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The title compound, glycidamide (systematic name: oxirane-2-carboxamide), $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, is the mutagenic and genotoxic metabolite of acrylamide, a food contaminant and industrial chemical that has been classified as being probably carcinogenic to humans. Synthesized via the reaction of acrylonitrile and hydrogen peroxide, it crystallizes with both enantiomers occurring as two crystallographically independent molecules ( $A$ and $B$ ) in the asymmetric unit. They have similar conformations with an r.m.s. deviation of $0.0809 \AA$ for molecule $B$ inverted on molecule $A$. In the crystal, molecules are linked by $\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, which lead to the formation of $\beta$-sheet structures enclosing $R_{2}^{2}(8)$ and $R_{4}^{2}(8)$ loops. The $\beta$-sheets are linked by weaker $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a supramolecular three-dimensional structure.

## 1. Chemical context

The formation of glycidamide (GA) is considered to cause the carcinogenicity of acrylamide (AA; Udovenko \& Kolzunova, 2008), which is a widely used chemical in industry (EPA, 1994). Typical applications include the production of copolymers, flocculation agents and carrier material for gel electrophoresis. Moreover, it is formed if certain foods are heated to temperatures above 393 K at low moisture. AA was found at the highest levels in solid coffee substitutes, fried potato products and gingerbread, thus contributing to human exposure (EFSA, 2015). AA forms predominantly from asparagine in the presence of reducing sugars during the Maillard reaction via a Strecker-type degradation (Mottram et al., 2002; Stadler et al., 2002; Tareke et al., 2002; Yaylayan et al., 2003). Besides being a food contaminant, AA is also a component of tobacco smoke (Papoušek et al., 2014). It has also been classified as 'probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A)' by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 1994). It has not been found to be mutagenic or genotoxic without metabolic activation to GA at biologically relevant concentrations (Watzek et al., 2012).


GA is a genotoxic and mutagenic compound formed in vivo metabolically from AA, mainly in the liver by cytochrome

Table 1
Experimental bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) compared to a database survey of 149 compounds featuring epoxide fragments.

|  | Bond | Molecule $A$ | Bond | Molecule $B$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.463(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.458(2)$ | $1.433(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.436(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{O} 12$ | $1.424(2)$ |  |
| $X \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.429(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{O} 12$ | $1.431 \pm 0.028$ |  |

P450 2E1 (Baum et al., 2005). As a reactive epoxide, GA is able to react with nucleophilic centers of proteins and DNA, thus forming DNA adducts and hemoglobin conjugates (Ghanayem et al., 2005). As a consequence, mutations may occur, which represent stages of chemical mutagenesis and carcinogenesis (Gamboa da Costa et al., 2003). We synthesized GA via the reaction of acrylonitrile and hydrogen peroxide.

## 2. Structural commentary

Owing to its size, GA shows few structural features. Both enantiomers occur as two crystallographically independent molecules ( $A$ and $B$ ) in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). They have similar conformations with an r.m.s. deviation of $0.0809 \AA$ for molecule $B$ inverted on molecule $A$. The amide group is inclined to the epoxide plane by $77.9(2)^{\circ}$ in molecule $A(\mathrm{~N} 1 /$ $\mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{O} 1$ vs $\mathrm{O} 2 / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3)$, and by 72.6 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ in molecule $B(\mathrm{~N} 11 / \mathrm{C} 11 /$ O11 vs O12/C12/C13).

Of interest are the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ as well as the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in the epoxide fragment (Table 1). The values of bond lengths in the epoxide fragments of both enantiomers are compared to the mean values and their standard deviation of a selection of 149 similarly substituted compounds featuring an epoxide fragment (Table 1), which were reported to the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.37, Update 2 Feb 2016; Groom et al., 2016). While the epoxide $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in GA match the mean values quite well, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond is more at the upper end for bond lengths. Nevertheless, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length is still in the range of one standard deviation to the


Figure 1
The molecular structure of the two independent molecules $(A$ and $B)$ of the title compound, glycidamide (GA), with atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
mean value of the database entries. Omitting oxirane itself, GA is the smallest example of an epoxide crystal structure reported in the CSD. Summing up, the epoxide fragment in GA seems to be representative for this class of epoxides.

## 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, there are as expected, hydrogen bonds dominating the solid-state structure. The protons of the amino moiety undergo strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding to the carbonyl groups of adjacent GA molecules (Table 2, Fig. 2). This results in the formation of a $\beta$-sheet structure, which is parallel to the crystallographic $b$ axis and encloses $R_{2}^{2}(8)$ and $R_{4}^{2}(8)$ loops. The $\beta$-sheets are also oriented parallel to each other (Fig. 3). They are further interlinked by additional but weaker $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2), between the protons ot the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - units with the carbonyl group and the epoxy function from the neighbouring $\beta$-sheets, which leads to the formation of a supramolecular three-dimensional structure (Fig. 4).

## 4. Database survey

As noted in Section 2, a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom et al., 2016) revealed the presence of 149 similarly substituted compounds featuring an epoxide fragment. However, up to now there has been no report of the structure of the title compound (GA).


Figure 2
A partial view of the crystal packing of the title compound, showing the $\beta$-sheet arrangement, formed through strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines; see Table 2 for details), propagating along the $b$-axis direction.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | H $\cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.86 (2) | 2.45 (2) | 2.7770 (17) | 103 (1) |
| N11-H11B . ${ }^{\text {O }} 12$ | 0.87 (2) | 2.39 (2) | 2.7408 (17) | 105 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 (2) | 2.12 (2) | 2.9651 (16) | 167 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.86 (2) | 2.12 (2) | 2.8482 (14) | 142 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.87 (2) | 2.08 (2) | 2.9447 (16) | 173 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.87 (2) | 2.11 (2) | 2.8495 (14) | 144 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.99 | 2.59 | 3.5839 (19) | 179 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.99 | 2.59 | 3.4470 (18) | 144 |
| $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 12{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.99 | 2.44 | 3.3991 (19) | 163 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$; (iii) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x+1, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{3}{2} ;(\mathrm{v})-x, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$.

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The synthesis of the title compound (GA) was performed according to a published method with modifications (Payne \& Williams, 1961). The conventional literature procedure by controlled pH and temperature resulted in an unfavorable decomposition of hydrogen peroxide. GA was synthesized by dropwise addition of $1 M \mathrm{NaOH}$ ( 60 ml ) to acrylonitrile $(80.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.22 \mathrm{~mol})$ in water $(500 \mathrm{ml})$ and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(102 \mathrm{ml}$, 1 mol ). The pH was kept at 7.3-7.5 and the temperature was maintained at $308-310 \mathrm{~K}$. After the reaction was completed (about 12 h ), the mixture was treated with $5 \%$ palladium on charcoal, stored overnight in a refrigerator and then filtered.


Figure 3
A view along the $b$ axis of the crystal packing of the title compound, showing the $\beta$-sheet arrangement formed through strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines; see Table 2 for details). The C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity $(A$ molecules $=$ black; $B$ molecules $=$ red).


Figure 4
A view along the $b$ axis of the crystal packing of the title compound. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines (see Table 2 for details). H atoms not involved in these interactions have been omitted for clarity $(A$ molecules $=$ black; $B$ molecules $=$ red $)$.

The solvent was evaporated and the crude product (yield: 55 g ; $63 \%$ ) was recrystallized from dry acetone at low temperature. Colourless crystals formed after $3-5$ days at 243 K. GA is very hygroscopic, so purification of the raw product was carried out in an inert atmosphere. The compound was stored in dry argon at 243 K . Identity and purity were checked by NMR spectroscopic methods and elemental analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 295.15 \mathrm{~K}$, p.p.m., $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 3,49\left(d d,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $\left.4.08 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 3,02(t, 5.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2,87(d d$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.52 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (100.66 MHz, 294.05 K, p.p.m., DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 170.1$ (C1), 48.5 (C2), $45.6(\mathrm{C} 3)$. Elemental analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. Required: C 41.36\%; H $5.79 \%$; N $16.09 \%$; found: C $41.41 \%$; H $5.47 \%$; N $16.27 \%$.

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The H atoms bound to the nitrogen atoms, N1 and N11, were located in a difference Fourier map, and refined with a distance restraint: $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86$ (2) $\AA$ with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$. The C-bound H were placed in calcu-

Table 3
Experimental details.

| Crystal data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 87.08 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 21_{1} / c$ |
| Temperature (K) | 150 |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | 15.5186 (7), 5.1007 (2), 10.9250 (5) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 107.651 (5) |
| $V\left(\mathrm{~A}^{3}\right)$ | 824.06 (7) |
| $Z$ | 8 |
| Radiation type | Cu K $\alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.02 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.22 \times 0.16 \times 0.16$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | Rigaku Xcalibur (Sapphire3, Gemini ultra) |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015) |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.837, 1.000 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] reflections | 4485, 1310, 1207 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.022 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.577 |
| Refinement |  |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.035, 0.093, 1.10 |
| No. of reflections | 1310 |
| No. of parameters | 121 |
| No. of restraints | 4 |
| H -atom treatment | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.34, -0.17 |

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015), SIR2014 (Burla et al., 2015), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).
lated positions and refined using a riding model: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.99-$ $1.00 \AA$ with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support of this work.

## References

Baum, M., Fauth, E., Fritzen, S., Herrmann, A., Mertes, P., Merz, K., Rudolphi, M., Zankl, H. \& Eisenbrand, G. (2005). Mutat. Res. 580, 61-69.
Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., Cuocci, C., Giacovazzo, C., Mallamo, M., Mazzone, A. \& Polidori, G. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 306-309.

EFSA (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain) (2015). EFSA J. 13, 4104-4321.
EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency). (1994). EPA 749-F-94005a.
Gamboa da Costa, G., Churchwell, M. I., Hamilton, L. P., Von Tungeln, A. S., Beland, F. A., Marques, M. M. \& Doerge, D. R. (2003). Chem. Res. Toxicol. 16, 1328-1337.

Ghanayem, B. I., McDaniel, L. P., Churchwell, M. I., Twaddle, N. C., Snyder, R., Fennell, T. R. \& Doerge, D. R. (2005). Toxicol. Sci. 88, 311-318.
Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. \& Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171-179.
IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (1994). p. 389.
Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. \& Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466-470.
Mottram, D. S., Wedzicha, B. L. \& Dodson, A. T. (2002). Nature, 419, 448-449.
Papoušek, R., Pataj, Z., Nováková, P., Lemr, K. \& Barták, P. (2014). Chromatographia, 77, 1145-1151.
Payne, G. B. \& Williams, P. H. (1961). J. Org. Chem. 26, 651-659.
Rigaku Oxford Diffraction (2015). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
Stadler, R. H., Blank, I., Varga, N., Robert, F., Hau, J., Guy, P. A., Robert, M. C. \& Riediker, S. (2002). Nature, 419, 449-450.
Tareke, E., Rydberg, P., Karlsson, P., Eriksson, S. \& Törnqvist, M. (2002). J. Agric. Food Chem. 50, 4998-5006.

Udovenko, A. A. \& Kolzunova, L. G. (2008). J. Struct. Chem. 49, 961964.

Watzek, N., Böhm, N., Feld, J., Scherbl, D., Berger, F., Merz, K. H., Lampen, L., Reemtsma, T., Tannenbaum, S. R., Skipper, P. L., Baum, M., Richling, E. \& Eisenbrand, G. (2012). Chem. Res. Toxicol. 25, 381-390.
Yaylayan, V. A., Wnorowski, A. \& Perez Locas, C. (2003). J. Agric. Food Chem. 51, 1753-1757.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1179-1182 [doi:10.1107/S2056989016010859]

## Crystal structure of glycidamide: the mutagenic and genotoxic metabolite of acrylamide

Melanie N. Hemgesberg, Thorsten Bonck, Karl-Heinz Merz, Yu Sun and Dieter Schrenk

## Computing details

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); data reduction: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR2014 (Burla et al., 2015); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008) and PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication:
SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).
Oxirane-2-carboxamide

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
$M_{r}=87.08$
Monoclinic, $P 2{ }_{1} / c$
$a=15.5186$ (7) $\AA$
$b=5.1007$ (2) $\AA$
$c=10.9250(5) \AA$
$\beta=107.651(5)^{\circ}$
$V=824.06(7) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$

## Data collection

Rigaku Xcalibur (Sapphire3, Gemini ultra) diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed X-ray tube Detector resolution: 16.1399 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

## $\omega$ scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015)
$T_{\min }=0.837, T_{\text {max }}=1.000$

## Refinement

## Refinement on $F^{2}$

Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.035$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093$
$S=1.10$
1310 reflections
121 parameters

$$
F(000)=368
$$

$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.404 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54184 \AA$
Cell parameters from 2255 reflections
$\theta=6.0-62.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=1.02 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
Transparent prism, colorless
$0.22 \times 0.16 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$

4485 measured reflections
1310 independent reflections
1207 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.022$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=62.8^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=6.0^{\circ}$
$h=-17 \rightarrow 14$
$k=-5 \rightarrow 5$
$l=-8 \rightarrow 12$

## 4 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0458 P)^{2}+0.238 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.34 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.17 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | $0.34078(9)$ | $0.3918(3)$ | $0.43936(13)$ | $0.0242(3)$ |
| C2 | $0.41336(9)$ | $0.3997(3)$ | $0.56563(14)$ | $0.0292(4)$ |
| H2 | 0.4507 | 0.2371 | 0.5900 | $0.035^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.40088(10)$ | $0.5531(3)$ | $0.67215(13)$ | $0.0342(4)$ |
| H3A | 0.3427 | 0.6464 | 0.6580 | $0.041^{*}$ |
| H3B | 0.4285 | 0.4861 | 0.7604 | $0.041^{*}$ |
| N1 | $0.31052(9)$ | $0.6180(2)$ | $0.38512(12)$ | $0.0303(3)$ |
| H1A | $0.2683(11)$ | $0.620(4)$ | $0.3127(15)$ | $0.036^{*}$ |
| H1B | $0.3322(11)$ | $0.766(3)$ | $0.4175(16)$ | $0.036^{*}$ |
| O1 | $0.31121(7)$ | $0.17614(19)$ | $0.39333(9)$ | $0.0300(3)$ |
| O2 | $0.46035(7)$ | $0.6420(2)$ | $0.60247(10)$ | $0.0361(3)$ |
| C11 | $0.16824(9)$ | $0.1061(3)$ | $0.56672(13)$ | $0.0262(3)$ |
| C12 | $0.11401(10)$ | $0.1195(3)$ | $0.42784(14)$ | $0.0320(4)$ |
| H12 | 0.1266 | -0.0195 | 0.3709 | $0.038^{*}$ |
| C13 | $0.02176(11)$ | $0.2199(3)$ | $0.39198(15)$ | $0.0392(4)$ |
| H13A | -0.0228 | 0.1437 | 0.3153 | $0.047^{*}$ |
| H13B | -0.0030 | 0.2724 | 0.4618 | $0.047^{*}$ |
| N11 | $0.18834(8)$ | $0.3303(2)$ | $0.62832(12)$ | $0.0294(3)$ |
| H11A | $0.2208(11)$ | $0.332(4)$ | $0.7085(14)$ | $0.035^{*}$ |
| H11B | $0.1752(11)$ | $0.480(3)$ | $0.5899(16)$ | $0.035^{*}$ |
| O11 | $0.18951(7)$ | $-0.11167(18)$ | $0.61662(9)$ | $0.0323(3)$ |
| O12 | $0.09383(8)$ | $0.3738(2)$ | $0.37277(11)$ | $0.0441(3)$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{\beta 3}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | $0.0286(7)$ | $0.0184(8)$ | $0.0250(7)$ | $-0.0003(5)$ | $0.0071(5)$ | $-0.0010(5)$ |
| C2 | $0.0280(7)$ | $0.0245(8)$ | $0.0313(7)$ | $-0.0010(6)$ | $0.0032(6)$ | $-0.0015(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.0337(7)$ | $0.0403(9)$ | $0.0263(7)$ | $-0.0070(7)$ | $0.0060(6)$ | $-0.0045(6)$ |
| N1 | $0.0408(7)$ | $0.0163(7)$ | $0.0266(6)$ | $-0.0025(5)$ | $-0.0004(5)$ | $-0.0008(5)$ |
| O1 | $0.0388(6)$ | $0.0157(5)$ | $0.0298(5)$ | $-0.0012(4)$ | $0.0017(4)$ | $-0.0015(4)$ |
| O2 | $0.0330(6)$ | $0.0383(6)$ | $0.0358(6)$ | $-0.0118(4)$ | $0.0084(4)$ | $-0.0103(5)$ |
| C11 | $0.0281(7)$ | $0.0191(8)$ | $0.0286(7)$ | $-0.0011(5)$ | $0.0044(6)$ | $0.0009(5)$ |
| C12 | $0.0384(8)$ | $0.0253(8)$ | $0.0279(7)$ | $-0.0024(6)$ | $0.0035(6)$ | $-0.0002(5)$ |
| C13 | $0.0364(8)$ | $0.0361(9)$ | $0.0363(8)$ | $-0.0010(7)$ | $-0.0022(6)$ | $0.0007(7)$ |


| N11 | $0.0377(7)$ | $0.0162(6)$ | $0.0265(6)$ | $-0.0004(5)$ | $-0.0022(5)$ | $0.0021(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O11 | $0.0411(6)$ | $0.0171(6)$ | $0.0317(5)$ | $0.0005(4)$ | $0.0008(4)$ | $0.0006(4)$ |
| O12 | $0.0484(7)$ | $0.0370(7)$ | $0.0364(6)$ | $-0.0047(5)$ | $-0.0027(5)$ | $0.0135(5)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 1.2383 (17) | C11-O11 | 1.2373 (17) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-N1 | 1.3169 (18) | C11-N11 | 1.3154 (19) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.494 (2) | C11-C12 | 1.497 (2) |
| C2-O2 | 1.4294 (17) | C12-O12 | 1.4242 (19) |
| C2-C3 | 1.463 (2) | C12-C13 | 1.458 (2) |
| C2-H2 | 1.0000 | C12-H12 | 1.0000 |
| C3-O2 | 1.4362 (19) | C13-O12 | 1.433 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.9900 | C13-H13A | 0.9900 |
| C3-H3B | 0.9900 | C13-H13B | 0.9900 |
| N1-H1A | 0.860 (15) | N11-H11A | 0.868 (15) |
| N1-H1B | 0.856 (15) | N11-H11B | 0.864 (15) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 123.91 (12) | O11-C11-N11 | 124.39 (12) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 118.80 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 118.71 (12) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 117.26 (12) | N11-C11-C12 | 116.89 (12) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 59.53 (9) | O12-C12-C13 | 59.62 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 117.44 (12) | O12-C12-C11 | 116.98 (12) |
| C3-C2-C1 | 120.29 (12) | C13-C12-C11 | 119.53 (14) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 115.9 | O12-C12-H12 | 116.2 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 115.9 | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{H} 12$ | 116.2 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 115.9 | C11-C12-H12 | 116.2 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 59.07 (9) | $\mathrm{O} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 59.02 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 117.9 | $\mathrm{O} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~A}$ | 117.9 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 117.9 | C12-C13-H13A | 117.9 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~B}$ | 117.9 | O12-C13-H13B | 117.9 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~B}$ | 117.9 | C12-C13-H13B | 117.9 |
| H3A-C3-H3B | 115.0 | H13A-C13-H13B | 115.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 119.5 (12) | C11-N11-H11A | 119.9 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 122.9 (12) | C11-N11-H11B | 122.2 (12) |
| H1A-N1-H1B | 117.6 (17) | H11A-N11-H11B | 117.5 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 61.40 (10) | C12-O12-C13 | 61.35 (10) |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\dot{A},{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $0.86(2)$ | $2.45(2)$ | $2.7770(17)$ | $103(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 11 — \mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 12$ | $0.87(2)$ | $2.39(2)$ | $2.7408(17)$ | $105(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.86(2)$ | $2.12(2)$ | $2.9651(16)$ | $167(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.86(2)$ | $2.12(2)$ | $2.8482(14)$ | $142(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $0.87(2)$ | $2.08(2)$ | $2.9447(16)$ | $173(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 11 — \mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 11^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.87(2)$ | $2.11(2)$ | $2.8495(14)$ | $144(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 — \mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O}_{1} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.99 | 2.59 | $3.5839(19)$ | 179 |

## supporting information

| $\mathrm{C} 3 — \mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.99 | 2.59 | $3.4470(18)$ | 144 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 13 — \mathrm{H} 13 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.99 | 2.44 | $3.3991(19)$ | 163 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$; (iii) $x,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$; (iv) $-x+1, y-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (v) $-x, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$.

