

# Evaluation of the inflammatory profile following uncomplicated elective colectomy

Bruce Su'a <sup>(D)</sup>,\* Tony Milne,\* Rebekah Jaung,\* Weisi Xia <sup>(D)</sup>,\* James Jin <sup>(D)</sup>,\* Darren Svirskis,† Tim Eglinton,‡ Ian Bissett <sup>(D)</sup>\*§ and Andrew G. Hill <sup>(D)</sup>\*¶

\*Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medical and Health Science, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

†School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical and Health Science, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

‡Department of Surgery, Christchurch Campus, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

\$Department of General Surgery, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland District Health Board, Auckland, New Zealand and

Department of General Surgery, Middlemore Hospital, Counties-Manukau District Health Board, Auckland, New Zealand

#### Key words

biomarker, colectomy, inflammation, obesity, perioperative care.

#### Correspondence

Dr Bruce Su'a, Department of Surgery, South Auckland Clinical Campus, Private bag 93311, Otahuhu 1640, Auckland, New Zealand. Email: b.sua@auckland.ac.nz

B. Su'a MBChB, PhD; T. Milne MBChB, PhD;
R. Jaung MBChB, PhD; W. Xia MBChB, PhD;
J. Jin MBChB; D. Svirskis BPharm, PhD;
T. Eglinton MBChB, MMedSc, FRACS, FACS, Professor of Surgery; I. Bissett MBChB, MD, FRACS, Professor of Surgery; A. G. Hill MBChB, MD, EdD, FRACS, FACS, FISS, FRSNZ, Professor of Surgery

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is noncommercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Accepted for publication 30 March 2022.

doi: 10.1111/ans.17697

# Introduction

# The human body secretes a multitude of inflammatory biomarkers both locally, in the abdominal cavity, and into the systemic circulation after major abdominal surgery. Several biomarkers have been identified as potential surrogate markers for the magnitude of the inflammatory response. Derangements of these biomarkers have been the subject of recent studies,

#### Abstract

**Background:** Attenuation of the inflammatory response in patients undergoing colectomy with modern perioperative care and laparoscopic surgery has been a focus of research in recent years. Despite reported benefits, significant heterogeneity remains with studies including patients undergoing both rectal and colon surgery and including surgery with postoperative complications. Therefore, the aim of the study was to evaluate the inflammatory response in patients undergoing elective colectomy without complications, specifically comparing open and laparoscopic approaches.

**Methods:** A multicenter prospective study was conducted across four public hospitals in Auckland and Christchurch, New Zealand. Consecutive adults undergoing elective colectomy were included over a 3-year period. Perioperative blood samples were collected and analysed for the following inflammatory markers: IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , TNF $\alpha$ , IL-10, CRP, leucocyte and neutrophil count. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software.

**Results:** A total of 168 colectomy patients without complications were included in the analysis. Patients that underwent laparoscopy had significantly reduced IL-6, neutrophils and CRP on postoperative day (POD) 1 (p < 0.05) compared to an open approach. IL-10 and TNF $\alpha$  were significantly reduced on POD 2 (p < 0.05) in laparoscopic patients. Patients with a Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> had significantly higher levels of CRP regardless of operative approach. Statins altered both preoperative and postoperative inflammatory markers.

**Conclusion:** The postoperative inflammatory response is influenced by surgical approach, perioperative medications, and patient factors. These findings have important implications in the utility of biomarkers in the diagnosis of postoperative surgical complications, in particular in the early diagnosis of anastomotic leak.

due to their ability to reflect potential intra-abdominal complications.<sup>1</sup>

Pro-inflammatory biomarkers such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF $\alpha$ ), Interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$  and IL-6 play a critical role in promoting immunomodulatory effects of receptor cells (including leucocytes) and downstream inflammatory biomarkers such as C-reactive protein (CRP). Anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10, play a significant role in buffering this pro-inflammatory

effect to prevent unintentional injury as a result of the inflammatory response. A careful balance of this inflammatory response is therefore required for optimal healing following surgery.<sup>2,3</sup>

Several randomized studies have evaluated CRP and IL-6 following colorectal surgery, however these have often been limited to small numbers, have included both colonic and rectal cases, often did not exclude patients with anastomotic leak or other complications and commonly were not conducted in a modern perioperative care environment.<sup>4,5</sup>

Therefore, the aim of the study was to evaluate the inflammatory response in patients undergoing elective colectomy without postoperative complications, specifically comparing open and laparoscopic approaches in a modern perioperative care environment.



Fig. 1. Patient flow with exclusions.

## Methods

## **Patient selection**

Eligible adult (>16 years) patients admitted electively to four public teaching hospitals across Auckland and Christchurch, New Zealand were considered for the study. This study is reported according to the STROBE statement.<sup>6</sup> Consecutive eligible patients were initially approached at preadmission clinics. Exclusion criteria were as follows: patients undergoing surgery with no bowel-to-bowel anastomosis, age less than 16 years, patient refusal and rectal surgery (defined as <15 cm from anal verge), stoma formation, and conversion to open (laparotomy) surgery. Patients that suffered a postoperative complication were excluded from analysis. The study was approved by the New Zealand Health and Disability Ethics Committee (14/NTB/173), with locality approval provided for each centre prior to enrolment.

## **Perioperative care**

In all enrolled patients, hospital-specific enhanced recovery protocols were followed unless specified by the attending surgeon. All operation details such as anaesthetic induction agents and pneumoperitoneum insufflation pressures, were left to attending surgeon or anaesthetic team.

#### Main outcome and blood tests

The following inflammatory parameters and biomarkers were measured from preoperative to POD 5: WCC ( $\times 10^{9}$ /L), neutrophils ( $\times 10^{9}$ /L), CRP (mg/L), IL-1 $\beta$  (pg/mL), IL-6 (pg/mL), IL-10 (pg/mL) and TNF $\alpha$  (pg/mL).

Full blood count (including WCC and Neutrophils), and CRP were analysed at each hospital site. EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) tube samples were centrifuged at  $\times 1000g$ , with supernatant removed, aliquoted and stored at  $< 80^{\circ}$ C for cytokine analysis. Samples were analysed in duplicate using a commercially available immunoassay kit, Millipore<sup>®</sup> Milliplex (Merck, Millkpore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA).

## **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical package for the Social Sciences (SPSS ver. 25 Inc., Chicago, IL) software. Means, percentages and standard deviations were

Table 1 Other complications

SSI         23 (9.2)           Death         4 (1.6)           Cardiovascular (MI, CHF and CVA)         7 (2.6)           Intra-abdominal collection         4 (1.6)           Renal (UTI,AKI and UR)         20 (8)           Respiratory (LRTI and PE)         14 (5.6)           Ilaus         51 (20)	2) 3) 3) 5) 6)

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; CCF, congestive heart failure; CVA, cerebral vascular accident; MI, myocardial infarction; LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; PE, pulmonary embolism; SSI, Surgical site infection; UTI, urinary tract infection, UR, urinary retention.

calculated and are shown where appropriate. Comparison of categorical variables were performed using Chi-square and Fisher's exact test. Normality was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilks test. The student *t* test was used for parametric variables, and Mann– Whitney *U* for non-parametric variables. Significance of differences were tested by analysis of variances (One-way ANOVA). Statistically significant differences were defined as p < 0.05. Multiple regression analysis performed where multiple factors predicted inflammatory response.

## Results

A total of 405 patients undergoing colectomy were identified over 3 years across four public hospitals in Auckland and Christchurch,

**Table 2** Baseline summary of included patients (\*) denotes direct comparison between open and laparoscopic group showing a statistically significant result (p < 0.05)

Baseline variables	Open ( <i>n</i> = 53)	Laparoscopy $(n = 115)$	<i>p</i> -value
Demographics Age (Median, years, IQR)	71 (21)	66 (25)	0.397
BIVII (median, IQR)	29 (6.9) 25 (47 4)	27 (6.9)	0.048*
Gender male $n$ (%)	28 (53)	57 (50)	0.602
Previous abdominal surgery n (%)	25 (46.5)	33 (28.4)	0.038*
Primary cancer diagnosis n (%)	8 (15.4)	11 (9.7)	1.00
Comorbidity n (%)	- /		
Current smoker (%)	5 (9.8)	6 (5.4)	0.294
Hypertension (%)	26 (50)	60 (53.1) 21 (19.6)	0.711
Dyslinidaemia (%)	9 (17.3) 12 (23.1)	21 (10.0)	0.043
Liver disease (%)	1 (1.9)	1 (0.9)	0.200
Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (%)	12 (23.1)	8 (7.1)	0.003*
Cardiovascular disease (% approach)	10 (19.2)	33 (29.2)	0.175
Renal disease (%)	5 (9.6)	8 (7.1)	0.574
Preoperative medications			
Statins	18 (39.1)	33 (30)	0.284
Steroids	Z (4.3)	U 16 (14 7)	0.028*
Operative details	4 (0.7)	10 (14.7)	0.310
ASA (%)			0.693
1	2 (3.8)	7 (6.1)	
2	35 (66)	70 (60.9)	
3	16 (30)	36 (31.3)	
4	0	2 (1.7)	
Time in OT (median in minutes TOR)	157.50 (65)	180.00 (85)	0.107
Type of surgery n (%)	Open	Laparoscopic	
High anterior resection	12 (22.6)	50 (43.5)	0.092*
Left hemicolectomy	3 (5.7)	4 (3.5)	0.51
Right hemicolectomy	30 (56.6)	58 (50.4)	0.46
Reversal of Hartmann	5 (9.4)	2 (1.7)	0.020*
Sub/total colectomy	3 (5.7)	1 (0.9)	0.058
Median (IQR)	6 (2)	5 (2)	0.052
Preoperative Pre-operative albumin	$35.9\pm4.06$	$37.4\pm3.39$	0.059
Total protein levels (Mean, g/L, SD)	$70.5\pm5.98$	$72.3\pm5.4$	0.149

© 2022 The Authors.

Table 3	Summary	of measured	inflammatory	biomarkers
---------	---------	-------------	--------------	------------

Biomarker	Preop	POD 1	POD 2	POD 3	POD 4	POD 5
CRP (mg/L)						
Open	14.7*	90.8*	138.6*	137.3*	101.2*	89.4
Lap	6.4*	70*	88.4*	85.2*	72.2*	76.8
Leucocyte (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)						
Open	7.9	11.8*	10.5	8.7	7.5	6.9
Lap	7.3	10.8*	9.5	8.1	7.5	7.7
Neutrophils ((×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)						
Open	5.1	9.5*	8.2*	6.6*	5.3	4.5*
Lap	4.9	8.3*	7*	5.7*	5.1	5.4*
IL-10 (pg/mL)						
Open	26.2	26.2	21.9	24.6	20	18.5
Lap	21.9	21	17.9	20.9	31.6	35
IL-6 (pg/mL)						
Open	12.8	48*	34.6*	30.2*	18.3	17.8
Lap	10.8	29.2*	19.7*	19.1*	16.3	15
IL-1β (pg/mL)						
Open	8.2	5.9	6.4	6.4	6.2	5.2
Lap	6.4	4.3	4.6	5	8.2	6.6
TNFα (pg/mL)						
Open	32.4	28	30.8	33.6	29.2	28.6
Lap	28.2	26.6	25.4	27.3	36.1	31

Note: Displayed as mean. (\*) denotes a statistically significant result. Lap: Laparoscopic group; pg.: Picogram; POD: Post-operative day.

Abbreviations: Lap, laparoscopic approach; IL, interleukin; Open, open approach; Preop, preoperative day/before surgery; POD, postoperative day; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.



Fig. 2. Boxplot of measured inflammatory biomarkers. (a) CRP, (b) leucocyte, (c) neutrophils and (d) IL-10. (\*) denotes a statistically significant result. Lap: laparoscopic group; pg.: picogram; POD: post-operative day.

ANZ Journal of Surgery published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Royal Australasian College of Surgeons.





Fig. 3. Boxplot of measured inflammatory biomarkers. (a) IL-6, (b) IL-1β and (c) TNF-α. (\*) denotes a statistically significant result. Lap: laparoscopic group; pg.: picogram; POD: post-operative day.

New Zealand. Patient flow including exclusions are shown in Figure 1. Overall, 168 patients (59.4%) did not have any reported perioperative complications. Seven patients were excluded due to having their operation moved to a private hospital, with 27 patients excluded postsurgery due to either withdrawal of consent or incomplete data and blood samples collection. These are listed in Table 1.

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 2. The majority of patients included in this study underwent a laparoscopic colectomy. The indications for surgery were for colonic cancer in the majority >95% of cases. Patients in the open group had significantly higher BMI than patients in the laparoscopic group (p < 0.05). Patients that underwent an open colonic resection were significantly more obese and had undergone previous abdominal surgery more frequently (p < 0.05).

#### Inflammatory response

Biomarkers values are shown in Table 3. Figures 2 and 3 show the box-plots of the biomarker profile for open versus laparoscopic groups from pre-operation to POD5. Following surgery, CRP was significantly higher in open collectomy on POD 1–4 as were neutrophil count on POD 1–3 and 5, and IL-6 on POD 1–3. Other inflammatory markers showed no statistically significant differences.

#### **BMI and inflammatory response**

CRP levels were significantly elevated in obese patients on POD 2 and POD 4. A multiple regression analysis was performed from BMI and surgical approach. These variables statistically significantly predicted POD 2 (F(2, 82) = 8.1, p < 0.01,  $R^2 = 0.165$ ), and POD 4 CRP (F(2, 67) = 7.85, p < 0.01,  $R^2 = 0.190$ ), with an average model fit. Both BMI and approach added statistically significantly to the prediction.

## Discussion

This prospective observational study has shown that patients undergoing laparoscopic colectomy without complications have a reduced inflammatory response compared with equivalent open colectomy. CRP, neutrophil count and IL-6 showed significant differences from Preop, to POD 1 to POD 3, and POD 5. BMI also significantly impacted the inflammatory response following colectomy regardless of operative approach.

Results of this prospective study are consistent with similar studies performed in an ERAS environment. It is unclear whether the postoperative care provided by ERAS is the key contributor to this measured benefit seen in laparoscopy patients. A large RCT, the LAFA-study, shows either laparoscopic surgery or ERAS implementation significantly reduced postoperative hospital stay, with both implementations combined having the greatest effect. Patients treated with open and ERAS protocols or laparoscopic and standard care had a similar postoperative recovery. Although laparoscopy was found to be the only significant independent factor to reduce total hospital stay and morbidity, it appears laparoscopic and ERAS protocols both impact recovery to an equivalent degree.<sup>7</sup>

Interestingly, Stage *et al.*<sup>8</sup> found significantly elevated CRP and IL-6 levels in their laparoscopy group. This result was attributed to perioperative utilization of NSAIDs (non-steroidal ant-inflammatory drugs). Other perioperative medications have been shown to attenuate the inflammatory response following surgery. A recent RCT by Singh *et al.* showed a reduced postoperative inflammatory response with perioperative statin use.<sup>9</sup>

Other patient factors such as BMI and region of gut resection may affect inflammatory responses. Human adipose tissue secretes proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, likely inducing a proinflammatory state in the obese patient. This is evident in a recent study that showed an increase in systemic CRP levels in patients with an elevated BMI.<sup>10</sup> In our study, CRP was significantly elevated in obese patients compared with non-obese patients. Multiple regression analysis further outlines the higher-than-normal inflammatory response after surgery in obese patients regardless of operative approach.

An important limitation of this study is lack of information about use of anaesthetic drugs. Though the exclusion of other complications helped lessen study heterogeneity, perioperative medications including dexamethasone administered by the anaesthetist on induction, utilization of NSAIDs and opioid use may have affected the observed results.

In conclusion, this prospective observational study has shown that the inflammatory response following elective colectomy is affected by surgical approach, BMI, surgical resection and preoperative medications. This has implications for the detection of AL, other surgical complications and long-term oncological outcomes following elective colectomy. In the immediate postoperative period, a normal inflammatory result for an obese patient undergoing an open procedure may well represent a significant complication in a non-obese patient who has undergone a laparoscopic procedure. Evaluating these baseline differences in the presence of post-operative complications may further highlight these differences.

## Funding

B. S. was supported by a Health Research Council of New Zealand, Pacific Research Training Fellowship. The Colorectal Surgical Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSSANZ) awarded funding for this project which covered costs for the biomarker assays.

## Acknowledgements

Open access publishing facilitated by The University of Auckland, as part of the Wiley - The University of Auckland agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

## Author contributions

**Bruce Su'a:** Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; funding acquisition; investigation; methodology; project administration; resources; software; validation; visualization; writing – original draft; writing – review & editing. **Tony Milne:** Data curation; investigation; validation. **Rebekah Jaung:** Data curation; investigation; validation. **James Jin:** Formal analysis; writing – review and editing. **Darren Svirskis:** Conceptualization; methodology; resources; supervision; validation. **Tim Eglinton:** Conceptualization; funding acquisition; resources; supervision. **Andrew Hill:** Conceptualization; funding acquisition; resources; supervision; writing – original draft; writing – review & editing.

## References

- Kvarnström AL, Sarbinowski RT, Bengtson JP, Jacobsson LM, Bengtsson AL. Complement activation and interleukin response in major abdominal surgery. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 2012; **75**: 510–6.
- Hsing CH, Wang JJ. Clinical implication of perioperative inflammatory cytokine alteration. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Taiwan.* 2015; 53: 23–8.
- Holzheimer RG, Steinmetz WG. Local and systemic concentrations of pro-and anti-inflammatory cytokines in human wounds. *Eur. J. Med. Res.* 2000; 5: 347–55.
- 4. Veenhof AA, Vlug MS, van der Pas MH *et al.* Surgical stress response and postoperative immune function after laparoscopy or open surgery with fast track or standard perioperative care: a randomized trial. *Ann. Surg.* 2012; **255**: 216–21.
- Singh PP, Zeng IS, Srinivasa S, Lemanu DP, Connolly AB, Hill AG. Systematic review and meta-analysis of use of serum C-reactive protein levels to predict anastomotic leak after colorectal surgery. *J. Br. Surg.* 2014; **101**: 339–46.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, Strobe initiative. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Int. J. Surg.* 2014;12(12):1495–9.
- Vlug MS, Wind J, Hollmann MW *et al.* Laparoscopy in combination with fast track multimodal management is the best perioperative strategy in patients undergoing colonic surgery: a randomized clinical trial (LAFA-study). *Ann. Surg.* 2011; 254: 868–75.
- Stage JG, Schulze S, Møller P *et al.* Prospective randomized study of laparoscopic versus open colonic resection for adenocarcinoma. *J. Br. Surg.* 1997; 84: 391–6.
- Singh PP, Lemanu DP, Soop M, Bissett IP, Harrison J, Hill AG. Perioperative simvastatin therapy in major colorectal surgery: a prospective, doubleblind randomized controlled trial. J. Am. Coll. Surg. 2016; 223: 308–20.
- Aronson D, Bartha P, Zinder O *et al.* Obesity is the major determinant of elevated C-reactive protein in subjects with the metabolic syndrome. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond)* 2004; 28: 674–9.