultaneously. The most obvious counteraction against NPSF is crop rotation or new farmland selection for cultivation. This strategy, however, is no longer possible in many cases, especially in intensive agriculture systems. Another strategy would be resistance breeding, but this strategy is time-consuming and difficult, as the detailed mechanisms of NPSFs are not fully understood. Soil disinfection by heat or chemical means is effective in simultaneously killing soilborne pathogens and degrading autotoxins in soil (18–20), but its wide use is restricted by high costs and environmental problems (21, 22). Therefore, it is urgent to develop a new sustainable management strategy to alleviate NPSF in agricultural systems.

The plant rhizosphere microbiome comprises a highly diverse community of saprotrophic, mutualistic, and pathogenic microbes that can affect plant growth and plant health (23). It is of substantial interest for exploiting beneficial members of plant rhizosphere microbiomes and then using them as biocontrol agents for sustainable management strategies in crop production (24–28). Moreover, it has been mentioned in some studies that microbial communities play important roles in disease suppressiveness, herbicide degradation, pollutant breakdown, and heavy metal transformation (29–31). Whether plants can employ the microbiome to simultaneously degrade autotoxins and antagonize soilborne pathogens, thereby mitigating the harmful effects of NPSF, remains largely obscure.

Sanqi [Panax notoginseng (Burk.) F. H. Chen] is an important medicinal herb (32). Driven by limited land resources and increasing demands, its large-scale cultivation in monoculture systems has led to NPSFs, which have severely hampered its sustainable production. Previous studies have shown that ginsenosides, the main active ingredients of sanqi and belonging to triterpenoid glycosides, in continually cropped soil and root exudates of sangi could significantly inhibit seed germination and seedling growth (15). In addition, ginsenosides could not only damage the plant cell wall but also promote the growth of the root rot pathogen in the rhizosphere soil, eventually aggravating the occurrence of NPSF due to synergism with the root rot pathogen (16). Therefore, simultaneously degrading autotoxins and suppressing soilborne pathogens to alleviate NPSF is crucial. In this study, we used sangi as a model crop to (i) confirm whether the microbiome in the rhizosphere soil could degrade autotoxic ginsenosides and antagonize soilborne pathogen and (ii) study whether autotoxic ginsenosides could enrich the ginsenoside-degradative and root rot pathogen-antagonizing microbiome. Based on these studies, we expected to develop a novel ecological strategy to alleviate NPSFs by manipulating the rhizosphere microbiome.

#### RESULTS

**The rhizosphere microbiome could suppress the growth of** *Ilyonectria destructans* **and degrade ginsenosides.** A filtered suspension from the rhizosphere soil amended in medium significantly promoted the growth of *I. destructans* compared with the control, but unfiltered rhizosphere soil significantly suppressed the growth of *I. destructans* (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, unfiltered rhizosphere soil suspension amended in minimal medium showed a significantly high ability to degrade autotoxic ginseno-sides, including R<sub>1</sub>, Rg<sub>1</sub>, Rb<sub>1</sub>, and Re. In particular, the concentrations of Rg<sub>1</sub> and Rb<sub>1</sub> were reduced by 98.6% and 98.0%, respectively (Fig. 1B).

Sanqi growth and exogenous ginsenosides modified soil bacterial communities. We analyzed the composition of bacterial communities in the bulk and rhizosphere of



**FIG 1** Effects of the rhizosphere microbiome on the growth of *l. destructans* (A) and degradation of ginsenosides (B). Control, *l. destructans* mycelial weight in liquid potato dextrose medium without the soil suspension; filtered, *l. destructans* mycelial weight in liquid potato dextrose medium with rhizosphere soil suspension after filtered with 0.22- $\mu$ m hydrophilic membranes to remove microbes; unfiltered, *l. destructans* mycelial weight in liquid potato dextrose medium with *n* at h and h at h at h at h and h at h at h at h at h at h at h and h and h at h a

sanqi with or without exogenous ginsenosides using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. A total of 2,084,232 effective bacterial tags were obtained to analyze the change in the bacterial community (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) significantly increased in rhizosphere soil with exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 2A). Although there were no statistically significant differences with respect to the bacterial Chao1 index, the Shannon index in rhizosphere soil with or without exogenous ginsenosides was significantly increased compared with that of their corresponding bulk soil samples (Fig. 2B and C). A principal-component analysis (PCA) showed a clear difference in community composition between bulk and rhizosphere soils with or without exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 2D). This result demonstrated that plants are the key driving factor of soil microbiota. Exogenous ginsenosides could also modify the soil bacterial community in a certain range, but the effect was not significant (Fig. 2D).

Exogenous ginsenosides altered the relative abundance of some bacteria in bulk and rhizosphere soil at the phylum level (Fig. 3). With the growth of sanqi, the relative abundances of *Elusimicrobia*, *Nitrospirae*, and *Rokubacteria* were significantly enriched in the rhizosphere soil compared with those in bulk soil, but the relative abundance of *Firmicutes* was significantly suppressed (Fig. 3A). When sanqi was grown in soil amended with ginsenosides, the relative abundances of *Cyanobacteria* and *Dependentiae* were significantly enriched, but the relative abundances of WPS-2, *Gemmatimonadetes*, and *Firmicutes* were significantly suppressed in rhizosphere soil compared with those in bulk soil (Fig. 3B). For bulk soil, exogenous ginsenosides could significantly enrich the relative abundances of *Chlamydiae*, *Nitrospirae*, and *Rokubacteria* but suppress the relative abundances of *Acidobacteria* and GAL15 (Fig. 3C). Consistently, exogenous ginsenosides also suppressed the enrichment of *Acidobacteria* in rhizobacterial taxa compared with rhizosphere soil with-out exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 3D).

Further analysis demonstrated that 19 orders were significantly changed in bulk soil amended with ginsenosides, and a total of 5 orders were significantly changed in rhizosphere soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 4A). Among them, *Rhizobiales* and *Betaproteobacteriales* were enriched in the relative abundance of exogenous ginsenosides in bulk and rhizosphere soils (Fig. 4A). In *Rhizobiales*, 16 families were detected, of which 3, namely, *Methyloligellaceae*, *Rhodomicrobiaceae*, and *Xanthobacteraceae*, were significantly enriched in bulk soil amended with ginsenosides, and *Rhizobialesa* was significantly enriched by exogenous ginsenosides in rhizosphere soil (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Eleven families in *Betaproteobacteriales* were detected. Among them, *Burkholderiaceae* were significantly enriched by exogenous ginsenosides in both bulk and rhizosphere soil (Fig. 4B;



**FIG 2** Analysis of the bacterial community in different treated soils based on the OTU level. (A to C) Alpha diversity of different treated soil bacterial communities. (D) Principal-component analysis (PCA) of different treated soil bacterial communities based on the Bray-Curtis distance. B, represents the bulk soil; BG, the bulk soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides; RS, the rhizosphere soil of sanqi; RSG, the rhizosphere soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides. All values are indicated as the mean  $\pm$  SE. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at a *P* value of <0.05.

see Table S3 in the supplemental material). Thirty genera in *Burkholderiaceae* were detected, of which 8 were significantly enriched in bulk soil and 6 were significantly enriched in rhizosphere soil with exogenous ginsenosides (see Table S4 in the supplemental material). It is worth mentioning that the relative abundance of the *Paralcaligenes* and *Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia* (BCP) group was significantly changed by exogenous ginsenosides in bulk and rhizosphere soil (Table S4). Among them, the relative abundance of BCP was significantly increased in the rhizosphere soil compared with that in bulk soil. In particular, the relative abundance of BCP was largely increased in the rhizosphere soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 4C; Table S4).

**BCP** isolates showed degradative activity to autotoxic ginsenosides. In order to verify whether the BCP group had the ability to degrade autotoxic ginsenosides, we isolated culturable bacteria from the bulk and rhizosphere soils with or without exogenous ginsenosides. A total of 251 bacterial isolates were isolated and phylogenetically characterized (Fig. 5A). These isolates represented four phyla, mostly *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria*, followed by *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* (Fig. 5A). At the genus level, the top five genera with isolation frequencies were *Microbacterium* (17.9%), *Achromobacter* (17.1%), *Leifsonia* (12.4%), *Bacillus* (9.56%), and *Ochrobactrum* (4.78%) (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, eight isolates were identified as belonging to the BCP group (Fig. 5A and B), of which two were identified as belonging to the genus *Burkholderia* (B5 and B36), one was identified as belonging to the genus *Caballeronia* (C1), and five



FIG 3 Effects of exogenous ginsenosides on bacteria at the phylum level in rhizosphere and bulk soils. B, the bulk soil; BG, the bulk soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides; RS, the rhizosphere soil of sanqi; RSG, the rhizosphere soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides.

were identified as belonging to the genus *Paraburkholderia* (PH1, PH2, PH5, PH6, and PH9) according to the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 5A and B).

We further examined the ability of these eight isolates (PH1, PH2, PH5, PH6, PH9, B5, B36, and C1) that belonged to BCP group to degrade ginsenosides in minimal medium (Fig. 5C). Among them, two *Burkholderia* spp. (B5 and B36) showed a significant ability to degrade ginsenosides in the medium. Particularly, B36 had the highest ginsenoside-degradative ability compared with the other isolates (Fig. 5C and D). Specifically, B36 degraded ginsenosides Rb<sub>1</sub>, Rg<sub>1</sub>, and Rd (Fig. 5D). The concentration of Rb<sub>1</sub> was significantly decreased at 48 h, and the degradation rate reached 98.29% (Fig. 5D). The concentration of Rg<sub>1</sub> was significantly decreased at 96 h with a degradation rate of 7.35% (Fig. 5D). The concentration of Rd was significantly decreased at 144 h, with a degradation rate of 34.29% (Fig. 5D).

**Burkholderia** sp. B36 showed a high antagonistic and colonization ability in ginsenoside-amended media and soil. The mycelial growth of *l. destructans* was not significantly inhibited by ginsenosides until the ginsenoside concentration reached 1.0 g/liter (Fig. 6A). The growth of B36 cells was promoted with time when they were



FIG 4 Sanqi recruited specific microbes in the rhizosphere soil when stressed by autotoxic ginsenosides at the order (A), family (B), or genus level (C). B, the bulk soil; BG, the bulk soil amended with exogenous (Continued on next page)



**FIG 5** Isolation and identification of rhizosphere microorganisms and degradative activity of the BCP isolates against autotoxic ginsenosides. (A) Phylogenetic tree of 251 isolates based on 16S rRNA genes sequences. Inner circles of different colors represent the phylum level, and the different colors in the outer circle represent the different mediums of isolates. B, the bulk soil; BG, the bulk soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides; RS, the rhizosphere soil of sanqi; RSG, the rhizosphere soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides. (B) Phylogenetic tree of eight isolates belonging to *Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia* (BCP) based on 16S rRNA sequences. (C) Total contents of the five main ginsenosides in the solution after inoculation with BCP for 144 h. (D) The degradation of five ginsenosides in medium by isolate B36. All values are indicated as the mean  $\pm$  SE. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at a *P* value of <0.05.

cultured in 1/10 LB medium containing different concentrations of ginsenosides compared with the blank control (Fig. 6B). In particular, the growth of B36 cells was significantly stimulated by ginsenosides at a concentration of 1.0 g/liter (Fig. 6B). In addition, the biofilm formation of B36 was promoted by ginsenosides at concentrations from 0.001 to 0.1 g/liter (Fig. 6C).

We tested the antagonistic ability of B36 against the mycelial growth of *I. destructans* on media with and without exogenous ginsenosides. B36 showed obvious antagonistic activity against the growth of *I. destructans* without ginsenosides, while it showed enhanced antagonistic activity when medium was amended with ginsenosides at concentrations of 0.005, 0.01, and 0.1 g/liter (Fig. 6D).

Further experiments demonstrated that B36 could decrease the incidence rate of sanqi compared with that of pathogen *I. destructans* inoculation in sterilized soil (Fig. 7A). B36 decreased the incidence rate of sanqi even though the soilborne pathogen *I. destructans* was synergistically inoculated with B36 in the soil (Fig. 7A). In addition, B36 could colonize the rhizosphere soil after 3 months of inoculation, and the colonization ability was enhanced in the rhizosphere when the soil was amended with ginsenosides (Fig. 7B).

# FIG 4 Legend (Continued)

ginsenosides; RS, the rhizosphere soil of sanqi; RSG, the rhizosphere soil amended with exogenous ginsenosides. All values are indicated as the mean  $\pm$  SE. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at a *P* value of <0.05. Asterisks represent significant differences; \*, *P* < 0.05; \*\*, *P* < 0.01.



**FIG 6** Effects of ginsenosides on the growth of *Ilyonectria destructans* (A) or B36 (B), as well as the biofilm formation (C) and antagonistic activity of B36 against *I. destructans* (D). All values are indicated as the mean  $\pm$  SE. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at a *P* value of <0.05.

# DISCUSSION

Plants harbor a variety of microorganisms in the rhizosphere that in turn have an obvious effect on the development and fitness of plants. Autotoxicity and soilborne disease, the main agents of NPSF, have lead to dramatic crop yield losses and quality decline (7, 16). In this study, we found that the rhizospheric soil bacterial community was changed by sanqi, especially BCP group, which were significantly enriched in the



**FIG 7** Effects of ginsenosides on the ability of B36 to control soilborne disease and colonize soil. (A) Effects of *Burkholderia* sp. B36 on the incidence rate of sanqi in the soil with or without *l. destructans*. (B) Colonization of B36 in rhizosphere soils of sanqi with or without exogenous ginsenosides. I, the sterilized natural soil inoculated with *l. destructans*; B36, the sterilized natural soil inoculated with B36; I+B36, the sterilized natural soil inoculated with B36; I+B36, the sterilized natural soil inoculated with B36. All values are indicated as the mean  $\pm$  SE. Bars with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at a *P* value of <0.05. Asterisks represent significant differences; \*\*, *P* < 0.01.

rhizosphere when sanqi were grown in soil with exogenous ginsenosides. *Burkholderia* sp. B36 isolated from the BCP group could simultaneously degrade autotoxic ginsenosides and antagonize a soilborne pathogen. Importantly, the antagonistic ability of B36 against the growth of a pathogen and the colonization ability of B36 in soil could be enhanced by ginsenosides through stimulation of growth and biofilm formation.

The rhizosphere is the dynamic and complex interface between the plant root and soil (33). Root exudates have been recognized as the main driving factor of soil microbial community dynamic change or assembly and provide the main carbon and energy sources for the rhizosphere microbiome (14, 34-36). Ginsenosides, widely identified in the root exudates and the rhizosphere soil of Panax genus plants, could modify the community of the microbiome in the rhizosphere soil (10, 15, 37, 38). In this study, we found that the growth of sanqi with or without exogenous ginsenoside could significantly modify the structure of microbial communities in the rhizosphere soil (Fig. 3A and B), consistent with previous studies showing that sangi growth suppressed the abundance of Acidobacteria and Firmicutes (10). Further analysis found that exogenous ginsenosides could significantly suppress Acidobacteria but enrich Nitrospirae in both bulk and rhizosphere soils (Fig. 3C and D). However, only Burkholderiaceae at the family level were significantly enriched by exogenous ginsenosides in bulk and rhizosphere soil (Fig. 4B; Table S3). At the genus level, the relative abundance of Paralcaligenes and BCP in Burkholderiaceae was significantly changed by exogenous ginsenosides in bulk and rhizosphere soil (Fig. 4C; Table S4). However, Paralcaligenes was enriched by exogenous ginsenosides in rhizosphere soil but suppressed by exogenous ginsenosides in bulk soil (Fig. 4C; Table S4). Only the relative abundance of BCP in Burkholderiaceae was significantly enriched in the bulk soil with exogenous ginsenosides (Table S4) and rhizosphere soil (Fig. 4C), and the relative abundance of BCP was further enriched in the rhizosphere soil by exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 4C). These data validated that the enrichment of BCP was driven by exogenous ginsenosides (Fig. 4).

The BCP group is composed of the three independent genera Burkholderia, Caballeronia, and Paraburkholderia. Due to their close genetic relationship, the V3+V4 segment of 16S rRNA selected in this sequencing failed to separate the specific genus (39, 40). Among these three genera, Burkholderia was reported as one kind of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and is widely found in the environment and rhizosphere, generally promoting plant growth and improving plant resistance or alleviating toxicity from the glyphosate or benzoic acid and phthalic acid (41-46). Here, we found that microbiota in the rhizosphere soil could not only suppress the growth of *I. destruc*tans but also degrade autotoxic ginsenosides (Fig. 1A and B). Based on the above ginsenoside-enriched BCP analysis, we isolated eight isolates from BCP (Fig. 5B) and found that only isolates from Burkholderia showed the ability to simultaneously degrade autotoxins and antagonize a pathogen (Fig. 5C and D, Fig. 6D). Among them, the Burkholderia sp. B36 showed a significant ability to decrease ginsenosides (Rb<sub>1</sub>, Rg<sub>1</sub>, and Rd) (Fig. 5C and D), which were reported to cause autotoxicity against root cell growth (15). These data demonstrated that ginsenoside could enrich Burkholderia sp. to alleviate autotoxicity by degradation. The degradation of ginsenosides may be due to the hydrolyzation of Burkholderia sp. to ginsenosides. A previous study demonstrated that ginsenosides could be hydrolyzed by microbial glycosyl hydrolases to release glycosyl groups as nutrients for microbes (47). For example, Phytophthora cactorum could not only detoxify ginsenosides through detoxification-related enzymes but also utilize them as nutrients for growth by glycosyl hydrolases (16). Additionally, there are many bacteria, including Nocardioides panaciterrulae sp. nov. and Flavisolibacter ginsenosidimutans sp. nov., reported to have the ability to transform the dominant ginsenosides to rare active components (48, 49). Moreover, ginsenosides in root exudates of sangi could be used as a carbon source to drive changes in soil microbiota (50), and our study demonstrated that ginsenosides could stimulate the growth of B36 (Fig. 6B). These data confirmed that B36 could hydrolyze or converse ginsenosides and then act as a carbon for growth, but the underlying mechanism should be further identified.

Burkholderia sp. B36 showed strong antagonistic activity against the soilborne pathogen I. destructans (Fig. 6D). Previous research showed that plant growth-promoting bacteria, such as Burkholderia sp., Pseudomonas sp., and Bacillus sp., inoculated into continuously cultivated soil could significantly alleviate NPSF and the occurrence of crop root rot (10, 51–53). Our pot experiment also supported that Burkholderia sp. B36 decreased the incidence rate of sangi and alleviated the infection of the soilborne pathogen I. destructans (Fig. 7A). Interestingly, B36 showed higher antagonistic activity against *I. destructans* on media amended with ginsenosides compared with that of media without ginsenosides (Fig. 6D) and a higher colonization ability (Fig. 7B) when soil was amended with ginsenosides. The antagonistic mechanism employed by Burkholderia sp. and other PGPRs includes mainly the secretion of antibacterial substances, the competition of ecological niches and nutrients, and the promotion of growth (54-57). The stimulation of ginsenosides to the growth of the B36 isolate may be one kind of mechanism (Fig. 6B). However, previous studies demonstrated that ginsenosides could promote the growth of pathogens and enhance the expression of virulence factors of pathogens (13, 15, 16). Whether ginsenoside stress could promote the secretion of antagonistic substances to enhance the antagonistic activity of B36 against the root rot pathogen deserves further study. In addition, previous studies demonstrated that biofilms play an important role in the colonization of bacteria and enhance the competition of bacteria (58-60). The high colonization ability of B36 in the rhizosphere soil maybe due to the stimulation of ginsenosides to the growth and biofilm formation of the B36 isolate (Fig. 6C).

Therefore, autotoxic ginsenosides could directly enrich *Burkholderia* sp. in the rhizosphere soil to degrade autotoxins and antagonize the root rot pathogen. In addition, plants can indirectly recruit beneficial microbes to help themselves or their offspring cope with biotic and abiotic stresses by changing root exudates (61–64). Our previous studies demonstrated that autotoxic ginsenosides could stress root cells and cause root cell death by inducing the overaccumulation of reactive oxygen species (15, 16). However, whether autotoxic ginsenosides could induce changes in root exudates and then recruit microbiota to reduce the harm of these substances to plant growth is still unknown and deserves further identification.

In conclusion, autotoxic ginsenosides, secreted by sanqi into soil, could enrich *Burkholderia* sp. to alleviate NPSF by degrading autotoxins and antagonizing the root rot pathogen. In detail, ginsenosides could stimulate the growth and biofilm formation of B36, eventually enhancing the antagonistic ability of *Burkholderia* B36 to the soilborne pathogen and the colonization of B36 in soil. This is a novel ecological strategy to alleviate NPSF by manipulating the rhizosphere microbiome to simultaneously degrade autotoxins and antagonize a pathogen.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Measurement of the ability of the sanqi rhizosphere soil microbiome to suppress the growth of a pathogen or degrade ginsenosides. Rhizosphere soil, collected from sanqi grown for 3 years in July 2017 from Xundian County, Yunnan, China (103.13°E, 25.67°N; altitude of 1,960 m), was used to test whether the rhizospheric soil contained microbes to suppress the growth of *llyonectria destructans* and degrade autotoxic ginsenosides according to previous methods with some modifications (65). Briefly, 100 grams of each soil sample was added to 900 ml of sterilized water and rotated for 30 min (25°C, 12,000 × g) after homogenization. The suspensions were filtered with qualitative filter paper and then divided into the following two subsamples: one subsample was not treated. To test the antifungal ability of the soil suspension, 5 *l. destructans* mycelium blocks (6-mm diameter) were added to 45 ml of potato dextrose fluid medium (containing 200 g of potato and 20 g of glucose in 1 liter of water), which was shaken at 150 rpm for 72 hours. Five milliliters of each treated soil suspension was added to the medium and then continuously shaken at 150 rpm for 72 hours. Medium containing 5 ml of water was used as a control, and six replicates were used for each treatment. Then, all treatments were filtered with qualitative filter paper and dried, and the hyphae were weighed.

To test the degradation ability, a total of 500  $\mu$ l of each treated soil suspension was added to 50 ml of minimal medium (3 g of NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 1 g of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g of MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5 g of KCl, and 0.01 g of FeSO<sub>4</sub> in 1.0 liter of distilled water) containing 1.0-g/liter crude ginsenosides and rotated on a shaker at 150 rpm for 72 h (25°C). Samples (2.0 ml) were concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure for analysis by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The ginsenosides in minimal medium were

identified and quantified using HPLC according to a previous study (9). Chromatographic separation was performed on an Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C<sub>18</sub> column (4.6 mm by 150 mm, 4  $\mu$ m). The solvent system included solvent A (acetonitrile) and solvent B (water). The following multistep gradient program was implemented: 0 to 20.0 min, 82% B; 20.0 to 40.0 min, 82% to 57% B; 40.0 to 48.0 min, 57% to 45% B; 48.0 to 54.0 min, 45% B; 54.0 to 56.0 min, 45% B; 56.0 to 71.0 min, 5% B; 71.0 to 72.0 min, 5.0% to 82% B; and 72.0 to 74.0 min, 82% B. An injection volume of 10  $\mu$ l and a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min were utilized. The column temperature was maintained at 30°C, and data were acquired at 203 nm. The target compounds in minimal medium were identified by comparing their retention times to those of ginsenoside standards Re (CAS number 51542-56-4), Rg<sub>1</sub> (CAS number 22427-39-0), Rd (CAS number 52705-93-8), Rb<sub>1</sub> (CAS number 41753-43-9), and R<sub>1</sub> (CAS number 80418-24-2) (purity,  $\geq$ 98%), which were purchased from Guizhou Dida Biological Technology Co. (Guizhou, China). The content of the target compounds in minimal medium was quantified using standard curves that showed the linear relationships between the peak areas and the concentrations.

Effect of exogenous ginsenosides on the rhizospheric microbiome. Experimental design. Natural soil, used to estimate the influences of ginsenosides on the rhizospheric soil microbiome, was collected from a pine forest in November 2017 in Xundian County, Yunnan, China (103.13°E, 25.67°N; altitude of 1,960 m). The surface of the soil (top 10 to 15 cm) was removed, and the layer between 15 and 30 cm was collected as natural soil. The natural soil was sieved twice (5- and 2-mm mesh) to remove plant residues in the laboratory. A 90-g portion of soil was transferred into a glass bottle (250 ml); treated with 10 ml of diluted crude ginsenosides containing  $R_1$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $R_0$ , and Rd according to the concentrations in consecutively cultivated soil (15); and then maintained for 2 days to ensure ginsenosides were distributed evenly in the soil. The soil watered with distilled water was set as the blank control. The bottles with soils treated with 1% sodium hypochlorite were placed in the soils of half of the bottles, and 10 seeds were placed in the soil of each bottle. Each treatment was repeated nine times using a randomized design. All treatments were incubated in a programmable illuminated incubator with a light/dark (L/D) cycle of 12 h/12 h and a temperature cycle of 25°C/20°C (day/night).

**Soil sampling.** After 4 weeks of sanqi seed emergence, the seedlings were uprooted, and the rhizosphere soil was collected following the procedure used previously for *Arabidopsis* sp. with some modifications (66). We removed loose soil on all root surfaces until the remaining aggregates were within this range. Roots were placed in a clean and sterile 50-ml tube containing 40 ml of  $1 \times$  phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer. Tubes were vortexed at maximum speed for 15 seconds, which released most of the rhizosphere soil from the roots. The washing buffer was subjected to centrifugation (12,000 × *g* for 10 min), and the collected precipitate was defined as rhizosphere soil. No planted soil was collected as the bulk soil. The soils from all treatments were collected in triplicate and divided into two subsamples, as follows: one subsample was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for future use, and the other was kept at 4°C for culturable bacterial isolation.

**Sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA genes.** Total soil genomic DNA was extracted from the above soil samples using the PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (Mi Bio Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration and purity were quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectro-photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Purified DNA was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for future use.

Bacterial 16S rRNA genes in the rhizosphere soil total DNA samples were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq platform of the Novogene Corporation (Beijing, China). The bacterial genes were amplified with the primers 5'-ACTCCTACGGAGGCAGCA-3' and 5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3' (16S rRNA V3-V4 region gene) (67). Sequenced reads were spliced using FLASH (v1.2.7) (68) and were quality filtered (69). Sequences were assembled for each sample according to the unique barcode using QIIME (70). Through quality filtering and chimera removal, the retained effective tags were used to perform operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering and species annotation. OTUs were defined at  $\geq$ 97% sequence identity using UCLUST (70). The taxonomic identities of the bacteria were determined using Silva schemes (71). Finally, the data of each sample were processed by normalization based on the minimum data in the sample, and then community richness and diversity and principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) were conducted.

Isolation and identification of BCP microbiota. One gram of each soil sample was collected from the above treatments and added to 9.0 ml of 0.1% (wt/vol) solution of sodium pyrophosphate. After homogenization for 15 min, the soil suspension was serially diluted ( $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-5}$ ) and  $100 \,\mu$ l of the solutions was plated on beef extract-peptone (NA) medium (containing 10.0 g of triptone, 3.0 g of beefextract, 10.0 g of sodium chloride, and 15.0 g of agar 1 liter of pH 7.4 to 7.6 water) (72), 1/10 R2A (containing 0.05 g of casein acid hydrolysate, 0.05 g of yeast extract, 0.05 g of proteose peptone, 0.05 g of dextrose, 0.05 g of starch, 0.3 g of dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 0.024 g of magnesium sulfate, 0.3 g of sodium pyruvate, and 15.0 g of agar in 1 liter of pH 7.2 water), 1/20 R2A (containing 0.025 g of casein acid hydrolysate, 0.025 g of yeast extract, 0.025 g of proteose peptone, 0.025 g of dextrose, 0.025 g of starch, 0.3 g of dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 0.024 g magnesium sulfate, 0.3 g of sodium pyruvate, and 15.0 g of agar in 1 liter of pH 7.2 water), OL1 (containing 0.5 g of magnesium sulfate, 0.5 g of potassium nitrate, 1.3 g of dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 0.06 g of calcium nitrate, 0.05 g of dextrose, 0.004 g of casein acid hydrolysate, and 15.0 g of agar in 1 liter of pH 7.2 water), and VL55 [containing 3.9 g of 2 (N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, 0.048 g of magnesium sulfate, 0.066588 g of calcium chloride, 0.052824 g of diammonium hydrogen phosphate, 2 ml of tungstate/selenite solution (0.5 g of sodium hydroxide, 3 mg of sodium selenite, and 4 mg of sodium tungstate, dissolved in 1 liter of double distilled water, which was filtered with a 0.22- $\mu$ m filter, stored at 4°C), and 2 ml of SL10 solution (10 ml of 25% hydrochloric acid, 1.5 g of ferrous chloride, 0.19 g of cobalt chloride, 0.1 g of manganese chloride, 0.07 g of zinc chloride, 0.062 g of boric acid, 0.036 g of sodium molybdic acid, 0.024 g of nickel chloride, and 0.017 g of copper chloride dissolved in 1 liter of double distilled water, which was filtered with a 0.22- $\mu$ m filter, stored at 4°C), and 15.0 g of agar in 1 liter of pH 7.2 water] (73) for bacterial isolation. After the samples incubated at 25°C for 3 to 14 days, the different isolates according to morphological characteristics and colony size were selected. Single colonies from pure culture colonies were inoculated on LB media and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

The 16S rRNA gene of each isolate was amplified with primers F27 (5'-AGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3')/ R1492 (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') (74). A total volume of 50  $\mu$ l of PCR mixture contained 25  $\mu$ l of 2× PCR *Taq* MasterMix, 2.0  $\mu$ l of DNA templates, 2.0  $\mu$ l of 10  $\mu$ M forward primer F27, 2.0  $\mu$ l of 10  $\mu$ M reverse primer R1492, and 19  $\mu$ l of H<sub>2</sub>O. PCR conditions were 2 min at 98°C; followed by 35 cycles of 10 seconds at 98°C, 15 seconds at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C; and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were examined by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels in 1× Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate/1 mM EDTA [pH 8]) and sequenced by TsingKe (Kunming). Isolate taxonomy was determined through the Sequence Match function of the ribosomal database project (RDP) (71). For further exploration of the phylogenetic relationship between enriched genera from high-throughput sequencing and the isolates, similarity was shown by alignment of the sequence of enriched genera to isolates. We chose the significantly enriched isolates from the rhizosphere soil treated with ginsenosides to test their degradation activity.

**Autotoxic ginsenoside degradation ability of isolates.** Flask culturing was used to test the influence of specific bacterial isolates on the concentrations of autotoxic ginsenosides based on a previous study with some modifications (50). Briefly, isolates were inoculated in LB medium, and the cell density was adjusted by the addition of sterilized water, with the final optical density at 590 nm (OD<sub>590</sub>) reaching 0.4. Then, 1.0 ml of fresh bacterial suspensions was inoculated into 200 ml of liquid minimal media containing 1-g/liter crude ginsenosides and shaken at 150 rpm for 48 h, 96 h, and 144 h. The minimal medium supplemented with distilled water was set as the blank control. Then, the concentrations of  $R_{1}$ ,  $Rg_{1}$ , Re,  $Rb_{1}$ , and Rd in minimal media were determined by HPLC combined with standard ginsenosides as described above.

Effects of ginsenosides on the growth and biofilm formation of isolate B36. The effect of ginsenosides on the growth of isolate B36 was measured following a published procedure with some modifications (50). Briefly, B36 cells were cultured in LB medium, and the cell density was diluted with sterilized water to a final  $OD_{590}$  of 0.4. A drop of 20  $\mu$ l of fresh bacterial suspensions and 180  $\mu$ l of 1/10 LB media were added into 96-well microplates to reach the final concentrations of ginsenosides of 0, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.1, and 1.0 g/liter. After incubation at 28°C for 52 h, the absorbance at 590 nm was used to determine bacterial growth curves ( $OD_{590}$  reads) with a Versa Max microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States). Six replicates were used for each concentration.

Biofilm formation was measured by a method described previously (75). Briefly, aliquots (20  $\mu$ l) of the diluted isolates were incubated anaerobically in the wells of 96-well microplates with ginsenosides (0, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.1, and 1.0 g/liter) for 52 h at 28°C. After the remaining bacterial culture in the wells was discarded, bacterial cells bound to the wells were washed gently twice with PBS, air dried, and then stained with 200  $\mu$ l of 0.1% (wt/vol) crystal violet for 15 min. After being washed 4 times with PBS to remove excess dye, the cell-bound dye was eluted using 200  $\mu$ l of 99% methanol. Biofilm formation was quantified by measuring the OD<sub>490</sub>.

Effects of ginsenosides on the antagonistic activity of B36 against the soilborne pathogen. The above-mentioned degradative isolate B36 was screened for its antagonistic activity against *l. destructans* isolated from infected sanqi roots following the method described in a previous study with some modifications (76). The pathogen mycelium block was obtained with a 6-mm-diameter hole punch and placed in the middle of the petri dish. Then, the degradative isolates were placed at the same distance around the pathogen mycelium block. The distance between the test isolates and the middle of the petri dish was 25 mm. Plates of only pathogen grown on potato dextrose agar medium (containing 200 g of potato, 20 g of glucose, and 15 g agar in 1 liter of water) were used as controls. Four replicate plates were used per treatment. All treatments were incubated at 25°C for 5 days. The radial growth of the pathogen was determined by measuring the colony semidiameter. The growth inhibition rate was calculated as follows:

growth inhibition rate (%) =  $100 \times$  (radial growth of control – radial growth of treated sample)/ radial growth of control

**Evaluation of B36 to control root rot disease and colonize rhizosphere soil.** A pot experiment was performed to assess the ability of B36 to suppress soilborne disease and colonize the rhizosphere. Spontaneous rifampicin-resistant mutants of *Burkholderia* sp. B36 were obtained as described previously with some modifications (77). Briefly, colonies of the strain were transferred to tryptic soy agar (TSA) (containing 15.0 g of tryptone, 5.0 g of peptone, 5.0 g of sodium chloride, 5 mg of chloramphenicol, and 15.0 g of agar in 1 liter of pH 7.2 water) plates containing increasing concentrations (10, 50, 100, 150, and 200  $\mu$ g/ml) of rifampicin and 5- $\mu$ g/ml chloramphenicol. The resistant mutant was selected on TSA amended with rifampicin at a concentration of 200  $\mu$ g/ml. The stability of rifampicin resistance in the mutants was confirmed, and the growth rate of the rifampicin-resistant mutants was found to be similar with that of their respective wild types. Eighty grams of soil from a pine forest was placed in the pot and sterilized at 121°C for 20 min. The soilborne pathogen *l. destructans* (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml) and/or rifampicin-resistant mutant of B36 (OD<sub>590</sub>, 0.8) were added to the pot with sterilized pine soil. The pots with sterilized water were taken as the blank control. Ten surface-sterilized seeds were sown in each pot. Ten replicate

pots were used per treatment. All pots were placed in a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark at 25°C. After 3 months of inoculation, the incidence rate of sanqi was measured. Incidence rate of sanqi (%) =  $100 \times$  (diseased seedlings/10). Then, the rhizosphere soils were collected for cell recovery counting according to a previous description with some modifications (72). Briefly, a total of 10 g of rhizosphere soil was added to 90 ml of sterilized water, which was shaken at 150 rpm for 30 min. The suspension was serially diluted 10-fold, 100-fold, and 1,000-fold with distilled water. Then, 100  $\mu$ l of cell suspension from 3 different dilutions was plated onto TSA medium plates containing 200- $\mu$ g/ml rifampicin and 5- $\mu$ g/ml chloramphenicol. After an overnight culture at 35°C, the number of CFUs per gram of soil was determined. The experiment was conducted in triplicate.

**Statistical analysis.** SPSS version 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Inc., USA) were used for general statistical analyses. Normality of distribution and homogeneity of variance were evaluated before a statistical analysis was conducted. Mean separation among treatments was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05).

**Data availability.** All raw sequencing data have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under the accession number PRJNA751684.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (31772404 and 32060719), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFC170021-02), the Major Science and Technology Project in Yunnan Province (202102AE090042), Innovative Research Team of Science and Technology in Yunnan Province (202105AE160016), the Young and Middle-aged Academic and Technical Leaders Reserve Program in Yunnan Province (202005AC160045), and the Yunnan 10 Thousand Talents Plan Young & Elite Talents Project (to M.Y. and S.Z.).

We declare no conflicts of interest.

# REFERENCES

- Bever JD, Westover KM, Antonovics J. 1997. Incorporating the soil community into plant population dynamics: the utility of the feedback approach. J Ecol 85:561–573. https://doi.org/10.2307/2960528.
- Ehrenfeld JG, Ravit B, Elgersma K. 2005. Feedback in the plant-soil system. Annu Rev Environ Resour 30:75–115. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev .energy.30.050504.144212.
- van der Putten WH, Bardgett RD, Bever JD, Bezemer TM, Casper BB, Fukami T, Kardo P, Klironomos JN, Kulmatiski A, Schweitzer JA, Suding KN, de Voorde TFJ, Wardle DA. 2013. Plant-soil feedbacks: the past, the present and future challenges. J Ecol 101:265–276. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1365-2745.12054.
- Mangan SA, Schnitzer SA, Herre EA, Mack KML, Valencia MC, Sanchez EI, Bever JD. 2010. Negative plant-soil feedback predicts tree-species relative abundance in a tropical forest. Nature 466:752–755. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nature09273.
- Crawford KM, Bauer JT, Comita LS, Eppinga MB, Johnson DJ, Mangan SA, Queenborough SA, Strand AE, Suding KN, Umbanhowar J, Bever JD. 2019. When and where plant-soil feedback may promote plant coexistence: a meta-analysis. Ecol Lett 22:1274–1284. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13278.
- Pizano C, Kitajima K, Graham JH, Mangan SA. 2019. Negative plant–soil feedbacks are stronger in agricultural habitats than in forest fragments in the tropical Andes. Ecology 100:e02850. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2850.
- Ogweno JO, Yu JQ. 2006. Autotoxic potential in soil sickness: a re-examination. Allelopathy J 18:93–101.
- Li ZF, He CL, Wang Y, Li MJ, Dai YJ, Wang T, Lin WX. 2016. Enhancement of trichothecene mycotoxins of *Fusarium oxysporum* by ferulic acid aggravates oxidative damage in *Rehmannia glutinosa* Libosch. Sci Rep 6:33962. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33962.
- Wei W, Ye C, Huang HC, Yang M, Mei XY, Du F, He XH, Zhu SS, Liu YX. 2020. Appropriate nitrogen application enhances saponin synthesis and growth mediated by optimizing root nutrient uptake ability. J Ginseng Res 44:627–636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgr.2019.04.003.
- Luo LL, Guo CW, Wang LT, Zhang JX, Deng LM, Luo KF, Huang HC, Liu YX, Mei XY, Zhu SS, Yang M. 2019. Negative plant-soil feedback driven by re-

assemblage of the rhizosphere microbiome with the growth of *Panax notoginseng*. Front Microbiol 10:1597. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019 .01597.

- Huang LF, Song LX, Xia XJ, Mao WH, Shi K, Zhou YH, Yu JQ. 2013. Plantsoil feedbacks and soil sickness: from mechanisms to application in agriculture. J Chem Ecol 39:232–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-013 -0244-9.
- Yang M, Chuan YC, Guo CW, Liao JJ, Xu YG, Mei XY, Liu YX, Huang HC, He XH, Zhu SS. 2018. *Panax notoginseng* root cell death caused by the autotoxic ginsenoside Rg<sub>1</sub> is due to over-accumulation of ROS, as revealed by transcriptomic and cellular approaches. Front Plant Sci 9:264. https://doi .org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00264.
- Nicol RW, Yousef L, Traquair JA, Bernards MA. 2003. Ginsenosides stimulate the growth of soil borne pathogens of American ginseng. Phytochemistry 64:257–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(03)00271-1.
- Bai HP, Weir TL, Perry LG, Gilroy S, Vivanco JM. 2006. The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57:233–266. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57 .032905.105159.
- Yang M, Zhang XD, Xu YG, Mei XY, Jiang BB, Liao JJ, Yin ZB, Zheng JF, Zhao Z, Fan LM, He XH, Zhu YY, Zhu SS. 2015. Autotoxic ginsenosides in the rhizosphere contribute to the replant failure of *Panax notoginseng*. PLoS One 10:e0118555. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118555.
- Yang M, Duan SC, Mei XY, Huang HC, Chen W, Liu YX, Guo CW, Yang T, Wei W, Liu XL, He XH, Dong Y, Zhu SS. 2018. The *Phytophthora cactorum* genome provides insights into the adaptation to host defense compounds and fungicides. Sci Rep 8:6534. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598 -018-24939-2.
- Ye SF, Zhou YH, Sun Y, Zou LY, Yu JQ. 2006. Cinnamic acid causes oxidative stress in cucumber roots, and promotes incidence of *Fusarium* wilt. Environ Exp Bot 56:255–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.02.010.
- Zhang ZL, Hou JL, Wang WQ, Zhang ZX, Zhang SX. 2014. Allelopathic effects of aqueous extracts from *Panax notoginseng* on three maize varieties (*Zea mays*). Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 39:594–600.



- Xu YL, Dai L, Zhao D, Zhang YJ, Jin BH, Zhang XD, He XH. 2016. Effect of several soil treatments on seedling growth and root rot disease of *Panax notoginseng*. J Yunnan Agric Univ 31:1006–1011.
- Yang M, Yuan Y, Huang HC, Ye C, Guo CW, Xu YG, Wang WP, He XH, Liu YX, Zhu SS. 2019. Steaming combined with biochar application eliminates negative plant-soil feedback for sanqi cultivation. Soil Till Res 189: 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.02.006.
- Wang QJ, Ma Y, Yang H, Chang ZZ. 2014. Effect of biofumigation and chemical fumigation on soil microbial community structure and control of pepper Phytophthora blight. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 30:507–518. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1462-6.
- Chen HJ, Zhao S, Zhang KK, Zhao JM, Jiang J, Chen FD, Fang WM. 2018. Evaluation of soil-applied chemical fungicide and biofungicide for control of the *Fusarium* wilt of chrysanthemum and their effects on rhizosphere soil microbiota. Agriculture 8:184. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8120184.
- Song CX, Zhu F, VJ Carrión V, Cordovez V. 2020. Beyond plant microbiome composition: exploiting microbial functions and plant traits via integrated approaches. Front Bioeng Biotech 8:896. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fbioe.2020.00896.
- 24. Pérez-Vicente LF, Viera AB, Benazet JC, Moracen VM. 2009. Efficacy of *Tri-choderma harzianum* A34 in the biocontrol of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. cubense, the causal agent of *Fusarium* wilt or Panama disease of bananas in Cuba. Fitosanidad 13:259–264.
- Marschner P, Crowley D, Lieberei R. 2001. Arbuscular mycorrhizal infection changes the bacterial 16 S rDNA community composition in the rhizosphere of maize. Mycorrhiza 11:297–302. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00572-001-0136-7.
- Compant S, Clément C, Sessitsch A. 2010. Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of plants: their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. Soil Biol Bioch 42:669–678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.024.
- Mejri S, Siah A, Coutte F, Magnin-Robert M, Randoux B, Tisserant B, Krier F, Jacques P, Reignault P, Halama P. 2018. Biocontrol of the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* using cyclic lipopeptides from *Bacillus subtilis*. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 25:29822–29833. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356 -017-9241-9.
- Ling N, Deng KY, Song Y, Wu YC, Zhao J, Raza W, Huang QW, Shen QR. 2014. Variation of rhizosphere bacterial community in watermelon continuous mono-cropping soil by long-term application of a novel bioorganic fertilizer. Microbiol Res 169:570–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.10.004.
- Xu XH, Zarecki R, Medina S, Ofaim S, Liu XW, Chen C, Hu SL, Brom D, Gat D, Porob S, Eizenberg H, Ronen Z, Jiang JD, Freilich S. 2019. Modeling microbial communities from atrazine contaminated soils promotes the development of biostimulation solutions. ISME J 13:494–508. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41396-018-0288-5.
- Keller AH, Kleinsteuber S, Vogt C. 2018. Anaerobic benzene mineralization by nitrate-reducing and sulfate-reducing microbial consortia enriched from the same site: comparison of community composition and degradation characteristics. Microb Ecol 75:941–953. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00248-017-1100-1.
- Pascale A, Proietti S, Pantelides IS, Stringlis IA. 2020. Modulation of the root microbiome by plant molecules: the basis for targeted disease suppression and plant growth promotion. Front Plant Sci 10:1741. https://doi .org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01741.
- Yang G, Cui XM, Chen M, Huang LQ, Qu Y, Que L. 2017. Research on using stems and leaves of *Panax notoginseng* and flowers of *Panax notoginseng* as new food ingredients. Chinese Pharmaceutical J 52:543–547. https:// doi.org/10.11669/cpj.2017.07.006.
- Müller DB, Vogel C, Bai Y, Vorholt JA. 2016. The plant microbiota: systemslevel insights and perspectives. Annu Rev Genet 50:211–234. https://doi .org/10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-034952.
- Berendsen RL, Pieterse CMJ, Bakker PAHM. 2012. The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends Plant Sci 17:478–486. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001.
- Beauregard PB, Chai YR, Vlamakis H, Losick R, Kolter R. 2013. Bacillus subtilis biofilm induction by plant polysaccharides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E1621–E1630. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218984110.
- Reinhold-Hurek B, Bünger W, Burbano CS, Sabale M, Hurek T. 2015. Roots shaping their microbiome: global hotspots for microbial activity. Annu Rev Phytopathol 53:403–424. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102342.
- Qi LW, Wang CZ, Yuan CS. 2011. Ginsenosides from American ginseng: chemical and pharmacological diversity. Phytochemistry 72:689–699. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.02.012.

- Wei XY, Yang JY, Wang JH, Wu CF. 2007. Anxiolytic effects of saponins from *Panax quinquefolium* in mice. J Ethnopharmacol 111:613–618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2007.01.009.
- Dobritsa AP, Samadpour M. 2016. Transfer of eleven species of the genus Burkholderia to the genus Paraburkholderia and proposal of Caballeronia gen. nov. to accommodate twelve species of the genera Burkholderia and Paraburkholderia. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 66:2836–2846. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/ijsem.0.001065.
- Draghi WO, Peeters C, Cnockaert M, Snauwaert C, Wall LG, Zorreguieta A, Vandamme P. 2014. *Burkholderia cordobensis* sp. nov., from agricultural soils. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:2003–2008. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0 .059667-0.
- Batista BD, Lacava PT, Ferrari A, Teixeira-Silva NS, Bonatelli ML, Tsui S, Mondin M, Kitajima EW, Pereira JO, Azevedo JL, Quecine MC. 2018. Screening of tropically derived, multi-trait plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and evaluation of corn and soybean colonization ability. Microbiol Res 206:33–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2017.09.007.
- Paungfoo-Lonhienne C, Lonhienne TGA, Yeoh YK, Donose BC, Webb RI, Parsons J, Liao W, Sagulenko E, Lakshmanan P, Hugenholtz P, Schmidt S, Ragan MA. 2016. Crosstalk between sugarcane and a plant-growth promoting *Burkholderia* species. Sci Rep 6:37389. https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep37389.
- Sun LN, Wang XH, Li Y. 2016. Increased plant growth and copper uptake of host and non-host plants by metal-resistant and plant growth-promoting endophytic bacteria. Int J Phytoremediation 18:494–501. https://doi .org/10.1080/15226514.2015.1115962.
- 44. Shahid M, Khan MS. 2018. Glyphosate induced toxicity to chickpea plants and stress alleviation by herbicide tolerant phosphate solubilizing *Burkholderia cepacia* PSBB1 carrying multifarious plant growth promoting activities. Biotech 8:131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1145-y.
- Li Y, Long QL, Ding WL, Zhao DY. 2014. Mitigative effect of microbial degradation on autotoxicity of *Panax ginseng*. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 39:2868–2872.
- Zhao DY, Li Y, Ding WL. 2013. Isolation and characteristics of *Panax ginseng* autotoxin-degrading bacterial strains. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 38:1703–1706.
- Wang RF, Zheng MM, Cao YD, Li H, Li CX, Xu JH, Wang ZT. 2015. Enzymatic transformation of *vina*-ginsenoside R<sub>7</sub> to rare notoginsenoside ST-4 using a new recombinant glycoside hydrolase from *Herpetosiphon aurantiacus*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:3433–3442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253 -015-6446-z.
- 48. Kim JK, Liu QM, Park HY, Kang MS, Kim SC, Im WT, Yoon MH. 2013. Nocardioides panaciterrulae sp. nov. isolated from soil of a ginseng field, with ginsenoside converting activity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 103:1385–1393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-013-9919-z.
- Zhao Y, Liu QM, Kang MS, Jin FX, Yu HS, Im WT. 2015. Flavisolibacter ginsenosidimutans sp. nov. with ginsenoside-converting activity isolated from soil used for cultivating ginseng. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:4868–4872. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000660.
- Luo LF, Yang L, Yan ZX, Jiang BB, Li S, Huang HC, Liu YX, Zhu SS, Yang M. 2020. Ginsenosides in root exudates of *Panax notoginseng* drive the change of soil microbiota through carbon source different utilization. Plant Soil 455:139–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04663-5.
- Park YS, Dutta S, Ann M, Raaijmakers JM, Park K. 2015. Promotion of plant growth by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain SS101 *via* novel volatile organic compounds. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 461:361–365. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.04.039.
- Gao M, Zhou JJ, Wang ET, Chen Q, Xu J, Sun JG. 2015. Multiphasic characterization of a plant growth promoting bacterial strain, *Burkholderia* sp. 7016 and its effect on tomato growth in the field. J Integrative Agriculture 14:1855–1863. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60932-1.
- Tahir HAS, Gu Q, Wu HJ, Raza W, Hanif A, Wu LM, Colman MV, Gao XW. 2017. Plant growth promotion by volatile organic compounds produced by *Bacillus subtilis* SYST2. Front Microbiol 8:171. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2017.00171.
- Pleban S, Chernin L, Chet I. 1997. Chitinolytic activity of an endophytic strain of *Bacillus cereus*. Lett Appl Microbiol 25:284–288. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1472-765x.1997.00224.x.
- Bacon CW, Yates IE, Hinton DM, Meredith F. 2001. Biological control of Fusarium moniliforme in maize. Environ Health Persp 109:325–332. https:// doi.org/10.2307/3435026.
- Hurek T, Reinhold-Hurek B, van Montagu M, Kellenberger E. 1994. Root colonization and systemic spreading of *Azoarcus* sp. strain BH72 in

grasses. J Bacteriol 176:1913-1923. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.176.7.1913 -1923.1994.

- Wilhelm E, Arthofer W, Schafleitner R, Krebs B. 1998. Bacillus subtilis an endophyte of chestnut (*Castanea sativa*) as antagonist against chestnut blight (*Cryphonectria parasitica*). Plant Cell Tiss Org 52:105–108. https:// doi.org/10.1023/A:1005917906769.
- Keshvardoust P, Huron VAA, Clemson M, Constancias F, Barraud N, Rice SA. 2019. Biofilm formation inhibition and dispersal of multi-species communities containing ammonia-oxidising bacteria. NPJ Biofilms and Microbi 5:22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-019-0095-4.
- Flemming HC, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, Steinberg P, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S. 2016. Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. Nat Rev Microbiol 14: 563–575. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94.
- Nadell CD, Drescher K, Foster KR. 2016. Spatial structure, cooperation and competition in biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:589–600. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nrmicro.2016.84.
- Rolfe SA, Griffiths J, Ton J. 2019. Crying out for help with root exudates: adaptive mechanisms by which stressed plants assemble health-promoting soil microbiomes. Curr Opin Microbiol 49:73–82. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.mib.2019.10.003.
- Lombardi N, Vitale S, Turrà D, Reverberi M, Fanelli C, Vinale F, Marra R, Ruocco M, Pascale A, d'Errico G, Woo SL, Lorito M. 2018. Root exudates of stressed plants stimulate and attract *Trichoderma* soil fungi. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 31:982–994. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-12-17-0310-R.
- 63. Lee HR, Lee S, Park S, van Kleeff PJM, Schuurink RC, Ryu CM. 2018. Transient expression of whitefly effectors in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves activates systemic immunity against the leaf pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* and soil-borne pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Front Ecol Evol 6:90. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00090.
- 64. Yuan J, Zhao J, Wen T, Zhao ML, Li R, Goossens P, Huang QW, Bai Y, Vivanco JM, Kowalchuk GA, Berendsen RL, Shen QR. 2018. Root exudates drive the soil-borne legacy of aboveground pathogen infection. Microbiome 6:156. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0537-x.
- 65. Badri DV, Zolla G, Bakker MG, Manter DK, Vivanco JM. 2013. Potential impact of soil microbiomes on the leaf metabolome and on herbivore feeding behavior. New Phytol 198:264–273. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph .12124.
- Lundberg DS, Lebeis SL, Paredes SH, Yourstone S, Gehring J, Malfatti S, Tremblay J, Engelbrektson A, Kunin V, Glavina del Rio T, Edgar RC, Eickhorst T, Ley RE, Hugenholtz P, Tringe SG, Dangl JL. 2012. Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome. Nature 488:86–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature11237.
- Ye JF, Song ZF, Wang L, Zhu J. 2016. Metagenomic analysis of microbiota structure evolution in phytoremediation of a swine lagoon wastewater.

Bioresour Technol 219:439-444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016 .08.013.

- Magoč T, Steven LS. 2011. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 27:2957–2963. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507.
- Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, Gevers D, Gordon JI, Knight R, Mills DA, Caporaso JG. 2013. Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. Nat Methods 10:57–59. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2276.
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynsk J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303.
- 71. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41: D590–D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219.
- Tchakounté GVT, Berger B, Patz S, Fankem H, Ruppel S. 2018. Community structure and plant growth-promoting potential of cultivable bacteria isolated from Cameroon soil. Microbiol Res 214:47–59. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.micres.2018.05.008.
- Bai Y, Müller DB, Srinivas G, Garrido-Oter R, Potthoff E, Rott M, Dombrowski N, Münch PC, Spaepen S, Remus-Emsermann M, Hüttel B, McHardy AC, Vorholt JA, Schulze-Lefert P. 2015. Functional overlap of the *Arabidopsis* leaf and root microbiota. Nature 528:364–369. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature16192.
- Höfle U, Gortazar C, Ortíz JA, Knispel B, Kaleta EF. 2004. Outbreak of trichomoniasis in a woodpigeon (*Columba palumbus*) wintering roost. Eur J Wildlife Res 50:73–77.
- Loo CY, Corliss DA, Ganeshkumar N. 2000. Streptococcus gordonii biofilm formation: identification of genes that code for biofilm phenotypes. J Bacteriol 182:1374–1382. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.5.1374-1382.2000.
- Sun WM, Ma YN, Yin YJ, Chen CJ, Xu FR, Dong X, Chen YX. 2018. Effects of essential oils from Zingiberaceae plants on root-rot disease of *Panax notoginseng*. Molecules 23:1021–1032. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23051021.
- Berendsen RL, Vismans G, Yu K, Song Y, de Jonge R, Burgman WP, Burmølle M, Herschend J, Bakker PAHM, Pieterse CMJ. 2018. Diseaseinduced assemblage of a plant-beneficial bacterial consortium. ISME J 12: 1496–1507. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0093-1.