[MethodsX](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2020.100855) 7 (2020) 100855

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com)

**MethodsX** 

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/mex](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/mex)



Method Article

# Modification of a *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* CRISPR/Cas9 transformation protocol for use with widely available electroporation equipment



Rudolph V. Park, Holly Asbury, Stephen M. Miller<sup>∗</sup>

*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA*

# A B S T R A C T

A recently reported protocol demonstrates efficient CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [\[1\].](#page-6-0) The published protocol demonstrates transformation and editing of a wall-less strain of *C. reinhardtii* using plasmid encoded Cas9 and sgRNA. However, the published protocol utilizes a complex electroporation waveform that cannot be generated by most electroporation systems. It is unknown whether transformation via this complex electroporation waveform is essential for high efficiency of Cas9 edits, perhaps by optimizing Cas9 or guide RNA gene expression or incorporation into the genome. We demonstrate that a simple electroporation waveform can deliver plasmid encoded CRISPR/Cas9 into and edit the genome of a wall-less strain of *C. reinhardtii* as efficiently as the more complex waveform. Our modified electroporation protocol makes the plasmid based CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing method accessible to a greater number of *Chlamydomonas* researchers.

- Our protocol uses a simple electroporation waveform to replace a complex waveform used to achieve efficient CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in a wall-less strain of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.*
- We also increased concentration of plasmids to maintain high gene editing efficiency.
- We minimized modifications to other steps of the original protocol.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Method name:* Electroporating Cas9 and sgRNA expression vector plasmids into wall-less *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* using exponential pulses

*Keywords:* Electroporation, Exponential pulse, CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, Plasmid encoded Cas9 *Article history:* Received 23 December 2019; Accepted 3 March 2020; Available online xxx

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* [stmiller@umbc.edu](mailto:stmiller@umbc.edu) (S.M. Miller).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2020.100855>

2215-0161/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



#### **Specification Table**

# **Method details**

#### *Background*

Electroporation is an established method for transforming the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Electroporators apply short (<~100 ms) electrical pulses that create transient pores in a cell's plasma membrane. Charged molecules (DNA, RNA, proteins) in close proximity to the plasma membrane can become embedded in the membrane after these transient pores have formed [\[2\].](#page-6-0) After several hours, the charged molecules may completely cross the plasma membrane and enter the cell. Genome edit rates using electroporation for CRISPR/Cas9 have been low [\[3](#page-6-0)[,4\].](#page-7-0) But recently, a highefficiency protocol has been developed to electroporate Cas9 and sgRNA expression vectors into a wall-less strain (CC-3403) of *C. reinhardtii*. Under optimal conditions, 16% of transformants selected for antibiotic resistance contained Cas9-generated mutations as targeted by guide RNAs [\[1\].](#page-6-0) However, the apparatus used to perform the electroporation in this efficient protocol (NEPA21 Super Electroporator, NEPA Gene Co.) is quite expensive and not widely available. The goal of this work was to determine whether more commonly available electroporators could produce the high transformation efficiencies achieved by the NEPA21.

#### *Materials*

From the Chlamydomonas Resource Center, [www.chlamycollection.org](http://www.chlamycollection.org)

- Cas9 expression vector, pHS\_SaCas9 (plasmid pPH187).
- *PSY1* sgRNA expression vector, pCrU6-#4-SaCas9-PSY1/aphVIII (plasmid pPH331).
- Empty sgRNA expression vector, pCrU6-#4-SaCas9-cloning/aphVIII (plasmid pPH339).
- *C. reinhardtii* strain CC-3403, arginine auxotroph, cell wall deficient (strain CC-3403).

## Lab Equipment

- Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II electroporator (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).
- Eppendorf Thermomixer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).
- Temperature Chamber, set to 32 °C.

Reagents and miscellaneous equipment

- Tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) medium [\[5\].](#page-7-0)
- TAP medium  $+$  40 mM sucrose (TAP-sucrose medium).
- TAP medium  $+$  100 µg/mL L-arginine (TAP-Arg medium).
- 0.2 cm gap electroporation cuvette (USA Scientific 9104-050).
- 24-well plate.
- 100 mm diameter plates with TAP + 1.5% agar + 100  $\mu$ g/mL L-arginine + 3 mg/mL yeast extract  $+ 2$  mg/mL tryptone  $+ 10$  µg/mL paromomycin.
- Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher catalog #F-530S, Waltham, MA).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 1.** Waveforms used to electroporate Cas9 and sgRNA expression vectors into *C. reinhardtii*. (a) Published NEPA21 Super Electroporator waveform[1]. (b) Exponential waveform generated for electroporation by the Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II.

## *Procedures*

Cultures of CC-3403 were grown in 50 mL of TAP-Arg medium in 125-mL flasks on a shaker set to 120 rpm. The cultures were kept in a room maintained between 21–25  $\degree$ C with a light / dark cycle of 14 h/10 h supplied by cool fluorescent lights at an intensity of 10–15  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Cells were sub-cultured every 3–4 days (50:1 or 100:1 dilution) to keep them in exponential growth phase.

Cells were grown to a density between  $0.8-2.5 \times 10^6$  cells/mL,  $4 \times 10^6$  cells were collected per electroporation trial and centrifuged for 8 min at 2000 rcf in a 15-mL conical tube. The pellet was resuspended in TAP-sucrose medium, to a final cell density of  $100 \times 10^6$  cells/mL and the suspension was transferred into a 1.5-mL microtube. The cells were heat shocked in an Eppendorf Thermomixer at 40  $\degree$ C for 30 min, 350 rpm, then incubated for 5-10 min at room temperature. 40 μL of the final cell suspension  $(4 \times 10^6 \text{ cells})$  was pipetted into a 0.2 cm gap cuvette, followed by the uncut Cas9 expression vector plasmid (pPH187) and the *PSY1* sgRNA expression vector plasmid or the empty sgRNA expression vector (pPH331 or pPH339). Plasmid concentrations were between  $0.2-1.0$  μg/μL.

Exponential pulses were produced by a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II electroporator. The external resistance was set to  $\infty$  (infinite, open circuit) and the external capacitance was set to 25 μF. The resistance of the cuvette with the cells and plasmids was between 300 and  $400\Omega$ . These conditions produced a tau of ~10 ms. A second exponential pulse was applied 2–5 s after the first pulse. Many other electroporators can produce the exponential waveform shown in Fig. 1(B).

5–10 s after electroporation, 500 μL of TAP-Arg was pipetted into each cuvette. After all the electroporations were completed, the cell suspensions were then pipetted into a 24-well plate. The well plate was then sealed with parafilm and covered with aluminum foil to keep the cultures in the dark. The plates were initially incubated at 31–33 °C for 22–24 h. We then incubated for an additional 22–24 h at room temperature, 21–25 °C, on an orbital shaker (120 rpm). The cells were then spread onto three TAP-Arginine-Yeast-Tryptone-paromomycin plates (160 μL cell culture per plate). The plates were kept in the dark at room temperature for 19–25 days to allow colonies to develop.



#### **Method validation**

**Table 1**

*Selecting for transformants and screening for psy1 mutants*

The phytoene synthase-1 gene (*PSY1*) encodes an enzyme in an early step of carotenoid biosynthesis. Knocking out *PSY1* prevents formation of cyclic carotenoids that are crucial for stabilizing chlorophyll [\[6\].](#page-7-0) *C. reinhardtii* cells with a *PSY1* knockout therefore do not have functional chlorophyll and appear white in color. They are also light sensitive [\[6\].](#page-7-0) Screening for *psy1* colonies is therefore a matter of identifying white colonies on plates incubated in the dark. A green colony is presumably derived from a *C. reinhardtii* cell that incorporated the sgRNA expression vector (contains the paromomycin resistance gene) but not the Cas9 expression vector.

We counted colonies 19–25 days after plating. Electroporations with SaCas9 plasmid plus the empty sgRNA expression vector produced no white colonies, as expected, since the empty sgRNA expression vector does not drive expression of a sgRNA for *PSY1*. We used the same amount of sgRNA and Cas9 expression vector plasmid in the first electroporation. We anticipated that the simple exponential waveform would produce a lower transformation rate than the complex waveform generated by the NEPA21 device, so we increased the amount of sgRNA expression vector plasmid in electroporations 2–4, as shown in the third, fourth, and fifth rows of Table 1. As expected, increasing the amount of pPH339 (plasmid with the selection marker) increased the number of paromomycin resistant colonies.

Electroporations with the SaCas9 plasmid plus the *PSY1* sgRNA expression vector (pPH331) produced both white and green colonies, consistent with the idea that the exponential waveform can be used to generate Cas9 edited *PSY1* mutants. Both the total number of colonies and the fraction of white colonies were highly variable for replicate trials, so the results for all 3 biological replicates are shown. We did electroporations using the same amounts of sgRNA and Cas9 expression vectors as









#### **Table 3**

Primers used to analyze insert in presumed *PSY1* mutants.



used in the published report (1 μg and 2 μg, respectively), and also with increased amounts of both plasmids. Doubling the amount of the Cas9 plasmid roughly doubled the percentage of white colonies, suggesting a simple way to improve Cas9 editing efficiency (Table 2).

Additionally, we used recovery plates without yeast extract or tryptone to determine if these supplements are required for recovery of presumed *PSY1* knockouts. Table S1 shows that inclusion of yeast extract and tryptone in the paromomycin selection plates increased the frequency with which white colonies were obtained by about two-fold (27% vs. 12%), but these additives were not essential for efficient production of white colonies.

## *Sequencing PSY1 gene in white colonies to identify Cas9 edits*

To test whether, as for the previously reported NEPA21 experiments, white colonies correspond to successful Cas9 editing of *PSY1* [\[1\],](#page-6-0) we obtained genomic DNA from transformant cells by Chelex extraction and PCR [\[7\]](#page-7-0) and analyzed the *PSY1* target region. For amplification of the targeted region of the *PSY1* gene, 1.0 μL genomic DNA was used as template in a 20 μL PCR using 0.2 μL Phusion DNA polymerase with the supplied 5X HF buffer, forward and reverse primer (see Table 3) at final concentration of 0.5 μM each. dNTPs were added to a final concentration of 200 μM each. A Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was programmed to 98 °C/60 s, 30–35 cycles of (98 °C/10 s, 65 °C/10 s, 72 °C/75 s), 72 °C/10 s, 6 °C, infinite hold.

In the previously published NEPA21 protocol report, the targeted region of *PSY1* could be PCR amplified from DNA of green (wild type) but not white colonies; all of the 96 white colonies tested gave rise to either aberrant or no *PSY1* PCR products. Non-homologous end joining by Cas9 during plasmid-based CRISPR in *C. reinhardtii* nearly always results in integration of parts of the Cas9 and/or sgRNA vector, so that short indels are rarely produced by Cas9 [\[8\].](#page-7-0)

Consistent with the NEPA21 electroporator results, using primers that spanned a 317-bp region of the targeted region of *PSY1*, we could generate wild type products for green colonies but not for any of the 20 white colonies tested. For 19 white colonies we could not generate any *PSY1* PCR product, while PCR on one white colony (Colony #15) produced an aberrantly sized product of  $\sim$  500 bp [\(Fig.](#page-5-0) 2(A)). Sequencing this product revealed that the PSY\_F primer primed as expected within the *PSY1* gene, but that the PSY\_2R primer did not. Instead, by serendipity the 9 bp on the 3' end of that primer perfectly

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Insertion of plasmid sequence at *PSY1* target site in one white colony transformant. (A) PCR products obtained for genomic DNA from recipient strain CC-3403 (WT) and from white Colony #15, using *PSY1*-specific primers PSY\_F and PSY\_2R. A product of the expected size (317 bp) was amplified for CC-3403 DNA, but a larger fragment was amplified for Colony #15. NTC, no template control. (B) After sequencing the white Colony #15 PCR product from (A), new reverse primers were used with PSY\_F to determine the 3' end of the insert. Products were obtained for primer sets containing PSY\_F and PSY\_R for CC-3403 (lane 2), PSY\_F and either PSY+500R or PSY+1000R (lanes 3 and 4), and with PSY+400F and PSY+1000R (lane 6), but not for PSY\_F or PSY+400F and PSY+1500R (lanes 5 and 7). (C) Schematic showing wild type sequence of *PSY1* in region of targeted edit (middle), the protospacer and PAM (top), and the position of insertion of plasmid DNA fragments (as determined by PCR analysis shown in panel B and sequence analysis of PCR products) in *PSY1* gene in white Colony #15. (D) Primer positions for PCR of CC-3403 (top) and Colony #15 (bottom) genomic DNAs. PCR results shown in panel B indicate that the right-most end of the insert fragment within *PSY1* in Colony #15 must lie somewhere between the PSY+1000R and PSY+1500R primer binding sites (light purple to white rectangle in bottom schematic). PAM, protospacer-adjacent motif.

matched a sequence within plasmid pCrU6-#4-SaCas9-PSY1, part of which was inserted at the Cas9 targeted site of *PSY1*, and primed well enough to generate a 480-bp amplicon with primer PSY\_F. The sequencing results also showed that the insert within *PSY1* contained a fragment of plasmid pCrU6- #4-SaCas9-PSY1. We used primer walking to determine that Colony #15 had a short 51-bp fragment of pHS\_SaCas9 and a much longer (1174–1603-bp) fragment of pCrU6-#4-SaCas9-PSY1 (Fig. 2(B)–D)). We were unable to generate amplicons that included the sgRNA segment of the pCrU6-#4-SaCas9-PSY1 plasmid, and we were unable to PCR across the  $3'$  end of the insert in Colony #15 so the exact size of the insert could not be determined. In any event, we infer that as reported for the NEPA21-based protocol, white colonies generated in this study contain targeted mutations in the *PSY1* gene.

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Conclusions**

We used a simple exponential waveform to electroporate Cas9 and sgRNA expression vectors into *C. reinhardtii* to generate mutations in a target gene. The exponential waveform was a very crude approximation of a complex waveform that showed high efficiency of editing of the same gene using a complex electroporation waveform generated by a NEPA21 Super Electroporator. We show that simple exponential waveforms can produce transformants with Cas9-directed edits at efficiencies comparable to those reported for the NEPA21, indicating that complex waveforms are not essential for highefficiency Cas9 editing. We also found that, broadly, increasing the amount of Cas9 expression vector plasmid increased the transformation efficiency, while increasing the amount of sgRNA expression vector increased the overall number of colonies observed without improving efficiency.

We did observe longer recovery times for colonies to develop after electroporation with the simple waveforms versus the complex electroporation waveform generated by the NEPA21. Relative to the exponential waveform tested here, the NEPA21 waveform may reduce disruption to the cell plasma membrane while still opening pores that allow exogenous DNA to enter the call, thereby reducing recovery time. Nevertheless, this work showing effective Cas9 editing using simple exponential waveforms makes it possible for virtually any research group to utilize plasmid-based CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing of *C. reinhardtii*. Presumably this simple waveform could also work for DNA-free genome editing that requires electroporation of the Cas9 or Cpf1 [\[9\]](#page-7-0) endonuclease complexed with gRNA. This ribonucleoprotein (RNP) approach has been shown to be highly efficient and does not suffer from random integration of plasmids into the endonuclease cut site in *C. reinhardtii*, making PCR screening of mutants much easier.

## **Authors' contribution**

R.P. conceived and designed the experiments. Both R.P. and H.A. performed electroporation experiments and acquired data. R.P., H.A. and S.M analyzed and interpreted the data. R.P. drafted the first revision of the manuscript. R.P. and S.M. revised the manuscript.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by an award from the National Science [Foundation](http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100008982) (NSF-EFRI-1332344). We thank Dr. Andre Greiner and Simon Kelterborn for providing plasmids and additional details on the recovery conditions used to generate CRISPR/Cas9 mutants. We thank Dr. Alan King for use of his Cyto Pulse PA-4000 electroporator, which we used to test square wave electroporation pulses prior to using exponential wave pulses. We thank Dr. Jeffrey Gardner and Dr. Tamara Mendelson for use of their Eppendorf Thermomixers. We also thank Jacqueline Rivera, Sasha Belinda Mapombo Choupa, and Kareem Foster for lab support.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Supplementary material**

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10. [1016/j.mex.2020.100855.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2020.100855)

## **References**

- [1] A. Greiner, S. Kelterborn, H. Evers, G. Kreimer, I. Sizova, P. Hegemann, Targeting of photoreceptor genes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* via zinc-finger nucleases and CRISPR/Cas9, Plant Cell 29 (2017) 2498–2518, doi[:10.1105/tpc.17.00659.](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00659)
- [2] M. Golzio, J. Teissie, M.-.P. Rols, Direct visualization at the single-cell level of electrically mediated gene delivery, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99 (2002) 1292–1297, doi[:10.1073/pnas.022646499.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.022646499)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[3] K. Baek, D.H. Kim, J. Jeong, S.J. Sim, A. Melis, J.-.S. Kim, E. Jin, S. Bae, DNA-free two-gene knockout in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* via CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoproteins, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 30620, doi[:10.1038/srep30620.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30620)
- [4] S.-.E. Shin, J.-.M. Lim, H.G. Koh, E.K. Kim, N.K. Kang, S. Jeon, S. Kwon, W.-.S. Shin, B. Lee, K. Hwangbo, J. Kim, S.H. Ye, J.- .Y. Yun, H. Seo, H.-.M. Oh, K.-.J. Kim, J.-.S. Kim, W.-.J. Jeong, Y.K. Chang, B.-.R. Jeong, CRISPR/Cas9-induced knockout and knock-in mutations in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 27810, doi[:10.1038/srep27810.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27810)
- [5] D.S. Gorman, R.P. Levine, Cytochrome f and plastocyanin: their sequence in the photosynthetic electron transport chain of *Chlamydomonas reinhardi*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 54 (1965) 1665–1669 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4379719% [0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC300531.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4379719%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC300531)
- [6] S.S. McCarthy, M.C. Kobayashi, K.K. Niyogi, White mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* are defective in phytoene synthase, Genetics 168 (2004) 1249–1257, doi[:10.1534/genetics.104.030635.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.030635)
- [7] M. Wan, J.N. Rosenberg, J. Faruq, M.J. Betenbaugh, J. Xia, An improved colony PCR procedure for genetic screening of chlorella and related microalgae, Biotechnol. Lett. 33 (2011) 1615–1619, doi[:10.1007/s10529-011-0596-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-011-0596-6)
- [8] W. Jiang, A.J. Brueggeman, K.M. Horken, T.M. Plucinak, D.P. Weeks, Successful transient expression of Cas9 and single guide RNA genes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, Eukaryot. Cell. 13 (2014) 1465–1469, doi[:10.1128/EC.00213-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00213-14)
- [9] A. Ferenczi, D.E. Pyott, A. Xipnitou, A. Molnar, Efficient targeted DNA editing and replacement in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* using CPF1 [ribonucleoproteins](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710597114) and single-stranded DNA, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114 (2017) 201710597, doi:10.1073/pnas. 1710597114.