#### **a** Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

## Exploration of the molecular mechanism of prostate cancer based on mRNA and miRNA expression profiles

Xing Zhang<sup>1</sup> YuYan Sun<sup>1</sup> Peng Wang<sup>1</sup> Changfu Yang<sup>1</sup> Shengwei Li<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, <sup>2</sup>Surgery of Chinese Medicine, Yangzhou TCM Hospital, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Yangzhou, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Shengwei Li Surgery of Chinese Medicine, Yangzhou TCM Hospital, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, 577 Middle Road, Wenchang, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, 225002, People's Republic of China Tel +86 514 8793 7086 Fax +86 514 8793 7070 Email shengwei97@sina.com



Abstract: Prostate cancer, the second most common cancer in men, has been rarely explored by integrating mRNA and miRNA expression profiles. In this study, we combined two mRNA expression datasets and six documented miRNAs to uncover the comprehensive molecular mechanism of prostate cancer. Results showed that a total of 30 genes were significantly differentially expressed in 49 tumor samples by comparing with 22 normal samples. Importantly, all samples from the two datasets can be clearly classified into two groups, tumor group and normal group, based on the selected differentially expressed genes (DEGs). The miRNA-mRNA regulation network indicated that 4 out of 30 DEGs can be regulated by three miRNAs. In addition, prognostic performance validation using in silico databases showed that the DEGs can significantly differentiate between low-risk and high-risk prostate cancer. In summary, multiple biological processes are probably involved in the development and progression of prostate cancer. First, dysregulation of SV2 can regulate transporter and transmembrane transporter activity and then provide the necessary nutrients for tumor cell proliferation. Second, HOXD10 can induce cell proliferation via TCF-4. Finally, dysregulation of CACNA1D can further suppress tumor apoptosis in prostate cancer. The identification of critical genes and valuable biological processes can be useful for the understanding of the molecular mechanism of prostate cancer. Keywords: mRNA, DEGs, miRNA, prognostic

### Introduction

Prostate cancer, as the second most common cancer in men and fourth most common tumor type worldwide, is estimated to be diagnosed in 220,800 men in the USA, and almost 12% (27,540) died of their disease.<sup>1,2</sup> The World Health Organization (WHO)'s report in 2012 shows that the incidence rates were estimated to be 10.5% and 4.5% in east and south-central Asia, respectively.<sup>3</sup> In recent years, however, the incidence and mortality rate of prostate cancer have experienced a steady increase in some Asian countries.<sup>3</sup> The primary prostate cancer has a 5-year survival rate of nearly 100%, and the distant metastasis has a 5-year survival rate of 28%.<sup>4</sup> Various factors contribute to the incidence of prostate cancer including genetic, age, lifestyle and race.<sup>5</sup>

In the current era, several prostate cancer biomarkers have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). One of these is PCa antigen 3 (PCA3) which is specifically upregulated in tumor tissues.<sup>6</sup> Another one is PSA isoform (ProPSA) which can distinguish tumor tissues from normal tissues.<sup>7</sup> In recent years, molecular and genetic profiles have been widely used for the screening of therapeutic targets. The fusion of androgen-regulated promoters with ERG and E26 transformationspecific (ETS) family members has been identified as the most common alteration

OncoTargets and Therapy 2017:10 3225-3232

3225

Control of the field of the second seco

in prostate cancer genome.8 Tomlins et al's8 study shows that approximately 40%-50% of prostate cancers have TMPRSS2-ERG fusion. MALAT-1 fragment named as MD-miniRNA has been reported to differentiate prostate tumor and normal samples with the sensitivity of 56.6% and specificity of 84.8%.9 Somatic point mutations such as SPOP, TP53, FOXA1 and PTEN also have been frequently identified in prostate cancer.<sup>10</sup> In addition, gene expression signatures of prostate cancer have been widely revealed based on oligonucleotide or cDNA microarray technology. One gene that encodes the serine protease hepsin was identified to be upregulated in malignant prostate tumor samples.<sup>11</sup> The protein level of two genes, hepsin and pim-1, was significantly correlated with clinical outcome based on tissue microarray.12 In addition, the overexpression of genes that participated in multiple signal pathways especially cell cycle regulation, DNA replication and DNA repair was significantly related to proliferation rate of metastatic prostate cancer.13 Although various studies have been carried out based on microarray or next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, studies by integrating mRNA and miRNA expression profiles are rare in prostate cancer. More and more publicly available datasets were submitted to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database with the development of technologies. In this study, to gain further insight into the molecular mechanism of prostate cancer, we comprehensively characterized the expression profiles of 49 prostate tumor samples. First, the significant common differentially expressed genes (DEGs) from two datasets were identified using advanced bioinformatic algorithms. Then, the common DEGs were subject to gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis. Further, the regulation network between mRNA and six reported miRNAs was constructed. Finally, prognostic performance of the common DEGs was validated in silico using SurvExpress online database.

### Materials and methods mRNA and miRNA expression profiles download

A lot of publicly available gene expression profiles have been submitted to GEO or ArrayExpress database in recent years. In this study, we carefully searched the GEO database and downloaded two representative mRNA expression profiles of prostate cancer. One dataset, with GEO accession number GSE46602, was deposited by Mortensen et al,<sup>14</sup> and this dataset consisted of 36 tumor tissues and 14 normal tissues. Another dataset named GSE55945 was submitted by Arredouani et al<sup>15</sup> which contains 13 tumor tissues and eight normal tissues. The two datasets were obtained from Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array. Detailed sample information and experiment design can be obtained from previous published papers.

### Identification of DEGs

To explore the significant DEGs in prostate cancer, two datasets were carefully analyzed with in-house pipeline based on R language and public annotation databases. Briefly, mRNA expression values from the two datasets were subject to background correction to reduce noise. Then, normalization and log2 transformation of the expression values were carried out using GeneChip Robust Multi-array Analysis (GC-RMA) algorithm.<sup>16</sup> In addition, control probe sets or uninformative probe sets were removed, and the mean expression value was calculated for the genes with multiple probes. Finally, DEGs between tumor and normal tissues were screened out using algorithm within Limma (linear models for microarray analysis) package.<sup>17</sup> Criteria for DEG selection were set to adjust *P*-value  $\leq 0.05$  and absolute log2 fold change  $\geq 2$  which can significantly reduce false positive. In addition, common DEGs between the two datasets were figured out based on Venn diagram, and the expression pattern of the common DEGs in all samples was constructed by using heatmap.2 method within ggplot package.<sup>18</sup>

### GO and KEGG pathway annotation

To explore biological functions of the DEGs, the identified common DEGs were further subject to GO and KEGG pathway enrichment using online tool of DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery).<sup>19</sup> All parameters were set as default, and the GO terms consisted of biological process (BP), cellular component (CC) and molecular function (MF).

## mRNA–miRNA regulation network construction

More and more investigations have shown that miRNA can regulate tumor-related mRNA expression and play an important role in the whole process of tumor.<sup>20</sup> In the study by Alhasan et al,<sup>21</sup> six miRNAs, including miR-605-5p, miR-135a-3p, miR-495-5p, miR-433-5p, miR-371a-3p and miR-106a-5p, were demonstrated to be significantly up/down-expressed in prostate cancer. Blind studies also validated that the six miRNAs can differentiate prostate cancer patients from healthy people with 89% accuracy.<sup>21</sup> Based on the six miRNAs, we constructed the regulation network using CyTargetLinker plugin in Cytoscape.<sup>22</sup> In brief, target genes for the six miRNAs were predicted using microCosm, mirTarbase and TargetScan databases. Then, intersection between the common DEGs and target genes were selected and subject to Cytoscape.

# Prognostic performance of the common DEGs

It is critical to validate the clinical outcomes of identified common DEGs for the diagnosis or treatment of prostate cancer. In this study, in silico validation was conducted using SurvExpress online tool that is based on publicly available mRNA expression profiles with clinical outcomes.<sup>23</sup> Two datasets, GSE16560 and GSE40272, were used, and parameters were carefully selected with the instruction from the developer. In brief, we need to input our list of genes, select tissues, datasets, and set survival analysis options. The censored parameter was set to survival\_month. All the samples

were used as train set and test set for the estimation of beta coefficient using Cox model and the estimation of prognostic index. The optional weight parameter was estimated as the beta coefficient from the Cox model. Other parameters were left as default. Detailed sample information for the two datasets can be obtained from previous reports.<sup>24,25</sup>

## Results

### Identification of DEGs in prostate cancer

To identify significant DEGs, the gene expression values were subject to preprocessing first. Figure 1 shows that the values are almost at the same level for the two datasets after



Figure I Boxplots for gene expression of each sample in GSE46602 (A) and GSE55945 (B).



Figure 2 Venn diagram of the DEGs in GSE46602 and GSE55945 (A) and fold change correlation between the two datasets (B). Abbreviation: DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

normalization. Then, significant DEGs were figured out by applying robust empirical Bayesian method. Results showed that a total of 286 and 51 genes in GSE46602 and GSE55945, respectively, were significantly dysregulated in tumor samples. In GSE46602, 90 genes (31.5%) were upregulated and 196 genes were downregulated (68.5%), and in GSE55945, 32 genes (62.7%) were upregulated and 19 genes were downregulated (37.3%). In addition, 30 genes were found to be simultaneously differentially expressed in both GSE46602 and GSE55945 (Figure 2A), and the fold change and adjusted P-value are listed in Table 1. In addition, fold change correlation of the common DEGs was 0.78 (Figure 2B), which indicates that the expression level of the common DEGs was consistent between the two datasets. Further, the expression pattern of the common DEGs in all samples was constructed and subject to hierarchical clustering. Figure 3 shows that tumor (red) and normal (blue) samples can be clearly classified into two different subgroups except for Normal 18 and Normal 1. Error assignment for these two samples was probably due to tumor heterogeneity or variation in expression values.

### GO and KEGG pathway annotation

To obtain the corresponding biological functions of the common DEGs, functional annotations were carried out using DAVID online tool that is based on GO and KEGG pathway database. As listed in Table 2, SLC2A5 and CACNA1D can be enriched into carbohydrate digestion and absorption KEGG pathway. GO annotation results indicated that the common DEGs were mainly related to the BP of xenobiotic metabolic process, anterior/posterior pattern specification, locomotory exploration behavior, proximal/distal pattern formation and behavioral fear response with *P*-value

 Table I
 The identified 30 common DEGs in GSE46602 and GSE55945

Gene symbol	GSE46602		GSE55945	GSE55945	
	Adjusted	Log	Adjusted	Log	
	P-value	FC	<b>P-value</b>	FC	
ACSMI	2.39E-06	2.94	7.80E–06	2.77	
AMACR	1.16E-05	2.88	0.00014732	3.22	
AOCI	I.26E-09	-3.05	0.00356	-2.67	
CIQTNF3-AMACR	9.23E-09	3.79	7.60E-06	3.38	
CACNAID	0.000226	2.08	5.58E-05	2.30	
ССК	I.82E-07	-2.38	0.00125	-2.06	
CD177	1.89E-12	-6.06	0.0298	-2.30	
CYP3A5	1.31E-13	-3.73	0.001324667	-2.28	
DLXI	3.35E-08	4.41	3.87E-08	4.16	
DNAH5	2.61E-05	2.04	0.000519	2.04	
F5	0.000165767	3.00	0.00329	2.16	
HOXC4	1.51E-09	2.51	2.24E-06	2.56	
HOXC6	2.91E-08	3.10	5.86E-07	3.33	
HOXD10	8.37E-09	-2.30	0.00146	-2.05	
HPN	2.97E-14	2.60	2.75E-07	2.36	
INSMI	0.00204	2.15	0.000294	2.14	
KCNG3	0.00233	2.06	0.0028	2.54	
LINC00844	6.18E-12	-4.45	0.000129	-2.36	
LOC100287413	I.58E-08	3.19	8.63E08	2.40	
LSAMP	5.33E–11	-2.75	6.56E–05	-2.10	
MS4A8	0.000133	2.04	0.000398	2.82	
OR51E2	0.0029	2.60	0.00765	2.26	
PCA3	1.07E-06	4.19	4.70E-05	3.58	
PDLIM5	1.41E-08	2.49	0.0004	2.36	
PENK	2.25E-05	-2.26	8.98E-06	-2.39	
PRCAT47	0.00012	3.07	5.97E-05	3.87	
SIM2	3.80E-11	3.52	2.50E-05	2.10	
SLC2A5	8.13E-11	-2.35	0.00025	-2.52	
SV2B	6.19E–13	-3.16	0.000577	-2.30	
TMEM45B	0.000505	2.42	0.001	2.49	

Abbreviations: DEGs, differentially expressed genes; FC, fold change.



Figure 3 Heat map showing the expression pattern of 30 common DEGs in all tumor and normal samples. Notes: The x-axis represents samples and y-axis represents genes. The bar on the top indicates the type of sample using red (tumor) and blue (normal). Abbreviation: DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

of <0.05 (Table 2). In addition, four MF terms including sequence-specific DNA binding, transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding and neuropeptide hormone activity were enriched with *P*-value of <0.05 (Table 2). CC annotation showed that HPN, SLC2A5, F5, etc mainly located on plasma membrane (Table 2).

### miRNA-mRNA regulation network

Further dysregulation mechanism of the common DEGs was explored by constructing miRNA–mRNA regulation network. Prediction results showed that the six miRNAs can target 15, 1,252 and 2,046 genes in miRTarBase, TargetScan and MicroCosm databases, respectively. In addition, four out of the predicted target genes can be identified in the common DEGs. Based on the miRNA–mRNA pairings constructed using Cytoscape, we can find that miR-106a-5p can regulate the expression of PDLIM5 and SV2B (Figure 4). Also, miR-135a-3p and miR-371a-3p can regulate the expression of HOXD10 and CACNA1D, respectively (Figure 4).

## Prognostic performance of the common DEGs

Clinical outcome validation is critical for the identification of biomarker in cancer research. In this study, two independent

Туре	ID	Term	Genes	P-value
KEGG	hsa04973	Carbohydrate digestion and absorption	SLC2A5, CACNAID	0.0649
BP	GO:0006805	Xenobiotic metabolic process	CYP3A5, ACSM1, AOCI	0.0046
	GO:0009952	Anterior/posterior pattern specification	HOXC6, HOXC4, HOXD10	0.0049
	GO:0035641	Locomotory exploration behavior	PENK, LSAMP	0.0156
	GO:0009954	Proximal/distal pattern formation	DLX1, HOXD10	0.0310
	GO:0001662	Behavioral fear response	CCK, PENK	0.0373
CC	GO:0005886	Plasma membrane	HPN, SLC2A5, F5, PENK, CD177, LSAMP,	0.0387
			SV2B, KCNG3, OR51E2, CACNA1D, AOCI	
MF	GO:0043565	Sequence-specific DNA binding	HOXC6, DLX1, HOXC4, HOXD10	0.0287
	GO:0003700	Transcription factor activity, sequence-specific	HOXC6, INSM I , HOXC4, HOXD I 0,	0.0336
		DNA binding	SIM2	
	GO:0005184	Neuropeptide hormone activity	CCK, PENK	0.0384

Table 2 KEGG pathway and GO enrichment results for the common DEGs

Abbreviations: BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; MF, molecular function.



Figure 4 Regulation network between the identified common DEGs and reported miRNAs.

**Note:** Pink hexagons represent DEGs and green circles represent miRNAs. **Abbreviation:** DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

publicly available datasets, GSE16560 and GSE40272, were used to validate the prognostic performance of the identified common DEGs. Survival analysis based on clinical information from the two datasets showed that high-/low-risk prostate cancer group can be significantly differentiated in the two datasets with *P*-value 1.9E–7 and 1.0E–5, respectively (Figure 5). In addition, higher concordance index (CI) indicated that the common DEGs can be used for the prediction of prognostic performance (Table 3).

### Discussion

The comprehensive analysis based on mRNA and miRNA expression profiles advanced the understanding of the molecular mechanism of prostate cancer. A total of 30 DEGs were identified in 49 tumor samples by comparing with normal samples. Importantly, all tumor and normal samples can be clearly classified into two groups based on the identified DEGs.

KEGG pathway annotation showed that *SLC2A5* and *CACNA1D* participated in the carbohydrate digestion and absorption pathway. The protein encoded by *SLC2A5* is a fructose transporter responsible for fructose uptake,<sup>26</sup> and the protein encoded by *CACNA1D* is the alpha-1D subunit of calcium channel.<sup>27</sup> Enhanced growth and proliferation of tumor cells place increased demand for nutrients for the synthesis of DNA and protein.<sup>28</sup> The dysregulation of transporter and calcium channel probably increase the availability of nutrients.<sup>29</sup>

The complex molecular mechanism of prostate cancer was further unveiled by miRNA–mRNA regulation network. The regulation network showed that miR-106a-5p can regulate the expression of *SV2B* (Figure 4). This gene encodes a member of the synaptic vesicle protein 2 family which participates in the regulation of vesicle trafficking and exocytosis.<sup>30</sup> Expressed sequence tag (EST) analysis results showed that *SV2B* was differentially expressed in prostate cancer.<sup>31</sup> GO annotations related to this gene included transporter activity and transmembrane transporter activity. Report also showed that *SV2* can recruit glucose-evoked granules to the plasma membrane.<sup>32</sup> Due to high demand of nutrient for tumor generation and metastasis, the dysregulation of *SV2* in prostate cancer can provide necessary nutrient.

Another critical gene is *HOXD10* which is the target of miR-135a-3p (Figure 4). This gene belongs to homeobox (Hox) superfamily and encodes transcription factors that control cell differentiation and morphogenesis during development.<sup>33,34</sup> Documents showed that tumor growth



Figure 5 Kaplan-Meier curves of GSE16560 dataset (A) and GSE40272 (B).

Notes: Censoring samples are marked with "+". The x-axis represents time to event and y-axis represents percentage. The number of samples, censored number, and CI are shown in the insets. High- and low-risk groups are labeled with red and green curves, respectively. The red data represent high-risk patients, and the green data represent low-risk patients.

Abbreviation: Cl, concordance index.

Samples	Genes found	Risk groups (P-value)	DEGs between risk groups		
			СІ	DEGs (n)	DEGs
281	19	I.90E–07	66.6	9	CACNAID, CCK, F5, HOXC4, HOXC6, LSAMP, MS4A7, PENK, SLC2A5
98	26	1.00E-05	89	6	CYP3A5, HOXC4, HOXC6, KCNG3, LSAMP, PENK
	281 98	Samples         Genes found           281         19           98         26	Samples         Genes found         Risk groups (P-value)           281         19         1.90E-07           98         26         1.00E-05	Samples         Genes         Risk groups         DEGs f           found         (P-value)         CI           281         19         1.90E-07         66.6           98         26         1.00E-05         89	Samples         Genes         Risk groups         DEGs between risk gro           found         (P-value)         CI         DEGs (n)           281         19         1.90E-07         66.6         9           98         26         1.00E-05         89         6

Table 3 Virtual validation results of prognostic performance

Abbreviations: CI, concordance index; DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

can be suppressed with ectopic expression of *HOXB13* via downregulation of  $\beta$ -catenin–TCF pathway.<sup>35</sup> Jung et al's<sup>34</sup> study also demonstrated that *HOXB13* can negatively regulate the expression of *TCF-4* and then suppress cell proliferation in prostate cancer. Expression study also shows that *HOXD10* is commonly downregulated in gastric tumor tissue compared with normal stomach tissue. Functional analysis revealed that *HOXD10* can upregulate *IGFBP3*, which can activate caspase-3 and caspase-8 and subsequently induce cell apoptosis. All the results suggest that *HOXD10* probably functions as a tumor suppressor in prostate cancer.

In addition, *CACNA1D* was significantly dysregulated and can be regulated by miR-371a-3p (Figure 4). This gene encodes the alpha-1D subunit of calcium channel. Calcium channel has been demonstrated to contribute to cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. Calcium channel blocker has an important regulatory role and can affect malignant transformation in prostate cancer.<sup>36</sup> It has also been documented that Ca2+ signaling participated in androgeninduced PSA secretion. Prostate cancer cell proliferation and androgen receptor-mediated gene expression are significantly suppressed with the addition of nifedipine and tetrandrine.<sup>37</sup> In addition, knocking down of *CACNA1D* by siRNA can abrogate androgen receptor transactivation.<sup>37</sup>

Apart from the abovementioned genes, several novel or rarely reported genes such as *PDLIM5*, *HOXC4* and *PENK* were also identified in prostate cancer.

## Conclusion

Multiple biological processes probably involved in the development and progression of prostate cancer. First, the dysregulation of *SV2* can regulate transporter and transmembrane transporter activity and then provide necessary nutrient for tumor cell proliferation. Then, *HOXD10* can induce cell proliferation via *TCF-4*. Finally, the dysregulation of *CACNA1D* can further suppress tumor apoptosis.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20160481),

the Project for Medical Young Talents of Jiangsu Province (QNRC2016360) and the Natural Science Foundation of Yangzhou (YZ2015111).

### **Author contributions**

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and critically revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

### References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015;65(1):5–29.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. The molecular taxonomy of primary prostate cancer. *Cell*. 2015;163(4):1011–1025.
- Chen R, Ren S, Yiu MK, et al. Prostate cancer in Asia: a collaborative report. *Asian J Urol.* 2014;1(1):15–29.
- Edwards BK, Noone AM, Mariotto AB, et al. Annual Report to the Nation on the status of cancer, 1975–2010, featuring prevalence of comorbidity and impact on survival among persons with lung, colorectal, breast, or prostate cancer. *Cancer*. 2014;120(9):1290–1314.
- Al Olama AA, Kote-Jarai Z, Berndt SI, et al. A meta-analysis of 87,040 individuals identifies 23 new susceptibility loci for prostate cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46(10):1103–1109.
- Haese A, de la Taille A, van Poppel H, et al. Clinical utility of the PCA3 urine assay in European men scheduled for repeat biopsy. *Eur Urol.* 2008;54(5):1081–1088.
- Guazzoni G, Nava L, Lazzeri M, et al. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) isoform p2PSA significantly improves the prediction of prostate cancer at initial extended prostate biopsies in patients with total PSA between 2.0 and 10 ng/ml: results of a prospective study in a clinical setting. *Eur Urol.* 2011;60(2):214–222.
- Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, et al. Recurrent fusion of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. *Science*. 2005; 310(5748):644–648.
- Ren S, Wang F, Shen J, et al. Long non-coding RNA metastasis associated in lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 derived miniRNA as a novel plasma-based biomarker for diagnosing prostate cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49(13):2949–2959.
- Barbieri CE, Baca SC, Lawrence MS, et al. Exome sequencing identifies recurrent SPOP, FOXA1 and MED12 mutations in prostate cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2012;44(6):685–689.
- Magee JA, Araki T, Patil S, et al. Expression profiling reveals hepsin overexpression in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61(15):5692–5696.
- Dhanasekaran SM, Barrette TR, Ghosh D, et al. Delineation of prognostic biomarkers in prostate cancer. *Nature*. 2001;412(6849): 822–826.

- LaTulippe E, Satagopan J, Smith A, et al. Comprehensive gene expression analysis of prostate cancer reveals distinct transcriptional programs associated with metastatic disease. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(15): 4499–4506.
- Mortensen MM, Hoyer S, Lynnerup AS, et al. Expression profiling of prostate cancer tissue delineates genes associated with recurrence after prostatectomy. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5:16018.
- Arredouani MS, Lu B, Bhasin M, et al. Identification of the transcription factor single-minded homologue 2 as a potential biomarker and immunotherapy target in prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(18): 5794–5802.
- Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, et al. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol.* 2004;5(10):R80.
- Kerr MK. Linear models for microarray data analysis: hidden similarities and differences. J Comput Biol. 2003;10(6):891–901.
- Hadley W. Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Switzerland: Springer; 2016.
- Dennis G Jr, Sherman BT, Hosack DA, et al. DAVID: database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery. *Genome Biol.* 2003;4(5):3.
- Acunzo M, Croce CM. MicroRNA in cancer and cachexia a minireview. J Infect Dis. 2015;212(suppl 1):S74–S77.
- Alhasan AH, Scott AW, Wu JJ, et al. Circulating microRNA signature for the diagnosis of very high-risk prostate cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A. 2016;113(38):10655–10660.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 2003;13(11):2498–2504.
- Aguirre-Gamboa R, Gomez-Rueda H, Martinez-Ledesma E, et al. SurvExpress: an online biomarker validation tool and database for cancer gene expression data using survival analysis. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(9):e74250.
- Sboner A, Demichelis F, Calza S, et al. Molecular sampling of prostate cancer: a dilemma for predicting disease progression. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2010;3:8.
- Gulzar ZG, McKenney JK, Brooks JD. Increased expression of NuSAP in recurrent prostate cancer is mediated by E2F1. *Oncogene*. 2013; 32(1):70–77.

- You J, Wang L, Huang J, et al. Low glucose transporter SLC2A5inhibited human normal adjacent lung adenocarcinoma cytoplasmic pro-B cell development mechanism network. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2015; 399(1–2):71–76.
- Pinggera A, Lieb A, Benedetti B, et al. CACNA1D de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders activate Cav1.3 L-type calcium channels. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015;77(9):816–822.
- Ganapathy V, Thangaraju M, Prasad PD. Nutrient transporters in cancer: relevance to Warburg hypothesis and beyond. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;121(1):29–40.
- Moscat J, Richardson A, Diaz-Meco MT. Nutrient stress revamps cancer cell metabolism. *Cell Res.* 2015;25(5):537–538.
- Fukusumi Y, Wakamatsu A, Takashima N, et al. SV2B is essential for the integrity of the glomerular filtration barrier. *Lab Invest*. 2015;95(5): 534–545.
- Clegg N, Ferguson C, True LD, et al. Molecular characterization of prostatic small-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma. *Prostate*. 2003;55(1): 55–64.
- Iezzi M, Theander S, Janz R, Loze C, Wollheim CB. SV2A and SV2C are not vesicular Ca2+ transporters but control glucose-evoked granule recruitment. *J Cell Sci.* 2005;118(pt 23):5647–5660.
- Samuel S, Naora H. Homeobox gene expression in cancer: insights from developmental regulation and deregulation. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005; 41(16):2428–2437.
- Jung C, Kim RS, Lee SJ, Wang C, Jeng MH. HOXB13 homeodomain protein suppresses the growth of prostate cancer cells by the negative regulation of T-cell factor 4. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64(9):3046–3051.
- Jung C, Kim RS, Zhang H, et al. HOXB13 is downregulated in colorectal cancer to confer TCF4-mediated transactivation. *Br J Cancer*. 2005;92(12):2233–2239.
- Shapovalov G, Skryma R, Prevarskaya N. Calcium channels and prostate cancer. *Recent Pat Anticancer Drug Discov*. 2013;8(1):18–26.
- Graham SM, Holzbeierlein JM, Thrasher JB, Li B. Calcium channel blocker modulates androgen receptor-mediated gene expression and induces cytotoxicity in prostate cancer cells. *J Urol.* 2012;187(4):e94.

#### **OncoTargets and Therapy**

#### Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

### **Dove**press

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.