



Elsevier has created a [Monkeypox Information Center](#) in response to the declared public health emergency of international concern, with free information in English on the monkeypox virus. The Monkeypox Information Center is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its monkeypox related research that is available on the Monkeypox Information Center - including this research content - immediately available in publicly funded repositories, with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the Monkeypox Information Center remains active.



Review

Efficacy of biocidal agents and disinfectants against the monkeypox virus and other orthopoxviruses

G. Kampf*

University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 June 2022

Accepted 22 June 2022

Available online 28 June 2022

Keywords:

Monkeypox virus

Vaccinia virus

Orthopoxvirus

Efficacy

Biocidal agent

Disinfectant



SUMMARY

The number of human monkeypox virus infections is increasing in many countries. The typical mode of transmission is by direct contact. As orthopoxviruses may stay infectious on inanimate surfaces under laboratory conditions for up to 42 days, disinfection may be relevant in the surroundings of confirmed cases. The aim of this review was to evaluate published data on the antiviral efficacy of biocidal agents and disinfectants against the monkeypox virus and other orthopoxviruses. A Medline search was carried out on 5th June 2022. The terms 'monkeypox virus', 'poxvirus' and 'orthopoxvirus' were used in combination with 'disinfection'. Publications were included and results were extracted where they provided original data on any orthopoxvirus regarding its inactivation by disinfectants. Vaccinia viruses could be inactivated by at least 4 log₁₀ in suspension tests and on artificially contaminated surfaces by 70% ethanol (≤ 1 min), 0.2% peracetic acid (≤ 10 min) and 1–10% of a probiotic cleaner (1 h), mostly shown with different types of organic load. Hydrogen peroxide (14.4%) and iodine (0.04–1%) were effective in suspension tests, sodium hypochlorite (0.25–2.5%; 1 min), 2% glutaraldehyde (10 min) and 0.55% orthophthalaldehyde (5 min) were effective on artificially contaminated surfaces. Copper (99.9%) was equally effective against vaccinia virus and monkeypox virus in 3 min. Disinfectants with efficacy data obtained in suspension tests and under practical conditions with different types of organic load resembling compounds of the blood, the respiratory tract and skin lesions are preferred for the inactivation of the monkeypox virus.

© 2022 The Healthcare Infection Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Monkeypox is regarded as a typical zoonotic infectious disease that can occasionally cause infections in humans, typically transmitted from animals [1]. Overall case numbers have been low in the UK in the past years with three cases in 2018, one case in 2019 and three cases in 2021, most of them associated with travel from Nigeria [2]. The number of new monkeypox virus infections in humans, however, is currently

increasing in many countries worldwide [3]. An important insight is that each of the sequenced viral genomes collected from people with monkeypox in Belgium, France, Germany, Portugal and the USA closely resembles that of a monkeypox strain found in western Africa [4] which is less lethal with a death rate below 1% in poor, rural populations. The typical strains detected in central Africa, however, have a death rate up to 10% [5]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported until 15th June 2022 a total of 2103 confirmed cases of monkeypox from 42 member states, including one death; 81% of the cases were reported from Europe ($N = 1773$) with most of them found in the UK ($N = 524$), Spain ($N = 313$) and Germany ($N = 263$) [6].

* Address for correspondence: University Medicine Greifswald, Ferdinand-Sauerbruch-Strasse, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.

E-mail address: guenter.kampf@uni-greifswald.de.

The DNA of monkeypox is typically detected in ulcerated lesions, in the upper respiratory tract, in blood and in urine [7]. Nosocomial and household transmissions have been described [7]. Viral transmission occurs by direct contact with infected body fluids or lesions, via contaminated fomites, or through respiratory secretions that typically require prolonged interaction [8]. A pooled estimate from a systematic review suggested a secondary attack rate of approximately 8% (range 0–11%) among household contacts who were unvaccinated against smallpox [9].

Results from an *in vitro* study showed that cultured orthopoxviruses may remain infectious at room temperature on galvanized steel and glass under laboratory conditions for 3 days (89–100% relative humidity) or up to 42 days (1–10% relative humidity) in the absence of organic load [10]. Variola virus in crusts obtained from a single smallpox patient with an initial viral load of approximately 2.2×10^8 could remain infectious in a sterile bottle at room temperature for up to 8 weeks at 85–90% relative air humidity or for up to 12 weeks in a desiccator [11]. Disinfection may therefore be relevant in the surroundings of confirmed cases to reduce the potential for viral spread via contaminated surfaces. Although it is unlikely that monkeypox will become a global health emergency [3], it is important to know which disinfectants and biocidal agents are effective against the monkeypox virus and other orthopoxviruses. The aim of this review was therefore to compile and evaluate published data on the antiviral efficacy of biocidal agents and disinfectants against the monkeypox virus and other orthopoxviruses.

Methods

A Medline search was performed on 5th June 2022. The terms 'monkeypox virus', 'poxvirus' and 'orthopoxvirus' were used in combination with 'disinfection' and resulted in three, 127 and 106 hits, respectively. Research articles from all available years and in all languages were included. Results were extracted given they provided original data on any orthopoxvirus regarding its inactivation by single biocidal agents used for disinfection or by formulated products based on a single or multiple biocidal agents (e.g., suspension tests or carrier tests). Reviews were not included, but were screened for any information within the scope of this review.

Results

Alcohols

Ethanol was effective in suspension tests against the vaccinia virus strain Elstree and the modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) in concentrations between 50% and 95% within 1 min, even with different types of organic load. Ethanol at 45% supplemented with phosphoric acid was also effective in 30 s. At concentrations of 40% or less, ethanol revealed only poor efficacy against vaccinia viruses (Table I). Isopropanol was effective against both viruses in concentrations between 40% and 75% within 1 min, mostly shown in the presence of 10% foetal calf serum (FCS), whereas a concentration of 30% revealed only a partial efficacy within 1 min (Table I). Formulations based on two types of alcohol with a total alcohol concentration between 75% and 77.8% were also very effective in 15 s (Table I).

A sufficient efficacy of 70% ethanol against vaccinia viruses on artificially contaminated surfaces was shown on stainless-steel carriers with different types of organic load at exposure times of 1 min, 10 min and 1 h (Table II).

Aldehydes

Glutaraldehyde has been described to be effective in suspension tests against the vaccinia virus strain Elstree and MVA at concentrations between 0.05% and 0.5% within 5 min, mostly in the presence of 10% FCS. At shorter contact times of 30 s or 2 min, however, sufficient efficacy against vaccinia viruses was not consistently described (Table III).

A solution and formulation of 2% glutaraldehyde were effective against vaccinia virus on artificially contaminated stainless-steel carriers under dirty test conditions within 10 min. Orthophthaldehyde at 0.55% revealed a comparable efficacy in 5 min against the vaccinia virus under dirty conditions (Table II).

Peroxides

Hydrogen peroxide was effective in suspension tests against vaccinia virus at 14.4% in 30 s. Peracetic acid was also proved to quickly inactivate vaccinia viruses at concentrations between 0.005% and 0.2% within 1 min and with 10% FCS as organic load. Monoperacetic acid inactivated vaccinia virus at 0.05% (30 s), 0.025% (2 min) and 0.01% (15 min). Ozone was effective against vaccinia virus at 0.12% in 1 h. The data obtained with monoperacetic acid and ozone indicate that the efficacy is impaired in the presence of organic load (Table IV).

The efficacy against vaccinia viruses on artificially contaminated stainless-steel carriers was sufficient under dirty test conditions when a solution of 7.5% hydrogen peroxide was applied for 10 min or when a solution or formulation of 0.2% peracetic acid were applied for 5 min (Table II).

Halogens

Chlorine was effective in suspension tests against vaccinia viruses at 0.64% (active chlorine) in 1 min and at 0.525% (sodium hypochlorite) in 3 min with a low organic load. Lower concentrations required longer exposure times or were insufficiently effective. A higher concentration of albumin as an organic load reduced the virucidal efficacy (Table V). Iodine was also effective against vaccinia viruses in concentrations between 0.045% and 1% within 1 min under clean and dirty test conditions (Table V).

Sodium hypochlorite (0.25% and 2.5%) was in addition effective against vaccinia virus under dirty test conditions on artificially contaminated stainless-steel carriers in 1 min (Table II).

Benzalkonium chloride

Solutions based on benzalkonium chloride (BAC) at 0.05% and 0.13% were not sufficiently effective in suspension tests against vaccinia viruses within 10 min. Products based on 0.0125% BAC (30 min) and 0.025% BAC (5 min), however, were effective but were tested only in the absence of organic load. A product based on either a 'quaternary ammonium compound' at 0.1% (30 min) or BAC at 0.015% (1 min) reduced vaccinia virus sufficiently. Products based on BAC with additional chlorhex

Table I

Efficacy of solutions and formulations primarily based on ethanol, isopropanol and n-propanol against vaccinia viruses in suspension tests

Biocidal agent(s)	Concentration	Test strain	Exposure time	Type of organic soil	Temperature	Log ₁₀	Reference
Ethanol	95% #	Strain Elstree	15 s	None	20°C	≥4.8	[12]
			15 s	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.5	[12]
			15 s	0.2% BSA	20°C	≥4.1	[12]
			15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥4.5	[12]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥5.5	[12]
			30 s	Not described	20°C	4.1	[13]
	85% #	Strain Elstree	15 s	None	Not described	>4.6	[14]
			15 s	10% FCS	Not described	>5.3	[14]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	Not described	>5.0	[14]
	80% #	Strain Elstree	15 s	None	20°C	≥5.0	[12]
			15 s	10% FCS	20°C	≥5.3	[12]
			15 s	0.2% BSA	20°C	≥5.3	[12]
			15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥5.0	[12]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥5.4	[12]
			30 s	0.3% BSA	Not described	5.0	[15]
	70% ##	Vaccination strain	1 min	None	RT	≥4.0	[16]
			10 min	None	25°C	6.8	[17]
	60% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.4	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 *	[18]
	55% #, **	Strain Elstree	30 s	Not described	20°C	5.1	[13]
	50% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.4	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 *	[18]
	45% #, **	Strain Elstree	30 s	Not described	20°C	5.1	[13]
	40% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	2.3–3.9	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	1.7–5.3	[18]
	30% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≤0.1	[18]
1 min			10% FCS	20°C	0.0	[18]	
Isopropanol	99% ##	Vaccination strain	10 min	None	26°C	≥6.7	[17]
			30 s	0.3% BSA	Not described	5.0	[15]
	75% #	MVA	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.4	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 *	[18]
	60% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.4	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 *	[18]
	50% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.4	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 *	[18]
	48.5% ##	Vaccination strain	10 min	None	26°C	6.5	[17]
	40% ##	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.4	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 *	[18]
	30% ##	Vaccination strain	10 min	None	RT	≥4.0	[16]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	0.3–1.9	[18]
		MVA	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	0.5–3.7	[18]
1 min			10% FCS	20°C	0.5–3.7	[18]	
Isopropanol, n-propanol, mecetronium etilsulphate	45%, 30%, 0.2% #	Strain Elstree	15 s	None	20°C	≥6.3	[12]
			15 s	10% FCS	20°C	≥5.3	[12]
			15 s	0.2% BSA	20°C	≥5.6	[12]
			15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥5.7	[12]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥6.4	[12]
Isopropanol, ethanol, povidone iodine	38.9%, 38.9%, 3.24% #	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥5.7	[19]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥5.7	[19]

BSA, bovine serum albumin; clean conditions = 0.03% bovine albumin; dirty conditions = 0.3% bovine albumin and 0.3% sheep erythrocytes; FCS, foetal calf serum; MVA, modified vaccinia virus Ankara; RT, room temperature.

Formulated product.

Solution of biocidal agent

* Limit of detection <4.0 log₁₀ in some experiments.

** Contains phosphoric acid as an auxiliary agent.

Table II
Efficacy of solutions or products based on various biocidal agents against vaccinia viruses on artificially contaminated surfaces

Biocidal agent(s)	Concentration	Applied volume	Test strain	Type of carrier	Type of organic soil	Temperature	Exposure time	Log ₁₀	Reference
Ethanol	70% [#]	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	1 min	4.5	[32]
							10 min	>5.0	[32]
Glutaraldehyde	70% [#] 2% [#]	100 µL 50 µL	MVA Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Clean conditions Dirty conditions	RT 20°C	1 h	5.8	[24]
							10 min	>5.0	[32]
Orthophthalaldehyde	2% ^{##} 0.55% [#]	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	10 min	>5.0	[32]
							5 min	>5.0	[32]
Hydrogen peroxide	0.55% ^{##} 7.5% [#]	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	5 min	>5.0	[32]
							10 min	4.9	[32]
Peracetic acid	20 min 0.2% [#]	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	10 min	>5.0	[32]
							10 min	>5.0	[32]
Sodium hypochlorite	2.5% [#] 0.25% [#]	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	1 min	>4.6	[32]
							1 min	>4.6	[32]
Benzyltrimethyltetradecylammonium chloride	0.05% [#]	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	1 min	2.8	[32]
							10 min	3.2	[32]
Glucoprotamin	0.065% ^{##}	100 µL	Strain Elstree	Stainless steel	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.4	[31]
							15 min	1.6	
							30 min	2.3	
		100 µL	Strain Elstree	Glass	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.2	[31]
							15 min	1.5	
							30 min	1.7	
100 µL	Strain Elstree	PVC	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.1	[31]		
					15 min	1.3			
					30 min	2.1			
Glucoprotamin	0.13% ^{##}	100 µL	Strain Elstree	Stainless steel	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.8	[31]
							15 min	1.7	
							30 min	2.3	
		100 µL	Strain Elstree	Glass	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.6	[31]
							15 min	1.5	
							30 min	2.2	
100 µL	Strain Elstree	PVC	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.6	[31]		
					15 min	1.6			
					30 min	2.4			
Glucoprotamin	0.26% ^{##}	100 µL	Strain Elstree	Stainless steel	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	2.0	[31]
							15 min	2.1	
							30 min	2.3	
		100 µL	Strain Elstree	Glass	Clean conditions	RT	5 min	1.9	[31]
							15 min	2.3	
							30 min	2.3	

	100 µL	Strain Elstree	PVC	Clean Conditions	RT	5 min	2.1	[31]
Probiotic cleaner	100 µL	MVA	Stainless steel	Clean conditions	RT	1 h	5.8	[24]
	10% ##					1 h	5.8	
	2% ##					1 h	2.8	
	1% ##					2h	5.8	
Alkaline cleaner	50 µL	Laboratory strain	Stainless steel	Dirty conditions	20°C	10 min	>5.0	[32]

MVA, modified vaccinia virus Ankara; RT, room temperature.

dirty conditions = 0.3% sheep erythrocytes and 0.3% bovine serum albumin; clean conditions = 0.03% bovine serum albumin.

Solution of biocidal agent.

Formulated product.

idine digluconate or glutaraldehyde were also effective in 1 min in the absence of organic load during testing (Table VI).

The potentially low effect of quaternary ammonium compounds against vaccinia virus was also shown on artificially contaminated stainless-steel carriers under dirty test conditions. A solution of 0.05% benzyltrimethyltetradecylammonium chloride reduced the test virus by up to 3.2 log₁₀ in 10 min (Table II).

Glucoprotamin

A formulated product based on glucoprotamin was tested under clean conditions against the vaccinia virus with a 5-min exposure time. Diluted product reduced the test virus by at least 2.7 log₁₀ (0.13% and 0.065% glucoprotamin) or at least 1.7 log₁₀ (0.26% glucoprotamin). The limit of detection did not allow measurement of a higher reduction (Table VI).

The same product was insufficiently effective in a carrier test under clean conditions with an incomplete inactivation of dried vaccinia virus on stainless steel (2.3 log₁₀), polyvinyl chloride (2.7 log₁₀) or glass carrier (2.3 log₁₀) using 0.26% glucoprotamin for 30 min (Table II).

Chlorhexidine digluconate

A commercially available antimicrobial soap based on 4% chlorhexidine digluconate reduced the vaccinia virus strain Elstree in suspension tests in 30 s by 1.0 log₁₀ and was not sufficiently effective [13].

Copper

The vaccinia virus strain Elstree and the virulent monkeypox virus strain Copenhagen were both tested on surfaces with 99.9% copper and stainless steel at room temperature. The initial viral titre of both viruses (approximately 10⁶ pfu) was reduced by ≥4 log₁₀ within 3 min on copper whereas the decline was less than 2 log₁₀ on stainless steel within 5 min and remained small after 20 min [23].

Probiotic cleaner

A formulated probiotic detergent product containing 10⁷ cfu/mL spores of *B. subtilis*, *B. pumilus* and *B. megaterium* was tested against MVA (ATCC VR-1508) in suspension tests at dilutions of 10%, 2% and 1% with exposure times of up to 24 h. Sufficient efficacy of at least 4 log₁₀ was found at concentrations of 10% and 2% within 1 h whereas a solution of 1% was sufficiently effective within 2 h [24].

Similar results were found according to EN 16777:2019 on artificially contaminated surfaces under clean conditions. The same concentrations of 10%, 2% and 1% were able to inactivate MVA when applied after the artificial contamination of surfaces (Table II). In addition, the formulation was effective when applied prior to a viral contamination of the surface. The antiviral effect of treated surfaces (2-h exposure time) against a subsequent viral contamination remained with all concentrations for at least 24 h [24].

Alkaline cleaner

An alkaline cleaner at 0.9% was found to inactivate vaccinia virus on artificially contaminated stainless-steel carriers under dirty conditions by more than 5 log₁₀ in 10 min (Table II).

Table III

Efficacy of solutions based on glutaraldehyde against vaccinia viruses in suspension tests

Biocidal agent	Concentration	Test strain	Exposure time	Type of organic soil	Temperature	Log ₁₀	Reference
Glutaraldehyde	0.5%	ATCC CRL-1549	30 s	10% FCS	20°C	≥2.8 *	[18]
			2 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥2.8 *	[18]
			5 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥2.8 *	[18]
		MVA	30 s	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.1 *	[18]
			2 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.1 *	[18]
			5 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.1 *	[18]
	0.1%	ATCC CRL-1549	30 s	10% FCS	20°C	2.1 to ≥3.9 *	[18]
			2 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.8 *	[18]
			5 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.8 *	[18]
		MVA	30 s	10% FCS	20°C	0.7 to ≥3.1 *	[18]
			2 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.1 *	[18]
			5 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.1 *	[18]
	0.05%	ATCC CRL-1549	30 s	10% FCS	20°C	0.5 to ≥3.9 *	[18]
			2 min	10% FCS	20°C	3.9 to ≥4.4 *	[18]
			5 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.6	[18]
		MVA	30 s	10% FCS	20°C	0.2–1.9	[18]
			2 min	10% FCS	20°C	2.1 to ≥3.9 *	[18]
			5 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.1 *	[18]
0.02%	Vaccination strain	10 min	None	RT	≥4.0	[16]	

FCS, foetal calf serum; MVA, modified vaccinia virus Ankara; RT, room temperature.

* Limit of detection <4.0 log₁₀ in some experiments.

Ultraviolet light

UVC light (254 nm) has been described to inactivate aerosolized vaccinia virus strain WR in a benchtop one-pass aerosol chamber in 7.6 s by 0.02–2.3 log₁₀. A lower relative air humidity increased the susceptibility of the vaccinia virus to UVC [25]. Similar results were found with the vaccinia virus Western reserve strain exposed for 10 min in aerosol to UVC light (254 nm). Under steady-state conditions a reduction between 0.9 and 2.4 log₁₀ was found with higher values in winter [26].

Discussion

Only very few data were found to describe the efficacy of biocidal agents or disinfectants against the monkeypox virus. Most studies were carried out with different strains of vaccinia virus which could be inactivated by at least 4 log₁₀ in suspension tests and on artificially contaminated surfaces by 70% ethanol (≤1 min), 0.2% peracetic acid (≤10 min) and 1–10% of a probiotic cleaner (1 h), mostly shown with different types of organic load. Hydrogen peroxide (14.4%) and iodine (0.04–1%) were effective in suspension tests, sodium hypochlorite (0.25–2.5%), 2% glutaraldehyde and 0.55% orthophthalaldehyde were effective on artificially contaminated surfaces. Glucoprotamin at 0.07%, 0.13% and 0.26% showed an insufficient efficacy on artificially contaminated surfaces and some effect in suspension tests where the limit of detection did not allow measurement of a 4 log₁₀ reduction. Benzalkoniumchloride was partly effective depending on its concentration and the exposure time; chlorhexidine digluconate at 4% (30 s) was not sufficiently effective. A surface of 99.9% copper was also very effective against vaccinia virus and monkeypox virus.

Only one study was found with a direct comparison of the susceptibilities of a vaccinia virus and a monkeypox virus to copper. A similar susceptibility of both viruses was found

towards the biocidal agent [23]. In addition, a comparable susceptibility of the vaccinia virus strain Elstree (e.g., ATCC VR-1549, used for decades in disinfectant efficacy testing) and MVA (recently established in disinfectant efficacy testing) to four commercially available disinfectants used in veterinary medicine was shown by Hartnack *et al.* [33]. Finally, early experiments showed that the variola virus could be effectively inactivated by 50–70% ethanol in 1 min, 40–50% isopropanol in 1 min, 0.1–2% sodium hypochlorite in 1 min and 0.5% benzalkonium chloride in 5 min [34], which corresponds to the results obtained with vaccinia viruses described previously. Based on these data, it is reasonable to assume that the different orthopoxviruses have a similar susceptibility to disinfectants.

A relevant limitation may be the type of organic load used in virucidal efficacy testing. Crusts are an obstacle to determine real-life virucidal activity, especially when suspension tests reveal favourable results [35]. In addition, it has been shown that vaccinia virus embedded in rabbit dermal scabs are more difficult to inactivate. Under these experimental conditions, complete viral inactivation, which is not required by a disinfection procedure, was achieved by 2% glutaraldehyde in 1 h, 80% ethanol, 70% isopropanol and 60% n-propanol in 3 h, whereas a quaternary ammonium compound did not achieve complete viral inactivation in 18 h [36]. The type and amount of organic load has been established to have a major impact on the efficacy of disinfectants against vaccinia viruses [22,37]. Taking into account that the DNA of the monkeypox virus is typically detected in ulcerated lesions, in the upper respiratory tract, in blood and in urine [7], some of the organic loads used in suspension tests have certainly clinical relevance such as FCS (skin lesions) as well as the combination of sheep erythrocytes and albumin (blood). The ASTM tripartite organic load containing mucin, bovine serum albumin and tryptone may be the most suitable soil for a virus detected in the upper respiratory tract [38] but is currently not described in the European norms.

Table IV

Efficacy of solutions and formulations based on hydrogen peroxide, peracetic acid, monopercitric acid and ozone against vaccinia viruses in suspension tests

Biocidal agent(s)	Concentration	Test strain	Exposure time	Type of organic soil	Temperature	Log ₁₀	Reference
Hydrogen peroxide	14.4% [#]	ATCC CRL-1549	30 s	None	20°C	≥4.3	[20]
			30 s	FCS*	20°C	≥4.2	[20]
Peracetic acid	0.2% [#]	Strain Elstree	30 s	None	Not described	>4.0	[21]
			30 s	10% FCS	Not described	>4.0	[21]
	0.1% ^{##}	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.9 ^{**}	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.9 ^{**}	[18]
	0.05% ^{##}	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.5 ^{**}	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.1	[18]
	0.01% ^{##}	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.5 ^{**}	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥3.6 ^{**}	[18]
	0.005% ^{##}	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥4.5	[18]
			1 min	10% FCS	20°C	≥5.7	[18]
0.0025% ^{##}	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	3.4	[18]	
		1 min	10% FCS	20°C	4.8	[18]	
0.001% ^{##}	ATCC CRL-1549	1 min	10% FCS	20°C	0.6–0.9	[18]	
		1 min	10% FCS	20°C	0.2–1.1	[18]	
Monopercitric acid	0.05% ^{##}	Strain Elstree	30 s	None	Not described	>4.0	[21]
			30 s	10% FCS	Not described	>4.0	[21]
	0.025% ^{##}		1.5 min	None	Not described	3.1	[21]
			1.5 min	10% FCS	Not described	2.0	[21]
			2 min	None	Not described	>4.0	[21]
			2 min	10% FCS	Not described	>4.0	[21]
	0.01% ^{##}		5 min	None	Not described	1.3	[21]
			5 min	10% FCS	Not described	1.0	[21]
			15 min	None	Not described	>4.0	[21]
			15 min	10% FCS	Not described	>4.0	[21]
Ozone	0.12% ^{##}	Strain Elstree	30 min	None	Not described	5.5	[22]
			30 min	10% FCS	Not described	2.9	[22]
			45 min	10% FCS	Not described	4.2	[22]
			60 min	10% FCS	Not described	5.5	[22]
			30 min	50% FCS	Not described	1.0	[22]
			45 min	50% FCS	Not described	2.1	[22]
			60 min	50% FCS	Not described	5.5	[22]
			60 min	80% FCS	Not described	2.1	[22]

FCS, foetal calf serum; MVA, modified vaccinia virus Ankara.

[#] Formulated product.^{##} Solution of biocidal agent.

* Concentration not described.

^{**} Limit of detection <4.0 log₁₀ in some experiments.

Overall, it may be useful to establish an additional organic load for disinfectant efficacy testing against pathogens typically isolated from the respiratory tract, especially for biocidal substances such as sodium hypochlorite or ozone which have an impaired activity against vaccinia viruses when tested with increasing amounts of organic load [22,28]. Alternatively, it may be helpful to provide evidence that the standard organic loads used in the European norms also cover the typical organic soil found in respiratory tract secretions.

Another limitation is the lack of efficacy data under practical conditions for many disinfectants, e.g., on artificially contaminated surfaces according to EN 16777 without mechanical action or according to EN 16615 with mechanical wiping. For surface disinfection, one study with a glucoprotamin-based

disinfectant described that data from suspension tests do not correlate with data from carrier tests, indicating that the efficacy in real life may be substantially lower than in suspension tests [31]. Conversely, it was described with a probiotic cleaner that data from suspension tests do correlate well with data from tests under practical conditions [24]. Although the results from suspension tests are relevant to determine the spectrum of antiviral activity it seems desirable to have additional results from tests under practical conditions to have more confidence in the disinfectants efficacy in real life.

The efficacy of a biocidal agent may also depend on the formulation itself including its auxiliary substances. Data obtained with carrier tests under dirty conditions revealed that 2% glutaraldehyde, 0.55% orthophthalaldehyde and 0.2%

Table V

Efficacy of solutions and formulations based on chlorine as sodium hypochlorite and iodine as iodophor against vaccinia viruses in suspension tests

Biocidal agent(s)	Concentration	Test strain	Exposure time	Type of organic soil	Temperature	Log ₁₀	Reference
Chlorine as sodium hypochlorite	0.636% ^{*, #}	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	5.7	[27]
	0.525% [#]	Strain Copenhagen	3 min	1% BSA	RT	≥4.4	[28]
			3 min	7% BSA	RT	3.8	[28]
	0.0525% [#]	Strain Copenhagen	3 min	1% BSA	RT	1.8	[28]
			3 min	7% BSA	RT	0.2	[28]
	0.02% ^{###}	Vaccination strain	10 min	None	RT	≥4.0	[16]
	0.00525% [#]	Strain Copenhagen	3 min	1% BSA	RT	0.3	[28]
3 min			7% BSA	RT	0.2	[28]	
Iodine as iodophor	1% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥4.0	[19]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥4.2	[19]
	0.75–0.81% ^{**, #}	Strain Elstree	30 s	Not described	20°C	≥3.7 ^{***}	[13]
	0.75% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥4.0	[29]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥4.2	[29]
	0.4% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥4.2	[29]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥4.0	[29]
	0.1% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	6.5	[29]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	6.5	[29]
	0.1% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥5.7	[19]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥5.5	[19]
	0.075% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	≥5.5	[29]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	≥5.7	[29]
	0.04% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	4.5	[29]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	4.3	[29]
	0.01% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	4.3	[19]
			15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	2.8	[19]
	0.01% ^{**, #}	MVA	30 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	3.5	[19]
			60 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	3.5	[19]
			15 s	Clean conditions	20°C	4.8	[29]
	0.01% ^{**, #}	MVA	15 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	3.5	[29]
			30 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	4.0	[29]
			60 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	1.7	[19]
	0.0075% ^{**, #}	MVA	60 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	1.8	[19]
			30 s	Clean conditions	20°C	4.2	[19]
			60 s	Clean conditions	20°C	4.5	[19]
	0.045% [#]	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	30 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	1.7	[19]
			60 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	1.8	[19]
			1 min	None	RT	5.5	[27]
	0.004% ^{**, #}	MVA	60 s	Clean conditions	20°C	3.7	[29]
60 s			Dirty conditions	20°C	1.0	[29]	
0.001% ^{**, #}	MVA	60 s	Clean conditions	20°C	0.7	[29]	
		60 s	Dirty conditions	20°C	1.0	[29]	
0.0009% ^{**, #}	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	0.9	[27]	
		5 min	None	RT	1.8	[27]	
		30 min	None	RT	2.8	[27]	
0.0005% ^{**, #}	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	0.1	[27]	
		5 min	None	RT	1.1	[27]	
		30 min	None	RT	2.1	[27]	

BSA, bovine serum albumin; clean conditions = 0.03% bovine albumin; dirty conditions = 0.3% bovine albumin and 0.3% sheep erythrocytes; MVA, modified vaccinia virus Ankara; RT, room temperature.

* Active chlorine.

** Available iodine.

*** Limit of detection <4.0 log₁₀ in all experiments.

Formulated product.

Solution of biocidal agent.

Table VI

Efficacy of solutions or products primarily based on surface-active biocidal agents such as benzalkonium chloride or glucoptamin against vaccinia viruses in suspension tests

Biocidal agent(s)	Concentration	Test strain	Exposure time	Type of organic soil	Temperature	Log ₁₀	Reference
Benzalkoniumchloride	0.13% #	Strain IHD	10 min	None	30°C	2.8	[30]
	0.05% #	Strain IHD	10 min	None	30°C	1.8	[30]
	0.025% ##	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	3.4	[27]
			5 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
			30 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
	0.0125% ##	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	2.1	[27]
5 min			None	RT	2.4	[27]	
30 min			None	RT	≥6.0	[27]	
'Quaternary ammonium compound'	0.015% ##	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
			5 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
			30 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
	0.01% ##	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	1.1	[27]
			5 min	None	RT	1.7	[27]
			30 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
Benzalkonium chloride, chlorhexidine digluconate	0.025%, 0.01% ##	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
			5 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
			30 min	None	RT	≥6.0	[27]
Benzalkonium chloride, glutaraldehyde	0.01%, 0.007% ##	Strain Guarani (VACV-GP2)	1 min	None	RT	≥5.7	[27]
			5 min	None	RT	≥5.7	[27]
			30 min	None	RT	≥5.7	[27]
Glucoptamin	0.26% ##	Strain Elstree	5 min	Clean conditions	RT	≥1.7 *	[31]
	0.13% ##		5 min	Clean conditions	RT	≥2.7 *	[31]
	0.065% ##		5 min	Clean conditions	RT	≥2.7 *	[31]

RT, room temperature.

Solution of biocidal agent.

Formulated product.

* Limit of detection <4.0 log₁₀ in all experiments.

peracetic acid were equally effective against vaccinia virus as a simple solution and as a formulated product [32]. With ethanol as a biocidal agent, it was shown that the efficacy against poliovirus can be enhanced in the presence of 0.7% phosphoric acid [39]. This finding may also explain the sufficient efficacy of a formulation based on 45% ethanol plus phosphoric acid in 30 s [13] while ethanol alone at 40% had mostly insufficient efficacy against vaccinia virus in 1 min [18]. It is therefore essential to have efficacy data for disinfectant products and formulations used in healthcare or in community settings.

In healthcare, it is important that a disinfectant exerts its virucidal effect in a short contact time, especially when volatile biocidal agents such as alcohols are used. The data obtained with vaccinia viruses indicate that some biocidal agents are sufficiently effective within 1 min depending on their concentration such as alcohols, glutaraldehyde, peracetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, monochloric acid, sodium hypochlorite, iodine and some formulations with two different biocidal agents. Ozone, a probiotic cleaning agent and benzalkonium chloride mostly required a longer exposure time of up to 1 h which may be too long in the patients' surroundings.

In conclusion, data from suspension tests and carrier tests show that most biocidal agents and disinfectants have sufficient activity against vaccinia viruses with different types of organic load. Susceptibility data of the monkeypox virus and the vaccinia virus to copper indicate that disinfectants with sufficient

activity against vaccinia virus should also be effective against the monkeypox virus. Disinfectants with efficacy data obtained in suspension tests and under practical conditions with different types of organic load resembling compounds of the blood, the respiratory tract and skin lesions should be preferred for the inactivation of the monkeypox virus.

Conflict of interest statement

The author has received honoraria from Schülke & Mayr, Germany, outside the submitted work. The views expressed here are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the university he is affiliated with.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- [1] Maskalyk J. Monkeypox outbreak among pet owners. *CMAJ* 2003;169:44–5.
- [2] UK Health Security Agency. Monkeypox: Background Information. The Epidemiology, Symptoms, Diagnosis and Management of Monkeypox Virus Infections. 2022.
- [3] Dye C, Kraemer MUG. Investigating the monkeypox outbreak. *BMJ* 2022;377:o1314.
- [4] Kozlov M. Monkeypox outbreaks: 4 key questions researchers have. *Nature* 2022;606:238–9.

- [5] Bunge EM, Hoet B, Chen L, Lienert F, Weidenthaler H, Baer LR, et al. The changing epidemiology of human monkeypox-A potential threat? A systematic review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2022;16:e0010141.
- [6] WHO. Multi-country Monkeypox Outbreak: Situation Update. 17 June 2022.
- [7] Adler H, Gould S, Hine P, Beadsworth MB, Fletcher TE, Khoo SH, et al. Clinical features and management of human monkeypox: a retrospective observational study in the UK. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022, online ahead of print, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00228-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00228-6).
- [8] Wilson ME, Hughes JM, McCollum AM, Damon IK. Human Monkeypox. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;58:260–7.
- [9] Beer EM, Bhargavi Rao V. A systematic review of the epidemiology of human monkeypox outbreaks and implications for outbreak strategy. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2019;13:e0007791.
- [10] Wood JP, Choi YW, Wendling MQ, Rogers JV, Chappie DJ. Environmental persistence of vaccinia virus on materials. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2013;57:399–404.
- [11] Huq F. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on variola virus in crusts. *Bull World Heal Org* 1976;54:710–2.
- [12] Kampf G, Steinmann J, Rabenau H. Suitability of vaccinia virus and bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV) for determining activities of three commonly-used alcohol-based hand rubs against enveloped viruses. *BMC Infect Dis* 2007;7:5.
- [13] Steinmann J, Paulmann D, Becker B, Bischoff B, Steinmann E, Steinmann J. Comparison of virucidal activity of alcohol-based hand sanitizers versus antimicrobial hand soaps in vitro and in vivo. *J Hosp Infect* 2012;82:277–80.
- [14] Kampf G, Rudolf M, Labadie J-C, Barrett SP. Spectrum of antimicrobial activity and user acceptability of the hand disinfectant agent Sterillium Gel. *J Hosp Infect* 2002;52:141–7.
- [15] Siddharta A, Pfaender S, Vielle NJ, Dijkman R, Friesland M, Becker B, et al. Virucidal activity of World Health Organization-recommended formulations against enveloped viruses, including Zika, Ebola, and emerging coronaviruses. *J Infect Dis* 2017;215:902–6.
- [16] Klein M, Deforest A. Antiviral action of germicides. *Soap Chem Spec* 1963;39:70–2.
- [17] Groupe V, Engle CC, Gaffney PE. Virucidal activity of representative anti-infective agents against influenza A and vaccinia virus. *Appl Microbiol* 1955;3:333–6.
- [18] Rabenau HF, Rapp I, Steinmann J. Can vaccinia virus be replaced by MVA virus for testing virucidal activity of chemical disinfectants? *BMC Infect Dis* 2010;10:185.
- [19] Eggers M, Eickmann M, Kowalski K, Zorn J, Reimer K. Povidone-iodine hand wash and hand rub products demonstrated excellent in vitro virucidal efficacy against Ebola virus and modified vaccinia virus Ankara, the new European test virus for enveloped viruses. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015;15:375.
- [20] Becker B, Bischoff B, Brill FH, Steinmann E, Steinmann J. Virucidal efficacy of a sonicated hydrogen peroxide system (tropho-((R)) EPR) following European and German test methods. *GMS Hyg Infect Control* 2017;12:Doc02.
- [21] Wutzler P, Sauerbrei A. Virucidal activity of the new disinfectant monopercitric acid. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2004;39:194–8.
- [22] Murray BK, Ohmine S, Tomer DP, Jensen KJ, Johnson FB, Kirsi JJ, et al. Virion disruption by ozone-mediated reactive oxygen species. *J Virol Methods* 2008;153:74–7.
- [23] Bleichert P, Espirito Santo C, Hanczaruk M, Meyer H, Grass G. Inactivation of bacterial and viral biothreat agents on metallic copper surfaces. *Biomaterials* 2014;27:1179–89.
- [24] D'Accolti M, Soffritti I, Bonfante F, Ricciardi W, Mazzacane S, Caselli E, et al. Potential of an eco-sustainable probiotic-cleaning formulation in reducing infectivity of enveloped viruses. *Viruses* 2021;13:2227.
- [25] McDevitt JJ, Ka ML, Rudnick SN, Houseman EA, First MW, Milton DK. Characterization of UVC light sensitivity of vaccinia virus. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007;73:5796–6.
- [26] McDevitt JJ, Milton DK, Rudnick SN, First MW. Inactivation of poxviruses by upper-room UVC light in a simulated hospital room environment. *PLoS One* 2008;3:e3186.
- [27] de Oliveira TM, Rehfeld IS, Coelho Guedes MI, Ferreira JM, Kroon EG, Lobato ZI. Susceptibility of vaccinia virus to chemical disinfectants. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2011;85:152–7.
- [28] Ferrier A, Garin D, Crance JM. Rapid inactivation of vaccinia virus in suspension and dried on surfaces. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;57:73–9.
- [29] Eggers M, Eickmann M, Zorn J. Rapid and effective virucidal activity of povidone-iodine products against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and Modified Vaccinia Virus Ankara (MVA). *Infect Dis Ther* 2015;4:491–501.
- [30] Armstrong JA, Froelich EJ. Inactivation of viruses by benzalkonium chloride. *Appl Microbiol* 1964;12:132–7.
- [31] Zeitler B, Rapp I. Surface-dried viruses can resist glucoprotein-based disinfection. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2014;80:7169–75.
- [32] Eterpi M, McDonnell G, Thomas V. Disinfection efficacy against parvoviruses compared with reference viruses. *J Hosp Infect* 2009;73:64–70.
- [33] Hartnack S, Essbauer S, Truyen U. Substitution of vaccinia virus Elstree by modified vaccinia virus Ankara to test the virucidal efficacy of chemical disinfectants. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2008;55:99–105.
- [34] Tanabe I, Hotta S. Effect of disinfectants on variola virus in cell culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1976;32:209–12.
- [35] Kelsey JC. Letter: Disinfectants and smallpox. *Lancet* (London, England) 1975;1:337.
- [36] Schuemann KO, Grossgebauer K. [Experiments on disinfection of vaccinia virus embedded in scabs and/or at the hand]. *Zentralbl Bakteriolog Orig B* 1977;164:45–63.
- [37] Tanneberger F, Wahed AA EL, Fischer M, Blome S, Truyen U. The efficacy of disinfection on modified vaccinia Ankara and African swine fever virus in various forest soil types. *Viruses* 2021;13:2173.
- [38] Kasloff SB, Leung A, Strong JE, Funk D, Cutts T. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 on critical personal protective equipment. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:984.
- [39] Kramer A, Galabov AS, Sattar SA, Döhner L, Pivert A, Payan C, et al. Virucidal activity of a new hand disinfectant with reduced ethanol content: comparison with other alcohol-based formulations. *J Hosp Infect* 2006;62:98–106.