

Citation: Salom-Pérez R, Corrales-Gutiérrez D, Araya-Gamboa D, Espinoza-Muñoz D, Finegan B, Petracca LS (2021) Forest cover mediates large and medium-sized mammal occurrence in a critical link of the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor. PLoS ONE 16(3): e0249072. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0249072

Editor: Francesco Rovero, Universita degli Studi di Firenze Dipartimento di Biologia, ITALY

Received: August 11, 2020

Accepted: March 11, 2021

Published: March 23, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249072

Copyright: © 2021 Salom-Pérez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All main data are within the paper and its <u>Supporting Information</u> files. We have also ensured that our full data are **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Forest cover mediates large and mediumsized mammal occurrence in a critical link of the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor

Roberto Salom-Pérez^{1,2,3}*, Daniel Corrales-Gutiérrez¹, Daniela Araya-Gamboa¹, Deiver Espinoza-Muñoz¹, Bryan Finegan³, Lisanne S. Petracca⁶

1 Panthera, New York, NY, United States of America, 2 Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, United States of America, 3 CATIE-Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza, Turrialba, Costa Rica, 4 School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America

* rsalom@panthera.org

Abstract

Connectivity of natural areas through biological corridors is essential for ecosystem resilience and biodiversity conservation. However, robust assessments of biodiversity in corridor areas are often hindered by logistical constraints and the statistical challenges of modeling data from multiple species. Herein, we used a hierarchical community occupancy model in a Bayesian framework to evaluate the status of medium and large-sized mammals in a critical link of the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor (MBC) in Costa Rica. We used camera traps deployed from 2013–2017 to detect 18 medium (1–15 kg) and 6 large (>15 kg) mammal species in a portion of two Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs) and the Corridor linking them. Camera traps operated for 16,904 trap nights across 209 stations, covering an area of 880 km². Forest cover was the most important driver of medium and large-sized mammal habitat use, with forest specialists such as jaguars (Panthera onca) and pumas (Puma concolor) strongly associated with high forest cover, while habitat generalists such as coyotes (Canis latrans) and raccoons (Procyon lotor) were associated with low forest cover. Medium and large-sized mammal species richness was lower in the Corridor area ($\bar{x} = 9.78 \pm 1.84$) than in the portions evaluated of the two JCUs ($\bar{x} = 11.50 \pm 1.52$). Puma and jaguar habitat use probabilities were strongly correlated with large prey species richness (jaguar, r = 0.59, p<0.001; puma, r = 0.72, p<0.001), and correlated to a lesser extent with medium prey species richness (jaguar, r = 0.36, p = 0.003; puma, r = 0.23, p = 0.064). Low estimated jaguar habitat use probability in one JCU (Central Volcanic Cordillera: $\bar{x} = 0.15\pm0.11$) suggests that this is not the jaguar stronghold previously assumed. In addition, the western half of the Corridor has low richness of large mammals, making it necessary to take urgent actions to secure habitat connectivity for mammal populations.

now freely available on the senior author's GitHub page (please see https://github.com/ lisannepetracca/Salom_Perez_et_al_2021_ PLOSOne).

Funding: RSP received support from Interamerican Development Bank (#CID/CCR/823/2013) https:// www.iadb.org/, Small Cats Action Fund (#06-2016) https://www.panthera.org/grants-and-fellowships, and Kaplan Graduate Awards Program (#06-2017) https://www.panthera.org/grants-and-fellowships. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Biodiversity is essential to maintain the resilience of ecosystems and the stability of their functions [1,2]. It is also critical for supporting a range of ecosystem services, reducing the risk of spread of infectious diseases, and maintaining productivity of several agricultural systems (e.g. facilitating pollination) [1,3,4]. While forests represent one of the most biodiverse ecosystems [5], global forest cover is being lost at a rate of 0.6% per year, largely due to conversion to agroindustrial land uses [6]. The increasing isolation of intact forest areas may not be enough to guarantee the long-term survival of species that require large spatial extents to sustain viable populations [7,8]. Thus, connectivity between separated forested areas through dispersal or biological corridors in human-dominated landscapes is crucial for the conservation of wideranging species [9,10].

With only 0.50% of the world's land area, the Mesoamerica region is recognized as a global hotspot, holding ~7% of the world's biodiversity [11]. Because of its relatively small size and its geographic position between North and South America, this isthmus has functioned for millennia as a natural bridge for wildlife, becoming arguably the most critical region for habitat connectivity in the Americas. Consequently, in 1997 the governments in the region created the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor (MBC), an initiative to preserve biodiversity and connect protected and other natural areas from southern Mexico to Panama [12]. Nevertheless, forest is being lost in several parts of the corridor, with approximately 271,600 ha lost across the region in the last ten years [13], and some researchers have already highlighted possible areas where connectivity may be broken or close to broken [9,14–17].

Medium and large-sized mammals play an important role in ecosystem dynamics, frequently representing the bulk of wildlife biomass in a given area [18], serving as predators exerting topdown control over other vertebrates [19], or performing ecological roles as prey species [20–22], seed consumers [23–25], or seed dispersers [24,26,27]. Medium and large-sized mammals are also among the most hunted animals by humans, and may comprise the main source of protein for some communities [18]. Importantly, large mammals incur substantial energetic costs and require large areas to maintain viable populations, placing many of them at higher risk of extinction and greater vulnerability to habitat alterations through human-related pressures [28–30].

The idea of large-sized mammals as "sentinel" species, decreasing in abundance or disappearing from areas where perturbations occur, has been a major driver of research into mammal ecology and distribution [29,31-33]. Much work has focused on single species under the "umbrella" concept, meaning that the conservation of one wide-ranging species will conserve other sympatric species [30,34-37]. However, some investigators suggest that the "umbrella" concept has not been tested appropriately [38-40], and single-species work is frequently confronted with small sample sizes that limit the analytical toolkit and scope of inference that can be applied to a specific area [41-43].

To overcome the problems of low sample sizes and/or detection rates, researchers often turn to occupancy models. Modern occupancy models account for imperfect detection by incorporating detection probability [43,44], producing less biased estimates compared to naive occupancy estimation [45]. The extension of occupancy models to a community or multi-species framework [46–48] allows the estimation of species and community-level occupancy by drawing species-level estimates from community-level hyperparameters [45,47–50], which can improve estimates for rare or elusive species. For these reasons, a multiple species approach to assess biodiversity, evaluate the effects of impacts or management actions, and evaluate connectivity has proven to be a useful alternative to the aforementioned methods [16,46–48,50].

In this paper, we use a multi-species community occupancy approach [46,50] to establish baseline information for medium and large-sized mammals, including two large predators, in

a critical wildlife corridor and the adjacent protected areas in Costa Rica. This corridor was primarily created to secure jaguar (*Panthera onca*; IUCN: near threatened; [51]) population connectivity. Thus, this area is considered a crucial corridor for the MBC and the Jaguar Corridor Initiative (JCI), the largest-scale carnivore conservation effort to date [9,15,52]. The JCI aims to preserve jaguar populations and range-wide habitat connectivity from Mexico to northern Argentina by identifying and securing dispersal corridors between core populations, also known as Jaguar Conservation Units or JCUs [9]. Jaguars, alongside pumas (*Puma concolor*; IUCN: least concern; [53]), are the largest predators in the region and their presence elsewhere has been associated with prey biomass and availability [22,54–56]. However, some studies have also found that prey richness can be related to large carnivore richness [57], occupancy [15], or dietary niche breadth [58].

Our objectives were to: (1) determine the environmental and human-related factors driving the occurrence of 24 medium (N = 18) and large (N = 6) native mammal species, plus the non-native domestic pig (an important prey item for jaguars and pumas in the study area), and (2) evaluate differences between the corridor and adjoining JCUs in terms of (a) species richness of medium and large-sized native mammal species and (b) habitat use probability for jaguars and pumas.

We expected that medium and large mammal species richness would be lower in the corridor than in the JCUs, given that the latter have higher forest cover and are classified as protected areas or indigenous territories. We also hypothesized that habitat use probability of jaguars and pumas would be related to large prey species richness given carnivores' high energetic requirements. We discuss the implications of our findings and the practicality of our model for this critical link of the MBC and the JCI, and for initiatives directed at preserving and monitoring biodiversity.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study area comprises the Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (hereafter "the Corridor") and a portion of two adjacent JCUs: the Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) JCU and the Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) JCU (Fig 1). The JCUs are expert-defined areas that are believed to have resident jaguar populations, an adequate prey base, and high habitat quality for this species [8,59].

The CVC JCU comprises a continuous block of protected areas in central Costa Rica (IUCN categories II and VI; ~1,153 km²) to the west of the Corridor, while the second JCU (TC JCU) is shared between Costa Rica and Panama and connects to the south-eastern side of the Corridor. The TC JCU encompasses a continuous group of protected areas (IUCN categories II and VI) and indigenous territories (~7,002 km²) on the Costa Rican side. Primary and secondary forests represent ~75% of the JCU area, with the remaining area largely dominated by pasture [60].

The Corridor between those two JCUs is approximately 362 km², of which 58% is protected (IUCN category VI; Forest Reserves and a Protected Zone) or indigenous territory with a certain level of protection. No other suitable connections for jaguars have been identified between the CVC and TC JCUs, and more broadly between Nicaragua and Panama [15]. Almost all the area within the corridor is privately owned, comprising small farms. About 64% of the Corridor is covered by primary and secondary forest (2015 Aster Image; AIST https://gbank.gsj.jp/ madas/), with the rest of the area dominated by pasturelands for livestock (20%), and agriculture (14%). There are two two-lane paved roads within the Corridor that connect the towns of Turrialba and Siquirres.



Fig 1. Jaguar conservation units, jaguar corridors, and protected areas in Costa Rica. Reprinted from Panthera and [60] under a CC BY license, with permission from Panthera and Repositorio TEC, original copyright 2013 and 2008.

Study design and data collection

We conducted camera trap surveys to assess the presence of medium (1-15 kg) and large (>15 kg) mammal species over the study area. The study area was divided in four different blocks (CVC JCU, TC JCU, and Corridor Blocks 1 and 2). We created a grid system of 63 16 km² cells over the entire Corridor and portions of the CVC and TC JCUs (Fig 2). Cell size represented the approximate home range size of jaguars in Central America, one of the target species in our analyses, and presumably the species with the largest home range size [61–63].

The 16 km² grid cells were subdivided into four sub-cells of 4 km² each (Fig 3). We sampled two sub-cells per grid cell with two stations (one camera trap per station) in each sub-cell for a period of approximately three months. Selection of these sub-cells was random, but had to be adjusted when there was no forest, permits were not given, or access was difficult (e.g. presence of very steep slopes). Blocks of the study area were sampled at different times due to limitations in the number of cameras available and logistical considerations, as well as to allow for a higher



Fig 2. Our sampling grid of 16 km² cells in the study area. Camera traps to survey medium and large-sized mammals were placed in the CVC JCU (August 2014 to April 2015), Block 1 (Oct 2013—January 2014) and Block 2 (January 2014—May 2014) of the Corridor, and the TC JCU (September 2016 to April 2017). Study area: Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of the Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs). Strictly protected areas refer to IUCN Ia & II categories. Reprinted from Panthera and [60] under a CC BY license, with permission from Panthera and Repositorio TEC, original copyright 2013 and 2008.

density of cameras per area. Survey periods were Oct 2013—January 2014 (Corridor Block 1), January 2014—May 2014 (Corridor Block 2), August 2014 to April 2015 (CVC JCU), and September 2016 to April 2017 (TC JCU). No major land use or management changes occurred during these periods, though we account for potential baseline differences in mammal habitat use across blocks via random intercepts as described later.

We placed motion sensitive camera traps (Panthera® V3, V4, V5 and V6) in forested areas, strapped to trees at approximately 0.4–0.5 m parallel to the ground. Cameras were set to function continuously and to take three shots in every event during the day and one shot during the night. One camera of each 4 km² surveyed sub-cell was placed off a trail and the other one was placed on a human-made trail (when available), in an attempt to detect species that may avoid or use human trails (Fig 3).



Fig 3. Graphic representation of a 16 km² cell, 4 km² sub-cells and the planned distribution of the camera stations in the study area. Cell: 16 km²; Sub-cells: 4 km². Black dots represent camera stations and brown lines represent human-made trails. Study area: Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of the Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs) from 2013–2017.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249072.g003

Camera location (±30 m) was recorded using a GPS device (Garmin®). Cameras were checked approximately every six weeks to download pictures and perform camera maintenance. Data were processed on Panthera IDS (Integrated Data Systems; version 1.13.786), where the species, date, time, and number of individuals in each photograph were recorded. For the occupancy-based analyses, we grouped data from all stations in each grid cell to make detection histories per week ("1" = detected, "0" = not detected, "NA" = inactive camera) for each of the 24 medium (N = 18 species) and large (N = 6) native mammal species, plus domestic pig (Total N = 25) (Table 1). We included domestic pig as they were frequently recorded and were consumed by jaguars and pumas in the study area, especially in indigenous areas where they roam freely in the forest (R. Salom-Pérez, *personal observation*).

Site covariates were selected *a priori* and hypothesized to be the main drivers of occupancy of medium and large sized-mammals in the study area [9,15,16,59,64,65] (S1 Table in S1 File). Site covariates expected to have a positive relationship with occupancy of medium and large mammals were enhanced vegetation index (EVI) [66], forest cover [65], distance to a primary road [65], distance from any human settlement, and distance from major human settlements (see detail in S1 File) [65]. Site covariates expected to have a negative relationship with occupancy of medium and large mammals were elevation [67], terrain ruggedness [68], distance to strictly protected areas (IUCN Ia & II categories) [65], distance to JCUs (Panthera unpub. data) and human presence (calculated as the number of human detections per 1,000 trap nights per stations; S1 File). All covariates were normalized prior to inclusion in the models such that the magnitude of regression coefficients could be compared within and among models [69]. To calculate effort, a covariate on detection, we calculated and standardized the sum of all trap nights on each occasion for every grid cell.

Permits for data collection were granted by the Costa Rican National System of Conservation Areas (SINAC; Spanish).

Multi-level community occupancy model

Given that our survey occurred over a long period of time (~3.5 years), the closure assumption (i.e., there are no changes in the occupancy status of grid cells during the survey period [44]) is likely violated. Thus, occupancy (Ψ) is interpreted as probability of habitat use [70], and we assume that any changes in the occupancy status of grid cells over our survey period were random and that there were no major changes in the area throughout the study period.

Scientific name	Common name	Size	Included as prey for jaguars in the analysis	Included as prey for puma in the analysis
Cabassous centralis	Northern naked-tailed armadillo	medium		
Canis latrans	Coyote	medium		
Conepatus semistriatus	Skunk	medium	✓	✓
Cuniculus paca	Paca	medium	✓	✓
Dasyprocta punctata	Agouti	medium	✓	✓
Dasypus novemcinctus	Nine-banded armadillo	medium	✓	✓
Didelphis marsupialis	Opossum	medium	✓	✓
Eira barbara	Tayra	medium		✓
Galictis vittata	Grison		✓	
Herpailurus yagouaroundi	Jaguarundi	medium		
Nasua narica	Coati	medium	✓	✓
Leopardus pardalis	Ocelot	medium		
Leopardus wiedii	Margay	medium		
Leopardus tigrinus	Oncilla	medium		
Procyon lotor	Raccoon	medium	✓	✓
Sylvilagus brasiliensis	Rabbit	medium	✓	✓
Tamandua mexicana	Tamandua	medium	✓	✓
Urocyon cinereoargenteus	Gray fox	medium		✓
Mazama temama	Red-brocket deer	large	✓	✓
Odocoileus virginianus	White-tailed deer	large	✓	✓
Panthera onca	Jaguar	large		
Pecari tajacu	Collared peccary	large	✓	✓
Puma concolor	Puma	large		
Sus scrofa	Domestic pig	large	✓	✓
Tapirus bairdii	Tapir	large		

Table 1. Medium and large-sized mammal species included in the global analysis (N = 25). Those included in the habitat use analysis for jaguars and pumas are indicated by a check mark. Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor and portions of the Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249072.t001

We used a multi-level (or hierarchical) community occupancy model in a Bayesian framework to calculate jaguar and puma habitat use probability and species richness [46–48,50]. Unlike traditional occupancy models, the Bayesian framework can account for unobserved heterogeneity across species, space or time through random effects [15]. This type of heterogeneity could be expected in the current investigation given the relatively large spatial extent and the fact that the blocks were surveyed at different time periods.

To determine the covariates included in the global community occupancy model, we first ran single-species, single-season occupancy models for each medium and large-sized mammal species using R package RPresence [71]. We included effort as a covariate on detection in all models. On the occupancy side, we used all possible combinations of our 11 site covariates (additive only, no interactions), taking care to exclude variables from the same model if correlated at $|\mathbf{r}| > 0.60$ ([72]; S2 Table in S1 File). In total, there were 79 models for each species (S2 File). Following Fieberg et al. [73], we then summed the log-likelihoods of each model across our 25 species and calculated Akaike Information Criterion with correction for small samples (AIC_c), with n = number of species [71]. This approach assumed independence between species observations [44].

Our final hierarchical occupancy model included forest cover, human presence, EVI, distance to strictly-protected area, and terrain ruggedness on habitat use, and effort on detection (S1 and S2 Tables in <u>S1 File</u>). Habitat use probability for each species at each site was estimated as:

$$\text{Logit}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{ij}\right) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{il} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \boldsymbol{D}_j \tag{1}$$

where $\xi_{il} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\xi}, \tau_{\xi})$ is the random intercept for each species *i* at each block *l* (CVC JCU, Corridor Blocks 1 and 2, and TC JCU), μ_{ξ} is the community-level or hyperparameter mean for the intercept on habitat use, and τ_{ξ} is its precision. The random intercept was used to account for potential spatial and temporal heterogeneity in habitat use due to differences by species and survey block [47,48,50]. In addition, α_i are estimated beta coefficients on habitat use for species *i*, where $\alpha_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu\alpha, \tau\alpha)$; $\mu\alpha$ is the community-level or hyperparameter mean for each beta coefficient, and $\tau\alpha$ is its precision. D_j are the standardized values for each covariate at grid cell *j*.

Detection probability for each species *i* at each site *j* and in each week *k*, was estimated as

$$Logit (p_{i,j,k}) = v_{il} + \beta_i effort_{j,k}$$
(2)

where $v_{il} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu})$ is the random intercept for each species *i* at each block *l*, μ_{ν} is the community-level mean for the intercept on detection, and τ_{ν} is its precision. In addition, β_i is the estimated beta coefficient for effort for species *i*, where $\beta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\beta}, \tau_{\beta}), \mu_{\beta}$ is the community-level mean for effort, τ_{β} is its precision, and *effort*(*j*,*k*) are the standardized values for effort at grid cell *j* on occasion *k*.

We defined true occurrence $z_{(i,j)}$ as a binary variable in which $z_{(i,j)} = 1$ if species *i* occurred in grid cell *j* and = 0 otherwise. We modeled occurrence from a Bernoulli random variable, where $z_{i,j} \sim \text{Bern}(\Psi_{i,j})$, where $\Psi_{i,j}$ is the probability that species *i* occurs at grid cell *j*. Importantly, species richness per grid cell was calculated as a derived parameter from the summation of $z_{i,j}$ values.

To account for imperfect detection, we modeled observed data y(i,j,k) as Bern $(p_{i,j,k} \cdot z_{i,j})$, where $p_{i,j,k}$ is the detection probability of species *i* in grid cell *j* in the survey occasion (week) *k*. The model accounted for the effect of species abundance on detection probabilities via species correlation parameter rho (ρ), a correlation between habitat use and detection probability [46].

We fit the Bayesian models in R 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018) using package jagsUI [74], specifying three MCMC chains of 30,000 iterations, a burn-in of 5,000, and a thinning rate of three.

We estimated jaguar and puma habitat use probability as a function of species richness from the community model. We calculated species richness (again, via summation of $z_{i,j}$ values) for (1) all medium (N = 18) and large-sized (N = 6) native mammal species, including jaguar and puma and excluding the domestic pig; (2) jaguar and puma large prey species, including domestic pig (N = 4); (3) jaguar medium prey species (N = 10); and (4) puma medium prey species (N = 11 species). In order to select the medium and large prey species for jaguars and pumas, we conducted a literature review of publications on jaguar and puma diet and predation reports from Mexico to Panama (S4 Table in S3 File).

Lastly, we estimated the correlation between jaguar and puma habitat use probabilities and (1) medium prey richness and (2) large prey species richness, both of which were derived parameters from the prey community occupancy model as stated above.

Results

Camera traps operated for 16,904 total trap nights across 209 stations. Fifty-five out of 63 total cells were surveyed, covering 87.30% of the study area (880 km²). We registered 2,946 independent records ("independent" defined as records separated by 24 hours or occurring at different camera sites) of medium (N = 18) and large-sized (N = 7) mammal species, including domestic pig (S5 Table in S4 File).

The five most widespread species estimated by the Bayesian occupancy model were ninebanded armadillo (occurring in an estimated 89.32% of the area), coati (86.87%), ocelot (85.94%), tayra (82.35%) and jaguarundi (70.53%) (S5 Table in S4 File). Jaguars were less widespread in the study area (estimated to occur in 29.74% of the study area) compared to pumas (49.68%)

Main environmental and human-related factors driving the presence of medium and large mammal species

The main driver of habitat use of medium and large-sized mammals was forest cover ($\alpha = 0.34$; 95% Credible Interval (CRI) -0.21, 0.90), with lesser contributions of human presence ($\alpha = 0.10$; 95% CRI -0.12, 0.31), EVI ($\alpha = -0.08$; 95% CRI -0.57, 0.39), distance to strictly-protected area ($\alpha = -0.08$; 95% CRI -0.47, 0.30), and terrain ruggedness ($\alpha = -0.02$; 95% CRI -0.37, 0.32) (Table 2). Detection probability was positively associated with effort ($\beta = 0.39$; 95% CRI 0.30, 0.49). There was a small positive correlation between habitat use and detection ($\rho = 0.26$; 95% CI = -0.04 to 0.91).

All variables informing habitat use had a 95% CI overlapping zero, suggesting imprecision in parameter estimation and likely high variability of covariate effects among species (Table 2 and Fig 4 and S1-S4 Figs in S5 File) [46]. Percent forest had the greatest influence on species richness, with 89.26% of its posterior distribution above 0 and an effect size more than three times that of any other covariate (Table 2). At the species level, this relationship was clear (i.e., 95% CI did not overlap 0) and positive for collared peccary, jaguar, domestic pig, paca, puma, agouti and ocelot, while it was negative for coyote, nine-banded armadillo and raccoon (Fig 4). There was no clear effect of forest for the other species.

Medium and large-sized mammal species richness in the Corridor and the two JCUs

Medium and large-sized mammal species richness estimates per grid cell ranged from 6 to 15 (95% CI 6–16; $\bar{x} = 10.60 \pm \text{SD} 1.90$) of 24 total species (domestic pig not included), with the TC JCU having the highest species richness estimate overall ($\bar{x} = 11.86 \pm \text{SD} 1.15$), followed by the CVC JCU ($\bar{x} = 11.03 \pm \text{SD} 1.79$), and Corridor ($\bar{x} = 9.78 \pm \text{SD} 1.84$) (Fig 5A).

Jaguars, pumas and prey species

The JCUs had higher large prey species richness ($\bar{x} = 1.41 \pm \text{SD } 0.58$) and puma habitat use probability ($\bar{x} = 0.70 \pm \text{SD } 0.20$) compared to the corridor area (large prey richness: $\bar{x} = 0.59 \pm \text{SD } 0.59$; puma habitat use: $\bar{x} = 0.30 \pm \text{SD } 0.21$) (Figs <u>5B</u> and <u>6b</u>).

Table 2. Community-level summary of hyperparameters for the covariates on detection and habitat use in the top model driving occurrence of medium and large wild mammals and domestic pig (n = 25). We present the posterior means with standard deviation and 95% credible intervals, and an indicator of convergence (\hat{R}), for which values <1.1 indicate convergence. Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor and portions of the Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017.

Model parameter	Covariates	Beta (SD)	95% credible interval	50% credible interval	Ŕ
Habitat use (Ψ)	Percent forest cover (forest v2)	0.34 (0.28)	-0.21, 0.91	0.16, 0.52	1.000
	Human presence (human detections per 1,000 trap nights)	0.10 (0.11)	-0.12, 0.31	0.03, 0.17	1.002
	Mean Enhanced Vegetation Index	-0.08 (0.24)	-0.57, 0.39	-0.23, 0.08	1.000
	Mean distance to strictly-protected area	-0.08 (0.19)	-0.47, 0.30	-0.20, 0.04	1.001
	Mean Ruggedness	-0.02 (0.18)	-0.37, 0.32	-0.13, 0.10	1.000
Detection (p)	Effort (sum of all trap nights on each occasion)	0.39 (0.05)	0.30, 0.49	0.36, 0.42	1.000



Fig 4. Community-level hyperparameter estimates (with 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals) for the influence of forest cover on habitat use (Ψ) of medium and large mammals and domestic pig (N = 25 species). Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017.

On the other hand, jaguar and puma medium prey species richness was slightly higher in the eastern side of the Corridor (Block 2; jaguar medium prey (jmp): $\bar{x} = 6.14 \pm \text{SD} 1.02$; puma medium prey (pmp): $\bar{x} = 6.87 \pm \text{SD} 0.94$) in comparison to the rest of the Corridor (Block 1; jmp: $\bar{x} = 4.71 \pm \text{SD} 1.13$; pmp: $\bar{x} = 5.41 \pm \text{SD} 1.02$), the CVC JCU (jmp: $\bar{x} = 4.88 \pm \text{SD} 1.16$; pmp: $\bar{x} = 5.23 \pm \text{SD} 1.01$) and the TC JCU (jmp: $\bar{x} = 5.78 \pm \text{SD} 0.79$; pmp: $\bar{x} = 6.50 \pm \text{SD} 0.7.0$) (Fig 5C and 5D).

While the two JCUs performed similarly on most other metrics, they greatly differed with respect to jaguar habitat use, with jaguars having very low probability of habitat use in the CVC JCU ($\bar{x} = 0.15 \pm \text{SD } 0.11$) in comparison to TC JCU ($\bar{x} = 0.58 \pm \text{SD } 0.16$) (Fig 6A).

Estimates of jaguar and puma habitat use were strongly correlated with large prey species richness (jaguar, r = 0.59, p<0.001; puma, r = 0.72, p<0.001), and correlated to a lesser extent with medium prey species richness (jaguar, r = 0.36, p = 0.003; puma, r = 0.23, p = 0.064).

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the most intensive camera trap study on a continuous area in Costa Rica [75], and the first to take place in this critical link between two JCUs. We found that forest cover was the main driver of medium and large-sized mammal habitat use at the community level, with evidence of widely differing relationships at the level of individual species. The hierarchical community approach allowed for the estimation of species and community-level effects, and for the incorporation of data from rare species. The model also accounted for heterogeneity in the sampling process through the incorporation of random effects.

While the medium and large-sized mammal species richness in the Corridor is slightly lower ($\bar{x} = 9.78\pm1.84$ spp.) in comparison to the JCUs ($\bar{x} = 11.50\pm1.52$), the number of records of large-sized species, is considerably lower in the former (Corridor: 38 independent records in 8,952 trap nights vs JCUs: 200 independent records in 7,952 trap nights). Additional research on the CVC JCU (where jaguar habitat use was low) is necessary to evaluate the viability of this critical link of the MBC and the JCI for jaguars, as jaguar records were entirely on the east side of the study area (Corridor Block 2 and TC JCU). This baseline information will be of paramount value to measure the outcome of conservation initiatives in the years to



Fig 5. Richness of native (a) medium and large-sized mammals, (b) large prey, (c) medium jaguar prey, and (d) medium puma prey. The two main roads are shown in dotted lines; and the strictly protected areas are shown as striped polygons. Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor, white polygon) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs) (black outline), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017. Reprinted from Panthera and [60] under a CC BY license, with permission from Panthera and Repositorio TEC, original copyright 2013 and 2008.

come, especially related to the recently-constructed hydroelectric project in the middle of the corridor and related mitigation actions.

Forest cover was the most important covariate in our model related to medium and largesized mammal habitat use, having an effect that was at least three times higher than any other covariate. This covariate seemed to be especially important for certain species, including the collared peccary, jaguar, domestic pig, puma, agouti and ocelot. These species, with exception of the domestic pig, are known to depend on, or at least be associated with, vegetation cover [51,53,76–78]. Almost all domestic pigs we detected were in the eastern side of the corridor, specifically in or near indigenous territories with high forest cover. These animals belong to the indigenous people and roam freely in the forest. On the other hand, coyote, nine-banded armadillo and raccoon seem to avoid areas with high forest cover in the study area. This was not surprising, as these are adaptable species that can be found in open and/or disturbed areas



Fig 6. Habitat use probability for (a) jaguar and (b) puma. The two main roads are shown in dotted lines; and the strictly protected areas are shown as striped polygons. Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor, white polygon) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs) (black outline), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017. Reprinted from Panthera and [60] under a CC BY license, with permission from Panthera and Repositorio TEC, original copyright 2013 and 2008.

[76,79–81]. Thus, our model results were in line with disturbance-related species ecology and can help inform management decisions.

Contrary to our predictions, human presence and EVI were positively and negatively associated with overall species habitat use, respectively, though both effects were weak. While human presence is often used as a proxy for disturbance and has found to be negatively associated with habitat use at the community level [48], in our case the presence of humans may have a different interpretation. For example, people in our study area (e.g. tourists, hunters, indigenous people) may be actively looking for these animals and selectively walking on the same trails or in the same areas where they occur, leading to a positive association with mammal habitat use. This potentially important result requires additional study.

As we anticipated, medium and large-sized native mammal species richness was lower in the Corridor area than in the two JCUs. Nonetheless, these differences are subtle, indicating that there are some areas of the Corridor that are still in good condition, especially in the eastern portion close to the TC JCU. The lowest values for richness for these mammals, especially for large prey species, as well as for jaguar and puma habitat use probabilities, were in the western half of the corridor.

Low jaguar habitat use probability in the western JCU (CVC) was unexpected, given its apparent suitability for this species based on forest cover and presence of prey [52,65]. However, jaguar presence is likely not supported by other characteristics of the area, as most of the surveyed area in this JCU is not strictly protected (IUCN category VI), the terrain is very rugged and some areas have high elevation (2,000–3,300 m.a.s.l.) [51,82]. The highest habitat use probability for jaguars was located inside or near a strictly protected area, Barbilla National Park (IUCN category II) in the eastern JCU (TC). In contrast, pumas have high habitat use probability in both JCUs. Pumas are known to occur more frequently in higher elevations than jaguars, and could be benefitting from the apparent absence of a direct competitor in the CVC JCU [53,82,83]. A recent investigation further west into the CVC JCU found no sign of jaguar, adding extra support to the hypothesis that this area is not the jaguar stronghold previously assumed (Velado et al. unpub. data). It remains to be established whether low jaguar presence in this JCU is explained by the site conditions mentioned above or if it is the result of more historical pressures (e.g. hunting, isolation).

Low richness of medium and large-sized prey within the Corridor was largely found between the two main roads. Although the proximity to road covariate did not make our global habitat use model, this and other types of infrastructure are known to have a negative effect on the presence of certain mammal species [32,84,85]. We detected the presence of large prey species, jaguars and pumas near these roads, but they were absent from the area between them. This area has less forest cover and higher settlement density, factors highly related to the presence of roads. Additionally, they are the Corridor grid cells located at greatest distance from the strictly protected areas and JCUs. We recommend further investigation into the potential barrier effect of these roads (and not just evaluate road proximity or density), such as the use of telemetry and non-invasive genetic tools to investigate movement and gene flow [14,84,86].

We found that puma and jaguar habitat use probabilities were strongly correlated with large prey species richness and, to a lesser degree, with medium prey species richness. Our results are consistent with other research on trophic interactions [57] and energetic constraints [87] showing a stronger link between large-bodied mammal predators and large mammal prey species in comparison to smaller prey species. The relationship between jaguar and puma occupancy with prey richness should be explored further in future studies, controlling for potentially confounding factors that can be correlated with prey richness, such as forest cover and biomass.

Conclusions

Our results highlight the importance of generating on-the-ground information on the status of multiple species within population source sites and corridor areas, as well as using a hierarchical modeling framework for robust parameter estimation at the community and individual species levels to inform management decisions. The ability to account for heterogeneity in the sampling process (e.g. data taken over several sites and different years) makes this model versatile and easily adapted to different species and study systems.

Urgent actions are needed to secure connectivity of mammal populations in our study area and within the greater Mesoamerican Biological Corridor, and should focus on (1) increasing forest cover in the western half of the Corridor, notably between the two main roads, and (2) increasing habitat quality and conditions for prey species, with a particular emphasis on large species.

Supporting information

S1 File. Additional information on covariates selected a priori as being thought to have an influence on habitat use probability of medium and large mammals. Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Tala-manca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017.

(DOCX)

S2 File. Individual species results and AICc values for the 79 models evaluated for medium and large-sized native mammals and domestic pig (n = 25). Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017. (XLSX)

S3 File. Additional description on literature review conducted to select species included as prey species for jaguars (Panthera onca) and pumas (Puma concolor). Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Tala-manca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017. (DOCX)

S4 File. Additional information on number of occupied cells, relative abundance and number of independent detections of medium and large-sized native mammals and domestic pig (n = 25). Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017. (DOCX)

S5 File. Species-level estimates for the influence of covariates on habitat use (Ψ) and detection (**p**) of medium and large-sized mammals. Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor (Corridor) and portions of Central Volcanic Cordillera (CVC) and Talamanca-Cordillera Central (TC) Jaguar Conservation Units (JCUs), surveyed with camera traps from 2013–2017. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Lisette Waits, Daniel Thornton, Fernando Casanoves, Ryan Long, Hugh Robinson, Nathaniel Robinson, Howard Quigley, and MSc. Carlomagno Soto for their valuable support and comments. We would like to thank the numerous technicians, researchers and volunteers that collaborated on the field work and data processing. We would like to give a special mention to the Panthera Costa Rica staff. We are most grateful to Barbilla-Destierro Biological Corridor local council, the Costa Rican Electricity Institute (ICE) and all property owners that collaborated with this project. We thank the Costa Rican National System of Conservation Areas (SINAC-MINAE) for providing the permits for this research. We also thank the Small Cats Action Fund, Kaplan Graduate Awards Program, Panthera, and the Inter-American Development Bank.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Roberto Salom-Pérez, Daniela Araya-Gamboa.

Data curation: Roberto Salom-Pérez, Daniel Corrales-Gutiérrez, Deiver Espinoza-Muñoz, Lisanne S. Petracca.

Formal analysis: Roberto Salom-Pérez, Lisanne S. Petracca.

Funding acquisition: Roberto Salom-Pérez.

Investigation: Roberto Salom-Pérez, Daniel Corrales-Gutiérrez, Daniela Araya-Gamboa, Deiver Espinoza-Muñoz.

Methodology: Roberto Salom-Pérez, Lisanne S. Petracca.

Project administration: Roberto Salom-Pérez.

Resources: Roberto Salom-Pérez.

Software: Lisanne S. Petracca.

Supervision: Bryan Finegan, Lisanne S. Petracca.

Validation: Lisanne S. Petracca.

Visualization: Roberto Salom-Pérez.

Writing - original draft: Roberto Salom-Pérez.

Writing – review & editing: Roberto Salom-Pérez, Daniel Corrales-Gutiérrez, Daniela Araya-Gamboa, Deiver Espinoza-Muñoz, Bryan Finegan, Lisanne S. Petracca.

References

- Cardinale BJ, Duffy JE, Gonzalez A, Hooper DU, Perrings C, Venail P, et al. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. Nature. 2012; 486:59–67. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148 PMID: 22678280
- Balvanera P, Siddique I, Dee L, Paquette A, Isbell F, Gonzalez A, et al. Linking biodiversity and ecosystem services: Current uncertainties and the necessary next steps. Bioscience. 2014; 64:49–57. https:// doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bit003
- WHO (World Health Organization). Connecting Global Priorities: Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization and Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity; 2015. <u>https://doi.org/10.13140/ rg.2.1.3679.6565</u>
- Díaz S, Pascual U, Stenseke M, Martín-López B, Watson RT, Molnár Z, et al. Assessing nature's contributions to people. Science. 2018; 359:270–2. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap8826 PMID: 29348221
- Gibson L, Ming Lee T, Pin Koh L, Brook BW, Gardner TA, Barlow J, et al. Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. 2011; 478:378–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10425 PMID: 21918513
- Hansen MC, Stehman S V., Potapov P V. Quantification of global gross forest cover loss. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:8650–5. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912668107 PMID: 20421467
- Wikramanayake ED, Dinerstein E, Robinson JG, Karanth U, Rabinowitz A, Olson D, et al. An Ecology-Based Method for Defining Priorities for Large Mammal Conservation: The Tiger as Case Study. Conserv Biol. 2008; 12:865–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.1998.96428.x
- Sanderson EW, Redford KH, Chetkiewicz CLB, Medellin RA, Rabinowitz AR, Robinson JG, et al. Planning to save a species: The jaguar as a model. Conserv Biol. 2002; 16:58–72. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00352.x
- 9. Rabinowitz A, Zeller KA. A range-wide model of landscape connectivity and conservation for the jaguar, Panthera onca. Biol Conserv. 2010; 143:939–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.01.002
- Sharma S, Dutta T, Maldonado JE, Wood TC, Panwar HS, Seidensticker J. Forest corridors maintain historical gene flow in a tiger metapopulation in the highlands of central India. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2013; 280:14. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1506 PMID: 23902910
- 11. Miller K, Chang E, Johnson N. Defining common ground for the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor. World Resources Institute, Washington DC, USA. 2001.
- IEG (Independent Evaluation Group). The Mesoamerican Biological Corridor. Regional Program Review. 2011.
- FAO. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020: Main Report. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome; 2020. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9825en.
- 14. Wultsch C, Caragiulo A, Dias-Freedman I, Quigley H, Rabinowitz S, Amato G. Genetic diversity and population structure of Mesoamerican jaguars (*Panthera onca*): Implications for conservation and management. PLoS One. 2016; 11:1–25. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162377 PMID: 27783617
- Petracca LS, Frair JL, Cohen JB, Calderón AP, Carazo-Salazar J, Castañeda F, et al. Robust inference on large-scale species habitat use with interview data: The status of jaguars outside protected areas in Central America. J Appl Ecol. 2018; 55:723–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12972
- Meyer NFV, Moreno R, Sutherland C, la Torre JA, Esser HJ, Jordan CA, et al. Effectiveness of Panama as an intercontinental land bridge for large mammals. Conserv Biol. 2019; https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi. 13384 PMID: 31385631
- Millenium Ecosystem Assessment. Ecosystems and human well-being. Washington, DC, USA: Island Press; 2005.
- 18. Redford KH. The Empty Forest. Sci York. 1992; 42:412–22.
- Estes JA, Terborgh J, Brashares JS, Power ME, Berger J, Bond WJ, et al. Trophic downgrading of planet earth. Science. 2011; 333:301–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1205106 PMID: 21764740

- Chinchilla FA. La dieta del jaguar (Panthera onca), el puma (*Felis concolor*) y el manigordo (*Felis pardalis*) (Carnivora: Felidae) en el Parque Nacional Corcovado, Costa Rica. Rev Biol Trop. 1997; 45:1223–9.
- Núñez R, Miller B, Lindzey F. Food habits of jaguars and pumas in Jalisco, Mexico. J Zool. 2000; 252:373–9. https://doi.org/10.1017/s095283690000011x
- Polisar J, Maxit I, Scognamillo D, Farrell L, Sunquist ME, Eisenberg JF. Jaguars, pumas, their prey base, and cattle ranching: Ecological interpretations of a management problem. Biol Conserv. 2003; 109:297–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3207(02)00157-x
- Silman MR, Terborgh JW, Kiltie RA. Population regulation of a dominant rain forest tree by a major seed predator. Ecology. 2003; 84:431–8. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2003)084[0431:proadr]2. 0.co;2
- 24. Terborgh J, Nuñez-Iturri G, Pitman NCA, Valverde FHC, Alvarez P, Swamy V, et al. Tree recruitment in an empty forest. Ecology. 2008; 89:1757–68. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0479.1 PMID: 18589539
- Endo W, Peres CA, Salas E, Mori S, Sanchez-Vega JL, Shepard GH, et al. Game vertebrate densities in hunted and nonhunted forest sites in Manu National Park, Peru. Biotropica. 2010; 42:251–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2009.00546.x
- Fragoso JMV, Silvius KM, Correa JA. Long-distance seed dispersal by tapirs increases seed survival and aggregates tropical trees. Ecology. 2003; 84:1998–2006. https://doi.org/10.1890/01-0621
- Paolucci LN, Pereira RL, Rattis L, Silvério D V., Marques NCS, Macedo MN, et al. Lowland tapirs facilitate seed dispersal in degraded Amazonian forests. Biotropica. 2019; 51:245–52. https://doi.org/10. 1111/btp.12627
- Carbone C, Teacher A, Rowcliffe JM. The costs of carnivory. PLoS Biol. 2007; 5:0363–8. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050022 PMID: 17227145
- Morrison JC, Sechrest W, Dinerstein E, Wilcove DS, Lamoreux JF. Persistence of Large Mammal Faunas as Indicators of Global Human Impacts. J Mammal. 2007; 88:1363–80. https://doi.org/10.1644/06-mamm-a-124r2.1
- **30.** Ripple WJ, Estes JA, Beschta RL, Wilmers CC, Ritchie EG, Hebblewhite M, et al. Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. Science. 2014; 343:1241484–1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241484</u> PMID: 24408439
- Davidson AD, Hamilton MJ, Boyer AG, Brown JH, Ceballos G. Multiple ecological pathways to extinction in mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:10702–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901956106</u> PMID: 19528635
- Benítez-López A, Alkemade R, Verweij PA. The impacts of roads and other infrastructure on mammal and bird populations: A meta-analysis. Biol Conserv. 2010; 143:1307–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocon.2010.02.009</u>
- Torres A, Jaeger JAG, Alonso JC. Assessing large-scale wildlife responses to human infrastructure development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113:8472–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1522488113</u> PMID: 27402749
- Miller B, Dugelby B, Foreman D, del Rio CM, Noss R, Phillips M, et al. The importance of large carnivores to healthy ecosystems. Endanger Species Updat. 2001; 18:202–10.
- Terborgh J, Lopez L, Nuñez PV, Rao M, Shahabuddin G, Orihuela G, et al. Ecological meltdown in predator-free forest fragments. Science. 2001; 294:1923–6. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064397 PMID: 11729317
- 36. Thornton D, Zeller K, Rondinini C, Boitani L, Crooks K, Burdett C, et al. Assessing the umbrella value of a range-wide conservation network for jaguars (*Panthera onca*). Ecol Appl. 2016; 26:1112–24. https:// doi.org/10.1890/15-0602 PMID: 27509752
- 37. Figel JJ, Castañeda F, Calderón AP, De la Torre A, García-Padilla E, Noss RF. Threatened amphibians sheltered under the big cat's umbrella: a conservation evaluation of jaguars Panthera onca (Carnivora: Felidae) and endemic herpetofauna in Nuclear Central America. Rev Biol Trop. 2018; 66:1741–53. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v66i4.32544
- Andelman SJ, Fagan WF. Umbrellas and flagships: Efficient conservation surrogates or expensive mistakes? Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97:5954–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.100126797 PMID: 10811901
- Sergio F, Caro T, Brown D, Clucas B, Hunter J, Ketchum J, et al. Top Predators as Conservation Tools: Ecological Rationale, Assumptions, and Efficacy. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 2008; 39:1–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173545</u>
- Sætersdal M, Gjerde I. Prioritising conservation areas using species surrogate measures: Consistent with ecological theory? J Appl Ecol. 2011; 48:1236–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011</u>. 02027.x

- 41. Queheillalt DM, Cain JW, Taylor DE, Morrison ML, Hoover SL, Tuatoo-Bartley N, et al. The exclusion of rare species from community-level analyses. Wildl Soc Bull. 2002; 30:756–9.
- Stockwell DRB, Peterson AT. Effects of sample size on accuracy of species distribution models. 2002; 148:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00482.x
- MacKenzie DI, Nichols JD, Sutton N, Kawanishi K, Bailey LL. Improving inferences in population studies of rare species that are detected imperfectly. Ecology. 2005; 86:1101–13. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1060
- MacKenzie DI, Nichols JD, Lachman GB, Droege S, Royle AA, Langtimm CA. Estimating site occupancy rates when detection probabilities are less than one. Ecology. 2002; 83:2248–55. https://doi.org/ 10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2248:esorwd]2.0.co;2
- Kéry M, Royle JA. Hierarchical Bayes estimation of species richness and occupancy in spatially replicated surveys. J Appl Ecol. 2008; 45:589–98. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01441.x
- 46. Rich LN, Miller DAW, Robinson HS, McNutt JW, Kelly MJ. Using camera trapping and hierarchical occupancy modelling to evaluate the spatial ecology of an African mammal community. J Appl Ecol. 2016; 53:1225–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12650
- Zipkin EF, Andrew Royle J, Dawson DK, Bates S. Multi-species occurrence models to evaluate the effects of conservation and management actions. Biol Conserv. 2010; 143:479–84. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.biocon.2009.11.016</u>
- **48.** Petracca LS, Funston PJ, Henschel P, Cohen JB, Maclennan S, Frair JL. Modeling community occupancy from line transect data: A case study with large mammals in post-war Angola. Anim Conserv. 2020;Forthcoming. https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12555
- Dorazio RM, Royle JA. Estimating size and composition of biological communities by modeling the occurrence of species. J Am Stat Assoc. 2005; 100:389–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1198/</u> 016214505000000015
- Zipkin EF, Dewan A, Andrew Royle J. Impacts of forest fragmentation on species richness: A hierarchical approach to community modelling. J Appl Ecol. 2009; 46:815–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01664.x</u>
- Quigley H, Foster R, Petracca L, Payan E, Salom-Pérez R, Harmsen B. *Panthera onca* (errata version published in 2018). [Internet]. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2018 [cited 2019 Aug 1]. p. 1– 28. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-3.RLTS.T15953A50658693.en.
- Zeller KA, Rabinowitz A, Salom-Pérez R, Quigley H. The Jaguar corridor initiative: A range-wide conservation strategy. In: Ruiz-García M, Shostell JM, editors. Molecular Population Genetics, Evolutionary Biology and Biological Conservation of Neotropical Carnivores. New York, USA: Nova Science Publishers, Inc.; 2013. p. 629–57.
- Nielsen C, Thompson D, Kelly M, Lopez-Gonzalez CA. Puma concolor, Puma [Internet]. Vol. 8235, The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2017 [cited 2019 Oct 1]. p. 12. <u>https://doi.org/10.2305/iucn.uk.2015-4.rlts.t18868a50663436.en</u>
- Aranda M. Importancia de los pecaries (Tayassu spp.) en la alimentación del jaguar (Panthera onca). Acta Zoológica Mex (nueva Ser. 1994;11–22.
- 55. Foster RJ, Harmsen BJ, Valdes B, Pomilla C, Doncaster CP. Food habits of sympatric jaguars and pumas across a gradient of human disturbance. J Zool. 2010; 280:309–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1469-7998.2009.00663.x</u>
- 56. Santos F, Carbone C, Wearn OR, Rowcliffe JM, Espinosa S, Moreira MG, et al. Prey availability and temporal partitioning modulate felid coexistence in Neotropical forests. PLoS One. 2019; 14:e0213671. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213671 PMID: 30861045
- 57. Sandom C, Dalby L, Flojgaard C, Kissling WD, Lenoir J, Sandel B, et al. Mammal predator and prey species richness are strongly linked at macroscales. Ecology. 2013; 94:1112–22. https://doi.org/10. 1890/12-1342.1 PMID: 23858651
- Ferretti F, Lovari S, Lucherini M, Hayward M, Stephens PA. Only the largest terrestrial carnivores increase their dietary breadth with increasing prey richness. Mammal Review. 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12197</u>
- 59. Jędrzejewski W, Robinson HS, Abarca M, Zeller KA, Velasquez G, Paemelaere EAD, et al. Estimating large carnivore populations at global scale based on spatial predictions of density and distribution— Application to the jaguar (*Panthera onca*). PLoS One. 2018; 13:1–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194719</u> PMID: 29579129
- Ortiz-Malavasi E. Atlas digital de Costa Rica 2008 [Internet]. Cartago, Costa Rica; 2009. Available from: https://repositoriotec.tec.ac.cr/handle/2238/6749.
- Rabinowitz AR, Nottingham BG Jr. Ecology and behavior of the jaguar in Belize, Central America. J Zool. 1986; 210:149–59.

- **62.** Carrillo E. Ecology and conservation of white-lipped peccaries and jaguars in Corcovado National Park, Costa Rica. University of Massachusetts; 2000.
- 63. Ceballos G, Chavez C, Rivera A, Manterola C. Tamaño poblacional y conservacion del jaguar en la Reserva de la Biosfera de Calakmul, Campeche, Mexico. In: Medellín R, Equihua C, Chetkiewicz CL, Crawshaw PGJ, Rabinowitz A, Redford KH, et al., editors. El jaguar en el nuevo milenio. Ciudad de México, Mexico: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México y Wildlife Conservation Society; 2002. p. 403–417.
- 64. Petracca LS, Hernández-Potosme S, Obando-Sampson L, Salom-Pérez R, Quigley H, Robinson HS. Agricultural encroachment and lack of enforcement threaten connectivity of range-wide jaguar (*Panthera onca*) corridor. J Nat Conserv. 2014; 22:436–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2014.04.002
- 65. SINAC. Estado de conservación del jaguar (*Panthera onca*) en Costa Rica a través de la integración de datos de registro de la especie y modelaje del habitat idóneo. Heredia, Costa Rica; 2018.
- Didan K. MOD13Q1 MODIS/Terra vegetation indices 16-day L3 global 250m SIN grid V006 [Data set] [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jan 10]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD13Q1.006.
- Smythe N. Competition and resource partitioning in the guild of neotropical terrestrial frugivorous mammals. Annu Rev Ecol Syst Vol 17. 1986; 17:169–88. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.17.110186. 001125
- Jarvis A, Reuter HI, Nelson A, Guevara E. Hole-filled seamless SRTM data V4, International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2019 Jan 10]. Available from: http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org.
- Schielzeth H. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. Methods Ecol Evol. 2010; 1:103–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2010.00012.x
- MacKenzie DI, Nichols JD. Occupancy as a surrogate for abundance estimation. Anim Biodivers Conserv. 2004; 27:461–7.
- 71. MacKenzie DI, Hines JE. RPresence: R interface for program PRESENCE. 2018;2.
- 72. Hebblewhite M, Miquelle DG, Robinson H, Pikunov DG, Dunishenko YM, Aramilev V V., et al. Including biotic interactions with ungulate prey and humans improves habitat conservation modeling for endangered Amur tigers in the Russian Far East. Biol Conserv. 2014; 178:50–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocon.2014.07.013
- **73.** Fieberg J, Matthiopoulos J, Hebblewhite M, Boyce MS, Frair JL. Correlation and studies of habitat selection: Problem, red herring or opportunity? Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2010; 365:2233–44. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0079 PMID: 20566500
- Kellner K. Package "jagsUI": A Wrapper Around 'rjags' to Streamline 'JAGS' Analyses [Internet]. 2018. p. 1–17. Available from: https://github.com/kenkellner/jagsUI.
- Artavia A, Jiménez M, Martínez-Salinas A, Pomareda E, Araya-Gamboa D, Arévalo E. Registro de mamíferos silvestres en la sección de la ampliación de la Ruta 32, Limón, Costa Rica. Brenesia. 2015; 83–84:37–46.
- Reid F. A field guide to the mammals of Central America & Southeast Mexico. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. New York, USA: Oxford University Press; 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. orggeochem.2014.10.015
- Gongora J, Reyna-Hurtado R, Beck H, Taber A, Altrichter M, Keuroghlian A. Pecari tajacu [Internet]. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2011 [cited 2019 Oct 1]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-2.RLTS.T41777A10562361.en.
- Emmons L. Dasyprocta punctata [Internet]. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2016 [cited 2019 Oct 1]. p. 10. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-2.RLTS. T89497686A78319610.en.
- Kays R. Canis latrans [Internet]. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2018 [cited 2019 Oct 1]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T3745A103893556.en.
- Loughry J, McDonough C, Abba AM. Dasypus novemcinctus [Internet]. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2014 [cited 2019 Oct 1]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2014-1.RLTS. T6290A47440785.en.
- Timm R, Cuarón AD, Reid F, Helgen K., González-Maya JF. Procyon lotor [Internet]. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2016 [cited 2019 Oct 1]. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.</u> 2016-1.RLTS.T41686A45216638.en.
- Sunquist M, Sunquist F. Wild Cats of the World. Journal of Mammalogy. Chicago, USA and London, UK: University of Chicago Press; 2002. https://doi.org/10.1644/1545-1542(2004)0852.0.co;2
- Moreno RS, Kays RW, Samudio R. Competitive release in diets of ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) after jaguar (*Panthera onca*) decline. J Mammal. 2006; 87:808–16. https://doi. org/10.1644/05-mamm-a-360r2.1

- Forman RTT, Alexander LE. Roads and their major ecological effects. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1998; 29:207–31. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.207
- Fahrig L, Rytwinski T. Effects of roads on animal abundance: An empirical review and synthesis. Ecol Soc. 2009; 14. https://doi.org/10.5751/es-02815-140121
- Epps CW, Palsbøll PJ, Wehausen JD, Roderick GK, Ramey RR, McCullough DR. Highways block gene flow and cause a rapid decline in genetic diversity of desert bighorn sheep. Ecol Lett. 2005; 8:1029–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00804.x
- Carbone C, Mace GM, Roberts SC, Macdonald DW. Energetic constraints on the diet of terrestrial carnivores. Nature. 1999; 402:286–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/46266 PMID: 10580498