

THE CONTRIBUTION OF FEMALE MEIOTIC DRIVE TO THE EVOLUTION OF NEO-SEX CHROMOSOMES

Kohta Yoshida¹ and Jun Kitano^{1,2,3}

 ¹Ecological Genetics Laboratory, Center for Frontier Research, National Institute of Genetics, Yata 1111, Mishima, Shizuoka 411–8540, Japan
²PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Honcho Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan
³E-mail: jkitano@lab.nig.ac.jp

Received January 19, 2012 Accepted April 22, 2012 Data Archived: Dryad doi:10.5061/dryad.73cf7

Sex chromosomes undergo rapid turnover in certain taxonomic groups. One of the mechanisms of sex chromosome turnover involves fusions between sex chromosomes and autosomes. Sexual antagonism, heterozygote advantage, and genetic drift have been proposed as the drivers for the fixation of this evolutionary event. However, all empirical patterns of the prevalence of multiple sex chromosome systems across different taxa cannot be simply explained by these three mechanisms. In this study, we propose that female meiotic drive may contribute to the evolution of neo-sex chromosomes. The results of this study showed that in mammals, the XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system is more prevalent in species with karyotypes of more biarmed chromosomes, whereas the X₁X₂Y sex chromosome system is more prevalent in species with predominantly acrocentric chromosomes. In species where biarmed chromosomes are favored by female meiotic drive, X-autosome fusions (XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system) will be also favored by female meiotic drive. In contrast, in species with more acrocentric chromosomes, Y-autosome fusions (X₁X₂Y sex chromosome just because of the biased mutation rate toward chromosomal fusions. Further consideration should be given to female meiotic drive as a mechanism in the fixation of neo-sex chromosomes.

KEY WORDS: Centromere, female meiotic drive, multiple sex chromosome, speciation, X₁X₂Y, XY₁Y₂.

Sex chromosomes can undergo rapid turnover, that is, sex-linked chromosomes differ between closely related species or populations (White 1973; Charlesworth and Mank 2010). Sex chromosome turnover can occur via several mechanisms, such as the transposition of an existing sex-determination gene to an autosome (Woram et al. 2003), de novo evolution of a sex-determination gene on an autosome (Kondo et al. 2006; Tanaka et al. 2007; van Doorn and Kirkpatrick 2007; Hediger et al. 2010), and fusions between an autosome and a sex chromosome (White 1973; Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1980; Kitano

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out at http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/onlineopen# OnlineOpen_Terms

et al. 2009; Kaiser and Bachtrog 2010; Kitano and Peichel 2012). Although sex chromosomes are considered stable in some taxonomic groups, such as mammals, genomic data indicate that such sex chromosomes have undergone chromosomal fusions with autosomes during their evolution (Wilcox et al. 1996; Charchar et al. 2003; Kohn et al. 2004; Charlesworth et al. 2005). Because turnover of sex chromosomes may play a substantial role in phenotypic divergence (Roberts et al. 2009) and reproductive isolation between incipient species (Kitano et al. 2009), elucidation of the forces driving sex chromosome turnover is essential to better understand the mechanisms of sex chromosome evolution and speciation.

A centromeric fusion between an autosome and a sex chromosome is one of the mechanisms by which neo-sex



Figure 1. Proposed mechanisms of the evolution of multiple sex chromosome systems. Chromosome shapes during the first meiotic division are shown. (A) From a simple XY sex determination system, a fusion between an X chromosome and an autosome or between a Y chromosome and an autosome creates an XY_1Y_2 or an X_1X_2Y sex chromosome system, respectively. (B) From a simple XY sex determination system, a centromeric fission of an X or a Y chromosome creates an X_1X_2Y or an X_1X_2Y or an XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome system, respectively. (B) From a simple XY sex determination system, a centromeric fission of an X or a Y chromosome creates an X_1X_2Y or an X_1X_2Y or an XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome system, respectively. Autosomes, X, and Y chromosomes are indicated by white, red, and blue colors, respectively.

chromosomes evolve. Most vertebrate species with sex chromosomes have a simple male heteromorphic (XX female/XY male) or a simple female heteromorphic (ZW female/ZZ male) system. In species with the XY system, a centromeric fusion between an autosome and a Y chromosome creates an X1X2Y sex chromosome system (White 1973) (Fig. 1A), in which males have one neo-Y chromosome (a fused chromosome), one ancestral X chromosome (X_1) , and one neo-X chromosome (X_2) ; a free copy of the autosome involved in the fusion), whereas females have two pairs of X chromosomes (two X1 chromosomes and two X2 chromosomes). A fusion between an autosome and an X chromosome gives rise to an XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system, in which males have one neo-X chromosome (a fused chromosome), one ancestral Y chromosome (Y_1) , and one neo-Y chromosome $(Y_2; a free$ copy of the autosome involved in the fusion), while females have one pair of neo-X chromosome (Fig. 1A). Although such multiple sex chromosome systems can be also derived from centromeric fissions (Fig. 1B), centromeric fusions are considered to be the main mechanisms in most cases of multiple sex chromosome systems in fishes and mammals (see references in Table 1 and Kitano and Peichel 2012): there is only one mammalian case (Wallabia *bicolor*) with an XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome system that was likely derived from a fission of a Y chromosome (Toder et al. 1997).

Three mechanisms to promote the fixation of fusions between autosomes and sex chromosomes have been proposed. First, the presence of sexually antagonistic genes (i.e., genes with alleles that have differential fitness effects in males and females) on an autosome may drive the fusion of that autosome to an existing sex chromosome (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1980). If a sexually antagonistic allele is present on an autosome, this allele will not easily spread within a population because of opposing selection pressures on that one allele between two sexes (Rice 1984). A translocation of the sexually antagonistic allele to a sex chromosome, either X or Y, can resolve the intralocus sexual conflict because males and females can have different alleles at the sexually antagonistic locus (Rice 1984). Second, in an inbreeding population, the presence of an autosomal locus with heterozygote advantage may promote a fusion of that autosome to sex chromosomes (Charlesworth and Wall 1999). When autosomal loci with heterozygote advantage are moved onto an X chromosome or a Y chromosome, the resulting male progeny can be heterozygous at that locus and would be favored. Third, genetic drift may promote fixation of fused chromosomes in small isolated populations (Lande 1979, 1985). Centromeric fusions often exhibit a heterozygote disadvantage (Lande 1979; King 1993) and are selected against when they are in the minority, but they would be rather favored when they are in the majority. Once genetic drift brings fused chromosomes into the majority, the derived fusions become a majority, so the fusions become more likely fixed than the ancestral karyotype. This mechanism, however, requires a very small population size (Lande 1979). In the former two mechanisms, theoretical models predict that Yautosome fusions (X1X2Y sex chromosome systems) will be more common than X-autosome fusions (XY1Y2 sex chromosome systems) (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1980; Charlesworth and Wall 1999). Our previous studies in fish demonstrated that X_1X_2Y sex chromosome systems (35/38) are more common than XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome systems (3/38) (Kitano and Peichel 2012).

| Table 1 . Mamr | nalian species with | X_1X_2Y and XY_1Y_2 | sex chromosom | e systems. | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| Order | Family | Genus | Species | Female 2 <i>n</i> | Male 2 <i>n</i> | Female NF | Male NF | Female % acrocentric | Male % acrocentric | System | References |
| Primates | Aotidae | Aotus | azarae | 50 | 49 | 74 | 76 | 0.52 | 0.45 | $\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{Y}$ | (Pieczarka and Nagamachi 1988; Mudry et al. 1990) |
| Primates | Aotidae | Aotus | boliviensis | 50 | 49 | 64 | 63 | 0.72 | 0.71 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Ma et al. 1976; Pieczarka and Nagamachi 1988) |
| Primates | Aotidae | Aotus | inflatus | 50 | 49 | 66 | 65 | 0.68 | 0.67 | X_1X_2Y | (Pieczarka and Nagamachi 1988) |
| Primates | Aotidae | Aotus | sp. | 50 | 49 | 74 | 73 | 0.52 | 0.51 | X_1X_2Y | (Mudry de Pargament et al. 1984) |
| Primates | Atelidae | Alouatta | belzebul | 50 | 49 | 70 | 70 | 0.60 | 0.57 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Armada et al. 1987) |
| Primates | Atelidae | Alouatta | fusca | 50 | 49 | 68 | 66 | 0.64 | 0.65 | X_1X_2Y | (de Oliveira et al. 1998, 2002) |
| Primates | Atelidae | Alouatta | palliata | 54 | 53 | 78 | 76 | 0.56 | 0.57 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Ma et al. 1975) |
| Primates | Callitrichidae | Callimico | goeldii | 48 | 47 | 72 | 71 | 0.50 | 0.49 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Margulis et al. 1995) |
| Primates | Pitheciidae | Cacajao | calvus | 46 | 45 | 62 | 62 | 0.65 | 0.62 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Finotelo et al. 2010) |
| Rodentia | Cricetidae | Deltamys | kempi | 38 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 1.00 | 0.97 | X_1X_2Y | (Sbalqueiro et al. 1984; Montes et al. 2008) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Mus | minutoides | 36 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 1.00 | 0.97 | X_1X_2Y | (Matthey 1965; Fredga 1970) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Vandeleuria | oleracea | 29 | 29 | 41 | 41 | 0.59 | 0.59 | X_1X_2Y | (Sharma and Raman 1972) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Choeroniscus | godmani | 20 | 19 | 28 | 26 | 0.60 | 0.63 | X_1X_2Y | (Hsu et al. 1968; Patton and Gardner 1971) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Mesophylla | macconnelli | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Baker and Hsu 1970) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Tragelaphus | strepsiceros | 32 | 31 | 58 | 58 | 0.19 | 0.13 | X_1X_2Y | (Wallace and Fairall 1968) |
| Carnivora | Herpestidae | Herpestes | auropunctatus | 36 | 35 | 56 | 54 | 0.44 | 0.46 | $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}$ | (Fredga 1965a,b) |

| Pilosa | Megalonychidae | Choloepus | hoffmanni | 49 | 49 | 58 | 58 | 0.82 | 0.82 | $\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{Y}$ | (Corin-Frederic 1969) |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----|----|----|----|------|------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Diprotodontia | Macropodidae | Lagorchestes | conspicillatus | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | X_1X_2Y | (Martin and Hayman 1966) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Mus | musculoides | 18 | 19 | 36 | 35 | 0.00 | 0.16 | XY,Y, | (Vevrines et al. 2004) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Taterillus | arenarius | 30 | 31 | 36 | 36 | 0.80 | 0.84 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Dobigny et al. 2003, 2005) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Taterillus | petteri | 18 | 19 | 28 | 28 | 0.44 | 0.53 | XY_1Y_2 | (Dobigny et al. 2003, 2005) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Taterillus | pygargus | 22 | 23 | 38 | 40 | 0.27 | 0.26 | XY_1Y_2 | (Dobigny et al. 2002) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Taterillus | sp.1 | 22 | 23 | 40 | 40 | 0.18 | 0.26 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Dobigny et al. 2002) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Taterillus | sp.2 | 24 | 25 | 4 | 44 | 0.17 | 0.24 | XY_1Y_2 | (Dobigny et al. 2002) |
| Rodentia | Muridae | Taterillus | tranieri | 14 | 15 | 24 | 23 | 0.29 | 0.47 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Dobigny et al. 2004) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Artibeus | jamaicensis | 30 | 31 | 50 | 51 | 0.33 | 0.35 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Yonenaga et al. 1969) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Artibeus | lituratus | 30 | 31 | 50 | 51 | 0.33 | 0.35 | XY_1Y_2 | (Yonenaga et al. 1969) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Artibeus | toltecus | 30 | 31 | 50 | 51 | 0.33 | 0.35 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Yonenaga et al. 1969) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Carollia | subrufa | 20 | 21 | 32 | 33 | 0.40 | 0.43 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Yonenaga et al. 1969) |
| Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Carolia | perspicillata | 20 | 21 | 32 | 33 | 0.40 | 0.43 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Yonenaga et al. 1969) |
| Artiodactyla | Cervidae | Muntiacus | muntjak | 9 | ٢ | 10 | 11 | 0.33 | 0.43 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Wurster and Benirschke |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1970) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Gazella | granti | 30 | 31 | 60 | 60 | 0.06 | 0.06 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Effron et al. 1976) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Gazella | gazella | 34 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 0.29 | 0.29 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Effron et al. 1976) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Gazella | dorcas | 30 | 31 | 60 | 60 | 0.06 | 0.06 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Effron et al. 1976) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Gazella | spekei | 32 | 33 | 60 | 60 | 0.18 | 0.18 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Effron et al. 1976) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Gazella | leptoceros | 32 | 33 | 60 | 60 | 0.18 | 0.18 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Effron et al. 1976) |
| Artiodactyla | Bovidae | Gazella | subgutturosa | 30 | 31 | 60 | 60 | 0.06 | 0.06 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Effron et al. 1976) |
| Soricomorpha | Soricidae | Sorex | araneus | 22 | 23 | 42 | 42 | 0.09 | 0.17 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Ott 1968) |
| | | | Race A | | | | | | | | |
| Soricomorpha | Soricidae | Sorex | gemelleus | 22 | 23 | 44 | 44 | 0.00 | 0.09 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Ott 1968) |
| Diprotodontia | Potoroidae | Potorous | tridactylus | 12 | 13 | 22 | 22 | 0.17 | 0.31 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Sharman and Barber |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Krooth 1964) |
| Diprotodontia | Macropodidae | Wallabia | bicolor | 10 | 11 | 18 | 20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}_1\mathbf{Y}_2$ | (Metcalfe et al. 1998) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

NF = fundamental number.



Figure 2. Female meiotic drive. (A) During the first meiotic division of female, only one pair of chromosomes is transmitted to the egg, whereas another pair is transmitted to the polar body. (B) A proposed mechanism of centromere-associated female meiotic drive. When the eggs have stronger spindles than the polar bodies (e.g., mice), chromosome pairs with more centromeres are more likely to be transmitted to the eggs (left panel). When the polar bodies have stronger spindles than the eggs (e.g., humans), chromosome pairs with less centromeres are more likely to be transmitted to the eggs (right panel).

However, XY_1Y_2 and X_1X_2Y sex chromosome systems are equally common in mammals (White 1973). Thus, additional mechanisms might also have contributed to the fixation of multiple sex chromosome systems in mammals.

Here, we propose that female meiotic drive serves as another mechanism for the fixation of sex chromosome-autosome fusions. During female meiosis, only one of the four meiotic products develops into an egg. Therefore, any bias in the segregation of homologous chromosomes between the eggs and the polar bodies during the first meiotic division can have a substantial influence on the genetic composition of the progeny (Fig. 2A) (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001b; Burt and Trivers 2006; Fishman and Saunders 2008). For example, female meiotic drive may play a substantial role in mammalian karyotype evolution (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a). Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza (2001a) compiled the karyotypes of 1170 mammalian species across disparate taxa and found that the frequencies of acrocentric chromosomes exhibit bimodal distribution patterns: species with almost exclusively biarmed chromosomes and species with almost exclusively acrocentric chromosomes are more common than expected by chance (Fig. 3A). They hypothesized that this pattern can be ex-



Figure 3. Histogram of the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes. (A) Karyotypes of 1170 mammalian species reported in Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza (2001a) are compiled. Two peaks are observed at the ends. (B) Mammalian species with XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome systems have more biarmed chromosomes than acrocentric chromosomes. (C) Mammalian species with X_1X_2Y sex chromosome systems have more acrocentric chromosomes than biarmed chromosomes.

plained by the centromere drive (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a). In this hypothesis, different number of centromeres in homologous chromosomes can cause nonrandom segregation (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a) (Fig. 2B). In heterozygous carriers of centromeric fusions, transmission frequencies of the fused chromosomes (i.e., biarmed chromosomes) into eggs or polar bodies during the first female meiosis can substantially diverge from the 1:1 ratio (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a.b). In some species, such as the mouse (Mus musculus), eggs have preference for chromosomes with more centromeres than polar bodies, so acrocentric chromosomes with two centromeres are more likely to be transmitted to the eggs than to polar bodies (Fig. 2B) (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a). In other species, such as humans, polar bodies have preference for chromosomes with more centromeres than eggs, and thus fused biarmed chromosomes, which have fewer centromeres than the two acrocentric chromosomes, are more likely to be transmitted to the eggs than to polar bodies (Fig. 2B) (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a,b). Reflecting the differences in the shape of chromosomes preferentially transmitted into eggs, all chromosomes are acrocentric in the mouse, whereas most chromosomes are biarmed in the human (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a). Furthermore, they proposed that this bias may be caused by difference in the efficiency of centromere capture between the meiotic spindles from eggs and polar bodies (Fig. 2B) (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001b).

Female meiotic drive could potentially affect the fixation of X chromosome-autosome fusions, as they would be more easily fixed in species with preferential transmission of fused biarmed chromosomes into eggs (right panel of Fig. 2B) than in species where fused chromosomes are preferentially transmitted to polar bodies (left panel of Fig. 2B). Even when X-autosome fusions occur in males, the fused X chromosomes will be transmitted to the daughters, because the sons never inherit an X chromosome from the father. This study thus hypothesizes that X-autosome fusions (XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome systems) occur more frequently in species with more biarmed chromosomes than in species with more acrocentric chromosomes. In contrast, Y-autosome fusions $(X_1X_2Y \text{ sex chromosome system})$ occur exclusively in males and are never influenced by female meiotic drive. However, because acrocentric chromosomes, but not biarmed chromosomes, are a source of centromeric fusions, Y-autosome fusions may occur more frequently in species with acrocentric chromosomes than in species with biarmed chromosomes. Although the biased mutation rate may also favor X-autosome fusions in these species, fused chromosomes are unlikely to be transmitted to the eggs due to the female meiotic drive. Thus, while X-autosome fusions will be rarely fixed, Y-autosome fusions can accumulate in species with more acrocentric chromosomes. Thus, this study predicts that the X_1X_2Y sex chromosome systems would be more prevalent in species with more acrocentric chromosomes. To test these hypotheses, we compiled cases of X1X2Y and XY1Y2 sex chromosome systems in mammals and investigated the association of the two multiple sex chromosome systems with the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes.

Materials and Methods DATA COLLECTION

Several cases of XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome systems and X_1X_2Y sex chromosome systems in mammals have been previously reported by White (1973). In this study, we searched the Web of Science database using several keywords, such as " XY_1Y_2 ," "X1X2Y," "neo-sex chromosome," "multiple sex chromosome," "X-autosome fusion," and "Y-autosome fusion." The original papers were gathered and the references therein were also checked.

For calculation of the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes, chromosome shapes were classified according to Levan's classification (Levan et al. 1964). Although there are several terms of chromosome shapes, we followed the terminology used in Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza (2001a) to be consistent with their previous work: subtelocentric and telocentric chromosomes were classified as acrocentric chromosomes, while metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes were classified as biarmed chromosomes (i.e., nonacrocentric chromosomes). The karyotype data of species with multiple sex chromosome systems were taken from the papers listed in Table 1. The frequencies of acrocentric chromosomes were calculated on the basis of female karyotype, and analysis of the male karyotype generated the same conclusions (data not shown). For comparison, the karyotype data of 1170 mammalian species were also compiled from the supplementary table of Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza (2001a).

DATA ANALYSIS

The association between the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes and the sex chromosome systems was first tested in the species listed in Table 1 using the Mann-Whitney U-test. However, shared evolutionary history will likely produce correlation between karyotypes and sex chromosome systems; thus, all species are not phylogenetically independent (Felsenstein 1985). Therefore, we performed phylogenetic correction. Data were analyzed from nine phylogenetically independent pairs of species, including one species with an XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome system and another with an X_1X_2Y sex chromosome system. Tests with phylogenetically independent pairs ensure that any change in each pair reflect an independent evolutionary event (Felsenstein 1985).

For phylogenetic correction, a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4) was generated using published literatures on the interorder tree (Nishihara et al. 2006), the Artiodactyla tree (Hassanin and Douzery 2003), the Rodentia tree (Jansa and Weksler 2004; Lecompte et al. 2008), and the Diprotodontia tree (Cardillo et al. 2004). The nine phylogenetically independent pairs examined are shown in Figure 4. Because the pairs 3, 5, and 9 diverge more than other pairs, these pairs may contain more events of transition than other pairs. However, the purpose of this study is not to estimate the transition rate, but to investigate the



Figure 4. Multiple sex chromosome systems and the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes mapped on a mammalian phylogenetic tree. The XY₁Y₂ and X₁X₂Y sex chromosome systems are indicated by blue and red, respectively. The nine phylogenetically independent pairs examined in this study are indicated on the right side of the tree. The branch lengths do not reflect their divergence time.

correlation between the frequency of acrocentric chromosomes and the type of multiple sex chromosome system in phylogenetically independent contrasts. Therefore, we included these three pairs for our analysis. Multiple species with the same sex chromosome systems are often monophyletic and cluster at the tip of the phylogenetic tree. For example, the genus *Gazella* consists of six species with the XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome system. In such cases, we performed the following two analyses. First, we calculated the average percentage of acrocentric chromosomes within that cluster. For example, in *Gazella*, the average value was determined to

be 0.138. Second, only one species was randomly picked from the cluster and the association between the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes and the sex chromosome systems of the nine phylogenetically independent pairs was tested using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The random sampling was repeated 100 times.

Results

We found that 23 species across five orders have an XY₁Y₂ sex chromosomes system and 19 species across seven orders have an X₁X₂Y sex chromosome system (Table 1; Fig. 4). The percentages of acrocentric chromosomes of the species with the X₁X₂Y sex chromosome system (Fig. 3C) were significantly larger than those of the species with the XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system (Fig. 3B) (Mann–Whitney *U*-test, U = 25.5, Z = -4.88, $P < 10^{-5}$). Compared to the histogram of the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes of 1170 mammalian species compiled by Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza (2001a) (Fig. 3A), the distribution of mammals with the XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system was skewed to the left, while the distribution of mammals with the X₁X₂Y sex chromosome system was skewed to the right.

Species belonging to the same genus, such as Artibeus, Carolina, Gazella, Sorex, Taterillus, Aotus, Alouatta, had the same type of multiple sex chromosome system within the genus (Fig. 4), suggesting the importance of phylogenetic correction. The results of the analysis of the nine phylogenetically independent pairs did not change our conclusion. When we used the average percentages of acrocentric chromosomes for the genus with the same sex chromosome system, the percentages of acrocentric chromosomes in species with the X_1X_2Y sex chromosome system was significantly higher than those with the XY1Y2 sex chromosome system (Fig. 5) (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, S = 0, P = 0.0039). When we used randomly picked species from the genus with the same sex chromosome system, the percentage of acrocentric chromosomes was significantly higher in the species with the X1X2Y sex chromosome system than in the species with the XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome system (mean \pm SE of the *P*-value of Wilcoxon signed-rank test with 100 iterations = 0.0048 \pm 0.0016).

Discussion

DRIVING FORCES FOR THE EVOLUTION OF MULTIPLE SEX CHROMOSOME SYSTEMS

Our data support the hypothesis that female meiotic drive can contribute to the fixation of X-autosome fusions in mammals. In species with more biarmed chromosomes than acrocentric chromosomes, X chromosomes fused with autosomes may exhibit a greater likelihood of transmission to eggs than to polar bodies during female meiosis due to female meiotic drive, resulting in



Figure 5. Percentages of acrocentric chromosomes of nine phylogenetically independent pairs of species with X₁X₂Y and XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome systems.

the prevalence of the XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome systems in these species. In contrast, in species with more acrocentric chromosomes, the higher number of acrocentric chromosomes could increase the probability of centromeric fusions. However, even if X-autosome fusions occur in these species, fused chromosomes are likely to be excluded from the eggs during female meiosis due to the female meiotic drive. In contrast, Y-autosome fusions occur exclusively in males and are not influenced by female meiotic drive. Thus, Y-autosome fusions can accumulate, whereas X-autosome fusions are rarely fixed in species with more acrocentric chromosomes.

The importance of female meiotic drive in mammalian Xautosome fusions does not exclude the contribution of the other three mechanisms. Rather, a combination of multiple mechanisms should influence the fixation of X-autosome fusions. For example, many cases of neo-sex chromosomes have been reported in small isolated populations of insects (Charlesworth and Wall 1999), supporting the hypothesis that genetic drift or heterozygote advantage may promote the fixation of sex chromosomeautosome fusions in these species. In addition, sexually antagonistic genes or genes controlling sexually dimorphic traits have been reported on neo-sex chromosomes in sticklebacks and cichlids (Kitano et al. 2009; Roberts et al. 2009), suggesting that sexually antagonistic selection may also play a role in turnover of sex chromosomes (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1980; van Doorn and Kirkpatrick 2007; Veltsos et al. 2008), although we cannot exclude the possibility that the presence of sexually antagonistic alleles on neo-sex chromosomes is a consequence rather than the mechanism for the formation of neo-sex chromosomes. Consistent with the models of sexual antagonism and heterozygote advantage, X1X2Y sex chromosome systems are more common than XY1Y2 sex chromosome systems in fish (Kitano and Peichel

2012). However, in mammals, XY_1Y_2 sex chromosome systems are nearly as common as X_1X_2Y sex chromosome systems (White 1973; this study). These differences between fish and mammals may reflect taxonomic differences in the relative importance of each driving force and/or patterns of female meiotic drive. Further studies on the patterns of multiple sex chromosome systems and female meiotic drive across diverse taxa will contribute to a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the variation in the prevalence of different multiple sex chromosome systems.

FEMALE MEIOTIC DRIVE AS AN EVOLUTIONARY FORCE

Understanding the contribution of female meiotic drive to sex chromosome-autosome fusions is important because sex chromosome turnover may promote phenotypic divergence and the establishment of reproductive isolation between species. First, abnormal segregation of fused chromosomes during male meiosis can cause hybrid sterility (King 1993). In addition, sex chromosomes can play special roles in speciation, because sex chromosomes have several unique characteristics of transcriptional regulation (Ohno 1967; Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006), such as dosage compensation (Wu and Xu 2003; Livernois et al. 2012) and inactivation of X chromosomes during early spermatogenesis (Presgraves 2008), and the efficacy of selection (Rice 1984; Vicoso and Charlesworth 2006). Although empirical data demonstrate that established sex chromosomes may play a special role in speciation (Coyne and Orr 2004; Presgraves 2008; Qvarnstrom and Bailey 2008), little is known about the roles of neo-sex chromosomes in speciation, except in a few cases (Kitano et al. 2009). Further studies on the role of neo-sex chromosomes in phenotypic divergence and reproductive isolation across diverse taxa will lead to the elucidation of the roles of sex chromosome turnover in speciation.

Female meiotic drive can play an important role in many evolutionary processes in addition to the turnover of sex chromosomes. Female meiotic drive can also contribute to karyotype evolution of autosomes (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001a), and divergence in karyotype of autosome can contribute to reproductive isolation between species (King 1993). In species with ZW sex chromosomes, female meiotic drive can cause sex ratio bias (Rutkowska and Badyaev 2008). The fixation of supernumerary chromosomes (B chromosomes) may also be influenced by female meiotic drive (Bidau and Martí 2004; Palestis et al. 2004). Although centromere drive is one of the proposed mechanisms of female meiotic drive (Henikoff et al. 2001; Malik and Bayes 2006; Fishman and Saunders 2008), little is known regarding the molecular mechanisms of female meiotic drive. The elucidation of the molecular mechanisms of female meiotic drive is imperative for a better understanding of sex chromosome evolution and speciation.

Conclusions

Female meiotic drive plays an important role in the evolution of X-autosome fusions in mammals. Because sex chromosome– autosome fusions can contribute to important evolutionary processes, such as speciation, further molecular studies should be conducted to elucidate the mechanisms of female meiotic drive. The relative contribution of female meiotic drive and patterns of female meiotic drive may vary between taxa. It is, thus, imperative to investigate the prevalence of different multiple sex chromosome systems and patterns of female meiotic drive across diverse taxa for a better understanding of neo-sex chromosome evolution in animals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Uehara Memorial Foundation, JST PRESTO program, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientist (B) (22770075) and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (23113007 and 23113001) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture to JK. We thank K. Peichel for helpful comments on the manuscript and all members of Kitano Laboratory for technical assistance and discussion.

LITERATURE CITED

- Armada, J. L. A., C. M. L. Barroso, M. M. C. Lima, J. A. P. C. Muniz, and H. N. Seuánez. 1987. Chromosome studies in *Alouatta belzebul*. Am. J. Primatol. 13:283–296.
- Baker, R. J., and T. C. Hsu. 1970. Further studies on the sex-chromosome systems of the American leaf-nosed bats (Chiroptera, Phyllostomatidae). Cytogenetics 9:131–138.
- Bidau, C. J., and D. A. Martí. 2004. B chromosomes and Robertsonian fusions of *Dichroplus pratensis* (Acrididae): intraspecific support for the centromeric drive theory. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 106:347–350
- Burt, A., and R. Trivers. 2006. Genes in conflict. Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Cardillo, M., O. R. P. Bininda-Emonds, E. Boakes, and A. Purvis. 2004. A species-level phylogenetic supertree of marsupials. J. Zool. 264: 11–31.
- Charchar, F. J., M. Svartman, N. El-Mogharbel, M. Ventura, P. Kirby, M. R. Matarazzo, A. Ciccodicola, M. Rocchi, M. D'Esposito, and J. A. M. Graves. 2003. Complex events in the evolution of the human pseudoautosomal region 2 (PAR2). Genome Res. 13:281–286.
- Charlesworth, B., and J. D. Wall. 1999. Inbreeding, heterozygote advantage and the evolution of neo-X and neo-Y sex chromosomes. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 266:51–56.
- Charlesworth, D., and B. Charlesworth. 1980. Sex differences in fitness and selection for centric fusions between sex-chromosomes and autosomes. Genet. Res. 35:205–214.
- Charlesworth, D., and J. E. Mank. 2010. The birds and the bees and the flowers and the trees: lessons from genetic mapping of sex determination in plants and animals. Genetics 186:9–31.
- Charlesworth, D., B. Charlesworth, and G. Marais. 2005. Steps in the evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes. Heredity 95:118–128.
- Corin-Frederic, J. 1969. Les formules gonosomiques dites aberrantes chez les Mammifères Euthériens. Chromosoma 27:268–287.
- Coyne, J. A., and H. A. Orr. 2004. Speciation. Sinauer, Sunderland.
- de Oliveira, E. H., M. Neusser, W. B. Figueiredo, C. Nagamachi, J. C. Pieczarka, I. J. Sbalqueiro, J. Wienberg, and S. Müller. 2002. The phylogeny of howler monkeys (Alouatta, Platyrrhini): reconstruction

by multicolor cross-species chromosome painting. Chromosome Res. 10:669–683.

- de Oliveira, E. H. C., M. M. C. de Lima, I. J. Sbalqueiro, and A. Pissinati. 1998. The karyotype of *Alouatta fusca* clamitans from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: evidence for a y-autosome translocation. Genet. Mol. Biol. 21:3.
- Dobigny, G., V. Aniskin, and V. Volobouev. 2002. Explosive chromosome evolution and speciation in the gerbil genus *Taterillus* (Rodentia, Gerbillinae): a case of two new cryptic species. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 96:117–124.
- Dobigny, G., L. Granjon, V. Aniskin, K. Ba, and V. Volobouev. 2003. A new sibling species of *Taterillus* (Muridae, Gerbillinae) from West Africa. Mamm. Biol. 68:299–316.
- Dobigny, G., C. Ozouf-Costaz, C. Bonillo, and V. Volobouev. 2004. Viability of X-autosome translocations in mammals: an epigenetic hypothesis from a rodent case-study. Chromosoma 113:34–41.
- Dobigny, G., V. Aniskin, L. Granjon, R. Cornette, and V. Volobouev. 2005. Recent radiation in West African *Taterillus* (Rodentia, Gerbillinae): the concerted role of chromosome and climatic changes. Heredity 95: 358–368.
- Effron, M., M. H. Bogart, A. T. Kumamoto, and K. Benirschke. 1976. Chromosome studies in the mammalian subfamily *Antilopinae*. Genetica 46:419–444.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. Am. Nat. 125:1–15.
- Finotelo, L. F. M., P. J. S. Amaral, J. C. Pieczarka, E. H. C. de Oliveira, A. Pissinati, M. Neusser, S. Müller, and C. Y. Nagamachi. 2010. Chromosome phylogeny of the subfamily Pitheciinae (Platyrrhini, Primates) by classic cytogenetics and chromosome painting. BMC Evol. Biol. 10:189.
- Fishman, L., and A. Saunders. 2008. Centromere-associated female meiotic drive entails male fitness costs in monkeyflowers. Science 322:1559– 1562.
- Fredga, K. 1965a. New sex determining mechanism in a mammal. Nature 206:1176.
 - 1965b. A new sex determining mechanism in a mammal. Chromosomes of Indian mongoose (*Herpestes auropunctatus*). Hereditas 52:411–420.
 - —. 1970. Unusual sex chromosome inheritance in mammals. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 259:15–36.
- Hassanin, A., and E. J. P. Douzery. 2003. Molecular and morphological phylogenies of Ruminantia and the alternative position of the Moschidae. Syst. Biol. 52:206–228.
- Hediger, M., C. Henggeler, N. Meier, R. Perez, G. Saccone, and D. Bopp. 2010. Molecular characterization of the key switch F provides a basis for understanding the rapid divergence of the sex-determining pathway in the housefly. Genetics 184:155–170.
- Henikoff, S., K. Ahmad, and H. S. Malik. 2001. The centromere paradox: stable inheritance with rapidly evolving DNA. Science 293:1098–1102.
- Hsu, T. C., R. J. Baker, and T. Utakoji. 1968. The multiple sex chromosome system of American leaf-nosed bats (Chiroptera, Phyllostomidae). Cytogenetics 7:27–39.
- Jansa, S. A., and M. Weksler. 2004. Phylogeny of muroid rodents: relationships within and among major lineages as determined by IRBP gene sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 31:256–276.
- Kaiser, V. B., and D. Bachtrog. 2010. Evolution of sex chromosomes in insects. Annu. Rev. Genet. 44:91–112.
- King, M. 1993. Species evolution: the role of chromosomal change. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Kitano, J., and C. L. Peichel. 2012. Turnover of sex chromosomes and speciation in fishes. Environ. Biol. Fish. DOI: 10.1007/s10641-011-9853-8.
- Kitano, J., J. A. Ross, S. Mori, M. Kume, F. C. Jones, Y. F. Chan, D. M. Absher, J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz, R. M. Myers, et al. 2009. A role

for a neo-sex chromosome in stickleback speciation. Nature 461:1079–1083.

- Kohn, M., H. Kehrer-Sawatzki, W. Vogel, J. A. Graves, and H. Hameister. 2004. Wide genome comparisons reveal the origins of the human X chromosome. Trends Genet. 20:598–603.
- Kondo, M., U. Hornung, I. Nanda, S. Imai, T. Sasaki, A. Shimizu, S. Asakawa, H. Hori, M. Schmid, N. Shimizu, et al. 2006. Genomic organization of the sex-determining and adjacent regions of the sex chromosomes of medaka. Genome Res. 16:815–826.
- Lande, R. 1979. Effective deme sizes during long-term evolution estimated from rates of chromosomal rearrangement. Evolution 33:234–251.
- ———. 1985. The fixation of chromosomal rearrangements in a subdivided population with local extinction and colonization. Heredity 54: 323–332.
- Lecompte, E., K. Aplin, C. Denys, F. Catzeflis, M. Chades, and P. Chevret. 2008. Phylogeny and biogeography of African Murinae based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences, with a new tribal classification of the subfamily. BMC Evol. Biol. 8:199.
- Levan, A., K. Fredga, and A. A. Sandberg. 1964. Nomenclature of for centric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52:201–220.
- Livernois, A. M., J. A. M. Graves, and P. D. Waters. 2012. The origin and evolution of vertebrate sex chromosomes and dosage compensation. Heredity 108:50–58.
- Ma, N. S. F., T. C. Jones, R. W. Thorington, A. Miller, and L. Morgan. 1975. Y-autosome translocation in the howler monkey, *Alouatta palliata*. J. Med. Primatol. 4:299–307.
- Ma, N. S. F., M. W. Elliott, L. Morgan, A. Miller, and T. C. Jones. 1976. Translocation of Y chromosome to an autosome in the Bolivian owl monkey, *Aotus*. Am. J. Phys. Anthrop. 45:191–202.
- Malik, H. S., and J. J. Bayes. 2006. Genetic conflicts during meiosis and the evolutionary origins of cetromere complexity. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 34:569–573.
- Margulis, S. W., J. Chin, M. Warneke, J. M. Dubach, and V. Lindgren. 1995. The Y-autosome translocation of *Callimico goeldii*. Int. J. Primatol. 16:145–155.
- Martin, P. G., and D. L. Hayman. 1966. A complex sex-chromosome system in the hare-wallaby *Lagorchestes conspicillatus* Gould. Chromosoma 19:159–175.
- Matthey, R. 1965. Un type nouveau de chromosomes sexuels multiples chez une souris africaine du groupe Mus (Leggada) Minutoides (Mammalia-Rodentia). Chromosoma 16:351–364.
- Metcalfe, C. J., M. D. Eldridge, R. Toder, and P. G. Johnston. 1998. Mapping the distribution of the telomeric sequence (T₂AG₃)_n in the Macropodoidea (Marsupialia), by fluorescence in situ hybridization. I. The swamp wallaby, *Wallabia bicolor*. Chromosome Res. 6:603–610.
- Montes, M. A., L. F. Oliveira, S. L. Bonatto, S. M. Callegari-Jacques, and M. S. Mattevi. 2008. DNA sequence analysis and the phylogeographical history of the rodent *Deltamys kempi* (Sigmodontinae, Cricetidae) on the Atlantic Coastal Plain of south of Brazil. J. Evol. Biol. 21:1823– 1835.
- Mudry de Pargament, M. D., O. J. Colillas, and S. Brieux de Salum. 1984. The *Aotus* from Northern Argentina. Primates 25:530–537.
- Mudry, M. D., I. Slavutsky, and M. Labal de Vinuesa. 1990. Chromosome comparison among five species of Patyrrhini (*Alouatta caraya, Aotus azarae, Callithrix jacchus, Cebus apella*, and *Saimiri sciureus*). Primates 31:415–420.
- Nishihara, H., M. Hasegawa, and N. Okada. 2006. Pegasoferae, an unexpected mammalian clade revealed by tracking ancient retroposon insertions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103:9929–9934.
- Ohno, S. 1967. Sex chromosomes and sex-linked genes. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- Ott, J. 1968. Nachweis natürlicher reproduktiver Isolation zwischen Sorex gemellus sp. n. und Sorex araneus Linnaeus 1758 in der Schweiz. Rev. Suisse Zool. 75:53–75.
- Palestis, B. G., A. Burt, R. N. Jones, and R. Trivers. 2004. B chromosomes are more frequent in mammal with acrocentric karyotypes: support for the theory of centromeric drive. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 271:S22–S24.
- Pardo-Manuel de Villena, F., and C. Sapienza. 2001a. Female meiosis drives karyotypic evolution in mammals. Genetics 159:1179–1189.
- ———. 2001b. Nonrandom segregation during meiosis: the unfairness of females. Mamm. Genome 12:331–339.
- Patton, J. L., and A. L. Gardner. 1971. Parallel evolution of multiple sexchromosome systems in the phyllostomatid bats, *Carollia* and *Choero*niscus. Experientia 27:105–106.
- Pieczarka, J. C., and C. Y. Nagamachi. 1988. Cytogenetic studies of *Aotus* from Eastern Amazonia: Y/autossome rearrangement. Am. J. Primatol. 14:255–263.
- Presgraves, D. C. 2008. Sex chromosomes and speciation in *Drosophila*. Trends Genet. 24:336–343.
- Qvarnstrom, A., and R. I. Bailey. 2008. Speciation through evolution of sexlinked genes. Heredity 102:4–15.
- Rice, W. R. 1984. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. Evolution 38:735–742.
- Roberts, R. B., J. R. Ser, and T. D. Kocher. 2009. Sexual conflict resolved by invasion of a novel sex determiner in Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. Science 326:998–1001.
- Rutkowska, J., and A. V. Badyaev. 2008. Meiotic drive and sex determination: molecular and cytological mechanisms of sex ratio adjustment in birds. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 363:1675–1686.
- Sbalqueiro, I. J., M. S. Mattevi, and L. F. B. Oliveira. 1984. An X₁X₁X₂X₂/X₁X₂Y mechanism of sex determination in a South American rodent, *Deltamys kempi* (Rodentia, Cricetidae). Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 38:50–55.
- Sharma, T., and R. Raman. 1972. Odd diploid number in both sexes and a unique multiple sex-chromosome system of a rodent, *Vandeleuria o. oleracea* (Bennett). Cytogenetics 11:247–258.
- Sharman, G. B., and H. N. Barber. 1952. Multiple sex-chromosomes in the marsupial, *Potorous*. Heredity 6:345–355.
- Shaw, M. W., and R. S. Krooth. 1964. The chromosomes of the Tasmanian rat-kangaroo (*Potorous tridactylis apicalis*). Cytogenetics 24:19–33.

- Tanaka, K., Y. Takehana, K. Naruse, S. Hamaguchi, and M. Sakaizumi. 2007. Evidence for different origins of sex chromosomes in closely related Oryzias fishes: substitution of the master sex-determining gene. Genetics 177:2075–2081.
- Toder, R., R. J. W. O'Neill, J. Wienberg, C. M. O'Brien, L. Voullaire, and J. A. Marshall-Graves. 1997. Comparative chromosome painting between two marsupials: origins of an XX/XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system. Mamm. Genome 8:418–422.
- van Doorn, G. S., and M. Kirkpatrick. 2007. Turnover of sex chromosomes induced by sexual conflict. Nature 449:909–912.
- Veltsos, P., I. Keller, and R. A. Nichols. 2008. The inexorable spread of a newly arisen neo-Y chromosome. PLoS Genet. 4:e1000082.
- Veyrunes, F., J. Catalan, B. Sicard, T. J. Robinson, J. M. Duplantier, L. Granjon, G. Dobigny, and J. Britton-Davidian. 2004. Autosome and sex chromosome diversity among the African pygmy mice, subgenus *Nannomys* (Murinae; *Mus*). Chromosome Res. 12:369–382.
- Vicoso, B., and B. Charlesworth. 2006. Evolution on the X chromosome: unusual patterns and processes. Nat. Rev. Genet. 7:645–653.
- Wallace, C., and N. Fairall. 1968. Chromosome analysis in the Kruger National Park: a rare translocation chromosome in the kudu. S. Afr. J. Med. Sci. 33:113–118.
- White, M. J. D. 1973. Animal cytology and evolution. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Wilcox, S. A., J. M. Watson, J. A. Spencer, and J. A. M. Graves. 1996. Comparative mapping identifies the fusion point of an ancient mammalian X-autosomal rearrangement. Genomics 35:66–70.
- Woram, R. A., K. Gharbi, T. Sakamoto, B. Hoyheim, L. E. Holm, K. Naish, C. McGowan, M. M. Ferguson, R. B. Phillips, J. Stein, et al. 2003. Comparative genome analysis of the primary sex-determining locus in salmonid fishes. Genome Res. 13:272–280.
- Wu, C.-I., and E. Y. Xu. 2003. Sexual antagonism and X inactivation—the SAXI hypothesis. Trends Genet. 19:243–247.
- Wurster, D. H., and K. Benirschke. 1970. Indian muntjac, Muntiacus muntjak: a deer with a low diploid chromosome number. Science 168:1364– 1366.
- Yonenaga, Y., O. Frota-Pessoa, and K. R. Lewis. 1969. Karyotypes of seven species of Brazilian bats. Caryologia 22:63–79.

Associate Editor: J. Mank