



Article Cornelian Cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) Extracts Exert Cytotoxicity in Two Selected Melanoma Cell Lines—A Factorial Analysis of Time-Dependent Alterations in Values Obtained with SRB and MTT Assays

Łukasz Lewandowski ^{1,*}, Iwona Bednarz-Misa ¹, Alicja Z. Kucharska ^{2,*}, Agnieszka Kubiak ¹, Patrycja Kasprzyk ¹, Tomasz Sozański ³, Dominika Przybylska ², Narcyz Piórecki ^{4,5} and Małgorzata Krzystek-Korpacka ¹

- ¹ Department of Medical Biochemistry, Wroclaw Medical University, Chalubinskiego 10, 50-368 Wroclaw, Poland; iwona.bednarz-misa@umw.edu.pl (I.B.-M.); agnieszka.kubiak70@gmail.com (A.K.); patrycja.kasprzyk@umw.edu.pl (P.K.); malgorzata.krzystek-korpacka@umw.edu.pl (M.K.-K.)
- ² Department of Fruit, Vegetable and Plant Nutraceutical Technology, Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences, J. Chelmonskiego 37, 51-630 Wroclaw, Poland; dominika.przybylska@upwr.edu.pl
- ³ Department of Pharmacology, Wroclaw Medical University, J. Mikulicza-Radeckiego 2, 50-345 Wroclaw, Poland; tomasz.sozanski@umw.edu.pl
- ⁴ Bolestraszyce Arboretum and Institute of Physiography, Bolestraszyce 130, 37-722 Wyszatyce, Poland; npiorecki@ur.edu.pl
- Institute of Physical Culture Sciences, Medical College, University of Rzeszow, Cicha 2A, 35-326 Rzeszow, Poland
- * Correspondence: lukasz.lewandowski@umw.edu.pl (Ł.L.); alicja.kucharska@upwr.edu.pl (A.Z.K.)

Abstract: Despite the fact that phytochemicals of *Cornaceae* species have long been discussed as possible auxiliary agents in contemporary treatment, the insights on their properties remain relatively scarce. This study focuses on *Cornus mas* L. (Cornelian cherry), the extracts of which are reported to exert a pleiotropic effect shown in both in vivo and in vitro studies. This study aimed to explore the cytotoxic effect of extracts from fruits of red (*Cornus mas* L. 'Podolski') and yellow (*Cornus mas* L. 'Yantarnyi' and 'Flava') Cornelian cherries on two melanoma cell lines (A375 and MeWo). The extracts were characterized in the context of the concentration of bioactive compounds of antioxidative properties. Cytotoxicity was investigated with the use of the following two assays: SRB and MTT. An additional, alternative protocol for the SRB assay was used in this study so as to account for possible bias. Cytotoxicity was assessed as a difference in the whole time series of cell viability, instead of analyzing differences in raw values (often found in the literature). Both extracts from *Cornus mas* L. induced cytotoxicity in both A375 and MeWo cell lines, although the response of these cells was different. Moreover, based on this study, there is no evidence for claiming a different magnitude of cytotoxicity between these two extracts.

Keywords: A375 cell line; cell culture; cell viability; contrast analysis; cornelian cherry; *Cornus mas* L.; cytotoxicity; melanoma; MeWo cell line

1. Introduction

The notoriety of melanoma stems from its high phenotype plasticity, which does not only increase the probability of the metastasis of this tumor (compared to other skin cancers) but also enables melanoma cells to rapidly adjust their transcriptional profile to the alterations within the tumor microenvironment, associated with the presence of various non-cancer cells and/or presence of different compounds, including drugs [1–6]. This ability renders melanoma cells more resistant to targeted therapy and immunotherapy [5–8]. The introduction of phytochemicals as a potentially auxiliary factor in the



Citation: Lewandowski, Ł.; Bednarz-Misa, I.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Kubiak, A.; Kasprzyk, P.; Sozański, T.; Przybylska, D.; Piórecki, N.; Krzystek-Korpacka, M. Cornelian Cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) Extracts Exert Cytotoxicity in Two Selected Melanoma Cell Lines—A Factorial Analysis of Time-Dependent Alterations in Values Obtained with SRB and MTT Assays. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 4193. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules27134193

Academic Editors: Francesco Cacciola and Raffaele Capasso

Received: 27 May 2022 Accepted: 26 June 2022 Published: 29 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). antitumor treatment of melanoma is lately being discussed in the literature since many plant-derived compounds (in the following various forms: as plant extracts, single isolated compounds or compounds transported with nanocarriers) have yielded promising results against epithelial-mesenchymal transition, survival, invasion and metastatic capabilities of melanoma cells [9–21].

Due to their broad spectrum of utility, *Cornaceae* have long been discussed as a family of potential auxiliary uses in medicine, the food industry and cosmetics manufacturing. The scientific database concerning one of the major representants of this family, the 'Cornelian cherry' (*Cornus mas* L.), has reached over 4800 records. Such interest in this species stems from the medical property of compounds [22–24] (mainly—flavonoids, anthocyanins and iridoids) found in both the following: its leaves and fruits [25–27]. According to the literature, extracts from *C. mas* L. possess antibacterial [28–32] and antifungal [33] activity. Moreover, anti-inflammatory [34,35] and antioxidative [34–38] properties of *C. mas* L. extracts (and fruit preserves [39]) may explain hepatoprotective [40–42], cardioprotective [43,44], nephroprotective [45,46], anti-atherosclerotic [47–49], antidiabetic [50], hypoglycemic and hypocholesterolemic [51–55] effects of *C. mas* L. observed in animal models.

Much attention has been drawn to the cytotoxic, antiproliferative, and thus, anticancer [38,56–61] attributes of *C. mas* L. Furthermore, the antitumor and anti-inflammatory actions of *C. mas* L. compounds have been successfully applied in the form of nanoparticle carriers containing the extract itself or its various components [9,62–66]. Cytotoxic/antiproliferative properties of *C. mas* L. extracts have been observed (based on the aforementioned studies) with the use of various tumor cell lines, such as the following: MCF-7, SKOV-3, PC-3, HeLa, HepG2, CaCo-2, HT29, CT26, A549. However, although some studies suggest that an extract from the fruits of *C. officinalis* L. inhibits the advanced glycation end-product-induced melanogenesis process in melanoma (B16 cell line) cells [67], no information on the cytotoxic effect of *C. mas* L. extracts on melanoma cell lines could be found in the literature. This study aimed to explore the possible cytotoxic effect of two types (yellow and red) of *C. mas* L. extracts on the following two melanoma cell lines of different growth rates: A375 and MeWo.

2. Results

2.1. The Chemical Composition of Cornelian Cherry Extracts

The quantitative results concerning selected iridoids, anthocyanins, phenolic acids, flavonols and hydrolyzable tannins of Cornelian cherry extracts used in this study are shown in Supplementary Materials Table S1 and Figure 1. The compounds were identified based on their elution order, retention times, spectra of the individual peaks (MS, MS/MS); additionally, by comparison with literature data [24,32,50,68]. The study resulted in the identification of the following 37 main compounds: 2 iridoids (loganic acid and cornuside with pseudomolecular ions $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 375 and 541), 4 anthocyanins (cyanidin 3-Ogalactoside, cyanidin 3-O-robinobioside, pelargonidin 3-O-galactoside and pelargonidin 3-O-robinobioside with $[M + H]^+$ at m/z 449, 595, 433 and 579 respectively), 3 phenolic acids (caftaric acid and coutaric acid with $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 311 and 295, respectively), 2 flavonols (quercetin 3-O-glucuronide and kaempferol 3-O-galactoside with $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 477 and 447, respectively) and 26 hydrolyzable tannins, including their spatial isomers. Among hydrolyzable tannins, the main compounds were gemin D—the simplest molecule of all ellagitannins with ion $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 633 and its two derivatives (tellimagrandin I with $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 785 and tellimagrandin II with $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 937), two dimeric ellagitannins (camptothin A, which produced two ions $[M - 2H]^{-2}$ at m/z 708 and $[M - H]^-$ at m/z 1417 and cornusiin A with two ions, $[M - 2H]^{-2}$ at m/z 784 and $[M - H]^{-}$ at m/z 1569) and two trimeric ellagitannins (cornusiin F, which produced two ions, $[M - 2H]^{-2}$ at m/z 1100 and $[M - H]^{-}$ at m/z 2201 and cornusiin C, which produced two ions, $[M - 2H]^{-2}$ at m/z 1176 and $[M - H]^{-1}$ at m/z 2353). Among the identified phenolic compounds, coutaric acid and hydrolyzable tannins were identified in the extracts of Cornelian cherry (Cornus mas L.) fruit for the first time. In previous studies, tannins were



determined in Cornelian cherry but only in leaf and stone, not in fruit [29,68]. The contents of compounds of extracts are shown in Table 1.

Figure 1. Content (mg/100 g dry weight (dw)) of main groups compounds of extracts from yellow and red Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) fruits identified by means of HPLC method.

The extract from the yellow fruits did not contain anthocyanins and was composed mainly of iridoids, hydrolyzable tannins and a small number of phenolic acids and flavonols. The content of loganic acid was in the amount of 15,383.35 mg/100 g dry weight (dw). Three phenolic acids present in the extract constituted only 1055.56 mg/100 g dw while flavonols 196.48 mg/100 g dw. The content of hydrolyzable tannins was in the amount of 18,722.01 mg/100 g dw.

The extract from the red fruits of the Cornelian cherry abounded in most of the identified compounds. It contained 16,601.62 mg/100 g dw iridoids, 2201.49 mg/100 g dw anthocyanins, 697.73 mg/100 g dw phenolic acids, 240.83 mg/100 g dw flavonols and 21,686.80 mg/100 g dw hydrolyzable tannins. The quantitative and qualitative composition of the iridoids and phenolic compounds of both extracts is comparable, as described by Dzydzan et al. [50].

Dataset	Effect	Unadj. df	F	GG ε	GG adj. df _{effect}	GG p	HF ɛ	HF adj. df _{effect}	HF p	Sign.
	Time	3.00	56.90	0.5430	1.63	< 0.00001	0.5612	1.68	< 0.00001	**
A375, SRB,	Time*Type	3.00	18.92	0.5430	1.63	< 0.00001	0.5612	1.68	< 0.00001	**
alternative	Time*Concentration	15.00	79.25	0.5430	8.14	< 0.00001	0.5612	8.42	< 0.00001	**
	Time*Type*Concentration	15.00	1.85	0.5430	8.14	0.0642	0.5612	8.42	0.0617	
	Time	3.00	282.99	0.3945	1.18	< 0.00001	0.4067	1.22	< 0.00001	**
A375, SRB,	Time*Type	3.00	0.33	0.3945	1.18	0.6054	0.4067	1.22	0.6122	
standard	Time*Concentration	15.00	92.25	0.3945	5.92	< 0.00001	0.4067	6.10	< 0.00001	**
	Time*Type*Concentration	15.00	0.73	0.3945	5.92	0.6241	0.4067	6.10	0.6282	
	Time	3.00	4612.49	0.4770	1.43	< 0.00001	0.4925	1.48	< 0.00001	**
MeWo, SRB,	Time*Type	3.00	1.39	0.4770	1.43	0.2476	0.4925	1.48	0.2481	
alternative	Time*Concentration	15.00	448.08	0.4770	7.16	< 0.00001	0.4925	7.39	< 0.00001	**
	Time*Type*Concentration	15.00	1.62	0.4770	7.16	0.1249	0.4925	7.39	0.1222	
	Time	3.00	1614.87	0.4743	1.42	< 0.00001	0.4896	1.47	< 0.00001	**
MeWo, SRB,	Time*Type	3.00	6.45	0.4743	1.42	0.0051	0.4896	1.47	0.0047	*
standard	Time*Concentration	15.00	26.92	0.4743	7.11	< 0.00001	0.4896	7.34	< 0.00001	**
	Time*Type*Concentration	15.00	2.36	0.4743	7.11	0.0213	0.4896	7.34	0.0199	*
	Time	3.00	539.05	0.5961	1.79	< 0.00001	0.6237	1.87	< 0.00001	**
A 375 MTT	Time*Type	3.00	3.40	0.5961	1.79	0.0393	0.6237	1.87	0.0371	*
A375, WITT	Time*Concentration	15.00	256.34	0.5961	8.94	< 0.00001	0.6237	9.36	< 0.00001	**
	Time*Type*Concentration	15.00	5.74	0.5961	8.94	< 0.00001	0.6237	9.36	< 0.00001	**
	Time	3.00	405.96	0.5409	1.62	< 0.00001	0.5590	1.68	< 0.00001	**
MeWo,	Time*Type	3.00	3.03	0.5409	1.62	0.0598	0.5590	1.68	0.0581	
MTT	Time*Concentration	15.00	85.16	0.5409	8.11	< 0.00001	0.5590	8.39	< 0.00001	**
	Time*Type*Concentration	15.00	2.71	0.5409	8.11	0.0059	0.5590	8.39	0.0053	*

Table 1. Results of the analysis of interactions performed on various datasets of this study.

Abbreviations: 'Unadj. df', unadjusted degrees of freedom; 'GG', Greenhouse–Geisser correction; 'HF', Huynh–Feldt correction; 'adj. df_{effect}', adjusted (GG or HF) degrees of freedom for the effect/interaction; 'sign.', significance (marked as: '*' if $p \in [0.001; 0.05)$ or '**' if p < 0.001).

2.2. Measuring Cytotoxicity with Use of SRB and MTT Methods

As mentioned before, the data presented in this section refer to two measurement procedures. The 'standard procedure' was carried out according to standard SRB method guidelines—trichloroacetic acid was added directly to the culture medium after reaching the end of the appropriate growth period (6 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h). The 'alternative procedure' involved removing the culture medium before adding trichloroacetic acid. In that case, the acid was diluted to reflect the conditions followed in the standard procedure. The rationale behind the analysis of an additional procedure is the suspected impact of the presence of Cornelian cherry extracts (*per se*) in the culture medium on the obtained results—due to the additional protein content found in these extracts.

Such an additional procedure was unnecessary in the context of the MTT method, as the removal of culture medium before further measurement steps was a part of the standard assay protocol since Cornelian cherry extracts possess antioxidative potential.

The report from the analysis of variance for all of the results is given in Table 1. A map of *p*-values for the contrast analysis is shown in Table 2. Due to the vast amount of data regarding the descriptive statistics of each discussed interaction, the tables which show marginal values (associated with the figures in this section) are given in Appendix A (Tables A2–A4). In the whole 'Results' section, the results are described in reference to α -value of 0.05.

			Type: Yellow			Type: Red	
Dataset	Hypothesis	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
	C1	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C2	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
A3/5, SKB, alternative	C3	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
ancinative	C4	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.005379	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.13513
	C5	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.000054	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.49060
Dataset	Hypothesis	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
	C1	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C2	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
A375, SKB, standard	C3	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Standard	C4	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C5	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Dataset	Hypothesis	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
	C1	0.37012	0.42344	0.13206	0.78527	0.73135	0.71821
	C2	0.67862	0.89692	0.73977	0.36564	0.17724	0.95834
MeWo, SRB,	C3	0.56375	0.03943	0.02891	0.16974	0.04503	0.00175
alternative	C4	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C5	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Dataset	Hypothesis	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
	C1	0.40450	0.05480	0.09584	0.67448	0.06699	0.05762
	C2	0.27217	0.16651	0.66909	0.01365	0.96974	0.15757
MeWo, SRB,	C3	0.56117	0.06853	0.95977	0.06680	0.73241	0.98845
standard	C4	< 0.00001	0.01703	0.10514	< 0.00001	0.00002	0.00002
	C5	0.00009	0.01035	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.00045
Dataset	Hypothesis	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
	C1	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C2	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
A375, MTT	C3	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C4	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
	C5	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Dataset	Hypothesis	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
	C1	0.73106	0.10687	0.64271	0.05644	0.55723	0.17045
	C2	0.49058	0.27445	0.23698	0.10326	0.96196	0.04834
MeWo, MTT	C3	0.00661	0.27255	0.02596	0.01786	0.52584	0.44752
	C4	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.18981
	C5	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.02284	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	0.42426

Table 2. Results of the contrast analysis, performed in various datasets of this study.

Values in the brackets represent respective *p*-values for each set of conjoined hypotheses (C1–C5; M1–M3) described in the 'Statistical methods' section. 'Type' indicates the type of *Cornus mas* extract used in the experimental series. *p*-values < 0.05 were colored. The darker color marks p < 0.001.

2.2.1. The Series Measured with the SRB Method

Under no presence of Cornelian cherry extracts, the cell protein content of A375 cells reached a plateau approximately at the 48th to 72nd hour, regardless of the assay procedure. The alternative SRB procedure showed significant differences in cell quantity over time in the context of extract type (Figure S1A) or concentration (Figure S1B). However, the difference between the influence of these extracts on cell protein content was on the brink of statistical significance (approximately, p = 0.062) when the growth curves were split according to extract concentration (Figure 2).

The statistical significance of the difference between cell protein content curves in the context of different extract types was affected by the higher slope of the growth curve in the 6–24 h time period and a negative slope in the 48 h–72 h time period, which was obtained for measurement series associated with the presence of the extract from yellow Cornelian cherry. Under the presence of an increasing concentration of extracts, the cell count limit was decreasing, reaching a value close to "0" in the following two highest concentrations of Cornelian cherry extracts: 250 μ g/mL and 750 μ g/mL (Figure S1B). Contrast analysis revealed significant differences between the control series (concentration equal to "0") and the other series, starting from the following lowest concentration tested: 10 μ g/mL (Table 2).

The standard assay procedure revealed no difference in cell protein content curves in the context of the type of the used extract (Figure S2A). The growth of the cells was markedly decreasing with increasing values of extract concentration. No growth was observed in the following two highest concentrations: $250 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$ and $750 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$ (Figure S2B). When the curves were split, simultaneously, according to both extract type and concentration, the two types of extracts showed no difference in how they affected the changes in cell protein content (Figure 3). Contrast analysis confirmed the observations made with the use of the standard assay procedure—a significant difference in growth curves, compared to the control series, was found in all of the analyzed series (starting from a concentration of *Cornus mas* L. extract equal to $10 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$).

The cell protein content plateau of the MeWo cells was not reached in the control series regardless of the used assay procedure. The alternative procedure revealed that the difference in extract type did not have a significant influence over cell protein content alterations (Figure S3A), regardless of whether the data was additionally split according to extract concentration (Figure 4). Although the two highest concentrations (250 μ g/mL and 750 μ g/mL) highly affected changes in cell protein content, contrast analysis revealed a slight difference (in the growth interval from 24th up to 72nd hour of growth) between the control series and the series in which the concentration was 100 μ g/mL, regardless of the type of extract (Table 2, Figure S3B).

Interestingly, the standard assay procedure showed differences in alterations in cell protein content slopes of the MeWo cells between series associated with a different type of the extract (Figure S4A). The series associated with an extract concentration equal to $10 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$ showed slightly increased cell protein content in comparison to the control series (Figure 5). These two occurrences may be associated with the significance of the Time*Type*Concentration interaction (Table 1). Contrast analysis revealed that the differences in the cell protein content trend occurred in the two highest extract concentrations, regardless of the extract type (Table 2; this fact could also be seen in Figure S4B).



Figure 2. Cell protein content curves (A375 cell line, SRB assay) in context of both: type and concentration of Cornelian cherry extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction). The values were obtained with use of the alternative assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means ± standard error.



Figure 3. Cell protein content curves (A375 cell line, SRB assay) in context of both: type and concentration of Cornelian cherry extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction). The values were obtained with use of the standard assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means \pm standard error.



Figure 4. Cell protein content curves (MeWo cell line, SRB assay) in context of both: type and concentration of Cornelian cherry extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction). The values were obtained with use of the alternative assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means ± standard error.



Figure 5. Cell protein content curves (MeWo cell line, SRB assay) in context of both: type and concentration of Cornelian cherry extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction). The values were obtained with use of the standard assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means ± standard error.

2.2.2. Measurements of Cell Metabolic Activity with Use of the MTT Method

Regarding the control series, conversely to the observations for the SRB method, no plateau was reached in the case of A375 cells. MeWo cells reached their metabolic capacity plateau approximately at the 48th/72nd hour of growth.

In the context of the A375 cells, the between-extract type differences in the first two time points (6 h, 24 h) most probably were associated with the significance of the Time*Type interaction (Figure S5A). After splitting the data according to both the following: type and concentration of the extract, the difference between metabolic activity curves associated with the two extract types was observed in the data associated with an extract concentration of 10 μ g/mL (Figure 6)—thus, the significance of the Time*Type*Concentration interaction (Table 1). Contrast analysis showed significant differences in the overall metabolic activity curve between the control series and the rest of the series, starting from the lowest tested extract concentration (10 μ g/mL), regardless of extract type. This dependence could also be seen in the metabolic activity curves if extract type was not accounted for (Figure S5B). The two highest extract concentrations were associated with very low cell metabolic activity, which was maintained over the analyzed time.

Significant differences in two sets of series measured in the context of the MeWo cells, associated with different extract types (Figure S6A), were observed. The differences in cell growth remained significant when both the following factors: extract type and concentration, were accounted for (Figure 7). When the extract type was not accounted for, the two highest extract concentrations ($250 \ \mu g/mL$ and $750 \ \mu g/mL$) were associated with different metabolic activity curves, compared to the control series (Figure S6B). The results of contrast analysis reflected the differences in metabolic activity seen in Figure S6A, showing variable results depending on extract type. The lowest concentration of the yellow extract, which had a significant impact on cell metabolic activity only when the first time point (6 h) was compared with the other three time points (24 h, 48 h, 72 h). Overall, both extract types, in a concentration of 250 $\mu g/mL$ or 750 $\mu g/mL$, had an impact on cell metabolic activity over time.



Figure 6. Metabolic activity curves (A375 cell line, MTT assay) in context of both: type and concentration of Cornelian cherry extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction). The values are given as estimated marginal means ± standard error.



Figure 7. Metabolic activity curves (MeWo cell line, MTT assay) in context of both: type and concentration of Cornelian cherry extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction. The values are given as estimated marginal means ± standard error.

2.3. Estimation of IC₅₀ Based on the Results from SRB and MTT Assays

In the previous sections, cytotoxicity was assessed as the difference in the shape of the curve describing the changes in cell viability over time. Whereas that reasoning allowed the use of more sensitive statistical methods to test whether the growth rates differed under the effect of *C. mas* L. extracts, it may seem confusing in the context of describing the cytotoxicity in the context of IC₅₀. Therefore, the data in this section have been transformed from raw absorbance values to a percentage of cell viability (in reference to the control values). The data is shown in a series describing cell viability in different concentrations of *C. mas* L. extract, regardless of its used type.

The previous sections showed that the results from the three used assay protocols led to highly similar conclusions regarding the concentration at which *C. mas* L. extracts possessed cytotoxic properties towards A375 and MeWo cells. However, as is shown in this section, the magnitude of this cytotoxicity is different for both the following cell lines: A375 (Figure 8) and MeWo (Figure 9). Results from MTT showed a greater decrease in cell viability, which could be observed even after 6 h of cell growth. The use of an alternative SRB protocol led to the same observation after 6 h of cell growth, although the inhibition of cell viability was less prominent compared to the results from the MTT assay. Interestingly, no differences in cell viability were spotted after 6 h of cell growth in the case of using the standard SRB protocol for cytotoxicity assessment. The most observable differences in cell viability measured according to this assay protocol are associated with longer cell culture times (48 h or 72 h).

The differences in the size of the observed inhibitory effect of *C. mas* L. extracts in the context of different assay protocols led to different estimated values of IC₅₀. For the A375 cell line, the IC₅₀ values for cell culture times of the following: 6 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, based on the MTT assay, were as follows: 188.67 μ g/mL, 138.47 μ g/mL, 58.89 μ g/mL, 9.91 μ g/mL, respectively (Figure 10A). MeWo cells were less susceptible to these extracts, showing IC₅₀ values of the following: 970.13 μ g/mL, 416.29 μ g/mL, 265.47 μ g/mL and 232.68 μ g/mL, respectively (Figure 10B). The results from the SRB assay (regardless of the used assay protocol) may be deemed of questionable use in the context of calculating IC₅₀ values since the magnitude of cytotoxic response to *C. mas* L. extracts measured with this method was markedly lower, compared to the response measured with the MTT assay (Figures 8 and 9). All of the logistic regression models, along with their mathematical equations and calculated IC₅₀ values (for the following three assay protocols: MTT, standard SRB and alternative SRB), are given in Appendix B (Table A5).



Figure 8. The magnitude of cytotoxicity induced with *C. mas* L. extracts on the A375 cell line, measured with use of: MTT protocol (**A**), alternative SRB protocol (**B**), standard SRB protocol (**C**). The data are shown as winsorized (95%) mean values \pm standard deviation (estimated based on common variance).



Figure 9. The magnitude of cytotoxicity induced with *C. mas* L. extracts on the MeWo cell line, measured with use of: MTT protocol (**A**), alternative SRB protocol (**B**), standard SRB protocol (**C**). The data are shown as winsorized (95%) mean values \pm standard deviation (estimated based on common variance).



Figure 10. Logistic regression functions fit to the data describing: the concentration of *C. mas* L. extracts and the % of cell viability of cell lines: A375 (**A**) and MeWo (**B**) measured with the MTT method. These functions were used to calculate the IC_{50} values corresponding with each cell culture time (6 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h).

3. Discussion

3.1. Should the Results Be Trusted? A Brief Post-Hoc Analysis of Merits and Drawbacks of the Design of This Study and Potential Factors to Consider in Future Experiments

The hypotheses tested in this study (presented in the 'Statistical methods' section) were assessed with the use of multiple-way repeated measures ANOVA, which is known for its higher statistical power compared to ANOVA, allowing the analysis of smaller statistical samples while maintaining a comparatively low type I error rate. Lack of sphericity, however, inflates the type I error rate [69], increasing the odds of false-positive results. As a lack of sphericity was observed in this study, Greenhouse–Geisser and Huynh–Feldt corrections were used to decrease the type I error rate by adjusting the degrees of freedom. The factors which further increase the reliability of the results of this study are the following: the use of two different cell lines (A375 and MeWo), the count of assay methods (2 of which the MTT is deemed as 'the gold standard' in measuring cytotoxicity [70]), an additional alternative protocol for performing one of the assays (SRB), the count of series (4) and replications within each series (8). Interestingly, out of the two methods used in this study, the SRB method may be more suitable for experiments using compounds of oxidoreductive potential, as shown by van Tonder et al. [70].

The main problem faced in the process of data analysis was determining the presumable source of variability of the obtained results. The use of classic post-hoc tests (such as Tukey's HSD) would provide redundant comparisons, which were not aimed to be tested *a priori* in the process of study design. Contrast analysis, used in this study, facilitated the process of hypothesis testing since it used a predefined subset of all the possible comparisons [71], allowing the analysis of a generalized growth rate trend instead of comparing the results associated with each combination of the following factors analyzed in this study: type and concentration of used Cornelian cherry extracts. This approach, however, remains not ideal in the case of this study, as the cell growth randomly varied due to conditions associated with the still-unknown action of the compounds found in the used extracts, which could not be presumed in the process of study design. This problem may be portrayed by the (control) series in which no Cornelian cherry extract was present. Due to methodological reasons, each Cornelian cherry type was ascribed to its own control series. Although these curves should hypothetically be nearly identical, slight differences could be seen at various time points. This fact might have affected the *p*-values of the F test in the case of Time*Concentration*Type interaction, showing false-positive significance. Owing to the fact that this study was aimed to provide preliminary information on the cytotoxicity of Cornelian cherry extracts towards melanoma cell lines, the authors recommend a decrease of the α -value used for statistical inference to 0.001 (instead of 0.05) so as not to over-interpret the results, especially in the section describing the contrast analysis.

Another limitation of this preliminary study may stem from the use of Cornelian cherry extracts rather than the compounds directly isolated from them. Hence, the observed cytotoxic effect, although backed up by the results of this study, remains unidentified in terms of its potential mechanism. This drawback of the study could be addressed in future experiments by assessing the concentration/activity of selected compounds found in the Cornelian Cherry extracts and using this information as a covariate factor in repeated measures analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) or using more complex statistical methods such as multivariate analysis.

It is important to note that the chemical composition of used *Cornus mas* L. extracts in the context of iridoid and phenolic content is comparable with the information provided by Dzydzan et al., where Cornus mas L. 'Yantarnyi' and 'Podolski' were used [50]. In the mentioned study [50], similarly to the study presented in this manuscript, anthocyanins were not detected in the yellow Cornus mas L. extract. Potential confusion when comparing the composition of fruits or leaves of plant species with other studies may stem from the diversity of methods used to quantify the content and the units in which some of these values are displayed [72–74] (for example, as gallic acid or loganic acid equivalents [24,38]). Moreover, genetic variation across Cornus mas L. is one of the key factors affecting the variability in the phytochemical composition of its fruits [75]. Therefore, utilizing the fruits of well-described origin is a key factor in the design of mechanistic studies associated with the action of plant nutraceuticals. In this study, authenticated voucher specimens of *Cornus* mas L. were used. Therefore, the results of this study could be referred to in future studies. More information on the differences in phytochemical content of various *Cornus mas* L. cultivars (including 'Yantarnyi', 'Flava' and 'Podolski', which were used in this study) could be found in a study by Kucharska et al. [24], utilizing voucher specimens. Proper storage of the fruits and extracts prevented the loss of valuable phytochemical content such as phenolics, the degradation of which has been shown to be correlated with storage temperature [76].

3.2. Insights into the In Vitro Antiproliferative and Cytotoxic Properties of the Cornus L. Species Based on Other Studies

As mentioned before (in the 'Introduction' section), the extracts obtained from the leaves and fruits of plants of the Cornaceae family induce both antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects on various cancer cell lines. Both of these effects contribute to the antitumor action of Cornaceae extracts. According to Forman et al. [77] (a study on the MCF-7 cell line), the following three Cornus species: C. alba L., C. officinalis L. and C. mas L. (used in this study) were most effective in terms of the antiproliferative action. Both the following: polyphenol and tannin content correlated with this effect. Further evidence of the antiproliferative capacity of tannins could be found in a different study in which the dimeric elagitannins of *C. alba* L. were the factors that selectively impaired proliferation of the LNCaP cell line, inducing apoptosis and S-phase arrest [78]. Yousefi et al. [58] observed the antiproliferative effect of the hydro-alcoholic extract of C. mas L. on the following four cancer cell lines: A549, MCF-7, SKOV3 and PC3. Regardless of the used cell line, antiproliferative effects were spotted in a broad spectrum of concentrations from 5 to 1000 μ g/mL. Hosseini et al. [59] observed cytotoxic and proapoptotic effects of C. mas L. extract on AGS and L929 cell lines with the use of the MTT test and FITC-Annexin V binding, observed with the use of flow cytometry. Based on the figures featured in the mentioned study, the lowest concentrations of *C. mas* L. extract in which cytotoxicity could be observed were the following: 5 mg/mL (after 48 h of cell growth) or 2 mg/mL (after 72 h), regardless of the used cell line. Two other studies [36,38] showed cytotoxic activity of *C. mas* L. extract on the following various cancer cell lines: HeLa, LS174, Caco-2, HT-29, MCF-7, HepG2. In a study by Efenberger-Szmechtyk et al. [56], the cytotoxicity of C. mas L. leaf extracts was associated with various morphologic alterations within Caco-2 cells (chromatin condensation, cytoplasmic vacuolization, nucleus fragmentation/lysis inter alia). Interestingly, C. mas L. extract had a dichotomous effect on cell DNA, damaging it (in a dose-dependent manner) in concentrations that were associated with cytotoxic effects, or inducing DNA repair in the cells in response to hydrogen peroxide—in concentrations of the extract that did not induce cytotoxicity. Based on this study, it could be hypothesized that the compounds found in the extract exert antagonistic properties depending on their concentration. It seems likely that this effect may be associated with the antioxidative potential of these compounds since many known natural antioxidants, such as the following: phenols [79,80], anthocyanins [81], flavonoids [81–84] and carotenoids [81,85,86], may also act similar to prooxidants, depending on various conditions, such as the following: pH and their chelating behavior or solubility characteristics. This fact illustrates a potential occurrence of bias associated with drawing conclusions based solely on correlations between the antiproliferative/cytotoxic properties of plant-derived extracts and their estimated contents. Further confusion could arise upon analysis of the scientific literature discussing the topic of antiproliferative/cytotoxic effects of *C. mas* L. extracts, as both terms are often used interchangeably. Hence, many studies refer to the 'antiproliferative effect' while, in fact, measuring cytotoxicity with the use of assays such as MTT or SRB.

3.3. The Effect of Cornus mas L. Extracts on Cell Viability Observed in This Study

In most of the above-mentioned studies, only one type of *C. mas* L. was featured. The literature focuses mainly on extracts obtained from leaves or flowers, while the amount of scientific evidence regarding fruit-derived extracts remains scarce. In most studies, cell cytotoxicity was measured after 48 h or 72 h of cell growth. Moreover, none of the listed references discussed the cytotoxic effect of *C. mas* L. extracts on melanoma cell lines. In this study, the viability of two melanoma cell lines (A375, MeWo) over time under the effect of *C. mas* L. (yellow or red) fruit extracts was analyzed after 6 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h of growth. Analysis of these four time points as a series of data rather than independent measurements provides more insights on the studied effect.

First and foremost, it could be observed that the absolute differences in cell viability in the studied time series depended on the used assay method/protocol. The differences in the variability of the observed absorbance values measured with the MTT assay and the SRB assay stem from the fact that both assays measure different effects associated with cell viability. While the MTT method is an assessment of cell metabolism, the SRB method determines the amount of protein content. The SRB method, which was performed according to the alternative protocol, yielded lower absorbance values compared to the SRB method, to which the standard protocol was applied. This may be due to the fact that the alternative protocol included the removal of the culture medium before fixation with TCA. Thus, the proteins that were liberated from the cells during their growth or apoptosis were removed from the analyzed samples before staining with SRB. Interestingly, after removing these proteins, the SRB assay showed about 5-fold lower absorbance values compared to the MTT assay in the case of A375 cells, while the results of the same (alternative) SRB assay were over 4-fold higher compared to the MTT assay in the case of MeWo cells. Therefore, the content of proteins liberated from the cells into the culture medium during their growth/death is far greater in the case of A375 cells compared to MeWo cells. It could be hypothesized that this occurrence stems from the faster metabolism of A375 cells, as observed with the use of the MTT assay.

As mentioned before, due to the rather preliminary character of this study, an α -value of 0.001 may be more beneficial in the process of statistical inference, given that general cell viability time series (not the differences between each time point *per se*) were to be discussed in this study. If the results would be analyzed with regard to that α -value, it could be said that both SRB assay approaches revealed no significant interaction between type and concentration of *C. mas* L. extract. Results of the MTT assay would lead to the same conclusion in the case of MeWo cells but not the A375 cells. This fact may stem from different viability time series over time in the case of series in which the concentration of *C. mas* L. extracts was 10 µg/mL. In the presence of 10 µg/mL of the yellow *C. mas* L. extract, cells reached a plateau between 48 h and 72 h of growth, while they kept growing

in the presence of the same concentration of red *C. mas* L. extract. As this observation is discrepant in regard to SRB assays, the hypothesis of a significant interaction between time and the type and concentration of these extracts should be updated in future research before being assumed as true. Moreover, the contrast analysis does not warrant the assumption of the said hypothesis as the studied growth time series are similar regardless of the type of used extract type. To sum it up, at this point, it is advised to view the time and concentration of *C. mas* L. extract as the factors, which affect the viability of melanoma cells. Since the type of *C. mas* L. extract did not affect the cytotoxic effect, it could be hypothesized that anthocyanin content is not associated with this effect. This hypothesis stems from the fact that one of the used extracts did not contain these compounds. This hypothesis should be tested in future studies (with the use of numerous *Cornaceae*-derived extracts of different anthocyanin content) before it may be claimed as (potentially) true in the context of cytotoxicity/impairment of proliferation induced in melanoma cells since anthocyanins (and some anthocyanin-rich extracts) were shown to induce cytotoxicity or affect the proliferation of various cancer cells [87–94].

Interesting observations could be made regarding the two cells in terms of the minimal concentrations at which the cytotoxic effect occurred. Regardless of the used assay method, it could be seen that both cell lines are of different susceptibility to the cytotoxic effect of the used extracts. Every tested concentration (range: $10 \ \mu g/mL$ –750 $\mu g/mL$) of the extract was cytotoxic toward A375 cells. The same conclusion could be drawn based on the three assay methods/protocols. However, the analysis of the viability of MeWo cells is more complex. Based on the results obtained with the use of the standard SRB protocol, it could be observed that *C. mas* L. extracts of concentrations within the 250 $\mu g/mL$ –750 $\mu g/mL$ range had a cytotoxic effect on MeWo cells. The alternative SRB and MTT assay protocols would lead to the same conclusion. However, if a standard α -value of 0.05 was used for statistical inference, it could be hypothesized that 100 $\mu g/mL$ may also, although mildly, have had a transient cytotoxic effect on MeWo cells.

In the previous section, the cytotoxic and antiproliferative actions of *Cornus* L. extracts were presented in reference to other studies. In this study, in one of the MeWo time series (750 μ g/mL of *C. mas* L. extract) obtained with the use of the MTT assay, cell metabolism decreased with time. The respective time series (750 μ g/mL of *C. mas* L. extract) obtained with the use of the SRB assay (alternative protocol) showed the same occurrence (decrease in absorbance over time). Interestingly, some of the time series (such as the one associated with 250 μ g/mL of *C. mas* L. extract, obtained with the use of an alternative SRB assay protocol) showed a markedly decreased rate of cell growth (a mild increase in absorbance) compared to the control time series. Thus, both cytotoxic and antiproliferative effects could be hypothesized with regard to the cell viability time series featured in this study.

An interesting observation was made after transforming the results from raw absorbance values into the percentage of cell viability so as to calculate IC_{50} values. The MTT assay revealed a higher relative cytotoxic response of both cell lines to C. mas L. extracts compared to the results obtained with the SRB assay, regardless of the used assay protocol. Moreover, the SRB assay showed higher values of the aforementioned cell response when the alternative assay protocol was applied. Regardless of the used cell line, no cytotoxic response to Cornus mas L. was observed with the SRB assay after 6 h of cell culture. These facts affected the IC_{50} values estimated with the use of logistic regression models, rendering some of these values (namely, those associated with the 'standard' SRB assay, after 6 h of cell culture, regardless of the cell line) non-computable. These observations may presumably stem from the different nature of both these assays. Since metabolic changes are spotted earlier than the factual cell lysis, the MTT assay (which assesses the cell metabolic activity) provided markedly lower IC_{50} values compared to SRB (used to determine cellular protein content). Interestingly, IC_{50} values associated with the MTT assay could account for the fact that MeWo cells are less susceptible to *C. mas* L. extracts compared to the A375 cells, as shown based on the growth time series analyzed in this study. The IC_{50} values estimated

in this study should rather be perceived as preliminary, providing the grounds for future research on this matter.

Although no other study found in the literature covers the exact problem discussed in this study, there is evidence that MeWo and A375 cells differ from each other (or from primary melanocytes in general) in terms of cytotoxicity or proliferation. Qiao et al. [95] observed that A375 cells were susceptible to the pro-oxidative action of thiostrepton. Oxidative stress in these cells evoked upregulation of heat shock protein expression and apoptotic and proteogenic effects. This effect was antagonized by antioxidative treatment. Interestingly, primary melanocytes were not affected by thiostrepton. The higher susceptibility of melanoma cells to oxidative stress may presumably stem from alterations in antioxidative mechanisms within these cells in comparison to primary melanocytes. The expression of one of the S100 proteins, S100A10 (hypothesized to be associated with cell proliferation [96]), was downregulated in three melanoma cell lines (G-361, A375 and MeWo) compared to normal melanocytes (HEMn cell line). Of the three melanoma cell lines, MeWo showed higher S100A10 expression [96]. Okazawa et al. [97] observed that out of three melanoma cell lines (A375, MeWo, HM3KO), only A375 was prone to growth inhibition by endothelin-1. The fact that melanoma cells may be selectively affected by specific antiproliferative/cytotoxic agents is promising in terms of the future development of cancer treatment.

Despite its limitations, this study shows that fruit extracts of yellow or red *C. mas* L. have a cytotoxic effect on the following two melanoma cell lines: A375 and MeWo. There is no sufficient evidence to claim that the type of the used extract induced a different cytotoxic effect in the tested cell lines. Interestingly, the A375 cell line was more prone to cytotoxicity compared to MeWo cells. These results may also imply that other melanoma cells may also differ in susceptibility to *C. mas* L. extracts and, perhaps, to extracts derived from other species of the *Cornaceae* family. Future tests may need to feature a greater number of tested melanoma cell lines to examine the patomechanism of the cytotoxicity of *C. mas* L. extracts. Examining the potentially variable antioxidative capacity of melanoma cells may be of significance in the context of the development of new hypotheses regarding the susceptibility of melanoma cells to cytotoxic effects, potentially providing novel solutions in the utilization of plant-based extracts (or their compounds) in targeted, anti-cancer treatment.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. The Procurement of the Material, Its Identification and Quantitative and Qualitative Characterization

All reagents and organic solvents were of analytical grade. Authentic standards of loganic acid, cyanidin 3-O-glucoside, p-coumaric acid, gallic acid, quercetin 3-O-glucoside, kaempferol 3-O-glucoside were purchased from Extrasynthese (Genay, France). Transcaftaric acid was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Michigan, EUA, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Trans-coutaric acid was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Methanol, acetonitrile and formic acid were obtained from POCh (Gliwice, Poland).

4.1.1. Preparation and Purification of Extracts

Yellow ('Yantarnyi' and 'Flava') and red ('Podolski') cornelian cherry fruits (*Cornus mas* L.) were harvested from the Arboretum in Bolestraszyce, near Przemyśl, Poland. The plant materials were authenticated by Elżbieta Żygała, M.Sc. (Arboretum and Institute of Physiography in Bolestraszyce, Przemyśl, Poland), and the adequate voucher specimens ('Yantarnyi'— BDPA 14131; 'Flava'—BDPA 8795; 'Podolski'—BDPA 10462) have been deposited at the Herbariums of Arboretum in Bolestraszyce, Poland. After harvesting fruits were immediately frozen at -20 °C. Frozen ripe fruits of cornelian cherry were shredded and heated for 5 min at 95 °C using a Thermomix (Vorwerk, Wuppertal, Germany). The pulp was subsequently cooled down to 50 °C and depectinized at 50 °C for 2 h by adding 0.5 mL of Pectinex BE XXL (Novozymes A/S, Denmark) per 1 kg. After depectinization, the pulp was pressed in a laboratory hydraulic press (SRSE, Warsaw, Poland). The pressed

juice was filtered and run through an Amberlite XAD-16 resin column (Rohm and Haas, Chauny Cedex, France) for purification. Impurities (sugars and organic acids) were washed off with distilled water. During the washing of the column with water, the process was monitored on an ongoing basis (with use of HPLC) and no losses of water-soluble bioactive compounds were observed. Two purified extracts (one from yellow *C. mas* L. and one from red *C. mas* L.) were eluted with 80% ethanol. The extracts were concentrated under vacuum at 40 °C. The solvent was evaporated using a Rotavapor (Unipan, Warsaw, Poland) and then the extracts were freeze-dried (Alpha 1–4 LSC, Christ, Osterode am Harz, Germany).

4.1.2. Qualitative Identification by Means of LC-MS

The method was previously described by Przybylska et al. [68]. Identification of compounds was carried out via the Acquity ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system, coupled with a quadrupole-time of flight (Q-TOF) MS instrument (UPLC/Synapt Q-TOF MS, Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA), with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Separation was achieved on an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (100 \times 2.1 mm i.d., 1.7 μ m; Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). The mobile phase was composed of a mixture of 2.0% aq. Formic acid v/v (A) and acetonitrile (B). The following gradient program was used: initial conditions, 1% B in A; 12 min, 25% B in A; 12.5 min, 100% B; 13.5 min, 1% B in A. The flow rate was 0.45 mL/min, and the injection volume was 5 μ L. The column was operated at 30 °C. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded online during UPLC analysis, and the spectral measurements were made in the wavelength range of 200-600 nm, in steps of 2 nm. The major operating parameters for the Q-TOF MS were set as follows: capillary voltage 2.0 kV, cone voltage 40 V, cone gas flow of 11 L/h, collision energy 28-30 eV, source temperature 100 °C, desolvation temperature 250 °C, collision gas, argon; desolvation gas (nitrogen) flow rate, 600 L/h; data acquisition range, m/z 100–2500 Da. The compounds were monitored at 245, 280, 320, 360, 520 nm and explored in the negative and positive (in case of anthocyanins) modes before and after fragmentation. The data were collected with Mass-Lynx V 4.1 software (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

4.1.3. Quantitative Determination of Anthocyanins, Flavonols, Phenolic Acids and Iridoids by HPLC-PDA

The HPLC analysis was carried out according to Spychaj et al. [98] using a Dionex (Germering, Germany) system equipped with diode array detector Ultimate 3000, quaternary pump LPG-3400A, autosampler EWPS-3000SI, thermostated column compartment TCC-3000SD and controlled by Chromeleon v.7.2 software. Separation was achieved using a Cadenza Imtakt column CD-C18 (75 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm). The mobile phase was composed of solvent A (4.5% aq. formic acid, v/v) and solvent B (100% acetonitrile). The gradient profile was as follows: 0–1 min 5% B in A, 1–20 min 25% B in A, 20–26 min 100% B, 26–30 min 5% B in A. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 mL/min, and the injection volume was 20 µL. The column was operated at 30 °C. Anthocyanins were detected at 520 nm, flavonols at 360 nm, phenolic acids at 320 nm and iridoids at 245 nm. Calibration curves at concentrations in range of 0.02–0.3 mg/mL (R2 ≥ 0.9998) were determined experimentally for cyanidin 3-*O*-glucoside, quercetin 3-*O*-glucoside, kaempferol 3-*O*-glucoside, caffeic acid and p-coumaric acid. The results were provided as mean ± standard deviation from three replications and expressed as milligrams per 100 g of the dry extract.

4.1.4. Quantitative Determination of Hydrolyzable Tannins by HPLC-PDA

The HPLC analysis was performed according to Przybylska et al. [68] using a Dionex (Germering, Germany) system equipped with diode array detector Ultimate 3000, quaternary pump LPG-3400A, autosampler EWPS-3000SI, thermostated column compartment TCC-3000SD and controlled by Chromeleon v.7.2 software. Separation was achieved on a Hypersil GOLD C18-column ($250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}$, 5 µm; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Leicestershire, UK). The following mixtures were used as eluents: A, water-FA (98.5:1.5, v/v) and DB, acetonitrile-FA (98.5:1.5, v/v). The following gradient profile was applied: initial conditions

100% A, 30 min; 30% B, 33 min; 70% B, 45 min; 70% B in A, 48 min; 100% B, 55–60 min; 100% A. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1.2 mL/min, and the injection volume was 20 μ L. The column was operated at 22 °C. Hydrolyzable tannins were detected at 280 nm. Calibration curve at concentrations in range of 0.02–0.3 mg/mL (R2 \geq 0.9996) was determined experimentally for gallic acid. Results are provided as the total of individual isomers of three replications and expressed as milligrams per 100 g of the dry extract.

4.2. Cell Viability Assays

4.2.1. Cell Culture

Human melanoma cell lines—MeWo (ATCC[®] HTB-65™) and A375 (ATCC[®] CRL-1619TM) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). MeWo cells were cultured in culture flasks (T-75, Falcon[®], Corning Life Sciences, Tewksbury, MA, USA) in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM; without phenol red; Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 2 mM of GlutaMAXTM (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 1 mM sodium pyruvate solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA), MEM Non-Essential Amino Acid Solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). A375 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; without phenol red, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), respectively. Cell culture media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and 1% stabilized antibiotic antimycotic solution containing 10,000 units of penicillin/mL, 10 mg/mL of streptomycin and $25 \,\mu g/mL$ of amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). The medium was renewed every 3 days. The cells were cultured under standard culture conditions at 37 °C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂ in a CELCULTURE[®] CCL-170B-8 incubator (Esco Micro Pte Ltd., Singapore). For experiments, the cells were harvested with TrypLETM Express (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), stained with 0.4% trypan blue solution and counted with use of Countess™ Automated Cell Counter (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

In total, 200 μ L of medium with suspended cells were placed in each well of a 96well microtiter plate (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). Each well initially contained 1.0×10^4 or 5.0×10^3 cells. After seeding, cells were maintained for 24 h in a CO₂ incubator for cell attachment and homeostasis. Next, the cell culture medium was withdrawn from the wells and replaced with 200 μ L of fresh cell culture medium with addition of red or yellow Cornelian cherry extract. Stock aqueous solutions (10 mg/mL) of extracts were used for further dilutions. The concentration of the extracts was 10, 100, 250 or 750 μ g/mL. This experiment was performed in four series utilizing cells from different cell passages. Each series consisted of 8 replicates corresponding to different growth conditions (variable concentration and type of the Cornelian cherry extract).

4.2.2. Cytotoxicity Measurements with Use of the MTT Method

The culture medium was removed from the wells and 100 μ L of 0.5 mg/mL MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) solution in PBS buffer was added. After 2 h incubation at 37 °C, acidified isopropanol (100 μ L, 0.04 M HCl in 99.9% isopropanol) was added to dissolve formazan crystals. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm using the multiplate reader (GloMax[®], Promega GmbH, Walldorf, Germany).

After 6, 24, 48 and 72 h of treatment, post-culture medium was removed, cells were rinsed with sterile PBS solution. Then, 100 μ L of 0.5 mg/mL 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide in complete growth medium (MTT reagent; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) was added. Microtiter plates were incubated for 3 h in the CO₂ incubator under the aforementioned conditions. Subsequently, the MTT reagent was decanted, and the formed formazan crystals were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; BioShop, Burlington, ON, Canada). The absorbance was measured using an Infinite[®] M200 plate spectrophotometer (Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland) at $\lambda = 540$ nm.

4.2.3. Cytotoxicity Measurements with Use of the SRB Method

After the 6, 24, 48 and 72 h incubation periods, post-culture medium was removed and cells were washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution ('alternative' protocol) or left to stand ('standard' protocol, according to the literature [99]). Subsequently, TCA (trichloroacetic acid) was used for fixation. The final concentration of TCA was 10%. After 1 h incubation at +4 °C, the cells were washed at least 5 times with distilled water and dried. Then, a freshly prepared solution of 0.04% SRB (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in 1% acetic acid (Avantor Performance Materials Poland, Gliwice, Poland) was added to each well and the plates were left at room temperature, in the dark, for 30 min. Subsequently, the dye was removed from each well and the microtiter plates were washed in 1% acetic acid so as to remove the excess dye. The SRB, which remained after the washing was solubilized in 10 mM Tris base solution (pH 10.5). The absorbance (proportional to the protein content within the cells) was measured using an Infinite[®] M200 plate spectrophotometer (Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland) at $\lambda = 520$ nm.

4.3. Statistical Methods

Statistical analysis was performed with use of STATISTICA 13.3. package (Stat-Soft, Poland, Kraków, Poland) on license by Wroclaw Medical University. Multiple-way repeated measures analysis of variance (Multiple-way RM-ANOVA) with σ-restricted parametrization was used to check for significance of 'Time' and the following two other variables: the type of used Cornelian cherry extract (referred to as 'Type') and the concentration of the used extract ('Concentration'). Between-variable interactions (Time*Type, Time*Concentration, Time*Type*Concentration) were also tested. Mauchly's test was used to test for sphericity, although due to the lack of sphericity (Appendix A, Table A1), degrees of freedom were adjusted with use of Greenhouse–Geisser and Huynh–Feldt corrections, separately.

As the analysis was aimed to evaluate cell growth trend over time (not the quantity of the cells between each time point), contrast analysis was employed to compare the growth trend between the different sets of measurements (associated with different Cornelian cherry extract types and concentrations). The used set of hypotheses for contrast analysis was optimal for exploratory data analysis. The main hypotheses tested in this study were as follows:

- I. There is at least one concentration in which Cornelian cherry extract(s) have a cytotoxic effect over the analyzed melanoma cell line(s);
- II. The overall cell growth trend will be unaffected by the type of Cornelian cherry extract(s), under their presence in the cell culture medium;

These hypotheses were evaluated with use of two conjoined sets of *a priori*, auxiliary hypotheses (being a part of the contrast analysis) testing for equality of mean values as follows:

- I. Comparisons between series of measurements associated with different concentrations of Cornelian cherry extracts as follows (contrasts):
 - (C1) Control series vs. series with concentration equal to 10 μg/mL;
 - (C2) Control series vs. series with concentration equal to 25 μg/mL;
 - (C3) Control series vs. series with concentration equal to $100 \,\mu g/mL$;
 - (C4) Control series vs. series with concentration equal to 250 μg/mL;
 - (C5) Control series vs. series with concentration equal to 750 µg/mL.;
- II. Comparisons between time points (hypotheses for each contrast according to Helmert coding matrix as follows [100,101]):
 - (M1) 6th hour of growth vs. other time points (24th hour, 48th hour, 72nd hour);
 - (M2) 24th hour of growth vs. the two next time points (48th hour, 72nd hour);
 - (M3) 48th hour of growth vs. the last time point (72nd hour).

As an example, a "C3-M2" set of hypotheses was used to check whether there was a significant difference between control series and series in which the concentration of Cornelian cherry extract was 100 µg/mL. The analyzed difference between time points in that comparison was 24th vs. (48th + 72nd) hours of cell growth. The described procedures facilitated the evaluation of the curve of cell growth, accounting for the fact that the increase in cell count over time has its limit. Contrast analysis was performed separately for two different types of Cornelian cherry extract. Additionally in the last 'Results' subsection, as the means for preventing drawing false conclusions from this study, $\alpha = 0.001$ is discussed as the cut-off value for statistical inference apart from the commonly used $\alpha = 0.05$. Both values are referred to in the text—to provide additional insights into the data.

 IC_{50} was calculated based on three-parameter logistic regression [102]. For this purpose, the absorbance values were transformed into % of cell viability as series associated with each time of cell culture (6 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h).

5. Conclusions

The following conclusions could be drawn from this study:

- Extracts of yellow and red Cornus mas L. exert cytotoxic properties towards the following melanoma cell lines: A375 and MeWo;
- The A375 cell line was more susceptible to the cytotoxic effect of the *Cornus mas* L. extracts compared to the MeWo cell line.

The following hypotheses need more evidence before they may be claimed as valid:

- Cytotoxic properties of *Cornus mas* L. extracts do not differ in the context of the type of extract (whether it was collected from red or yellow *Cornus mas* L. species);
- Anthocyanin content is not associated with the cytotoxic properties of *Cornus mas* L. extract towards melanoma cell lines (since the two extracts induced the same cytotoxic effect and one of them did not contain anthocyanins).

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27134193/s1. Table S1: Identification and content (mg/100 g dry weight (dw)) of main compounds of extracts from yellow and red Cornelian cherry (Cornus mas L.) fruits by means of LC-MS and HPLC; Figure S1: Cell protein content curves (A375 cell line, SRB assay) in the context of different types (Time*Type interaction, A) and concentrations of Cornelian cherry extract (Time*Concentration interaction, B). The values were obtained with use of the alternative assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means \pm standard error; Figure S2: Cell protein content curves (A375 cell line, SRB assay) in context of different types (Time*Type interaction, A) and concentrations of Cornelian cherry extract (Time*Concentration interaction, B). The values were obtained with use of the standard assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means ± standard error; Figure S3: Cell protein content curves (MeWo cell line, SRB assay) in the context of different types (Time*Type interaction, A) and concentrations of Cornelian cherry extract (Time*Concentration interaction, B). The values were obtained with use of the alternative assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means \pm standard error; Figure S4: Cell protein content curves (MeWo cell line, SRB assay) in the context of different types (Time*Type interaction, A) and concentrations of Cornelian cherry extract (Time*Concentration interaction, B). The values were obtained with use of the standard assay protocol. The values are given as estimated marginal means \pm standard error; Figure S5: Metabolic activity curves (A375 cell line, MTT assay) in the context of different types (Time*Type interaction, A) and concentrations of Cornelian cherry extract (Time*Concentration interaction, B). The values are given as estimated marginal means \pm standard error; Figure S6: Metabolic activity curves (MeWo cell line, MTT assay) in the context of different types (Time*Type interaction, A) and concentrations of Cornelian cherry extract (Time*Concentration interaction, B). The values are given as estimated marginal means \pm standard error.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Ł.L., I.B.-M., T.S. and M.K.-K.; Data curation, Ł.L. and D.P.; Formal analysis, Ł.L., A.Z.K. and D.P.; Funding acquisition, A.Z.K., T.S., N.P. and M.K.-K.; Investigation, Ł.L., I.B.-M., A.Z.K., A.K., D.P. and N.P.; Methodology, Ł.L., I.B.-M., A.K. and M.K.-K.; Project administration, T.S. and M.K.-K.; Resources, A.Z.K., D.P., N.P. and M.K.-K.; Software, Ł.L., A.Z.K. and D.P.; Supervision, T.S. and M.K.-K.; Validation, Ł.L., I.B.-M., T.S. and M.K.-K.; Visualization, Ł.L. and P.K.; Writing—original draft, Ł.L.; Writing—review and editing, Ł.L., I.B.-M., A.Z.K., T.S., D.P. and M.K.-K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The APC/BPC is co-financed by: Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Bolestraszyce Arboretum and Institute of Physiography and Wroclaw Medical University.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Sample Availability: Samples of featured Cornus mas L. extracts are available from the authors.

Appendix A

Table A1. Results of Mauchly's W test for data sphericity in datasets analyzed in this study.

Dataset	Effect	W	χ2	df	р
A375, SRB, alternative	Time	0.1953	623.53	5	< 0.00001
A375, SRB, standard	Time	0.2492	381.78	5	< 0.00001
MeWo, SRB, alternative	Time	0.0030	2216.60	5	< 0.00001
MeWo, SRB, standard	Time	0.2365	534.46	5	< 0.00001
A375, MTT	Time	0.0368	1224.43	5	< 0.00001
MeWo, MTT	Time	0.1613	676.32	5	< 0.00001

Table A2. Descriptive statistics of the expected marginal values of absorbance ($\lambda = 520$ nm) associated with measurements under the exposition to different types of *Cornus mas* L. extract (Time*Type interaction), measured with use of various assays.

	A375, SRB, Alternative										
Туре	Time	Mean Value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν					
yellow	6 h	0.1228	0.0018	0.1192	0.1264	192					
yellow	24 h	0.1399	0.0024	0.1351	0.1447	192					
yellow	48 h	0.1415	0.0035	0.1347	0.1484	192					
yellow	72 h	0.1310	0.0035	0.1241	0.1380	192					
red	6 h	0.1188	0.0018	0.1152	0.1223	192					
red	24 h	0.1299	0.0024	0.1252	0.1347	192					
red	48 h	0.1394	0.0035	0.1325	0.1463	192					
red	72 h	0.1448	0.0035	0.1379	0.1517	192					
	A375, SRB, standard										
Туре	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν					
yellow	6 h	0.1238	0.0048	0.1143	0.1333	192					
yellow	24 h	0.1535	0.0082	0.1375	0.1695	192					
yellow	48 h	0.2119	0.0118	0.1887	0.2351	192					
yellow	72 h	0.3908	0.0241	0.3434	0.4382	192					
red	6 h	0.1067	0.0048	0.0972	0.1162	192					
red	24 h	0.1563	0.0082	0.1402	0.1723	192					
red	48 h	0.2030	0.0118	0.1799	0.2262	192					
red	72 h	0.3848	0.0241	0.3374	0.4323	192					

		MeWo, SR	B, alternative								
Туре	Time	Mean Value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν					
yellow	6 h	0.1744	0.0024	0.1697	0.1791	192					
vellow	24 h	0.2539	0.0033	0.2475	0.2603	192					
vellow	48 h	0.3515	0.0070	0.3377	0.3653	192					
vellow	72 h	0.5826	0.0088	0.5653	0.5999	192					
red	6 h	0.1647	0.0024	0.1600	0.1693	192					
red	24 h	0.2375	0.0033	0.2311	0.2440	192					
red	48 h	0.3460	0.0070	0.3322	0.3598	192					
red	72 h	0.5637	0.0088	0.5464	0.5810	192					
MeWo, SRB, standard											
Туре	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν					
yellow	6 h	0.1994	0.0042	0.1912	0.2076	192					
yellow	24 h	0.3046	0.0070	0.2909	0.3183	192					
yellow	48 h	0.4410	0.0103	0.4207	0.4613	192					
yellow	72 h	0.9286	0.0225	0.8844	0.9728	192					
red	6 h	0.1863	0.0042	0.1781	0.1945	192					
red	24 h	0.2554	0.0070	0.2417	0.2691	192					
red	48 h	0.3409	0.0103	0.3205	0.3612	192					
red	72 h	0.8425	0.0225	0.7983	0.8867	192					
		A37	5, MTT								
Туре	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν					
yellow	6 h	0.0642	0.0023	0.0596	0.0687	192					
yellow	24 h	0.1180	0.0036	0.1110	0.1250	192					
yellow	48 h	0.1530	0.0058	0.1415	0.1645	192					
yellow	72 h	0.2033	0.0065	0.1905	0.2161	192					
red	6 h	0.0585	0.0023	0.0539	0.0630	192					
red	24 h	0.1062	0.0036	0.0992	0.1132	192					
red	48 h	0.1554	0.0058	0.1439	0.1668	192					
red	72 h	0.2144	0.0065	0.2016	0.2272	192					
		MeW	/o, MTT								
Туре	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν					
yellow	6 h	0.1181	0.0027	0.1129	0.1233	192					
yellow	24 h	0.1570	0.0029	0.1513	0.1628	192					
yellow	48 h	0.2062	0.0038	0.1987	0.2138	192					
yellow	72 h	0.2020	0.0052	0.1919	0.2122	192					
red	6 h	0.1084	0.0027	0.1032	0.1136	192					
red	24 h	0.1431	0.0029	0.1373	0.1488	192					
red	48 h	0.1813	0.0038	0.1738	0.1889	192					
red	72 h	0.1906	0.0052	0.1804	0.2008	192					

Table A2. Cont.

Table A3. Descriptive statistics of the expected marginal values of absorbance ($\lambda = 520$ nm) associated with measurements under the exposition to different concentration of *Cornus mas* L. extracts (Time*Concentration interaction), measured with use of various assays.

A375, SRB, Alternative									
Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean Value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν			
0	6 h	0.1302	0.0032	0.1240	0.1364	64			
0	24 h	0.1738	0.0042	0.1655	0.1821	64			
0	48 h	0.2206	0.0060	0.2087	0.2325	64			
0	72 h	0.2335	0.0061	0.2215	0.2454	64			
10	6 h	0.1300	0.0032	0.1238	0.1362	64			
10	24 h	0.1706	0.0042	0.1623	0.1789	64			

10	48 h	0.1753	0.0060	0.1634	0.1872	64
10	72 h	0.1562	0.0061	0.1442	0.1682	64
25	6 h	0.1298	0.0032	0.1236	0.1361	64
25	24 h	0.1713	0.0042	0.1630	0.1796	64
25	48 h	0.1578	0.0060	0.1459	0.1697	64
25	72 h	0.1271	0.0061	0.1151	0.1390	64
100	6 h	0.1335	0.0032	0.1272	0.1397	64
100	24 h	0.1530	0.0042	0.1447	0.1613	64
100	48 h	0.1404	0.0060	0.1286	0.1523	64
100	72 h	0.1240	0.0061	0.1120	0.1360	64
250	6 h	0.1017	0.0032	0.0955	0.1079	64
250	24 h	0.0695	0.0042	0.0612	0.0778	64
250	48 h	0.0758	0.0060	0.0639	0.0877	64
250	72 h	0.0921	0.0061	0.0802	0.1041	64
750	6 h	0.0996	0.0032	0.0934	0.1059	64
750	24 h	0.0712	0.0042	0.0629	0.0795	64
750	48 h	0.0728	0.0060	0.0609	0.0846	64
750	72 h	0.0947	0.0061	0.0827	0.1067	64
		A 275 CDP stor	dand			
		A375, SKD, Star	luaru			
Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν
0	6 h	0.1150	0.0084	0.0986	0.1315	64
0	24 h	0.1752	0.0141	0.1475	0.2030	64
0	48 h	0.3549	0.0204	0.3147	0.3950	64
0	72 h	1.0839	0.0418	1.0017	1.1661	64
10	6 h	0.1040	0.0084	0.0875	0.1204	64
10	24 h	0.1619	0.0141	0.1342	0.1897	64
10	48 h	0.2495	0.0204	0.2093	0.2896	64
10	72 h	0.4138	0.0418	0.3316	0.4960	64
25	6 h	0.1244	0.0084	0.1080	0.1409	64
25	24 h	0.1956	0.0141	0.1678	0.2233	64
25	48 h	0.2252	0.0204	0.1850	0.2653	64
25	72 h	0.3551	0.0418	0.2729	0.4373	64
100	6 h	0.1210	0.0084	0.1046	0.1375	64
100	24 h	0.1516	0.0141	0.1238	0.1794	64
100	48 h	0.1762	0.0204	0.1360	0.2163	64
100	72 h	0.2262	0.0418	0.1441	0.3084	64
250	6 h	0.1159	0.0084	0.0995	0.1324	64
250	24 h	0.1335	0.0141	0.1058	0.1613	64
250	48 h	0.1308	0.0204	0.0907	0.1710	64
250	72 h	0 1394	0.0418	0.0572	0 2216	64
750	6 h	0 1111	0.0084	0.0946	0.1275	64
750	24 h	0 1115	0.0141	0.0837	0 1393	64
750	48 h	0.1083	0.0204	0.0681	0.1696	64
750	72 h	0.1085	0.0418	0.0263	0.1907	64
		MeWo, SRB, alter	rnative			
Concentration [ug/m1]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	N
	6 h	0 1762	0.0041	0 1492	0.19/5	64
0	011 24 h	0.1703	0.0041	0.1002	0.1040	04 64
0	2411 18 h	0.2730	0.0000	0.2027	0.2049	64
0	40 fl 72 h	0.4337	0.0122	0.4298	0.4770	04 64
U 10	/∠n ∠⊾	0.7700	0.0152	0.7400	0.0000	04 64
10	0П 24Ъ	0.1748	0.0041	0.100/	0.1030	04 64
10	24 n 40 l	0.2/84	0.0056	0.26/3	0.2895	04 (4
10	40 n 70 l	0.4551	0.0122	0.4312	0.4/90	04 (4
10	72 h	0.7916	0.0152	0.7617	0.8216	64

Table A3. Cont.

25	6 h	0.1755	0.0041	0.1674	0.1836	64
25	24 h	0.2763	0.0056	0.2652	0.2874	64
25	48 h	0.4471	0.0122	0.4232	0.4710	64
25	72 h	0.7666	0.0152	0.7366	0.7966	64
100	6 h	0.1733	0.0041	0.1652	0.1815	64
100	24 h	0.2797	0.0056	0.2686	0.2908	64
100	48 h	0.4280	0.0122	0.4041	0.4519	64
100	72 h	0.7431	0.0152	0.7131	0.7731	64
250	6 h	0.1759	0.0041	0.1678	0.1840	64
250	24 h	0.2304	0.0056	0.2193	0.2415	64
250	48 h	0.2284	0.0122	0.2045	0.2523	64
250	72 h	0.2809	0.0152	0.2509	0.3109	64
750	6 h	0.1413	0.0041	0.1332	0.1495	64
750	24 h	0.1357	0.0056	0.1246	0.1468	64
750	48 h	0.0800	0.0122	0.0561	0.1039	64
/50	72 h	0.0808	0.0152	0.0508	0.1108	64
		MeWo, SRB, star	ndard			
Concentration [µg/mL]	TIME	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν
0	6 h	0.1806	0.0072	0.1664	0.1947	64
0	24 h	0.3177	0.0121	0.2940	0.3414	64
0	48 h	0.4323	0.0179	0.3971	0.4675	64
0	72 h	0.9874	0.0389	0.9109	1.0640	64
10	6 h	0.1901	0.0072	0.1759	0.2043	64
10	24 h	0.2821	0.0121	0.2584	0.3058	64
10	48 h	0.4405	0.0179	0.4053	0.4757	64
10	72 h	1.1021	0.0389	1.0255	1.1787	64
25	6 h	0.1880	0.0072	0.1739	0.2022	64
25	24 h	0.2805	0.0121	0.2568	0.3043	64
25	48 h	0.4446	0.0179	0.4094	0.4798	64
25	72 h	0.9703	0.0389	0.8938	1.0469	64
100	6 h	0.1825	0.0072	0.1683	0.1967	64
100	24 h	0.2628	0.0121	0.2391	0.2865	64
100	48 h	0.4324	0.0179	0.3972	0.4676	64
100	72 h	0.9894	0.0389	0.9129	1.0660	64
250	6 h	0.2031	0.0072	0.1889	0.2172	64
250	24 h	0.2391	0.0121	0.2154	0.2629	64
250	48 h	0.2699	0.0179	0.2347	0.3051	64
250	72 h	0.6480	0.0389	0.5714	0.7245	64
750	6 h	0.2128	0.0072	0.1987	0.2270	64
750	24 h	0.2976	0.0121	0.2738	0.3213	64
750	48 h	0.3259	0.0179	0.2907	0.3611	64
750	72 h	0.6160	0.0389	0.5395	0.6926	64
		A375, MTT	[
Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	N
0	6 h	0.0877	0.0040	0.0798	0.0956	64
0	24 h	0.1806	0.0062	0.1685	0.1928	64
0	48 h	0.3401	0.0101	0.3203	0.3600	64
0	72 h	0.6923	0.0113	0.6702	0.7145	64
10	6 h	0.0926	0.0040	0.0847	0.1005	64
10	24 h	0.1807	0.0062	0.1686	0.1928	64
10	48 h	0.2420	0.0101	0.2222	0.2619	64
10	72 h	0.2462	0.0113	0.2240	0.2684	64
25	6 h	0.0873	0.0040	0.0794	0.0953	64
25	24 h	0.1635	0.0062	0.1514	0.1756	64
25	48 h	0.2164	0.0101	0.1965	0.2362	64
25	72 h	0.2017	0.0113	0.1795	0.2239	64
100	6 h	0.0796	0.0040	0.0716	0.0875	64

Table A3. Cont.

100	24 h	0.1182	0.0062	0.1060	0.1303	64
100	48 h	0.1056	0.0101	0.0857	0.1254	64
100	72 h	0.0934	0.0113	0.0712	0.1156	64
250	6 h	0.0107	0.0040	0.0027	0.0186	64
250	24 h	0.0170	0.0062	0.0049	0.0292	64
250	48 h	0.0117	0.0101	-0.0082	0.0316	64
250	72 h	0.0105	0.0113	-0.0117	0.0327	64
750	6 h	0.0101	0.0040	0.0022	0.0180	64
750	24 h	0.0125	0.0062	0.0004	0.0246	64
750	48 h	0.0093	0.0101	-0.0106	0.0291	64
750	72 h	0.0090	0.0113	-0.0132	0.0312	64
		MeWo, MT	Т			
Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν
0	6 h	0.1130	0.0046	0.1039	0.1220	64
0	24 h	0.1697	0.0051	0.1598	0.1797	64
0	48 h	0.2383	0.0067	0.2253	0.2514	64
0	72 h	0.2518	0.0090	0.2342	0.2694	64
10	6 h	0.1176	0.0046	0.1085	0.1266	64
10	24 h	0.1758	0.0051	0.1659	0.1858	64
10	48 h	0.2510	0.0067	0.2379	0.2640	64
10	72 h	0.2783	0.0090	0.2607	0.2959	64
25	6 h	0.1260	0.0046	0.1169	0.1350	64
25	24 h	0.1825	0.0051	0.1726	0.1924	64
25	48 h	0.2551	0.0067	0.2420	0.2681	64
25	72 h	0.2745	0.0090	0.2569	0.2921	64
100	6 h	0.1352	0.0046	0.1262	0.1443	64
100	24 h	0.1974	0.0051	0.1875	0.2074	64
100	48 h	0.2610	0.0067	0.2479	0.2741	64
100	72 h	0.2633	0.0090	0.2457	0.2809	64
250	6 h	0.1099	0.0046	0.1009	0.1190	64
250	24 h	0.1419	0.0051	0.1320	0.1518	64
250	48 h	0.1410	0.0067	0.1279	0.1541	64
250	72 h	0.1035	0.0090	0.0859	0.1212	64
750	6 h	0.0778	0.0046	0.0688	0.0869	64
750	24 h	0.0328	0.0051	0.0229	0.0428	64
750	48 h	0.0163	0.0067	0.0032	0.0294	64
750	72 h	0.0065	0.0090	-0.0111	0.0241	64

Table A3. Cont.

Table A4. Descriptive statistics of the expected marginal values of absorbance (λ = 520 nm) associated with measurements under the exposition to different type and concentration of Cornus mas L extracts (Time*Type*Concentration interaction), measured with use of various assays.

	A375, SRB, Alternative									
Туре	Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean Value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν			
yellow	0	6 h	0.1342	0.0045	0.1254	0.142963	32			
yellow	0	24 h	0.1801	0.0060	0.1684	0.191846	32			
yellow	0	48 h	0.2238	0.0085	0.2070	0.240621	32			
yellow	0	72 h	0.2236	0.0086	0.2067	0.240537	32			
yellow	10	6 h	0.1315	0.0045	0.1227	0.140329	32			
yellow	10	24 h	0.1763	0.0060	0.1645	0.188017	32			
yellow	10	48 h	0.1714	0.0085	0.1546	0.188174	32			
yellow	10	72 h	0.1393	0.0086	0.1224	0.156243	32			
yellow	25	6 h	0.1310	0.0045	0.1222	0.139820	32			
yellow	25	24 h	0.1826	0.0060	0.1709	0.194389	32			
yellow	25	48 h	0.1628	0.0085	0.1460	0.179618	32			
yellow	25	72 h	0.1213	0.0086	0.1044	0.138228	32			

yellow	100	6 h	0.1354	0.0045	0.1266	0.144176	32
yellow	100	24 h	0.1569	0.0060	0.1451	0.168617	32
yellow	100	48 h	0.1422	0.0085	0.1254	0.158965	32
vellow	100	72 h	0.1167	0.0086	0.0997	0.133587	32
yellow	250	6 h	0.1014	0.0045	0.0926	0.110167	32
yellow	250	24 h	0.0700	0.0060	0.0582	0.081721	32
yellow	250	48 h	0.0752	0.0085	0.0584	0.092024	32
yellow	250	72 h	0.0900	0.0086	0.0731	0.106928	32
yellow	750	6 h	0.1035	0.0045	0.0947	0.112295	32
yellow	750	24 h	0.0734	0.0060	0.0616	0.085111	32
yellow	750	48 h	0.0737	0.0085	0.0569	0.090518	32
yellow	750	72 h	0.0954	0.0086	0.0785	0.112306	32
red	0	6 h	0.1262	0.0045	0.1174	0.134960	32
red	0	24 h	0.1674	0.0060	0.1557	0.179186	32
red	0	48 h	0.2174	0.0085	0.2006	0.234206	32
red	0	72 h	0.2433	0.0086	0.2264	0.260231	32
red	10	6 h	0.1284	0.0045	0.1196	0.137213	32
red	10	24 h	0.1650	0.0060	0.1532	0.176721	32
red	10	48 h	0.1792	0.0085	0.1624	0.196034	32
red	10	72 h	0.1731	0.0086	0.1562	0.190012	32
red	25	6 h	0.1287	0.0045	0.1199	0.137448	32
red	25	24 h	0.1600	0.0060	0.1482	0.171711	32
red	25	48 h	0.1528	0.0085	0.1360	0.169627	32
red	25	72 h	0.1328	0.0086	0.1159	0.149772	32
red	100	6 h	0.1315	0.0045	0.1227	0.140317	32
red	100	24 h	0.1492	0.0060	0.1375	0.160939	32
red	100	48 h	0.1387	0.0085	0.1219	0.155521	32
red	100	72 h	0.1314	0.0086	0.1144	0.148287	32
red	250	6 h	0.1020	0.0045	0.0932	0.110788	32
red	250	24 h	0.0691	0.0060	0.0573	0.080814	32
red	250	48 h	0.0764	0.0085	0.0596	0.093209	32
red	250	72 h	0.0943	0.0086	0.0774	0.111218	32
red	750	6 h	0.0958	0.0045	0.0870	0.104582	32
red	750	24 h	0.0690	0.0060	0.0573	0.080783	32
red	750	48 h	0.0718	0.0085	0.0550	0.088615	32
red	750	72 h	0.0940	0.0086	0.0771	0.110947	32
		A	375, SRB, standa	ard			
Туре	Concentration	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	N
· .							

Table A4. Cont.

Туре	Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν
yellow	0	6 h	0.1116	0.0118	0.0883	0.1349	32
yellow	0	24 h	0.1762	0.0200	0.1369	0.2154	32
yellow	0	48 h	0.3708	0.0289	0.3140	0.4276	32
yellow	0	72 h	1.1145	0.0591	0.9983	1.2307	32
yellow	10	6 h	0.0917	0.0118	0.0684	0.1150	32
yellow	10	24 h	0.1595	0.0200	0.1202	0.1987	32
yellow	10	48 h	0.2422	0.0289	0.1854	0.2990	32
yellow	10	72 h	0.3715	0.0591	0.2553	0.4877	32
yellow	25	6 h	0.1491	0.0118	0.1258	0.1723	32
yellow	25	24 h	0.1868	0.0200	0.1475	0.2260	32
yellow	25	48 h	0.2361	0.0289	0.1794	0.2929	32
yellow	25	72 h	0.3853	0.0591	0.2691	0.5015	32
yellow	100	6 h	0.1473	0.0118	0.1241	0.1706	32
yellow	100	24 h	0.1518	0.0200	0.1125	0.1911	32
yellow	100	48 h	0.1735	0.0289	0.1167	0.2303	32
yellow	100	72 h	0.2186	0.0591	0.1023	0.3348	32
yellow	250	6 h	0.1280	0.0118	0.1047	0.1513	32
yellow	250	24 h	0.1219	0.0200	0.0826	0.1611	32
yellow	250	48 h	0.1376	0.0289	0.0808	0.1944	32
yellow	250	72 h	0.1420	0.0591	0.0258	0.2582	32

yellow	750	6 h	0.1149	0.0118	0.0917	0.1382	32
yellow	750	24 h	0.1250	0.0200	0.0858	0.1643	32
yellow	750	48 h	0.1110	0.0289	0.0543	0.1678	32
yellow	750	72 h	0.1130	0.0591	-0.0032	0.2292	32
red	0	6 h	0.1185	0.0118	0.0952	0.1418	32
red	0	24 h	0.1743	0.0200	0.1350	0.2136	32
red	0	48 h	0.3389	0.0289	0.2822	0.3957	32
red	0	72 h	1.0533	0.0591	0.9371	1.1695	32
red	10	6 h	0.1163	0.0118	0.0930	0.1395	32
red	10	24 h	0.1644	0.0200	0.1252	0.2037	32
red	10	48 h	0.2567	0.0289	0.1999	0.3135	32
red	10	72 h	0.4561	0.0591	0.3399	0.5723	32
red	25	6 h	0.0998	0.0118	0.0765	0.1230	32
red	25	24 h	0.2044	0.0200	0.1651	0.2437	32
red	25	48 h	0.2142	0.0289	0.1574	0.2710	32
red	25	72 h	0.3250	0.0591	0.2088	0.4412	32
red	100	6 h	0.0947	0.0118	0.0714	0.1180	32
red	100	24 h	0 1514	0.0200	0 1121	0.1906	32
red	100	48 h	0.1788	0.0200	0.1221	0.2356	32
red	100	72 h	0.2339	0.0209	0.1177	0.3502	32
red	250	6 h	0.1039	0.0001	0.0806	0.1272	32
red	250	24 h	0.1052	0.0110	0.0000	0.1272	32
red	250	48 h	0.1432	0.0200	0.1037	0.1045	32
red	250	72 h	0.1241	0.0207	0.0075	0.1000	32
red	250	7211 6 h	0.1000	0.0391	0.0200	0.2330	22
red	750	011 24 h	0.1072	0.0110	0.0639	0.1304	32
red	750	24 II 48 h	0.0979	0.0200	0.0387	0.1372	32
red	750	40 ft 72 h	0.1033	0.0209	0.0400	0.1623	32
rea	730	72 N	0.1040	0.0391	-0.0125	0.2202	32
		Me	eWo, SRB, alterna	ative			
Type	Concentration	Time	Moon value	SE	_95% CI	95% CI	N
туре	[µg/mL]	mite	Weatt value	51	-)5/0 CI)570 CI	1 N
yellow	0	6 h	0.1807	0.0058	0.1692	0.1922	32
yellow	0	24 h	0.2772	0.0080	0.2615	0 2929	32
yellow						0.2/2/	
	0	48 h	0.4530	0.0172	0.4192	0.4868	32
yellow	0 0	48 h 72 h	0.4530 0.7734	0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310	0.4868 0.8158	32 32
yellow yellow	0 0 10	48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926	32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow	0 0 10 10	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975	32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow	0 0 10 10 10	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938	32 32 32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457	32 32 32 32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4192 \\ 0.7310 \\ 0.1696 \\ 0.2661 \\ 0.4262 \\ 0.7608 \\ 0.1709 \\ 0.2678 \end{array}$	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666	0.4268 0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740	$\begin{array}{c} 0.222 \\ 0.4868 \\ 0.8158 \\ 0.1926 \\ 0.2975 \\ 0.4938 \\ 0.8457 \\ 0.1938 \\ 0.2992 \\ 0.4979 \\ 0.8219 \\ 0.8219 \\ 0.1896 \\ 0.3054 \end{array}$	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794	$\begin{array}{c} 0.222 \\ 0.4868 \\ 0.8158 \\ 0.1926 \\ 0.2975 \\ 0.4938 \\ 0.8457 \\ 0.1938 \\ 0.2992 \\ 0.4979 \\ 0.8219 \\ 0.8219 \\ 0.1896 \\ 0.3054 \\ 0.4470 \end{array}$	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \\ 250 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261	0.4268 0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 750 \\ 750 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.00216 0.0058 0.00216 0.0058 0.0058 0.0080	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563 0.1651	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 750 \\ 750 \\ 750 \\ 750 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494 0.0915	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337 0.0577	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563 0.1651 0.1253	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 250 \\ 750 \\ 750 \\ 750 \\ 750 \\ 750 \\ 750 \end{array}$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 5	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494 0.0915 0.0809	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337 0.0577 0.0385	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.3054 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563 0.1253 0.1233	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$egin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 2$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494 0.0915 0.0809 0.1719	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337 0.0577 0.0385 0.1605	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563 0.1253 0.1233 0.1834	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow yellow	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 250\\ 250\\ 250\\ 250\\ 250\\ 250\\ 250\\ 2$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494 0.0915 0.0809 0.1719 0.2704	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337 0.0577 0.0385 0.1605 0.2547	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563 0.1651 0.1253 0.1233 0.1834 0.2841	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow	$egin{array}{ccccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 2$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494 0.0915 0.0809 0.1719 0.2704 0.4542	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337 0.0577 0.0385 0.1605 0.2547 0.4205	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1563 0.1651 0.1253 0.1233 0.1834 0.2861 0.4891	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3
yellow yellow	$egin{array}{ccccc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 2$	48 h 72 h 6 h 24 h 48 h 72 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 52 h 5	0.4530 0.7734 0.1811 0.2818 0.4600 0.8032 0.1824 0.2835 0.4641 0.7795 0.1781 0.2897 0.4132 0.7668 0.1795 0.2417 0.2272 0.2917 0.1448 0.1494 0.0915 0.0809 0.1719 0.2704 0.27204 0.27204	0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216 0.0058 0.0080 0.0172 0.0216	0.4192 0.7310 0.1696 0.2661 0.4262 0.7608 0.1709 0.2678 0.4303 0.7371 0.1666 0.2740 0.3794 0.7244 0.1680 0.2261 0.1934 0.2493 0.1333 0.1337 0.0577 0.0385 0.1605 0.2547 0.4205 0.7262	0.4868 0.8158 0.1926 0.2975 0.4938 0.8457 0.1938 0.2992 0.4979 0.8219 0.1896 0.3054 0.4470 0.8093 0.1910 0.2574 0.2610 0.3341 0.1253 0.1253 0.1233 0.1834 0.2861 0.4881 0.9210	32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3

Table A4. Cont.

red	10	6 h	0.1685	0.0058	0.1570	0.1800	32
red	10	24 h	0.2750	0.0080	0.2593	0.2907	32
red	10	48 h	0.4503	0.0172	0.4165	0.4841	32
red	10	72 h	0.7800	0.0216	0.7376	0.8225	32
red	25	6 h	0.1686	0.0058	0.1571	0.1801	32
red	25	24 h	0.2691	0.0080	0.2534	0.2848	32
red	25	48 h	0.4301	0.0172	0.3963	0.4639	32
red	25	72 h	0.7536	0.0216	0.7112	0.7961	32
red	100	6 h	0.1686	0.0058	0.1571	0.1801	32
red	100	24 h	0.2697	0.0080	0.2540	0.2854	32
red	100	48 h	0.4428	0.0172	0.4090	0.4766	32
red	100	72 h	0.7194	0.0216	0.6770	0.7618	32
red	250	6 h	0.1723	0.0058	0.1608	0.1838	32
red	250	24 h	0.2191	0.0080	0.2034	0.2348	32
red	250	48 h 72 l	0.2297	0.0172	0.1959	0.2635	32
rea	250	/2 n	0.2700	0.0216	0.2276	0.3124	32
rea	750	6 N 24 h	0.1379	0.0058	0.1264	0.1494	32
rea	750	24 N 49 h	0.1220	0.0080	0.1063	0.1377	32
rea	750	48 N 72 h	0.0666	0.0172	0.0348	0.1024	32
Teu	750	7211	0.0807	0.0210	0.0383	0.1231	32
		Ν	leWo, SRB, stand	lard			
Туре	Concentration [µg/mL]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν
vellow	0	6 h	0.1819	0.0102	0.1619	0.2020	32
vellow	0	24 h	0.3214	0.0171	0.2878	0.3549	32
vellow	0	48 h	0.4323	0.0253	0.3826	0.4821	32
vellow	0	72 h	0.9680	0.0551	0.8597	1.0763	32
yellow	10	6 h	0.2108	0.0102	0.1907	0.2309	32
yellow	10	24 h	0.3100	0.0171	0.2764	0.3435	32
yellow	10	48 h	0.4706	0.0253	0.4209	0.5204	32
yellow	10	72 h	1.1057	0.0551	0.9974	1.2140	32
yellow	25	6 h	0.1982	0.0102	0.1781	0.2182	32
yellow	25	24 h	0.3242	0.0171	0.2907	0.3577	32
yellow	25	48 h	0.4940	0.0253	0.4442	0.5437	32
yellow	25	72 h	1.0551	0.0551	0.9468	1.1633	32
yellow	100	6 h	0.1880	0.0102	0.1679	0.2081	32
yellow	100	24 h	0.2827	0.0171	0.2492	0.3163	32
yellow	100	48 h	0.4865	0.0253	0.4367	0.5362	32
yellow	100	72 h	1.0251	0.0551	0.9168	1.1334	32
yellow	250	6 h	0.2097	0.0102	0.1896	0.2297	32
yellow	250	24 h	0.2765	0.0171	0.2430	0.3101	32
yellow	250	48 N 72 h	0.3123	0.0255	0.2625	0.3620	32
yellow	230 750	72 II 6 h	0.7511	0.0551	0.0420	0.0094	32 2 2
yellow	750	0 N 24 h	0.2079	0.0102	0.1878	0.2279	32
yellow	750	24 ft 48 h	0.3127	0.0171	0.2791	0.5462	32
vollow	750	40 II 72 h	0.4505	0.0255	0.4000	0.3001	32
red	750	6 h	0.0007	0.0331	0.1592	0.7730	32
red	0	24 h	0.17.72	0.0102 0.0171	0.2805	0.1775	32
red	0	48 h	0.4323	0.0171	0.3825	0.3470	32
red	0	72 h	1.0069	0.0551	0.8986	1.1152	32
red	10	6 h	0.1694	0.0102	0.1494	0.1895	32
red	10	24 h	0.2542	0.0171	0.2206	0.2877	32
red	10	48 h	0.4104	0.0253	0.3606	0.4602	32
red	10	72 h	1.0985	0.0551	0.9902	1.2068	32
red	25	6 h	0.1779	0.0102	0.1578	0.1979	32
red	25	24 h	0.2369	0.0171	0.2033	0.2704	32
red	25	48 h	0.3953	0.0253	0.3455	0.4451	32

Table A4. Cont.

Table A4. Cont.

1	25	70.1	0.005(0.0551	0 7770	0.0000	22
red	25	72 h	0.8856	0.0551	0.7773	0.9939	32
red	100	6 h	0.1771	0.0102	0.1570	0.1971	32
red	100	24 h	0.2429	0.0171	0.2094	0.2764	32
red	100	48 h	0.3783	0.0253	0.3285	0.4281	32
red	100	72 h	0.9538	0.0551	0.8455	1.0621	32
red	250	6 h	0 1964	0.0102	0 1764	0.2165	32
red	250	24 h	0.2018	0.0171	0.1682	0.2353	32
ieu d	250	40 1	0.2010	0.0171	0.1002	0.2355	22
rea	250	48 n	0.2275	0.0255	0.1777	0.2772	32
red	250	72 h	0.5448	0.0551	0.4365	0.6531	32
red	750	6 h	0.2178	0.0102	0.1977	0.2379	32
red	750	24 h	0.2825	0.0171	0.2489	0.3160	32
red	750	48 h	0.2014	0.0253	0.1516	0.2512	32
red	750	72 h	0.5654	0.0551	0.4571	0.6736	32
			A375, MTT				
	Concentration						
Type	Concentration	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν
	[µg/mL]						
vellow	0	6 h	0.0869	0.0057	0.0757	0.0981	32
vellow	0	24 h	0 1877	0.0087	0 1706	0 2049	32
vollow	0 0	48 h	0.3512	0.0143	0 3231	0.2793	32
yellow	0	70 h	0.3312	0.0145	0.5251	0.37.73	22
yenow	0	72 II	0.7270	0.0159	0.0956	0.7564	52
yellow	10	6 h	0.0977	0.0057	0.0865	0.1089	32
yellow	10	24 h	0.1977	0.0087	0.1806	0.2149	32
yellow	10	48 h	0.2257	0.0143	0.1976	0.2537	32
yellow	10	72 h	0.1910	0.0159	0.1596	0.2224	32
yellow	25	6 h	0.0980	0.0057	0.0867	0.1092	32
vellow	25	24 h	0.1760	0.0087	0.1589	0.1932	32
vellow	25	48 h	0.2246	0.0143	0.1966	0.2527	32
vellow	25	72 h	0 2110	0.0159	0 1796	0 2423	32
vellow	100	6 h	0.0890	0.0057	0.0778	0.1002	32
vellow	100	24 h	0.1162	0.0097	0.0770	0.1002	22
yellow	100	24 II 40 h	0.1102	0.0087	0.0990	0.1333	32
yenow	100	48 n	0.0942	0.0143	0.0661	0.1223	32
yellow	100	72 h	0.0749	0.0159	0.0435	0.1063	32
yellow	250	6 h	0.0089	0.0057	-0.0023	0.0201	32
yellow	250	24 h	0.0169	0.0087	-0.0002	0.0341	32
yellow	250	48 h	0.0132	0.0143	-0.0149	0.0413	32
yellow	250	72 h	0.0068	0.0159	-0.0246	0.0382	32
vellow	750	6 h	0.0046	0.0057	-0.0066	0.0158	32
vellow	750	24 h	0.0136	0.0087	-0.0036	0.0307	32
vellow	750	48 h	0.0090	0.0143	-0.0191	0.0371	32
yellow	750	40 h	0.0000	0.0145	0.0171	0.0371	22
yenow	750	72 II	0.0095	0.0159	-0.0220	0.0407	52
rea	0	6 N	0.0885	0.0057	0.0773	0.0997	32
red	0	24 h	0.1735	0.0087	0.1564	0.1907	32
red	0	48 h	0.3291	0.0143	0.3010	0.3572	32
red	0	72 h	0.6577	0.0159	0.6263	0.6891	32
red	10	6 h	0.0875	0.0057	0.0763	0.0987	32
red	10	24 h	0.1637	0.0087	0.1465	0.1808	32
red	10	48 h	0.2584	0.0143	0.2303	0.2865	32
red	10	72 h	0.3014	0.0159	0.2700	0.3328	32
red	25	6 h	0.0767	0.0057	0.0655	0.0880	32
red	25	24 h	0.1510	0.0087	0.1338	0.1682	32
rod	25	24 h	0.1010	0.0007	0.1900	0.1002	22
reu	20	40 II 70 L	0.2001	0.0143	0.1600	0.2002	3Z 20
rea	25	72 n	0.1924	0.0159	0.1610	0.2238	32
red	100	6 h	0.0701	0.0057	0.0589	0.0813	32
red	100	24 h	0.1202	0.0087	0.1030	0.1373	32
red	100	48 h	0.1169	0.0143	0.0888	0.1450	32
red	100	72 h	0.1119	0.0159	0.0806	0.1433	32
red	250	6 h	0.0124	0.0057	0.0012	0.0236	32
red	250	24 h	0.0171	0.0087	0.0000	0.0343	32
red	250	48 h	0.0102	0.0143	-0.0179	0.0382	32

red	250	72 h	0.0142	0.0159	-0.0171	0.0456	32		
red	750	6 h	0.0156	0.0057	0.0044	0.0268	32		
red	750	24 h	0.0114	0.0087	-0.0057	0.0286	32		
red	750	48 h	0.0095	0.0143	-0.0186	0.0376	32		
red	750	72 h	0.0087	0.0159	-0.0227	0.0400	32		
MeWo, MTT									
	Concentration								
Туре	[µg/mL]	Time	Mean value	SE	-95% CI	95% CI	Ν		
yellow	0	6 h	0.1103	0.0065	0.0975	0.1230	32		
yellow	0	24 h	0.1826	0.0071	0.1686	0.1967	32		
yellow	0	48 h	0.2484	0.0094	0.2299	0.2669	32		
yellow	0	72 n	0.2711	0.0127	0.2462	0.2960	32		
yellow	10	6 N 24 h	0.1101	0.0065	0.1055	0.1309	32		
yellow	10	24 ft 48 h	0.1603	0.0071	0.1004	0.1943	3Z 20		
yellow	10	48 N 72 h	0.2626	0.0094	0.2441 0.2672	0.2811	32		
yellow	10	72 ft	0.2922	0.0127	0.2075	0.3171	32 22		
vellow	25	011 24 h	0.1290	0.0003	0.1109	0.1424 0.2007	22		
vellow	25	24 II 48 h	0.1007	0.0071	0.1720	0.2007	22		
vellow	25	40 II 72 h	0.2740	0.0094	0.2505	0.2955	22		
vollow	100	72 II 6 h	0.2793	0.0127	0.2340	0.3043	32		
vollow	100	24 h	0.1407	0.0003	0.1279	0.1555	32		
vellow	100	24 II 48 h	0.1900	0.0071	0.1020	0.2107	22		
vellow	100	40 II 72 h	0.2039	0.0094	0.2474	0.2044	22		
vellow	250	7211 6 h	0.2348	0.0127	0.2299	0.2797	22		
vollow	250	24 h	0.1174	0.0003	0.1040	0.1502	32		
vellow	250	24 II 48 h	0.1495	0.0071	0.1335	0.1050	22		
vollow	250	40 II 72 h	0.1074	0.0094	0.1409	0.1009	32		
vollow	250 750	72 II 6 h	0.1031	0.0127	0.032	0.1550	32		
vollow	750	24 h	0.0925	0.0003	0.0797	0.1055	32		
vellow	750	48 h	0.0183	0.0071	-0.0021	0.0002	32		
vellow	750	72 h	0.0165	0.0074	-0.0184	0.0300	32		
red	0	6 h	0.1157	0.0127	0.0104	0.0014	32		
red	0	24 h	0.1569	0.0000	0.1029	0.1200	32		
red	0	48 h	0.2283	0.0071	0.2098	0.1709	32		
red	0	72 h	0.2325	0.0021	0.2076	0.2574	32		
red	10	6 h	0.1170	0.0127	0.1042	0.1298	32		
red	10	24 h	0 1712	0.0071	0 1571	0.1250	32		
red	10	48 h	0.2394	0.0094	0.2209	0.2579	32		
red	10	72 h	0.2643	0.0127	0.2394	0.2892	32		
red	25	6 h	0.1223	0.0065	0.1095	0.1351	32		
red	25	24 h	0.1783	0.0071	0.1643	0.1923	32		
red	25	48 h	0.2353	0.0094	0.2168	0.2538	32		
red	25	72 h	0.2695	0.0127	0.2445	0.2944	32		
red	100	6 h	0.1298	0.0065	0.1170	0.1426	32		
red	100	24 h	0.1982	0.0071	0.1842	0.2123	32		
red	100	48 h	0.2561	0.0094	0.2376	0.2746	32		
red	100	72 h	0.2718	0.0127	0.2469	0.2967	32		
red	250	6 h	0.1024	0.0065	0.0896	0.1152	32		
red	250	24 h	0.1343	0.0071	0.1202	0.1483	32		
red	250	48 h	0.1146	0.0094	0.0961	0.1331	32		
red	250	72 h	0.0990	0.0127	0.0741	0.1239	32		
red	750	6 h	0.0631	0.0065	0.0503	0.0759	32		
red	750	24 h	0.0194	0.0071	0.0054	0.0335	32		
red	750	48 h	0.0143	0.0094	-0.0042	0.0328	32		
red	750	72 h	0.0065	0.0127	-0.0184	0.0314	32		

Table A4. Cont.

36 of 41

Appendix B

Table A5. Logistic regression models used to estimate the IC_{50} values associated with the observed cytotoxic effect of *Cornus mas* L. extracts on selected melanoma cell lines (A375, MeWo).

Cell Line	Method	Time	Viability Equation (where: Y—Cytotoxic Response (% Viability); X—Concentration of <i>C. mas</i> L. Extract)	Calculated IC ₅₀ [µg/mL]
A375	MTT	6 h	$Y = \frac{102.6122}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{188.6701}\right)^{3.1319}}$	188.6701
A375	MTT	24 h	$Y = \frac{101.5023}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{138.4745}\right)^{1.5585}}$	138.4745
A375	MTT	48 h	$Y = \frac{99.6791}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{58.8851}\right)^{0.9029}}$	58.8851
A375	MTT	72 h	$Y = \frac{100.0238}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{9.9146}\right)^{0.432}}$	9.9146
A375	SRB (alternative)	6 h	$Y = \frac{102.0205}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{2611.8321}\right)^{0.7514}}$	2611.8321
A375	SRB (alternative)	24 h	$Y = \frac{103.5300}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{338.5524}\right)^{0.8981}}$	338.5524
A375	SRB (alternative)	48 h	$Y = \frac{100.018}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{182.7961}\right)^{0.5007}}$	182.7961
A375	SRB (alternative)	72 h	$Y = \frac{100.1688}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{205.9856}\right)^{0.2361}}$	205.9856
A375	SRB (standard)	6 h	-	Non-computable
A375	SRB (standard)	24 h	$Y = \frac{103.2968}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{3548.8126}\right)^{0.6808}}$	3548.8126
A375	SRB (standard)	48 h	$Y = \frac{100.0344}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{339.5497}\right)^{0.3113}}$	339.5497
A375	SRB (standard)	72 h	$Y = \frac{100.0213}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{6.4458}\right)^{0.2956}}$	6.4458
MeWo	MTT	6 h	$Y = \frac{110.6273}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{970.1337}\right)^{1.9727}}$	970.1337
MeWo	MTT	24 h	$Y = \frac{107.4500}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{416.2932}\right)^{2.816}}$	416.2932
MeWo	MTT	48 h	$Y = rac{106.1392}{ig(1+rac{x}{265.4668}ig)^{4.9316}}$	265.4668
MeWo	MTT	72 h	$Y = rac{107.0591}{\left(1+rac{x}{232.6805} ight)^{5.1644}}$	232.6805
MeWo	SRB (alternative)	6 h	$Y = \frac{99.5526}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{897.7824}\right)^{8.2243}}$	897.7824
MeWo	SRB (alternative)	24 h	$Y = \frac{101.8792}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{727.0854}\right)^{1.6182}}$	727.0854
MeWo	SRB (alternative)	48 h	$Y = \frac{106.1392}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{265.4668}\right)^{4.9316}}$	265.4668
MeWo	SRB (alternative)	72 h	$Y = \frac{100.5127}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{276.0806}\right)^{1.8460}}$	276.0806
MeWo	SRB (standard)	6 h	-	Non-computable

Cell Line	Method	Time	Viability Equation (where: Y—Cytotoxic Response (% Viability); X—Concentration of <i>C. mas</i> L. Extract)	Calculated IC ₅₀ [µg/mL]
MeWo	SRB (standard)	24 h	$Y = \frac{90.9666}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{2317.357}\right)^{8.6443}}$	2317.357
MeWo	SRB (standard)	48 h	$\Upsilon = \frac{104.6794}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{2190.8609}\right)^{0.6040}}$	2190.8609
MeWo	SRB (standard)	72 h	$Y = \frac{106.4493}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{920.6867}\right)^{0.8051}}$	920.6867

The terms 'standard' and 'alternative' refer to different assay protocols used for SRB assay, described in the 'Methods' section. 'Non-computable' in context of the IC_{50} values was imputed when no relative cell viability decrease was observed for a given time of cell culture.

References

- Cheli, Y.; Giuliano, S.; Fenouille, N.; Allegra, M.; Hofman, V.; Hofman, P.; Bahadoran, P.; Lacour, J.P.; Tartare-Deckert, S.; Bertolotto, C.; et al. Hypoxia and MITF control metastatic behaviour in mouse and human melanoma cells. *Oncogene* 2011, *31*, 2461–2470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Ferguson, J.; Smith, M.; Zudaire, I.; Wellbrock, C.; Arozarena, I. Glucose availability controls ATF4-mediated MITF suppression to drive melanoma cell growth. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 32946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, I.S.; Heilmann, S.; Kansler, E.R.; Zhang, Y.; Zimmer, M.; Ratnakumar, K.; Bowman, R.L.; Simon-Vermot, T.; Fennell, M.; Garippa, R.; et al. Microenvironment-derived factors driving metastatic plasticity in melanoma. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 14343. [CrossRef]
- Landsberg, J.; Kohlmeyer, J.; Renn, M.; Bald, T.; Rogava, M.; Cron, M.; Fatho, M.; Lennerz, V.; Wölfel, T.; Hölzel, M.; et al. Melanomas resist T-cell therapy through inflammation-induced reversible dedifferentiation. *Nature* 2012, 490, 412–416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Rambow, F.; Rogiers, A.; Marin-Bejar, O.; Aibar, S.; Femel, J.; Dewaele, M.; Karras, P.; Brown, D.; Chang, Y.H.; Debiec-Rychter, M.; et al. Toward Minimal Residual Disease-Directed Therapy in Melanoma. *Cell* **2018**, *174*, 843.e19–855.e19. [CrossRef]
- Tsoi, J.; Robert, L.; Paraiso, K.; Galvan, C.; Sheu, K.M.; Lay, J.; Wong, D.J.L.; Atefi, M.; Shirazi, R.; Wang, X.; et al. Multi-stage Differentiation Defines Melanoma Subtypes with Differential Vulnerability to Drug-Induced Iron-Dependent Oxidative Stress. *Cancer Cell* 2018, 33, 890.e5–904.e5. [CrossRef]
- Arozarena, I.; Wellbrock, C. Phenotype plasticity as enabler of melanoma progression and therapy resistance. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2019, 19, 377–391. [CrossRef]
- 8. Falcone, I.; Conciatori, F.; Bazzichetto, C.; Ferretti, G.; Cognetti, F.; Ciuffreda, L.; Milella, M. Tumor Microenvironment: Implications in Melanoma Resistance to Targeted Therapy and Immunotherapy. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 2870. [CrossRef]
- Radbeh, Z.; Asefi, N.; Hamishehkar, H.; Roufegarinejad, L.; Pezeshki, A. Novel carriers ensuring enhanced anti-cancer activity of Cornus mas (cornelian cherry) bioactive compounds. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2020, 125, 109906. [CrossRef]
- Strickland, L.R.; Pal, H.C.; Elmets, C.A.; Afaq, F. Targeting drivers of melanoma with synthetic small molecules and phytochemicals. *Cancer Lett.* 2015, 359, 20–35. [CrossRef]
- 11. de O. Raphaelli, C.; Azevedo, J.G.; Dalmazo, G.O.; Vinholes, J.R.; Braganhol, E.; Vizzotto, M.; Nora, L. Effect of Fruit Secondary Metabolites on Melanoma: A Systematic Review of In vitro Studies. *Curr. Bioact. Compd.* **2019**, *16*, 1009–1035. [CrossRef]
- Mitsiogianni, M.; Koutsidis, G.; Mavroudis, N.; Trafalis, D.T.; Botaitis, S.; Franco, R.; Zoumpourlis, V.; Amery, T.; Galanis, A.; Pappa, A.; et al. The Role of Isothiocyanates as Cancer Chemo-Preventive, Chemo-Therapeutic and Anti-Melanoma Agents. *Antioxidants* 2019, *8*, 106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Chandra Pal, H.; Marchiony Hunt, K.; Diamond, A.; A Elmets, C.; Afaq, F. Phytochemicals for the Management of Melanoma. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *16*, 953–979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Heo, J.R.; Lee, G.A.; Kim, G.S.; Hwang, K.A.; Choi, K.C. Phytochemical-induced reactive oxygen species and endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated apoptosis and differentiation in malignant melanoma cells. *Phytomedicine* 2018, 39, 100–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Ng, C.Y.; Yen, H.; Hsiao, H.Y.; Su, S.C. Phytochemicals in Skin Cancer Prevention and Treatment: An Updated Review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 941. [CrossRef]
- 16. Singh, S.; Zafar, A.; Khan, S.; Naseem, I. Towards therapeutic advances in melanoma management: An overview. *Life Sci.* 2017, 174, 50–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Table A5. Cont.

- Arya, J.S.; Joseph, M.M.; Sherin, D.R.; Nair, J.B.; Manojkumar, T.K.; Maiti, K.K. Exploring Mitochondria-Mediated Intrinsic Apoptosis by New Phytochemical Entities: An Explicit Observation of Cytochrome c Dynamics on Lung and Melanoma Cancer Cells. J. Med. Chem. 2019, 62, 8311–8329. [CrossRef]
- Pearlman, R.L.; Montes de Oca, M.K.; Pal, H.C.; Afaq, F. Potential therapeutic targets of epithelial–mesenchymal transition in melanoma. *Cancer Lett.* 2017, 391, 125–140. [CrossRef]
- 19. Islam, S.U.; Ahmed, M.B.; Ahsan, H.; Islam, M.; Shehzad, A.; Sonn, J.K.; Lee, Y.S. An Update on the Role of Dietary Phytochemicals in Human Skin Cancer: New Insights into Molecular Mechanisms. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 916. [CrossRef]
- 20. Menaa, F.; Badole, S.L.; Menaa, B.; Menaa, A. Promising plant extracts with in vivo anti-melanoma potential. *Bioact. Diet. Factors Plant Extr. Dermatol.* **2013**, 283–290. [CrossRef]
- 21. Alesiani, D.; Canini, A.; D'Abrosca, B.; DellaGreca, M.; Fiorentino, A.; Mastellone, C.; Monaco, P.; Pacifico, S. Antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of phytochemicals from Quince (*Cydonia vulgaris*) peels. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *118*, 199–207. [CrossRef]
- Blagojević, B.; Agić, D.; Serra, A.T.; Matić, S.; Matovina, M.; Bijelić, S.; Popović, B.M. An in vitro and in silico evaluation of bioactive potential of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) extracts rich in polyphenols and iridoids. *Food Chem.* 2021, 335, 127619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Dinda, B.; Kyriakopoulos, A.M.; Dinda, S.; Zoumpourlis, V.; Thomaidis, N.S.; Velegraki, A.; Markopoulos, C.; Dinda, M. *Cornus mas* L. (cornelian cherry), an important European and Asian traditional food and medicine: Ethnomedicine, phytochemistry and pharmacology for its commercial utilization in drug industry. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2016**, *193*, 670–690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kucharska, A.Z.; Szumny, A.; Sokól-Letowska, A.; Piórecki, N.; Klymenko, S.V. Iridoids and anthocyanins in cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas L.*) cultivars. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2015, 40, 95–102. [CrossRef]
- De Biaggi, M.; Donno, D.; Mellano, M.G.; Riondato, I.; Rakotoniaina, E.N.; Beccaro, G.L.; De Biaggi, M.; Donno, D.; Mellano, M.G.; Riondato, I.; et al. *Cornus mas* (L.) Fruit as a Potential Source of Natural Health-Promoting Compounds: Physico-Chemical Characterisation of Bioactive Components. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 2018, 73, 89–94. [CrossRef]
- Gąstoł, M.; Krośniak, M.; Derwisz, M.; Dobrowolska-Iwanek, J. Cornelian Cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) Juice as a Potential Source of Biological Compounds. J. Med. Food 2013, 16, 728–732. [CrossRef]
- Szczepaniak, O.M.; Kobus-Cisowska, J.; Kusek, W.; Przeor, M. Functional properties of Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.): A comprehensive review. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2019, 245, 2071–2087. [CrossRef]
- Efenberger-Szmechtyk, M.; Nowak, A.; Czyżowska, A.; Śniadowska, M.; Otlewska, A.; Żyżelewicz, D. Antibacterial mechanisms of Aronia melanocarpa (Michx.), Chaenomeles superba Lindl. and Cornus mas L. leaf extracts. Food Chem. 2021, 350, 129218. [CrossRef]
- Efenberger-Szmechtyk, M.; Nowak, A.; Czyżowska, A.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Fecka, I. Composition and Antibacterial Activity of Aronia melanocarpa (Michx.) Elliot, Cornus mas L. and Chaenomeles superba Lindl. Leaf Extracts. Molecules 2020, 25, 2011. [CrossRef]
- Yigit, D. Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Evaluation of Fruit Extract from Cornus mas L. Aksaray Univ. J. Sci. Eng. 2018, 2, 41–51.
 [CrossRef]
- 31. Mamedov, N.; Craker, L.E. Cornelian cherry: A prospective source for phytomedicine. Acta Hortic. 2004, 629, 83–86. [CrossRef]
- 32. Szandruk-Bender, M.; Rutkowska, M.; Merwid-Ląd, A.; Wiatrak, B.; Szeląg, A.; Dzimira, S.; Sobieszczańska, B.; Krzystek-Korpacka, M.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Matuszewska, A.; et al. Cornelian cherry iridoid-polyphenolic extract improves mucosal epithelial barrier integrity in rat experimental colitis and exerts antimicrobial and antiadhesive activities in vitro. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2020, 2020, 1–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krzyściak, P.; Krośniak, M.; Gąstoł, M.; Ochońska, D.; Krzyściak, W. Antimicrobial activity of Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.). Postępy Fitoter. 2011, 4, 227–231.
- 34. Quah, Y.; Lee, S.J.; Lee, E.B.; Birhanu, B.T.; Ali, M.S.; Abbas, M.A.; Boby, N.; Im, Z.E.; Park, S.C. Cornus officinalis Ethanolic Extract with Potential Anti-Allergic, Anti-Inflammatory, and Antioxidant Activities. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 3317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Moldovan, B.; Filip, A.; Clichici, S.; Suharoschi, R.; Bolfa, P.; David, L. Antioxidant activity of Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) fruits extract and the in vivo evaluation of its anti-inflammatory effects. *J. Funct. Foods* **2016**, *26*, 77–87. [CrossRef]
- 36. Tiptiri-Kourpeti, A.; Fitsiou, E.; Spyridopoulou, K.; Vasileiadis, S.; Iliopoulos, C.; Galanis, A.; Vekiari, S.; Pappa, A.; Chlichlia, K. Evaluation of Antioxidant and Antiproliferative Properties of *Cornus mas* L. Fruit Juice. *Antioxidants* **2019**, *8*, 377. [CrossRef]
- 37. Cosmulescu, S.; Trandafir, I.; Cornescu, F. Antioxidant Capacity, Total Phenols, Total Flavonoids and Colour Component of Cornelian Cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) Wild Genotypes. *Not. Bot. Horti Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca* **2019**, *47*, 390–394. [CrossRef]
- Šavikin, K.; Zdunić, G.; Janković, T.; Stanojković, T.; Juranić, Z.; Menković, N.; Avikin, K.Š.; Zdunicá, G.; Jankovicá, T.; Stanojković'b, T.S.; et al. In vitro cytotoxic and antioxidative activity of *Cornus mas* and *Cotinus coggygria*. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 2009, 23, 1731–1739. [CrossRef]
- 39. Savaş, E.; Tavşanli, H.; Çatalkaya, G.; Çapanoğlu, E.; Tamer, C.E. The antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of garagurt: Traditional Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas*) marmalade. *Qual. Assur. Saf. Crops Foods* **2020**, *12*, 12–23. [CrossRef]
- 40. Alavian, S.M.; Banihabib, N.; Haghi, M.E.; Panahi, F. Protective Effect of *Cornus mas* Fruits Extract on Serum Biomarkers in CCl4-Induced Hepatotoxicity in Male Rats. *Hepat. Mon.* **2014**, *14*, 10330. [CrossRef]
- 41. Somi, M.H.; Banihabib, N.; Dehghan, G.; Haghi, M.E.; Panahi, F.; Haghi, E. Hepatoprotective Effect of *Cornus mas* Fruits Extract Against Carbon Tetrachloride-Induced Hepatic Damage in Male Albino Rats. *Thrita* **2014**, *3*, 17625. [CrossRef]
- Mesgari Abbasi, M.; Hassanalilou, T.; Khordadmehr, M.; Mohammadzadeh Vardin, A.; Behroozi Kohlan, A.; Khalili, L. Effects of *Cornus mas* Fruit Hydro-Methanolic Extract on Liver Antioxidants and Histopathologic Changes Induced by Cisplatin in Rats. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.* 2019, 35, 218–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 43. Dragan, S.; Badalica, M.; Duicu, O.; Socaciu, C. Comparative Cardioprotective Effects of *Crataegus Monogyna, Cornus Mas* and *Prunella Vulgaris* on Neonatal Rat Cardiomyocytes. J. Altern. Complement. Med. **2014**, 20, A34. [CrossRef]
- Mikaili, P.; Eshaghi, M.; Zare, S.; Banihabib, N.; Nejati, V.; Farokhi, F. Cardioprotective Effect of *Cornus Mas* Fruit Extract against Carbon Tetrachloride Induced-Cardiotoxicity in Albino Rats. *J. Basic Appl. Sci. Res.* 2012, 2, 11106–11114.
- Yarim, G.F.; Kazak, F.; Sozmen, M.; Koca, I.; Albayrak, H.; Yarim, M.; Cenesiz, S.; Ozan, E. Kızılcık (*Cornus mas* L.) meyva ekstraktının sisplatin indüklü in vitro böbrek hücre hasarına etkisinin araştırılması. *Turkish J. Biochem.* 2017, 42, 435–443. [CrossRef]
- 46. Vardin, A.M.; Khordadmehr, M.; Heidari, R.; Nouri, H.O.L.; Amirkhiz, M.B.; Abbasi, M.M. The effects of *cornus mas* hydromethanolic extract on cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. *Pharm. Sci.* 2018, 24, 97–103. [CrossRef]
- Lietava, J.; Beerova, N.; Klymenko, S.V.; Panghyova, E.; Varga, I.; Pechanova, O. Effects of Cornelian Cherry on Atherosclerosis and Its Risk Factors. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2019, 2019, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- Sozański, T.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Dzimira, S.; Magdalan, J.; Szumny, D.; Matuszewska, A.; Nowak, B.; Piórecki, N.; Szelag, A.; Trocha, M. Loganic acid and anthocyanins from cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) fruits modulate diet-induced atherosclerosis and redox status in rabbits. *Adv. Clin. Exp. Med.* 2018, 27, 1505–1513. [CrossRef]
- 49. Rafieian-Kopaei, M.; Asgary, S.; Adelnia, A.; Setorki, M.; Khazaei, M.; Kazemi, S.; Shamsi, F. The effects of cornelian cherry on atherosclerosis and atherogenic factors in hypercholesterolemic rabbits. *J. Med. Plants Res.* 2011, *5*, 2670–2676.
- 50. Dzydzan, O.; Bila, I.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Brodyak, I.; Sybirna, N. Antidiabetic effects of extracts of red and yellow fruits of cornelian cherries (*Cornus mas* L.) on rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes mellitus. *Food Funct.* **2019**, *10*, 6459–6472. [CrossRef]
- Capcarova, M.; Kalafova, A.; Schwarzova, M.; Schneidgenova, M.; Svik, K.; Prnova, M.S.; Slovak, L.; Kovacik, A.; Lory, V.; Zorad, S.; et al. Cornelian cherry fruit improves glycaemia and manifestations of diabetes in obese Zucker diabetic fatty rats. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 2019, 126, 118–123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Hosseinpour, F.; Shomali, T.; Rafieian-Kopaei, M. Hypocholesterolemic activity of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) fruits. *J. Complement. Integr. Med.* **2017**, *14*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Asgary, S.; Rafieian-Kopaei, M.; Shamsi, F.; Najafi, S.; Sahebkar, A. Biochemical and histopathological study of the antihyperglycemic and anti-hyperlipidemic effects of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *J. Complement. Integr. Med.* 2014, 11, 63–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Rasoulian, H.; Shahryar, H.A.; Abbaspour, R.; Lotfi, H. Effects of dietary inclusion of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) fruit on body weight, insulin level and glycemic status of hamsters. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* **2012**, *15*, 547–550. [CrossRef]
- 55. Narimani-Rad, M.; Zendehdel, M.; Abbasi, M.M.; Abdollahi, B.; Lotfi, A. Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) Extract affects Glycemic status in Wistar rats. *Bull. Environ. Pharmacol. Life Sci.* 2013, 2, 48–50.
- Efenberger-Szmechtyk, M.; Nowak, A.A.; Nowak, A.A. Cytotoxic and DNA-Damaging Effects of *Aronia melanocarpa*, *Cornus mas*, and *Chaenomeles superba* Leaf Extracts on the Human Colon Adenocarcinoma Cell Line Caco-2. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 1030. [CrossRef]
- 57. Rezaei, F.; Shokrzadeh, M.; Majd, A.; Nezhadsattari, T. Cytotoxic Effect of Hydroalcoholic Extract of *Cornus mas* L. fruit on MCF7, HepG2 and CHO cell line by MTT Assay. *J. Maz. Univ. Med. Sci.* **2014**, 24, 130–138.
- Yousefi, B.; Abasi, M.; Abbasi, M.M.; Jahanban-Esfahlan, R. Anti-proliferative properties of *Cornus mass* fruit in different human cancer cells. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2015, 16, 5727–5731. [CrossRef]
- 59. Hosseini, F.S.; Karimabad, M.N.; Hajizadeh, M.R.; Khoshdel, A.; Falahati-Pour, S.K.; Mirzaei, M.R.; Mirmohamadi, S.M.; Mahmoodi, M. Evaluating of Induction of Apoptosis by *Cornus mass* L. Extract in the Gastric Carcinoma Cell Line (AGS). *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **2019**, *20*, 123. [CrossRef]
- Turker, A.U.; Yildirim, A.B.; Karakas, F.P. Antibacterial and Antitumor Activities of Some Wild Fruits Grown in Turkey. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.* 2012, 26, 2765–2772. [CrossRef]
- 61. Yilmaz, S.; Alpa, S.; AY, G.; Ulger, H.; Arslan, E.; AH, Y.; Ertekin, T.; Nisari, M.; Yalcin, B. The investigation of the antitumoral effect of *Cornus mas* L. in mice with ehrlich solid tumor. *Bratisl. Lek. Listy* **2020**, *121*, 22–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Opris, R.; Toma, V.; Olteanu, D.; Baldea, I.; Baciu, A.M.; Lucaci, F.I.; Berghian-Sevastre, A.; Tatomir, C.; Moldovan, B.; Clichici, S.; et al. Effects of silver nanoparticles functionalized with *Cornus mas* L. extract on architecture and apoptosis in rat testicle. *Nanomedicine* **2019**, *14*, 275–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Blagojević, B.; Četojević-Simin, D.; Parisi, F.; Lazzara, G.; Popović, B.M. Halloysite nanotubes as a carrier of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) bioactives. *LWT* 2020, 134, 110247. [CrossRef]
- Ebrahimzadeh, A.; Esmaeili, M.; Hassanpour, H.; Hassanpouraghdam, M.B.; Ercisli, S.; Bozhuyuk, M.R.; Dokoupil, L.; Mlcek, J. Quality Attributes of Chitosan-Coated Cornelian Cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) Fruits under Different Storage Temperatures. *Horticulturae* 2021, 7, 540. [CrossRef]
- 65. Crisan, D.; Scharffetter-Kochanek, K.; Crisan, M.; Schatz, S.; Hainzl, A.; Olenic, L.; Filip, A.; Schneider, L.A.; Sindrilaru, A. Topical silver and gold nanoparticles complexed with *Cornus mas* suppress inflammation in human psoriasis plaques by inhibiting NF-κB activity. *Exp. Dermatol.* 2018, 27, 1166–1169. [CrossRef]
- 66. David, L.; Moldovan, B.; Baldea, I.; Olteanu, D.; Bolfa, P.; Clichici, S.; Filip, G.A. Modulatory effects of *Cornus sanguinea* L. mediated green synthesized silver nanoparticles on oxidative stress, COX-2/NOS2 and NFkB/pNFkB expressions in experimental inflammation in Wistar rats. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2020, *110*, 110709. [CrossRef]

- Abe, Y.; Takabe, W.; Yagi, M.; Uwaya, A.; Isami, F.; Yonei, Y. Inhibition of AGE-induced Melanogenesis in B16 Melanoma Cells by Iridoid-containing Plants. *Glycative Stress Res. Off. J.* 2017, *4*, 67–70.
- Przybylska, D.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Cybulska, I.; Sozański, T.; Piórecki, N.; Fecka, I. Cornus mas L. Stones: A Valuable by-Product as an Ellagitannin Source with High Antioxidant Potential. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 4646. [CrossRef]
- 69. Muller, K.E.; Barton, C.N. Approximate power for repeated-measures anova lacking sphericity. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 1989, 84, 549–555. [CrossRef]
- Van Tonder, A.; Joubert, A.M.; Cromarty, A.D.; Van Tonder, A.; Joubert, A.M.; Cromarty, A.D. Limitations of the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay when compared to three commonly used cell enumeration assays. *BMC Res. Notes* 2015, *8*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 71. Steiger, J.H. Beyond the F test: Effect size confidence intervals and tests of close fit in the analysis of variance and contrast analysis. *Psychol. Methods* **2004**, *9*, 164–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, J.; Rennaker, C.; Wrolstad, R.E. Correlation of two anthocyanin quantification methods: HPLC and spectrophotometric methods. *Food Chem.* 2008, 110, 782–786. [CrossRef]
- Kardel, M.; Taube, F. Different approaches to evaluate tannin content and structure of selected plant extracts-Review and new aspects. Artic. J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual. 2013, 86, 154–166. [CrossRef]
- 74. Wulandari, L.; Retnaningtyas, Y.; Nuri; Lukman, H. Analysis of Flavonoid in Medicinal Plant Extract Using Infrared Spectroscopy and Chemometrics. J. Anal. Methods Chem. 2016, 2016, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Yilmaz, K.U.; Ercisli, S.; Zengin, Y.; Sengul, M.; Kafkas, E.Y. Preliminary characterisation of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) genotypes for their physico-chemical properties. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *114*, 408–412. [CrossRef]
- Moldovan, B.; Popa, A.; David, L. Effects of storage temperature on the total phenolic content of Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) fruits extracts. J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual. 2016, 89, 208–211.
- Forman, V.; Haladová, M.; Grančai, D.; Ficková, M. Antiproliferative Activities of Water Infusions from Leaves of Five Cornus L. Species. Molecules 2015, 20, 22546–22552. [CrossRef]
- Lee, M.; Yin, J.; Park, K. Antiproliferative effects of new dimeric ellagitannins from *Cornus alba* in prostate cancer cells. *Planta Med.* 2016, 82, P1093. [CrossRef]
- 79. Fukumoto, L.R.; Mazza, G. Assessing Antioxidant and Prooxidant Activities of Phenolic Compounds. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2000, 48, 3597–3604. [CrossRef]
- 80. German, J.B.; Frankel, E. Phenolics: Prooxidants or Antioxidants? Nutr. Rev. 1997, 55, 396–398. [CrossRef]
- 81. Eghbaliferiz, S.; Iranshahi, M. Prooxidant Activity of Polyphenols, Flavonoids, Anthocyanins and Carotenoids: Updated Review of Mechanisms and Catalyzing Metals. *Phytother. Res.* **2016**, *30*, 1379–1391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galati, G.; Sabzevari, O.; Wilson, J.X.; O'Brien, P.J. Prooxidant activity and cellular effects of the phenoxyl radicals of dietary flavonoids and other polyphenolics. *Toxicology* 2002, 177, 91–104. [CrossRef]
- Procházková, D.; Boušová, I.; Wilhelmová, N. Antioxidant and prooxidant properties of flavonoids. *Fitoterapia* 2011, 82, 513–523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Eren-Guzelgun, B.; Ince, E.; Gurer-Orhan, H. In vitro antioxidant/prooxidant effects of combined use of flavonoids. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2018**, *32*, 1446–1450. [CrossRef]
- Young, A.J.; Lowe, G.M. Antioxidant and Prooxidant Properties of Carotenoids. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2001, 385, 20–27. [CrossRef]
- 86. Martin, H.D.; Jäger, C.; Ruck, C.; Schmidt, M.; Walsh, R.; Paust, J. Anti-and Prooxidant Properties of Carotenoids. *J. Für Prakt. Chem.* **1999**, *341*, 302–308. [CrossRef]
- Filipiak, K.; Hidalgo, M.; Silvan, J.M.; Fabre, B.; Carbajo, R.J.; Pineda-Lucena, A.; Ramos, A.; De Pascual-Teresa, B.; De Pascual-Teresa, S. Dietary gallic acid and anthocyanin cytotoxicity on human fibrosarcoma HT1080 cells. A study on the mode of action. *Food Funct.* 2014, *5*, 381–389. [CrossRef]
- Reddivari, L.; Vanamala, J.; Chintharlapalli, S.; Safe, S.H.; Miller, J.C. Anthocyanin fraction from potato extracts is cytotoxic to prostate cancer cells through activation of caspase-dependent and caspase-independent pathways. *Carcinogenesis* 2007, 28, 2227–2235. [CrossRef]
- 89. Rugină, D.; Sconța, Z.; Leopold, L.; Pintea, A.; Bunea, A.; Socaciu, C. Antioxidant Activities of Chokeberry Extracts and the Cytotoxic Action of Their Anthocyanin Fraction on HeLa Human Cervical Tumor Cells. J. Med. Food **2012**, 15, 700–706. [CrossRef]
- Szymanowska, U.; Baraniak, B.; Bogucka-Kocka, A. Antioxidant, Anti-Inflammatory, and Postulated Cytotoxic Activity of Phenolic and Anthocyanin-Rich Fractions from Polana Raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) Fruit and Juice—In Vitro Study. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 1812. [CrossRef]
- 91. Bagchi, D.; Sen, C.K.; Bagchi, M.; Atalay, M. Anti-angiogenic, Antioxidant, and Anti-carcinogenic Properties of a Novel Anthocyanin-Rich Berry Extract Formula. *Biochemistry* 2004, *69*, 75–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Hogan, S.; Chung, H.; Zhang, L.; Li, J.; Lee, Y.; Dai, Y.; Zhou, K. Antiproliferative and antioxidant properties of anthocyanin-rich extract from açai. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *118*, 208–214. [CrossRef]
- Migliorini, A.A.; Piroski, C.S.; Daniel, T.G.; Cruz, T.M.; Escher, G.B.; Vieira do Carmo, M.A.; Azevedo, L.; Marques, M.B.; Granato, D.; Rosso, N.D. Red Chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) Extract Rich in Anthocyanins: Chemical Stability, Antioxidant Activity, and Antiproliferative Activity In Vitro. J. Food Sci. 2019, 84, 990–1001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Fernandes, I.; Marques, F.; De Freitas, V.; Mateus, N. Antioxidant and antiproliferative properties of methylated metabolites of anthocyanins. *Food Chem.* 2013, 141, 2923–2933. [CrossRef]
- Qiao, S.; Lamore, S.D.; Cabello, C.M.; Lesson, J.L.; Muñoz-Rodriguez, J.L.; Wondrak, G.T. Thiostrepton is an inducer of oxidative and proteotoxic stress that impairs viability of human melanoma cells but not primary melanocytes. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2012, *83*, 1229–1240. [CrossRef]
- 96. Petersson, S.; Shubbar, E.; Enerbäck, L.; Enerbäck, C. Expression patterns of S100 proteins in melanocytes and melanocytic lesions. *Melanoma Res.* **2009**, *19*, 215–225. [CrossRef]
- 97. Okazawa, M.; Shiraki, T.; Ninomiya, H.; Kobayashi, S.; Masaki, T. Endothelin-induced Apoptosis of A375 Human Melanoma Cells. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 12584–12592. [CrossRef]
- 98. Spychaj, R.; Kucharska, A.Z.; Szumny, A.; Przybylska, D.; Pejcz, E.; Piórecki, N. Potential valorization of Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) stones: Roasting and extraction of bioactive and volatile compounds. *Food Chem.* **2021**, *358*, 129802. [CrossRef]
- Adan, A.; Kiraz, Y.; Yusuf, B. Cell proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* 2016, 17, 1213–1221. [CrossRef]
 Lancaster, H.O. The Helmert Matrices. *Am. Math. Mon.* 1965, 72, 4–12. [CrossRef]
- 101. Farhadian, R.; Asadian, N. On the Helmert Matrix and Application in Stochastic Processes. *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2017, 12, 107–115.
- 102. AAT Bioquest GraphTM IC50 Calculator. 2022. Available online: https://www.aatbio.com/tools/ic50-calculator (accessed on 27 May 2022).